

CSL *COORDINATED SCIENCE LABORATORY*

ON DECODING EUCLIDEAN GEOMETRY CODES

C. L. CHEN

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS - URBANA, ILLINOIS

ON DECODING EUCLIDEAN GEOMETRY CODES

by

C. L. Chen

Coordinated Science Laboratory
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois

This work was supported in part by the Joint Services Electronics Program (U.S. Army, U.S. Navy, and U.S. Air Force) under Contract DAAB 07-67-C-0199; and in part by the Rome Air Development Center under contract No. F30602-70-C-0014 (EMKC).

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

ON DECODING EUCLIDEAN GEOMETRY CODES*

by

C. L. Chen

Coordinated Science Laboratory
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois

*This work was supported by the Rome Air Development Center under contract No. F30602-70-C-0014 (EMKC) and by the Joint Services Electronics Program (U.S. Army, U.S. Navy, and U.S. Air Force) under Contract DAAB-07-67-C-0199.

Abstract

In this report, an improved decoding algorithm for Euclidean Geometry codes is presented. It will be shown that this class of codes can be orthogonalized in less than or equal to 3 steps. That is, it requires no more than 3 steps of majority logic in decoding these codes. This result greatly reduces the decoding complexity without reducing the error-correcting capabilities of the codes.

The proposed decoding algorithm is a general one. In fact, it is applicable for all codes that are constructed from finite geometries. The application to Projective Geometry codes will be presented in a separate report.

1. Introduction

Majority-logic decoding^[5] has been of interest to coding theorists and engineers for two important reasons. First, majority-logic decoding can be very simply implemented. That is, it is attractive from a practical point of view. Next, majority-logic decoding inherently does not perform bounded-distance decoding. Thus it can automatically correct more error patterns than those guaranteed by the decoding algorithm itself without additional cost.

The finite geometry codes, namely, the Euclidean Geometry^[10] and Projective Geometry^[3,8,9] codes, form an important subclass of the cyclic codes that are majority-logic decodable. Although these codes seem to be somewhat less powerful than the well-known BCH codes,^[1,4] these two types of codes are competitive in many situations. The reason for this is that the finite geometry codes can be simply implemented.

The decoding complexity of the finite geometry codes grows exponentially with L ,^[11] the number of levels (or steps) of majority logic required. It is desirable, therefore, to decode these codes in as few steps as possible. Unfortunately, the existing algorithms for this class of codes often require that L be large.

In this report, an improved decoding algorithm for Euclidean Geometry (EG) codes is presented. It will be shown that EG codes can be orthogonalized in no more than 3 steps. That is, EG codes can be majority-logic decoded in less than or equal to 3 steps. The results greatly reduce the decoding complexity of EG codes without reducing the error-correcting capability of the codes. Thus they should make EG codes very attractive for practical use on error-control systems.

The concept behind the improved decoding algorithm for EG codes is applicable for the decoding of Projective Geometry (PG) codes. The results on the decoding of PG codes are presented in a separate report.

In Section 2 of this report, some of the properties of EG codes and the existing decoding algorithms for the codes are briefly reviewed. An improved decoding algorithm is presented in Section 3. Using this improved decoding algorithm, it will be shown in Section 4 that EG codes can be majority-logic decoded in less than or equal to 3 steps. Finally, a conclusion is made in Section 5.

In the following sections the reader will be assumed to be familiar with the concept of orthogonality^[5,6] in majority-logic decoding and the structure of Euclidean geometries.^[2] Where possible the notation and conventions employed in Reference 6 will be used.

2. Euclidean Geometry Codes

In this section, we will first briefly review some of the properties of EG codes. Then we will discuss the Reed decoding algorithm^[7] and the Weldon's modified decoding algorithms^[6,11] for these codes.

For a prime p , an m -dimensional Euclidean geometry over $GF(p^s)$ is denoted by $EG(m, p^s)$. Each of the p^{ms} points of this geometry can be uniquely associated with a field element of the finite field $GF(p^{ms})$. Thus, a point of the geometry can be represented as some power of α , where α is a primitive element of $GF(p^{ms})$. The point at the origin corresponds to the element 0 in $GF(p^{ms})$. A point is also called a 0-flat. A line or 1-flat through the point α^{e_0} consists of the p^s points α^j such that

$$\alpha^j = \alpha^{e_0} + \beta^i \alpha^{e_1}$$

where α^{e_1} is a point different from α^{e_0} , β is a primitive element of $GF(p^S)$, and β^i take on all possible elements of $GF(p^S)$. In general the set of p^{rs} points linearly dependent on $(r+1)$ points not in an $(r-1)$ -flat forms an r -flat.

It is convenient to consider each of the non-zero elements of $GF(p^{ms})$ as a location number of a cyclic code of length $p^{ms}-1$ over $GF(p)$. An r -flat can then be associated with a polynomial that has coefficient 1 in positions corresponding to the p^{rs} points of the flat and zero elsewhere. This polynomial will be represented as a polynomial in the algebra of polynomials modulo $x^{p^{ms}-1}-1$ over $GF(p)$.

Now, an r -th order EG code of length $p^{ms}-1$ with symbols from $GF(p)$ has the property that its null space contains all $(r+1)$ -flats of $EG(m, p^S)$ which do not pass through the origin. [6,11]

From the geometric structure of the EG codes, it has been shown that the Reed algorithm [7] can be used for decoding these codes. [6,10] The central idea is that the (parity) check sums* corresponding to all $(r+1)$ -flats intersecting on a given r -flat are orthogonal on the check sum corresponding to the given r -flat. The number of $(r+1)$ -flats (excluding the one that passes through the origin) that intersect on a given r -flat is equal to [6,11]

$$J = \frac{p^{(m-r)S}-1}{p^S-1} \quad (1)$$

Since the check sums corresponding to all $(r+1)$ -flats are known to the decoder, the check sum corresponding to all r -flats can be correctly determined by a majority voting provided that $\lfloor \frac{J}{2} \rfloor^{**}$ or fewer errors occurred.

* A check sum corresponding to an r -flat is the inner product of the received vector (or noise vector) and the vector corresponding to the r -flat.

** $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is equal to the largest integer less than or equal to x .

Note that the number in Eq.(1) increases as r decreases. It is possible to find at least as many as J check sums corresponding to some r -flats that are orthogonal on the check sum corresponding to a given $(r-1)$ -flat. Thus, the check sums corresponding to all $(r-1)$ -flats can also be correctly determined by majority-logic decision provided that $\lfloor \frac{J}{2} \rfloor$ or fewer errors occurred. This decoding process can be repeated until the error digits corresponding to all 0-flats are determined. It requires $(r+1)$ levels or steps of majority logic to finish the decoding.

The complexity of the decoding of EG codes grows exponentially with the number of decoding steps employed. It is important, therefore, to try to cut down the number of decoding steps. In this regard Weldon^[6,11] has proposed two modified decoding algorithms. Both of the algorithms can correct as many guaranteed errors as the original algorithm.

The first of the Weldon's modified algorithms applies only for the case that m is a composite number. The decoding proceeds in the same way as the original algorithm until the c -flats are determined, where $\text{g.c.d.}(c,m) = f \neq 1$. Then all $(\frac{c}{f} - 1)$ flats of $EG(\frac{m}{f}, p^{sf})$ instead of $(c-1)$ -flats of $EG(m, p^s)$ are determined. This trick may be used again and again to reduce the number of decoding steps. The application of this improved algorithm is rather limited, however, because the codes that can be applied deteriorate rapidly as their length increases.^[6,11] In addition, it still requires a large number of decoding steps if r is large.

The second modified algorithm by Weldon requires only two steps in decoding. In the first step, all r -flats are determined in exactly the same way as the original algorithm. In the second step, the 0-flats are determined from these r -flats using the idea of non-orthogonal check sums due to Rudolph.^[8]

Though this algorithm reduces the number of decoding steps to two, the decoder may not cost less than the decoder using the original algorithm. The reason is that a single majority gate with a very large number of inputs has to be used.

3. An Improved Decoding Algorithm

In this section we propose an improved decoding algorithm which is also a modification of the Reed algorithm. This decoding algorithm can also apply to Projective Geometry codes.

In the first step of the improved decoding algorithm, r -flats are determined from the sets of $(r+1)$ -flats in exactly the same way as in the original Reed algorithm. Let k be the smallest number such that a set of at least J r -flats that are orthogonal on a given k -flat can be constructed. Obviously, k is less than or equal to $(r-1)$. Then, in the second step of decoding, each of the k -flats is determined from the set of r -flats that are orthogonal on the given k -flat. This process can be repeated until all noise digits or 0 -flats are determined.

For an example, consider the $(31,16)$ code over $GF(2)$. This code contains all the 3 -flats of $EG(5,2)$ in its null space. The first of the modified decoding algorithm by Weldon does not apply to this code. The Reed algorithm for this code requires 3 decoding steps. The number J is equal to 6. Using the improved decoding algorithm, it is possible to decode this code in 2 steps. First, 2 -flats are determined from the sets of 3 -flats that are orthogonal on the given 2 -flats. Next, error-digits corresponding to all 0 -flats are determined from the sets of 2 flats that are orthogonal on the given 0 -flats. It can be shown by actual construction that at least 9 2 -flats that are orthogonal on a given 0 -flat can be formed. Thus, the improved algorithm can correct the same number of guaranteed errors in 2 steps.

In general the problem of finding the smallest k such that a set of at least J r -flats orthogonal on a given k -flat can be constructed has not been solved. Thus the number of decoding steps that can be reduced by the improved algorithm can not be expressed explicitly. However, utilizing the concept behind the new algorithm, it can be shown in the next section that EG codes are orthogonalizable in less than or equal to 3 steps.

4. Further Applications and Important Results

The basic idea of the improved decoding algorithm introduced in the last section can be utilized to prove that EG codes can be majority-logic decoded in no more than 3 steps. In order to prove this, we need a series of lemmas.

Lemma 1. In $EG(m, p^s)$, if it is possible to construct I r -flats that are orthogonal on any given k -flat which passes through the origin, then it is possible to construct at least $(I-1)$ r -flats that are orthogonal on a given k -flat.

Proof: A k -flat that passes through a point α^0 consists of the points α^j of the form

$$\alpha^j = \alpha^0 + \beta^1 \alpha^{e_1} + \beta^2 \alpha^{e_2} + \dots + \beta^k \alpha^{e_k}$$

where $\alpha^0, \alpha^{e_1}, \dots, \alpha^{e_k}$ are elements of $GF(p^{ms})$ and are linearly independent, $\beta^1, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^k$ take on all possible combinations of values in $GF(p^s)$. This k -flat can be considered the coset of the k -flat that passes through the origin with $\alpha^{e_1}, \alpha^{e_2}, \dots, \alpha^{e_k}$ as basis elements and with α^0 as the coset leader. Thus, a k -flat that passes through the origin can be transformed into a k -flat that

passes through a given point α^{e_0} . If α^{e_0} is on the original k -flat, then the transformed k -flat is the same as the original k -flat. Therefore, a set of J r -flats that are orthogonal on a given k -flat which passes through the origin can be transformed into a set of $(J-1)$ or J r -flats that are orthogonal on a k -flat which passes through a particular point, depending on whether the particular point is on one of the J original r -flats or not.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 2. It is possible to construct $I = \left(\frac{p^{\ell ms} - 1}{p^{ms} - 1} - 1\right)$ m -flats that are orthogonal on a given point in $EG(\ell m, p^s)$.

Proof: Let α be a primitive element of $GF(p^{\ell ms})$, and

$$\beta = \alpha^{I+1}$$

then $0, 1, \beta, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^{p^{ms}-2}$ are elements of $GF(p^{ms})$. Furthermore, they form an m -flat of $EG(\ell m, p^s)$ which passes through the origin. Now any two of the following $I+1$ m -flats

$$F_i = \{0, \alpha^i, \alpha^i \beta, \dots, \alpha^i \beta^{p^{ms}-2}\} \quad (2)$$

$$i = 0, 1, \dots, I$$

contain no other common element besides the origin. If $\alpha^{i_1} \beta^{j_1} = \alpha^{i_2} \beta^{j_2}$, and $i_1 \geq i_2$, then $\alpha^{i_1 - i_2} = \beta^{j_2 - j_1}$. This is impossible because $0 \leq i_1 - i_2 \leq I$.

Thus the $I+1$ m -flats in Eq.(2) are orthogonal on the point of origin. By Lemma 1, a set of $I = \frac{p^{\ell ms} - 1}{p^{ms} - 1} - 1$ m -flats that are orthogonal on a given point can be constructed from the set of m -flats in Eq.(2).

Q.E.D.

From Lemma 2 it can be shown that an m -th order EG code associated with $EG(\ell m, p^s)$ can be orthogonalized in two steps. At the first step of decoding, m -flats are determined from the sets of J $(m+1)$ -flats that are orthogonal on the given m -flats, where $J = \frac{p^{(\ell-1)ms} - 1}{p^s - 1} - 1$. Since the value of J is not greater than that of I in Lemma 2, at the second step of decoding, all 0-flats can be determined from the sets of at least J m -flats that are orthogonal on the given 0-flats. Thus we have the next lemma.*

Lemma 3 An m -th order EG code associated with $EG(\ell m, p^s)$ is 2-step orthogonalizable.

Lemma 4 If $r > m$, then it is possible to construct $I = \frac{(p^{\ell ms} - 1)}{p^{(r-m)s} (p^{ms} - 1)} - 1$ r -flats that are orthogonal on a given $(r-m)$ -flat in $EG(\ell m, p^s)$.

Proof: Let F be the given $(r-m)$ -flat. Then F consists of $p^{(r-m)s}$ points. If F and an m -flat F_i in Eq.(2) contain no common point besides 0, then F and this particular F_i form an r -flat. If there is another m -flat in Eq.(2) that does not have any point (except the 0 point) in common with the r -flat thus formed, then the second r -flat can be formed from this m -flat and F . Since the m -flats in Eq.(2) are orthogonal on the origin, the two r -flats thus formed are orthogonal on F . This construction process can be repeated to construct more r -flats orthogonal on F . The number of such r -flats that can be formed is lower bounded by I .

Q.E.D.

Now consider an r -th order EG code with the associated geometry $EG(2m, p^s)$, where $r > m$. The number J is equal to

*This result has been obtained by Weldon^[11] from a different approach when he presented his first modified decoding algorithm.

$$J = \frac{p^{(2m-r)s} - 1}{p^s - 1} - 1$$

This code can be orthogonalized in 3 steps. At the first step, r -flats are determined from $(r+1)$ -flats in the conventional way. At the second step, $(r-m)$ -flats are determined from r -flats. From Lemma 4, it is possible to construct $I_1 = \frac{p^{2ms} - 1}{p^{(r-m)s} (p^{ms} - 1)} - 1$ r -flats that are orthogonal on a given $(r-m)$ -flat. Notice that I_1 is not less than J . At the last step, all 0-flats are determined from $(r-m)$ -flats. From Lemma 2, it is possible to construct $I_2 = \frac{p^{2ms} - 1}{p^m - 1} - 1 = p^{ms}$ m -flats that are orthogonal on a given 0-flat. Since $r-m < m$, at least I_2 $(r-m)$ -flats that are orthogonal on a given 0-flat can be constructed. Notice again that I_2 is not less than J . Therefore, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 5 If $r > m$, an r -th order EG code with the associated geometry $EG(2m, p^s)$ can be 3-step orthogonalizable.

Lemma 6 If it is possible to construct I r -flats that are orthogonal on a given k -flat in $EG(m, p^s)$, then it is also possible to construct I $(r+1)$ -flats that are orthogonal on a given $(k+1)$ -flat in $EG(m+1, p^s)$, and vice versa.

Proof: Let it be assumed first that all flats pass through the origin. It is well known that $GF(p^{ms})$ associated with $EG(m, p^s)$ can be considered an m -dimensional vector space over $GF(p^s)$. A k -flat of $EG(m, p^s)$ can be considered a k -dimensional subspace of $GF(p^{ms})$. Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ be the basis of the k -flat, and $A = \{\alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{k+1}', \dots, \alpha_m\}$ be the set of other basis elements of $GF(p^{ms})$. Then, without loss of generality, an r -flat that contains the k -flat can be constructed by taking a linear combination of the k -flat and some other $(r-k)$ basis elements formed from the set A .

On the other hand, let $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{k+1}\}$ be the basis of a $(k+1)$ -flat of $EG(m+1, p^s)$, and $B = \{\eta_{k+2}, \dots, \eta_{m+1}\}$ be the set of other basis elements of $GF(p^{(m+1)s})$ that are not on the $(k+1)$ -flat. Then an $(r+1)$ -flat that contains the $(k+1)$ -flat can be constructed by a linear combination of the $(k+1)$ -flat and some other $(r-k)$ basis elements formed from the set B .

Notice that the number of elements in A is equal to that of elements in B . An element in A can be uniquely associated with an element in B . Thus an r -flat that contains a given k -flat in $EG(m, p^s)$ can be uniquely associated with an $(r+1)$ -flat that contains a given $(k+1)$ -flat in $EG(m+1, p^s)$. Therefore, the lemma is true for all flats that pass through the origin. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 1, the lemma is true in general.

Q.E.D.

A direct consequence of Lemma 6 is Lemma 7.

Lemma 7 If $r > \frac{m}{2}$, then an r -th order EG code associated with $EG(m, p^s)$ can be orthogonalized in 3 steps.

Proof: By Lemma 5, the lemma is true for even value of m . Thus we only have to consider the case that m is odd. Let $m = 2\bar{m} + 1$. Then $\bar{m} < r < 2\bar{m}$. The number J is equal to

$$J = \frac{p^{(2\bar{m}-r+1)s} - 1}{p^s - 1} - 1$$

By Lemma 6 and Lemma 4, it is possible to construct

$$I_1 = \frac{p^{2\bar{m}s} - 1}{p^{(r-\bar{m})s} (p^{\bar{m}s} - 1)}$$

r -flats that are orthogonal on a given $(r-\bar{m})$ -flat in $EG(2\bar{m}+1, p^s)$. Also, by Lemma 6 and Lemma 2, it is possible to construct at least

$$I_2 = \frac{p^{2\bar{m}s} - 1}{p^{\bar{m}s} - 1}$$

$(r-\bar{m})$ -flats that are orthogonal on a given 0-flat in $EG(2\bar{m}+1, p^s)$. Since both I_1 and I_2 are not less than J , the lemma is proved.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 8 If $r \leq \frac{m}{2}$, an r -th order EG code associated with $EG(m, p^s)$ can be orthogonalized in 1 or 2 steps.

Proof: If r is equal to zero, then an r -th order EG code is one step orthogonalizable. If m is divisible by r , the code is orthogonalizable in 2 steps by Lemma 2. If m is not divisible by r , let k be the smallest number such that $m+k$ is divisible by r , that is, $m+k = \ell \cdot r$ for some integer ℓ . By Lemma 4, it is possible to construct $I = \frac{p^{\ell r s} - 1}{p^{k s} (p^{r s} - 1)} - 1$ $(r+k)$ -flats that are orthogonal on a given k -flat in $EG(\ell r, p^s)$. In addition, by Lemma 6, it is possible to construct I r -flats that are orthogonal on a given 0-flat in $EG(m, p^s)$. Since the number I is not less than the number $J = \frac{p^{(m-r)s} - 1}{p^s - 1} - 1 = \frac{p^{(\ell r - k - r)s} - 1}{p^s - 1} - 1$ of the code, the lemma is proved.

Q.E.D.

Combining Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we have our theorem on decoding EG codes.

Theorem An r -th order EG code can be orthogonalized in less than or equal to 3 steps.

In summary, the decoding of an r -th order EG code can be described as follows. At the first step of decoding, r -flats are determined from $(r+1)$ -flats. If $r = 0$, then this is the end of decoding. At the second step of decoding, all 0-flats are determined from the sets of r -flats if $r \leq m/2$, otherwise $(r - \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor)$ -flats are determined from the sets of r -flats. In the case $r \leq m/2$, no more steps have to be taken. If $r > m/2$, all 0-flats are determined from the sets of $(r - \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor)$ -flats in the third or last step of decoding. This decoding procedure is depicted as follows:

- | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------|
| a. $r = 0$ | $1 \longrightarrow 0$ | (1 step) |
| b. $0 < r \leq \frac{m}{2}$ | $(r+1) \longrightarrow r \longrightarrow 0$ | (2 steps) |
| c. $r > \frac{m}{2}$ | $(r+1) \longrightarrow r \longrightarrow r - \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor \longrightarrow 0$ | (3 steps) |

5. Conclusion

In this report we have proposed a general improved majority logic decoding algorithm for codes that are constructed from finite geometries. The application to Euclidean Geometry codes has been further discussed. In particular, we have shown that EG codes can be orthogonalized in less than or equal to 3 steps. That is, these codes can be majority-logic decoded in no more than 3 steps.

The proposed improvement is a real one. The decoding complexity of EG codes can be reduced enormously in most cases by the improved decoding algorithm. This should make EG codes very attractive for practical use on error-control systems.

REFERENCES

1. Bose, R. C. and C. K. Ray-Chaudhuri, "On a Class of Error Correcting Binary Group Codes," Information and Control, Vol. 3, pp. 68-79, March, 1960.
2. CarMichael, R. D., Introduction to the Theory of Group of Finite Order, Dover, New York, 1937.
3. Goethals, J. M. and P. Delsarte, "On a Class of Majority-Logic Decodable Cyclic Codes," IEEE Trans., IT-14, pp. 182-188, March, 1968.
4. Hocquenghem, A., "Codes Correcteurs d'erreurs," Chiffres, Vol. 2, pp. 147-156, 1959.
5. Massey, J. L., Threshold Decoding, MIT Press, Mass., 1963.
6. Peterson, W. W. and E. J. Weldon, Jr., Error-Correcting Codes, Edition II, Wiley, New York, 1970.
7. Reed, I. S., "A Class of Multiple-Error-Correcting Codes and the Decoding Scheme," IRE Trans., IT-4, pp. 38-49, September, 1954.
8. Rudolph, L. D., "A Class of Majority Logic Decodable Codes," IEEE Trans., IT-13, pp. 305-307, April, 1967.
9. Weldon, E. J., Jr., "New Generalizations of the Reed-Muller Codes-- Part II: Nonprimitive Codes," IEEE Trans., IT-14, pp. 199-205, March, 1968.
10. Weldon, E. J., Jr., "Euclidean Geometry Cyclic Codes," Proceedings of Symposium of Combinatorial Mathematics at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, 1967.
11. Weldon, E. J., Jr., "Some Results on Majority-Logic Decoding," pp. 149-162 in H. B. Mann (ed.), Error Correcting Codes, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968.

Distribution List (cont'd.)

Commanding General
U. S. Army Materiel Command
Attn: AMCRD-TP
Washington, D.C. 20315

Director, U. S. Army Materiel
Concepts Agency
Washington, D.C. 20315

Hq USAF (AFRDD)
The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330

Hq USAF (AFRDDG)
The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330

Hq USAF (AFRDS)
The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330

AFSC (SCTSE)
Andrews Air Force Base, Maryland 20331

Dr I. R. Mirman
Hq AFSC (SGGP)
Andrews AFB, Maryland 20331

Naval Ship Systems Command
Ship 031
Washington, D.C. 20360

Naval Ship Systems Command
Ship 035
Washington, D.C. 20360

Commander
U. S. Naval Security Group Command
Attn: G43
3801 Nebraska Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20390

Director
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20390
Attn: Dr A. Brodzinsky, Sup. Elec Div

Director
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20390
Attn: Maury Center Library (Code 8050)

Director
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20390
Attn: Library, Code 2029 (ONRL)
Washington, D.C. 20390

Dr G. M. R. Winkler
Director, Time Service Division
U. S. Naval Observatory
Washington, D.C. 20390

Colonel E. P. Gaines, Jr
ACDA/FO
1901 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20451

Commanding Officer
Harry Diamond Laboratories
Attn: Mr Berthold Altman (AMXDO-TI)
Connecticut Ave & Van Ness St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20438

Central Intelligence Agency
Attn: CRS/ADD Publications
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dr H. Harrison, Code RRE
Chief, Electrophysics Branch
National Aeronautics & Space Admin
Washington, D.C. 20546

The John Hopkins University
Applied Physics Laboratory
Attn: Document Librarian
8621 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Technical Director
U. S. Army Limited War Laboratory
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

Commanding Officer (AMCRD-BAT)
US Army Ballistics Research Laboratory
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

Electromagnetic Compatibility
Analysis Center (ECAC)
Attn: ACOAT
North Severn
Annapolis, Maryland 21402

Commanding Officer
U. S. Army Engineer Topographic Laboratories
Attn: STEINFLO Center
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

U. S. Army Mobility Equipment Research
and Development Center, Bldg 315
Attn: Technical Document Center
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

Director (NV-D)
Night Vision Laboratory, USAECOM
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

Dr Alvin D. Schnitzler
Institute for Defense Analyses
Science and Technology Division
400 Army-Navy Drive
Arlington, Virginia 22202

Director
Physical & Engineering Sciences Division
3045 Columbia Pike
Arlington, Va 22204

Commanding General
U. S. Army Security Agency
Attn: TARD-T
Arlington Hall Station
Arlington, Virginia 22212

Commanding General
USACDC Institute of Land Combat
Attn: Technical Library, Rm 636
2461 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

VELA Seismological Center
300 North Washington St
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory
Dahlgren, Virginia 22448

Research Laboratories for the Eng
Sciences, School of Engineering &
Applied Science
University of Virginia
Charlottesville, Va 22903

Dr Herman Robl
Deputy Chief Scientist
U. S. Army Research Office (Durham)
Box CM, Duke Station
Durham, North Carolina 27706

Rochard O. Ulah (CRDARD-IP)
U. S. Army Research Office (Durham)
Box CM, Duke Station
Durham, North Carolina 27706

Richard O. Ulah (CRDARD-IP)
U. S. Army Research Office (Durham)
Box CM, Duke Station
Durham, North Carolina 27706

ADTC (ADBPS-12)
Eglin AFB, Florida 32542

Commanding Officer
Naval Training Device Center
Orlando, Florida 32813

Technical Library, AFETR
(ETV, MU-135)
Patrick AFB, Florida 32925

Commanding General
U. S. Army Missile Command
Attn: AMSMI-RR
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

Redstone Scientific Information Center
Attn: Chief, Document Section
U. S. Army Missile Command
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

AUL3T-9663
Maxwell AFB, Alabama 36112

Hq AEDC (AETS)
Attn: Library/Documents
Arnold AFS, Tennessee 37389

Case Institute of Technology
Engineering Division
University Circle
Cleveland, Ohio 44106

NASA Lewis Research Center
Attn: Library
21000 Brookpark Road
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Director
Air Force Avionics Laboratory
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

AFAL (AVIA) R. D. Larson
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

AFAL (AVI) Dr H. V. Noble, Chief
Electronics Technology Division
Air Force Avionics Laboratory
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

Dr Robert E. Fontana
Head, Dept of Elec Engineering
Air Force Institute of Technology
Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

Dept of Electrical Engineering
Clippinger Laboratory
Ohio University
Athens, Ohio 45701

Commanding Officer
Naval Avionics Facility
Indianapolis, Indiana 46241

Dr John D. Hancock, Head
School of Electrical Engineering
Purdue University
Lafayette, Ind 47907

Professor Joseph E. Rowe
Chairman,
Dept of Elec Engineering
The University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

Dr G. J. Murphy
The Technological Institute
Northwestern University
Evanston, Ill 60201

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
Branch Office
219 South Dearborn St
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Illinois Institute of Technology
Dept of Electrical Engineering
Chicago, Illinois 60616

Deputy for Res. and Eng (AMSE-DRE)
U. S. Army Weapons Command
Rock Island Arsenal
Rock Island, Illinois 61201

Commandant
U. S. Army Command & General
Staff College
Attn: Acquisitions, Library Division
Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66027

Dept of Electrical Engineering
Rice University
Houston, Texas 77001

HQ AMD (AMR)
Brooks AFB, Texas 78235

USAFSAM (SMKOR)
Brooks AFB, Texas 78235

Mr B. R. Locke
Technical Adviser, Requirements
USAF Security Service
Kelly Air Force Base, Texas 78241

Director
Electronics Research Center
The University of Texas as Austin
Eng-Science Bldg 110
Austin, Texas 78712

Department of Elec Engineering
Texas Technological University
Lubbock, Texas 79409

Commandant
U. S. Army Air Defense School
Attn: Missile Sciences Div., C&S Dept
P.O. Box 9390
Fort Bliss, Texas 79916

Director
Aerospace Mechanics Sciences
Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory (OAR)
USAF Academy
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80840

Director of Faculty Research
Department of the Air Force
U. S. Air Force Academy
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80840

Major Richard J. Gowen
Tenure Associate Professor
Dept of Electrical Engineering
U. S. Air Force Academy
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80840

Academy Library (DFSLB)
U. S. Air Force Academy
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80840

M. A. Rothenberg (STEPD-SC(S))
Scientific Director
Desert Test Center
Bldg 100, Soldiers' Circle
Fort Douglas, Utah 84113

Utah State University
Dept of Electrical Engineering
Logan, Utah 84321

School of Engineering Sciences
Arizona State University
Tempe, Ariz 85281

Distribution List (cont'd.)

Commanding General
U.S. Army Strategic Communications
Command
Attn: SCC-CG-SAE
Fort Huachuca, Arizona 85613

The University of Arizona
Dept of Electrical Engineering
Tucson, Arizona 85721

Capt C.E. Baum
AFWL (MLRE)
Kirkland AFB, New Mexico 87117

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
Attn: Report Library
P.O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, N.M. 87544

Commanding Officer
(AMSEL-BL-WS-R)
Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory
White Sands Missile Range
New Mexico 88002

Commanding Officer
Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory
White Sands Missile Range
New Mexico 88002

Chief, Missile Electronic Warfare
Technical Area, (AMSEL-WL-M)
U.S. Army Electronics Command
White Sands Missile Range
New Mexico 88002

Director
Electronic Sciences Lab
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, Calif 90007

Eng & Math Sciences Library
University of California at Los Angeles
405 Hilgred Avenue
Los Angeles, Calif 90024

Aerospace Corporation
P.O. Box 95085
Los Angeles, California 90045
Attn: Library Acquisitions Group

Hq SAMSO (SMTTS/Lt Belate)
AF Unit Post Office
Los Angeles, Calif 90045

Dr Sheldon J. Wells
Electronic Properties Information Center
Mail Station E-175
Hughes Aircraft Company
Culver City, California 90230

Director, USAF PROJECT RAND
Via: Aif Force Liaison Office
The RAND Corporation
Attn: Library D
1700 Main Street
Santa Monica, California 90406

Deputy Director & Chief Scientist
Office of Naval Research Branch Office
1030 East Green Street
Pasadena, California 91101

Aeronautics Library
Graduate Aeronautical Laboratories
California Institute of Technology
1201 E. California Blvd
Pasadena, California 91109

Professor Nicholas George
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California 91109

Commanding Officer
Naval Weapons Center
Corona Laboratories
Attn: Library
Corona, California 91720

Dr F. R. Charvat
Union Carbide Corporation
Materials Systems Division
Crystal Products Dept
8888 Balboa Avenue
P.O. Box 23017
San Diego, California 92123

Hollander Associates
P.O. Box 2276
Fullerton, California 92633

Commander, U.S. Naval Missile Center (56322)
Point Mugu, California 93041

W.A. Eberspacher, Associate Head
Systems Integration Division
Code 5340A, Box 15
U.S. Naval Missile Center
Point Mugu, California 93041

Sciences-Engineering Library
University of California
Santa Barbara, California 93106

Commander (Code 753)
Naval Weapons Center
Attn: Technical Library
China Lake, California 93555

Library (Code 2124)
Technical Report Section
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940

Glen A. Myers (Code 52Mv)
Assoc Professor of Elec Eng
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940

Dr Leo Young
Stanford Research Institute
Menlo Park, California 94025

Lenkurt Electric Co., Inc.
1105 County Road
San Carlos, California 94070
Attn: Mr E.K. Peterson

Director
Microwave Laboratory
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

Director
Stanford Electronics Laboratories
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

Director
Electronics Research Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

ADDENDUM

Dr Joel Trimble, Code 437
Information Systems Branch
Office of Naval Research
Department of the Army
Washington, D.C. 20360

U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office
Attn: M. Rogofsky, Librarian (CODE 640)
Washington, D.C. 20390

CORRECTION

Director, Electronic Programs
Attn: CODE 427
Office of Naval Research
Department of the Navy
Washington, D.C. 20360

DELETE

Technical Director
U.S. Army Limited War Laboratory
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

REPLACE WITH

Technical Director
U.S. Army Land Warfare Laboratory
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

DELETE

USAF European Office of Aerospace Research
APO New York 09667

REPLACE WITH

European Office of Aerospace Research
Technical Information Office
Box 14, FPO New York 09510

DELETE

Dr John R. Raggazzini, Dean
School of Engineering and Science
New York University
University Heights
Bronx, New York 10453

REPLACE WITH

New York University
Engineering Library
Bronx, New York 10453

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
University of Illinois Coordinated Science Laboratory Urbana, Illinois 61801			
3. REPORT TITLE		2b. GROUP	
ON DECODING EUCLIDEAN GEOMETRY CODES			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)			
CHEN, C.L.			
6. REPORT DATE	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS	
July 1970	13	11	
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
DAAB 07-67-C-0199; also in part Rome Air b. PROJECT NO. Development Center contract No. F30602- 70-C-0014 (EMKC).	R-479		
c.	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)		
d.	UILU-ENG 70-224		
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY	
		Joint Services Electronics Program thru U.S. Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703	
13. ABSTRACT			
<p>In this report, an improved decoding algorithm for Euclidean Geometry codes is presented. It will be shown that this class of codes can be orthogonalized in less than or equal to 3 steps. That is, it requires no more than 3 steps of majority logic in decoding these codes. This results greatly reduces the decoding complexity without reducing the error-correcting capabilities of the codes.</p> <p>The proposed decoding algorithm is a general one. In fact, it is applicable for all codes that are constructed from finite geometries. The application to Projective Geometry codes will be presented in a separate report.</p>			

14.

KEY WORDS

LINK A

LINK B

LINK C

ROLE

WT

ROLE

WT

ROLE

WT

Euclidean Geometry
 Majority-logic Decoding
 Euclidean Geometry Codes