

# LSHTM Research Online

Pedrazzoli, D; Siroka, A; Boccia, D; Bonsu, F; Nartey, K; Houben, R; Borghi, J; (2018) How affordable is TB care? Findings from a nationwide TB patient cost survey in Ghana. Tropical medicine & international health. ISSN 1360-2276 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13085

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/4647946/

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13085

# Usage Guidelines:

 $Please \ refer \ to \ usage \ guidelines \ at \ https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html \ or \ alternatively \ contact \ researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.$ 

 $\label{eq:available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/$ 

#### Title

## How affordable is TB care? Findings from a nationwide TB patient cost survey in Ghana.

#### Authors

Debora Pedrazzoli<sup>1\*</sup>, Andrew Siroka<sup>2</sup>, Delia Boccia<sup>1</sup>, Frank Bonsu<sup>3</sup>, Kenneth Nartey<sup>4</sup>, Rein Houben<sup>1</sup>, Josephine Borghi<sup>5</sup>

# Authors' affiliations

- 1. Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom
- 2. World Health Organization, Global Tuberculosis Programme, Geneva, Switzerland
- 3. National Tuberculosis Control Programme, Ghana Health Service, Ghana
- 4. Dodowa Health Research Centre, Ghana
- 5. Department of Global Health and Development, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

\*Correspondence to: Debora Pedrazzoli, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, United Kingdom. E-mail: <u>debora.pedrazzoli@lshtm.ac.uk</u>

Word count: 3002/3500 Figures: 2 Tables: 2 Number of references: 42

Key words: TB; affordability; catastrophic costs; impoverishment; coping strategies; Ghana

## Abstract

#### Background

Tuberculosis (TB) is known as a disease of the poor. Despite TB diagnosis and care usually being offered for free, TB patients can still face substantial costs, especially in the context of multi-drug resistance (MDR). The End TB Strategy calls for zero TB-affected families incurring "catastrophic" costs due to TB by 2025.

## Objectives

This paper examines the level and composition of costs incurred by TB-affected households during care seeking and treatment, by MDR status; examines affordability of TB care using catastrophic and impoverishment measures; and describes coping strategies used by TB-affected households to pay for TB care.

## Methods

A nationally representative survey of TB patients at public health facilities across Ghana.

#### Results

We enrolled 691 patients (66 MDR). The median expenditure for non-MDR TB was US\$429.6 during treatment, compared to US\$659.0 for MDR patients (p-value=0.001).

Catastrophic costs affected 64.1% of patients. MDR patients were pushed significantly further over the threshold for catastrophic payments than DS patients. Payments for TB care led to a significant increase in the proportion of households in the study sample that live below the poverty line at the time of survey compared to pre-TB diagnosis. Over half of patients undertook coping strategies.

#### Conclusion

TB patients in Ghana incur substantial costs, despite free diagnosis and treatment. High rates of catastrophic costs and coping strategies in both non-MDR and MDR patients show that new policies are urgently needed to ensure TB care is actually affordable for TB patients.

- 1 Introduction and background
- 2

3 Much has been achieved in tuberculosis (TB) control since the World Health Organization (WHO) 4 declared it a global emergency in the mid-nineties (1). Yet TB, with an estimated 10.4 million new 5 cases and 1.7 million TB-related deaths globally in 2016, is now the leading cause of mortality from a 6 single infection (2).

7

8 TB also represents an equity challenge. While TB is not solely a disease of the poor, poverty and 9 inequity fuel the TB epidemic (3, 4). Poverty has been found to increase the risk of acquiring TB 10 infection and developing the disease through more proximal risk factors such as malnutrition and 11 overcrowded living conditions (5-7). Poverty also limits access to care for TB patients, particularly in 12 low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where health care financing is characterised by a heavy 13 reliance on out-of-pocket (OOP) payments and the limited coverage of prepayment mechanisms 14 (e.g. taxation, health insurance)(8). Even when TB diagnosis and treatment are provided free of 15 charge, TB patients often incur transport, accommodation and time costs associated with care 16 seeking (9). Costs can be a deterrent to accessing diagnosis and care in the first place for those with 17 constrained incomes (10), and where patients do seek care, costs reduce available income making 18 the patient, and their household more vulnerable to financial hardship (11). Where households 19 struggle to afford care, TB patients will be less likely to adhere to treatment and may fail to 20 complete it (12), thus leading to increased TB transmission in the household and community, as well 21 as exacerbating individual morbidity and mortality (13). Affordability is a particular concern for 22 treatment of multi-drug resistant (MDR)-TB which often lasts for more than 18 months (14, 15).

23

Recognising this challenge, the WHO's End TB Strategy for the 2015-2035 era includes a target of
preventing any TB patient from incurring "catastrophic" costs due to TB, or ensuring that costs do
not exceed 20% of annual household income (16, 17).

27

However, while there have been previous assessments of TB patient costs in LMICs (18) (including in
Ghana, (19)), most studies did not report costs as a proportion of income, nor did they measure
affordability of TB care (14). To enhance the evidence base on the costs and affordability of TB care,
WHO developed a survey tool to enable rigorous measurement of TB patient costs and their share of
household income (20).

33

Here we report findings from a nationwide representative sample of TB patients in Ghana, the first study to use this survey tool in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). This paper examines the level and composition of costs incurred by TB-affected households during care seeking and treatment, by MDR status; examines affordability of TB care using catastrophic and impoverishment measures; and describes coping strategies used by TB-affected households to pay for TB care.

39

# 40 Methods

41

# 42 Study setting

43 Despite positive economic growth over the past two decades and consequent reduction in poverty

44 levels (21), 25.2% of people in Ghana still live below \$1.90/day and economic and health inequalities

45 persist and have worsened (22).

46

TB incidence in Ghana was estimated at about 160 per 100,000 population in 2016 (2). A prevalence
survey was conducted in 2013, which also highlighted barriers to accessing and adhering to TB care.
Diagnostics and treatment for TB are officially offered free of charge by public providers to all
presumptive patients and individuals diagnosed with TB disease, with the exception of chest
radiography (23).

52

# 53 Data collection

In late 2016, we conducted a nationally representative survey with random cluster sampling among TB patients at health facilities within the National TB Programme network, using an adapted and expanded version of the WHO patient cost tool. Twenty-five districts (clusters) across Ghana were sampled using a probability proportional to size approach, where each district's chance of being selected was relative to the number of TB patients notified in that district in 2015.

59

Eligibility for the study was restricted to TB patients registered for treatment, attending a health facility within a sampled cluster, who had received at least two weeks of intensive or continuation phase treatment, and who consented to the study. In total, 734 individuals were interviewed; of these, 691 (94%) were eligible and consented to take part in the study. We collected information on TB-related costs incurred by respondents, as well as on their clinical, demographic, and socioeconomic characteristics.

66

# 67 Costs incurred by TB affected households

The survey collected data on direct medical (consultation fees, drugs, laboratory tests) and nonmedical (e.g. transport and food) costs, and indirect costs (the time lost by a patient seeking and receiving care), up to the time of interview. To value time, we employed the output-related approach, by which the value of time is defined as the difference in household annual income pre and post-TB diagnosis (24). To minimise recall bias, data were collected only for the treatment phase the patient was in at the time of interview (i.e. intensive or continuation phase).

74

To estimate patient costs for the entire TB episode, including costs for all phases of treatment, we extrapolated costs based on data from patients in other phases of illness. We used the approach recommended by WHO, whereby missing cost data were replaced by the median cost of the phase of illness among those in that phase with available data (20).

79 80

# 81 Affordability of TB care

82 We computed four summary metrics of affordability of health care: i) the *catastrophic payment* 83 *headcount, ii) catastrophic payment gap*), iii) *impoverishment incidence* and iv) *poverty gap* (25).

84

For the *catastrophic payment headcount ratio,* consistent with the approach adopted by WHO for the "zero TB-affected families facing catastrophic costs due to TB" indicator, costs were defined as "catastrophic" if a household incurred total TB-related costs (direct and indirect) exceeding 20% of their pre-disease annual household income (20). The *catastrophic payment gap* represents the

- 89 amount by which households exceed this threshold (26).
- 90
- 91

- 92 The *impoverishment incidence* measures the increase in poverty resulting from households incurring
- 93 costs for TB care. The World Bank US\$ 1.90/day international poverty line is used in this study (27).
- 94 The *poverty gap* is the short-fall from this poverty line (28).
- 95
- 96 Income was measured as self-reported individual and household income where available (n=553). If
  97 missing, income estimates were based on self-reported household assets (e.g. composition of floor
- 98 or ownership of a mobile phone) using a regression-based approach (n=134)(20) (Annex), or 99 minimum reported income where only one asset was reported (n=4). Metrics were computed using
- 100 the best available measure of income for each household.
- 101
- 102 We used a Pen's parade chart to plot two income distributions (gross income and income net of 103 payments for TB) using a cumulative proportion of individuals ranked according to their gross 104 household income, to show the potential decrease in household welfare due to payments for TB 105 care and consequent reduction in household income (29).
- 106
- 107 *Coping mechanisms*
- 108 We also computed a complementary metric (*"coping"*) if households undertook any of the following:
- 109 borrowing (having taken a loan), selling household items or assets (e.g. livestock), and use of savings.
- 110
- 111 Data analyses
- We report descriptive analysis of the level (median and interquartile range, IQR) and composition of costs. We used median values of costs and time as opposed to means due to the skewed distributions of both costs and time spent seeking care. Given the higher costs reported in previous studies for MDR-TB versus drug susceptible (DS) patients, results are presented by MDR status (14, 15).
- 117
- 118 Comparisons between costs for DS and MDR patients were made using chi-square and Wilcoxon 119 Rank Sum test. All analyses were run in Stata v13.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Costs were 120 converted to United States Dollars (US\$) using the average annual exchange rate during study 121 enrolment of US\$1=4.15 Ghanaian cedis (oanda.com).
- 122
- 123 Sensitivity analyses
- 124 For estimating missing costs, we employed a regression-based approach, by estimating costs for that 125 patient and treatment phase using a set of variables conceptually linked to incurring costs (sex, age, 126 occupation, rural/urban residence). We also varied the 20% threshold for catastrophic costs to see 127 how this would affect the proportion of households deemed as facing catastrophic costs. Additional 128 thresholds we considered were 10%, 40% and 50% that have been previously used in the healthcare 129 literature (30-33). The catastrophic payment headcount was also computed using consumption 130 expenditure instead of income as a robustness check (34), because in settings where employment is 131 mainly outside the formal sector, consumption expenditure is often believed to be a more valid 132 measure than income (35-37). Finally, we also looked at how taking into account only direct costs 133 would impact on the proportion of households confronting financial catastrophe. 134
- 135 Ethics
- 136 The study was approved by the research ethics committees of the London School of Hygiene and
- 137 Tropical Medicine (REF:11240) and Ghana Health Service (GHS-ERC 14/06/16).

#### 138 **Findings**

139 Half the sampled patients had a secondary level education and were non-salaried employees (Table 140 1). Three-quarters of respondents lived in an urban setting. Sixty-six (9.6%) respondents were being 141 treated for MDR-TB at the time of survey, and about a tenth had already been treated for TB in the 142 past (Table 1). Ninety respondents were new cases in their intensive phase of treatment and 143 reported on average a delay of four weeks between experiencing symptoms and diagnosis. The 144 characteristics of DS and MDR patients did not differ significantly overall, although DS patients were 145 more likely to be newly diagnosed and have larger household size. 146

147 Table 1: Descriptive statistics and selected socio-demographic and economic characteristics of the 148 study population, by MDR status and overall.

Characteristic	DS-TB	MDR-TB		All
Characteristic	N= 625	N= 66	p-vaiue	N= 691
Sex, N (%)				
Male	423 (67.7%)	42 (63.6%)	0.51	465 (67.3%)
Female	202 32.3%)	24 (36.4%)	0.51	226 (32.7%)
Age in years, Median [IQR]	41 [29-52]	43 [29-50]	0.88	41 [29-52)
Phase, N (%)				
Intensive	210 (33.6%)	22 (33.3%)	0.10	232 (33.6%)
Continuation	415 (66.4%)	44 (66.7%)	0.10	459 (66.4%)
Recorded HIV Status, N (%)				
Positive	121 (19.4%)	8 (12.1%)		129 (18.7%)
Negative	431 (69.0%)	32 (48.5%)	0.78	463 (67.0%)
Unknown	73 (11.7%)	26 (39.4%)		99 (14.3%)
Retreatment status, N (%)				
New	560 (89.6%)	55 (83.3%)	0.00	615 (89%)
Retreatment/Relapse	65 (10.4%)	11 (16.7%)	0.08	76 (11%)
Diagnosis delay (weeks ), Median (SD)	4 (16.2)	6 (12.9)		4 (15.9)
N (%)	80 (44.2%)	10 (52.6%)	0.48	90 (45%)
Patient's education status, N (%)	623	66		689
No education	125 (20.1)	11 (16.7)		136 (19.7)
Primary school	122 (19.6)	8 (12.1)	0.04	130 (18.9)
Secondary school / High school	350 (56.2)	42 (63.6)	0.24	392 (56.9)
University and higher	26 (4.2)	5 (7.6)		31 (4.5)
Occupation pre-disease (by main categories), N (%)	525	59		691
Salaried	70 (13.3)	9 (15.4)		79 (13.5)
Not salaried	269 (51.2)	36 (61.0)	0.16	305 (52.2)
Not employed / In school	186 (35.4)	14 (23.7)		200 (34.3)
Place of residence, N (%)	621	66		687
Urban	444 (71.5)	47 (71.2)	0.00	491 (71.5)
Rural	177 (28.5)	19 (28.8)	0.96	196 (28.5)
Household size, Median [IQR]	6 [4;11]	4 [3;9]	0.01	6 [4;11]

		154.7 [96.4-		
Monthly household income in US\$ [IQR] †	144.6 [79.5-241.0]	241.0]	0.34	144.6 [84.3-241.0]

4 - 4 - 100 4

# † Pre-TB diagnosis

# 150 Costs incurred by TB affected households

151 The median (IQR) costs that TB patients incurred as a result of TB was US\$ 455 (159.2-1059.2).

MDR-TB patients incurred significantly higher costs than DS patients: the median expenditure for DS-TB patients was US\$ 429.6 (154.0-981.2), and for MDR-TB patients was US\$ 659.0 (93.2-1680.3) (p-

- 154 value=0.001).
- 155

149

Costs after diagnosis were most significant at 93% of total. This was largely driven by non-medical costs, notably income loss (42% for DS and 49% for MDR patients). Median lost income was US\$ 0.0 (0.0-195.2) for DS patients and US\$ 0.0 (0.0-216.9) for MDR-TB (p-value=0.38). The median percentage of household income lost due to TB was US\$ 0.0% (0.0%-14.6%) for DS and US\$ 0.0% (0.0%-14.2%) for MDR-TB patients (p-value=0.43).

161

While there was no difference in median costs between DS and MDR before diagnosis, the median costs after diagnosis were almost three times greater for the MDR group (p-value<0.0001) (US\$ 164 1276 versus US\$ 481), due to higher levels of non-medical costs among the MDR group (supplementary Table A1). Food and/or nutritional supplements outside the patient's normal diet were the largest contributors to non-medical expenses and these were significantly higher for MDR than for DS patients (36% vs. 21% of total costs) (Figure 1).

- 168
- 169 Figure 1: Composition of costs pre and post-TB diagnosis, by MDR status.
- 170 171 a) DS-TB

b) MDR-TB



172

173

177

# 174 Affordability of TB care

175 The median percentage of household income spent on TB was 32.3% (IQR: 11.7%-61.2%), which was 176 significantly higher for MDR compared to DS patients (48.8% versus 31.3%, p-value=0.0016).

The proportion of patients incurring catastrophic costs at a 20% threshold of annual household income was 64.1% (443/691) (95% confidence interval: 60.5%-67.6%) (*catastrophic payment headcount ratio*). This ratio was estimated at 77.3% for MDR patients versus 63.2% for DS individuals – a difference which was not statistically significant (p-value=0.125). 182

For the *catastrophic payment gap*, patients overshot the 20% threshold by an average of 39.2 percentage points overall. This indicator was significantly higher for MDR patients (59.2%) than for DS patients (37.1%) (p-value=0.005).

186

Payments for TB care led to a significant increase in the proportion of households in the study sample that live below the poverty line (PPP US\$ 1.90/day) (p-value<0.001), from 45.6% before TB diagnosis to 59.8% at the time of the survey (*poverty headcount ratio*). There was no statistical difference between the levels of poverty pre-diagnosis nor of the proportions shifted below the poverty line between MDR and DS patients.

192

The *poverty gap*, the short-fall from the international poverty line, increased from 60.8% (61.8% for
DS and 50.7% for MDR, p-value=0.012) to 67.0% at the time of survey (68.4% for DS and 56.0% for
MDR, p-value=0.026), a relative increment of 10%, which did not significantly differ by MDR status.

197 The "paint drips" in the Pen's chart suggest that payments for TB care led to a decrease in household 198 income and therefore to a decrease in household welfare. It is primarily households in the middle 199 and lower half of the income distribution that are pushed below the poverty line or further into 200 poverty by payments for TB (Figure 2).

201

Figure 2: Pen's parade of household income gross and net of payments for TB (red line represents
the poverty line at US\$ 2.02 PPP (2015)\*).





205 206 207

208

209

\* US\$ 2.02 PPP (2015)=US\$ 1.90 PPP (2011), which is equal to 2.79 Ghanaian cedis (December 2016, oanda.com).

# 210 Coping mechanisms

- 211 Over half (51.5%) of patients were unable to pay for TB-treatment from existing income alone, and 212 had to rely on savings, borrowing or selling assets (collectively termed: coping strategies) to pay for 213 TB-related care (Table 2). This did not significantly differ by MDR status (p=0.4).
- 214

# 215

216

Table 2: Reported dissav	ving mechanisms by	MDR status.
--------------------------	--------------------	-------------

Coping strategies	DS, % (N)	MDR, % (N)	All, % (N)
Loan	27.0 (169/625)	30.3 (20/66)	27.4 (189/691)
Use of savings	29.4 (184/625)	16.7 (11/66)	28.2 (195/691)
Sale of assets	10.7 (67/625)	15.2 (10/66)	11.1 (77/691)
Any of the three above	52.0 (325/625)	47.0 (31/66)	51.5 (356/691)

217 218

#### 219 **Productivity loss**

220 Nearly three-quarters (73.7%) of patients lost days of work due to TB diagnosis and treatment, and 221 this proportion was significantly highger for DS patients (92.0%) than for DS patients (8.15%) 222 (p<0.008). The median number of working days of income lost was 54 (IQR: 0-150), and this was 223 significantly higher for DS patients (56 days; IQR: 1-150) than for MDR patients (24.5 days: IQR: 0-90) 224 (p=0.008). The median number of days lost by patients in the formal sector was 30 (IQR: 0-120), 225 versus 60 days (IQR: 14-150) for patients in the informal sector. More than forty percent (41.0%) of 226 patients reported that they lost their job as a result of TB. This was not significantly different by MDR 227 status (p=0.186).

228

#### 229 Sensitivity analyses

230 Using the regression-based approach to impute costs instead of the median cost approach, the level 231 of costs incurred decreased by 18.2%, leading to lower estimates of catastrophic costs which 232 significantly differed by MDR status (53.1% for DS and MDR 72.7%; p-value=0.002) (supplementary 233 Table A2 and A3).

234

235 When we used annual household consumption expenditure instead of income, the proportion of 236 households incurring catastrophic costs was fairly consistent (61.8%) and the difference between DS

- 237 and MDR patients remained statistically insignificant (61.2% versus 67.7%, p-value=0.305).
- 238

239 As the income threshold increases, the catastrophic payment headcount ratio decreases accordingly, 240 but even at a 40% threshold of annual household income 42.3% of patients would be still considered 241 to incur catastrophic costs (supplementary Figure 1A). This ratio was significantly different for DS

- 242 and MDR patients (40.6% versus 57.6%, p-value=0.008).
- 243

244 When we took into account only direct costs in the numerator, 49.1% of patients incurred financial 245 catastrophe, and the difference between DS and MDR patients was significant (47.6% for DS and 246 63.6% MDR, p-value=0.013).

247 248 Discussion

- Our findings show that despite policies of free TB care in the public sector in Ghana, TB patients lack financial protection, with two-thirds of TB-affected households facing financial catastrophe, an additional 14.2% pushed into poverty due to the disease, and half undertaking coping strategies to finance costs. The increase in the poverty gap means that not only is the number of TB-affected households in Ghana that experience catastrophic health payments high, but these households (and especially MDR affected families) also substantially exceed this threshold.
- 255

Median costs that TB patients incurred in Ghana are higher than what was found in the systematic review by Tanimura et al (US\$ 379), and in a previous study conducted by Mauch et al in two regions of Ghana in 2009 (US\$ 202)(14, 19). Although it is hard to directly compare our findings to those from these studies due to the different methodologies employed, it is possible to draw similar conclusions pointing to the financial catastrophe and impoverishment faced by TB patients in Ghana due to TB.

262

263 The proportion of TB patients living below the poverty line is greater than in the general population 264 (45.6% vs. 24.2%) (38). This means that TB patients are more vulnerable and policies that can 265 effectively defray costs incurred by TB patients are warranted. As direct medical expenditures only 266 account for 18.2% of total costs, universal health coverage is unlikely to impact on the number of 267 families facing catastrophic costs. Income loss and food and/or nutritional supplements are the 268 largest cost components. This calls for social protection interventions aimed at income replacement 269 or food assistance programmes, such as the provision of food packages, specifically targeting TB 270 patients (39).

271

Findings from our study clearly show that to address the devastating economic burden of TB care on TB-affected households, multi-sectoral actions are needed. Eliminating direct medical cost requires thorough review of TB service delivery including streamlined access to quality TB diagnostics and care. To mitigate direct non-medical costs and indirect costs, social support and protection measures need to be enhanced and integrated with TB care. As many patients lost their job as a result of TB, labour protection for TB patients needs to be endorsed and implemented effectively.

278

279 Costs incurred pre-diagnosis only account for 7.0% of total costs. The difference in costs between DS 280 and MDR lies primarily in post-diagnosis costs. This is consistent with other surveys that followed the 281 WHO methodology (40), but considerably differs from the findings from the systematic review by 282 Tanimura *et al.*, where costs incurred before treatment initiation represented half of total costs. This 283 is likely due to the fact that studies included in this review employed heterogeneous data collection 284 methods (14). It can also be argued that TB programmes may now be able to link people to care 285 earlier by, for example, further decentralising diagnostic facilities or implementing more systematic 286 case finding activities. This would lead to lower pre-diagnosis costs.

287

As in previous studies, we found that MDR patients face substantially higher costs than DS-TB which is driven by non-medical expenditures. While there was no statistical difference in the proportion of patients incurring catastrophic expenditures by MDR status, MDR patients were pushed significantly further over the threshold for catastrophic payments than DS patients. To our knowledge, this is the first study to find this. However, when the numerator for catastrophic expenditures is limited to direct costs as is the case conventionally for financial protection measurement, the MDR patients

- were more likely to incur catastrophic expenditures than DS patients. The impoverishing effects of the disease did not significantly differ by MDR status. The long-term care of the disease makes this group particularly at risk of catastrophic costs and this requires special consideration in TB control programming.
- 298

Further, though the evidence on the effects of costs on TB treatment outcomes remains scanty, it may be reasonable to assume that higher costs associated with seeking and adhering to treatment may lead to worse outcomes by reducing household resources available for food and worsening living conditions. Therefore, the importance of assessing costs may also be clinically relevant.

- 303
- 304 Limitations

This study has several limitations. Firstly, it only focused on Ghana, which has low HIV and MDR prevalence, hence our estimate of TB-related costs may be lower compared to other SSA settings with higher TB-HIV and MDR rates.

308

Second, this survey was conducted in health facilities in the NTP network, in line with the WHO protocol; however, the 2013 prevalence survey found that 38.5% of patients in Ghana seek care at private facilities. As we do not know if these patients are wealthier or poorer than those in the general population(41), we cannot determine whether the exclusion of the private sector has led to overestimating or underestimating TB-related costs.

314

315 In addition, the prevalence survey found little evidence to suggest strong geographical 316 heterogeneity. If the TB epidemic is truly generalised, then districts with low notification rates can 317 be a sign that cases are either not seeking care when needed, have limited access (perhaps 318 geographically) to healthcare or are seeking care, but are being missed by the health system. Our 319 findings may underestimate costs because we overlooked the financial impact on individuals that 320 forgo medical care because they cannot afford to pay (e.g. to reach the health facility). This is a 321 limitation of the sampling methodology which tends to select districts with high notifications and, 322 therefore, possibly with better off patients.

323

324 This was a cross-sectional study. A major limitation to the estimation of costs incurred by patients is 325 recall bias, i.e. patients not accurately remembering the amount of time or money they spent in 326 seeking care for their TB diagnosis and treatment. We attempted to minimise recall bias by asking 327 patients only about the treatment phase they were in, and extrapolating costs to the entire episode 328 using two different approaches. While this assumes that every patient successfully completes 329 treatment, it is difficult to determine how patients who fail and/or re-start treatment or die while 330 being treated affect our estimates of costs. We found some sensitivity based on the regression-331 based approach, but this did not affect the main findings and still meant that over half the 332 respondents face financial catastrophe. This remained true when we considered only direct costs.

333

Finally, this analysis only focuses on the one period consequences of TB, but the effects of coping
 mechanisms, and the impoverishing and catastrophic consequences of the disease for the household
 span well beyond the TB episode by reducing labour supply and productivity.

- 337
- 338
- 339

## 340 **Conclusions**

Although TB diagnosis and treatment are provided free of charge, TB patients in Ghana incur
 substantial costs and lack financial protection. As non-medical and indirect costs account for the
 majority of these costs, free TB care is clearly not enough.

344

345 High rates of catastrophic costs and coping in both non-MDR and MDR patients show that new 346 policies beyond providing free TB care are urgently needed to offset non-medical and indirect costs, 347 and ensure TB care is actually affordable for TB patients. It is therefore essential that countries 348 undertaking TB patient cost surveys follow up on the survey findings by conducting, for example, 349 national consultations with key stakeholders to discuss policy and practice implications, and 350 effectively translate these findings into concrete action.

# Appendix

Cost component	DS-	TB (N=625)	MD	MDR-TB (N=66) All (N=691)		ll (N=691)
	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR
Costs before diagnosis	31.6	30.2-35.9	32.8	31.4-37.3	31.7	30.2-35.9
Medical costs	26.7	26.7-26.7	27.7	27.7-27.7	26.7	26.7-26.7
Non-medical costs	2.9	2.9-2.9	2.9	2.9-2.9	2.9	2.9-2.9
Costs after diagnosis	481.7	220.1-1032.8	1276.3	442.7-2456.2	519.3	232.3-1161.2
Medical costs	74	55.8-77.0	40.7	8.3-101.3	70.0	55.8-77.5
Non-medical costs	140.6	31.0-427.6	427.6	112.1-1061.3	149.3	32.3-524.0
Travel	18.3	8.1-49.2	27.1	8.1-131.2	18.3	8.1-51.3
Accommodation	0.0	0.0-0.0	0.0	0.0-0.0	0.0	0.0-0.0
Food/nutritional supplements	68.4	9.7-327.5	227.9	18.9-708.4	78.2	10.1-360.1
Caregiver's time	0.0	0.0-0.74	0.0	0.0-20.5	0.0	0.0-0.56
Income loss	0.0	0.0-195.2	0.0	0.0-216.9	87.3	0.0-506.0
Total costs	429.6	154.0-981.2	659	393.2-1680.3	455.0	159.2-1059.2

1) Table A1: Summary of costs before and after diagnosis, by MDR status and overall.

2) Table A2: Sensitivity analysis (regression-based method): Summary of costs before and after diagnosis, by MDR status and overall.

	DS-TB (N=625)		MDR-TB (N=66)		All (N=691)	
Cost component	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR
Costs before diagnosis	19.2	17.9-27.6	12	10.4-17.2	12.4	10.5-19.1
Medical costs	15.7	15.7-15.7	8.4	8.4-8.4	8.4	8.4-8.4
Non-medical costs	1.2	1.2-1.2	1.2	1.2-1.2	1.2	1.2-1.2
Costs after diagnosis	404.6	150.9-958.8	1250.8	431.9-2405.9	454.1	163.2-1083.5
Medical costs	0.0	0.0-14.4	0.0	0.0-86.7	0.0	0.0-20-6
Non-medical costs	129.5	19.3-484.0	425.2	109.6-1051.7	139.7	21.7-516.0
Total costs	341.9	67.5-893.1	634.5	354.2-1630.0	372.7	73.4-971.4

3) Table A3: Sensitivity analysis (regression-based method): Catastrophic payments due to TB at the 20% threshold, by MDR status and living standard measure employed.

Living standard measure	Households facing catastrophic costs				
employed	DS, % (N)	MDR, % (N)	All, % (N)		
Income	53.1 (332/625)	72.7 (48/66)	55.0 (380/691)		
Consumption expenditure	49.8% (296/595)	66.2% (43/65)	51.4 (339/660)		

4) Figure A1: Sensitivity analysis of catastrophic costs threshold.



#### 5) Prediction of household annual income based on asset ownership/dwelling characteristics.

We selected all of the asset variables from the 2014 Ghana Demographic and Health Survey dataset(42), which measures both asset ownership and household income. Variables included household characteristics (e.g. the type of flooring material, availability of electricity, the number of rooms used for sleeping, place for cooking, type of cooking fuel), and household possessions (e.g. household effects such as radio, colour television, mobile/non-mobile telephone, refrigerator; means of transport, including bicycle, animal drawn cart, car/truck, boat with a motor; ownership of agricultural land and farm animals). We then employed a multi-variable linear regression model to predict household income. We selected those variables that were most strongly associated with income by looking at those with the smallest p-values or largest test statistics from the resulting regression. This list of selected assets was included in the survey questionnaire.

This method may be useful in countries like Ghana with a large informal sector and where a validated set of questions on asset ownership or dwelling characteristics exists, as recommended in the WHO's "Tuberculosis Patient Cost Surveys: A Handbook" (20).

# References

1. Nunn P, Reid A, De Cock KM. Tuberculosis and HIV Infection: The Global Setting. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2007;196(Supplement 1):S5-S14.

2. World Health Organization. Global Tuberculosis Report 2016. 2017.

3. Dubos RJ, Dubos J. The white plague: tuberculosis, man, and society: Rutgers University Press; 1952.

4. Janssens JP, Rieder HL. An ecological analysis of incidence of tuberculosis and per capita gross domestic product. The European respiratory journal. 2008;32(5):1415-6.

5. Weiss KB, Addington WW. Poverty's Penalty. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1998;157:1011.

6. Lienhardt C. From exposure to disease: the role of environmental factors in susceptibility to and development of tuberculosis. Epidemiologic reviews. 2001;23(2):288-301.

7. Oxlade O, Murray M. Tuberculosis and poverty: why are the poor at greater risk in India? 2012.

8. McIntyre D, Garshong B, Mtei G, Meheus F, Thiede M, Akazili J, et al. Beyond fragmentation and towards universal coverage: insights from Ghana, South Africa and the United Republic of Tanzania. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2008;86(11):871-6.

9. Laokri S, Weil O, Drabo KM, Dembelé SM, Kafando B, Dujardin B. Removal of user fees no guarantee of universal health coverage: observations from Burkina Faso. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2013;91(4):277-82.

10. Cambanis A, Yassin MA, Ramsay A, Squire SB, Arbide I, Cuevas LE. Rural poverty and delayed presentation to tuberculosis services in Ethiopia. Tropical medicine & international health : TM & IH. 2005;10.

11. McIntyre D, Thiede M, Dahlgren G, Whitehead M. What are the economic consequences for households of illness and of paying for health care in low- and middle-income country contexts? Social Science & Medicine. 2006;62(4):858-65.

12. Long Q, Smith H, Zhang T, Tang S, Garner P. Patient medical costs for tuberculosis treatment and impact on adherence in China: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2011;11(1):393.

13. Ukwaja KN, Alobu I, Lgwenyi C, Hopewell PC. The high cost of free tuberculosis services: patient and household costs associated with tuberculosis care in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. PloS one. 2013;8(8):e73134.

14. Tanimura T, Jaramillo E, Weil D, Raviglione M, Lönnroth K. Financial burden for tuberculosis patients in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. The European respiratory journal. 2014;43.

15. Wingfield T, Boccia D, Tovar M, Gavino A, Zevallos K, Montoya R, et al. Defining catastrophic costs and comparing their importance for adverse tuberculosis outcome with multi-drug resistance: a prospective cohort study, Peru. PLoS medicine. 2014;11(7):e1001675.

16. Lonnroth K, Glaziou P, Weil D, Floyd K, Uplekar M, Raviglione M. Beyond UHC: monitoring health and social protection coverage in the context of tuberculosis care and prevention. PLoS medicine. 2014;11.

17. Uplekar M, Weil D, Lonnroth K, Jaramillo E, Lienhardt C, Dias HM. WHO's new End TB Strategy. Lancet. 2015;385.

18. Barter DM, Agboola SO, Murray MB, Barnighausen T. Tuberculosis and poverty: the contribution of patient costs in sub-Saharan Africa--a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2012;12:980.

19. Mauch V, Bonsu F, Gyapong M, Awini E, Suarez P, Marcelino B. Free tuberculosis diagnosis and treatment are not enough: patient cost evidence from three continents. The international journal of tuberculosis and lung disease : the official journal of the International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. 2013;17.

20. World Health Organization. Tuberculosis patient cost surveys: a handbook. Geneva, Switzerland2017.

21. World Development Indicators: World Development Indicators.

http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/world-development-indicators.

22. Ghana Statistical Service. Ghana Poverty Mapping Report - Ghana Statistical Service.2015.

 Pedrazzoli D, Lalli M, Boccia D, Houben R, Kranzer K. Can tuberculosis patients in resource-constrained settings afford chest radiography? The European respiratory journal.
 2016.

24. World Health Organization. WHO guide to identifying the economic consequences of disease and injury; 2009. Geneva: WHO Available from URL: <u>http://www/</u> who

int/choice/publications/discussion\_papers/en/index html (Accessed 4 June 2010).

25. Saksena P, Hsu J, Evans DB. Financial risk protection and universal health coverage: evidence and measurement challenges. PLoS medicine. 2014;11(9):e1001701.

26. Wagstaff A, Doorslaer E. Catastrophe and impoverishment in paying for health care: with applications to Vietnam 1993–1998. Health Econ. 2003;12.

27. Ravallion M, Chen S, Sangraula P. Dollar a Day Revisited. The World Bank Economic Review. 2009;23(2):163-84.

28. Haughton J, Khandker SR. Handbook on poverty+ inequality: World Bank Publications; 2009.

29. O'Donnell O, van Doorslaer, Eddy, Wagstaff, Adam, Lindelow, Magnus. Analyzing Health Equity Using Household Survey Data: World Bank Institute Development Studies; 2007.

30. Ranson MK. Reduction of catastrophic health care expenditures by a communitybased health insurance scheme in Gujarat, India: current experiences and challenges. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2002;80.

31. Wyszewianski L. Financially catastrophic and high-cost cases: definitions, distinctions, and their implications for policy formulation. Inquiry. 1986:382-94.

32. Ke X. Distribution of health payments and catastrophic expenditures methodology. Geneva: WHO Discussion Paper No. 2. 2005.

33. Kawabata K, Xu K, Carrin G. Preventing impoverishment through protection against catastrophic health expenditure. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2002;80(8):612.

34. ILO. Household income and expenditure statistics. Geneva: International Labour Organization, 2003.

35. Galobardes B, Shaw M, Lawlor DA, Lynch JW, Davey Smith G. Indicators of socioeconomic position (part 1). Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health. 2006;60(1):7-12.

36. Galobardes B, Shaw M, Lawlor DA, Lynch JW, Davey Smith G. Indicators of socioeconomic position (part 2). Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health. 2006;60(2):95-101.

37. Howe LD, Galobardes B, Matijasevich A, Gordon D, Johnston D, Onwujekwe O, et al. Measuring socio-economic position for epidemiological studies in low- and middle-income countries: a methods of measurement in epidemiology paper. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2012;41(3):871-86.

38. World Bank. Poverty & Equity 2015 [Available from:

http://povertydata.worldbank.org/poverty/country/GHA.

39. Boccia D, Pedrazzoli D, Wingfield T, Jaramillo E, Lönnroth K, Lewis J, et al. Towards cash transfer interventions for tuberculosis prevention, care and control: key operational challenges and research priorities. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2016;16:307.

40. Fuady A, Houweling TAJ, Mansyur M, Richardus JH. Catastrophic total costs in tuberculosis-affected households and their determinants since Indonesia's implementation of universal health coverage. Infectious diseases of poverty. 2018;7(1):3.

41. Montagu D, Sudhinaraset M, Lwin T, Onozaki I, Win Z, Aung T. Equity and the Sun Quality Health Private Provider Social Franchise: comparative analysis of patient survey data and a nationally representative TB prevalence survey. International Journal for Equity in Health. 2013;12(1):5.

42. Government of Ghana. Ghana - Demographic and health survey 2014 2014 [Available from: <u>http://microdata.worldbank.org/index.php/catalog/2373</u>.