1 2	The final publication is available at Elsevier via <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2017.08.023</u> © 2017. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>
3	Fast quantitation of opioid isomers in human plasma by
4	differential mobility spectrometry/mass spectrometry via
5	SPME/open-port probe sampling interface
6	
7	Chang Liu,* ^{‡a} Germán Augusto Gómez-Ríos, ^{‡b} Bradley B. Schneider, ^a J. C. Yves Le Blanc, ^a
8	Nathaly Reyes-Garcés, ^b Don W. Arnold, ^c Thomas R. Covey ^a and Janusz Pawliszyn* ^b
9	
10	^a SCIEX, 71 Four Valley Drive, Concord, Ontario L4K 4V8, Canada
11	^b Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada
12	^c SCIEX, 1201 Radio Road, Redwood City, CA 94065
13	
14	
15	[‡] These authors contributed equally
16	
17	*Corresponding author:
18	Tel: +1 289 982 2319; fax: +1 905 660 2623. E-mail: chang.liu@sciex.com (C. L.).
19	Tel.: +1 519 888 4641; fax: +1 519 746 0435. E-mail address: Janusz@uwaterloo.ca (J. P.).
20	

1 Abstract

2 Mass spectrometry (MS) based quantitative approaches typically require a thorough sample clean-3 up and a decent chromatographic step in order to achieve needed figures of merit. However, in most cases, 4 such processes are not optimal for urgent assessments and high-throughput determinations. The direct 5 coupling of solid phase microextration (SPME) to MS has shown great potential to shorten the total sample analysis time of complex matrices, as well as to diminish potential matrix effects and instrument 6 7 contamination. In this study, we demonstrate the use of the open-port probe (OPP) as a direct and robust 8 sampling interface to couple biocompatible-SPME (Bio-SPME) fibers to MS for the rapid quantitation of 9 opioid isomers (i.e. codeine and hydrocodone) in human plasma. In place of chromatography, a differential 10 mobility spectrometry (DMS) device was implemented to provide the essential selectivity required to quantify these constitutional isomers. Taking advantage of the simplified sample preparation process based 11 on Bio-SPME and the fast separation with DMS-MS coupling via OPP, a high-throughput assay (10-15 12 13 seconds per sample) with limits of detection in the sub-ng/mL range was developed. Succinctly, we 14 demonstrated that by tuning adequate ion mobility separation conditions, SPME-OPP-MS can be employed 15 to quantify non-resolved compounds or those otherwise hindered by co-extracted isobaric interferences 16 without further need of coupling to other separation platforms.

17

18 Keywords

19 Opioid analysis; differential mobility spectrometry; open-port probe sampling interface; SPME-MS

20

21 Abbreviations

22 OPP: open-port probe; DMS: differential mobility spectrometry; FWHM: full-width at half maximum; SV:

23 separation voltage; CoV: compensation voltage; SPME: solid phase microextraction

1 1. Introduction

2 Simple, robust and high-throughput sample preparation workflows capable of yielding reliable quantitative analysis results are highly desired for the determination of pain-management substances and 3 4 drugs of abuse in biological fluids. Although dilute-and-shoot procedures have been evaluated for this 5 purpose,[1] most assays still require a sample clean-up step (e.g. solid-phase extraction[2] or liquid-liquid 6 extraction[3]) for removal of biological matrix components that could cause contamination of the 7 chromatographic column or ionization suppression.[4] Solid-phase microextraction (SPME), a green technology that combines sampling, sample clean-up, and analyte enrichment into a single step, has been 8 9 widely used for high-throughput sample preparation with minimum handling[5], including in-vivo 10 sampling, and on-site monitoring of several substances in different environmental, clinical and food 11 applications.[6-8] Although SPME devices are capable of performing fast sample extraction from biological 12 matrix, chromatographic separation (i.e. GC or HPLC) still is the platform of choice for the analysis of 13 drugs of abuse, [5, 9] because the specificity may suffer from the loss of a chromatographic dimension (e.g. 14 interferences can be observed when analyzing isomeric species with common fragment ions such as codeine and hydrocodone). Therefore, the chromatography process has become the bottleneck to further improve 15 the analysis throughput. 16

17 Differential mobility spectrometry (DMS) is a gas-phase ion separation technology based on the 18 mobility difference of target ions under high- and low-electrical fields, [10-15] and it has been proven to be 19 a powerful tool to improve the selectivity of LC-MS analysis[16] and remove endogenous chemical 20 interferences from samples to yield significant improvements in S/N and limits of detection.[17, 18] In this 21 study, a novel DMS device is introduced for the in-line separation and quantitation of isomeric opioids with 22 shared fragment ions (*i.e.* codeine and hydrocodone, Figure S1) without requiring chromatographic separation. An open-port probe (OPP) sampling interface[19-21] was used to couple the high-throughput 23 24 sample preparation based on SPME and the fast analysis based on DMS/MS. Employment of the OPP 25 system allows merging the steps of analyte desorption and elution into the instrument by simply placing the

SPME device in the open-end of the system for several seconds, without employment of high-pressure parts
 or injection valves. Good sensitivity, accuracy, and precision were demonstrated for the targeted analytes,
 with total analysis time per sample ranging between 10-15 seconds when performed by high-throughput
 means.

5 2. Experimental section

6 2.1 Reagents and supplies

7 Codeine, hydrocodone and their deuterated analogues were purchased from Cerilliant (Round Rock, TX, USA), and used without further purification. HPLC grade acetonitrile and methanol were bought from 8 9 Caledon Laboratory Chemicals (Georgetown, ON, Canada). Distilled deionized water (18 MQ) was 10 produced in-house using a Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA) Integral 10 water purification system. Plasma samples were purchased from Bioreclamation IVT (Baltimore, MD, USA). Target analytes and internal 11 standards (deuterated analogues) were spiked in the plasma (v/v) the day before extraction. Bio-SPME 12 mixed mode probes (*i.e.* C₁₈-SCX particles, 45 µm thickness, 15 mm coating length) were kindly provided 13 by Millipore-Sigma (Supelco; Bellefonte, PA, USA). 14

15 *2.2 Open-port probe sampling interface*

The OPP sampling interface used in this work was constructed based on the design introduced by Van 16 17 Berkel and co-workers[19], and the device is described in Figure S2. This OPP sampling interface uses a vertically aligned, co-axial tube arrangement enabling solvent delivery to the sampling end (open-port) 18 19 through the tubing annulus (304 stainless steel, 1.75 mm i.d. and 3.18 mm o.d., Grainger, Lake Forest, IL, USA), and aspiration down the centre tube (PEEK, 255 µm i.d. and 510 µm o.d., IDEX, Lake Forest, IL, 20 21 USA) into the ion source driven by the nebulizer gas (fixed at 90 psi) through a 635 µm i.d. nozzle, and the 22 ESI electrode i.d. was 150 µm. The rate of the flow-in desorption solvent (methanol) was adjusted (Perkin 23 Elmer 200 LC pump, Waltham, MA, USA) to achieve a dome-shaped sampling surface to maximize the 24 contact area with SPME coatings (fixed at 200 μ L min⁻¹).

1 2.3 Sample preparation with SPME and OPP sampling

2 The workflow of SPME based sample preparation consists of several steps including fibre conditioning, 3 analyte extraction, fibre rinsing, analyte desorption and analyte elution into the mass spectrometer. By using 4 OPP as the MS interface for SPME, the two latter steps are combined (see Figure 1), allowing for better 5 analysis throughput and enhanced sensitivity (i.e. minimal analyte dilution). In addition, since the step of 6 solution transfer is eliminated, chances of additional sample loss are avoided. In this study, a preconditioned 7 SPME fibre was first inserted in a vial containing plasma sample (250 μ L) for 5 min with vortex agitation (1500 rpm). Next, the fibre with extracted target analytes was rapidly rinsed in a vessel containing 0.3 mL 8 9 water for 5 seconds to remove matrix components that could have potentially adhered to the coating surface. 10 For the above two steps, multiple samples were processed simultaneously aiming to increase the analysis 11 speed and reduce sample-to-sample variations. Finally, the SPME fibre was placed into the sampling port containing dome-shaped continuous-flow desorption solvent (i.e. methanol) for 5 seconds, and the desorbed 12 analytes were carried to the ESI electrode for ionization. 13

14 2.4 DMS-MS system

A research grade DMS system (dimension of the DMS cell was 1.5 x 20 x 63 mm, gap height x electrode 15 16 width x cell length) developed by SCIEX was mounted in the atmospheric region between the Turbo V^{TM} ion source (ESI) and the QTRAP® 5500 system's (SCIEX, Concord ON, Canada) sampling orifice. The 17 ESI probe was maintained at a voltage of 5500 V. A constant gas flow in the DMS cell was achieved by 18 19 the curtain gas flow (N₂; 20 psi, 5.5 L min-1) and the primary stage vacuum pumping of the MS system. Nitrogen was also used as the throttle gas. The temperature of the transport gas was set at 100 °C. The 20 analysis with conventional DMS cell was performed on a QTRAP® 6500+ system equipped with 21 SelexION+TM technology. 22

The fundamental behaviour of DMS and the asymmetrical SV waveform10-15 has been thoroughly
 described in previous studies. For the experiments conducted in this study, the optimal compensation

voltage (CoV) for the ion transmission was determined first by scanning the CoV from 5 V to 20 V in 0.15V increments with the separation voltage (SV) set at 6300 V (p-p). Analytes were dissolved in solution
(methanol) to a concentration of 100 ng mL-1, and infused into the ESI source at a rate of 10 µL min-1.
During every CoV step, multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) signals for each analyte (Table S1) were
recorded, yielding an ionogram. The optimal CoV for the transmission of each analyte through the DMS
device was determined and used for the SPME-OPP sampling experiments as shown in Table S2 (fixed SV
and CoV settings for each individual ions without scanning process).

8 3. Results and discussion

9 *3.1 OPP as a direct sampling interface for SPME*

10 SPME is a high-throughput sample preparation technology. Generally, the extracted/enriched analytes 11 on SPME devices were either thermally desorbed (thermo-stable analytes) for gas-chromatography 12 analysis, or eluted with a high affinity solvent before introduction to HPLC or LC/MS. Aiming to further simplify the analytical workflow, various coupling approaches have been developed in the past two decades 13 to desorb/ionize analytes from SPME devices including desorption electrospray ionization (DESI)[22], 14 direct analysis in real time (DART) [23] and dielectric barrier desorption ionization (DBDI) [24] which 15 16 desorb analytes by exposing their surfaces to an ionizing medium (such as a gas or an aerosol). However, some of these techniques might require sophisticated and costly equipment, need additional changes to the 17 front-end of the instrument, or may be amenable only for a limited class of molecules (e.g. thermally labile). 18 19 Other approaches have been introduced recently for the coupling of SPME with MS through direct 20 electrospray ionization on SPME devices including SPME-nano-ESI[25], in-tube SPME-MS,[26] and coated blade-spray.[27, 28] These techniques have been well discussed in a recent review article.[29] 21

Open-port probe (OPP) sampling interface[19] was designed to circumvent the usage of high-pressure pumps and injection valves, thus preventing common problems observed in LC applications, such as leaking and carryover, while combining the steps of analyte desorption and direct injection into the MS

1 system. As a result, OPP has shown great potential as a simple and robust tool for the analysis of multiple 2 types of unprocessed and complex samples, including plastic polymers, marker inks, vegetable oils, and 3 laser-cut. [19, 20, 30] In this work, the OPP is used for the direct interface of SPME fibres to MS by simply 4 placing the coating into the sampling end of the port. In this setup, the extracted/enriched analytes were 5 washed out by the elution solvent continuously flowing through the sampling interface, aspirated to the 6 commercial ESI ion source and then analysed by the DMS-MS/MS analysis. Due to the short delay between 7 the desorption and the ionization steps, minimal peak broadening was observed (e.g. FWHM was 8 approximately 6 seconds for a 5-second elution process as shown in Figure 1).

9 *3.2 Isomeric separation with DMS*

10 DMS is a technology that can be used to separate gas-phase ions prior to analysis by MS.[10-15] In a DMS cell, a high frequency asymmetric waveform (SV), varying between high- and low-electric field 11 12 regimes is applied across the ion transport channel between the two planner electrodes, perpendicular to the direction of the ion transport flow. The different mobilities exhibited under high- and low-electric field 13 14 results in ions acquiring a "zigzag" trajectory between the electrodes. For successful transmission through 15 the DMS cell and sampling into MS, a DC CoV can be applied to steer ions back on axis. This technology has been successfully used for both chemical noise elimination[17, 31] and isomeric ion separation (e.g. 16 stereoisomers, [12, 17, 32, 33] structural isomers, [34-39] and even tautomers [40]). 17

18 Several approaches have been introduced aiming to enhance the resolving power of DMS, by either 19 increasing the CoV difference (Δ CoV) or reducing the peak width in volts at half height (FWHM) in a CoV ramp scan. The modified transport gas has been widely used to improve the resolving power of DMS by 20 adding a polar solvent (modifier) to the curtain gas.[41] Therefore, the analyte ions cluster with modifier 21 22 molecules during the low-field portion of the waveform and undergo de-clustering during the high-field half-cycle, thus amplifying their differential mobilities with increased ΔCoV (*i.e.* better separation). 23 Alcohols (e.g. methanol, isopropanol) are most frequently used as modifiers, but their high proton affinity 24 may induce a charge stripping from the analyte, which leads to a lower sensitivity.[42] The reduction of 25

FWHM of a CoV peak is another approach for resolving power enhancement that is typically achieved by extending the ions' residence time within the DMS cell by reducing transport gas flow rate with the throttle gas.[12, 43] It is important to highlight that although better separation can be attained, long in-cell ion residence time also directly leads to significant ion losses. In certain applications, chemical modifier and a throttle gas can be used simultaneously to further improve DMS resolving power.[44]

6 In this study, an alternative approach to enhance resolving power was developed by modifying the 7 dimensions of the DMS cell from 1 mm x 10 mm x 30 mm (gap height x electrode width x cell length) to 8 1.5 mm x 20 mm x 63 mm. The enlarged volume of the DMS cell extends the residence time, which reduces 9 the FWHM of studied analytes from 3.0 V to 0.8 V (Figure S3) without application of a throttle gas. Similar 10 FWHM could be reached with the original cell (commercial cell with smaller volume) using 34 psi of throttle gas. However, due to the small ΔCoV attained with the commercial cell (0.8 V), even when using 11 12 a throttle gas of 34 psi, it was not possible to detect codeine and hydrocodone individually at their optimum 13 sensitivity (CoV peak apex). As an alternative, the CoV can be enlarged by increasing the gap height of the 14 DMS cell. In the presented study, owing to the larger gap between the two electrodes, CoV as well as Δ CoV 15 were increased to 1.5x for the same field strength. Thus, the combined benefits of both reduced FHWM 16 and increased ΔCoV enabled the complete separation of these analytes (Figure 2). As can be seen in Figure 17 S4, the interference between codeine and hydrocodone analysis was observed to be less than one percent. 18 Although adequate separation for these isomers was accomplished by only modifying the geometry of the 19 DMS cell, a chemical modifier and/or throttle gas can also be implemented for more challenging applications. As an example, Figure S5 presents the separation of other opioid isomers (*i.e.* norcodeine, 20 21 morphine, and hydromorphone) with shared fragment ions on the modified DMS cell with a 10 psi throttle 22 gas.

23 *3.3 Isomeric separation with DMS*

The simultaneous quantification of codeine and hydrocodone from human plasma was chosen as the example assay to demonstrate the potential of the introduced SPME-OPP-DMS-MS platform for the fast analysis of pain management drugs from biological matrix. As shown in Figure 3 and Figure S6, our results met the analytical requirements set by the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA). A limit of quantification (LOQ) of 1 ng mL⁻¹ (Figure S6) and linear dynamic range between 1 and 500 ng mL⁻¹), were demonstrated with good accuracy (85-106%) and linearity ($R^2 > 0.99$) (Table S3). Given that SPME devices can be arrange in a high-throughput assembly (*e.g.* 96 samples at the same time),[45] and there is no need for additional separation step other than that offered by DMS, the analysis can be as fast as 10-15 seconds per sample.

8 4. Conclusions

9 A simple, robust and high-throughput technology based on SPME and DMS-MS was introduced for 10 quantitative analysis of drugs of abuse and pain-management drugs in biofluids. Following extraction, SPME devices with isolated and enriched target analytes were directly coupled to the MS system via an 11 12 OPP sampling interface, without further requirement of injection valves or high pressure parts. A modified DMS cell, with significantly improved resolving power, successfully distinguished isomeric species with 13 shared fragment ions such as codeine and hydrocodone, without the assistance of lengthy LC gradients. 14 15 The results presented here demonstrate the great potential of coupling SPME to DMS-MS in targeted analysis where regular SPME-MS, without the assistance of chromatographic separation, might fail to 16 provide a true picture of the sample scrutinized. Similarly, this platform could be used for the application 17 of SPME-OPP-DMS-MS towards lipids and metabolites profiling in the future.[46, 47] 18

19 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Michael Jarvis (SCIEX) for helpful discussions. The authors thank SCIEX and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada for financial support through an Industrial Research Chair program, and Millipore-Sigma for kindly providing the Bio-SPME fibres used in this study.

1 References

- 2 [1] R.L. Fitzgerald, T.L. Griffin, Y.-M. Yun, R.A. Godfrey, R. West, A.J. Pesce, D.A. Herold, Dilute and
- 3 shoot: analysis of drugs of abuse using selected reaction monitoring for quantification and full scan product
- 4 ion spectra for identification, J. Anal. Toxicol., 36 (2012) 106-111.
- 5 [2] R. Verplaetse, J. Henion, Quantitative determination of opioids in whole blood using fully automated
- 6 dried blood spot desorption coupled to on-line SPE-LC-MS/MS, Drug Test Anal., 8 (2016) 30-38.
- [3] A. Valen, Å.M. Leere Øiestad, D.H. Strand, R. Skari, T. Berg, Determination of 21 drugs in oral fluid
 using fully automated supported liquid extraction and UHPLC-MS/MS, Drug Test Anal., (2016).
- 9 [4] Y. Alnouti, K. Srinivasan, D. Waddell, H. Bi, O. Kavetskaia, A.I. Gusev, Development and application
- 10 of a new on-line SPE system combined with LC–MS/MS detection for high throughput direct analysis of
- 11 pharmaceutical compounds in plasma, J. Chromatogr. A, 1080 (2005) 99-106.
- [5] N. Reyes-Garcés, B. Bojko, J. Pawliszyn, High throughput quantification of prohibited substances in
 plasma using thin film solid phase microextraction, J. Chromatogr. A, 1374 (2014) 40-49.
- fasina using unit finit solid phase increase action, J. Chromatogr. A, 1374 (2014) 40-49.
 [6] É.A. Souza-Silva, N. Reyes-Garcés, G.A. Gómez-Ríos, E. Boyacı, B. Bojko, J. Pawliszyn, A critical
- review of the state of the art of solid-phase microextraction of complex matrices III. Bioanalytical and
- 16 clinical applications, TrAC-Trend. Anal. Chem., 71 (2015) 249-264.
- 17 [7] É.A. Souza-Silva, R. Jiang, A. Rodríguez-Lafuente, E. Gionfriddo, J. Pawliszyn, A critical review of
- the state of the art of solid-phase microextraction of complex matrices I. Environmental analysis, TrAC-Trend Anal Chem. 71 (2015) 224 235
- 19 Trend. Anal. Chem., 71 (2015) 224-235.
- [8] É.A. Souza-Silva, E. Gionfriddo, J. Pawliszyn, A critical review of the state of the art of solid-phase
 microextraction of complex matrices II. Food analysis, TrAC-Trend. Anal. Chem., 71 (2015) 236-248.
- [9] T. Kumazawa, X.-P. Lee, K. Sato, O. Suzuki, Solid-phase microextraction and liquid
 chromatography/mass spectrometry in drug analysis, Analytica Chim. Acta, 492 (2003) 49-67.
- [10] A.A. Shvartsburg, Differential Ion Mobility Spectrometry: Nonlinear Ion Transport and Fundamentals
 of FAIMS, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida2009.
- [11] B.B. Schneider, E.G. Nazarov, F. Londry, P. Vouros, T.R. Covey, Differential mobility
 spectrometry/mass spectrometry: History, theory, design optimization, simulations, and applications.
 Mass. Spectrom. Rev., 35 (2016) 687-737.
- 29 [12] B.B. Schneider, T.R. Covey, S.L. Coy, E.V. Krylov, E.G. Nazarov, Planar differential mobility
- spectrometer as a pre-filter for atmospheric pressure ionization mass spectrometry, Int. J. Mass Spectrom.,
 298 (2010) 45-54.
- [13] R.W. Purves, R. Guevremont, Electrospray ionization high-field asymmetric waveform ion mobility
 spectrometry-mass spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 71 (1999) 2346-2357.
- [14] E.V. Krylov, E.G. Nazarov, R.A. Miller, Differential mobility spectrometer: Model of operation, Int.
 J. Mass Spectrom., 266 (2007) 76-85.
- 36 [15] G. Eiceman, Z. Karpas, Ion Mobility Spectrometry, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida2005.
- 37 [16] J. Jasak, J.C. Le Blanc, K. Speer, P. Billian, R.M. Schoening, Analysis of triazole-based metabolites
- in plant materials using differential mobility spectrometry to improve LC/MS/MS selectivity, J. AOAC Int.,
 95 (2012) 1768-1776.
- 40 [17] W. Jin, M. Jarvis, M. Star-Weinstock, M. Altemus, A sensitive and selective LC-differential mobility-
- 41 mass spectrometric analysis of allopregnanolone and pregnanolone in human plasma, Anal. Bioanal.
 42 Chem., 405 (2013) 9497-9508.
- 43 [18] J.A. Ray, M.M. Kushnir, R.A. Yost, A.L. Rockwood, A.W. Meikle, Performance enhancement in the
- 44 measurement of 5 endogenous steroids by LC–MS/MS combined with differential ion mobility 45 spectrometry, Clin. Chim. Acta, 438 (2015) 330-336.
- 46 [19] G.J. Van Berkel, V. Kertesz, An open port sampling interface for liquid introduction atmospheric
- 47 pressure ionization mass spectrometry, Rapid Commum. Mass Spectrom., 29 (2015) 1749-1756.

- 1 [20] G.J. Van Berkel, V. Kertesz, Rapid sample classification using an open port sampling interface coupled
- with liquid introduction atmospheric pressure ionization mass spectrometry, Rapid Commum. Mass
 Spectrom., 31 (2017) 281-291.
- 4 [21] G.A. Gómez-Ríos, C. Liu, M. Tascon, N. Reyes-Garcés, D.W. Arnold, T.R. Covey, J. Pawliszyn, Open
- 5 Port Probe Sampling Interface for the Direct Coupling of Biocompatible Solid-Phase Microextraction to
- 6 Atmospheric Pressure Ionization Mass Spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 89 (2017) 3805-3809.
- 7 [22] P. D'agostino, J. Hancock, C. Chenier, C.J. Lepage, Liquid chromatography electrospray tandem mass
- 8 spectrometric and desorption electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometric analysis of chemical
- 9 warfare agents in office media typically collected during a forensic investigation, J. Chromatogr. A, 1110
- 10 (2006) 86-94.
- [23] G.A. Gómez-Ríos, J. Pawliszyn, Solid phase microextraction (SPME)-transmission mode (TM) pushes
 down detection limits in direct analysis in real time (DART), Chem. Commun., 50 (2014) 12937-12940.
- 13 [24] M.F. Mirabelli, J.-C. Wolf, R. Zenobi, Direct coupling of solid-phase microextraction with mass
- spectrometry: sub-pg/g sensitivity achieved using a dielectric barrier discharge ionization source, Anal.
- 15 Chem., 88 (2016) 7252-7258.
- 16 [25] G.A. Gómez-Ríos, N. Reyes-Garcés, B. Bojko, J. Pawliszyn, Biocompatible solid-phase
- microextraction nanoelectrospray ionization: an unexploited tool in bioanalysis, Anal. Chem., 88 (2015)
 1259-1265.
- 19 [26] H. Piri-Moghadam, S. Lendor, J. Pawliszyn, Development of a Biocompatible In-tube Solid Phase
- 20 Microextraction Device: A Rapid and Sensitive Approach for Direct Analysis of Single Drops of Complex
- 21 Matrices, Anal. Chem., 88 (2016) 12188-12195.
- 22 [27] G.A. Gómez-Ríos, J. Pawliszyn, Development of coated blade spray ionization mass spectrometry for
- the quantitation of target analytes present in complex matrices, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 53 (2014) 1450314507.
- [28] H. Piri-Moghadam, F. Ahmadi, G.A. Gómez-Ríos, E. Boyacı, N. Reyes-Garcés, A. Aghakhani, B.
- Bojko, J. Pawliszyn, Fast Quantitation of Target Analytes in Small Volumes of Complex Samples by
 Matrix-Compatible Solid-Phase Microextraction Devices, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., (2016).
- 27 Matrix-Compatible Solid-Phase Microextraction Devices, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., (2016).
- [29] L. Fang, J. Deng, Y. Yang, X. Wang, B. Chen, H. Liu, H. Zhou, G. Ouyang, T. Luan, Coupling solidphase microextraction with ambient mass spectrometry: Strategies and applications, TrAC-Trend. Anal.
 Chem., 85 (2016) 61-72.
- 31 [30] J.F. Cahill, V. Kertesz, T.M. Weiskittel, M. Vavrek, C. Freddo, G.J. Van Berkel, Online, Absolute
- 32 Quantitation of Propranolol from Spatially Distinct 20-and 40-µm Dissections of Brain, Liver, and Kidney
- 33 Thin Tissue Sections by Laser Microdissection–Liquid Vortex Capture–Mass Spectrometry, Anal. Chem.,
- **34** 88 (2016) 6026-6034.
- 35 [31] Z. Chen, S.L. Coy, E.L. Pannkuk, E.C. Laiakis, A.B. Hall, A.J. Fornace, P. Vouros, Rapid and High-
- 36 Throughput Detection and Quantitation of Radiation Biomarkers in Human and Nonhuman Primates by
- 37 Differential Mobility Spectrometry-Mass Spectrometry, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 27 (2016) 1626-1636.
- 38 [32] E.W. Robinson, E.R. Williams, Multidimensional separations of ubiquitin conformers in the gas phase:
- relating ion cross sections to H/D exchange measurements, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 16 (2005) 14271437.
- 41 [33] R.W. Purves, D.A. Barnett, R. Guevremont, Separation of protein conformers using electrospray-high
- 42 field asymmetric waveform ion mobility spectrometry-mass spectrometry, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 197
- 43 (2000) 163-177.
- 44 [34] W.B. Parson, B.B. Schneider, V. Kertesz, J.J. Corr, T.R. Covey, G.J. Van Berkel, Rapid analysis of
- 45 isomeric exogenous metabolites by differential mobility spectrometry-mass spectrometry, Rapid
- 46 Commum. Mass Spectrom., 25 (2011) 3382-3386.
- 47 [35] M.R. Noestheden, J.V. Headley, K.M. Peru, M.P. Barrow, L.L. Burton, T. Sakuma, P. Winkler, J.L.
- 48 Campbell, Rapid characterization of naphthenic acids using differential mobility spectrometry and mass
- 49 spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48 (2014) 10264-10272.

- 1 [36] A.T. Maccarone, J. Duldig, T.W. Mitchell, S.J. Blanksby, E. Duchoslav, J.L. Campbell, 2 Characterization of acyl chain position in unsaturated phosphatidylcholines using differential mobility-
- 3 mass spectrometry, J. Lipid Res., 55 (2014) 1668-1677.
- 4 [37] C. Liu, J.Y. Le Blanc, J. Shields, J.S. Janiszewski, C. Ieritano, F.Y. Gene, G.F. Hawes, W.S. Hopkins,
- 5 J.L. Campbell, Using differential mobility spectrometry to measure ion solvation: an examination of the
- 6 roles of solvents and ionic structures in separating quinoline-based drugs, Analyst, 140 (2015) 6897-6903.
- [38] D.A. Barnett, B. Ells, R. Guevremont, R.W. Purves, Separation of leucine and isoleucine by
 electrospray ionization-high field asymmetric waveform ion mobility spectrometry-mass spectrometry, J.
- 9 Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 10 (1999) 1279-1284.
- 10 [39] C. Liu, J.C.Y. Le Blanc, B.B. Schneider, J. Shields, J.J. Federico, H. Zhang, J.G. Stroh, G.W.
- 11 Kauffman, D.W. Kung, C. Ieritano, E. Shepherdson, M. Verbuyst, L. Melo, M. Hasan, D. Naser, J.S.
- 12 Janiszewski, W.S. Hopkins, J.L. Campbell, Assessing Physicochemical Properties of Drug Molecules via
- 13 Microsolvation Measurements with Differential Mobility Spectrometry, ACS Cent. Sci., 3 (2017) 101-109.
- [40] J.L. Campbell, J.C. Le Blanc, B.B. Schneider, Probing electrospray ionization dynamics using
 differential mobility spectrometry: the curious case of 4-aminobenzoic acid, Anal. Chem., 84 (2012) 7857-
- 16 7864.
- [41] B.B. Schneider, T.R. Covey, S.L. Coy, E.V. Krylov, E.G. Nazarov, Chemical effects in the separation
 process of a differential mobility/mass spectrometer system, Anal. Chem., 82 (2010) 1867-1880.
- [42] D. Auerbach, J. Aspenleiter, D.A. Volmer, Description of gas-phase ion/neutral interactions in
 differential ion mobility spectrometry: CV prediction using calibration runs, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.,
 25 (2014) 1610-1621.
- [43] B.B. Schneider, E.G. Nazarov, T.R. Covey, Peak capacity in differential mobility spectrometry: effects
 of transport gas and gas modifiers, Int. J. Ion Mobil. Spectrom., 15 (2012) 141-150.
- 24 [44] T.P. Lintonen, P.R. Baker, M. Suoniemi, B.K. Ubhi, K.M. Koistinen, E. Duchoslav, J.L. Campbell, K.
- Ekroos, Differential mobility spectrometry-driven shotgun lipidomics, Anal. Chem., 86 (2014) 9662-9669.
- 26 [45] N. Reyes-Garcés, B. Bojko, D. Hein, J. Pawliszyn, Solid phase microextraction devices prepared on
- plastic support as potential single-use samplers for bioanalytical applications, Anal. Chem., 87 (2015) 97229730.
- [46] E.R. St John, M. Rossi, P. Pruski, A. Darzi, Z. Takats, Intraoperative tissue identification by mass
 spectrometric technologies, TrAC-Trend. Anal. Chem., 85 (2016) 2-9.
- 31 [47] A.K. Jarmusch, V. Pirro, Z. Baird, E.M. Hattab, A.A. Cohen-Gadol, R.G. Cooks, Lipid and metabolite
- 32 profiles of human brain tumors by desorption electrospray ionization-MS, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.,
- 33 113 (2016) 1486-1491.

34

1 Figure Captions

Figure 1. Workflow for Bio-SPME-OPP-DMS-MS/MS analysis of controlled substances from complex
 matrices

Figure 2 Ionogram generated from infusion of a methanolic solution containing a mix of codeine (a) and
 hydrocodone (b). The SV was set as 6300 V.

Figure 3. A. Quantitative analysis of plasma spiked with codeine $(1 \text{ ng ml}^{-1} \text{ to } 500 \text{ ng mL}^{-1})$ and its isotopologue d3-codeine (50 ng mL⁻¹). B. Quantitative analysis of plasma spiked with hydrocodone (1

ng ml⁻¹ to 500 ng mL⁻¹) and its isotopologue d3-hydrocodone (50 ng mL⁻¹). Red triangles represent the

9 accuracy QC levels (30, 200, and 450 ng mL⁻¹, respectively).

Figure 1







1 Graphical Abstract

