

Carotid Artery Wall Imaging: Perspective and Guidelines from the ASNR Vessel Wall Imaging Study Group and Expert Consensus Recommendations of the American Society of Neuroradiology

Type of manuscript: *White Paper*

Luca Saba, Chun Yuan, Thomas S. Hatsukami, Niranjana Balu, Ye Qiao, James K. Demarco, Tobias Saam, Alan R Moody, Debiao Li, Charles C. Matouk, Michele H. Johnson, H R Jäger, Mahmud Mossa-Basha, Marianne Eline Kooi, David Saloner, Max Wintermark, Davide J. Mikulis and Bruce A. Wasserman; on behalf of the Vessel Wall Imaging Study Group of the American Society of Neuroradiology

Department of Medical Imaging (L.S.), University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy. Department of Radiology (C.Y., N.B., M.M) and Surgery (T.S.H.), University of Washington, 850 Republican Street, Seattle, WA 98109, USA. The Russell H. Morgan Department of Radiology and Radiological Sciences (Y.Q., B.A.W.), the Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland, USA; Department of Radiology (J.K.D), Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, 8901 Wisconsin Avenue, Department of Radiology Bethesda, MD 20889, USA. Ludwig-Maximilian University Hospital, Munich, Germany (T.S.). Division of Neuroradiology Department of Medical Imaging, University Health Network (D.J.M.), and Department of Medical Imaging, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre (ARM), University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Biomedical Imaging Research Institute (D.L), Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA. Departments of Neurosurgery, Neurovascular & Stroke Programs (C.M., M.H.J.), Radiology and Biomedical Imaging (C.M., M.H.J.), and Surgery (M.H.J.), Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA; Neuroradiological Academic Unit (H.R.J), Department of Brain Repair and Rehabilitation, UCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, UK. Department of Radiology (M.E.K.), CARIM School for Cardiovascular Diseases, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, the Netherlands. Department of Radiology & Biomedical Imaging (D.S.), University of California, San Francisco, California, USA; Department of Radiology (M.W.), Neuroradiology Division, Stanford University, Stanford, California.

Please address correspondence to *Prof. Luca Saba*, University of Cagliari - Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria di Cagliari – polo di Monserrato, Provincia di Cagliari, Italy; e-mail: lucasaba@tiscali.it

ABBREVIATIONS

¹⁸F-FDG PET: fludeoxyglucose ¹⁸F - PET

ACAS: Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study

AHA: American Heart Association

CCA: Common Carotid Artery

CE: Contrast-enhancement

CEA: Carotid Endarterectomy

CT: Computed Tomography

CTA: Computed Tomography Angiography

CUBE: the GE name of their sequence and not an acronym

DCE-MRI: Dynamic Contrast Enhancement Magnetic Resonance Imaging

DIR: Double Inversion Recovery

DSA: Digital Subtraction Angiography

ECST: European Carotid Surgery Trial

FC: Fibrous Cap

FDA: Food and Drug Administration

FOV: Field-of-View

FSD: flow-sensitive dephasing

GBCA: gadolinium-based contrast agents

GRE: Gradient Echo

HU: Hounsfield Unit

IMT: Intima-media Thickness

ICA: Internal Carotid Artery

IPH: Intra-plaque Hemorrhage

IT: Inversion time

IVUS: Intra-vascular Ultrasound

keV: kiloelectronvolt

LRNC: Lipid-rich Necrotic Core

MPRAGE: Magnetization Prepared Rapid Acquisition Gradient Echo

MRA: Magnetic Resonance Angiography

MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MSDE: Motion-sensitized driven-equilibrium

NASCET: North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial

PET: Positron Emission Tomography

PGLA: Polymer hydroxyl acidic core

QIR: Quadruple Inversion Recovery

SNAP: Simultaneous Non-contrast Angiography and intraPlaque Hemorrhage

SNR: Signal-to-Noise Ratio

SPACE: Sampling Perfection with Application optimized Contrasts using different flip angle
Evolution (Siemens)

TIA: Transitory Ischemic Attack

TOF: Time-of-Flight

US: Ultrasound

USPIO: Ultra-small Super-Paramagnetic Iron Oxide

VISTA: Volume ISotropic Turbo spin echo Acquisition (Philips)

ABSTRACT

Identification of carotid artery atherosclerosis is conventionally based on measurements of luminal stenosis and surface irregularities using in-vivo imaging techniques including ultrasound, CT and MR angiography, and digital subtraction angiography. However, histo-pathological studies demonstrate considerable differences between plaques with identical degrees of stenosis and indicate that certain plaque features are associated with increased risk for ischemic events. The ability to look beyond the lumen using highly developed vessel wall imaging methods to identify plaque vulnerable to disruption has prompted an active debate as to whether a paradigm shift is needed to move away from relying on measurements of luminal stenosis for gauging risk of ischemic injury. Further evaluation in randomized clinical trials will help to better define the exact role of plaque imaging in clinical decision-making. However, current carotid vessel wall imaging techniques can be informative. The goal of this paper is to present the perspective of the ASNR Vessel Wall Imaging Study Group as it relates to the current status of arterial wall imaging in carotid artery disease.

INTRODUCTION

The word “atherosclerosis” is derived from the two Greek words “athera” (mush) and “sclerosis” (hardening), indicating hardening of the vascular wall. This disease is highly prevalent in developed countries with carotid artery narrowing reported in up to 75% of men and 62% of women aged 65 years and older¹. Stroke is the second most common cause of death worldwide¹⁹⁶ and approximately 18-25% of all strokes are due to carotid atherosclerotic disease¹⁹⁷.

Conventionally, identification of atherosclerosis affecting the carotid artery is based on measurements of the degree of luminal stenosis and surface irregularities^{2,3} by ultrasound, catheter based angiography, and nowadays CTA or MRA^{4,5}. However, histo-pathological studies initially performed on coronary arteries and subsequently on carotid arteries, demonstrate considerable differences between plaques with identical degrees of stenosis. These observations led to research indicating that certain plaque features are associated with increased risk for ischemic events^{6,7,8}. The more recent introduction of fast multi-detector row Computed Tomography (CT) technology, high field MRI, and advanced ultrasound (US) systems has enabled accurate characterization of plaque features that relate to risk of ischemic injury^{9,10,11,12}. The ability to look beyond the lumen using advanced wall imaging methods to identify “vulnerable plaque”^{13,14} is spurring a paradigm shift away from simple measurement of percent luminal stenosis for gauging risk of ischemic injury. Currently, characterization of the vessel wall and atherosclerotic plaque are the focus of several ongoing research studies that are investigating the optimal approach to vulnerable plaque imaging^{18,167,168}.

Further evaluation in randomized clinical trials is needed to establish the exact role of plaque imaging in clinical decision-making. However, carotid vessel wall imaging techniques may be beneficial at present. For example, improved visualization of the location and extent of atherosclerotic plaque would assist in surgical planning prior to endarterectomy or carotid artery

stenting. Vessel wall imaging may also be helpful in borderline clinical cases. Identification of carotid plaque harboring a large lipid-rich necrotic core (LRNC) plaque with ulceration and intra-plaque hemorrhage (IPH) despite guideline based medical therapy in a patient with repeated strokes and 50% carotid stenosis may lead to consideration for carotid endarterectomy. In asymptomatic patients, vessel wall imaging with large LRNC may represent the phenotype of atherosclerotic disease amenable to more intensive lipid-lowering therapy²¹¹. Similarly, progressive vulnerable plaque features with increasing IPH in asymptomatic carotid stenosis may benefit from more intensive lipid-lowering therapy^{122,212}.

The goal of this paper is therefore to present the perspective of the ASNR Vessel Wall Imaging Study Group on the current status of arterial wall imaging in carotid artery disease.

CLINICAL BACKGROUND AND PHYSIOLOGY

For several years, digital subtraction angiography (DSA) remained the primary imaging method for studying carotid arteries for detecting stenosis secondary to atherosclerotic plaque^{19,20}. The method provides optimal spatial resolution for defining the opacified lumen, the associated degree of luminal stenosis, and plaque-related luminal changes that include lumen irregularity and plaque ulcerations^{21,22}.

Carotid endarterectomy (CEA) trials were undertaken during the 1980s to the mid-1990s that quantified the benefit of CEA according to the degree of luminal stenosis^{2,23,24,25,26}. These studies became the basis for considering degree of stenosis as the primary metric for stratifying subsequent stroke risk and selecting the optimal therapeutic approach (surgery vs. best medical management)²³. In particular, three multi-center randomized studies, ECST (European Carotid Surgery Trial), NASCET (North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial) and ACAS (Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study) evaluated cut-offs for degree of carotid stenosis as they relate to stroke risk reduction by CEA^{24,25,26}.

NASCET, ECST and ACAS used DSA to assess the percent reduction in luminal diameter of the artery. The methodology for carotid stenosis quantification is debated because NASCET and ECST used indirect ratio-percent methods¹⁶. Stenosis measurements with NASCET and ECST differ substantially: with the ECST method twice as many stenoses were classified as severe and less than a third of the number of stenoses as mild compared to the NASCET method²⁷. Techniques that enable identification of both outer and inner walls of the artery might lead to more accurate assessment of risk. *Bartlett et al*²⁸ suggested use of this direct diameter based-measurement to overcome the limitations of the percent-based methods and the results they found suggest that this technique could be efficient.

The degree of luminal stenosis as a marker of atherosclerotic disease severity has been criticized because of observations that plaques producing only mild to moderate stenosis may still lead to acute cerebral infarction^{15,17,29,30,31,32,64,148}. Histopathologic evaluation of these plaques showed that plaque erosion and disruption were common morphologic features found in symptomatic lesions, indicating that luminal narrowing was not the sole predictor of cerebrovascular events^{29,30,31}.

These studies introduced the following new concepts: (1) the degree of carotid stenosis is a weak indicator of the volume and extension of carotid plaque^{33,34,35}; (2) a set of plaque features, identifiable by imaging are closely linked to the development of ischemic symptoms, and (3) these features can significantly increase the risk of stroke regardless of the degree of stenosis^{36,37,38,39}. Thanks to advancements in the imaging techniques to specifically target the vessel wall as opposed to the vessel lumen, considerable research effort is underway to identify those plaque-related parameters that, together with the degree of stenosis, can more accurately predict the presence of vulnerable plaque and the associated risk of ischemic events.

More than 30 years ago, *Imparato et al*⁶ found that there were certain plaque features, such as IPH, that were associated with an increased risk of plaque rupture and distal embolization. Since that time, roughly 1000 papers have been published on IPH as well as characterizing additional

features associated with plaque vulnerability, including the thickness of the fibrous cap, rupture of the cap, presence and size of the LRNC, and the presence of active plaque inflammation. Vulnerable plaques also tend to be characterized by an eccentric distribution, an irregular surface of the intimal layer, or superficial ulcerations with intimal exposure.

- Imaging Features of Plaques at Risk for Stroke

US can assess plaque composition based on echogenicity with classification systems proposed by *Geroulakos et al*⁵³ and *Bluth et al*⁵⁴. The presence of echogenic/hypoechoic elements are associated with the LRNC^{55,56} whereas hyperechoic regions or the presence of acoustic shadowing is indicative of calcification. US is sensitive in identifying calcification but when present, the ensuing acoustic shadowing limits visualization of tissues deep to the calcification⁵⁷.

CT has been used to type plaques based on Hounsfield attenuation. *De Weert et al*^{40,41} categorized plaques as fatty for attenuation values < 60 HU, mixed for attenuation values between 60 HU and 130 HU, and calcified for attenuation values > 130 HU. By applying these thresholds, it is possible to identify those plaques with a LRNC from others with a prevalent expression of myofibroblasts, hemorrhage, or calcification⁷⁵. Based on this analysis, calcified plaques were found to be 21 times less likely to be symptomatic than non-calcified plaques⁴⁵ whereas fatty plaques were clearly associated with an increased risk of rupture^{39,46}.

MRI has the ability to distinguish plaque components such as the LRNC, fibrous tissue, and IPH with high accuracy^{47,48,49,50,68,151}. The identification of calcified components can be more challenging than CT but MR typically offers good results^{51,52}.

- Luminal Morphology and Ulcerations

The morphology of the luminal surface of carotid plaques can be classified as smooth, irregular or ulcerated⁵⁸. A **smooth surface** is identified as plain luminal morphology without any

sign of ulceration or irregularity. An **irregular surface** indicates the presence of small alterations of the luminal surface on the luminal profile of the plaque; this condition is considered a risk factor for embolism and is associated with an increased risk of TIA/stroke⁴⁹. The third type of morphology is the **ulceration**. Plaque ulceration has been defined as “an intimal defect larger than 1 mm in width, exposing the necrotic core of the atheromatous plaque”⁵⁹; however other authors suggested other (smaller) sizes^{153,154,155}. The NASCET study demonstrated a significantly increased risk of cerebrovascular events in plaques with ulcerations².

- Intra-plaque hemorrhage

IPH is a common feature of atherosclerotic plaques and is considered one of the identifying features of vulnerable plaque⁶⁴. A number of studies have found a statistically significant association between the presence of IPH and cerebrovascular events^{65,192} and IPH has been implicated in plaque progression²³¹. It is thought that the rupture of neovessels or plaque rupture itself causes IPH and some conditions such as inflammation, metabolic disease or diabetes may precipitate this event⁶⁷. Recent literature also indicates a potential role of blood pressure^{66,191}.

Fissured Fibrous Cap and Lipid Rich Necrotic Core (LRNC)

The fibrous cap (FC), which separates LRNC from vessel lumen, is considered one of the most important features of the carotid artery vulnerable plaque model. The FC is a layer of fibrous connective tissue and contains macrophages and smooth muscle cells within a collagen-proteoglycan matrix associated with T-lymphocytes⁷⁸. Vulnerable plaques are characterized by the presence of a thin FC covering a large LRNC containing macrophages and inflammatory cells. In both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies^{38,122}, the LRNC size was found to be a strong predictor of fibrous cap disruption. The fissuring or rupture of the FC exposes the LRNC to luminal blood activating the thromboembolic cascade. Therefore, LRNC and FC status are expected to be associated with risk of cerebrovascular events, as shown in single-center experience^{170,192,193}. The

intact thick FC is associated with a low risk of plaque rupture, a thin FC is associated with a mild risk, while a fissured FC is associated with a high risk of plaque rupture⁸¹. Additionally, percent LRNC area exceeding 40% of vessel wall area indicates a high risk for plaque rupture while percent luminal stenosis did not correlate with plaque rupture¹²².

- Neovascularization and inflammation

Intraplaque neovascularization arises from newly formed microvessels that grow into the intima through breaks in the medial wall and are characterized by leaky capillaries with an endothelial lining that is immature, and imperfect due to the harsh atherosclerotic environment. Histopathological studies have demonstrated that neovessels can be found within carotid artery plaques, and the degree of neovascularity is associated with the “activity” of the plaque in terms of inflammation and increased risk of neovessel rupture and hemorrhage (IPH)^{87,88}. Inflammation of unstable “vulnerable” atherosclerotic plaques was first identified in coronary artery lesions and subsequently demonstrated in carotid artery plaques^{102,103}.

The recruitment of inflammatory cells in atherosclerotic lesions is a constitutive phenomenon seen throughout the process of lesion initiation and plaque growth. In addition, inflammation appears to play a role in the process of plaque disruption¹⁰⁴. Inflammatory cells are typically found in the plaque shoulder, in the cap, or both¹⁰³. In many instances and particularly in advanced plaques with a complex architecture, inflammatory cells tend to accumulate focally within plaques^{103,104}.

Several types of inflammatory cells are detected in the carotid artery vulnerable plaque and some studies have found that the presence of macrophages is significantly associated with the risk of plaque rupture^{105,106,107}; therefore, the identification of macrophages has become the target of imaging studies devoted to the detection of plaque inflammation^{108,109,110,111,112}.

- Plaque remodeling (positive vs negative)

The concept of plaque remodeling was initially described for atherosclerotic lesions in coronary arteries but is largely accepted for other vascular beds including the carotid arteries^{117,118}. Carotid plaques can show either positive or negative remodeling or both. Positive remodeling is dilatation of the vessel wall in response to an increase in plaque volume with little or no compromise of the vessel lumen as the vessel initially attempts to maintain normal lumen diameter¹¹⁹. Negative remodeling is present when the vessel lumen diameter is decreased (stenosis).

- *Plaque Volume*

Recent studies have demonstrated that the volume of the carotid artery plaque could play a role in determining plaque “vulnerability” and risk of cardiovascular events¹⁶¹. Increasing plaque volume predicted cardiovascular events¹⁶³. Some authors have hypothesized that the plaque volume may be a better indicator of the severity of atherosclerotic disease than the degree of stenosis¹²². Non-invasive in vivo assessment of atherosclerotic plaque volume and the relative contribution of different plaque components clearly have important clinical implications as they relate to risk assessment for ischemic events. In addition, it has been shown that higher LRNC volumes appear to be associated with the presence of plaque ulcerations, representing a significant risk factor for the development of cerebrovascular events¹²⁵. Furthermore, plaque composition is known to change with increasing plaque volume. More specifically, there is an increase in the proportion of lipid and calcification with increasing plaque volume¹²⁵. Plaque length, which relates more directly with plaque volume than degree of stenosis, has been shown to be an independent risk factor for periprocedural complications and excess restenosis rate in a secondary data analysis of the CAVITAS Study²⁰⁷.

- *Summary concepts*

It is clear that there are several plaque features of increased clinical risk supported by associations with endarterectomy specimen analyses. It is of utmost importance to test management strategies based on these MRI-defined features of risk before treatment guidelines can be established.. Currently there are several prospective trials intended to examine the value of prospective plaque imaging (ARIC, PARISK, CAPIAS, CARE-II, CAIN)^{152,202,203,204,205,208}. In the meantime, it is possible that carotid plaque characterization may be of immediate clinical value today. Given that the presence of IPH, large LRNC, and/or thin-ruptured FC are associated with a higher risk of future cardiovascular events, the presence of these plaque features may warrant closer clinical follow-up and consideration for more intensive medical therapy. Despite attempts to encourage all physicians to manage atherosclerosis medically with current evidence-based guidelines, many patients are not receiving high intensity lipid lowering therapy even when indicated. Providing additional information based on carotid plaque MRI identification of IPH, large LRNC, and/or thin-ruptured FC may improve patient/physician compliance with current therapeutic guideline. If patients receiving standard-of-care medical therapy have repeated strokes ipsilateral to carotid plaque harboring “vulnerable” plaque features, they may warrant surgical intervention even if they do not meet the stenosis thresholds by NASCET criteria.

CURRENT IMAGING STATE-OF-THE-ART

In this section of the paper we will summarize the imaging techniques that can be used in the imaging of the carotid artery wall.

- US

US is generally accepted as the standard imaging modality for first-line diagnosis of atherosclerosis of the carotid artery¹²⁶. US has shown very good results in the identification and characterization of high-risk plaques in patients with atherosclerosis¹²⁷. In particular, the use of micro-bubble contrast material facilitates assessment of vulnerable plaque features such as the

presence\absence of neovascularization^{97,98}. The recently introduced volumetric US technology seems to add further value to this technique by improving the inter-observer concordance and increasing the spatial coverage¹²⁸. Another US technique that could be used for carotid plaque characterization is intra-vascular US (IVUS). The advantage of IVUS is excellent spatial resolution which is possible given the short distance between the probe and the carotid plaque that permits the use of high frequency (up to 50 MHz) insonation without excessive attenuation.

US, however, suffers from some key limitations. In patients with short muscular necks, it may be very difficult to identify the carotid bifurcation¹²⁹. In obese patients or in patients that have had radiotherapy, US assessment of the carotid arteries can be challenging. Another limitation of US is the evaluation of highly calcified plaques that create acoustic shadowing that can reduce visualization of the lesion¹³⁰. Furthermore, US is less capable of detecting additional, more distally located (“tandem”) stenoses than CTA or MRA. It is important to underline that IVUS is invasive and is only performed in selected cases that are largely treated with carotid artery stenting and thus no pathologic correlate is available. IVUS identification of the fibrous cap or visualization of friable plaque may correlate with increased risk of emboli. Moreover, the small cohorts of assessed IVUS patients, as well as the potential risk related to the procedure, need further analysis before it is included in the routine clinical work-up¹⁵⁸.

Luminal morphology and ulcerations: It has recently been shown that 3D US could be effective in the detection of ulceration in carotid artery plaques^{164,165}.

Intra-plaque hemorrhage: A few papers have assessed US performance in the detection of IPH and the results demonstrated low sensitivity and specificity^{76,77}.

Fibrous cap status: Some authors have explored the potential of conventional US to characterize FC but the results obtained were sub-optimal^{198,199,200}. Recent papers^{156,157} have suggested that intra-vascular ultrasonography (IVUS) can assess in detail plaque structure and FC but with associated procedural risk.

Neovascularization and inflammation: several recently published^{95,96,97,98} contrast-enhanced ultrasound studies found that sonographic enhancement correlates with intraplaque neovascularization in carotid endarterectomy specimens. However, the reproducibility and utility of this modality for clinical care are not well established⁹⁹.

It is important to underline that US can also be used to assess those initial subtle wall alterations in the very early phases of atherosclerosis progression, for example, the intima-media thickness (IMT), that is considered a significant predictor of coronary and cerebrovascular events^{131,132}.

Recent studies assessed the reliability of US for assessing certain plaque features. Bar et al¹⁸⁹ assessed plaques in 30 patients. Inter-rater agreement values for the following plaque features were as follows: homogeneity 96% ($\kappa = 0.84$; $P < .001$), surface characteristics 90% ($\kappa = 0.77$; $P < .001$), and echogenicity 86% ($\kappa = 0.60$; $P < .001$). The correlation coefficient for plaque content and volume measurement agreement was 0.81 and measurements did not differ significantly ($P = \text{n.s.}$). In a paper published in 1999 by Hartmann¹⁹⁰ the kappa values and 95% confidence intervals for inter-rater reproducibility were 0.05 (-0.07 to 0.16) for plaque surface structure, 0.15 (0.02 to 0.28) for plaque heterogeneity, 0.18 (0.09 to 0.29) for plaque echogenicity, and 0.29 (0.19 to 0.39) for plaque calcification. The upper bounds of all of the confidence intervals were below the 0.40 level indicating very low reliability.

- CT

Modern CT scanners can provide exquisite, rapid high resolution imaging of the carotid artery lumen and the arterial wall. The introduction of multi-energy technology provided a tremendous boost to the development of CT techniques and constant advances in detector technology, in spatial and temporal resolution and release of advanced software for image reconstruction have helped to consolidate this technique as a reliable tool for the evaluation of

arterial pathology, with particular success in the detection and characterization of carotid atherosclerosis.

Because of its spatial resolution CT imaging seems to be a promising tool for the quantification of the volume of the carotid plaque as well as for the volume quantification of plaque sub-components (fatty – mixed – calcified) (**Figure 1**). Moreover, the introduction of multi-energy technology is opening new options in tissue characterization because the different tissue components show different attenuation levels with varying keV values⁴².

Luminal morphology and ulcerations: CT offers very good results in detecting ulcerations when compared to histopathology (**Figure 2**), with performance significantly better than US^{60,61}, but the presence of a halo or edge blur may hinder detection of smaller ulcerations.

Intra-plaque hemorrhage: Detection of IPH using CT is challenging and conflicting results have been reported. While some authors have found that CT density is slightly higher in fatty plaques with IPH identified by MRI compared to plaques without IPH²⁰¹, others found no significant differences in HU of fatty plaques with and without IPH identified by MRI²⁰². Other authors found a correlation between the presence of IPH and low HU value (< 30 HU)^{74,75} which might be explained by the associated presence of LRNC. Recently, some authors suggested that the rim sign on CTA (soft tissue plaque with adventitial calcifications) as well as maximum soft plaque thickness could be predictive of carotid IPH²¹⁴.

Fibrous cap status: The assessment of the status of the FC using CT is complex because of the artifacts related to the edge-blur and halo effects, but authors suggest that CT can be used to assess the FC status, in particular to identify rupture^{85,86}. Notably, it seems that the rupture of the FC correlates with the presence of post-contrast plaque enhancement in CTA analysis³⁶.

Neovascularization and inflammation: The degree of post-contrast plaque enhancement has been shown to be associated with the extent of neovascularization on CT⁴³. The adventitial neovascularization has been assessed with both MR¹⁵⁹ and CT^{91,92}. *Romero et al.* showed adventitial neovascularity on CTA to be significantly more common in symptomatic than in asymptomatic

patients with $\geq 70\%$ stenosis⁹¹ and for stenosis between 50% and 70%⁹² and similar findings have been reported by MRI¹⁵⁹.

Plaque remodeling: CT studies have found that positive carotid remodeling was significantly greater in patients with cerebral ischemic symptoms than in asymptomatic patients and that the extent of expansive remodeling may indicate underlying atherosclerotic plaque vulnerability^{120,121}.

Plaque volume: CT can calculate the volume of the carotid artery plaque and determine the volume of the sub-components, according to HU threshold¹²³. Further it has been shown in a CT/MRI comparison study that the best discriminating factor for predicting a complicated AHA type VI plaque is the thickness of the fatty plaque component with a receiver operating characteristic area under the curve of 0.89²⁰².

CT limitations: CT imaging has 3 main limitations: 1) the radiation dose delivered to patients, 2) the risk related to the administration of contrast material, and 3) the limited fatty tissue contrast. Diagnostic radiation exposure and the consequent potential radiation hazards represent a significant issue^{133,134} particularly when longitudinal monitoring is required. The second limiting factor of CT is the potential anaphylactic reaction to contrast material^{136,137} and contrast-induced nephropathy that is a common form of hospital-acquired acute renal failure^{138,139}. A further limitation of CT is the limited published information concerning reliability of this technique and the prospective value of CT-based plaque features on stroke risk and / or stroke recurrence.

- MR

The use of high field strength (1.5 - 3 T) and dedicated surface radiofrequency coils improve the signal-to-noise ratio that allows for the evaluation of plaque components and investigation beyond the simple assessment of stenosis measurements. Multi-contrast carotid MRI (including T1-W, T2-W, and PD and TOF) can characterize plaque components (e.g., fibrous cap, LRNC, calcification, and intraplaque hemorrhage) without administration of contrast agents. Contrast-

enhanced MRI improves tissue characterization^{48,49} and offers information on the presence of neovascularization (**Figure 3, Figure 4**).

Luminal morphology and ulcerations: MRI detects plaque ulcerations with sensitivity similar to CT⁶³ (**Figure 2**). *Etesami et al*⁶³ demonstrated that the use of contrast-enhanced MRA techniques improved the sensitivity for ulcerations by 37.5% compared to an unenhanced Time-of-Flight sequence.

Intra-plaque hemorrhage: MRI is considered the best imaging technique for the detection of IPH (**Figure 3, Figure 5**). Several studies have shown that the appearance of IPH depends on the oxidative state of the hemoglobin^{69,70,71}. Because of the sensitivity of MRI in detecting IPH and the risk attributed to this feature, some authors suggest that MRI is the best modality for imaging carotid artery vulnerable plaque^{10,64,68,72,73}. During the subacute and chronic phases, IPH appears bright on T1-weighted imaging due to the relatively short T1 of methemoglobin. This phenomenon has been exploited using widely available sequences such as MP-RAGE, though other heavily T1-weighted techniques have been developed to satisfy this purpose such as MATCH and SNAP (**Figure 6, 7**). In MATCH, hyper-T1 contrast weighting is achieved by using inversion preparation and data acquisition at the background nulling point, and thus IPH can be exclusively visualized with a near dark background; on the other hand, background tissues can readily be visualized on other contrast weightings, thanks to the inherently co-registered multi-contrast acquisition^{181,182} (**Figure 7**). IPH detection using 3D SNAP enables greater conspicuity of the lumen boundary compared to MP-RAGE¹⁷⁹ (**Figure 5, 6, 7**). SNAP provides the advantage of 3D isotropic resolution, as well as simultaneous bright-blood angiography to detect stenosis or ulceration that may be co-localized with IPH²³⁰. It is worth noting that it is not necessary to restrict carotid wall imaging to dedicated, small field-of-view (FOV) surface coils for IPH detection since this can be achieved at lower spatial resolution using large FOV neck coils^{68,170,213} (**Figure 3**). In fact, IPH detection can be achieved on the mask sequence acquired as part of a routine contrast-enhanced MRA⁶⁸ (**Figure 3**).

Lipid-rich necrotic core: Initial research demonstrated that LRNC could be detected as a focal hypointense region on T2-W images^{177,178} (**Figure 8**). Multiple studies have confirmed the improved detection of LRNC seen as a focal non-enhancing region on contrast-enhanced T1-W images within the carotid vessel wall^{48,49}. Larger LRNC size correlates with future ipsilateral carotid symptoms. All validation of LRNC detection/quantification and predictive features has been based on multi-contrast carotid plaque MRI protocol using dedicated carotid coils. Recent work has suggested the ability to detect large LRNC using commercially available 3D T1-W sequences and large FOV neck coils. The Canadian Atherosclerosis Imaging Network (CAIN) has recently completed a prospective, multi-institution study using large FOV neck coils and commercial sequences from a variety of MR vendors to detect LRNC and IPH. When fully analyzed, CAIN may give us additional information about the ability of IPH and LRNC size detected with large FOV neck coils and commercial sequences to predict future ipsilateral events.

Fibrous cap status: MRI can assess fibrous cap status^{49,82}, as opposed to the other non-invasive imaging modalities such as CT and US⁸⁵. A regular (thick) FC is characterized by the presence of a juxtaluminal band of low signal on time-of-flight (TOF) MR images and / or a hyperintense juxtaluminal region on contrast enhanced T1w images whereas a thin FC is present when this band of low signal on TOF or the hyperintense region on CE-T1w is not visible or when the juxtaluminal hyperintense region on CE-T1w MRI is interrupted. The fissured fibrous cap is characterized by two distinct features: 1) the absence of the juxtaluminal band of low signal and 2) the presence of a bright gray region adjacent to the lumen, corresponding to plaque hemorrhage and/or mural thrombus^{83,84}. As shown by *Wasserman et al* and *Cai JM et al*^{48,49}, contrast enhanced imaging could be useful for improving delineation of the cap compared to non-contrast (T2), and CE T1w imaging can be used to quantify the fibrous cap and the LRNC. Although resolving a thin fibrous cap defined by pathologic criteria would necessitate a higher field strength to overcome signal constraints, distinguishing thin/ruptured from thick fibrous cap thicknesses can be achieved at 1.5T (**Figure 8**)^{90,232}.

Consistent visualization of the FC requires dedicated carotid surface coils. *Yuan C et al*⁸⁴ and others have shown that the fissured FC has a statistically significant association with the presence of cerebrovascular symptoms⁸¹ and is associated with a higher risk of ischemic symptoms in prospective studies^{170,193}.

Neovascularization and inflammation: There are new contrast agents using iron particles (ultrasmall super-paramagnetic iron oxide (USPIO) or P947)^{149,150} that can evaluate plaque inflammation via uptake by phagocytic cells within the inflamed vessel wall. Small particle-based MRI contrast agents (iron oxide) can be used to evaluate the presence of plaque inflammation. These iron oxide particles enter atherosclerotic plaques with the agents accumulating in macrophages transformed from blood monocytes attracted by inflammatory mediators¹⁰⁸. High-risk inflamed plaques contain a focal area of signal loss on MR images, due to iron oxide accumulation¹⁰⁹. Iron nanoparticles (10–300 nm-sized) are also bound to antibodies, drugs, peptides, polysaccharides, and avidin–biotin cross-linked with polymers are used to assess endothelial function in animal models. Polymer hydroxyl acidic core (PGLA, PLA), dendrimers [polyamidoamine (PAMAM), diaminobutane (DAB)] have been described as suitable to functionalize the surface of superparamagnetic iron oxide¹⁴⁵ (15–60 nm SPIO) particles, allowing for ligand binding. Ligand-bound SPIO (anti-VCAM-1 and anti-E-selectin antibody conjugated SPIO) can cause dephasing and loss of T2* signal intensity due to susceptibility effects and are suitable for passive targeted imaging of inflammation in cardiovascular tissue¹⁴⁵. In addition to iron oxide nanoparticle, various other nanoparticles are being used for molecular imaging of atherosclerosis in animal models e.g. liposome vesicles (50–70 nm) for US¹⁴¹ / MRI¹⁴², perfluorocarbon core emulsions (200–300 nm) for MRI, US, fluorescence, and nuclear imaging, CTI¹⁴³, HDL, and LDL micelles for MRI¹⁴⁴. Other types of particles such as gold, carbon nanotube fullerenes (4 nm), quantum dots cadmium selenide spheres (2–10 nm) and metal-based agents are in the process of standardization and may be useful in fluorescent imaging¹⁴⁶. Moreover, other

investigators have reported the possibility of viral capsid protein cages with gadolinium as potential nanospheres for drug encapsulation and imaging¹⁴⁷.

A recent MR study⁹³ showed that enhancement of carotid plaque after administration of gadolinium is associated with neovascularization ($p < 0.001$) (**Figure 4**). The correlation between the degree of plaque enhancement and the degree of neovascularization, which is itself linked to the degree of plaque inflammation, was also confirmed at histology in a recent study by *Millon et al*⁹⁴. Investigations have shown that inflammatory cells are also present at the interface with the underlying necrotic core and in the plaque shoulder region^{79,80}. From the imaging point of view, it is possible to distinguish two different types of neovascularization: 1) adventitial neovascularization and 2) intra-plaque neovascularization. The adventitial neovascularization has been assessed with MR¹⁵⁹. Ectopic neovascularization in the intima and media is a hallmark of advanced atherosclerotic lesions but the adventitial layer is a fundamental target because it serves as the main source of ingrowth of new vessels. The degree of neovascularity measured using gadolinium perfusion methods correlated with adventitial perfusion as measured by its transfer constant⁸⁹ (**Figure 9**). *Wasserman et al.* categorized the circumferential enhancement (0, absent; 1, <50%; 2, $\geq 50\%$) on post-contrast MR by finding an association between grade of adventitial enhancement and cerebrovascular events. Plaque perfusion imaging using DCE-MRI has been shown to give reproducible physiological measurements of vasa vasorum^{194,195}. However, protocol compliance may be more important for functional imaging such as DCE-MRI as compared to anatomic imaging.

Plaque volume: Recently published studies show the utility of MRI for this type of quantification^{124,166}. In general, the reliability of MRI for plaque assessment has been very good. A study published by Wasserman in 2010¹⁶⁶ found that scan reliability for CCA lumen area was 0.94 whereas for ICA lumen area 0.89. In the assessment of the total wall volume the value was 0.79 but in the assessment of LRNC volume the value was very low (0.3). The authors found that overall

reliability is primarily related to reader variability rather than scan acquisition. The CV values for the plaque area or plaque volume are between 3-6%, as demonstrated by *Saam et al*^{187,188}.

Imaging studies have documented changes in atherosclerotic plaque volume and composition, and progression of subclinical lesions into rupture-prone plaques^{215,216,217,218,219}. The ability to monitor these changes might contribute to our ability to estimate risk and assess pharmaceutical treatment efficacy²²⁵. For example, changes in plaque structure that correspond with a clinical event help to identify that plaque as a culprit lesion, which puts it at a higher risk for future stroke^{15,200,226}. Several studies have reported using MRI for longitudinal analysis of carotid plaque variations^{215,216,217} with fewer reports using CTA^{218,219}.

MR limitations: An important limitation to contrast-enhanced MRI evaluation of plaque that has recently emerged is the potential for gadolinium toxicity, particularly when longitudinal monitoring is required. Recent studies have reported the accumulation of gadolinium in various tissues of patients without renal impairment, including in bone, brain, and kidneys^{221,222,223}, and in July 2015 the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) published a safety announcement that it is investigating the risk of brain deposits associated with the repeated use of gadolinium contrast agents in MRI²²⁴, stating *“To reduce the potential for gadolinium accumulation, health care professionals should consider limiting GBCA use to clinical circumstances in which the additional information provided by the contrast is necessary. Health care professionals are also urged to reassess the necessity of repetitive GBCA MRIs in established treatment protocols”*. This risk must now be weighed against the potential radiation hazard described earlier that limits longitudinal plaque monitoring by CT.

- Advanced algorithms to carotid artery plaque characterization

With the development of deep learning technology and plaque characterization algorithms applied to medical imaging, it is now possible to identify, classify and quantify target features from

imaging datasets such as total carotid artery plaque volume and plaque sub-components detection (calcium, IPH, lipid core)^{227,228}. Deep learning technology has experienced rapid progress in health care over recent years with early reports of implementation in carotid imaging²²⁹ raising the prospect of routine use in the clinical setting once validated.

- Functional – Molecular Imaging

“Molecular” imaging techniques have been gaining popularity. The objective of molecular imaging is to provide biological insight into the identification and classification of carotid artery plaques especially those at high risk. In atherosclerotic plaques, multiple and complex reactions take place at the molecular and cellular level, with various atherosclerosis-related biomarkers present at different stages of disease progression¹⁴⁰. Conventional imaging with US, MR or CT cannot identify these components because of limited imaging contrast and therefore several methods have been proposed that use external contrast agents targeting these specific biomarkers.

A wide variety of studies have assessed the diagnostic potential of nuclear medicine techniques for imaging and quantifying plaque inflammation, such as by PET using the widely-available ¹⁸F-FDG or newer radiotracers such as ¹⁸F-fluorocholine (**Figure 10**)^{114,115,116}. Nuclear medicine tracer techniques have also shown efficacy in the identification of neovascularization^{100,101}.

Because vulnerable plaques are infiltrated by lymphocytes and macrophages with the latter cell population capable of taking up ¹⁸F-FDG from the interstitial spaces, ¹⁸F-FDG PET can be used to directly detect plaque inflammation in various anatomic locations¹¹³. In recent years, a number of studies have assessed the diagnostic potential of ¹⁸F-FDG PET to image and quantify plaque inflammation^{114,115} as well as monitoring the reduction of plaque inflammation resulting from statin therapy¹¹⁶

RECOMMENDATIONS

Carotid MRI

Background: Results from recently published meta-analyses support the hypothesis that MRI detection of carotid IPH is associated with increased risk for future primary and recurrent ischemic neurological events^{169,170,171}. Furthermore, absence of IPH portends a benign clinical course, even amongst patients with symptomatic 50-99% carotid stenosis¹⁷¹. Other plaque features associated with increased risk include identification of a large LRNC and a thin or ruptured fibrous cap¹⁷⁰.

Goals: (1) To provide general guidelines for carotid MR vessel wall imaging with recommended imaging sequences, spatial resolution and coverage. Guideline considerations are that the protocol can be applied broadly across a spectrum of clinical scanners and not require specialized software or research keys for implementation. (2) To recommend future areas for technical development and clinical expansion needs.

Essential Features for Identification with Carotid Plaque Imaging: Any MRI protocol for plaque imaging should be able to identify the following atherosclerotic plaque characteristics:

1. Stenosis and luminal surface condition (fibrous cap and ulceration).
2. Presence of intraplaque hemorrhage.
3. Presence of lipid rich necrotic core.
4. Plaque burden and distribution.

Minimum MRI Protocol Requirements for Identification of Essential Plaque Features

(1.5T and 3T): Recommended *minimum* sequence requirements are

- Resolution: In-plane 0.6 mm, through-plane 2 mm.
- Longitudinal coverage: 3-4 cm centered on the carotid bifurcation.
- Effective blood suppression for plaque burden visualization sequence.

The protocol may include any combination of sequences that meet the minimum requirements set forth above. The sequences used can be either 2D or 3D or a combination provided

that they together meet the minimum sequence requirements above. Overall scan time can be adjusted based on field strength and availability of specialized hardware such as carotid phased array coils. 3T scanners are recommended for improved SNR.

Example Protocols: Four protocols are presented based on considerations for 2D and 3D imaging and the use of Gadolinium contrast agents (**Table 1-4**). If patients are able to undergo Gadolinium contrast injection, its use is recommended for the detection and quantification of LRNC and the delineation of the fibrous cap^{48,172}. Use of large coverage 3D sequences can detect plaques extending beyond the 4 cm coverage centered on the bifurcation and are preferable. Carotid coils are recommended for use with all protocols, although large FOV neck coils can detect IPH. It is possible to add a 4 minute 3D MPRAGE sequence to routine clinical carotid MRA protocol. The protocol is similar to Table 1, but with 0.8 mm isotropic resolution using a large FOV neck coil instead of 0.6 mm using dedicated carotid surface coils. Focal regions of T1 hyperintensity within the carotid plaque that is 1.5x greater than the adjacent sternocleidomastoid muscle can be used to identify IPH.

MSDE¹⁷³/FSD¹⁷⁴ flow suppression is required for 3D SPACE/CUBE/VISTA to ensure effective blood suppression to accurately identify plaque lumen boundaries. Good blood suppression post-contrast requires the use of MSDE or DIR/QIR¹⁷⁵ flow suppression. For DIR, the inversion time (TI) can be calculated based on estimated T1 values of blood at 5 minute intervals following contrast administration (0.1 mmol/kg) for 1.5T or 3T scanners²⁰⁶. We recommend a TI of 250 ms for 3T scanners for a TR triggered at 1RR interval, which generally produces adequate flow suppression beginning 5 minutes after injection despite variations in post-injection scan time and heart rate, which will affect the T1 blood values.

Discussion

Lumen: Quantifying luminal narrowing is a prerequisite, as stenosis severity is the cornerstone for treatment decisions in current clinical guidelines. Furthermore, detection of ulceration provides prognostic value. Use of TOF MRA for lumen assessment avoids the need for IV contrast, and may provide confirmatory evidence of intraplaque hemorrhage and, sometimes calcification. Addition or substitution with CE-MRA should be considered for those without contraindication for contrast administration. This would also provide an opportunity to perform post-contrast enhanced imaging of the vessel wall for direct identification of the LRNC and identification/confirmation of fibrous cap status and ulcerations.

IPH: MRI techniques are available for IPH detection across scanner platforms, and IPH's predictive value for ischemic events has been extensively evaluated, both with and without custom carotid coils. In a review performed by Gupta et al¹⁷⁰, studies were stratified by those utilizing multi-sequence, carotid coil-dependent protocols and those using a single sequence with standard large FOV neck coils for IPH detection. Using either technique, IPH was associated with significantly increased risk for TIA or stroke (HR (95% CI) 4.40 (2.10-9.23) and 5.04 (2.15-11.85), respectively). While IPH can be identified on T1w sequences such as T1w fast spin echo, T1w SPACE, TOF etc., a highly T1 weighted sequence such as MPRAGE can provide higher sensitivity and specificity for IPH detection¹⁷⁶.

Lipid-rich necrotic core: T2 weighted imaging can be used to detect the presence of LRNC^{177,178}. Direct assessment of LRNC can also be done in patients undergoing contrast administration using a post-contrast T1w scan. CE-MRA followed by post-CE vessel wall imaging in patients without contraindication will improve detection and quantification of the LRNC and delineation of the fibrous cap¹⁷².

Plaque burden and distribution: Knowledge of the location and distribution of plaque assists in pre-procedural planning. Time-efficient 3D large coverage black-blood MRI maybe better suited for this purpose.

Future improvements and needs for MRI

Technical developments in the following areas are urgently needed:

- a. Improved spatial resolution both in-plane and through-plane to better characterize finer structures such as fibrous caps.
- b. More effective blood flow suppression for large spatial coverage imaging acquisition and pre- and post-contrast administration.
- c. Dedicated carotid coils that are integrated with head and neck coils for extensive coverage.
- d. Improved techniques for identifying the lipid rich necrotic core, especially without the need for contrast application.
- e. More effective methods to deal with motion.
- f. A streamlined imaging protocol that is able to identify multiple imaging targets in one or two imaging sequences.
- g. Effective image processing tools for efficient quantitative identification of imaging targets
- h. Development of training programs for MR specialists on image acquisition and for radiologists on vessel wall image interpretation.
- i. Ultimately – a guideline that clearly calls for the need of carotid plaque imaging and one simple protocol that can meet all the needs.

Currently, there are many new techniques being developed for carotid plaque imaging. 3D-SNAP provides non-contrast enhanced MRA and simultaneous IPH detection¹⁷⁹. 3D-SHINE provides information about the state of IPH in addition to IPH detection¹⁸⁰. IPH can also be identified on a pre-contrast mask of CE-MRA if available⁶⁸. MATCH provides comprehensive information regarding plaque composition in a single sequence¹⁸². 3D-MERGE¹⁸³ and 3D-DASH¹⁸⁴ provide large coverage blood suppression for plaque burden measurements. Diffusion-weighted imaging can detect LRNC without the use of contrast media²⁰⁹. Self-gating has been

used to reject data acquired during swallowing motion²¹⁰. T1 insensitive blood suppression techniques such as Quadruple Inversion Recovery¹⁷⁵ provide good blood suppression for post-contrast imaging. However, these supplementary techniques require specialized equipment (3T, custom-carotid coils, custom sequences) and more intensive interpreter training.

Carotid CT

Background: Currently no meta-analysis or prospective trials have suggested that some specific CT features are associated with an increased risk for future primary and recurrent ischemic neurological events, even if there are several prospective trials on their way or have been published that examine the value of plaque imaging prospectively (PARISK, CAPIAS, CARE-II)^{202,203,204}. However, cross-sectional studies have found that some CT characteristics (HU attenuation – presence of neovascularization) are associated with increased risk of cerebrovascular events^{39,186}.

Goals: (1) To provide general guidelines for carotid CT vessel wall imaging with recommended desirable imaging techniques, tissue contrast, spatial resolution and coverage. Guideline considerations are that the protocol can be applied broadly across a spectrum of clinical CT scanners and not require specialized software or research keys for implementation. (2) To recommend future areas for technical development and clinical expansion needs.

Essential Features for Identification with Carotid Plaque Imaging: Any CT protocol for plaque imaging should be able to identify the following atherosclerotic plaque characteristics:

1. Stenosis and luminal surface condition (plaque morphology and ulceration).
2. Type of plaque (fatty versus mixed versus calcified)
3. Presence of plaque enhancement
4. Plaque burden and distribution.

Minimum CT Protocol Requirements for Identification of Essential Plaque Features:

Recommended *minimum* parameter requirements are

- Resolution: isotropic voxel with 1 mm resolution
- Longitudinal coverage: from aortic arch to intra-cranial vessel
- CT generation: third with at least 16-detector-row

Example Protocols: Four protocols are presented (**Table 5-8**). No CT study of carotid arteries must be performed without the administration of contrast material. The use of biphasic approach (un-enhanced scan followed by contrast scan) allows the assessment of the carotid plaque neovascularization. This is becoming more important but is not considered currently necessary. In order to reduce the radiation dose delivered to the patients the z-length of the basal scan should cover only the carotid artery plaque bifurcation (4 cm coverage centered on the bifurcation). Dual energy CT technique⁴³ allows virtual un-enhanced image in order to assess plaque enhancement without the need of a biphasic approach.

Discussion

Lumen: Quantifying luminal narrowing is a prerequisite. In order to correctly assess the degree of stenosis, by avoiding the halo or edge blur the correct window settings should be used¹⁸⁵. At the current level of technology, the status of the FC cannot be adequately explored by CT.

Type of Plaque: According to the HU attenuation the carotid plaque can be categorized as fatty (< 60 HU), mixed (between 60 HU and 130 HU) and calcified (> 130 HU). By applying these thresholds, it is possible to identify those plaques with a LRNC from others. Applying the HU classification, however, creates 2 problems that have recently come to light: (1) the HU value of the plaque is dependent on the level of energy applied, as demonstrated by *Saba et al* by using multi-energy systems⁴², (2) the carotid artery plaques may show contrast enhancement (by comparing the attenuation values of the basal and post-contrast scans) suggesting that the attenuation value of the plaque obtained after administration of contrast material represents two different parameters: the

type of the plaque and the degree of neovascularization of the tissue^{43,44}. This is not a problem if pre and post contrast scans or dual energy is applied (capable of distinguishing plaque from contrast enhancement) but this is not usually done clinically secondary to an increase in x-ray dose

Carotid plaque enhancement. Assessment of plaque enhancement is limited in the case of single phase CTA, and multiphase CTA is rarely performed outside of research studies due to radiation concerns. An un-enhanced axial CT obtained over 4 cm centered on the carotid bifurcation, followed by a CTA would theoretically be ideal in assessing plaque enhancement but carries less radiation penalty. Alternatively, some authors have employed dual energy techniques with use of the virtual non -enhanced image in order to assess plaque enhancement with less radiation dose⁴³.

Plaque burden and distribution: Knowledge of the location and distribution of plaque assists in pre-procedural planning. Moreover, CT can calculate the volume of the carotid artery plaque and determine the volume of the sub-components, according to HU threshold¹²³.

Future improvements and needs for CT

Technical developments in the following areas are urgently needed:

- a. Improved contrast resolution for greater discrimination of tissue types in plaque
- b. Improved techniques, such as multi-energy applications for identifying the lipid rich necrotic core, especially without the need for contrast application
- c. Evidence based guidelines that invoke the need for carotid plaque imaging preferably using one simple universal protocol that can meet all needs.

Currently, most of the research on carotid artery CT is focusing on methods (1) that reduce the radiation dose delivered to the patients, and (2) improve carotid artery plaque characterization using multi-energy tools that promise more accurate detection of plaque components.

CONCLUSION

In the last 20 years there has been a paradigm shift in the imaging of atherosclerotic carotid artery, from the assessment of the degree of luminal stenosis to the characterization of plaque. Several features have been identified which are potentially associated with plaque rupture and imaging has been used to identify these features in vivo.

Researchers and clinicians now have several imaging modalities that allow in-depth exploration of carotid artery plaque and its components. Sonography should be considered as a first-line exam, at least for screening, whereas CT and MR improve identification of several plaque features associated with vulnerability.

Also promising are nuclear medicine and molecular imaging techniques that can further explore assessment of plaque vulnerability especially inflammation, but these approaches are still investigational and not part of the main diagnostic algorithm of carotid atherosclerosis. In the future larger prospective longitudinal studies investigating these technological advances may fully exploit the clinical potential of vessel wall imaging.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Drs. Stefan Vöö, Wei Zu, and Zhaoyang Fan for their help in image selection for this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1) Yanez ND, Burke GL, Manolio T, Gardin JM, Polak J; CHS Collaborative Research Group. Sibling history of myocardial infarction or stroke and risk of cardiovascular disease in the elderly: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2009 Dec;19(12):858-66.
- 2) Barnett HJ, Taylor DW, Eliasziw M, Fox AJ, Ferguson GG, Haynes RB, Rankin RN, Clagett GP, Hachinski VC, Sackett DL, Thorpe KE, Meldrum HE, Spence JD. Benefit of carotid endarterectomy in patients with symptomatic moderate or severe stenosis. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators. *N Engl J Med*. 1998 Nov 12;339(20):1415-25
- 3) Howarth JC, Klotz JG. The diagnostic value of carotid arteriography; a preliminary report. *Cleve Clin Q*. 1951 Jul;18(3):179-83.
- 4) von Arbin M, Britton M, de Faire U, Gustafsson P. Non invasive assessment of the internal carotid artery in stroke patients. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 1983 Jun;43(4):275-83.
- 5) Weinberger J. Clinical applications of noninvasive carotid artery testing. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1985 Jan;5(1):137-48.
- 6) Imparato AM, Riles TS, Gorstein F. The carotid bifurcation plaque: pathologic findings associated with cerebral ischemia. *Stroke*. 1979;10(3):238-45. Epub 1979/05/01. PubMed PMID: 462508.
- 7) Redgrave JN, Lovett JK, Gallagher PJ, Rothwell PM. Histological assessment of 526 symptomatic carotid plaques in relation to the nature and timing of ischemic symptoms: The Oxford plaque study. *Circulation*. 2006;113(19):2320-8. PubMed PMID: 16651471.
- 8) Jeziorska M, Woolley DE. Local neovascularization and cellular composition within vulnerable regions of atherosclerotic plaques of human carotid arteries. *J Pathol*. 1999 Jun;188(2):189-96.
- 9) Choi CJ, Kramer CM. MR imaging of atherosclerotic plaque. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 2002 Jul;40(4):887-98
- 10) Moody AR. Magnetic resonance direct thrombus imaging. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2003 Jul;1(7):1403-9
- 11) Oliver TB, Lammie GA, Wright AR, Wardlaw J, Patel SG, Peek R, Ruckley CV, Collie DA. Atherosclerotic plaque at the carotid bifurcation: CT angiographic appearance with histopathologic correlation. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 1999 May;20(5):897-901.
- 12) Bluth EI. Evaluation and characterization of carotid plaque. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR*. 1997 Feb;18(1):57-65
- 13) Naghavi M, Libby P, Falk E, Casscells SW, Litovsky S, Rumberger J, Badimon JJ, Stefanadis C, Moreno P, Pasterkamp G, Fayad Z, Stone PH, Waxman S, Raggi P, Madjid M, Zarrabi A, Burke A, Yuan C, Fitzgerald PJ, Siscovick DS, de Korte CL, Aikawa M, Airaksinen KE, Assmann G, Becker CR, Chesebro JH, Farb A, Galis ZS, Jackson C, Jang IK, Koenig W, Lodder RA, March K, Demirovic J, Navab M, Priori SG, Rekhter MD, Bahr R, Grundy SM, Mehran R, Colombo A, Boerwinkle E, Ballantyne C, Insull W Jr, Schwartz RS, Vogel R, Serruys PW, Hansson GK, Faxon DP, Kaul S, Drexler H, Greenland P, Muller JE, Virmani R, Ridker PM, Zipes DP, Shah PK, Willerson JT. From vulnerable plaque to vulnerable patient: a call for new definitions and risk assessment strategies: Part II. *Circulation*. 2003 Oct 14;108(15):1772-8.
- 14) Naghavi M, Libby P, Falk E, Casscells SW, Litovsky S, Rumberger J, Badimon JJ, Stefanadis C, Moreno P, Pasterkamp G, Fayad Z, Stone PH, Waxman S, Raggi P, Madjid M, Zarrabi A, Burke A, Yuan C, Fitzgerald PJ, Siscovick DS, de Korte CL, Aikawa M, Juhani Airaksinen KE, Assmann G, Becker CR, Chesebro JH, Farb A, Galis ZS, Jackson C, Jang IK, Koenig W, Lodder RA, March K, Demirovic J, Navab

M, Priori SG, Rekhter MD, Bahr R, Grundy SM, Mehran R, Colombo A, Boerwinkle E, Ballantyne C, Insull W Jr, Schwartz RS, Vogel R, Serruys PW, Hansson GK, Faxon DP, Kaul S, Drexler H, Greenland P, Muller JE, Virmani R, Ridker PM, Zipes DP, Shah PK, Willerson JT. From vulnerable plaque to vulnerable patient: a call for new definitions and risk assessment strategies: Part I. *Circulation*. 2003 Oct 7;108(14):1664-72.

15) Wasserman BA, Wityk RJ, Trout HH, Virmani R. Looking beyond the lumen with MRI. *Stroke* 2005; 36:2504-2513

16) Bladin CF, Alexandrov AV, Murphy J, Maggisano R, Norris JW. Carotid Stenosis Index. A new method of measuring internal carotid artery stenosis. *Stroke*. 1995 Feb;26(2):230-4.

17) Astor BC, Sharrett AR, Coresh J, Chambless LE, Wasserman BA. Remodeling of carotid arteries detected with MR imaging: atherosclerosis risk in communities carotid MRI study. *Radiology*. 2010 Sep;256(3):879-86.

18) Zavodni AE, Wasserman BA, McClelland RL, Gomes AS, Folsom AR, Polak JF, Lima JA, Bluemke DA. Carotid Artery Plaque Morphology and Composition in Relation to Incident Cardiovascular Events: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Radiology*. 2014 Feb 26;131020. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 24592924

19) Croft RJ, Ellam LD, Harrison MJ. Accuracy of carotid angiography in the assessment of atheroma of the internal carotid artery. *Lancet*. 1980 May 10;1:997-1000.

20) Wardlaw JM, Chappell FM, Best JJ, Wartolowska K, Berry E; NHS Research and Development Health Technology Assessment Carotid Stenosis Imaging Group. Non-invasive imaging compared with intra-arterial angiography in the diagnosis of symptomatic carotid stenosis: a meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2006 May 6;367:1503-12.

21) Liapis CD, Paraskevas KI. Do carotid surface irregularities correlate with the development of cerebrovascular symptoms ? An analysis of the supporting studies, the opposing studies and the possible pathomechanism. *Vascular* 2006;14:88-92.

22) Comerota AJ, Katz ML, White JV, Grosh JD, The preoperative diagnosis of the ulcerated carotid atheroma. *J Vasc Surg* 1990;11:505-510.

23) Rothwell PM, Eliasziw M, Gutnikov SA, et al. Analysis of pooled data from the randomised controlled trials of endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis. *Lancet* 2003; 361: 107–16

24) European Carotid Surgery Trialists' Collaborative Group. Randomised trial of endarterectomy for recently symptomatic carotid stenosis: final results of the MRC European Carotid Surgery Trial (ECST). *Lancet*. 1998;351: 1379-1387.

25) North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators. Beneficial effect of carotid endarterectomy in symptomatic patients high with grade stenosis. *N Engl J Med*. 1991;325: 445-453.

26) Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Group. Endarterectomy for asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis. *JAMA*. 1995;73:1421-1428.

27) Rothwell PM, Gibson RJ, Slattery J, Sellar RJ, Warlow CP. Equivalence of measurements of carotid stenosis. A comparison of three methods on 1001 angiograms. European Carotid Surgery Trialists' Collaborative Group. *Stroke*. 1994 Dec;25(12):2435-9.

28) Bartlett ES, Walters TD, Symons SP, Fox AJ. Carotid stenosis index revised with direct CT angiography measurement of carotid arteries to quantify carotid stenosis. *Stroke* 2007;38:286-91.

- 29) Lovett JK, Gallagher PJ, Hands LJ, Walton J, Rothwell PM: Histological correlates of carotid plaque surface morphology on lumen contrast imaging. *Circulation*. 2004;110:2190-7
- 30) Spagnoli LG, Mauriello A, Sangiorgi G, Fratoni S, Bonanno E, Schwartz RS, Piepgras DG, Pistolese R, Ippoliti A, Holmes DR Jr. Extracranial thrombotically active carotid plaque as a risk factor for ischemic stroke. *JAMA*. 2004 Oct 20;292(15):1845-52.
- 31) Lovett JK, Gallagher PJ, Rothwell PM: Reproducibility of histological assessment of carotid plaque: implications for studies of carotid imaging. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2004;18:117-23
- 32) Redgrave JN, Lovett JK, Gallagher PJ, Rothwell PM. Histological assessment of 526 symptomatic carotid plaques in relation to the nature and timing of ischemic symptoms: the Oxford plaque study. *Circulation*. 2006 May 16;113(19):2320-8.
- 33) Mono ML, Karameshev A, Slotboom J, Remonda L, Galimanis A, Jung S, Findling O, De Marchis GM, Luedi R, Kiefer C, Stuker C, Mattle HP, Schroth G, Arnold M, Nedeltchev K, El-Koussy M. Plaque characteristics of asymptomatic carotid stenosis and risk of stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2012;34(5-6):343-50.
- 34) Rozie S, de Weert TT, de Monyè C, Homburg PJ, Tanghe HLJ, Dippel DWJ, van der Lugt A. Atherosclerotic plaque volume and composition in symptomatic carotid arteries assessed with multidetector CT angiography; relationship with severity of stenosis and cardiovascular risk factors. *Eur Radiol* 2009; 19:2294–2301.
- 35) Saam T, Yuan C, Chu B, Takaya N, Underhill H, Cai J, Tran N, Polissar NL, Neradilek B, Jarvik GP, Isaac C, Arden GA, Maravilla KR, Hashimoto B, Hatsukami TS. Predictors of carotid atherosclerotic plaque progression as measured by non-invasive magnetic resonance imaging. *Atherosclerosis* 2007;194:e34–42.
- 36) Saba L, Tamponi E, Raz E, Lai L, Montisci R, Piga M, Faa G. Correlation between Fissured Fibrous Cap and Contrast Enhancement: Preliminary Results with the Use of CTA and Histologic Validation. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2014 Apr;35(4):754-9
- 37) Albuquerque LC, Narvaes LB, Maciel AA, Staub H, Friedrich M, Hoefel Filho JR, Marques MB, Rohde LE. Intraplaque hemorrhage assessed by high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging and C-reactive protein in carotid atherosclerosis. *J Vasc Surg*. 2007; 46: 1130–1137.
- 38) Ota H, Yu W, Underhill HR, Oikawa M, Dong L, Zhao X, Polissar NL, Neradilek B, Gao T, Zhang Z, Yan Z, Guo M, Zhang Z, Hatsukami TS, Yuan C. Hemorrhage and large lipid-rich necrotic cores are independently associated with thin or ruptured fibrous caps: an in vivo 3T MRI study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2009 Oct;29(10):1696-701.
- 39) Saba L, Montisci R, Sanfilippo R, Mallarini G. Multidetector row CT of the brain and carotid artery: a correlative analysis. *Clin Radiol*. 2009 Aug;64:767-78.
- 40) de Weert TT, Ouhlous M, Zondervan PE, Hendriks JM, Dippel DW, van Sambeek MR, van der Lugt A. In vitro characterization of atherosclerotic carotid plaque with multidetector computed tomography and histopathological correlation. *Eur Radiol*. 2005 Sep;15(9):1906-14.
- 41) de Weert TT, Ouhlous M, Meijering E, Zondervan PE, Hendriks JM, van Sambeek MR, Dippel DW, van der Lugt A. In vivo characterization and quantification of atherosclerotic carotid plaque components with multidetector computed tomography and histopathological correlation. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2006 Oct;26(10):2366-72.
- 42) Saba L, Argiolas GM, Siotto P, Piga M. Carotid artery plaque characterization using CT multienergy imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2013 Apr;34(4):855-9.

- 43) Saba L, Lai ML, Montisci R, Tamponi E, Sanfilippo R, Faa G, Piga M. Association between carotid plaque enhancement shown by multidetector CT angiography and histologically validated microvessel density. *Eur Radiol*. 2012 Oct;22(10):2237-45.
- 44) Saba L, Piga M, Raz E, Farina D, Montisci R. Carotid artery plaque classification: does contrast enhancement play a significant role? *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2012 Oct;33(9):1814-7. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A3073. Epub 2012 May 3. PubMed PMID: 22555579.
- 45) Nandalur KR, Hardie AD, Raghavan P, Schipper MJ, Baskurt E, Kramer CM. Composition of the stable carotid plaque: insights from a multidetector computed tomography study of plaque volume. *Stroke*. 2007 Mar;38(3):935-40.
- 46) Nandalur KR, Baskurt E, Hagspiel KD, Phillips CD, Kramer CM. Calcified carotid atherosclerotic plaque is associated less with ischemic symptoms than is noncalcified plaque on MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2005 Jan;184(1):295-8.
- 47) Saam T, Ferguson MS, Yarnykh VL, Takaya N, Xu D, Polissar NL, Hatsukami TS, Yuan C. Quantitative evaluation of carotid plaque composition by in vivo MRI. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2005 Jan;25(1):234-9.
- 48) Cai J, Hatsukami TS, Ferguson MS, Kerwin WS, Saam T, Chu B, Takaya N, Polissar NL, Yuan C. In vivo quantitative measurement of intact fibrous cap and lipid-rich necrotic core size in atherosclerotic carotid plaque: comparison of high-resolution, contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and histology. *Circulation*. 2005 Nov 29;112(22):3437-44.
- 49) Wasserman BA, Smith WI, Trout HH 3rd, Cannon RO 3rd, Balaban RS, Arai AE. Carotid artery atherosclerosis: in vivo morphologic characterization with gadolinium-enhanced double-oblique MR imaging initial results. *Radiology*. 2002 May;223(2):566-73.
- 50) Wasserman BA, Haacke EM, Li D. Carotid plaque formation and its evaluation with angiography, ultrasound, and MR angiography. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1994 Jul-Aug;4(4):515-27.
- 51) Cai JM, Hatsukami TS, Ferguson MS, Small R, Polissar NL, Yuan C. Classification of human carotid atherosclerotic lesions with in vivo multicontrast magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation*. 2002 Sep 10;106(11):1368-73.
- 52) Hofman JM, Branderhorst WJ, ten Eikelder HM, Cappendijk VC, Heeneman S, Kooi ME, Hilbers PA, ter Haar Romeny BM. Quantification of atherosclerotic plaque components using in vivo MRI and supervised classifiers. *Magn Reson Med*. 2006 Apr;55(4):790-9.
- 53) Geroulakos G, Ramaswani G, Nicolaides A. Characterization of symptomatic and asymptomatic carotid plaques using high resolution real time ultrasound. *Br J Surg* 1993;80:1274-7.
- 54) Bluth EI, Kay D, Merritt CRB, et al. Sonographic characterization of carotid plaque. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1986;146:1061–1065.
- 55) Grønholdt ML, Wiebe BM, Laursen H, Nielsen TG, Schroeder TV, Sillesen H. Lipid-rich carotid artery plaques appear echolucent on ultrasound B-mode images and may be associated with intraplaque haemorrhage. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 1997 Dec;14(6):439-45.
- 56) Noritomi T, Sigel B, Swami V, Justin J, Gahtan V, Chen X, Feleppa EJ, Roberts AB, Shirouzu K. Carotid plaque typing by multiple-parameter ultrasonic tissue characterization. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. 1997;23(5):643-50.
- 57) Schminke U, Motsch L, Hilker L, Kessler C. Three-dimensional ultrasound observation of carotid artery plaque ulceration. *Stroke*. 2000 Jul;31(7):1651-5

- 58) Saba L, Anzidei M, Marincola BC, Piga M, Raz E, Bassareo PP, Napoli A, Mannelli L, Catalano C, Wintermark M. Imaging of the Carotid Artery Vulnerable Plaque. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2013 Aug 3. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 23912494.
- 59) Sitzer M, Muller W, Siebler M, Hort W, Kniemeyer H-W, Jancke L, Steinmetz H. Plaque ulceration and lumen thrombus are the main sources of cerebral microemboli in high-grade internal carotid artery stenosis. *Stroke* 1995; 26: 1231-1233
- 60) Saba L, Caddeo G, Sanfilippo R, Montisci R, Mallarini G. CT and ultrasound in the study of ulcerated carotid plaque compared with surgical results: potentialities and advantages of multidetector row CT angiography. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2007 Jun-Jul;28(6):1061-6.
- 61) Saba L, Caddeo G, Sanfilippo R, Montisci R, Mallarini G. Efficacy and Sensitivity of axial scans and different reconstruction methods in the study of the ulcerated carotid plaque by using multi-detector-row CT angiography. Comparison with surgical results. *Am J Neuroradiol AJNR* 2007;28:716-23.
- 62) Kuk M, Wannarong T, Beletsky V, Parraga G, Fenster A, Spence JD. Volume of Carotid Artery Ulceration as a Predictor of Cardiovascular Events. *Stroke*. 2014 Apr 8. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 24713529
- 63) Etesami M, Hoi Y, Steinman DA, Gujar SK, Nidecker AE, Astor BC, Portanova A, Qiao Y, Abdalla WM, Wasserman BA. Comparison of carotid plaque ulcer detection using contrast-enhanced and time-of-flight MRA techniques. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2013 Jan;34(1):177-84.
- 64) Altaf N, Daniels L, Morgan PS, Auer D, MacSweeney ST, Moody AR, Gladman JR. Detection of intraplaque hemorrhage by magnetic resonance imaging in symptomatic patients with mild to moderate carotid stenosis predicts recurrent neurological events. *J Vasc Surg*. 2008 Feb; 47(2):337-42.
- 65) Altaf N, MacSweeney ST, Gladman J, Auer DP. Carotid intraplaque hemorrhage predicts recurrent symptoms in patients with high-grade carotid stenosis. *Stroke*. 2007 May;38(5):1633-5.
- 66) Selwaness M, van den Bouwhuijsen QJ, Verwoert GC, Dehghan A, Mattace-Raso FU, Vernooij M, Franco OH, Hofman A, van der Lugt A, Wentzel JJ, Witteman JC. Blood pressure parameters and carotid intraplaque hemorrhage as measured by magnetic resonance imaging: The Rotterdam Study. *Hypertension*. 2013;61(1):76-81. Epub 2012/12/06. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.112.198267. PubMed PMID: 23213192.
- 67) Pasterkamp G, van der Steen AF. Intraplaque hemorrhage: an imaging marker for atherosclerotic plaque destabilization? *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2012 Feb;32(2):167-8
- 68) Qiao Y, Etesami M, Malhotra S, Astor BC, Virmani R, Kolodgie FD, Trout HH 3rd, Wasserman BA. Identification of intraplaque hemorrhage on MR angiography images: a comparison of contrast-enhanced mask and time-of-flight techniques. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2011 Mar;32(3):454-9.
- 69) Moreno PR, Purushothaman KR, Sirol M, Levy AP, Fuster V. Neovascularization in human atherosclerosis. *Circulation*. 2006 May 9;113(18):2245-52.
- 70) Cappendijk VC, Cleutjens KB, Kessels AG, Heeneman S, Schurink GW, Welten RJ, Mess WH, Daemen MJ, van Engelshoven JM, Kooi ME. Assessment of human atherosclerotic carotid plaque components with multisequence MR imaging: initial experience. *Radiology*. 2005 Feb;234(2):487-92.
- 71) Chu B, Kampschulte A, Ferguson MS, Kerwin WS, Yarnykh VL, O'Brien KD, Polissar NL, Hatsukami TS, Yuan C. Hemorrhage in the atherosclerotic carotid plaque: a high-resolution MRI study. *Stroke*. 2004 May;35(5):1079-84.

- 72) Bitar R, Moody AR, Leung G, Symons S, Crisp S, Butany J, Rowsell C, Kiss A, Nelson A, Maggisano R. In vivo 3D high-spatial-resolution MR imaging of intraplaque hemorrhage. *Radiology*. 2008 Oct;249(1):259-67
- 73) Moody AR, Allder S, Lennox G, Gladman J, Fentem P. Direct magnetic resonance imaging of carotid artery thrombus in acute stroke. *Lancet*. 1999 Jan 9;353(9147):122-3.
- 74) Wintermark M, Jawadi SS, Rapp JH, Tihan T, Tong E, Glidden DV, Abedin S, Schaeffer S, Acevedo-Bolton G, Boudignon B, Orwoll B, Pan X, Saloner D. High-resolution CT imaging of carotid artery atherosclerotic plaques. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2008 May;29(5):875-82.
- 75) Ajduk M, Pavić L, Bulimbasić S, Sarlija M, Pavić P, Patrlj L, Brkljacić B. Multidetector-row computed tomography in evaluation of atherosclerotic carotid plaques complicated with intraplaque hemorrhage. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2009 Mar;23(2):186-93.
- 76) Ajduk M, Bulimbasić S, Pavić L, Sarlija M, Patrlj L, Brkljacić B, Pavić P, Cikara I, Ivanac G. Comparison of multidetector-row computed tomography and duplex Doppler ultrasonography in detecting atherosclerotic carotid plaques complicated with intraplaque hemorrhage. *Coll Antropol*. 2013 Mar;37(1):213-9
- 77) Kume S, Hama S, Yamane K, Wada S, Nishida T, Kurisu K. Vulnerable carotid arterial plaque causing repeated ischemic stroke can be detected with B-mode ultrasonography as a mobile component: Jellyfish sign. *Neurosurg Rev*. 2010 Oct;33(4):419-30
- 78) Cury RC, Houser SL, Furie KL, Stone JR, Ogilvy CS, Sherwood JB, Muller JE, Brady TJ, Hinton DP. Vulnerable plaque detection by 3.0 tesla magnetic resonance imaging. *Invest Radiol*. 2006 Feb;41(2):112-5.
- 79) Wahlgren CM, Zheng W, Shaalan W, Tang J, Bassiouny HS. Human carotid plaque calcification and vulnerability. Relationship between degree of plaque calcification, fibrous cap inflammatory gene expression and symptomatology. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2009;27(2):193-200.
- 80) Underhill HR, Yuan C, Yarnykh VL, Chu B, Oikawa M, Polissar NL, Schwartz SM, Jarvik GP, Hatsukami TS. Arterial remodeling in [corrected] subclinical carotid artery disease. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2009 Dec; 2(12):1381-9.
- 81) Demarco JK, Ota H, Underhill HR, Zhu DC, Reeves MJ, Potchen MJ, Majid A, Collar A, Talsma JA, Potru S, Oikawa M, Dong L, Zhao X, Yarnykh VL, Yuan C. MR carotid plaque imaging and contrast-enhanced MR angiography identifies lesions associated with recent ipsilateral thromboembolic symptoms: an in vivo study at 3T. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2010 Sep;31(8):1395-402.
- 82) Hatsukami TS, Ross R, Polissar NL, Yuan C. Visualization of fibrous cap thickness and rupture in human atherosclerotic carotid plaque in vivo with high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation*. 2000 Aug 29;102(9):959-64.
- 83) Cai J, Hatsukami TS, Ferguson MS, Kerwin WS, Saam T, Chu B, Takaya N, Polissar NL, Yuan C. In vivo quantitative measurement of intact fibrous cap and lipid-rich necrotic core size in atherosclerotic carotid plaque: comparison of high-resolution, contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and histology. *Circulation*. 2005 Nov 29;112(22):3437-44.
- 84) Yuan C, Zhang SX, Polissar NL, Echelard D, Ortiz G, Davis JW, Ellington E, Ferguson MS, Hatsukami TS. Identification of fibrous cap rupture with magnetic resonance imaging is highly associated with recent transient ischemic attack or stroke. *Circulation*. 2002 Jan 15;105(2):181-5.
- 85) Saba L, Potters F, van der Lugt A, Mallarini G. Imaging of the fibrous cap in atherosclerotic carotid plaque. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2010 Aug;33(4):681-9. doi: 10.1007/s00270-010-9828-8.

- 86) Saba L, Mallarini G. Fissured fibrous cap of vulnerable carotid plaques and symptomaticity: are they correlated? Preliminary results by using multi-detector-row CT angiography. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2009;27(4):322-7.
- 87) Virmani R, Kolodgie FD, Burke AP, Finn AV, Gold HK, Tulenko TN, Wrenn SP, Narula J. Atherosclerotic plaque progression and vulnerability to rupture: angiogenesis as a source of intraplaque hemorrhage. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2005; 25: 2054–2061.
- 88) McCarthy MJ, Loftus IM, Thompson MM, Jones L, London NJ, Bell PR, Naylor AR, Brindle NP. Angiogenesis and the atherosclerotic carotid plaque: an association between symptomatology and plaque morphology. *J Vasc Surg*. 1999; 30: 261–268.
- 89) Kerwin WS, Oikawa M, Yuan C, Jarvik GP, Hatsukami TS. MR imaging of adventitial vasa vasorum in carotid atherosclerosis. *Magn Reson Med*. 2008; 59: 507–514.
- 90) Wasserman BA. Advanced contrast-enhanced MRI for looking beyond the lumen to predict stroke: building a risk profile for carotid plaque. *Stroke*. 2010 Oct;41(10 Suppl):S12-6.
- 91) Romero JM, Babiarz LS, Forero NP, Murphy EK, Schaefer PW, Gonzalez RG, Lev MH. Arterial wall enhancement overlying carotid plaque on CT angiography correlates with symptoms in patients with high grade stenosis. *Stroke*. 2009; 40: 1894–1896.
- 92) Romero JM, Pizzolato R, Atkinson W, Meader A, Jaimes C, Lamuraglia G, Jaff MR, Buonanno F, Delgado Almandoz J, Gonzalez RG. Vasa vasorum enhancement on computerized tomographic angiography correlates with symptomatic patients with 50% to 70% carotid artery stenosis. *Stroke*. 2013 Dec;44(12):3344-9.
- 93) Millon A, Boussel L, Brevet M, Mathevet JL, Canet-Soulas E, Mory C, Scoazec JY, Douek P. Clinical and histological significance of gadolinium enhancement in carotid atherosclerotic plaque. *Stroke*. 2012 Nov;43(11):3023-8.
- 94) Millon A, Boussel L, Brevet M, Mathevet JL, Canet-Soulas E, Mory C, Scoazec JY, Douek P. Clinical and histological significance of gadolinium enhancement in carotid atherosclerotic plaque. *Stroke*. 2012 Nov;43(11):3023-8.
- 95) Hoogi A, Zurakhov G, Adam D. Evaluation of a 3D technique for quantifying neovascularization within plaques imaged by contrast enhanced ultrasound. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*. 2013;2013:1124-7.
- 96) van den Oord SC, Akkus Z, Roeters van Lennep JE, Bosch JG, van der Steen AF, Sijbrands EJ, Schinkel AF. Assessment of subclinical atherosclerosis and intraplaque neovascularization using quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia. *Atherosclerosis*. 2013 Nov;231(1):107-13.
- 97) Akkus Z, Hoogi A, Renaud G, van den Oord SC, Ten Kate GL, Schinkel AF, Adam D, de Jong N, van der Steen AF, Bosch JG. New quantification methods for carotid intra-plaque neovascularization using contrast-enhanced ultrasound. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. 2014 Jan;40(1):25-36.
- 98) van den Oord SC, van der Burg J, Akkus Z, Bosch JG, van Domburg RT, Sijbrands EJ, van der Steen AF, Schinkel AF. Impact of gender on the density of intraplaque neovascularization: A quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound study. *Atherosclerosis*. 2014 Apr;233(2):461-6
- 99) Jaipersad AS, Shantsila A, Silverman S, Lip GY, Shantsila E. Evaluation of carotid plaque neovascularization using contrast ultrasound. *Angiology*. 2013 Aug;64(6):447-50.

- 100) Truijman MT, Kwee RM, van Hoof RH, Hermeling E, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Mess WH, Backes WH, Daemen MJ, Bucerius J, Wildberger JE, Kooi ME. Combined 18F-FDG PET-CT and DCE-MRI to assess inflammation and microvascularization in atherosclerotic plaques. *Stroke*. 2013 Dec;44(12):3568-70.
- 101) Calcagno C, Ramachandran S, Izquierdo-Garcia D, Mani V, Millon A, Rosenbaum D, Tawakol A, Woodward M, Bucerius J, Moshier E, Godbold J, Kallend D, Farkouh ME, Fuster V, Rudd JH, Fayad ZA. The complementary roles of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI and 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose PET/CT for imaging of carotid atherosclerosis. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2013 Dec;40(12):1884-93.
- 102) Schroeder AP, Falk E. Vulnerable and dangerous coronary plaques. *Atherosclerosis*. 1995 Dec;118 Suppl:S141-9.
- 103) de Boer OJ, van der Wal AC, Teeling P, Becker AE. Leucocyte recruitment in rupture prone regions of lipid-rich plaques: a prominent role for neovascularization? *Cardiovasc Res*. 1999 Feb;41(2):443-9.
- 104) van der Wal AC, Becker AE, van der Loos CM, Das PK. Site of intimal rupture or erosion of thrombosed coronary atherosclerotic plaques is characterized by an inflammatory process irrespective of the dominant plaque morphology. *Circulation*. 1994 Jan;89(1):36-44.
- 105) Fleiner M, Kummer M, Mirlacher M, Sauter G, Cathomas G, Krapf R, Biedermann BC. Arterial neovascularization and inflammation in vulnerable patients: early and late signs of symptomatic atherosclerosis. *Circulation*. 2004 Nov 2;110(18):2843-50.
- 106) Moulton KS, Vakili K, Zurakowski D, Soliman M, Butterfield C, Sylvain E, Lo KM, Gillies S, Javaherian K, Folkman J. Inhibition of plaque neovascularization reduces macrophage accumulation and progression of advanced atherosclerosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003 Apr 15;100(8):4736-41.
- 107) Lennartz MR, Aggarwal A, Michaud TM, Feustel PJ, Jones DM, Brosnan MJ, Keller RS, Loegering DJ, Kreienberg PB. Ligation of macrophage Fcγ receptors recapitulates the gene expression pattern of vulnerable human carotid plaques. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(7):e21803.
- 108) Ruehm SG, Corot C, Vogt P, Cristina H, Debatin JF. Ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MR imaging of atherosclerotic plaque in hyperlipidemic rabbits. *Acad Radiol*. 2002 May; 9 Suppl 1:S143-4.
- 109) Trivedi RA, Mallawarachi C, U-King-Im JM, Graves MJ, Horsley J, Goddard MJ, Brown A, Wang L, Kirkpatrick PJ, Brown J, Gillard JH. Identifying inflamed carotid plaques using in vivo USPIO-enhanced MR imaging to label plaque macrophages. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2006 Jul;26(7):1601-6.
- 110) Annovazzi A, Bonanno E, Arca M, D'Alessandria C, Marcoccia A, Spagnoli LG, Violi F, Scopinaro F, De Toma G, Signore A. 99mTc-interleukin-2 scintigraphy for the in vivo imaging of vulnerable atherosclerotic plaques. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2006 Feb;33(2):117-26.
- 111) Tang TY, Howarth SP, Miller SR, Graves MJ, U-King-Im JM, Li ZY, Walsh SR, Patterson AJ, Kirkpatrick PJ, Warburton EA, Varty K, Gaunt ME, Gillard JH. Correlation of carotid atheromatous plaque inflammation using USPIO-enhanced MR imaging with degree of luminal stenosis. *Stroke*. 2008 Jul;39(7):2144-7.
- 112) Howarth SP, Tang TY, Trivedi R, Weerakkody R, U-King-Im J, Gaunt ME, Boyle JR, Li ZY, Miller SR, Graves MJ, Gillard JH. Utility of USPIO-enhanced MR imaging to identify inflammation and the fibrous cap: a comparison of symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals. *Eur J Radiol*. 2009 Jun;70(3):555-60.
- 113) Tawakol A, Migrino RQ, Bashian GG, Bedri S, Vermylen D, Cury RC, Yates D, LaMuraglia GM, Furie K, Houser S, Gewirtz H, Muller JE, Brady TJ, Fischman AJ. In vivo 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron

emission tomography imaging provides a noninvasive measure of carotid plaque inflammation in patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006; 48 (9): 1818-24.

114) Graebe M, Pedersen SF, Borgwardt L, Højgaard L, Sillesen H, Kjaer A. Molecular pathology in vulnerable carotid plaques: correlation with [18]-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET). *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2009 Jun;37(6):714-21.

115) Graebe M, Pedersen SF, Højgaard L, Kjaer A, Sillesen H. 18FDG PET and ultrasound echolucency in carotid artery plaques. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2010 Mar;3(3):289-95. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2010.01.001. PubMed PMID: 20223427.

116) Ishii H, Nishio M, Takahashi H, Aoyama T, Tanaka M, Toriyama T, Tamaki T, Yoshikawa D, Hayashi M, Amano T, Matsubara T, Murohara T. Comparison of atorvastatin 5 and 20 mg/d for reducing F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in atherosclerotic plaques on positron emission tomography/computed tomography: a randomized, investigator-blinded, open-label, 6-month study in Japanese adults scheduled for percutaneous coronary intervention. *Clin Ther* 2010; 32 (14): 2337-47.

117) Fukuda K, Iihara K, Maruyama D, Yamada N, Ishibashi-Ueda H. Relationship between Carotid Artery Remodeling and Plaque Vulnerability with T1-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2014 Feb 27. pii: S1052-3057(13)00525-9.

118) Achenbach S, Ropers D, Hoffmann U, MacNeill B, Baum U, Pohle K, Brady TJ, Pomerantsev E, Ludwig J, Flachskampf FA, Wicky S, Jang IK, Daniel WG. Assessment of coronary remodeling in stenotic and nonstenotic coronary atherosclerotic lesions by multidetector spiral computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004 Mar 3;43(5):842-7.

119) Virmani R, Burke AP, Kolodgie FD, Farb A. Pathology of the thin-cap fibroatheroma: a type of vulnerable plaque. *J Interv Cardiol*. 2003 Jun; 16(3):267-72

120) Hardie AD, Kramer CM, Raghavan P, Baskurt E, Nandalur KR. The impact of expansive arterial remodeling on clinical presentation in carotid artery disease: a multidetector CT angiography study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2007 Jun-Jul;28(6):1067-70.

121) Ohara T, Toyoda K, Otsubo R, Nagatsuka K, Kubota Y, Yasaka M, Naritomi H, Minematsu K. Eccentric stenosis of the carotid artery associated with ipsilateral cerebrovascular events. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2008 Jun;29(6):1200-3.

122) Xu D, Hippe DS, Underhill HR, Oikawa-Wakayama M, Dong L, Yamada K, Yuan C, Hatsukami TS. Prediction of high-risk plaque development and plaque progression with the carotid atherosclerosis score. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014 Apr;7(4):366-73

123) Adraktas DD, Tong E, Furtado AD, Cheng SC, Wintermark M. Evolution of CT imaging features of carotid atherosclerotic plaques in a 1-year prospective cohort study. *J Neuroimaging*. 2014 Jan-Feb;24(1):1-6.

124) Ukwatta E, Yuan J, Rajchl M, Qiu W, Tessier D, Fenster A. 3-d carotid multi-region MRI segmentation by globally optimal evolution of coupled surfaces. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2013 Apr;32(4):770-85.

125) Saba L, Sanfilippo R, Sannia S, Anzidei M, Montisci R, Mallarini G, Suri JS. Association Between Carotid Artery Plaque Volume, Composition, and Ulceration: A Retrospective Assessment With MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2012;199:151-6.

126) Saba L, Anzidei M, Marincola BC, Piga M, Raz E, Bassareo PP, Napoli A, Mannelli L, Catalano C, Wintermark M. Imaging of the Carotid Artery Vulnerable Plaque. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2013 Aug 3. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 23912494.

- 127) Bluth EI. Value of US in selecting patients for carotid angioplasty and stent placement. *Radiology*. 2005 Oct;237(1):374-5; author reply 375.
- 128) Chiu B, Shamdasani V, Entekin R, Yuan C, Kerwin WS. Characterization of carotid plaques on 3-dimensional ultrasound imaging by registration with multicontrast magnetic resonance imaging. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2012 Oct;31(10):1567-80.
- 129) Gaitini D, Soudack M. Diagnosing carotid stenosis by Doppler sonography: state of the art. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2005 Aug;24(8):1127-36.
- 130) Grant EG, Benson CB, Moneta GL, Alexandrov AV, Baker JD, Bluth EI, Carroll BA, Eliasziw M, Gocke J, Hertzberg BS, Katarick S, Needleman L, Pellerito J, Polak JF, Rholl KS, Wooster DL, Zierler E; Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound. Carotid artery stenosis: grayscale and Doppler ultrasound diagnosis--Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound consensus conference. *Ultrasound Q*. 2003 Dec;19(4):190-8.
- 131) O'Leary DH, Polak JF, Kronmal RA, Manolio TA, Burke GL, Wolfson SK Jr. Carotid-artery intima and media thickness as a risk factor for myocardial infarction and stroke in older adults. Cardiovascular Health Study Collaborative Research Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1999 Jan 7;340(1):14-22.
- 132) Polak JF, Pencina MJ, Pencina KM, O'Donnell CJ, Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB Sr. Carotid-wall intima-media thickness and cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med*. 2011 Jul 21;365(3):213-21.
- 133) Brennen DJ, Hall EJ. Computed Tomography - An increasing source of Radiation Exposure. *NEJM* 2007;357:2277-2284.
- 134) Nickoloff E, Alderson P. Radiation exposure to patients from CT: reality public perception, and policy. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2001;177:285-287
- 135) Ertl-Wagner BB, Hoffmann RT, Bruning R, Herrmann K, Snyder B, Blume JD, Reiser MF. (2004) Multi-detector row CT angiography of the brain at various Kilovoltage settings. *Radiology* 231:528-535
- 136) Cashaman JD, McCredie J, Henry DA. (1991) Intravenous contrast media: use and associated mortality. *Med J Aust* 155:618-623
- 137) Morcos SK. (2005) Acute serious and fatal reactions to contrast media: our current understanding. *Br J Radiol* 78:686-693
- 138) Rudnick MR, Kesselheim A, Goldfarb S. Contrast-induced nephropathy: how it develops, how to prevent it. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2006; 73: 75-80, 83-7.
- 139) Chappell FM, Wardlaw JM, Young GR, et al. Carotid artery stenosis: accuracy of noninvasive tests--individual patient data meta-analysis. *Radiology*. 2009; 251:493--502.
- 140) J Sanz, ZA Fayad. Imaging of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, *Nature* 2008;451: 953--957
- 141) Demos SM, Alkan-Onyuksel H, Kane BJ, Ramani K, Nagaraj A, Greene R, Klegerman M, McPherson DD. In vivo targeting of acoustically reflective liposomes for intravascular and transvascular ultrasonic enhancement, *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 1999;33: 867--875.
- 142) Flacke S, Fischer S, Scott MJ, Fuhrhop RJ, Allen JS, McLean M, Winter P, Sicard GA, Gaffney PJ, Wickline SA, Lanza GM. Novel MRI contrast agent for molecular imaging of fibrin: implications for detecting vulnerable plaques, *Circulation*, 2001;104:1280--1285.
- 143) Neubauer AM, Sim H, Winter PM, Caruthers SD, Williams TA, Robertson JD, Sept D, Lanza GM, Wickline SA. Nanoparticle pharmacokinetic profiling in vivo using magnetic resonance imaging, *Magn Reson Med*, 2008;60:1353--1361.

- 144) Li H, Gray BD, Corbin I, Lebherz C, Choi H, Lund-Katz S, Wilson JM, Glickson JD, Zhou R. MR and fluorescent imaging of low-density lipoprotein receptors, *Acad Radiol*, 2004;11:1251–1259.
- 145) Hayek SS, Sharma R, Kwon S, Sharma A, Chen CJ. Temperature and magnetic resonance characteristics of zinc, manganese, gadolinium, gold, iron magnetic nanoparticles and cytokine synergy in hyperthermia, *J Biomed Sci Eng* 2008;1:147–209.
- 146) Michalet X, Pinaud FF, Bentolila LA, Tsay JM, Doose S, Li JJ, Sundaresan G, Wu AM, Gambhir SS, Weiss S. Quantum dots for live cells, in vivo imaging, and diagnostics. *Science* 2005;307:538–544.
- 147) Winter PM, Cai K, Chen J, Adair CR, Kiefer GE, Athey PS, Gaffney PJ, Buff CE, Robertson JD, Caruthers SD, Wickline SA, Lanza GM. Targeted PARACEST nanoparticle contrast agent for the detection of fibrin, *Magn Reson Med* 2006;56: 1384–1388.
- 148) Freilinger TM, Schindler A, Schmidt C, Grimm J, Cyran C, Schwarz F, Bamberg F, Linn J, Reiser M, Yuan C, Nikolaou K, Dichgans M, Saam T. Prevalence of nonstenosing, complicated atherosclerotic plaques in cryptogenic stroke. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2012;5(4):397-405. Epub 2012/04/14. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2012.01.012. PubMed PMID: 22498329.
- 149) Ouimet T, Lancelot E, Hyafil F, Rienzo M, Deux F, Lemaître M, Duquesnoy S, Garot J, Roques BP, Michel JB, Corot C, Ballet S. Molecular and cellular targets of the MRI contrast agent P947 for atherosclerosis imaging. *Mol Pharm*. 2012 Apr 2;9(4):850-61.
- 150) Chan JM, Monaco C, Wylezinska-Arridge M, Tremoleda JL, Gibbs RG. Imaging of the vulnerable carotid plaque: biological targeting of inflammation in atherosclerosis using iron oxide particles and MRI. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2014 May;47(5):462-9.
- 151) Moody AR, Murphy RE, Morgan PS, Martel AL, Delay GS, Alder S, MacSweeney ST, Tennant WG, Gladman J, Lowe J, Hunt BJ. Characterization of complicated carotid plaque with magnetic resonance direct thrombus imaging in patients with cerebral ischemia. *Circulation*. 2003 Jun 24;107(24):3047-52
- 152) Wasserman BA, Astor B, Sharrett AR, Swingen C, Catellier D. MRI Measurements of Carotid Plaque in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study: Methods, Reliability and Descriptive Statistics. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2010;31(2):406-415.
- 153) Troyer A, Saloner D, Pan XM, Velez P, Rapp JH; Assessment of Carotid Stenosis by Comparison with Endarterectomy Plaque Trial Investigators. Major carotid plaque surface irregularities correlate with neurologic symptoms. *J Vasc Surg*. 2002 Apr;35(4):741-7.
- 154) Polak JF, O'Leary DH, Kronmal RA, Wolfson SK, Bond MG, Tracy RP, Gardin JM, Kittner SJ, Price TR, Savage PJ. Sonographic evaluation of carotid artery atherosclerosis in the elderly: relationship of disease severity to stroke and transient ischemic attack. *Radiology*. 1993 Aug;188(2):363-70.
- 155) Anderson GB, Ashforth R, Steinke DE, Ferdinandy R, Findlay JM. CT angiography for the detection and characterization of carotid artery bifurcation disease. *Stroke*. 2000 Sep;31(9):2168-74.
- 156) Sangiorgi G, Bedogni F, Sganzerla P, Binetti G, Inglese L, Musialek P, Esposito G, Cremonesi A, Biasi G, Jakala J, Mauriello A, Biondi-Zoccai G. The Virtual histology In CaroTids Observational Registry (VICTORY) study: a European prospective registry to assess the feasibility and safety of intravascular ultrasound and virtual histology during carotid interventions. *Int J Cardiol*. 2013 Oct 3;168(3):2089-93
- 157) Hitchner E, Zayed MA, Lee G, Morrison D, Lane B, Zhou W. Intravascular ultrasound as a clinical adjunct for carotid plaque characterization. *J Vasc Surg*. 2014 Mar;59(3):774-80

- 158) Dembo T, Tanahashi N. Recurring extracranial internal carotid artery vasospasm detected by intravascular ultrasound. *Intern Med*. 2012;51(10):1249-53.
- 159) Qiao Y, Etesami M, Astor BC, Zeiler SR, Trout HH 3rd, Wasserman BA. Carotid plaque neovascularization and hemorrhage detected by MR imaging are associated with recent cerebrovascular ischemic events. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2012 Apr;33(4):755-60.
- 160) Miura T, Matsukawa N, Sakurai K, Katano H, Ueki Y, Okita K, Yamada K, Ojika K. Plaque vulnerability in internal carotid arteries with positive remodeling. *Cerebrovasc Dis Extra*. 2011 Jan-Dec;1(1):54-65.
- 161) Vukadinovic D, Rozie S, van Gils M, van Walsum T, Manniesing R, van der Lugt A, Niessen WJ. Automated versus manual segmentation of atherosclerotic carotid plaque volume and components in CTA: associations with cardiovascular risk factors. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2012 Apr;28(4):877-87.
- 162) Rozie S, de Weert TT, de Monyé C, Homburg PJ, Tanghe HL, Dippel DW, van der Lugt A. Atherosclerotic plaque volume and composition in symptomatic carotid arteries assessed with multidetector CT angiography; relationship with severity of stenosis and cardiovascular risk factors. *Eur Radiol*. 2009 Sep;19(9):2294-301. doi: 10.1007/s00330-009-1394-6.
- 163) Wannarong T, Parraga G, Buchanan D, Fenster A, House AA, Hackam DG, Spence JD. Progression of carotid plaque volume predicts cardiovascular events. *Stroke*. 2013 Jul;44(7):1859-65.
- 164) Heliopoulos J, Vadikolias K, Piperidou C, Mitsias P. Detection of carotid artery plaque ulceration using 3-dimensional ultrasound. *J Neuroimaging*. 2011 Apr;21(2):126-31.
- 165) Madani A, Beletsky V, Tamayo A, Munoz C, Spence JD. High-risk asymptomatic carotid stenosis: ulceration on 3D ultrasound vs TCD microemboli. *Neurology*. 2011 Aug 23;77(8):744-50.
- 166) Wasserman BA, Astor BC, Sharrett AR, Swingen C, Catellier D. MRI measurements of carotid plaque in the atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) study: methods, reliability and descriptive statistics. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2010 Feb;31(2):406-15.
- 167) van Dijk AC, Truijman MT, Hussain B, Zadi T, Saiedie G, de Rotte AA, Liem MI, van der Steen AF, Daemen MJ, Koudstaal PJ, Nederkoorn PJ, Hendrikse J, Kooi ME, van der Lugt A. Intraplaque Hemorrhage and the Plaque Surface in Carotid Atherosclerosis: The Plaque At RISK Study (PARISK). *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2015 Nov;36(11):2127-33.
- 168) Zhao XQ, Hatsukami TS, Hippe DS, Sun J, Balu N, Isquith DA, Crouse JR 3rd, Anderson T, Huston J 3rd, Polissar N, O'Brien K, Yuan C; AIM-HIGH Carotid MRI Sub-study Investigators. Clinical factors associated with high-risk carotid plaque features as assessed by magnetic resonance imaging in patients with established vascular disease (from the AIM-HIGH Study). *Am J Cardiol*. 2014 Nov 1;114(9):1412-9.
- 169) Saam T, Hetterich H, Hoffmann V, Yuan C, Dichgans M, Poppert H, Koeppel T, Hoffmann U, Reiser MF, Bamberg F. Meta-analysis and systematic review of the predictive value of carotid plaque hemorrhage on cerebrovascular events by magnetic resonance imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62:1081-1091.
- 170) Gupta A, Baradaran H, Schweitzer AD, Kamel H, Pandya A, Delgado D, Dunning A, Mushlin AI, Sanelli PC. Carotid plaque mri and stroke risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Stroke*. 2013;44:3071-3077.
- 171) Hosseini AA, Kandiyil N, Macsweeney ST, Altaf N, Auer DP. Carotid plaque hemorrhage on mri strongly predicts recurrent ischemia and stroke. *Ann Neurol*. 2013;73:774-84.
- 172) Takaya N, Cai J, Ferguson MS, Yarnykh VL, Chu B, Saam T, Polissar NL, Sherwood J, Cury RC, Anders RJ, Broschat KO, Hinton D, Furie KL, Hatsukami TS, Yuan C. Intra- and interreader reproducibility

of magnetic resonance imaging for quantifying the lipid-rich necrotic core is improved with gadolinium contrast enhancement. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2006;24:203-210

173) Wang J, Yarnykh VL, Hatsukami T, Chu B, Balu N, Yuan C. Improved suppression of plaque-mimicking artifacts in black-blood carotid atherosclerosis imaging using a multislice motion-sensitized driven-equilibrium (msde) turbo spin-echo (tse) sequence. *Magn Reson Med*. 2007;58:973-981

174) Fan Z, Zhang Z, Chung YC, Weale P, Zuehlsdorff S, Carr J, Li D. Carotid arterial wall mri at 3t using 3d variable-flip-angle turbo spin-echo (tse) with flow-sensitive dephasing (fsd). *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2010;31:645-654

175) Yarnykh VL, Yuan C. T1-insensitive flow suppression using quadruple inversion-recovery. *Magn Reson Med*. 2002;48:899-905

176) Ota H, Yarnykh VL, Ferguson MS, Underhill HR, Demarco JK, Zhu DC, Oikawa M, Dong L, Zhao X, Collar A, Hatsukami TS, Yuan C. Carotid intraplaque hemorrhage imaging at 3.0-t mr imaging: Comparison of the diagnostic performance of three t1-weighted sequences. *Radiology*. 2010;254:551-563

177) Trivedi RA, U-King-Im JM, Graves MJ, Horsley J, Goddard M, Kirkpatrick PJ, Gillard JH. Mri-derived measurements of fibrous-cap and lipid-core thickness: The potential for identifying vulnerable carotid plaques in vivo. *NEURORADIOLOGY*. 2004;46:738-743

178) Toussaint JF, LaMuraglia GM, Southern JF, Fuster V, Kantor HL. Magnetic resonance images lipid, fibrous, calcified, hemorrhagic, and thrombotic components of human atherosclerosis in vivo. *Circulation*. 1996;94:932-938

179) Wang J, Bornert P, Zhao H, Hippe DS, Zhao X, Balu N, Ferguson MS, Hatsukami TS, Xu J, Yuan C, Kerwin WS. Simultaneous noncontrast angiography and intraplaque hemorrhage (snap) imaging for carotid atherosclerotic disease evaluation. *Magn Reson Med*. 2012;69:337-345

180) Zhu DC, Vu AT, Ota H, DeMarco JK. An optimized 3d spoiled gradient recalled echo pulse sequence for hemorrhage assessment using inversion recovery and multiple echoes (3d shine) for carotid plaque imaging. *Magn Reson Med*. 2010;64:1341-1351

181) Dai Y, Lv P, Lin J, Luo R, Liu H, Ji A, Liu H, Fu C. Comparison study between multicontrast atherosclerosis characterization (MATCH) and conventional multicontrast MRI of carotid plaque with histology validation. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2017 Mar;45(3):764-770.

182) Fan Z, Yu W, Xie Y, Dong L, Yang L, Wang Z, Conte AH, Bi X, An J, Zhang T, Laub G, Shah PK, Zhang Z, Li D. Multi-contrast atherosclerosis characterization (match) of carotid plaque with a single 5-min scan: Technical development and clinical feasibility. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2014;16:53

183) Balu N, Yarnykh VL, Chu B, Wang J, Hatsukami T, Yuan C. Carotid plaque assessment using fast 3d isotropic resolution black-blood mri. *Magn Reson Med*. 2010;65:627-637

184) Li L, Chai JT, Biasioli L, Robson MD, Choudhury RP, Handa AI, Near J, Jezzard P. Black-blood multicontrast imaging of carotid arteries with dante-prepared 2d and 3d mr imaging. *Radiology*. 2014;273:560-569

185) Saba L, Mallarin G. Window settings for the study of calcified carotid plaques with multidetector CT angiography. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2009 Aug;30(7):1445-50

186) Saba L, Mallarini G. Carotid plaque enhancement and symptom correlations: an evaluation by using multidetector row CT angiography. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2011 Nov-Dec;32(10):1919-25

- 187) Saam T, Kerwin WS, Chu B, Cai J, Kampschulte A, Hatsukami TS, Zhao XQ, Polissar NL, Neradilek B, Yarnykh VL, Flemming K, Huston J 3rd, Insull W Jr, Morrisett JD, Rand SD, DeMarco KJ, Yuan C. Sample size calculation for clinical trials using magnetic resonance imaging for the quantitative assessment of carotid atherosclerosis. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2005;7(5):799-808
- 188) Saam T, Raya JG, Cyran CC, Bochmann K, Meimarakis G, Dietrich O, Clevert DA, Frey U, Yuan C, Hatsukami TS, Werf A, Reiser MF, Nikolaou K. High resolution carotid black-blood 3T MR with parallel imaging and dedicated 4-channel surface coils. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2009 Oct 27;11:41.
- 189) Bar M, Roubec M, Farana R, Ličev L, Tomášková H, Školoudík D. Inter-rater reliability of carotid atherosclerotic plaque quantification by 3-dimensional sonography. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2014 Jul;33(7):1273-8
- 190) Hartmann A, Mohr JP, Thompson JL, Ramos O, Mast H. Interrater reliability of plaque morphology classification in patients with severe carotid artery stenosis. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 1999 Jan;99(1):61-4.
- 191) Sun J, Canton G, Balu N, Hippe DS, Xu D, Liu J, Hatsukami TS, Yuan C. Blood Pressure Is a Major Modifiable Risk Factor Implicated in Pathogenesis of Intraplaque Hemorrhage: An In Vivo Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2016 Apr;36(4):743-9.
- 192) Takaya N, Yuan C, Chu B, Saam T, Underhill H, Cai J, Tran N, Polissar NL, Isaac C, Ferguson MS, Garden GA, Cramer SC, Maravilla KR, Hashimoto B, Hatsukami TS. Association between carotid plaque characteristics and subsequent ischemic cerebrovascular events: a prospective assessment with MRI--initial results. *Stroke*. 2006 Mar;37(3):818-23.
- 193) Kwee RM, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Mess WH, Prins MH, van der Geest RJ, ter Berg JW, Franke CL, Korten AG, Meems BJ, van Engelshoven JM, Wildberger JE, Kooi ME. MRI of carotid atherosclerosis to identify TIA and stroke patients who are at risk of a recurrence. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2013 May;37(5):1189-94.
- 194) Gaens ME, Backes WH, Rozel S, Lipperts M, Sanders SN, Jaspers K, Cleutjens JP, Sluimer JC, Heeneman S, Daemen MJ, Welten RJ, Daemen JW, Wildberger JE, Kwee RM, Kooi ME. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging of carotid atherosclerotic plaque: model selection, reproducibility, and validation. *Radiology*. 2013 Jan;266(1):271-9.
- 195) Chen H, Sun J, Kerwin WS, Balu N, Neradilek MB, Hippe DS, Isquith D, Xue Y, Yamada K, Peck S, Yuan C, O'Brien KD, Zhao XQ. Scan-rescan reproducibility of quantitative assessment of inflammatory carotid atherosclerotic plaque using dynamic contrast-enhanced 3T CMR in a multi-center study. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2014 Aug 1;16:51.
- 196) <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs310/en/>
- 197) Ooi YC, Gonzalez NR. Management of extracranial carotid artery disease. *Cardiol Clin*. 2015 Feb;33(1):1-35.
- 198) Huibers A, de Borst GJ, Wan S, Kennedy F, Giannopoulos A, Moll FL, Richards T. Non-invasive Carotid Artery Imaging to Identify the Vulnerable Plaque: Current Status and Future Goals. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2015 Nov;50(5):563-72.
- 199) Varetto G, Gibello L, Castagno C, Quagliano S, Ripepi M, Benintende E, Gattuso A, Garneri P, Zan S, Capaldi G, Bertoldo U, Rispoli P. Use of Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound in Carotid Atherosclerotic Disease: Limits and Perspectives. *Biomed Res Int*. 2015;2015:293163.
- 200) Funaki T, Ihara K, Miyamoto S, Nagatsuka K, Hishikawa T, Ishibashi-Ueda H. Histologic characterization of mobile and nonmobile carotid plaques detected with ultrasound imaging. *J Vasc Surg*. 2011 Apr;53(4):977-83.

- 201) U-King-Im JM, Fox AJ, Aviv RI, Howard P, Yeung R, Moody AR, Symons SP. Characterization of carotid plaque hemorrhage: a CT angiography and MR intraplaque hemorrhage study. *Stroke*. 2010 Aug;41(8):1623-9.
- 202) Trelles M, Eberhardt KM, Buchholz M, Schindler A, Bayer-Karpinska A, Dichgans M, Reiser MF, Nikolaou K, Saam T. CTA for screening of complicated atherosclerotic carotid plaque--American Heart Association type VI lesions as defined by MRI. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2013 Dec;34(12):2331-7.
- 203) Bayer-Karpinska A, Schwarz F, Wollenweber FA, Poppert H, Boeckh-Behrens T, Becker A, Clevert DA, Nikolaou K, Opherck C, Dichgans M, Saam T. The carotid plaque imaging in acute stroke (CAPIAS) study: protocol and initial baseline data. *BMC Neurol*. 2013 Dec 13;13:201.
- 204) Truijman MT, Kooi ME, van Dijk AC, de Rotte AA, van der Kolk AG, Liem MI, Schreuder FH, Boersma E, Mess WH, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Koudstaal PJ, Kappelle LJ, Nederkoorn PJ, Nederveen AJ, Hendrikse J, van der Steen AF, Daemen MJ, van der Lugt A. Plaque At RISK (PARISK): prospective multicenter study to improve diagnosis of high-risk carotid plaques. *Int J Stroke*. 2014 Aug;9(6):747-54.
- 205) <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02017756>
- 206) Sharma P, Socolow J, Patel S, Pettigrew RI, Oshinski JN. Effect of Gd-DTPA-BMA on blood and myocardial T1 at 1.5T and 3T in humans. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2006 Mar;23(3):323-30.
- 207) Bonati LH, Ederle J, Dobson J, Engelter S, Featherstone RL, Gaines PA, Beard JD, Venables GS, Markus HS, Clifton A, Sandercock P, Brown MM; CAVATAS Investigators. Length of carotid stenosis predicts peri-procedural stroke or death and restenosis in patients randomized to endovascular treatment or endarterectomy. *Int J Stroke*. 2014 Apr;9(3):297-305.
- 208) Tardif JC, Spence JD, Heinonen TM, Moody A, Pressacco J, Frayne R, L'allier P, Chow BJ, Friedrich M, Black SE, Fenster A, Rutt B, Beanlands R. Atherosclerosis imaging and the Canadian Atherosclerosis Imaging Network. *Can J Cardiol*. 2013 Mar;29(3):297-303.
- 209) Xie Y, Yu W, Fan Z, et al. High resolution 3D diffusion cardiovascular magnetic resonance of carotid vessel wall to detect lipid core without contrast media. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 2014;16(1):67.
- 210) Fan Z, Zuehlsdorff S, Li D. Prospective Self-Gating for Swallowing Motion: A Feasibility Study in Carotid Artery Wall MRI Using 3D Variable-Flip-Angle TSE. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2012;67(2):490-498
- 211) Underhill HR, Yuan C. Carotid MRI: a tool for monitoring individual response to cardiovascular therapy? *Expert Rev. Cardiovasc. Ther*. 2011;9:63-80
- 212) DeMarco JK, Spence JD. Plaque Assessment in the Management of Patients with Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis. *Neuroimaging Clin. N. Am*. 2016;26:111-127
- 213) Wintermark M, Rapp JH, Tan J, Saloner D. Unmasking complicated atherosclerotic plaques on carotid magnetic resonance angiography: a report of three cases. *J Vasc Surg*. 2006 Oct;44(4):884-7
- 214) Eisenmenger LB, Aldred BW, Kim SE, Stoddard GJ, de Havenon A, Treiman GS, Parker DL, McNally JS. Prediction of Carotid Intraplaque Hemorrhage Using Adventitial Calcification and Plaque Thickness on CTA. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2016 Aug;37(8):1496-503
- 215) Corti R, Fayad ZA, Fuster V, Worthley SG, Helft G, Chesebro J, Mercuri M, Badimon JJ. Effects of lipid-lowering by simvastatin on human atherosclerotic lesions: a longitudinal study by high-resolution, noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation*. 2001 Jul 17;104(3):249-52.

- 216) Boussel L, Arora S, Rapp J, Rutt B, Huston J, Parker D, Yuan C, Bassiouny H, Saloner D; MAPP Investigators.. Atherosclerotic plaque progression in carotid arteries: monitoring with high-spatial-resolution MR imaging--multicenter trial. *Radiology*. 2009 Sep;252(3):789-96.
- 217) Saam T, Yuan C, Chu B, Takaya N, Underhill H, Cai J, Tran N, Polissar NL, Neradilek B, Jarvik GP, Isaac C, Garden GA, Maravilla KR, Hashimoto B, Hatsukami TS. Predictors of carotid atherosclerotic plaque progression as measured by noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging. *Atherosclerosis*. 2007 Oct;194(2):e34-42
- 218) Anzidei M, Suri JS, Saba L, Sanfilippo R, Laddeo G, Montisci R, Piga M, Argiolas GM, Raz E. Longitudinal assessment of carotid atherosclerosis after Radiation Therapy using Computed Tomography: A case control Study. *Eur Radiol*. 2016 Jan;26(1):72-8. doi:
- 219) van Gils MJ, Vukadinovic D, van Dijk AC, Dippel DW, Niessen WJ, van der Lugt A. Carotid atherosclerotic plaque progression and change in plaque composition over time: a 5-year follow-up study using serial CT angiography. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2012 Aug;33(7):1267-73
- 220) Fleg JL, Stone GW, Fayad ZA, et al. Detection of high-risk atherosclerotic plaque: report of the NHLBI Working Group on current status and future directions. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2012;5:941–955
- 221) Murata N, Gonzalez-Cuyar LF, Murata K, Fligner C, Dills R, Hippe D, Maravilla KR. Macrocyclic and Other Non-Group 1 Gadolinium Contrast Agents Deposit Low Levels of Gadolinium in Brain and Bone Tissue: Preliminary Results From 9 Patients With Normal Renal Function. *Invest Radiol*. 2016 Jul;51(7):447-53
- 222) Stojanov D, Aracki-Trenkic A, Benedeto-Stojanov D. Gadolinium deposition within the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus after repeated administrations of gadolinium-based contrast agents-current status. *Neuroradiology*. 2016
- 223) Rogosnitzky M, Branch S. Gadolinium-based contrast agent toxicity: a review of known and proposed mechanisms. *Biometals*. 2016 Jun;29(3):365-76.
- 224) FDA Drug Safety Communication (2015) FDA evaluating the risk of brain deposits with repeated use of gadolinium-based contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm455386.htm>
- 225) Zhao XQ, Dong L, Hatsukami T, Phan BA, Chu B, Moore A, Lane T, Neradilek MB, Polissar N, Monick D, Lee C, Underhill H, Yuan C. MR imaging of carotid plaque composition during lipid-lowering therapy a prospective assessment of effect and time course. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2011 Sep;4(9):977-86.
- 226) Inzitari D, Eliasziw M, Gates P, Sharpe BL, Chan RK, Meldrum HE, Barnett HJ. The causes and risk of stroke in patients with asymptomatic internal-carotid-artery stenosis. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators. *N Engl J Med*. 2000 Jun 8;342(23):1693-70.
- 227) Araki T, Ikeda N, Shukla D, Londhe ND, Shrivastava VK, Banchhor SK, Saba L, Nicolaides A, Shafique S, Laird JR, Suri JS. A new method for IVUS-based coronary artery disease risk stratification: A link between coronary & carotid ultrasound plaque burdens. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed*. 2016 Feb;124:161-79.
- 228) Lekadir K, Galimzianova A, Betriu A, Vila MD, Igual L, Rubin D, Fernandez E, Radeva P, Napel S. A Convolutional Neural Network for Automatic Characterization of Plaque Composition in Carotid Ultrasound. *IEEE J Biomed Health Inform*. 2016 Nov 22. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 27893402

- 229) Lekadir K, Galimzianova A, Betriu A, Del Mar Vila M, Igual L, Rubin DL, Fernandez E, Radeva P, Napel S. A Convolutional Neural Network for Automatic Characterization of Plaque Composition in Carotid Ultrasound. *IEEE J Biomed Health Inform.* 2017 Jan;21(1):48-55
- 230) Chen S, Zhao H, Li J, Zhou Z, Li R, Balu N, Yuan C, Chen H, Zhao X, Evaluation of carotid atherosclerotic plaque surface characteristics utilizing simultaneous noncontrast angiography and intraplaque hemorrhage (SNAP) technique. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 2017 Aug 2. doi: 10.1002/jmri.25815, [Epub ahead of print]
- 231) Takaya N, Yuan C, Chu B, Saam T, Polissar NL, Jarvik GP, Isaac C, McDonough J, Natiello C, Small R, Ferguson MS, Hatsukami TS. Presence of intraplaque hemorrhage stimulates progression of carotid atherosclerotic plaques: a high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging study. *Circulation.* 2005 May 31;111(21):2768-75.
- 232) Kwee RM, van Engelshoven JM, Mess WH, ter Berg JW, Schreuder FH, Franke CL, Korten AG, Meems BJ, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Wildberger JE, Kooi ME. Reproducibility of fibrous cap status assessment of carotid artery plaques by contrast-enhanced MRI. *Stroke.* 2009;40:3017–3021.

TABLES

Table 1

3D Non-contrast Protocol				
Name	3D TOF	3D MP-RAGE	3D T1w SPACE/CUBE/VISTA	3D T2w SPACE/CUBE/VISTA
Plaque Feature	Stenosis, Ulceration, Calcification	Intraplaque Hemorrhage	Plaque burden and distribution	LRNC
Sequence ^a	FFE/SPGR	IR-TFE/SPGR	TSE/FSE	TSE/FSE
Image mode	3D	3D	3D	3D
Scan plane	Axial	Coronal	Coronal	Coronal
TR, msec	24	15	1000	2500
TE, msec	4.6	Min	30	250
FOV, cm	16x16	16x16	16x16	16x16
Resolution, mm ²	0.6x0.6	0.6x0.6	0.6x0.6	0.6x0.6
Slice thickness, mm	1 ^b	0.6	0.6	0.6
Blood suppression	Saturation – veins	None	MSDE/FSD ^c	MSDE/FSD ^c
Special parameters	Flip angle 20°	Flip angle 15°; TI=500 ms Turbo factor = 30, IRTR=800ms	Echo train: variable VFA T1	Echo train: variable VFA T2
Fat suppression	No	Yes (Water Excitation)	Yes	Yes

^a Siemens/Philips/GE acronyms.

^b Interpolated resolution

^c MSDE: Motion-sensitized driven equilibrium, FSD: Flow sensitized dephasing

*Pulse gating not required for any sequence

Table 2

3D Contrast Protocol			
Name	3D TOF	3D MP-RAGE	Pre-contrast and post-contrast** 3D T1w SPACE/CUBE/VISTA
Plaque Feature	Stenosis, Ulceration, Calcification	Intraplaque Hemorrhage	Plaque burden and distribution, LRNC
Sequence ^a	FFE/SPGR	IR-TFE/SPGR	TSE/FSE
Image mode	3D	3D	3D
Scan plane	Axial	Coronal	Coronal
TR, msec	24	15	1000
TE, msec	4.6	Min	30
FOV, cm	16x16	16x16	16x16
Resolution, mm ²	0.6x0.6	0.6x0.6	0.6x0.6
Slice thickness, mm	1 ^b	0.6	0.6
Blood suppression	Saturation – veins	None	MSDE/FSD ^c
Special parameters	Flip angle 20°	Flip angle 15°; TI=500 ms Turbo factor = 30, IRTR=800ms	Echo train: variable VFA T1
Fat suppression	No	Yes (Water Excitation)	Yes

^a Siemens/Philips/GE acronyms.

^b Interpolated resolution

^c MSDE: Motion-sensitized driven equilibrium, FSD: Flow sensitized dephasing

*Pulse gating not required for any sequence

**Clinical CE-MRA per institutional protocol can be used before post-contrast sequence

Table 3

2D Non-contrast Protocol						
Name	TOF MRA Localizer	Oblique T1W Localizer	T1W	T2W	3D-TOF	MPRAGE
Plaque feature	Localize Artery	Localize Bifurcation	Plaque burden and distribution	LRNC	Stenosis, Ulceration, Calcification	Intraplaque hemorrhage
Sequence ^a	FFE/SPGR	TSE/FSE	TSE/FSE	TSE/FSE	FFE/SPGR	IR-TFE/IR-FSPGR
Image mode	2D	2D	2D	2D	3D	3D
Scan plane	Axial	Oblique	Axial	Axial	Axial	Axial
TR, msec	25	2000	800	4800	24	13
TE, msec	4	8	10	50	Min	Min
FOV, cm	16x12	16x16	16x16	16x16	16x16	16x16
Resolution, mm ²	0.63x0.83	0.63x0.63	0.63x0.63	0.63x0.63	0.63x0.63	0.63x0.63
Slice thickness, mm	2	2	2	2	2/-1	2/-1
# of slices	32	6	16	16	40	40
Blood suppression ^b	None	DIR	DIR	DIR	None	None
Special parameters	Flip angle 40°	Echo train 14; 3 slices/TR	Echo train 10;	Echo train 12; 8 slices/TR	Flip angle 20°	Flip angle 15°, Turbo factor 30, TI 500ms, IRTR=800ms
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Water excitation

^a Siemens/Philips/GE acronyms.

^bDIR: Double inversion recovery

*Pulse gating not required for any sequence

Table 4

2D Contrast Protocol					
Name	TOF MRA Localizer	Oblique T1W Localizer	Pre-contrast and Post-contrast** T1W	3D-TOF	MPRAGE
Plaque feature	Localize Artery	Localize Bifurcation	Plaque burden and distribution, LRNC	Stenosis, Ulceration, Calcification	Intraplaque hemorrhage
Sequence ^a	FFE/SPGR	TSE/FSE	TSE/FSE	FFE/SPGR	IR-TFE/IR-FSPGR
Image mode	2D	2D	2D	3D	3D
Scan plane	Axial	Oblique	Axial	Axial	Axial
TR, msec	25	2000	800	24	13
TE, msec	4	8	10	Min	Min
FOV, cm	16x12	16x16	16x16	16x16	16x16
Resolution, mm ²	0.63x0.83	0.63x0.63	0.63x0.63	0.63x0.63	0.63x0.63
Slice thickness, mm	2	2	2	2/-1	2/-1
# of slices	32	6	16	40	40
Blood suppression ^b	Saturation - veins	MSDE	QIR***	Saturation - veins	No
Special parameters	Flip angle 40°	Echo train 14; 3 slices/TR	Echo train 10;	Flip angle 20°	Flip angle 15°, Turbo factor 30, TI 500ms, IRTR=800ms
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	No	Water excitation

^a Siemens/Philips/GE acronyms.

^bMSDE: Motion-sensitized driven equilibrium, QIR: Quadruple inversion recovery

*Pulse gating not required for any sequence

**Clinical CE-MRA per institutional protocol can be used before post-contrast sequence

***Double inversion recovery (DIR) can be used but blood suppression may be incomplete and inversion time (TI) is variable dependent on patient and contrast bolus

Table 5 : Toshiba Aquilion Vision

-	Scanogram (AP – Lat)	Basal Scan (optional)	Contrast Scan
Coverage	Sternum to mid head	Mid neck in order to cover the bifurcation	From aortic arch to mid head
Scan Mode	Scanogram	Helical	Helical
Start time	NA		Bolus Tracking ^a
Range	500 (mm)	8 cm	Variable
Collimation	NA	0.5 x 80	0.5 x 80
Pitch	NA	1	1
kV	120	120	120
mA	50-200 ^b	350	350
Rotation time	NA	0.275 second	0.275 second
Direction	NA	Caudio – Cranial ^c	Caudio – Cranial ^c
Slice thickness	NA	1 mm	1 mm
Slice interval	NA	0.5 mm	0.5 mm
FOV	wide	20 cm	20 cm
Filter	NA	Sharp (FL03)	Sharp (FL03)
CTDI(mGy)	-	15	15
DLP(mGy.cm)	-	0.6	0.6
Amount of CM	-	-	40-50 mL ^d
IDR	-	-	1.4 – 1.5 gl/sec
Concentration*	-	-	370 mgI/mL
Flow rate*	-	-	4 mL/sec

^a – Position of bolus tracking : aortic arch – threshold 100 HU

^b – 50 mA in AP and 200 in Lat

^c – it is possible also cranio-caudal

^d – variable according to the concentration

* – concentration x flow rate = IDR. The parameter to be considered is IDR

Table 6 : SIEMENS SOMATOM SENSATION 64

-	Scanogram (AP – Lat)	Basal Scan (optional)	Contrast Scan
Coverage	Sternum to mid head	Mid neck in order to cover the bifurcation	From aortic arch to mid head
Scan Mode	Scanogram	Helical	Helical
Start time	NA		Bolus Tracking ^a
Range	500 (mm)	8 cm	Variable
Collimation	NA	0.6 x 64	0.6 x 64
Pitch	NA	0.55	0.55
kV	120	120	120
mA	50-200 ^b	350	350
Rotation time	NA	0.28 second	0.28 second
Direction	NA	Cranio – Caudal ^c	Cranio – Caudal ^c
Slice thickness	NA	1 mm	1 mm
Slice interval	NA	0.5 mm	0.5 mm
FOV	wide	20 cm	20 cm
Filter	NA	Sharp (B30f)	Sharp (B30f)
CTDI(mGy)	-	18	18
DLP(mGy.cm)	-	0.65	0.65
Amount of CM	-	-	40-50 mL ^d
IDR	-	-	1.4 – 1.5 gl/sec
Concentration*	-	-	370 mgI/mL
Flow rate*	-	-	4 mL/sec

^a – Position of bolus tracking : aortic arch – threshold 100 HU

^b – 50 mA in AP and 200 in Lat

^c – it is possible also caudo-cranial with optimal results

^d – variable according to the concentration

* – concentration x flow rate = IDR. The parameter to be considered is IDR

Table 7 : Philips ICT

-	Scanogram (AP – Lat)	Basal Scan (optional)	Contrast Scan
Coverage	Sternum to mid head	Mid neck in order to cover the bifurcation	From aortic arch to mid head
Scan Mode	Scanogram	Helical	Helical
Start time	NA		Bolus Tracking ^a
Range	500 (mm)	8 cm	Variable
Collimation	NA	0.625 x 128	0.625 x 128
Pitch	NA	0.933	0.933
kV	120	120	120
mA	50-200 ^b	350	350
Rotation time	NA	0.5 second	0.5 second
Direction	NA	Cranio – Caudal ^c	Cranio – Caudal ^c
Slice thickness	NA	1 mm	1 mm
Slice interval	NA	0.5 mm	0.5 mm
FOV	wide	20 cm	20 cm
Filter	NA	Sharp (B)	Sharp (B)
CTDI(mGy)	-	15	16
DLP(mGy.cm)	-	0.6	0.6
Amount of CM	-	-	40-50 mL ^d
IDR	-	-	1.4 – 1.5 gl/sec
Concentration*	-	-	370 mgI/mL
Flow rate*	-	-	4 mL/sec

^a – Position of bolus tracking : aortic arch – threshold 100 HU

^b – 50 mA in AP and 200 in Lat

^c – it is possible also caudo-cranial with optimal results

^d – variable according to the concentration

* – concentration x flow rate = IDR. The parameter to be considered is IDR

Table 8 : GE Lightspeed VCT

-	Scanogram (AP – Lat)	Basal Scan (optional)	Contrast Scan
Coverage	Sternum to mid head	Mid neck in order to cover the bifurcation	From aortic arch to mid head
Scan Mode	Scanogram	Helical	Helical
Start time	NA		Bolus Tracking ^a
Range	500 (mm)	8 cm	Variable
Collimation	NA	0.625 x 64	0.625 x 64
Pitch	NA	0.984	0.984
kV	120	120	120
mA	50-200 ^b	350	350
Rotation time	NA	0.5 second	0.5 second
Direction	NA	Cranio – Caudal ^c	Cranio – Caudal ^c
Slice thickness	NA	1 mm	1 mm
Slice interval	NA	0.625 mm	0.625 mm
FOV	wide	20 cm	20 cm
Filter	NA	Sharp (B)	Sharp (B)
CTDI(mGy)	-	15	16
DLP(mGy.cm)	-	0.6	0.6
Amount of CM	-	-	40-50 mL ^d
IDR	-	-	1.4 – 1.5 gl/sec
Concentration*	-	-	370 mgI/mL
Flow rate*	-	-	4 mL/sec

^a – Position of bolus tracking : aortic arch – threshold 100 HU

^b – 50 mA in AP and 200 in Lat

^c – it is possible also caudo-cranial with optimal results

^d – variable according to the concentration

* – concentration x flow rate = IDR. The parameter to be considered is IDR

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1: Plaque volume analysis in a 75 year-old man with a TIA. In the Volume Rendered image the carotid is traced (a) and in the Curved-Planar-Reconstructed post-processed image (*CTA module – Aquarius iNtuition Edition ver 4.4.12.138.2907 – TeraRecon, Foster City, CA – USA*) (b) the plaque is identified based on the green contours (white arrows). The volume analysis with automated boundary detection and tissue segmentation is shown in panels c, d and e (corresponding to the three arrows, proximal to distal) with contours delineating the lumen (red contour), outer wall (blue contour), and shading of calcium (blue), mixed tissue (green), and lipid component (red).

FIGURE 2: Ulcerated carotid artery plaques detected with CT and MR. In the first case the CTA of a 74 year-old man with a TIA demonstrates an ulcerated carotid artery plaque (white arrows) in the left internal carotid artery (white arrow) in the MIP (a) and axial source image (b). In the second case a MRI analysis of a 63 year-old man with a TIA shows a tiny ulceration (white arrows) in the right internal carotid artery is visible in the axial (a) and in the para-coronal plane (b)

FIGURE 3: Carotid atherosclerotic plaque MRI and specimen from a 73 year-old man with stenosis of the carotid bulb measuring 69% by NASCET criteria demonstrated on a contrast-enhanced MRA (A). The precontrast (mask) image from the contrast-enhanced MRA demonstrates bright signal indicative of intraplaque hemorrhage, specifically subacute blood, or methemoglobin (B, arrow). Subacute blood is also identified as bright signal on the precontrast T1W black blood image (C, arrow). A rim of hemosiderin is identified as hypointense signal on the post-contrast black blood image (D) and a hemosiderin sensitive sequence (E), and confirmed on endarterectomy specimen (F, G). The fibrous cap is also delineated (green arrow, D and F). Black blood imaging was achieved using 2-dimensional cardiac-gated double inversion recovery turbo spin echo.

FIGURE 4: Carotid atherosclerotic plaque MRI and specimen from a 76 year-old woman with transient ischemic attacks ipsilateral to carotid bulb stenosis measuring 47% by NASCET criteria demonstrated on a contrast-enhanced MRA (A). Narrowing is caused by the plaque characterized by 2-dimensional cardiac-gated double inversion recovery black blood MRI (B). Regional enhancement (green arrow) within the lipid core (yellow arrow) suggests focal inflammation with neovascularity as confirmed on the endarterectomy specimen (C, green circle). Contrast-enhancement is also useful for delineating the fibrous cap (B, C - orange arrowheads). Calcification is identified as areas of hypointensity (B, C – red arrows and circle).

FIGURE 5: Smooth left internal carotid artery stenosis with intraplaque hemorrhage. All images were acquired with a 16 Channel Neurovascular Coil at 3 T. The contrast-enhanced MRA (CEMRA) demonstrates a smooth, non-ulcerated stenosis in the bulbous and post bulbous part of the left internal carotid artery (white open arrow) (a). Oblique reformat of a coronally acquired magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) shows extensive intraplaque haemorrhage (IPH) which appears hyperintense (white arrow). The IPH is hyperintense on the non-enhanced T1 FAT SAT spine echo (SE) image (c), and isointense on the gadolinium enhanced T1 FAT SAT SE image (d). On the TOF MRA source data the IPH appears also hyperintense but to a lesser degree than the intraluminal flow signal (e).

FIGURE 6: Matched cross-sectional images of a carotid plaque with high signal intensity (white arrows) consistent with the presence of intraplaque hemorrhage on MP-RAGE (a) and SNAP MRI (b). Note the greater conspicuity of the carotid lumen (L) on SNAP compared to the MPRAGE image. There is a penetrating ulcer (indicated by the asterisk) that is more easily detected on SNAP compared to the TOF MRA image (c).

FIGURE 7: In a 68-year-old male patient, co-existent plaque components, fresh intraplaque hemorrhage (arrows) and superficila calcifications (arrowheads), are detected by MATCH (first row) and the conventional multi-contrast protocol (second row). Compared to T1W TSE and TOF, MATCH provides more conspicuous depiction of intraplaque hemorrhage on the hyper T1W image and calcification on the gray blood image. Notice that the calcification is also visible on the MATCH T2W image but not on the T2W TSE image.

FIGURE 8) Contrast Enhanced MRA of the extracranial carotid bifurcation indicating the level of 2D FSE images obtained with 1.5 T. b) T1-weighted double inversion recovery black blood FSE image showing an eccentric plaque (arrow) in the internal carotid artery, and c) T2-weighted double inversion recovery black blood FSE image at the same level showing a crescentic, hypointense signal from the necrotic core which is seprated by higher intensity fibrous cap from the flow lumen.

FIGURE 9: K^{trans} map of a patient with carotid plaque. Maps were generated using pharmacokinetic modeling of dynamic contrast-enhanced MR images. The parametric map is overlaid on anatomic MR image, and voxel K^{trans} values (Patlak model) are color coded. The necrotic core exhibits low K^{trans} values at the center of plaque, while the highly vascularized adventitia at the outer rim exhibits high K^{trans} values. There is another region of higher K^{trans} values near the inner rim of the plaque.

FIGURE 10: ^{18}F -fluorocholine positron emission tomography-computed tomography (^{18}F -FCH PET-CT) image of a symptomatic (arrow) and contralateral asymptomatic (arrow head) carotid plaque of a patient who experienced right-sided stroke. **A**, Diagnostic contrast-enhanced CT shows a significant stenosis in the right internal carotid artery because of a calcified plaque, whereas a non-calcified atherosclerotic plaque can be seen on the contralateral internal carotid artery. **B**, CT,

inset on the symptomatic plaque. **D**, CT, inset on the asymptomatic plaque. **D**, The fused PET-CT image denotes a focal area of high ^{18}F -FCH uptake in the right symptomatic carotid plaque, whereas there is no visible ^{18}F -FCH uptake in the left asymptomatic carotid plaque. **E**, fused PET-CT, inset on the symptomatic plaque. **F**, fused PET-CT, inset on the asymptomatic plaque.