

Coordinated Science Laboratory



I

1

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS - URBANA, ILLINOIS

SET OF CUT SETS AND OPTIMUM FLOW

W. Mayeda & M. E. Van Valkenburg

y

T

REPORT R-270 NOVEMBER 1965

This work was supported in part by the Joint Services Electronics Programs (U.S. Army, U.S. Navy, and U.S. Air Force) under contract no. DA 28 043 AMC 00073(E).

1

1

1

1

Portions of this work were also supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Air Force Grant AFOSR 931.65.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

DDC Availability Notice: Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC. This report may be released to OTS.

SET OF CUT SETS AND OPTIMUM FLOW

by

W. Mayeda and M. E. Van Valkenburg

Abstract

An important unsolved problem in the theory of communication nets is the enumeration of the properties of a set of edge flows necessary to give a required terminal flow from one vertex to another. For example, there is no simple method for obtaining a set of edge flows to give maximum terminal flow. The relationship of these flows and the conditions necessary to obtain maximum flow are important practical problems in systems in which edge flow is limited; in the telephone system for example. Clearly an improvement would result if it were possible to reduce some edge flows and still maintain the same terminal flow.

The method to be presented stems from the work of Ford and Fulkerson which relates maximum terminal flow to the cut set separating the terminals. A new set of cut sets called a "set of M-cut sets" is introduced from which it is possible to improve edge flows while maintaining maximum terminal flow. We consider flow from vertex i to vertex j in a lossless non-oriented communication net G, and let $\psi_{ij}(e)$ be the edge flow in e such that $\psi_{ij}(e) \leq C(e)$ where C(e) is the edge capacity of e. Then one of the interesting results is that for a given set $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$ of edge flows which gives a maximum flow from i to j in G, there exists another set $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$ of edge flows which also gives a maximum flow from i to j in G such that

 $\psi'_{ij}(e) \leq \psi_{ij}(e)$

for every edge in G with at least one of $\psi'_{ij}(e)$ is strictly less than the corresponding edge flow $\psi'_{ij}(e)$ if and only if $\{\psi'_{ij}(e)\}$ can not be obtained by using a set of M cut sets with respect to vertices i and j.

Another interesting result is that for any set $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$ of edge flows, there exists a set $\{\psi'_{ij}(e)\}$ of edge flows which gives the same terminal flow from i to j as $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$ such that (1) $\psi'_{ij}(e) \leq \psi_{ij}(e)$ for all edge flows and (2) every path from i to j to which a nonzero path flow is assigned, the order to have $\{\psi'_{ij}(e)\}$, intersect every cut set in a set of M cut sets with respect to vertices i and j.

SET OF CUT SETS AND OPTIMUM FLOW

Introduction

An important unsolved problem in the theory of communication nets is the enumeration of the properties of a set of edge flows necessary to give a required terminal flow from one vertex to another. The relationship of these flows and the conditions necessary to obtain maximum flow are important practical problems in systems in which edge flow is limited; in the telephone system for example. Clearly an improvement would result if it were possible to reduce some edge flows and still maintain the same terminal flow.

The method to be presented stems from the work of Ford and Fulkerson [1] which relates maximum terminal flow to the cut set separating the terminals. A new set of cut sets is introduced from which it is possible to improve edge flows while maintaining maximum terminal flow. Further, the best assignment for edge flows may be determined in one step.

For the time being, we will consider only lossless non-oriented communication nets [2]. Let G be such a net containing edge e. We consider flow from vertex i to vertex j in G, and let $\psi_{ij}(e)$ be the edge flow in e such that $\psi_{ij}(e) \leq C(e)$ where C(e) is the edge capacity of e. Let $P_{ij} =$ $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ be a path from i to j in G. Then $\psi(P_{ij})$ is called a path flow which satisfies

$$\Psi(\mathbf{e}_r) \leq C(\mathbf{e}_r) - \Psi_o(\mathbf{e}_r) \tag{1}$$

for r = 1, 2, ..., k, where $\psi_0(e_r)$ is the edge flow of e_r which has been assigned to e_r initially.

A flow from i to j in G for G intact is called a terminal flow and is symbolized by $\psi_{ij}(G)$ which is determined for a particular assignment of edge

flows $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$. The symbol $\{\psi(P_{ij}^r)\}$ is used to represent a set of all path flows to obtain a terminal flow from i to j. Observe that $\{\psi(P_{ij}^r)\}$ gives a set $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$ of edge flows where

$$\Psi_{ij}(e) = \sum_{r} \Psi_{ij}^{r}(e); e \in P_{ij}^{r} .$$
(2)

To illustrate these notation conventions, consider the communication net of Figure 1. There we have paths $P_{ij}^1 = \{abc\}, P_{ij}^2 = \{de\}, P_{ij}^3 = \{afe\}, and$ $P_{ij}^4 = \{bcdf\}$. Suppose that $\psi(P_{ij}^1) = 1, \psi(P_{ij}^2) = 2, \psi(P_{ij}^3) = 3, and \psi(P_{ij}^4) = 4$. Then $\psi(a) = 4, \psi(b) = \psi(c) = 5, \psi(d) = 6, \psi(e) = 5, and \psi(f) = 7$. The set of edge flows $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$ consists of $\psi(a), \psi(b), \psi(c), \psi(d), \psi(e), and \psi(f)$. Then in order that these path flows form a set of path flows, the edge capacities in G must be $C(a) \ge 4$, $C(b) \ge 5$, $C(c) \ge 5$, $C(d) \ge 6$, $C(e) \ge 5$, and $C(f) \ge 7$. From the example, it is seen that we can obtain all possible sets of path flows from a given set of edge flows. There may be many sets of edge flows which have the same terminal flow, $\psi_{ij}(G)$, of course.

We next define the saturated state for edges and cut sets. If $\psi_{ij}(e) = C(e)$ in a given set of edge flows, $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$, then e is said to be a <u>saturated edge</u>. An edge is said to be a <u>basic saturated edge</u> if it is saturated and the flows through the edge which constitute $\psi_{ij}(e)$ are all in one direction. When a cut set S_{ij} consists of saturated edges only, then S_{ij} is said to be a <u>saturated cut set</u>. Similarly, when S_{ij} consists of basic saturated edges only, then S_{ij} is a <u>basic saturated cut set</u> [3].

To illustrate these saturated quantities, consider the communication net of Figure 1 with C(a) = 4, C(d) = 6, C(f) = 7, and C(e) = 5, and edge flows



Ĵ

Î

Î

Fig. 1. A communication net and path flows.

are as given. Then cut set $S_{ij} = \{afe\}$ is a saturated cut set, but not a basic saturated cut set. However, cut set $S'_{ij} = \{ad\}$ is a basic saturated cut set.

The Set of W Cut Sets

The two notions upon which we obtain a new method for forming sets of edge flows to match a prescribed terminal flow are the following: (1) Let $\{\psi_{ij}^{*}(e)\}$ and $\{\psi_{ij}^{"}(e)\}$ be two sets of edge flows which can be assigned to net G to give the same terminal flow $\psi_{ij}^{*}(G)$. Then

$$\{\psi_{ij}^{""}(e) = \alpha \psi_{ij}^{"}(e) + (1 - \alpha) \psi_{ij}^{"}(e)\}$$
(3)

is a set of edge flows which also gives the same terminal flow $\psi_{ij}(G)$ for $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. (2) If the edge capacity C(e) of every edge in a net G is changed to C'(e) = α C(e), $0 \le \alpha < \infty$, then the set of edge flows can be $\{\alpha \psi_{ij}(e)\}$.

Consider a non-separable [4] communication net G consisting of v vertices (terminals). Let S_{ij}^1 , S_{ij}^2 ,..., and S_{ij}^{v-1} be linearly independent cut sets which separate i and j. Suppose that a terminal flow from i to j, $\psi_{ij}(G)$, causes S_{ij}^p , p = 1, 2, ..., v-1, to be basic saturated cut sets. Then it is clear that there exists a set $\{\psi(P_{ij}^r)\}$ of path flows which gives $\psi_{ij}(G)$ and every path P_{ij}^r whose path flow $\psi(P_{ij}^r)$ is nonzero passes through each cut set exactly once. Similarly, by assigning proper flows to only those paths which pass through every cut set exactly once, we can obtain a set of path flows $\{\psi(P_{ij}^r)\}$ which will give the maximum terminal flow from i to j. In general, there will always be a set of linearly independent cut sets in a net such that by assigning nonzero flows only to those paths which pass through every cut set exactly once we can obtain a maximum terminal flow. The existence of such a cut set will be

considered once we have defined a special set of W cut sets and given a method for its determination. A set of W cut sets is obtained as follows: The set of cut sets s_{ij}^{11} , s_{ij}^{12} ,..., $s_{ij}^{1k_1}$ are those whose values, $\sum_{e\in S} C(e)$, are the $e\in S$ smallest among those of all cut sets which separate i and j. Suppose cut $\lim_{i=1}^{1m_1} s_{ij}^{12}, \ldots, \sup_{ij} (m_1 \leq k_1)$ are linearly independent. Hence cut $\lim_{i=1}^{1m_1+1} sets s_{ij}^{1k_1}$ can be obtained by a linear combination of these m_1 cut sets. It is clear that when a maximum terminal flow from i to j is given to G, the k_1 cut sets become all basic saturated cut sets.

We modify net G by multiplying all edge capacities by α^1 if the edge is in any of the cut sets being considered. Let the resultant net be $G(\alpha^1)$. Next choose the smallest α^1 which satisfies $1 \leq \alpha^1 < \infty$ such that we obtain a new cut set, S_{ij}^{21} to S_{ij}^{2k} whose values are minimum of all of those which separate i and j in $G(\alpha^1)$. Let that value of α^1 and net with $\alpha^1 = \alpha^1_0$ be $G(\alpha^1_0)$. Notice that values of cut sets $S_{ij}^{11}, \ldots,$ and $S_{ij}^{1k_1}$ are also minimum in $G(\alpha^1_0)$. Let $S_{ij}^{21}, \ldots, S_{ij}^{2m_2}$ (m₂ $\leq k_2$) and the cut sets S_{ij}^{11}, \ldots , and $S_{ij}^{1m_1}$ be linearly independent among $S_{ij}^{21}, \ldots, S_{ij}^{2k_2}$, S_{ij}^{11}, \ldots , and $S_{ij}^{1k_1}$.

This procedure is repeated by multiplying edge capacities by α^2 , to all edges in the cut sets being considered, and then selecting the smallest value α_o^2 which produces new cut sets whose values are the smallest among all those which separate i and j in the resulting net $G(\alpha_o^1 \alpha_o^2)$.

The general pattern is now apparent. Let $S_{ij}^{p1}, \ldots, \text{ and } S_{ij}^{pkp}$ in $G(\alpha_0^1 \alpha_0^2 - \cdots \alpha_0^{p-1})$ be the cut sets whose values are the smallest among all cut sets which separate i and j in the net when $\alpha^{p-1} = \alpha_0^{p-1}$ and none of these except

 $s_{ij}^{11}, \dots, s_{ij}^{1m_1}, s_{ij}^{21}, \dots, s_{ij}^{2m_2}, \dots, s_{ij}^{p-1}, \dots, \text{ and } s_{ij}^{p-1}$ (4)

are the smallest when $\alpha^{p-1} < \alpha_o^{p-1}$. Let $S_{ij}^{p1}, \ldots, S_{ij}^{pm}$ and these in (4) are linearly independent among all cut sets which separate i and j in $G(\alpha_o^1 \alpha_o^2 \ldots \alpha_o^{p-1})$. Then we included S_{ij}^{p1}, \ldots , and S_{ij}^{pmp} in the set of cut sets in (4).

We continue the process just described until one of the following two cases occurs:

<u>Case 1</u>: There is no cut set in $G(\alpha_0^1, \dots \alpha_0^{p-1})$ which separates i and j and cannot be obtained by a linear combination of the cut sets in (4). Then a set of W cut sets with respect to i and j consists of the linear independent cut sets in (4).

<u>Case 2</u>: There exists at least one cut set in $G(\alpha_0^1, \dots \alpha_0^{p-1})$ which separates i and j but which cannot be obtained by a linear combination of the cut sets of (4). In order to be Case 2, we require that there is no α^{p-1} , $1 < \alpha^{p-1} < \infty$, which will produce at least one new independent cut set $S_{ij}^{p r}$ with respect to (4) in $G(\alpha_0^1 \alpha_0^2 \dots \alpha_0^{p-1})$ whose value is the smallest of those of cut sets which separate i and j.

Suppose the values of cut sets S_{ij}^{p1} , S_{ij}^{p2} , ..., and S_{ij}^{pkp} in $G(\alpha_o^1 \alpha_o^2 \dots \alpha_o^{p-1})$ can not be minimum for any value of α^{p-1} $(1 \le \alpha^{p-1} < \infty$. Also suppose that

$$s_{ij}^{p1}, \dots, s_{ij}^{pmp}$$
 (5)

and cut sets in (4) are linearly independent among $S_{ij}^{11}, \ldots, S_{ij}^{1k_1}, \ldots, S_{ij}^{p-11}$, $\ldots, S_{ij}^{p-1k_p-1}$, S_{ij}^{p1}, \ldots , and $S_{ij}^{pk_p}$, then <u>a set of W cut sets</u> with respect to vertices i and j consists of the independent cut sets which are in the cut sets of (4) and (5).

To illustrate the determination of the set of W cut sets, consider the nets of Figure 2, where the value inside the parenthesis is the capacity of



the edge. From (a) of the figure, $S_{ij}^{11} = \{ab\}$ is the only cut set whose value is minimum among all those of cut sets which separates i and j in the net. By multiplying edges and and b by $\alpha_p^1 = 2$, we produce a new cut set $S_{ij}^{21} = \{cd\}$ whose value is minimum in G with $\alpha_o^1 = 2$ as shown in (b) in the figure. Multiplying all edges in S_{ij}^{11} and S_{ij}^{21} by α^2 and setting $\alpha_o^2 = 3$, we have $G(\alpha_o^1 = 2, \alpha_o^2 = 3)$ as shown in (c) of the figure. Now there exists a new cut set $S_{ij}^3 = \{aed\}$ whose value is now the minimum of all applicable cut sets. Thus a set of W cut sets with respect to i and j consists of $\{ab\}$, $\{aed\}$, and $\{cd\}$.

W Cut Sets and Terminal Flow

The set of W cut sets and the terminal flow have a clear relationship which is given by the following theorem:

<u>Theorem 1</u>: For a given communication net G, there exists a set $\{S_{ij}\}$ of linearly independent cut sets which separate i and j and a set of $\{P_{ij}\}$ of paths between i and j of which every path intersects each cut set in $\{S_{ij}\}$ once, such that by assigning nonzero path flows to paths only in $\{P_{ij}\}$ gives any permissible terminal flow $\psi_{ij}(G)$ from i to j not exceeding the terminal capacity.

<u>Proof</u>: We consider the set of W cut sets given by (4) added to (5), and the modified net $G(\alpha_0^1 \alpha_0^2 \ldots, \alpha_0^{p-1})$ derived from the given net G. Consider two possible cases.

<u>Case 1</u>: For this case, the terms of (5) are absent in the set of W cut sets. In $G(\alpha_0^1 \ldots, \alpha_0^{p-1})$, all S_{ij}^{rt} in (4) are basic saturated cut sets when a maximum terminal flow exists from i to j and so there exists a set of path flows { $\psi'(P_{ij}^r)$ } which produce maximum terminal flow in such a way that

each path with nonzero flow intersects once. Let $\{\psi'_{ij}(e)\}$ be the set of edge flows corresponding to $\{\psi'(P^r_{ij})\}$. By dividing each of these path flows by

$$A = \alpha_0^1 \alpha_0^2 \alpha_0^3 \dots \alpha_0^{p-1}$$
(6)

is equivalent to dividing every edge flow by A. Thus edge flow in e becomes $\psi'_{ij}(e)/A$. On the other hand, the edge capacity of any edge in G is not smaller than 1/A times the edge capacity of the edge in the modified G(A). Thus the set of path flows $\{\psi^{v}(P_{ij}^{r})/A\}$ can be assigned to G. This clearly gives a maximum terminal flow from i to j in G. Any other terminal flow can be obtained by assigning K times every path flow where $0 \le K \le 1$, and so the theorem is true for this case.

<u>Case 2</u>: When terms corresponding to (5) are in the set of W cut sets, there exists at least one edge in G(A) whose edge capacity is the same as that in the original net G. Let these edges be e_1 , e_2 ,..., and e_q . Also let G⁻(A) be the net obtained by removing these edges from G(A). Then in G⁻(A) the cut sets corresponding to (5) become basic saturated cut sets under the same conditions that S_{ij}^{p1} ,..., and S_{ij}^{pmp} do because every edge in S_{ij}^{p+1} ¹,..., and S_{ij}^{p+1} ^mp+1 which is not multiplied by α_0^{p-1} are removed to obtain G'(A) from G. Thus as far as G'(A) is concerned, Case 1 will apply. Since a set of path flows of G' can be assigned to G, the theorem is true for this case. Q.E.D.

The Set of M Cut Sets

We next distinguish a set of M cut sets from the set of W cut sets previously considered.

<u>Definition 2</u>: A set of M cut sets with respect to i and j is a set of linearly independent cut sets $\{S_{ij}\}$ which separate i and j in such a way that there exists a set of paths from i to j, $\{P_{ij}^r\}$ which intersect each cut set in $\{S_{ij}\}$ only once, and a maximum terminal flow from i to j can be obtained by assigning nonzero path flows to only these paths in $\{P_{ij}^r\}$.

<u>Theorem 2</u>: For any set of edge flows $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$ giving maximum terminal flow from i to j, there exists a set of M cut sets and a corresponding set of path flows such that the set of edge flows $\{\psi'_{ij}(e)\}$ has the property that for every edge in G

$$\psi'_{ij}(e) \leq \psi_{ij}(e) \tag{7}$$

<u>Proof</u>: Consider a net G' obtained from a given net G by leeting every edge capacity equal the edge flow given by $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$. We can obtain a set of W cut sets with respect to i and j of G' and by that we can obtain a set of edge flows $\{\psi'_{ij}(e)\}$ which gives the maximum terminal flow from i to j in G' which must be equal to the maximum terminal flow from i to j in G. Because every edge capacity in G' is equal to the edge flow in $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$, Eq. (7) is true for all edges. Furthermore the set of W cut sets of G' is clearly a set of M cut sets of G with respect to i and j. Thus the theorem is true. Q.E.D.

The following example will illustrate the above argument: Consider nets given in Figure 3. From net G in (a) of the figure, we can see that the set of edge flows $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$ is

$$\{\psi_{ij}(e)\} = \{\psi_{ij}(a) = 1, \psi_{ij}(b) = 2, \psi_{ij}(e) = 2, \psi_{ij}(d) = 3, \\ \psi_{ij}(e) = 4, \psi_{ij}(f) = 2, \psi_{ij}(g) = 1, \psi_{ij}(h) = 2\}$$

and terminal flow $\psi_{ij}(G)$ is 3.



11

(c) Path flows obtained from a set of W cut sets of G.

Fig. 3. Nets G, G' and Path Flows

1

Net G' given in (b) of the figure is obtained from G by setting every edge capacity equal to the edge flow in $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$. The set of W cut sets with respect to i and j in G' consists of

$$s_{ij}^{11} = \{ab\}, s_{ij}^{12} = \{gh\}, s_{ij}^{21} = \{de\}, s_{ij}^{31} = \{ace\} \text{ and } s_{ij}^{32} = \{gfe\}.$$

The resultant net $G(\alpha_0^1 = \frac{7}{3}, \alpha_0^2 = 3)$ produced by the process of obtaining these W cut sets gives nonzero path flows and by dividing them by $\alpha_0^1 \alpha_0^2 = 7$, we have the nonzero path flows shown in (c) of the figure from which we can obtain the set of edge flows { $\psi_{ii}^*(e)$ }.

In the above example, we can see that the set of edge flows is obtained uniquely from a given set of M cut sets. For convenience, we say that such a set is <u>the set of edge flows corresponding to a given set of M cut sets</u>.

The following theorem shows why a set of M cut sets is important for maximum terminal flows.

<u>Theorem 3</u>: For a given set of edge flows $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$ which gives a maximum terminal flow from i to j in a net G, there exists another set of edge flows $\{\psi_{ij}'(e)\}$ which also gives a maximum terminal flow from i to j such that

$$\psi_{ij}(e) \ge \psi_{ij}'(e) \tag{8}$$

for every edge in G and there exists at least one edge in G such that inequality in Eq. (8) holds for the edge, if and only if $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$ is not the set of edge flows corresponding to a set of M cut sets with respect to i and j in G.

<u>Proof</u>: The half of the proof is directly from Theorem 2. Thus we only need to prove that if $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$ is the set of edge flows corresponding to a set of M cut sets, there is no edge in G such that Eq. (8) holds with inequality. Consider a net G' obtained by letting the edge capacity of every edge e in G equal to the edge flow $\psi_{ij}(e)$ in $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$. Then the set of M cut sets becomes the set of W cut sets with respect to i and j in G'. Since each path flow intersects every cut set in a set of W cut sets exactly once, the value of every cut set in the set is equal to the maximum terminal flow from i to j in net G'. Thus there exist no other set of edge flows $\{\psi'_{ij}(e)\}$ which gives the maximum terminal flow from i to j which satisfies Eq. (8) with the existence of at least one edge e' in G' such that $\psi'_{ij}(e') < \psi_{ij}(e')$. Q.E.D.

By Theorem 3, it is clear that edge flow $\{\psi_{ij}^{*}(e)\}$ corresponding to a set of M cut sets gives an optimum flow from i to j under the condition that we can not increase edge flow of any edge more than that in $\{\psi_{ij}^{*}(e)\}$. Thus for a given set of edge flow $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\)$ in net G, we can obtain such an optimum flow by one step. That is, by obtaining a set of W cut sets in G' which is the modified net from G by setting every edge capacity equal to the edge flow given by $\{\psi_{ij}(e)\}$, we can obtain a set of edge flows $\{\psi_{ij}^{*}(e)\}$ which satisfies such an optimum flow.

In conclusion, we show the importance of sets of W and M cut sets w.r.t. i-j for terminal flows in communication nets. Also we give a process of obtaining such sets. However the process given in this paper is not a simple way. In order to use the properties about terminal flows and set of W and M cut sets to obtain an economical flow, we would like to have a simple process to obtain a set of W and M cut sets. To find such a process will be an interesting and important future problem.

References

- L. R. Ford and D. R. Fulkerson, "Maximum Flow Through a Network," <u>Can. J. Math.</u>, Vol. 8, pp. 399-404, 1956.
- W. Mayeda, "Terminal and Branch Capacity Matrices of a Communication Net," <u>IRE Trans. on Circuit Theory</u>, Vol. CT-7, pp. 261-269, September, 1960.
- W. Mayeda and M. E. Van Valkenburg, "Properties of Lossy Communication Nets," <u>IEEE Trans. on Circuit Theory</u>, Vol. CT-12, pp. 334-338, September, 1965.
- 4. S. Seshu and M. Reed, <u>Linear Graphs and Electrical Networks</u>, Addison Wesley, 1961.

Distribution list as of March 1, 1965

| 1 | Dr. Chalmers Sherwin Deputy Director (Research & Technology) DD&RE Rm 3E1060 The Pentagon | 1 | Commanding Officer U. S. Army Security Agency Arlington Hall Arlington, Virginia 22212 |
|----|--|----|---|
| 1 | Dr. Edward M. Reilley | 1 | Commanding Officer U. S. Army Limited War Laboratory Abarden Proving Ground |
| | Ofc. of Defense Res & Eng | | Aberdeen, Maryland 21005 |
| | Washington, D. C. 20301 | | Attn: Technical Director |
| 1 | Dr. James A. Ward Office of Deputy Director (Research and Information Rm 3D1037) | 1 | Commanding Officer Human Engineering Laboratories Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005 |
| | Department of Defense The Pentagon Washington, D. C. 20301 | 1 | Director U. S. Army EngineerGeodesy. Intelligence and Mapping, Research & Dèvel. Agency |
| 1 | Director | | Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060 |
| | Advanced Research Projects Agency Department of Defense | 1. | Commandant U. S. Army Command and General |
| | Washington, D. C. 20301 | | Staff College Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66207 |
| 1 | Mr. Charles Yost, Director for Materials Sciences | | Attn: Secretary |
| | Advanced Research Projects Agency Department of Defense | 1 | Dr. H. Robl, Deputy Director U. S. Army Research Office (Durham) |
| | Washington, D. C. 20301 | | Box CM, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina 27706 |
| 20 | Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station, Bldg. 5 | 1 | Commanding Officer |
| | Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Attn: TISIA | | U. S. Army Research Office (Durham) P. O. Box CM, Duke Station |
| 1 | Director | | Durham, North Carolina 27706 Attn: CRD-AA-IP (Richard O. Ulsh) |
| | National Security Agency | | Commanding Canaral |
| 1 | Attn: Librarian C-332 | | U. S. Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 |
| | Headquarters, Department of the Army | 1 | Director |
| | Attn: Physical Sciences Division P & E | | U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories |
| 1 | Chief of Research and Development | | Attn: Dr. S. Benedict Levin, Director |
| | Washington, D. C. 20310 | | Institute for Exploratory Research |
| | Actin. Mr. D. R. Gerger, Mill 54442 | 1 | U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories |
| 1 | U. S. Army Research Office | | Attn: Mr. Robert O. Parker, Executive |
| | 3045 Columbia Pike Arlington, Virginia 22204 | | Secretary, JSTAC (AMSEL-RD-X) |
| 1 | Commanding General | 1 | Superintendent U. S. Military Academy |
| | U. S. Army Materiel Command Attn: AMCRD-RS-PE-E | | West Point, New York 10996 |
| 1 | Washington, D. C. 20315 Commanding General | 1 | The Walter Reed Institute of Research Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, D. C. 20012 |
| | Command | 1 | Director |
| 1 | Commanding Officer | | Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RD-DR |
| | Watertown Arsenal Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 | 1 | Director U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories |
| 1 | Commanding Officer | | Attn: AMSEL-RD-X Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 |
| | U. S. Army Ballistics Research Lab. Aberdeen Proving Ground | 1 | Director |
| | Aberdeen, Maryland 21005 Attn: V. W. Richards | | U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 |
| 1 | Commanding Officer | | Attn: AMSEL-RD-XE |
| | U. S. Army Ballistics Research Lab. | 1 | Director |
| | Aberdeen, Maryland 21005 | | Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 |
| , | Commanding Officer | 1 | Director |
| | U. S. Army Ballistics Research Lab. | | U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories |
| | Aberdeen, Maryland 21005 | | Attn: AMSEL-RD-XS |
| | Attn: George C. Francis, Computing Lab. | 1 | Director |
| 1 | U. S. Army Air Defense School | | U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 |
| | Fort Bliss, Texas 79916 | | Attn: AMSEL-RD-NR |
| | Attn: Missile Sciences Div., Cas Dept. | 1 | Director U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories |
| 1 | U. S. Army Missile Command | | Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RD-NE |
| | Attn: Technical Library | 1 | Director |
| 1 | Commanding General | | U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 |
| | Frankford Arsenal Philadelphia, Pa. 19137 | | Attn: AMSEL-RD-NO |
| | Attn: SMUFA-1310 (Dr. Sidney Ross) | 1 | Director U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories |
| 1 | Commanding General Frankford Arsenal | | Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RD-NP |
| | Philadelphia, Pa. 19137 Attn: SMUFA-1300 | 1 | Director |
| 1 | U. S. Army Munitions Command | - | U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 |
| | Picatinney Arsenal Dover, New Jersey 07801 | | Attn: AMSEL-RD-SA |
| | Attn: Technical Information Branch | 1 | Director U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories |
| 1 | Commanding Officer Harry Diamond Laboratories | | Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RD-SE |
| | Connecticut Ave. & Van Ness St., N.W. Washington, D. C. 20438 | 1 | Director |
| | Attn: Mr. Berthold Altman | | U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 |
| 1 | Commanding Officer Harry Diamond Laboratories | | Attn: AMSEL-RD-SR |
| | Attn: Library Connecticut Ave. & Van Ness St., N.W. | 1 | Director U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories |
| | Washington, D. C. 20438 | | Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RD-SS |
| | | | |

Director U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories 1 Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RD-PE irginia 22212 Director U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories fficer imited War Laboratory 1 ving Ground ryland 21005 ical Director Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RD-PF Director U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RD-PR 1 ering Laboratories wing Ground, Maryland 21005 1 Director U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories ngineerGeodesy. Intelligence Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RL-GF g, Research & Dèvel. Agency , Virginia 22060

Director U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RD-ADT 1 mmand and General lege worth, Kansas 66207 1

1

1

1

Director U. S. Army Electronics Laboratories Fort Mommouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RD-FU01 1

Commanding Officer U. S. Army Electronics R&D Activity Fort Huachuca, Arizona 85163

Commanding Officer U. S. Army Engineers R&D Laboratory Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060 Attn: STINFO Branch

Commanding Officer U. S. Army Electronics TextD Activity White Sands Missile Range New Mexico 88002

Director Human Resources Research Office The George Washington University 300 N. Washington Street Alexandria, Virginia

Commanding Officer U. S. Army Personnel Research Office Washington 25, D. C.

Commanding Officer U. S. Army Medical Research Laboratory Fort Knox, Kentucky

Commanding General U. S. Army Signal Center and School Attn: Chief, Office of Academic Operations Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 1

Dr. Richard H. Wilcox, Code 437 Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360 2

Chief, Bureau of Weapons Attn: Technical Library, DL1-3 Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360 1 Chief, Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360 Attn: Code 680 1

Commander U. S. Naval Air Development Center Johnsville, Pennsylvania Attn: NADC Library

Commanding Officer Naval Electronics Laboratory San Diego, California 92052 Attn: Code 2222(Library)

Commanding Officer Naval Electronics Laboratory San Diego, California 92052 Attn: Code 2800, C. S. Manning

Commanding Officer and Director (Code 142 Library) David W. Taylor Model Basin Washington, D. C. 20007

Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D. C. 20390 Attn: Technical Information Office (Code 2000)

Chief of Naval Operations Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20350 Attn: OP-07T

Chief of Naval Operations Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20350 Attn: OP-03EG

- Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1000 Geary Street San Francisco, California 94109 1
 - Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory Asst. Director for Computation Dahlgren, Virginia 22448 Attn: G. H. Gleissner (Code K-4)

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Inspector of Naval Material Bureau of Ships Technical Representative 1902 West Minnehaha Avenue St. Paul 4, Minnesota

Lt. Col. E. T. Gaines, SREE Chief, Electronics Division Directorate of Angineering Sciences Air Force Office of Scientific Research Washington, D. C. 20333 5

Director of Science & Technology Deputy Chief of Staff (R & D) USAF Washington, D. C. Attn: AFRST-EL/GU

Director of Science & Technology Deputy Chief of Staff (R & D) USAF

Washington, D. C. Attn: AFRST-SC

Karl M. Fuechsel Electronics Division Director of Engineering Sciences Air Force Office of Scientific Research Washington, D. C. 20333 1

Lt. Col. Edwin M. Myers Headquarters, USAF (AFRDR) Washington 25, D. C.

Director, Air University Library Maxwell Air Force Base Alabama 36112 Attn: CR-4803a 1

> Commander Research & Technology Division AFSC (Mr. Robert L. Feik) Office of the Scientific Director Bolling AFB 25, D. C.

Commander Research & Technology Division Office of the Scientific Director Bolling AFB 25, D. C. Attn: RTHR

Commander Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Attn: Research Library CHMGL-R L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731

Dr. Lloyd Hollingsworth AFCRL L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731

1 Commander Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Attn: Data Sciences Lab (Lt. S. J. Kahne, CRB) L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731

Commander Commander Air Force Systems Command Office of the Chief Scientist (Mr. A. G. Wimer) Andrews AFB, Maryland 20331

Commander Air Force Missile Development Center Attn: MDSGO/Major Harold Wheeler, Jr. Holloman Air Force Base, New Mexico

Commander Research & Technology Division Attn: MAYT (Mr. Evans) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Ohio 45433

Directorate of Systems Dynamics Analysis Aeronautical Systems Division Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

Hqs. Aeronautical Systems Division AF Systems Command Attn: Navigation & Guidance Laboratory Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

Commande Commander Rome Air Development Center Attn: Documents Library, RAALD Griffiss Air Force Base Rome, New York 13442

Commander Rome Air Development Center Attn: RAWI-Major W. Hulharris Griffiss Air Force Base Rome, New York 13442

Lincoln Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology P. O. Box 73 Lexington 73, Massachusetts Attn: Library A-082

Continued next page

Chief, Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360 Attn: Code 732 1 1 1

1

Director

Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 219 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois' 60604

1

1

6

Distribution list as of March 1, 1965 (Cont'd.)

1

1

1

2

3

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

- l Lincoln Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology P. O. Box 73 Lexington 73, Massachusetts Attn: Dr. Robert Kingston
- l APGC (PGAPI) Eglin Air Force Base Florida
- Mr. Alan Barnum Rome Air Development Center Griffiss Air Force Base Rome, New York 13442
- Director Research Laboratory of Electronics Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
- Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn 55 Johnson Street Brooklyn, New York 11201 Attn: Mr. Jerome Fox Research Coordinator
- 1 Director Columbia Radiation Laboratory Columbia University 538 West 120th Street New York, New York 10027
- Director Coordinated Science Laboratory University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois 61803
- 1 Director Stanford Electronics Laboratories Stanford University Stanford, California
- Director Electronics Research Laboratory University of California Berkeley 4, California
- Professor A. A. Dougal, Director Laboratories for Electronics and Related Science Research University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712
- Professor J. K. Aggarwal Department of Electrical Engineering University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712
- 1 Director of Engineering & Applied Physics 210 Pierce Hall Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138
- 1 Capt. Paul Johnson (USN Ret.) National Aeronautics & Space Agency 1520 H. Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C.
- l NASA Headquarters Office of Applications 400 Maryland Avenue, S.Q. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code FC Mr. A. M. Greg Andrus
- National Bureau of Standards Research Information Center and Advisory Serv. on Info. Processing Data Processing Systems Division Washington 25, D. C.
- Dr. Wallace Sinaiko Institute for Defense Analyses Research & Eng. Support Div. 1666 Connecticut Avenue, N. W. Washington 9, D. C.
- Data Processing Systems Division National Bureau of Standards Conn. at Van Ness Room 239, Bidg. 10 Weshington 25, D. C. Attn: A. K. Smilow
- 1 Exchange and Gift Division The Library of Congress Washington 25, D. C.
- Dr. Alan T. Waterman, Director National Science Foundation Washington 25, D. C.
- H. E. Cochran Oak Ridge National Laboratory P. O. Box X Oak Ridge, Tennessee
- U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Office of Technical Information Extension P. O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tennessee
- Mr. G. D. Watson Defense Research Member Canadian Joint Staff 2450 Massachusetts Avenue, N. W. Washington 8, D. C.
- Martin Company P. O. Box 5837 Orlando, Florida Attn: Engineering Library MP-30
- 1 Laboratories for Applied Sciences University of Chicago 6220 South Drexel Chicago, Illinois 60637

- Librarian School of Electrical Engineering Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana
- Donald L. Epley Dept, of Electrical Engineering State University of Iowa Iowa City, Iowa
- Instrumentation Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology 68 Albany Street Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Attn: Library WI-109
 - Sylvania Electric Products, Inc. Electronics System Waltham Labs. Library 100 First Avenue Waltham 54, Massachusetts
 - Hughes Aircraft Company Centinela and Teale Streets Culver City, California Attn: K. C. Rosenberg, Supervisor Company Technical Document Center
 - Autonetics 9150 East Imperial Highway Downey, California Attn: Tech. Library, 3041-11
 - Dr. Arnold T. Nordsieck General Motors Corporation Defense Research Laboratories 6767 Hollister Avenue Goleta, California
- University of California Lawrence Radiation Laboratory P. 0. Box 808 Livermore, California
 - Mr. Thomas L. Hartwick Aerospace Corporation P. O. Box 95085 Los Angeles 45, California
 - Lt. Col. Willard Levin Aerospace Corporation P. O. Box 95085 Los Angeles 45, California
 - Sylvania Electronic Systems-West Electronic Defense Laboratories P. O. Box 205 Mountain View, California Attn: Documents Center
 - Varian Associates 611 Hansen Way Palo Alto, California 94303 Attn: Tech. Library
 - Huston Denslow Library Supervisor Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California
 - Professor Nicholas George California Institute of Technology Electrical Engineering Department Pasadena, California
 - Space Technology Labs., Inc. One Space Park Redondo Beach, California Attn: Acquisitions Group STL Technical Library
 - The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California Attn: Library
 - Miss F. Cloak Radio Corp. of America RCA Laboratories David Sarnoff Research Center Princeton, New Jersey
 - Mr. A. A. Lundstrom Bell Telephone Laboratories Room 2E-127 Whippany Road Whippany, New Jersey
- Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc. 4455 Genesee Street Buffalo 21, New York Attn: J. P. Desmond, Librarian
 - Sperry Gyroscope Company Marine Division Library 155 Glenn Cove Road Carle Place, L. T., New York Attn: Miss Barbara Judd
 - Library Light Military Electronics Dept. General Electric Company Armament & Control Products Section Johnson City, New York
 - Dr. E. Howard Holt Director Flasma Research Laboratory Rennselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York
- Battele-DEFENDER Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue Columbus 1, Ohio

- Laboratory for Electroscience Research New York University University Heights Bronx 53, New York
- National Physical Laboratory Teddington, Middlesex England Attn: Dr. A. M. Uttley, Superintendent, Autonomics Division
 - Dr. Lee Huff Behavioral Sciences Advanced Research Projects Agency The Pentegon (Room 3E175) Washington, D. C. 20301

- Dr. Glenn L. Bryan Head, Personnel and Training Branch Office of Naval Research Navy Department Washington, D. C. 20360
 Instituto de Fisica Aplicado
 - Instituto de Física Aplicado "L. Torres Quevedo" High Vacuum Laborstory Madrid, Spain Attn: Jose L. de Segovia
- Stanford Research Institute Attn: G-037 External Reports (for J. Goldberg) Menlo Park, California 94025

| Security Classification | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| DOCUMENT C | ONTROL DATA | R&D | or modered a life of the | | | | | | |
| (Security classification of title, body of abstract and index) 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) | ing annotation must be enter | SECURITY CLASSIFICATION | | | | | | | |
| University of Illinois | | Unclassified | | | | | | | |
| Coordinated Science Laboratory | | 2b. GROUP | | | | | | | |
| Urbana, Illinois 61803 | | | antennico | | | | | | |
| | | | well isniared | | | | | | |
| SET OF CUT SETS AND OPTIMUM FLOW | | | -8388 01/0 | | | | | | |
| 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) |) | | WIDDLI NAR'S | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | n (1 PM (borno) | | | | | | |
| 5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) | | | | | | | | | |
| Mayeda, Wataru and Van Valkenbur | g, Mac E. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. REPORT DATE | 7a TOTAL NO. OF PAGES | | 7b. NO. OF REFS. | | | | | | |
| Ba. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. | 14 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBE | | 4 ₹(s) | | | | | | |
| DA 28 043 AMC 00073(E) | | | | | | | | | |
| b. PROJECT NO. 20014501B31F | R-270 | | | | | | | | |
| And Air Force Office of Scientific | 9b OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be excised the | | | | | | | | |
| Research under Grant AFOSR 931.65 | | | | | | | | | |
| | A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER | and and and a second | | | | | | | |
| Qualified requesters may obtain | copies of thi | e ropor | t from DDC | | | | | | |
| This report may be released to C | TS. | s repor | L IIOM DDG. | | | | | | |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | 12. SPONSORING MI | ITARY ACT | IVITY | | | | | | |
| | U. S. | U. S. Army Electronics Command | | | | | | | |
| | Fort | Monmout | h, New Jersey 07703 | | | | | | |
| 13. ABSTRACT An important unsolved problem in | the theory o | f commun | nication nets is the | | | | | | |
| enumeration of the properties of a | set of edge f | lows nee | cessarv to give a re- | | | | | | |
| quired terminal flow from one verte | x to another. | For e | xample, there is no | | | | | | |
| simple method for obtaining a set o | f edge flows | to give | maximum terminal | | | | | | |
| flow. The relationship of these fl | ows and the c | ondition | ns necessary to ob- | | | | | | |
| tain maximum flow are important pra | ctical problem | ns in s | ystems in which edge | | | | | | |
| flow is limited; in the telephone s | ystem for example | mple. | Clearly an improve- | | | | | | |
| ment would result if it were possib | le to reduce | some edg | ge flows and still | | | | | | |
| maintain the same terminal flow. | c | | | | | | | | |
| The method to be presented stems | The method to be presented stems from the work of Ford and Fulkerson | | | | | | | | |
| which relates maximum terminal flow to the cut set separating the terminals. | | | | | | | | | |
| it is possible to improve edge flows while maintaining maximum terminal | | | | | | | | | |
| flow. We consider flow from vertex i to vertex i in a lossless non-oriente | | | | | | | | | |
| communication net G, and let $\Psi_{ii}(e)$ | be the edge | flow in | e such that | | | | | | |
| $\Psi_{ii}(e) \leq C(e)$ where $C(e)$ is the edg | e capacity of | e. The | en one of the inter- | | | | | | |
| esting results is that for a given | set $\{\Psi_{i,i}(e)\}$ | of edge | flows which gives a | | | | | | |
| maximum flow from i to j in G, ther | e exists anot | her set | {\\ ! (e)} of edge | | | | | | |
| flows which also gives a maximum fl | ow from i to | j in G s | such that | | | | | | |
| | and the second second | Sur Julet-1 | | | | | | | |

DD FORM 1473

I

-

1

l

Security Classification

| KEY WORDS | LI | NKA | LINK B | | LINK | | | |
|--|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|----------|--|--|
| NET WORDS | ROLE | WТ | ROLE | WT | ROLE | | | |
| communication nets terminal flows cut sets graph theory telephone applications commodity flow | | | | | | | | |
| INSTRUCT 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report. | TIONS 10. AVAILA3ILIT on further diss. imposed by secu ments such as: | Y/LIMITATI(emination of rity class: | ON NOTICES: of the repo fication, | Enter an ort, other using sta | y limitatic than those undard state | ons e | | |
| 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall secu- rity classification of the report. Indicate whether "Re- stricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations. | (1) "Qualif report(2) "Foreig report | ied request from DDC." n announcer by DDC is n | ters may ol ment and di not authori | otain copi Isseminati Ized." | es of this | | | |
| ALTACTO, Subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense stivity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report. A. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Reprinted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance the appropriate security regulations. O. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the rooup number. Also, when applicable, show that optional rikings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorsed. A. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all spital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. Fa meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthetes is immediately following the title. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. two the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is overed. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. finilitary, show rank and branch of service. The name of the report. Enter the date of the report as day, month, seri or month, year. If more than one date appears on the apport, use date of publication. AUTHOR OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report. AUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report. AUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the apport was written. Sc, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the propriate military paratument identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc. AURINATION'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report more by which the document | report by DC is not authorized." (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through (6) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through (7) "If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known. 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes. 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the de partmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address. 13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified forts be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security class isflication of the information in the paragraph, represente as (5), (5), (C), or (U). There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words. 14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms short phrases that characterize a report and may be used a index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, m | | | | | | | |

ABSTRACT (continued)

$$\Psi_{ij}^{t}(e) \leq \Psi_{ij}(e)$$

for every edge in G with at least one of $\Psi_{ij}^{t}(e)$ is strictly less than the corresponding edge flow $\Psi_{ij}(e)$ if and only if $\{\Psi_{ij}(e)\}$ cannot be obtained by using a set of M cut sets with respect to vertices i and j.

Another interesting result is that for any set $\{\Psi_{ij}(e)\}$ of edge flows, there exists a set $\{\Psi_{ij}^{i}(e)\}$ of edge flows which gives the same terminal flow from i to j as $\{\Psi_{ij}(e)\}$ such that (1) $\Psi_{ij}^{i}(e) \leq \Psi_{ij}(e)$ for all edge flows and (2) every path from i to j to which a nonzero path flow is assigned, the order to have $\{\Psi_{ij}^{i}(e)\}$, intersect every cut set in a set of M cut sets with respect to vertices i and j.