## PROGRESS TOWARDS A HIGH-PRECISION INFRARED SPECTROSCOPIC SURVEY OF THE $\mathrm{H}_3^+$ ION

<u>ADAM J. PERRY</u>, JAMES N. HODGES, CHARLES R. MARKUS, G. STEPHEN KOCHERIL, PAUL A. JENKINS II, *Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA*; BEN-JAMIN J. McCALL, *Departments of Chemistry and Astronomy, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA*.

The trihydrogen cation,  $H_3^+$ , represents one of the most important and fundamental molecular systems. Having only two electrons and three nuclei,  $H_3^+$  is the simplest polyatomic system and is a key testing ground for the development of new techniques for calculating potential energy surfaces and predicting molecular spectra. Corrections that go beyond the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, including adiabatic, non-adiabatic, relativistic, and quantum electrodynamic corrections are becoming more feasible to calculate<sup>*abcd*</sup>. As a result, experimental measurements performed on the  $H_3^+$  ion serve as important benchmarks which are used to test the predictive power of new computational methods.

By measuring many infrared transitions with precision at the sub-MHz level it is possible to construct a list of the most highly precise experimental rovibrational energy levels for this molecule. Until recently, only a select handful of infrared transitions of this molecule have been measured with high precision ( $\sim 1 \text{ MHz}$ )<sup>*e*</sup>. Using the technique of Noise Immune Cavity Enhanced Optical Heterodyne Velocity Modulation Spectroscopy, we are aiming to produce the largest high-precision spectroscopic dataset for this molecule to date. Presented here are the current results from our survey along with a discussion of the combination differences analysis used to extract the experimentally determined rovibrational energy levels.

<sup>d</sup>L. Lodi, et al., Phys. Rev. A (2014), 89, 032505.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>O. Polyansky, et al., Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A (2012), 370, 5014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>M. Pavanello, et al., J. Chem. Phys. (2012), 136, 184303.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>L. Diniz, et al., Phys. Rev. A (2013), 88, 032506.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>J. Hodges, et al., J. Chem. Phys (2013), 139, 164201.