REPORT OF INVESTIGATION 85
STATE OF ILLINOIS
DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION


- Acute Toxicity of Residual Chlorine and Ammonia to Some Native Illinois Fishes by DONALD P. ROSEBOOM and DOROTHY L. RICHEY



# Acute Toxicity of Residual Chlorine and Ammonia to Some Native Illinois Fishes by DONALD P. ROSEBOOM and DOROTHY L. RICHEY 


#### Abstract

Title: Acute Toxicity of Residual Chlorine and Ammonia to Some Native Illinois Fishes Abstract: Ninety-six hour residual chlorine bioassays were conducted on bluegill and channel catfish. In 96-hr acute toxicity studies with ammonia (NH3-N) bass, in addition to bluegill and channel catfish, were included. The studies were performed in waters typical of most lakes and streams in midwestern states, i.e., relatively high in alkalinity and the salts of calcium and magnesium. Observations of the characteristics and reaction of the fishes to each toxicant were noted. The $96-\mathrm{hr}$ median tolerance limits for residual chlorine were: from 0.18 to $0.33 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ for bluegill depending on temperature and fish weight; about $0.09 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ for channel catfish with temperature not a factor. For ammonia the 96-hr median tolerance limits were: from 0.40 to $1.3 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ for bluegill depending on temperature and fish weight; from $0.72 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $1.2 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for bass and $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for channel catfish with size not a factor. For the protection of the fishes investigated, and consistent with Illinois water pollution regulations, residual chlorine should not be detectable and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ should not exceed a concentration of $0.04 \mathrm{mg} / 1$.


Reference: Roseboom, Donald P., and Dorothy L. Richey. Acute Toxicity of Residual Chlorine and Ammonia to Some Native Illinois Fishes. Illinois State Water Survey, Urbana, Report of Investigation 85, 1977.

Indexing Terms: ammonia, bioassay, chlorine, fish, surface water, toxicity, water quality criteria.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HON. JAMES R. THOMPSON, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION JOAN G. ANDERSON, B.S., Director

BOARD OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION<br>Joan G. Anderson, B.S., Director<br>Thomas Park, Ph.D., Biology<br>H. S. Gutowsky, Ph.D., Chemistry<br>Stanley K. Shapiro, Ph.D., Forestry<br>Laurence L. Sloss, Ph.D., Geology John C. Guyon, Ph.D., Southern Illinois University<br>William L. Everitt, E.E., Ph.D., University of Illinois

## STATE WATER SURVEY DIVISION

 WILLIAM C. ACKERMANN, D.Sc, Chief
## URBANA

 1977
## CONTENTS

PAGE
Abstract. ..... 1
Introduction ..... 1
Scope of study ..... 1
Plan of report ..... 2
Acknowledgments. ..... 2
Bioassay equipment and methods ..... 2
Equipment modifications and appurtenances ..... 2
Test specimens. ..... 2
Stock solutions ..... 3
Chemical analyses. ..... 3
Part 1. Residual chlorine bioassay ..... 4
Literature review ..... 4
Residual chlorine analyses. ..... 5
Characteristics and reactions of fishes ..... 5
Results ..... 5
Statistical evaluation ..... 7
Summary ..... 9
Part 2. Ammonia bioassay. ..... 10
Forms of total ammonia nitrogen. ..... 10
Literature review. ..... 10
Ammonia analyses. ..... 12
Characteristics and reactions of fishes. ..... 12
Results and discussion. ..... 14
Summary ..... 17
References. ..... 21
Appendix A. Observations of percent bluegill mortality, residual chlorine bioassay ..... 24
Appendix B. Observations of percent catfish mortality, residual chlorine bioassay ..... 27
Appendix C. Observations of percent bluegill mortality, ammonia bioassay ..... 29
Appendix D. Observations of percent catfish mortality, ammonia bioassay ..... 35
Appendix E. Observations of percent bass mortality, ammonia bioassay ..... 39

# Acute Toxicity of Residual Chlorine and Ammonia to Some Native Illinois Fishes 

Donald P. Roseboom and Dorothy L. Richey


#### Abstract

Ninety-six hour residual chlorine bioassays were conducted on bluegill and channel catfish. In 96hour acute toxicity studies with ammonia (NH3-N) bass, in addition to bluegill and channel catfish, were included. The studies were performed in waters typical of most lakes and streams in midwestern states, i.e., relatively high in alkalinity and the salts of calcium and magnesium. Observations regarding the characteristics and reaction of the fishes to each toxicant were noted.

For residual chlorine the 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50} \mathrm{~s}$ ranged from 0.18 to $0.33 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ for bluegill, depending on temperature and fish weight. For channel catfish the $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ was about $0.09 \mathrm{mg} / 1$; temperature was not a factor. For ammonia the 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50} \mathrm{~s}$ for bluegill were dependent on temperature and fish weight and varied from 0.40 to $1.3 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Size was not a factor for bass and channel catfish. Ninety-six hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50} \mathrm{~s}$ for these fishes ranged from $0.72 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $1.2 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for bass and $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for channel catfish.

For the protection of the fishes investigated, and consistent with the water pollution regulations of Illinois, residual chlorine in Illinois waters should not be detectable and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ should not exceed a concentration of $0.04 \mathrm{mg} / 1$.


## INTRODUCTION

The proper management and surveillance of water quality is predicated on the need to maintain suitable quality for each use that is made or will be made of Illinois lakes and streams. Thus it is necessary to know the water quality criteria for each use, if effective rules are to be applied to sources of waste discharging into the water bodies of Illinois. Water quality criteria for potable water, recreation, agriculture, and industrial use are fairly well defined. On the other hand, there remains considerable doubt on the water quality standards required to sustain an adequate fishery. This is particularly true with regard to heavy metals, ammonia, residual chlorine, and organochemicals.

In Illinois, numerical values have been developed limiting toxic substances in waste discharges and natural water bodies. Current regulations are based on studies using, as test specimens, aquatic organisms not native to Illinois waters. In addition to a list of chemical constituents for which maximum permissible concentrations have been determined, Rule 203(h) of the Water Pollution Regulations of Illinois states:

Any substance toxic to aquatic life shall not exceed one-tenth of the 48 -hour median tolerance limit
(48-hr TLm) for native fish or essential fish food organisms.
It is within the purview of this rule that this investigation regarding the effects of residual chlorine and ammonia upon some native Illinois fishes was undertaken.

Since the adoption of the rule, changes of the 48 -hour TLm to 96 -hour TLm have been considered and will most likely be made. Here the median tolerance limit (TLm) is the concentration at which 50 percent of the test specimens survive. It is also referred to as TL or $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ (lethal concentration).

## Scope of Study

The treatment of domestic wastewaters as practiced in 1977 in Illinois leads to the discharge of residual chlorine and ammonia into the state's waterways. This study was concerned with documenting the acute toxicity effects that varying concentrations of these substances have on fishes native to Illinois lakes and streams. The form of residual chlorine examined was monochloramine, that form most likely to be discharged in chlorinated effluents. The fishes observed were bluegill, channel catfish, and largemouth
bass. Concentrations of ammonia nitrogen used were quantified in terms of its most toxic form to fish, i.e., un-ionized ammonia.

The bioassay runs were performed with various fish sizes and water temperatures. The results were derived from water high in the salts of calcium and magnesium with correspondingly high alkalinity.

## Plan of Report

The report is presented in two parts, i.e., residual chlorine and ammonia. Each part includes a literature review, fish reactions, results, and summary. All data developed from bioassay runs are included in the appendices. Every effort has been made to present all information in a form that will be useful to those persons or agencies in-
volved in the day-to-day business of maintaining adequate fisheries and reasonable water quality in Illinois.
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## BIOASSAY EQUIPMENT AND METHODS

A proportional dilutor by Mount and Brungs ${ }^{1}$ was modified so that continuous water flow was provided through 12 glass test chambers. Each chamber had a volume of 22 liters, and the flow rate, 250 milliliters per minute ( $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), produced a 95 percent volume displacement every 6 hours. The apparatus permitted the continuous flow of five different concentrations of toxicant into duplicative test chambers with two chambers available for control purposes.

## Equipment Modifications and Appurtenances

The major modification in the dilutor apparatus was a syringe style pipettor with a two-way check valve from Manostat, which was fed from a container of toxicant. A normally open four-way Skinner air solenoid valve was placed into the circuit of the electrical switch, which operated the water solenoid valve in the standard Mount and Brungs dilutor. The system worked in the following manner.

During cycling of the dilutor, the water bucket arm descends to engage the switch and breaks the electrical circuit. This shuts off the water solenoid valve and opens the air solenoid valve causing the arm of the air cylinder to be extended. The extended arm depresses the plunger of the pipettor to inject an exact amount of toxicant from the syringe into the mixing bowl. When the bucket arm rises to complete the electrical circuit again, the water solenoid valve opens and the air solenoid valve causes the air cylinder arm to retract. Two external springs return the plunger of the syringe to the locked position of the pipettor necessary for the intake of desired syringe volume through the two-
way check valve. The original internal spring was replaced by two external springs to ensure the reliability necessary for the very frequent and long term cycling in bioassays.

The advantages of this system are an easily adjustable volume of toxicant, a fail-safe design directly timed by dilutor function, an ability to dispense solutions with suspended particles as in the residual chlorine solution, and a relatively low price for a system comprising an air solenoid valve, air cylinder, and pipettor.

Dilution water was obtained from municipal wells for the chlorine study. Although residual chlorine has not been detected in the water, an activated charcoal filter was installed on the main supply line prior to the introduction of water to the header boxes serving the dilutor. A well on the laboratory site, in the same aquifer as the municipal wells, was the source of water for the ammonia study.

Two header boxes were used. The first one, consisting of a steel barrel lined with fiber glass, housed a thermoregulator which could be set at a desired temperature. Significant cooling from the preset water temperature energized a relay which activated a solenoid-controlled valve on a hot water line. Water flowed from the steel barrel to a polyethylene plastic header box where air agitation kept the contents mixed and provided a sustained dissolved oxygen level.

## Test Specimens

Bluegill and channel catfish were used in the residual chlorine studies. Bass, in addition to bluegill and channel catfish, was included in the ammonia investigation. All test specimens were conditioned to the dilution water for a
minimum of 10 days. When necessary, the temperature was increased 1 C per day and maintained at the desired temperature for 10 days. Holding tanks were continually flushed with dilution water to eliminate any metabolical waste which might acclimate the fishes to the toxicants, especially ammonia.

At the beginning of each bioassay, the temperature and toxicant concentration for each test chamber were determined. One fish at a time was randomly placed in the different aquaria until each of the 12 chambers held 10 fish. In some bioassays, only five catfish were used in each aquarium because of their large size.

Because of rapid mortality at high concentrations, each test chamber was continuously monitored the first 32 hours. The exact time of each mortality was recorded. After death, the fish were thoroughly blotted to remove excess moisture and their lengths and weights were determined.

## Stock Solutions

Stock solutions of residual chlorine were formulated by mixing 0.375 molar solutions of ammonia (from $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ACS reagent grade) and $\mathrm{HOC1}$ (from 70 percent $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OCl})_{2}$ technical grade) after adjustment to pH 8.4. The pH of the hypochlorous acid solution was adjusted with HCl ; the pH of the ammonia solution was adjusted by the addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, so that excess ammonia was present. By adding the HOCl solution slowly to the ammonia solution, the ratio of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to HOCl was always greater than one and thus insured the formation of monochloramine alone at pH 8.4. ${ }^{2,3,4}$ This form of residual chlorine is stable in the laboratory and environment and is the form most often found in natural waters. It is also the only form of residual chlorine found in the test chambers under conditions of analysis described by Wallace and Tiernan, Inc. and Johnson. ${ }^{6}$ All forms of residual chlorine are quantified in terms of chlorine equivalent weights.

The following characterize the water used in each residual chlorine bioassay:

| $m \mathrm{mg}$ |  |  | mgl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical Oxygen |  | Fluoride | 1.1 |
| Demand | ND* | Silica | 31.8 |
| Ammonia-N | 0.08 | Calcium | 123 |
| Nitrate-N | 5.18 | Magnesium | 42 |
| Phosphate-P | 0.02 | lmon | 0.03 |
| Sulfate | 168 | Mercury | $<2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Chloride | 34 |  |  |
| *ND=not detected |  |  |  |

Stock solutions of ammonia were prepared by dissolving 385 grams (g) of granular ammonium chloride in 7 liters of deionized water. A 50 percent sodium hydroxide solution (ammonia-free) was added to the stock solution just prior to use for adjusting the pH to 8.0 , the approximate pH of the dilution water.

The following characterize the water used in each ammonia bioassay:

|  | $m g / I$ |  | $m g / l$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Nitrate-N | 1.87 | Mercury | $<2 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| Phosphate-P | 0.01 | Pluoride | 0.5 |
| Sulfate | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | Silica | 10.0 |
| lron | $\mathbf{0 . 7 6}$ | Calcium | $\mathbf{9 5}$ |

## Chemical Analyses

Hardness, alkalinity, and pH were determined in the control chambers and two other test chambers twice a day. Dissolved oxygen levels were measured by a Yellow Springs Instrument Model 54 oxygen meter at 0,48 , and 96 hours. The water temperature was monitored continuously by a Yellow Springs Instrument Model 46 Tele-Thermometer with output recorded on a Cole-Parmer Mark VII recorder. Hardness determinations were by EDTA titrametric method with Eriochrome Black T as an indicator. Alkalinity and pH were determined by a Leeds and Northrup meter, using $0.02 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ as a titrant for alkalinity. Illumination for the 16 -hour photoperiod was furnished by a combination of Duro-Test and Wide Spectrum Gro-lux fluorescent lighting in circuit with a timer.

## PART 1. RESIDUAL CHLORINE BIOASSAY

The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency has established a limit of 400 fecal coliform per 100 ml in all effluents. This requires disinfection procedures, and the most common disinfection practice in Illinois is chlorination.

When chlorine is introduced into water either in gaseous form $(\mathrm{Cl})$ or as hypochlorite $\left(\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OCl})_{2}\right)$, the same end products are produced. These are hypochlorous acid ( HOCl ) and the hypochlorite ion $\left(\mathrm{OCl}^{--}\right)$. Chlorine, hypochlorous acid, and hypochlorite ions in water are considered free residual chlorine. If ammonia is present, its reaction with chlorine and hypochlorous acid produces chloramines. Chloramines in water are referred to as combined residual chlorine. The total residual chlorine in water is the sum of the free and combined residual chlorine. Residual chlorine is primarily introduced into the aquatic environment from the disinfection of sewage plant effluents. The other major source of residual chlorine is cooling water discharge from electrical generating plants where chlorine is frequently used to minimize the fouling of heat transfer surfaces. For Illinois conditions, the exposure of fish to residual chlorine is more likely in the case of waste effluents than in the case of cooling water discharges.

In the reaction of chlorine or hypochlorous acid with ammonia, three chloramines may be produced. They include monochloramine $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$, dichloramine ( $\mathrm{NHC1}$ ), and trichloramine $\left(\mathrm{NCl}_{3}\right)$. The relative amounts of each are dependent upon the molar ratio of chlorine to ammonia, pH , temperature, and length of reaction time. Monochloramine is the predominant form developed in treated sewage effluents during chlorination. It is the chloramine that is most likely to occur in Illinois surface waters.

## Literature Review

Rosenberger ${ }^{7}$ and Merkens ${ }^{8}$ concluded that free chlorine is slightly more toxic to fish than dichloramine and that dichloramine is more toxic than monochloramine. Thus total residual chlorine will be more toxic at pH values below 7 because the proportion of free chlorine and dichloramine is greater. Brungs ${ }^{9}$ states that the toxicity of the principal components of total residual chlorine is not sufficiently different as to preclude using total residual chlorine to define acute toxicity.

Rosenberger ${ }^{7}$ used regression analysis in finding that larger fish died faster than smaller fish. He attributed this to a smaller ratio of gill surface area to body weight for larger fish. He assumed the gill to be the principal site of chlorine toxicity; however, Fobes ${ }^{10}$ found that the respiration rate of gill tissues from white suckers, exposed to lethal concentrations of chlorine, did not change. Hiatt et al. ${ }^{11}$ stated that respiratory poisons induce symptoms of gulping,
swimming at the surface, and depressed activity. They also assumed that oxidizing agents act as strong irritants to fish because of the inhibition of sulphydryl groups on one or more enzymes associated with sensory receptors. These agents produced responses of paralysis, operculum and fin distension, disorientation, and convulsions. Dandy ${ }^{12}$ found "in all cases where the fish were exposed to chlorine until the first signs of disequilibrium occurred, and then immediately transferred to fresh water, death invariably ensued even though life expectancy in the chlorine solution at the time of transfer was several hours."

In standard laboratory bioassays, intolerant cold water fish, especially salmon and trout species, have been very sensitive to residual chlorine. Seven-day $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ s of 0.01 to 0.08 milligrams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ ) total residual chlorine have been reported. ${ }^{8,13,14}$ For warm water fish, there is a narrow range of acute lethal chlorine concentrations. According to Arthur and Eaton ${ }^{15}$ all fathead minnows died within 72 hours at $0.154 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ but lived for 7 days at 0.085 $\mathrm{mg} / 1$.

Coventry et al. ${ }^{16}$ stated that $0.4 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ was lethal to sunfish and to some bullhead. The 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ for black bullhead is $0.099 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ according to Arthur. ${ }^{13}$ A 15 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ for smallmouth bass was reported to be $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ by Pyle. ${ }^{17}$ The $96-\mathrm{h}$ our $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ values for largemouth bass, yellow perch, and white sucker are, respectively, 0.261 , 0.205 , and $0.132 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ residual chlorine as reported by Arthur. ${ }^{13}$

The on-site testing of chlorine toxicity in sewage plant effluents by Basch et al. ${ }^{18}$ found 50 percent of rainbow trout dying in 96 hours at residual chlorine concentrations of 0.014 to $0.029 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. When the effluents were not chlorinated, mortality did not occur. Basch and Truchan ${ }^{19}$ found $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ values for fathead minnows in chlorinated effluents somewhat lower than reported in the literature. They thought this might be caused by the synergistic effects of other chemical agents in the effluents. Zillich ${ }^{20}$ found the 96 -hour TL for fathead minnows to range from 0.05 to $0.16 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ total residual chlorine at two waste treatment plants.

In Maryland, northern Virginia, and southeastern Pennsylvania, Tsai ${ }^{21,22,23}$ found that fish populations in small streams below 156 sewage treatment plants decreased in number of species toward more pollution-tolerant fish. The species diversity index was reduced 50 percent at $0.10 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ residual chlorine. Fish were not found in water with a total residual chlorine of $0.37 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. The upstream spawning migrations of white catfish and white perch were stopped by sewage plant effluents. Esvelt et al. ${ }^{24}$ reported an average 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ of $0.19 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ for the golden shiner in the San Francisco sewage plant effluents.

Table 1. Test Conditions during Residual Chlorine Bioassay on Bluegills

|  | Toxicant <br> range <br> (mg/l) | Temperature <br> ( ${ }^{\circ}$ C) | Average <br> (ishb weigbt <br> (grams) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9 / 30 / 74$ | $0.18-0.79$ | 20 | 1.85 |
| $10 / 7 / 74$ | $0.24-0.79$ | 20 | 1.85 |
| $10 / 14 / 74$ | $0.23-0.77$ | 21 | 0.31 |
| $10 / 21 / 74$ | $0.15-0.51$ | 21 | 0.31 |
| $11 / 4 / 74$ | $0.15-0.51$ | 30 | 1.24 |


| $\rho H$ | Alkalinity <br> $(m g / l)$ | Hardness <br> $(m g / f)$ | Dissolved <br> oxygen <br> $(m g / l)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 . 1 3}$ | 317 | 451 | $7.5-8.6$ |
| 8.02 | 310 | 452 | $7.5-8.6$ |
| 8.19 | 313 | 443 | $7.5-8.6$ |
| 8.00 | 306 | 467 | $7.5-8.6$ |
| 7.95 | 316 | 398 | $6.4-7.0$ |

Brown trout exposed to free chlorine concentrations greater than $0.04 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ for 2 minutes died in 24 hours. ${ }^{25}$ Sunfish and brown bullheads were able to tolerate mean total residual chlorine levels up to 0.5 and $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / 1$, respectively, with less than 50 percent mortality. ${ }^{19}$ Arthur ${ }^{13}$ reported 1 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50} \mathrm{~s}$ for fathead minnows, yellow perch, and largemouth bass as greater than $0.79,0.88$, and 0.74 $\mathrm{mg} / 1$, respectively. Dickson et al. ${ }^{26}$ found that ammonia concentrations in the Clinch River had reduced most of the free chlorine to residual chlorine about 23 feet below the powerhouse discharge and that much of the residual chlorine was reduced in the first 500 feet. No bluegill mortality could be attributed to chlorine toxicity at any station, although a maximum of $0.55 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ residual chlorine and 0.07 $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ of free chlorine was attained at one station.

## Residual Chlorine Analyses

Determinations for residual chlorine analyses were performed at least daily, during the progress of the bioassay run, on the contents of each test chamber. A Wallace and Tiernan amperometric titrator was used with 0.00564 N phenylarsine oxide as the titrant.

## Characteristics and Reactions of Fishes

The bluegill (Lepomis macrocbirus) used were native fish removed from an area pond and separated into three weight groups. The channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) were obtained from the Illinois Department of Conservation after shipment from a hatchery in Senecaville, Ohio. The total number of fish used was 600 bluegill and 280 channel catfish.

At the higher concentrations, the bluegill exhibited erratic swimming within 2 hours. From a resting position, the fish made a short, rapid movement forward, rested, then swam rapidly forward again. When the fish were near the bottom of the tank, they would dart upward, in a straight diagonal line. This short, erratic swimming might occur 5 to 10 times in an hour.

As the bluegill's equilibrium was lost, it hovered near the surface, attempting to remain upright by using the caudal fin. Prodding produced a weak, lateral movement, com-
pletely different from the rapid, short, and straight swimming noted earlier. At this stage, removal of the bluegill from the toxicant concentration did not revive it.

Before death occurred, the fish rested ventral-side up on the bottom of the tank. Respiration was slow and erratic, with gill pouches spread open. Some fish had hemorrhaged pectoral and caudal fins. Death was determined by lack of reaction to prodding and the cessation of gill movement.

The stress patterns of the catfish and bluegill differed. The catfish were more listless, had increased ventilation rates and muscle contractions, and produced a mucous film on the body. They also became rigid, maintaining a perpendicular position to the bottom of the tank. Sometimes death would occur in this position. Otherwise the fish died dorsal-side up, giving the appearance of resting. As with the bluegill, some pectoral and caudal fins were hemorrhaged.

For the catfish and bluegill, the time span between the first signs of stress and death was directly proportional to the toxicant concentrations. At high concentrations, death occurred after 2 hours of stress; however, at low concentrations, stress sometimes lasted as long as 12 hours.

## Results

The test conditions for the five bioassay runs with bluegill are summarized in table 1. In each bioassay 120 fishes were observed. Observations of mortality, for each run, and corresponding time of death are tabulated in Appendix A. The data for tanks 3 and 4 (September 30, 1974) were inconsistent with reason and were not used in the statistical analysis. For estimating the median lethal time, i.e., that time at which 50 percent mortality occurred at a particular chlorine residual concentration, the percent mortality was plotted for each concentration on log-probability paper. This procedure is demonstrated in figure 1 for bluegill runs on September 30, 1974, at $0.79 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ and on October 7 , 1974 , at $0.49 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Acute toxicity curves were developed for each bioassay run by plotting the median lethal times on the vertical axis versus corresponding concentrations on the horizontal axis, all on $\log$-log paper. When less than 50 percent mortality occurred for a particular concentration, the point was plotted on the 96 -hour line. The resultant curves, as shown in figure 2, permit the determination of median tolerance limits, $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$. The $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ is that concentration at


Figure 1. Percent mortality for bluegial


Figure 2. Acurte toxicity curves for bluegill

Table 2. Test Conditions during Residual Chlorine Bioassay on Channel Catfish

| Date | Toxicant range ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ ) | Temperature | Average fisb weight (grams) | pH | Alkalinity $(m g / t)$ | Hardness (mg/l) | Dissolved oxygen (mg/l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/11/74 | 0.11-0.44 | 30 | 3.2 | 8.02 | 318 | 382 | 7-8 |
| 11/18/74 | 0.09-0.41 | 20 | 2.8 | 7.96 | 318 | 467 | 7-8 |

which the toxicity curve becomes asymptotic to the time axis.

For the 1.85 g group at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the 96 -hour TL was determined to be $0.33 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ total residual chlorine; for the 0.31 g group at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / 1$; and for the 1.24 g group at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was $0.18 \mathrm{mg} / 1$.

As shown in figure 2, median lethal times in all cases for bluegills were less than 96 hours. In most cases, under the conditions of the tests, the median lethal time was 24 hours or less. This is apparent from the data in Appendix A. Nevertheless, the runs were performed for at least 96 hours, and the data obtained are reported as the 96 -hour TL

The test conditions for the two bioassay runs with channel catfish are summarized in table 2. A tabulation of mortality observations is given in Appendix B. It is probable that concentrations lower than $0.10 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ should have been employed in both runs. On $11 / 11 / 74$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Appendix B) 60 to 90 percent of the fish died at the lowest concentration of toxicant used ( $0.11 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ ); on $11 / 18 / 74$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 100$ percent of the fish died within 96 hours for all toxicant concentrations used. Under these circumstances the median tolerance limit is not so clearcut as that determined for the bluegills. A separate bioassay with total chlorine residuals at $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ and 20 C did not produce any mortality in channel catfish over a 96 -hour span. From this it is concluded that a very narrow range of tolerance, from 0.05 to $0.10 \mathrm{mg} / 1$, exists for channel catfish.

Use of procedures as discussed earlier and as shown in figure 3 gave an estimated median tolerance limit of 0.09 $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ total residual chlorine. Because there was very little difference in plotting the data for 20 C temperature conditions versus 30 C temperature conditions, the data were not considered separately.

## Statistical Evaluation

The assessment of median tolerance limits by graphical methods is an acceptable procedure, and where statistical methods are limited, it is the only practicable means for determining limitations on aquatic toxicants. Graphical procedures, however, do not permit quantitative evaluation of the environmental factors that may influence tolerance limits in bioassays. In an effort to determine whether or not significant relationships existed, major portions of the data for bluegill and channel catfish bioassays were subjected to multiple and stepwise linear regression analyses.


Figure 3. Acute toxicity curve for channel catfish

For this purpose, the dependent variable was the toxicant concentration (TC) in $\mathrm{mg} / 1$. The independent variables were ( t ), time in minutes from the beginning of a bioassay for a change in percent mortality ( $M$ ) to occur in each tank of water at a temperature (T) in degrees Celsius. The average weight (W) of all dead fish occurring in a tank was calculated at every change in percent mortality. A single equation was developed in the following form:

$$
\mathrm{TC}=\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}(\log \mathrm{t})+\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{M})-\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~T})+\mathrm{e}(\log \mathrm{~W})
$$

where $a, b, c, d$, and e are computed coefficients. Data for time ( t ) and accumulated average fish weight (W) were found to be geometrically distributed and were normalized by use of logarithms.

The three variables, $\mathrm{TC}, \mathrm{t}$, and M , used in plotting graphic 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ are the first three variables programmed
for linear regression analysis. Selection of residual chlorine concentrations in the 0.10 to $0.45 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ range corresponds to that section of the toxicity curve (figure 2) which becomes more linear and asymtotic to the time axis in graphic analysis. The best fit of a linear projection across the curve of data points in the graphic toxicity curve (figure 2 ) predicts higher concentration than the observed value around the $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ residual chlorine interval. It predicts lower residual chlorine concentrations than observed values in the 0.5 to $0.8 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ range. Also, the 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ would be predicted lower as evidenced by the curvature of the toxicity curve in graphic analysis. In linear regression analysis, precisely the same predictive results occur when projected from all of the 0.10 to $0.79 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ residual chlorine data.

The inclusion of water temperatures and logs of accumulated average fish weights as independent variables in the equation increases the correlation coefficient and decreases the standard error as shown in table 3. The form of the final equation for bluegill is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{TC}= & 1.1804-0.1834 \log t+0.00147 \mathrm{M}-0.01428 \mathrm{~T} \\
& +0.1560 \log \mathrm{~W}
\end{aligned}
$$

It should be noted that although there are three average weight groups of $0.31,1.24$, and 1.85 g , the range of fish weight is from 0.10 to 2.9 g . In regression analysis there was a computed average weight of all dead fish for every change in mortality in each of the 27 tanks in 0.10 to 0.45 $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ residual chlorine range. Often at high toxicant concentrations, several fish would die at one time, and cause one large change in percent mortality. There were 148 computed average fish weights for the 148 observations of percent mortality change during which 182 of 270 fish died. Therefore, the number of observations for each variable was 148 for time, percent mortality, and accumulated average fish weight and 3 for water temperature.

Although the range of fish weight was limited to under 3 g , the smallest fish had the greatest sensitivity to residual chlorine. Thus extension of fish weight range in that direction was limited since the average weight of all fish in two bioassays was 0.31 g with minimum fish weights of 0.10 g . Similarly, the greatest sensitivity of bluegill was in 30 C water so that extension of water temperature was limited if

Table 3. Statistical Characteristics of Predictive Equation, Bluegill Bioassay

| $\quad$ Parameter | F values | Multiple <br> correlation <br> coefficient $(r)$ | Standard <br> error of <br> estimate (SE) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Log time (t) | 14.9 | 0.3045 | 0.0729 |
| Percent mortality (M) | 30.9 | 0.5463 | 0.0643 |
| Water temperature (T) | 34.4 | 0.6460 | 0.0588 |
| Log fish weight (W) | 113.9 | 0.8725 | 0.0379 |

held to those temperature maximums observed in native Illinois streams and lakes. Linear regression analysis insures that eye-hand coordination used in graphic 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ determinations is understood in terms of effect on the desired determination of toxicant levels.

The predicted residual chlorine values were calculated on the basis of 148 times at which 148 changes in percent mortality occurred in fish with 148 computed average weights at 3 water temperatures. The comparison of these predicted residual chlorine values and the observed residual chlorine level at the time of each change of percent mortality is illustrated in figure 4 . Table 4 compares the similarity of $96-$ hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ values as predicted by linear regression analysis and graphic analysis.

Table 3 shows the increase in F factor and correlation coefficients (r) as time, percent mortality, accumulated avererage fish weight, and water temperature were included. The ranking of parameters on the basis of toxicant level effect was 1) $\log$ time, 2) percent mortality, 3) $\log$ of accumlated average fish weight, and 4) water temperature. When each of the three fish weight and water temperature groups were processed separately by linear regression analysis, only log time and percent mortality significantly increased r in the 1.85 g average weight fish at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in the 1.24 g average weight fish at 30 C . In fish of average weight 0.31 g at 21 C , the $\log$ of average fish weight increased $r$ by 0.10 so that the 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ of that group was dependent upon log time, percent mortality, and $\log$ of average fish weight in that order of importance.

Similar anlayses of the channel catfish data indicated that the toxicant concentration relationships with $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{T}$, and W were different from that determined by bluegill bioassays. The order of importance is 1) time, 2) mortality, 3) weight, and 4) temperature. With channel catfish, water temperature appeared to be only marginally significant as shown in table 5. It was not included in the equation.

The predictive equation developed for channel catfish bioassays is:
$\mathrm{TC}=0.8753-0.264 \log \mathrm{t}-1.00103 \mathrm{M}+0.2133 \log \mathrm{~W}$
The channel catfish, reflecting a 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ of about $0.09 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ residual chlorine in contrast to a 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ range for bluegill of 0.18 to $0.33 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ residual chlorine, is obviously the more sensitive fish. The water pollution regulations require an application factor of one-tenth in establishing a maximum permissible concentration. The permissible concentration of residual chlorine for channel catfish protection would be about 9 micrograms per liter ( $\mathrm{g} / 1$ ), and that for the protection of bluegill would range from 18 to $33 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ in Illinois streams. Thus, for all practical purposes, residual chlorine should not be detectable in any stream in Illinois.


Figure 4. Predicted residual chlorine from all percent mortality changes from all bioassays versus observed residual chlorine

Table 4. Comparison of Graphic $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ and Predicted $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ Bluegill Bioassay

| Bioassay | Graphic <br> 96 bour $T L_{\text {so }}$ <br> $(m g / l)$ | Predicted <br> 96 -hour $T L_{50}$ <br> (mg/l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.85 g at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.33 | 0.31 |
| 0.30 g at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.25 | 0.26 |
| 1.24 g at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.18 | 0.15 |

*Based on concentration range 0.144 to $0.440 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$

Table 5. Statistical Characteristics of Predictive Equation, Channel Catfish Bioassay

| Parameter | $F$ values | Multiple correlation coefficient (r) | Standard error of estimate (SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Log time (t) | 470.9 | 0.9019 | 0.0492 |
| Percent mortality (M) | 69.8 | 0.9418 | 0.0385 |
| Log fish weight (W) | 46.2 | 0.9598 | 0.0324 |
| Water temperature (T) | 6.3 | 0.9622 | 0.0315 |

## Summary

- Bluegill and channel catfish were subjected to varying concentrations of residual chlorine in waters relatively high in alkalinity and the salts of calcium and magnesium.
- Acute toxicity curves were developed for each species permitting assessment for 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$.
- The 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ for bluegills ranged from 0.18 to $0.33 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ and was dependent upon water temperature and fish weight.
- In the case of channel catfish, a more sensitive fish to residual chlorine, the 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ was about 0.09 $\mathrm{mg} / 1$. Temperature was not a factor.
- For each type of fish species, predictive equations were developed that permitted the quantitative evaluation of environmental factors within the experimental boundaries of the 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ bioassays.
- For the protection of the fishes investigated and to be consistent with the water pollution regulation of Illinois, residual chlorine should not be detectable in Illinois streams.


## PART 2. AMMONIA BIOASSAY

Nitrogenous materials in the aquatic environment can impose a number of effects. In various forms, nitrogen can stimulate algal growth, depress dissolved oxygen resources, become toxic to aquatic life, create public health problems and interfere with the efficiency of chlorination disinfection. The number of forms in which nitrogen may exist in the aquatic environment is almost as numerous as the effects it may impose. This is the consequence of the high number of oxidation states it can assume. In the form of total ammonia, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$, its oxidation state is minus 3 ; in the form of nitrate, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$, its oxidation state is plus 5. Other forms include nitrogen gas ( N ) with an oxidation state of 0 and nitrite $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ with an oxidation state of plus 3. All forms of nitrogen are quantified in terms of nitrogen equivalent weights as $\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{NO}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$.

Sources of total ammonia nitrogen may be either natural or from the activities of man. Natural sources include precipitation, nonurban runoff, and dustfall. Man-related sources of total ammonia nitrogen include urban runoff, animal feedlots, and wastewater effluents. ${ }^{28}$ It is probable that wastewater effluents, including combined sewer overflows, are the largest contributors of total ammonia nitrogen to waterways in Illinois. It is not uncommon to find total ammonia nitrogen concentrations averaging from 10 to $40 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ in the sewage of Illinois municipalities. ${ }^{29}$

## Forms of Total Ammonia Nitrogen

In developing an understanding of the acute toxicity of total ammonia nitrogen to fish, it is essential to realize that this form consists of two distinct fractions, i.e., the molecular (un-ionized) ammonia fraction $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)$ in equilibrium with the ammonium ion fraction $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}-\mathrm{N}\right)$.

In the normal procedures ${ }^{30}$ for examining a sample of water the total ammonia ( $\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$) concentration is determined. The percent composition of each fraction is a function of pH and temperature as demonstrated in a generalized fashion in figure 5. Computations from the knowledge of water temperature and pH , permit estimates of the un-ionized ammonia $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ and the ammonium nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right)$concentrations. The importance of determining the concentration of un-ionized ammonia $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ is predicated on the fact that it is the principal fraction that adversely affects fish. The relative effect of ammonium $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right)$on fish is innocuous. For the purpose of this report $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$shall be referred to as un-ionized ammonia, ammonium, and total ammonia, respectively.

## Literature Review

The effect of temperature on ammonia toxicity has been


Figure 5. Effects of pH and temperature on distribution of un-ionized ammonia and ammonium ion in water
reported by several scientists. ${ }^{31,32}$ According to Brown ${ }^{31}$ the toxicity of ammonia to rainbow trout is almost twice as high at $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Burrows ${ }^{32}$ reports that ammonia is more toxic to the chinook salmon at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or less. Perhaps temperatures lower than $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ have an adverse effect on the fish and lower their resistance to the toxicant.

A low dissolved oxygen level will also increase the toxicity of ammonia. Downing and Merkens ${ }^{33}$ found that a reduction from saturated to 50 percent saturation cuts the survival time of rainbow trout by one-third. They ${ }^{34}$ also found that ammonia toxicity to rainbow trout, as well as roach and perch, was increased by lowering the dissolved oxygen. Grudgeons, however, were not significantly affected. They concluded that the effect of the low oxygen levels is greatest in the lowest ammonia concentrations. However, the effect of the low oxygen levels will not be as great if high levels of free carbon dioxide exist in the water. ${ }^{35}$

One explanation of the phenomenon of dissolved oxygen affecting toxicity is that as the dissolved oxygen levels fall, the fish increases the volume of water passing over the gills,

Table 6. Summary of Ammonia Toxicity Data from Other Sources

| Organism | Size | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N} \\ (m \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}) \end{gathered}$ | Reference source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rainbow trout | 40.4 grams | 0.41 24-hr LC $_{50}$ | 48 |
| Rainbow trout | 12.5 centimeters | $0.4648-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC} 50$ | 41 |
| Rainbow trout |  | $0.648-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC} 50$ | 31 |
| Rainbow trout | 13.5 centimeters | $0.4748 \cdot \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC} \mathrm{C}_{50}$ | 40 |
| Rainbow trout |  | 0.4 S0 | 37 |
| Rainbow trout | 11-12 centimeters | 1.5 | 34 |
| Rainbow trout | fertilized eggs | > $3.5824 \cdot \mathrm{hr}$ | 60 |
| Rainbow trout | fry (end of yolk absorbance) | 0.072 24-hr | 60 |
| Perch | 14 grams | $0.2996-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC} 50$ | 48 |
| Rudd | 20.2 grams | $0.3696-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC} \mathrm{Cb}_{50}$ | 48 |
| Roach | 8.6 grams | $0.3596-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC} 5$ | 48 |
| Bream | 15.8 grams | $0.4196-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC}{ }_{50}$ | 48 |
| Mosquito fish | 4.6 centimeters | $1.33000 \mathrm{~min}^{50}$ | 39 |
| Channel catfish | 19 grams | 2.92 48-hr LC ${ }_{50}$ | 61 |
| Bluegill | 1.1 grams | $2.348 \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC}{ }_{50}$ | 61 |
| Fathead minnow | 1.1 grams | 1.68 48-hr $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ | 61 |
| Common carp |  | 0.0935 -day LC ${ }_{8}$ | 45 |

which would increase the amount of ammonia on the gill epithelium. Lloyd, ${ }^{36}$ however, believes that the velocity of the water entering the gills will determine the toxicity.

Lloyd and Herbert ${ }^{37}$ suggest that free carbon dioxide also affects the ammonia toxicity. If the carbon dioxide level of the water is low, the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from the fish's respiration will decrease the pH at the gill site, lowering the toxicity of the ammonia. One then might assume that the fish died at a high ammonia concentration computed from the high pH of the water, while the fish actually died at a lower concentration, as the pH at the gill site would be low.

Although many factors influence the toxicity of ammonia, Cairns ${ }^{38}$ states that the size of the fish is only slightly significant. Hemens, ${ }^{39}$ in his work on mosquito fish, also concludes that size made little difference.

Herbert and Shurben ${ }^{40}$ and Herbert and Van Dyke ${ }^{41}$ studied the toxic effects of ammonia in combination with other toxicants. They concluded that the $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$. of a mixture of zinc and ammonia equaled the sum of the individual concentrations, when proportionally expressed. However, Brown ${ }^{31}$ working with low concentrations of ammonia and phenol in combination with higher concentrations of zinc found that this combination was less toxic than the summation of its parts.

Ammonia's mode of action has not been clearly explained. As mentioned earlier, some scientists believe that the toxicant is absorbed through the gills. Most fish excrete ammonia through the lipid soluble cell membranes of the gills rather than detoxifying it; perhaps they lack the specific enzymes for the process. ${ }^{42}$ Fromm ${ }^{43}$ believes that a concentration of $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ or greater will prevent trout from excreting ammonia through the gills. Herbert and Shurben ${ }^{40}$ found no gill damage of fish kept in high ammo-
nia concentrations for 48 hours. Burrows, ${ }^{32}$ however, notes that extended exposure to low concentrations of ammonia will damage the gill epithelia.

Ammonia also increases water absorption by rainbow trout. Lloyd and $\mathrm{Orr}^{44}$ show, at the lethal concentration at which 50 percent of the specimens survive (LC ), that the urine flow rate was 12 milliliters per kilogram per hour ( $\mathrm{ml} /$ $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ). The normal rate is $2 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Therefore, Fromm ${ }^{43}$ believes that fish can readily excrete the incoming ammonia from concentrations that are 12 percent below the lethal level. Hemens ${ }^{39}$ believes the ovoviviparous female mosquito fish survived longer in ammonia concentrations than the male because of the female's greater capability to excrete nitrogenous waste, as would be necessary when she is carrying 30 or more embryos.

Flis ${ }^{45}$ found that extended periods of low levels of ammonia did more harm to the fish organism than a short dose of what would be a lethal concentration. Therefore, ammonia poisoning should be of concern to the fish hatchery. Knepp ${ }^{46}$ placed 80 channel catfish into four 7.5 gallon jugs, allowing the fish excretions to raise the ammonia levels. Within one week, 50 percent mortality had occurred. Twenty-four hours later 77 of the catfish were dead; three fish which had been removed to clean water recovered. Robinette ${ }^{47}$ showed that sublethal ammonia concentrations will stunt the growth of channel catfish. Any fish holding tank should be well flushed with clean water to eliminate the harmful effects of ammonia.

Many bioassays have been conducted on rainbow trout using ammonia as a toxicant. Although trout is reported to be very sensitive to ammonia, Ball ${ }^{48}$ shows that over long periods of low concentrations, little difference occurs between the trout and rough fish. Table 6 lists some of the

Table 7. Comparison of Analytical Methods for Total Ammonia-N

| Bioassay dilution water standayds ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | Ammonia electrode results |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colorimetric } \\ \text { results } \\ \text { (mg/1) } \\ 8 / 5 / 76 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 48.9 |  |  | 50.2 |
| 50 | 48.5 |  |  | 50.5 |
| 40 | 39.1 | 39.6 |  | 38.9 |
| 40 | 39.6 | 39.1 |  | 38.5 |
| 25 | 24.5 |  | 24.8 | 23.3 |
| 25 | 24.6 |  | 24.8 | 24.0 |
| 20 |  |  | 19.8 |  |
| 20 |  |  | 19.6 |  |
| 15 |  |  | 14.7 |  |
| 15 |  |  | 14.7 |  |
| 10 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 10.1 |
| 10 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 10.3 |
| 5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.85 | 5.4 |
| 5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.94 | 5.4 |
| 1 |  | 1.03 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1.04 |  |  |

results of ammonia studies. In some instances, a value was given as un-ionized ammonia in the reference source although it was undoubtedly the ammonium ion. If the pH and temperature were given, the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was computed by Skarheim's tables ${ }^{49}$; if not, the value was discarded.

## Ammonia Analyses

The total ammonia- $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ in each test chamber was determined at least three times during the first 12 hours of each bioassay and at least daily thereafter by an Orion ammonia electrode (Model 95-10) and an Orion digital $\mathrm{pH} / \mathrm{mv}$ meter (Model 801 A ).

During the ammonia electrode's initial use, instability of the absolute millivolt settings caused measurements to drift when recording instrument response to standards and samples. This problem was solved by replacement with a redesigned electrode provided by the manufacturer. The instrument was checked for drift with a middle-range standard of $25 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ total ammonia- N after every second sample and recalibrated when necessary. Total ammonia-N standards of 50,25 , and $5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ were analyzed every 10 samples. The correlation coefficient and standard error of 610 standards analyzed between June and November of 1976 were 0.998 and $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / 1$, respectively. During this period of bioassays any drift in measurements was corrected by replacement of the teflon membrane on the electrode.

Accuracy in the ammonia electrode analysis was checked by preparing total ammonia-N standards from American Chemical Society reagent grade $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ in both double deionized water and bioassay dilution water on three occasions during bioassay work. After calibration of the 801 A Orion meter with the deionized water standards, analysis of stan-
dards from bioassay dilution water gave results very close to the expected values as shown in table 7. A further check was the comparison of the indophenol colorimetric ${ }^{50}$ and ammonia electrode methods on standards of bioassay dilution water, also in table 7. Ten weekly comparisons of both methods on the five total ammonia-N concentrations in the aquariums during bioassay testing gave results within 15 percent of each other. Daily, either a 5 or $10 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ total ammonia nitrogen sample prepared from bioassay water was analyzed. The averaged results were, respectively, 4.94 and $10.01 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ total ammonia-N.

Laboratory experience with the ammonia electrode confirms the work of others ${ }^{51,52,53,54}$ in that it does perform quickly and efficiently during analysis of total ammonia levels above $1 \mathrm{mg} / 1$.

From the determinations for total ammonia the conversion to NH -N values was made by the use of Skarheim's tables. ${ }^{49}$ Because of the sensitive influence of pH , the tables have been extrapolated to the nearest hundredth. For this reason, if the pH of any test chamber varied significantly, that particular chamber was not considered in the final results. Recent work by Thurston et al. ${ }^{55}$ suggests that Skarheim's computations may be in error. The values developed in this work, if multiplied by 1.142 , will approximate the values computed by Thurston et al. ${ }^{55}$

## Characteristics and Reactions of Fishes

The bluegill (Lepomis macrocbirus) and largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) used in this investigation were obtained from Fender's Fish Hatchery in Baltic, Ohio, and from the hatchery maintained by the Illinois Department of Conservation at Carbondale, Illinois. Channel catfish (Icta-


Figure 4. Predicted residual chlorine from all percent mortality changes from all bioassays versus observed residual chlorine

Table 4. Comparison of Graphic $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ and Predicted $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ Bluegill Bioassay

| Bioassay | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \text { bour } T L_{\text {so }} \\ & \text { (mg/I) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \text {-bour TL so } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.85 g at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.33 | 0.31 |
| 0.30 g at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.25 | 0.26 |
| 1.24 g at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.18 | 0.15 |

Table 5. Statistical Characteristics of Predictive Equation, Channel Catfish Bioassay

| Parameter | Multiple <br> correlpation <br> coefficient $(r)$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard <br> estror of <br> estimate (SE) |  |  |  |
| Log time (t) | 470.9 | 0.9019 | 0.0492 |
| Percent mortality (M) | 69.8 | 0.9418 | 0.0385 |
| Log fish weight (W) | 46.2 | 0.9598 | 0.0324 |
| Water temperature (T) | 6.3 | 0.9622 | 0.0315 |

## Summary

- Bluegill and channel catfish were subjected to varying concentrations of residual chlorine in waters relatively high in alkalinity and the salts of calcium and magnesium.
- Acute toxicity curves were developed for each species permitting assessment for 96 -hour TL
- The 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ for bluegills ranged from 0.18 to $0.33 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ and was dependent upon water temperature and fish weight.
- In the case of channel catfish, a more sensitive fish to residual chlorine, the 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ was about 0.09 $\mathrm{mg} / 1$. Temperature was not a factor.
- For each type of fish species, predictive equations were developed that permitted the quantitative evaluation of environmental factors within the experimental boundaries of the 96-hour TL bioassays.
- For the protection of the fishes investigated and to be consistent with the water pollution regulation of Illinois, residual chlorine should not be detectable in Illinois streams.

Nitrogenous materials in the aquatic environment can impose a number of effects. In various forms, nitrogen can stimulate algal growth, depress dissolved oxygen resources, become toxic to aquatic life, create public health problems and interfere with the efficiency of chlorination disinfection. The number of forms in which nitrogen may exist in the aquatic environment is almost as numerous as the effects it may impose. This is the consequence of the high number of oxidation states it can assume. In the form of total ammonia, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$, its oxidation state is minus 3 ; in the form of nitrate, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$, its oxidation state is plus 5. Other forms include nitrogen gas $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ with an oxidation state of 0 and nitrite $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ with an oxidation state of plus 3. All forms of nitrogen are quantified in terms of nitrogen equivalent weights as $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{NO}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$.

Sources of total ammonia nitrogen may be either natural or from the activities of man. Natural sources include precipitation, nonurban runoff, and dustfall. Man-related sources of total ammonia nitrogen include urban runoff, animal feedlots, and wastewater effluents. ${ }^{28}$ It is probable that wastewater effluents, including combined sewer overflows, are the largest contributors of total ammonia nitrogen to waterways in Illinois. It is not uncommon to find total ammonia nitrogen concentrations averaging from 10 to $40 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ in the sewage of Illinois municipalities. ${ }^{29}$

## Forms of Total Ammonia Nitrogen

In developing an understanding of the acute toxicity of total ammonia nitrogen to fish, it is essential to realize that this form consists of two distinct fractions, i.e., the molecular (un-ionized) ammonia fraction ( $\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N}$ ) in equilibrium with the ammonium ion fraction ( $\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N}$ ).

In the normal procedures ${ }^{30}$ for examining a sample of water the total ammonia $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }_{4}\right)$ concentration is determined. The percent composition of each fraction is a function of pH and temperature as demonstrated in a generalized fashion in figure 5. Computations from the knowledge of water temperature and pH , permit estimates of the un-ionized ammonia $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ and the ammonium nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right)$concentrations. The importance of determining the concentration of un-ionized ammonia ( NH ) is predicated on the fact that it is the principal fraction that adversely affects fish. The relative effect of ammonium $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right)$on fish is innocuous. For the purpose of this report $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$shall be referred to as un-ionized ammonia, ammonium, and total ammonia, respectively.

## Literature Review

The effect of temperature on ammonia toxicity has been


Figure 5. Effects of pH and temperature on distribution of un-ionized ammonia and ammonium ion in water
reported by several scientists. ${ }^{31,32}$ According to Brown ${ }^{31}$ the toxicity of ammonia to rainbow trout is almost twice as high at $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Burrows ${ }^{32}$ reports that ammonia is more toxic to the chinook salmon at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or less. Perhaps temperatures lower than $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ have an adverse effect on the fish and lower their resistance to the toxicant.

A low dissolved oxygen level will also increase the toxicity of ammonia. Downing and Merkens ${ }^{33}$ found that a reduction from saturated to 50 percent saturation cuts the

- survival time of rainbow trout by one-third. They ${ }^{34}$ also found that ammonia toxicity to rainbow trout, as well as roach and perch, was increased by lowering the dissolved oxygen. Grudgeons, however, were not significantly affected. They concluded that the effect of the low oxygen levels is greatest in the lowest ammonia concentrations. However, the effect of the low oxygen levels will not be as great if high levels of free carbon dioxide exist in the water. ${ }^{35}$

One explanation of the phenomenon of dissolved oxygen affecting toxicity is that as the dissolved oxygen levels fall, the fish increases the volume of water passing over the gills,

Table 6. Summary of Ammonia Toxicity Data from Other Sources

| Organism | Size | $\underset{(m \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l})}{\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}}$ | Reference source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rainbow trout | 40.4 grams | 0.41 24-hr LC ${ }_{50}$ | 48 |
| Rainbow trout | 12.5 centimeters | $0.4648-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC} \mathrm{C}_{50}^{50}$ | 41 |
| Rainbow trout |  | $0.648-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC}{ }_{50}{ }^{50}$ | 31 |
| Rainbow trout | 13.5 centimeters | $0.4748-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC} \mathrm{C}_{50}$ | 40 |
| Rainbow trout |  | 0.4 S0 | 37 |
| Rainbow trout | 11-12 centimeters | 1.5 | 34 |
| Rainbow trout | fertilized eggs | > 3.58 24-hr | 60 |
| Rainbow trout | fry (end of yolk absorbance) | 0.072 24-hr | 60 |
| Perch | 14 grams | $0.2996 \cdot \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC} 50$ | 48 |
| Rudd | 20.2 grams | $0.3696-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC}{ }_{50}$ | 48 |
| Roach | 8.6 grams | $0.3596-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC}{ }_{50}^{50}$ | 48 |
| Bream | 15.8 grams | $0.4196-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC} 50$ | 48 |
| Mosquito fish | 4.6 centimeters | $1.33000 \mathrm{~min}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 39 |
| Channel catfish | 19 grams | $2.9248-\mathrm{hr} \mathrm{LC} 50$ | 61 |
| Bluegill | 1.1 grams | 2.348 -hr LC ${ }_{50}$ | 61 |
| Fathead minnow | 1.1 grams | 1.68 48-hr LC ${ }_{50}$ | 61 |
| Common carp |  | 0.0935 -day LC ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | 45 |

which would increase the amount of ammonia on the gill epithelium. Lloyd, ${ }^{36}$ however, believes that the velocity of the water entering the gills will determine the toxicity.

Lloyd and Herbert ${ }^{37}$ suggest that free carbon dioxide also affects the ammonia toxicity. If the carbon dioxide level of the water is low, the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from the fish's respiration will decrease the pH at the gill site, lowering the toxicity of the ammonia. One then might assume that the fish died at a high ammonia concentration computed from the high pH of the water, while the fish actually died at a lower concentration, as the pH at the gill site would be low.

Although many factors influence the toxicity of ammonia, Cairns ${ }^{38}$ states that the size of the fish is only slightly significant. Hemens, ${ }^{39}$ in his work on mosquito fish, also concludes that size made little difference.

Herbert and Shurben ${ }^{40}$ and Herbert and Van Dyke ${ }^{41}$ studied the toxic effects of ammonia in combination with other toxicants. They concluded that the $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ of a mixture of zinc and ammonia equaled the sum of the individual concentrations, when proportionally expressed. However, Brown ${ }^{31}$ working with low concentrations of ammonia and phenol in combination with higher concentrations of zinc found that this combination was less toxic than the summation of its parts.

Ammonia's mode of action has not been clearly explained. As mentioned earlier, some scientists believe that the toxicant is absorbed through the gills. Most fish excrete ammonia through the lipid soluble cell membranes of the gills rather than detoxifying it; perhaps they lack the specific enzymes for the process. ${ }^{42}$ Fromm ${ }^{43}$ believes that a concentration of $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ or greater will prevent trout from excreting ammonia through the gills. Herbert and Shurben ${ }^{40}$ found no gill damage of fish kept in high ammo-
nia concentrations for 48 hours. Burrows, ${ }^{32}$ however, notes that extended exposure to low concentrations of ammonia will damage the gill epithelia.

Ammonia also increases water absorption by rainbow trout. Lloyd and Orr ${ }^{44}$ show, at the lethal concentration at which 50 percent of the specimens survive (LC ), that the urine flow rate was 12 milliliters per kilogram per hour ( $\mathrm{ml} /$ $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ). The normal rate is $2 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Therefore, Fromm ${ }^{43}$ believes that fish can readily excrete the incoming ammonia from concentrations that are 12 percent below the lethal level. Hemens ${ }^{39}$ believes the ovoviviparous female mosquito fish survived longer in ammonia concentrations than the male because of the female's greater capability to excrete nitrogenous waste, as would be necessary when she is carrying 30 or more embryos.

Flis ${ }^{45}$ found that extended periods of low levels of ammonia did more harm to the fish organism than a short dose of what would be a lethal concentration. Therefore, ammonia poisoning should be of concern to the fish hatchery. Knepp ${ }^{46}$ placed 80 channel catfish into four 7.5 gallon jugs, allowing the fish excretions to raise the ammonia levels. Within one week, 50 percent mortality had occurred. Twenty-four hours later 77 of the catfish were dead; three fish which had been removed to clean water recovered. Robinette ${ }^{47}$ showed that sublethal ammonia concentrations will stunt the growth of channel catfish. Any fish holding tank should be well flushed with clean water to eliminate the harmful effects of ammonia.

Many bioassays have been conducted on rainbow trout using ammonia as a toxicant. Although trout is reported to be very sensitive to ammonia, Ball ${ }^{48}$ shows that over long periods of low concentrations, little difference occurs between the trout and rough fish. Table 6 lists some of the

Table 7. Comparison of Analytical Methods for Total Ammonia-N

| Bioassay dilution water standards (mg/) | Ammonia electrode results |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colorimetric } \\ \text { results } \\ \text { (mg/t) } \\ 8 / 5 / 76 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8/5/76 | ( $m g / l$ ) $8 / 4 / 76$ | 9/2/76 |  |
| 50 | 48.9 |  |  | 50.2 |
| 50 | 48.5 |  |  | 50.5 |
| 40 | 39.1 | 39.6 |  | 38.9 |
| 40 | 39.6 | 39.1 |  | 38.5 |
| 25 | 24.5 |  | 24.8 | 23.3 |
| 25 | 24.6 |  | 24.8 | 24.0 |
| 20 |  |  | 19.8 |  |
| 20 |  |  | 19.6 |  |
| 15 |  |  | 14.7 |  |
| 15 |  |  | 14.7 |  |
| 10 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 10.1 |
| 10 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 10.3 |
| 5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.85 | 5.4 |
| 5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.94 | 5.4 |
| 1 |  | 1.03 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1.04 |  |  |

results of ammonia studies. In some instances, a value was given as un-ionized ammonia in the reference source although it was undoubtedly the ammonium ion. If the pH and temperature were given, the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was computed by Skarheim's tables ${ }^{49}$; if not, the value was discarded.

## Ammonia Analyses

The total ammonia- $\left.\mathrm{N}^{( } \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}-\mathrm{N}\right)$ in each test chamber was determined at least three times during the first 12 hours of each bioassay and at least daily thereafter by an Orion ammonia electrode (Model 95-10) and an Orion digital $\mathrm{pH} / \mathrm{mv}$ meter (Model 801A).

During the ammonia electrode's initial use, instability of the absolute millivolt settings caused measurements to drift when recording instrument response to standards and samples. This problem was solved by replacement with a redesigned electrode provided by the manufacturer. The instrument was checked for drift with a middle-range standard of $25 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ total ammonia- N after every second sample and recalibrated when necessary. Total ammonia-N standards of 50,25 , and $5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ were analyzed every 10 samples. The correlation coefficient and standard error of 610 standards analyzed between June and November of 1976 were 0.998 and $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / 1$, respectively. During this period of bioassays any drift in measurements was corrected by replacement of the teflon membrane on the electrode.

Accuracy in the ammonia electrode analysis was checked by preparing total ammonia-N standards from American Chemical Society reagent giade $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ in both double deionized water and bioassay dilution water on three occasions during bioassay work. After calibration of the 801 A Orion meter with the deionized water standards, analysis of stan-
dards from bioassay dilution water gave results very close to the expected values as shown in table 7. A further check was the comparison of the indophenol colorimetric ${ }^{50}$ and ammonia electrode methods on standards of bioassay dilution water, also in table 7. Ten weekly comparisons of both methods on the five total ammonia-N concentrations in the aquariums during bioassay testing gave results within 15 percent of each other. Daily, either a 5 or $10 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ total ammonia nitrogen sample prepared from bioassay water was analyzed. The averaged results were, respectively, 4.94 and $10.01 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ total ammonia-N.

Laboratory experience with the ammonia electrode confirms the work of others ${ }^{51,52,53,54}$ in that it does perform quickly and efficiently during analysis of total ammonia levels above $1 \mathrm{mg} / 1$.

From the determinations for total ammonia the conversion to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ values was made by the use of Skarheim's tables. ${ }^{49}$ Because of the sensitive influence of pH , the tables have been extrapolated to the nearest hundredth. For this reason, if the pH of any test chamber varied significantly, that particular chamber was not considered in the final results. Recent work by Thurston et al. ${ }^{55}$ suggests that Skarheim's computations may be in error. The values developed in this work, if multiplied by 1.142 , will approximate the values computed by Thurston et al. ${ }^{55}$

## Characteristics and Reactions of Fishes

The bluegill (Lepomis macrocbirus) and largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) used in this investigation were obtained from Fender's Fish Hatchery in Baltic, Ohio, and from the hatchery maintained by the Illinois Department of Conservation at Carbondale, Illinois. Channel catfish (Icta-


Figure 9. Acute toxicity curves for channel catfish showing temperature comparisons

## Summary

- Bluegill, channel catfish, and largemouth bass were subjected to varying concentrations of ammonia in waters relatively high in alkalinity and the salts of calcium and magnesium.
- Acute toxicity curves were developed for each species permitting assessment for 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$.
- In the case of bluegills, the 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ ranged from 0.40 to $1.3 \mathrm{mg} / 1 \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N}$ and was dependent upon water temperature and fish weight.
- The 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ for bass was $0.72 \mathrm{mg} / 1 \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.2 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
- In the case of channel catfish, the least sensitive fish to ammonia, the 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ was $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1 \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N}$ at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
- For the protection of the fishes investigated and consistent with the Water Pollution regulation of Illinois, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ in Illinois streams should not be greater than $0.04 \mathrm{mg} / 1$.


Figure 10. Acute toxicity curves for bass showing temperature comparisons



Figure 13. The 96 -hour $\mathrm{TL}_{50}$ for channel catfish by US. graphical method, November 29, 1976

Table 11. Maximum Safe Levels of Total Ammonia for Bluegill*

|  | pH values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temperature <br> (C) | 6.0 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 |  |
| 5 | .360 .4 | 127.4 | 36.0 | 11.40 | 3.60 | 1.18 | 0.40 |  |
| 10 | 241.0 | 76.3 | 24.1 | 7.66 | 2.45 | 0.80 | 0.28 |  |
| 15 | 164.6 | 552.08 | 16.5 | 5.24 | 1.69 | 0.56 | 0.20 |  |
| 20 | 113.0 | 35.7 | 11.3 | 3.60 | 1.17 | 0.40 | 0.15 |  |
| 25 | 79.2 | 25.0 | 7.95 | 2.55 | 0.83 | 0.29 | 0.12 |  |
| 30 | 55.7 | 17.62 | 5.60 | 1.80 | 0.60 | 0.22 | 0.10 |  |

*Concentrations of total ammonia contain $0.04 \mathrm{mg}^{*} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}, 500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{total}$ dissolved solids

Table 12. Maximum Safe Levels of Total Ammonia for Bass*

|  | pH values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temperatuire <br> ( $C$ ) | 6.0 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 |  |
| 5 | 649.2 | 229.4 | 64.8 | 20.5 | 6.48 | 2.12 | 0.72 |  |
| 10 | 434.0 | 137.4 | 43.4 | 13.8 | 4.40 | 1.44 | 0.50 |  |
| 15 | 296.3 | 93.8 | 29.7 | 9.4 | 3.00 | 1.01 | 0.36 |  |
| 20 | 203.4 | 64.3 | 20.3 | 6.48 | 2.11 | 0.72 | 0.27 |  |
| 25 | 142.6 | 45.0 | 14.3 | 4.60 | 1.49 | 0.52 | 0.22 |  |
| 30 | 100.3 | 31.7 | 10.1 | 3.20 | 1.08 | 0.40 | 0.18 |  |

"Concentrations of total ammonia contain $0.072 \mathrm{mg}^{*} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}, 500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ total dis-
solved solids

Table 13. Maximum Safe Levels of Total Ammonia for Channel Catfish*

|  | pH values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temperature | 6.0 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 |
| 5 | 1352.0 | 477.9 | 135.0 | 42.8 | 13.5 | 4.43 | 1.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 904.0 | 286.2 | 90.4 | 28.7 | 9.2 | 3.00 | 1.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 617.3 | 195.3 | 61.9 | 19.7 | 6.34 | 2.10 | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 423.9 | 133.9 | 42.4 | 13.5 | 4.39 | 1.50 | 0.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 297.0 | 93.8 | 29.8 | 9.6 | 3.11 | 1.09 | 0.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 208.9 | 66.1 | 21.0 | 6.8 | 2.30 | 0.83 | 0.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Concentrations of total ammonia contain $0.15 \mathrm{mg} / / \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}, 500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{f}$ tatal dissolved solids

Note: Values for tables 11,12 , and 13 were computed from the $T L_{50}$ s obtained at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the most critical temperature. For higher temperatures, these values are probably too stringent.
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Appendix A. Observations of Percent Bluegill Mortality, Residual Chlorine Bioassay


Appendix C. Observations of Percent Bluegill Mortality, Ammonia Bioassay

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (min.) } \end{aligned}$ | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.56 | 4.52 | 2.99 | 3.23 | 3.60 | 3.49 | 2.47 | 2.20 | 1.49 | 1.40 |  |  |
| 35 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 3/22/76 |  |  |
| 40 | 10 | 40 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  | Averag | weight: | 0.14 |  |
| 42 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  | Water t | mperatur |  |  |
| 50 | 40 | 70 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 66 | 50 | 80 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 69 | 70 | 80 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 73 | 80 | 80 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 85 | 90 | 90 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 91 | 90 | 100 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 95 | 90 |  | 50 | 40 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 98 | 100 |  | 50 | 40 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 105 |  |  | 60 | 50 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 113 |  |  | 60 | 70 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 127 |  |  | 70 | 80 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 131 |  |  | 70 | 80 | 30 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 147 |  |  | 80 | 80 | 40 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 152 |  |  | 100 | 80 | 50 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 170 |  |  |  | 90 | 60 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 175 |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 190 |  |  |  | 100 | 70 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 205 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 285 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 80 | 30 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 317 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 80 | 50 | 20 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 535 |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 50 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 620 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 80 | 50 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 650 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 80 | 50 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 1350 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 60 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 3270 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 60 | 30 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 5640 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 60 | 30 | 50 | 0 | 10 |
| 5760 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 60 | 30 | 50 | 0 | 10 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.22 | 3.25 | 2.90 | 2.88 | 2.17 | 2.13 | 1.73 | 1.57 | 1.39 | 1.33 |  |  |
| 25 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 6/29/76 |  |  |
| 27 | 30 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Averag | weight: | 0.51 |  |
| 28 | 40 | 40 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Water | mperatur | e: |  |
| 30 | 60 | 60 | 30 | 0 |  |  |  |  | pH : | 8.18 |  |  |
| 32 | 80 | 80 | 50 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 80 | 90 | 50 | 10 |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 39 | 90 | 100 | 50 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | 100 |  | 60 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 |  |  | 70 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 |  |  | 80 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 |  |  | 90 | 70 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 |  |  | 90 | 70 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 |  |  | 90 | 80 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 124 |  |  | 90 | 100 | 10 | 50 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 135 |  |  | 90 |  | 30 | 60 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 170 |  |  | 90 |  | 60 | 80 | 30 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 185 |  |  | 100 |  | 70 | 90 | 30 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 200 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 40 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 211 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C. Continued

| Time (min.) | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| 255 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 290 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 70 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 80 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 340 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 375 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 90 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 810 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 815 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| 1350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 70 | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| 1420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 60 | 0 | 0 |


| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.35 | 2.12 |  |  | Controls |  |
| 24 | 10 |  | Date: | 7/1/76 |  |  |
| 29 | 20 |  | Averag | weight: | 0.34 |  |
| 40 | 30 | 10 | Water | mperatu | : 2 |  |
| 50 | 40 | 10 | pH : | 8.18 |  |  |
| 67 | 40 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | 50 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | 60 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 114 | 70 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 124 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 125 | 90 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 129 | 100 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 133 |  | 30 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 285 |  | 40 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 300 |  | 50 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1300 |  | 60 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1440 |  | 70 |  |  | 0 | 0 |



Appendix C. Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & (\text { min. }) \end{aligned}$ | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.18 | 1.22 | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.41 | 0.38 | Co |  |
| 30 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 7/19/76 |  |  |
| 35 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | weight: |  |  |
| 107 | 30 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water t | mperatur |  |  |
| 114 | 40 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | pH: | 8.12 |  |  |
| 125 | 50 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 | 60 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 140 | . 70 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 142 | 70 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 | 80 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 156 | 80 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160 | 80 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 177 | 90 | 90 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 195 | 100 | 90 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 215 |  | 90 | 33 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 233 |  | 90 | 44 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 250 |  | 100 | 56 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 256 |  |  | 67 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 273 |  |  | 78 | 50 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 285 |  |  | 100 | 60 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 330 |  |  |  | 60 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 345 |  |  |  | 70 | 10 | 40 | 20 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 400 |  |  |  | 90 | 10 | 40 | 30 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 420 |  |  |  | 90 | 10 | 50 | 40 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 451 |  |  |  | 90 | 20 | 70 | 40 | 40 |  |  |  |  |
| 525 |  |  |  | 90 | 40 | 80 | 40 | 40 |  |  |  |  |
| 600 |  |  |  | 90 | 50 | 80 | 50 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 680 |  |  |  | 100 | 60 | 80 | 50 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 766 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 50 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 825 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 60 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 1140 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 60 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 2731 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 60 | 60 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 10 |
| 5610 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 60 | 70 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 10 |
| 5760 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 60 | 70 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 10 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1.11$ | 1.12 | 0.78 | 0.795 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.33 |  |  |
| 68 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Date: | 8/9/76 |  |  |
| 95 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Average weight: 0.078 gram <br> Water temperature: $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |
| 127 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | pH: | 8.03 |  |  |
| 180 | 60 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 215 | 60 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 245 | 80 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275 | 80 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 291 | 90 | 90 | 60 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 312 | 90 | 100 | 60 | 70 | 20 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 384 | 100 |  | 60 | 70 | 30 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 396 |  |  | 60 | 70 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 427 |  |  | 70 | 70 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 482 |  |  | 70 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 523 |  |  | 90 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 547 |  |  | 90 | 80 | 50 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | ) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Continued | on $n$ |  |

Appendix C. Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & (\text { min. }) \end{aligned}$ | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| 621 |  |  | 90 | 90 | 50 | 30 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 711 |  |  | 90 | 90 | 70 | 50 | 70 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 745 |  |  | 90 | 100 | 80 | 50 | 70 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 775 |  |  | 90 |  | 80 | 60 | 70 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 915 |  |  | 90 |  | 80 | 70 | 70 | 30 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 1080 |  |  | 90 |  | 80 | 70 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 1260 |  |  | 90 |  | 80 | 80 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 1545 |  |  | 100 |  | 80 | 80 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 2760 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 |


| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.30 | 1.44 | 1.01 | 1.07 |  | Controls |
| 34 | 10 | 10 |  |  | Date: 8/12/76 |  |
| 35 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 10 | Average weight: | 0.067 gram |
| 45 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 10 | Water temperatur | e $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 55 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 10 | PH: 8.05 |  |
| 58 | 50 | 30 | 20 | 10 |  |  |
| 75 | 60 | 30 | 30 | 10 |  |  |
| 81 | 60 | 40 | 30 | 20 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 95 | 70 | 50 | 30 | 20 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 96 | 70 | 60 | 30 | 20 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 105 | 70 | 60 | 40 | 20 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 121 | 70 | 60 | 60 | 20 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 145 | 70 | 60 | 70 | 20 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 146 | 70 | 60 | 80 | 20 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 150 | 80 | 60 | 80 | 30 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 156 | 80 | 60 | 80 | 40 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 180 | 80 | 60 | 90 | 50 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 200 | 90 | 70 | 90 | 60 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 225 | 100 | 80 | 90 | 60 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 235 |  | 90 | 90 | 70 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 260 |  | 100 | 90 | 70 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 271 |  |  | 90 | 70 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 315 |  |  | 90 | 80 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 375 |  |  | 90 | 90 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 410 |  |  | 100 | 100 |  | 0 0 |



Appendix C. Observations of Percent Bluegill Mortality, Ammonia Bioassay

| Time (min.) | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.56 | 4.52 | 2.99 | 3.23 | 3.60 | 3.49 | 2.47 | 2.20 | 1.49 | 1.40 | Co |  |
| 35 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 3/22/76 |  |  |
| 40 | 10 | 40 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  | Average | weight: | 0.1 |  |
| 42 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  | Water t | mperatu | : 2 |  |
| 50 | 40 | 70 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 66 | 50 | 80 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 69 | 70 | 80 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 73 | 80 | 80 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 85 | 90 | 90 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 91 | 90 | 100 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 95 | 90 |  | 50 | 40 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 98 | 100 |  | 50 | 40 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 105 |  |  | 60 | 50 | 10 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 113 |  |  | 60 | 70 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 127 |  |  | 70 | 80 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 131 |  |  | 70 | 80 | 30 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 147 |  |  | 80 | 80 | 40 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 152 |  |  | 100 | 80 | 50 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 170 |  |  |  | 90 | 60 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 175 |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 190 |  |  |  | 100 | 70 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 205 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 285 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 80 | 30 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 317 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 80 | 50 | 20 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 535 |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 50 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 620 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 80 | 50 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 650 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 80 | 50 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 1350 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 60 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 3270 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 60 | 30 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 5640 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 60 | 30 | 50 | 0 | 10 |
| 5760 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 60 | 30 | 50 | 0 | 10 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.22 | 3.25 | 2.90 | 2.88 | 2.17 | 2.13 | 1.73 | 1.57 | 1.39 | 1.33 | Co |  |
| 25 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 6/29/76 |  |  |
| 27 | 30 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Averag | weight : | 0.5 |  |
| 28 | 40 | 40 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Water $t$ | mperatu | : |  |
| 30 | 60 | 60 | 30 | 0 |  |  |  |  | pH: | 8.18 |  |  |
| 32 | 80 | 80 | 50 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 80 | 90 | 50 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | 90 | 100 | 50 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | 100 |  | 60 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 |  |  | 70 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 |  |  | 80 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 |  |  | 90 | 70 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 |  |  | 90 | 70 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 |  |  | 90 | 80 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 124 |  |  | 90 | 100 | 10 | 50 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 135 |  |  | 90 |  | 30 | 60 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 170 |  |  | 90 |  | 60 | 80 | 30 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 185 |  |  | 100 |  | 70 | 90 | 30 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 200 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 40 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 211 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix C. Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (min.) | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| 255 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 290 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 70 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 80 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 340 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 375 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 90 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 810 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 815 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| 1350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 70 | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| 1420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.35 |  |  |  | 2.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 7/1/76 |  |  |
| 29 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | weight: |  |  |
| 40 | 30 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | Water t | mperatur | : 29 |  |
| 50 | 40 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | pH: | 8.18 |  |  |
| 67 | 40 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | 50 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | 60 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 114 | 70 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 | 80 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 125 | 90 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 129 | 100 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 285 |  |  |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 300 |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1300 |  |  |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1440 |  |  |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.099 | 1.143 | 0.851 | 0.844 | 0.625 | 0.584 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.41 | 0.40 |  |  |
| 30 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | Date: | 7/12/76 |  |  |
| 45 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |  | Average | weight : | 0.07 |  |
| 60 | 70 | 50 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |  | Water t | mperatur | e: 22 |  |
| 67 | 100 | 70 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 120 |  | 80 | 30 | 50 | 20 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 150 |  | 90 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 200 |  | 90 | 70 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 250 |  | 90 | 80 | 80 | 70 | 70 | 80 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 300 |  | 90 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 70 | 90 | 30 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 313 |  | 100 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 70 | 90 | 40 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 325 |  |  | 100 | 90 | 90 | 70 | 90 | 60 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 340 |  |  |  | 90 | 90 | 80 | 90 | 60 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 388 |  |  |  | 90 | 90 | 100 | 90 | 70 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 494 |  |  |  | 90 | 100 |  | 90 | 80 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 690 |  |  |  | 90 |  |  | 100 | 80 | 60 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 767 |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 825 |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  | 90 | 80 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 915 |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  | 90 | 80 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 939 |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  | 90 | 80 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 5760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 30 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Continue | on $n$ |  |

Appendix C. Continued

| Time(min.) | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(m \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.18 | 1.22 | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.41 | 0.38 | Co |  |
| 30 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 7/19/76 |  |  |
| 35 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | weight: |  |  |
| 107 | 30 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water t | mperatur | , |  |
| 114 | 40 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | pH : | 8.12 |  |  |
| 125 | 50 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 | 60 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 140 | 70 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 142 | 70 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 | 80 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 156 | 80 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160 | 80 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 177 | 90 | 90 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 195 | 100 | 90 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 215 |  | 90 | 33 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 233 |  | 90 | 44 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 250 |  | 100 | 56 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 256 |  |  | 67 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 273 |  |  | 78 | 50 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 285 |  |  | 100 | 60 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 330 |  |  |  | 60 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 345 |  |  |  | 70 | 10 | 40 | 20 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 400 |  |  |  | 90 | 10 | 40 | 30 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 420 |  |  |  | 90 | 10 | 50 | 40 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 451 |  |  |  | 90 | 20 | 70 | 40 | 40 |  |  |  |  |
| 525 |  |  |  | 90 | 40 | 80 | 40 | 40 |  |  |  |  |
| 600 |  |  |  | 90 | 50 | 80 | 50 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 680 |  |  |  | 100 | 60 | 80 | 50 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 766 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 50 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 825 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 60 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 1140 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 60 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 2731 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 60 | 60 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 10 |
| 5610 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 60 | 70 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 10 |
| 5760 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 60 | 70 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 10 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.11 | 1.12 | 0.78 | 0.795 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.33 |  |  |
| 68 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Date: | 8/9/76 |  |  |
| 95 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Average | weight: | 0.07 |  |
| 127 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Water t | mperatur | e: 2 |  |
| 150 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | pH : | 8.03 |  |  |
| 180 | 60 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 215 | 60 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 245 | 80 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275 | 80 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 291 | 90 | 90 | 60 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 312 | 90 | 100 | 60 | 70 | 20 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 384 | 100 |  | 60 | 70 | 30 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 396 |  |  | 60 | 70 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 427 |  |  | 70 | 70 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 482 |  |  | 70 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 523 |  |  | 90 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 547 |  |  | 90 | 80 | 50 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix C. Continued

| $\underset{(\text { min })}{\text { Time }}$ | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| 621 |  |  | 90 | 90 | 50 | 30 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 711 |  |  | 90 | 90 | 70 | 50 | 70 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 745 |  |  | 90 | 100 | 80 | 50 | 70 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 775 |  |  | 90 |  | 80 | 60 | 70 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 915 |  |  | 90 |  | 80 | 70 | 70 | 30 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 1080 |  |  | 90 |  | 80 | 70 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 1260 |  |  | 90 |  | 80 | 80 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 1545 |  |  | 100 |  | 80 | 80 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 2760 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 |



| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.11 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.69 | 0.65 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.439 | 0.41 | Controls |
| 55 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 8/16/76 |  |
| 90 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Average | weight: | 0.140 gram |
| 110 | 30 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Water te | mperatur | e: $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 135 | 30 | 10 | 0 |  |  | 10 | 0 | pH : | 7.92 |  |
| 154 | 40 | 10 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 175 | 40 | 20 | 10 |  |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 190 | 40 | 20 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 226 | 40 | 40 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 235 | 40 | 40 | 30 |  |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 269 | 40 | 50 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 274 | 50 | 50 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 298 | 50 | 70 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 0 |
| 311 | 50 | 70 | 40 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 O |
| 320 | 50 | 70 | 40 | 10 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 10 0 |
| 345 | 60 | 70 | 40 | 10 | 50 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 10 0 |
| 350 | 70 | 70 | 40 | 10 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 | $10 \quad 0$ |

Appendix C. Continued

| Time (min.) | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| 355 | 80 |  | 70 | 50 | 10 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| 365 | 80 |  | 80 | 60 | 10 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| 400 | 80 |  | 90 | 60 | 10 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| 460 | 80 |  | 100 | 60 | 10 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| 480 | 80 |  | 100 | 60 | 20 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| 515 | 90 |  |  | 60 | 20 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 0 |
| 560 | 90 |  |  | 70 | 30 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 0 |
| 750 | 100 |  |  | 70 | 30 | 60 | 20 | 40 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 0 |
| 886 |  |  |  | 70 | 30 | 60 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 30 | 10 | 0 |
| 1169 |  |  |  | 70 | 30 | 70 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 30 | 10 | 0 |
| 1230 |  |  |  | 80 | 30 | 70 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 30 | 10 | 0 |
| 1350 |  |  |  | 90 | 30 | 80 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 30 | 10 | 0 |
| 2880 |  |  |  | 90 | 30 | 80 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 30 | 10 | 0 |
| 4056 |  |  |  | 90 | 30 | 80 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 30 | 10 | 0 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.20 | 1.29 | 0.89 | 0.84 | 0.70 | 0.67 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.35 | Con |  |
| 90 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 8/23/76 |  |  |
| 180 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | weight: | 0.465 |  |
| 245 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water t | mperatur | : 22 |  |
| 275 | 0 | 40 |  |  | 10 | 0 |  |  | pH: | 7.95 |  |  |
| 300 | 10 | 50 |  |  | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 315 | 20 | 50 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 330 | 30 | 60 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 380 | 40 | 70 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 450 | 60 | 80 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 490 | 60 | 80 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 530 | 70 | 80 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 575 | 70 | 90 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 690 | 70 | 100 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 751 | 90 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 780 | 100 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 805 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 855 |  |  | 20 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 870 |  |  | 30 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 985 |  |  | 30 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 1020 |  |  | 30 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 1180 |  |  | 30 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 1320 |  |  | 40 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 2730 |  |  | 50 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 4740 |  |  | 60 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| 5581 |  |  | 60 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| 5700 |  |  | 60 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| 5760 |  |  | 60 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.11 | 2.24 | 1.31 | 1.17 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.63 | 0.56 | Con |  |
| 35 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 8/30/76 |  |  |
| 45 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | weight : | 0.771 |  |
| 55 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  | Water t | mperatur | : 22 |  |
| 65 | 20 | 30 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  | pH: | 7.93 |  |  |
| 70 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | 40 | 50 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 141 | 50 | 50 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C. Concluded

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (min. }) \end{aligned}$ | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| 161 | 50 | 70 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | 50 | 80 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 196 | 70 | 90 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 225 | 80 | 100 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 266 | 90 |  | 50 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 275 | 90 |  | 60 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 330 | 100 |  | 60 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 380 |  |  | 60 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 420 |  |  | 60 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 480 |  |  | 70 | 40 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 595 |  |  | 70 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 10 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 635 |  |  | 70 | 50 | 50 | 40 | 10 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 675 |  |  | 80 | 50 | 50 | 40 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 690 |  |  | 80 | 50 | 50 | 40 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 750 |  |  | 80 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 940 |  |  | 80 | 50 | 60 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1140 |  |  | 90 | 50 | 70 | 50 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1320 |  |  | 100 | 60 | 80 | 50 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1440 |  |  |  | 70 | 80 | 50 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1620 |  |  |  | 70 | 90 | 50 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1735 |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 70 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4200 |  |  |  | 80 | 90 | 70 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Appendix D. Observations of Percent Catfish Mortality, Ammonia Bioassay



## Appendix D. Continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { (ime } \\ (\text { min. }) \end{gathered}$ | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.30 | 6.36 | 4.97 | 4.71 |  | 4.71 |  | 3.17 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 3/15/76 |  |  |
| 31 | 40 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | weight: | 5.37 |  |
| 33 | 60 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water t | mperatur |  |  |
| 35 | 80 | 40 | $\therefore$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 80 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | 80 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 100 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 |  |  | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 |  |  | 40 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95 |  |  | 40 | 20 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 |  |  | 40 | 40 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 |  |  | 40 | 60 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 |  |  | 60 | 60 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 |  |  | 60 | 60 |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 |  |  | 60 | 60 |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 240 |  |  | 60 | 80 |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 465 |  |  | 80 | 80 |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 |  |  | 100 | 80 |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 640 |  |  |  | 80 |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1350 |  |  |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1811 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2761 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2762 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2763 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.17 |  |  | 2.47 | 2.40 | 1.84 | 1.70 |  |  |  |  |
| 85 |  | 20 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Date: | 6/7/7 |  |  |
| 86 |  | 40 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Average | weight: | 8.2 |  |
| 90 |  | 60 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Water t | mperatur | : |  |
| 103 |  | 80 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 107 |  | 100 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1290 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 4230 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.35 | 2.32 | 1.80 | 1.67 | 1.31 | 1.29 | 0.97 | 0.84 | 0.78 | 0.69 | Co |  |
| 34 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 10/4/76 |  |  |
| 40 | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | weight: | 12. |  |
| 65 | 40 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Water t | mperatur |  |  |
| 75 | 40 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95 | 40 | 60 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 | 40 | 80 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 | 60 | 80 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 | 100 | 80 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 170 |  | 100 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 195 |  |  | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1080 |  |  | 40 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1081 |  |  | 60 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Time (min.) | Appendix D. Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| 1130 |  |  | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2520 |  |  | 100 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2521 |  |  |  | 60 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 |
| 2523 |  |  |  | 100 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4420 |  |  |  |  | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5400 |  |  |  |  | 60 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5745 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5760 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10,080* |  |  |  |  | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.20 | 3.24 | 2.28 | 2.31 | 2.02 | 2.04 |  | 1.59 | 1.34 | 1.22 | Co |  |
| 63 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 11/29/ |  |  |
| 71 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  | Average | weight | 7.1 |  |
| 77 | 40 | 50 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  | Water t | mperat | : |  |
| 78 | 40 | 60 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 60 | 90 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 | 60 | 90 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 97 | 70 | 90 | 50 | 30 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 99 | 70 | 90 | 70 | 30 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 | 70 | 100 | 70 | 40 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 | 80 |  | 80 | 40 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 | 100 |  | 80 | 40 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 136 |  |  | 90 | 60 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 153 |  |  | 100 | 60 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 196 |  |  |  | 60 | 20 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 240 |  |  |  | 70 | 20 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 370 |  |  |  | 80 | 20 | 30 |  |  | 0 | 10 |  |  |
| 855 |  |  |  | 90 | 30 | 30 |  |  | 0 | 10 |  |  |
| 930 |  |  |  | 90 | 30 | 40 |  |  | 0 | 10 |  |  |
| 1270 |  |  |  | 100 | 30 | 50 |  |  | 0 | 10 |  |  |
| 1381 |  |  |  |  | 30 | 60 |  |  | 0 | 10 |  |  |
| 1495 |  |  |  |  | 40 | 70 |  |  | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 1537 |  |  |  |  | 40 | 80 |  |  | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 1656 |  |  |  |  | 50 | 90 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 1860 |  |  |  |  | 60 | 90 |  | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 2702 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 100 |  | 20 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 2990 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 3260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 4140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 5610 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 5670 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 5760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| *Bioassays were continued but no further mortalities occurred. (Concluded on next page) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Appendix E. Observations of Percent Bass Mortality, Ammonia Bioassay



Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(m g / l)$

| 57 | 20 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 62 | 40 |
| 65 | 60 |
| 67 | 80 |
| 75 | 100 |

Date: 6/10/76
Average weight: 0.086 gram
Water temperature: $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$

|  | 2.79 | 2.97 | 2.65 | 2.64 | 1.63 | 1.59 | 1.25 | 1.16 | 0.79 | Controls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: $6 / 14 / 76$ |  |
| 65 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Average weight: 0.321 gram |  |
| 80 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Water temperature: $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| 87 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 95 | 30 | 40 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 110 | 40 | 40 |
| 118 | 50 | 50 |
| 130 | 60 | 50 |
| 137 | 70 | 50 |
| 145 | 80 | 50 |
| 147 | 80 | 50 |
| 170 | 100 | 70 |
| 189 |  | 80 |
| 191 |  | 90 |
| 221 |  | 100 |

$0 \quad 10$

10
20
30

| 0 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 10 |
| 0 | 10 |

10
$30 \quad 0$
10

10

| 30 | 10 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

10


10

| 50 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50 | 20 | 0 | 10 |

10

| 60 | 30 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

10

| 0 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 10 |

10

| 70 | 40 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 80 | 60 | 0 |

230
245
90
90
100

270
290
335
405
475
540
570
660
780
1335
1415
2675
4100
4170
Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$

|  | 2.60 | 2.63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | 0 | 10 |
| 112 | 0 | 20 |
| 113 | 10 | 30 |
| 114 | 20 | 40 |
| 138 | 20 | 50 |
| 139 | 20 | 60 |
| 148 | 30 | 60 |
| 149 | 40 | 70 |
| 150 | 50 | 70 |
| 160 | 60 | 70 |
| 163 | 60 | 80 |

Controls
Date: 6/16/76
Average weight: 0.322 gram
Water temperature: $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## Appendix E. Continued

| Time (min.) | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| 165 | 70 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | 70 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 230 | 70 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 260 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 261 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 330 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |


| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.83 | 2.65 | 2.17 | 1.97 | 1.66 | 1.47 | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.58 | 0.50 | Controls |
| 35 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | Date: | 9/13/76 |  |
| 40 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |  | Average | weight: | 2.018 grams |
| 45 | 30 | 20 | 50 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  |  | Water t | mperatur | e $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 47 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | 90 | 60 | 70 | 30 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | 90 | 60 | 80 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 60 | 90 | 60 | 90 | 50 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 64 | 100 | 70 | 90 | 50 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 73 |  | 90 | 90 | 70 | 50 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 77 |  | 90 | 90 | 80 | 60 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 84 |  | 90 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 20 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 86 |  | 90 | 90 | 90 | 70 | 30 | 10 | 20. |  |  |  |
| 93 |  | 100 | 90 | 100 | 70 | 30 | 10 | 30 |  |  |  |
| 110 |  |  | 90 |  | 90 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 10 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 115 |  |  | 100 |  | 90 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 10 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 120 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 20 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 136 |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 40 | 40 | 20 | 20 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 138 |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 40 | 40 | 20 | 20 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 153 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 40 | 40 | 30 | 20 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 173 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 40 | 40 | 30 | 30 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 175 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | 40 | 30 | 50 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 178 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 50 | 30 | 60 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 187 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 60 | 40 | 60 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 195 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 70 | 50 | 60 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 220 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 50 | 60 | 0 0 |
| 450 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 80 | 60 | 70 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 565 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 60 | 70 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 840 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 70 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 2790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 | 70 | 0 0 |

Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$

| 80 | 10 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 81 | 20 | 0 |
| 82 | 30 | 0 |
| 100 | 40 | 10 |
| 110 | 50 | 10 |
| 120 | 50 | 20 |
| 127 | 60 | 20 |
| 138 | 60 | 30 |
| 139 | 60 | 40 |
| 140 | 70 | 40 |
| 141 | 70 | 50 |
| 149 | 70 | 60 |
| 167 | 80 | 60 |

Date: $\quad 9 / 15 / 76$
Average weight: 6.29 grams
Water temperature: $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |

Appendix E. Continued

| Time (min.) | Tank number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 11 | $t$ | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| 168 |  |  | 90 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 176 |  |  | 100 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 193 |  |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 195 |  |  |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 200 |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1470 |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Average $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(m g / l)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.16 | 2.37 | 1.64 | 1.57 | 1.36 | 1.18 |  |  |  | 0.62 | Co |  |
| 19 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: 9/20/76 <br> Average weight: 2.176 grams Water temperature: $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |
| 22 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 50 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 60 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | 70 | 40 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 62 | 70 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 64 | 70 | 50 | 40 | 40 | 20 | 20 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 72 | 90 | 50 | 40 | 40 | 20 | 30 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 76 | 90 | 70 | 40 | 40 | 20 | 30 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 80 | 100 | 70 | 50 | 50 | 30 | 30 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 85 |  | 80 | 60 | 60 | 30 | 30 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 88 |  | 90 | 60 | 60 | 30 | 30 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 93 |  | 100 | 60 | 60 | 50 | 30 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 108 |  |  | 60 | 70 | 50 | 40 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 116 |  |  | 70 | 80 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 126 |  |  | 80 | 80 | 60 | 50 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |
| 134 |  |  | 90 | 100 | 60 | 50 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |
| 135 |  |  | 90 |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| 170 |  |  | 100 |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| 176 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 60 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| 190 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 60 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| 223 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| 255 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 70 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| 650 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 80 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| 680 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 90 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| 1215 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 90 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| 1305 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 90 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| 2880 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 90 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| 4320 |  |  |  |  | 90 | 90 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Conclude | don |  |

## Appendix E. Concluded



