

1 **Knockdown of LRP/LR induces apoptosis in pancreatic cancer and**
2 **neuroblastoma cells through activation of caspases**

3 **Carryn J. Chetty[#], Eloise Ferreira[#], Katarina Jovanovic[‡], Stefan F. T. Weiss^{*}**

4 School of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Wits
5 2050, Johannesburg, Republic of South Africa

6 ^{*}Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.F.T.W.

7 (Stefan.weiss@wits.ac.za)

8 [‡]present address: Ocular Biology and Therapeutics, UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, 11-43 Bath Street,
9 London EC1V 9EL, UK

10 [#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

11 **Abstract**

12 The 37kDa/67kDa laminin receptor (LRP/LR) serves various physiological and pathological
13 roles such as enhancing tumour-related processes including metastasis, angiogenesis, cellular
14 viability and telomerase activation in cancerous cell lines. The present study investigates the
15 effect of siRNA mediated downregulation of LRP/LR on pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) and
16 neuroblastoma (IMR-32) cells. MTT and BrdU assays revealed that siRNA mediated
17 downregulation of LRP resulted in a significant reduction in cell viability and cell proliferation.
18 In addition, knock-down of LRP resulted in phosphatidylserine externalisation, diminished
19 nuclear integrity and significantly enhanced caspase-3 activity, which is indicative of apoptosis.
20 .LRP downregulation resulted in a significant increase in caspase-8 activity in IMR-32 cells and
21 enhanced caspase-8 and 9 activity in AsPC-1 cells. These data recommend siRNA mediated

Abbreviations: AsPC-1, Pancreatic cancer cells; BCA, bicinehoninic acid; BrdU, Bromodeoxyuridine; EDTA, Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; ELISA, Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay; EMEM, Eagle Minimum Essential Medium; FBS, Fetal bovine serum; FITC, Fluorescein isothiocyanate; HRP, Horse radish peroxidase; hTERT, Human telomerase reverse transcriptase; IgG, Immunoglobulin class G; IMR-32, neuroblastoma cells; IgG1-iS18, Full Length Immunoglobulin Antibody; LR, Laminin Receptor; LRP, Laminin Receptor Precursor; PAGE, Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; PCA, Protocatechuic acid; siRNA, small interfering RNA; SDS, Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate

1 knock-down of LRP as a potential therapeutic avenue for the treatment of pancreatic cancer and
2 neuroblastoma.

3 **Keywords**

4 37kDa/67 kDa laminin Receptor; apoptosis; small interfering RNAs; pancreatic cancer;
5 neuroblastoma

6

7 **Introduction**

8 Laminins are glycoproteins that play a major role in the formation of the extracellular matrix^[1].
9 Both integrin and non-integrin laminin receptors have been identified as mediators used by
10 laminins to carry out their functions, which include cell migration^[2], adhesion^[3], growth^[4], cell
11 differentiation, proliferation^[5] and cell signaling^[6]. One major receptor and binding partner for
12 laminin is the 37kDa/67kDa laminin receptor precursor/high-affinity laminin receptor
13 (LRP/LR)^[7].

14 LRP/LR is a major extracellular matrix/non-integrin laminin receptor with high specificity and
15 affinity for laminin-1 ^[8]. LRP/LR is predominantly found as a transmembrane receptor but also
16 localizes in the cytosol^[9] and the nucleus^[10] – facilitating translational processes and the
17 maintenance of nuclear structures, respectively. It plays a role in physiological processes such as
18 cellular adhesion, migration, proliferation, maintenance of cellular viability^[11], cell cycle^[12],
19 protein synthesis^[12] and processing of ribosomal RNA^[13].

20 Numerous studies have implicated LRP/LR as a key contributor to the pathogenesis of certain
21 viral and bacterial infections ^[14, 15], prion-protein related diseases such as Transmissible

1 Spongiform Encephalopathies ^[16], neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease ^[17, 18],
2 and several cancer types ^[19-22]. Blockage of the interaction between Laminin-1 and LRP/LR by
3 use of the anti-LRP/LR specific antibody IgG1-iS18 has been shown to diminish levels of
4 adhesion and invasion of various cell lines *in vitro* ^[19-25]. Therefore, it is evident that LRP/LR is
5 crucial for metastatic processes and is a promising target for metastatic cancer therapeutics. In
6 addition to metastasis, LRP/LR is also suggested to enhance tumour angiogenesis ^[26] and
7 recently Naidoo *et al.* [27] and Otgaar *et al.* ^[28] elucidated a novel role for LRP/LR in mediating
8 telomerase activity through the enhancement of hTERT activity. In particular, knock-down of
9 LRP/LR resulted in impediment of telomerase activity in metastatic breast cancer cells,
10 combating the pro-tumourigenic activities of LRP/LR [27]. Very recently, we showed that
11 LRP::FLAG enhances telomerase activity concomitant with reduced senescence markers,
12 indicating that LRP plays a profound role in the ageing process [28]. Due to the involvement of
13 LRP/LR in these aforementioned numerous tumourigenic processes, the role of this receptor in
14 cell survival and viability has become an attractive topic of research ^[29]. Recent studies showed
15 reductions in the viability of liver (Hep3b) ^[30], lung (A549) ^[31], cervical (HeLa) ^[31], breast (MCF-
16 7 and MDA-MB231) ^[32] and oesophageal cancer (WHCO1) ^[32] cells after siRNA-mediated
17 downregulation of LRP/LR – thus suggesting that LRP/LR plays a pivotal role in the
18 maintenance of tumour cell viability. Furthermore it has been shown that LRP/LR plays an
19 important role in apoptosis ^[30-32].

20 Apoptosis is a process of programmed cell death necessary for the maintenance of tissue
21 homeostasis and embryonic development ^[33]. Three main types of biochemical changes have
22 been observed in apoptosis, namely caspase activation, DNA/protein breakdown, and membrane
23 changes that result in phagocytosis ^[34]. There are two main pathways by which caspases can be

1 activated and thereby induce apoptosis, namely the death receptor (extrinsic) pathway and the
2 mitochondrial (intrinsic) pathway^[34]. Recent studies made use of siRNA technology to
3 downregulate LRP/LR and assess the effects of this down-regulation on apoptosis^[31, 32]. siRNA-
4 mediated LRP/LR down-regulation was shown to significantly reduce cellular viability of lung
5 (A549) and cervical cancer (HeLa) cells and increase the levels of caspase-3 activity in both cell
6 lines, thus suggesting that LRP/LR is pivotal in the maintenance of cellular viability as well as
7 the evasion of apoptosis in tumour cells.

8 Therefore, the present study aimed to assess the role of LRP/LR on the viability and survival of
9 highly aggressive pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-32) cells by using an
10 siRNA approach. Additionally, both the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic pathways were
11 evaluated following siRNA mediated downregulation of LRP/LR. This study showed that
12 LRP/LR knockdown significantly reduced the viability of pancreatic cancer and neuroblastoma
13 cells, and suggests that the observed reduction in cellular viability is due to apoptosis.

14

15 **Materials and Methods**

16 **Cell culture.** AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells were obtained from the Fox Chase Cancer Center
17 (USA). AsPC-1 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% Fetal Calf Serum
18 (FCS), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 2mM L-glutamine, 10mM HEPES and sodium pyruvate.

19 IMR-32 cells were cultured in Eagle Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) supplemented with
20 10% FCS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 2mM L-glutamine and 1% non-essential amino acids.

21 Cell lines were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂ and were sub-cultured
22 when 80% confluency was reached.

1 **siRNA-mediated down-regulation of LRP/LR.** Cells were seeded into 6 well plates and were
2 transfected at approximately 50-70% confluency. Transfections were performed using siRNA-
3 LAMR1 to downregulate LRP/LR and siRNA-scrambled was employed as a negative control
4 (Dharmacon). The Dharmafect1 Transfection reagent was used according to the manufacturer's
5 instructions. Additionally, AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 3000
6 reagent (Thermo Scientific) and either esiRNA-RPSA (targeted to LRP/LR) or esiRNA-RLUC
7 (negative control) (Sigma-Aldrich), in order to confirm that downregulation was not due to off-
8 target effects. Thereafter, the plates were incubated at 37°C for a period of 72 hours prior to
9 downstream experiments being performed.

10 **Western blotting.** Endogenous LRP/LR levels as well as siRNA-treated LRP/LR levels were
11 determined by employing Western blotting. In order to prepare cell lysates, cells were incubated
12 in 500µl of lysis buffer (10mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 10mM EDTA, 100mM NaCl, 0.05% (w/v)
13 deoxycholic acid (DOC), 0.5% (v/v) Nonidet-P40) for 15 minutes at 4°C. This was followed by
14 centrifugation at 14000 rpm for 2 minutes, after which the supernatant containing the extracted
15 proteins was retained. Cell lysates were separated on 12% SDS-PAGE gels (Bio-Rad). Proteins
16 were transferred to PVDF membranes for 45 min at 300V and 350A. Thereafter, the membranes
17 were blocked for 1 hour using blocking buffer (3% BSA in PBS-Tween) followed by an 1 hour
18 incubation of the membranes in the primary antibody (anti-LRP/LR specific antibody IgG1-
19 iS18) diluted 1:5000 in blocking buffer. Three washes in washing buffer (10 min each) were
20 performed before incubation of the membranes in secondary antibody (anti-human IgG-HRP
21 conjugated antibody) diluted 1:10000 in blocking buffer for 1 hour. Three washes were again
22 performed as previously mentioned before chemiluminescent substrate was added for detection
23 of proteins. X-ray films were used to capture the chemiluminescent reaction. β-actin (mouse

1 monoclonal anti- β -actin peroxidase; Sigma) served as a loading control. Densitometry was
2 performed to quantify protein levels with ImageJ™ software.

3 **MTT assay.** Both AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells were seeded at a density of 1×10^4 cells per well in a
4 24-well plate and transfected 24 hours later. Seventy two hours post transfection, $100 \mu\text{g}$ of MTT
5 was added to each well, and incubated for 2 hours at 37°C . Post incubation, the MTT-containing
6 medium was discarded from each well and the remaining formazan crystals were dissolved in
7 $500 \mu\text{l}$ of DMSO. The absorbance was measured at 570nm using an ELISA plate reader. Controls
8 included untreated cells, negative control siRNA treated cells, and positive control
9 protocatechuic acid (PCA) treated cells.

10 **BrdU assay.** BrdU assays were performed using a BrdU Cell Proliferation Kit (Calbiochem)
11 according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, cells were seeded at a density of 1×10^4
12 cells in a 24-well plate and transfected. Post transfection (72 hours), $80 \mu\text{l}$ of a working stock of
13 BrdU was added to each well followed by a 24 hour incubation period at 37°C . Following
14 aspiration of contents, $800 \mu\text{l}$ of fixative solution was added to each well and incubated for 30min
15 at room temperature. After removal of the fixative, cells were subjected to an hour incubation in
16 $100 \mu\text{l}$ of anti-BrdU antibody. Cells were washed thrice with wash buffer and incubated for
17 30min, at room temperature, with $400 \mu\text{l}$ of the peroxidase anti-mouse IgG HRP-conjugated
18 antibody. After cells were washed three times, $400 \mu\text{l}$ of substrate solution was added followed by
19 a subsequent incubation period of 15min. Absorbance was measured within 30min of addition of
20 stop solution ($400 \mu\text{l}$) at dual wavelengths of 450 and 540nm . Untreated cells were used as a
21 control and siRNA-scr and PCA were used as negative and positive controls, respectively.

22 **Confocal microscopy.** AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells were seeded onto coverslips at a density of
23 1×10^4 cells prior to siRNA transfection. Thereafter, 72 hours post transfection, cells were fixed in

1 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min followed by three washes with PBS. Post washing, cells were
2 incubated with Hoechst 33342 nuclear stain diluted in PBS (1:100) for 5 to 10 min in the dark.
3 The coverslips were then washed 2-3 times in PBS and thereafter, coverslips were mounted (cell
4 side down) onto a clean microscope slide using mounting fluid. After setting for 45 min in the
5 dark, slides were stored at 4°C until ready to view with a confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 780).
6 Controls included untreated cells, cells treated with siRNA-scr (negative control) and PCA
7 (positive control).

8 **Annexin V-FITC/7AAD assays.** The Annexin V-FITC/7-AAD assay (Beckman Coulter) was
9 performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, post siRNA transfection, cells
10 were harvested with trypsin/EDTA and washed with cold PBS by centrifugation at 500 x g for 5
11 min. The cells were resuspended in 1 x Annexin-binding buffer and 10µl of Annexin V-FITC
12 solution and 20µl of 7-AAD viability dye was added to 100µl of the cell suspensions. The cell
13 suspensions were then incubated on ice for 15 min in the dark. Finally, samples were
14 supplemented with 400µl of cold 1X Annexin binding buffer prior to flow cytometric analysis.
15 Untreated cells, siRNA-scr treated cells, and PCA treated cells were used as controls. Samples
16 were analysed using the BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer, where the FL-1 laser was used to detect
17 Annexin V- FITC staining and FL-3 was used for detection of 7-AAD staining. Compensation
18 and quadrants were set using the following controls: unstained cells, Annexin V-FITC stained
19 cells, 7-AAD stained cells, cells stained with both Annexin V-FITC and 7-AAD, and PCA-
20 treated cells stained with both Annexin V-FITC and 7-AAD.

21 **Caspase-3, -8 and -9 activity assays.** Caspase 3, Caspase 8 and Caspase 9 Activation Assays
22 (Abcam) were performed according to manufacturer's instructions. In brief, 72 hours after
23 siRNA transfection, 1×10^6 cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 1200 rpm for 10 min.

1 Thereafter, cells were re-suspended in 50µl of cell lysis buffer and incubated on ice for 10 min.
2 This was followed by centrifugation of cells at 10000 x *g* for 1 min. Following this, the protein
3 concentration of the supernatant was determined by use of a BCA™ assay and subsequently,
4 200µg of protein was diluted to 50µl with cell lysis buffer and added to appropriate wells of a
5 96-well plate. The 2X reaction buffer was then supplemented with DTT (10µl DTT/1ml reaction
6 buffer) and 50µl of this solution was added to each sample, followed by the addition of 5µl of
7 peptide substrate. After a 2 hour incubation period at 37°C, the absorbance was measured at
8 405nm using an ELISA plate reader. In addition to untreated cells, siRNA-scr treated and PCA
9 treated cells were used as negative and positive controls, respectively.

10 **Statistical evaluation.** The two-tailed Student's *t*-test with a confidence interval of 95% was
11 used in order to analyse the data, with p-values of less than 0.05 being considered as significant.
12 This statistical analysis was done using Graphpad Prism version 5.03.

13

1 **Results**

2 **siRNA technology leads to down-regulation of LRP expression in pancreatic cancer and**

3 **neuroblastoma cells.** In order to determine the effect of LRP/LR expression on cellular

4 viability, siRNA-mediated knockdown of LRP/LR was performed. Post transfection of

5 pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-32) cells with siRNA-LAMR1

6 (specifically targeting the mRNA of the 37kDa LRP), Western blot analysis and subsequent

7 densitometry was performed. Densitometric analysis revealed that LRP was successfully down-

8 regulated in both AsPC-1 (Fig.1a and 1b) and IMR-32 (Fig.1c and 1d) cells by transfection with

9 the afore-mentioned siRNA. It was observed that siRNA-LAMR1 transfected AsPC-1 and IMR-

10 32 cells exhibited a 90% and 71% reduction in LRP expression, respectively, when compared to

11 non-transfected cells, set to 100%. Moreover, transfection of AsPC-1 cells with siRNA-scr

12 (negative control scrambled siRNA) showed no significant LRP knockdown when compared to

13 non-transfected cells (Fig.S1a and S1b). Likewise, the same effect was observed when IMR-32

14 cells were transfected with siRNA-scr (Fig.S1c and S1d).

15 **siRNA-mediated down-regulation of LRP expression results in significantly reduced**

16 **viability of pancreatic cancer and neuroblastoma cells.** After siRNA-mediated knockdown of

17 LRP, the effect thereof on cellular viability was analysed. Following treatment of AsPC-1 and

18 IMR-32 cells with siRNA-LAMR1, MTT assays revealed that cellular viability was significantly

19 reduced in comparison to controls. This signifies that siRNA-mediated LRP knockdown leads to

20 the observed decreases in cellular viability in both of the tumourigenic cell lines.

21 It was seen that AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells treated with siRNA-LAMR1 exhibited an 82% and

22 75% reduction in cellular viability, respectively, when compared to non-transfected cells

23 (Fig.1e). Moreover, no significant reduction in cellular viability was observed for both cell lines

1 when treated with the negative control siRNA-scr and compared to non-transfected cells
2 (Fig.1e). Protocatechuic acid (PCA) was used as a positive control treatment as it is a known
3 apoptosis inducer.

4 **Use of an alternative siRNA confirms that LRP down-regulation is responsible for**
5 **reductions in cellular viability in pancreatic cancer and neuroblastoma cells.** In order to
6 ascertain whether the observed reduction in cellular viability occurred as a result of siRNA-
7 LAMR1-mediated LRP down-regulation and not an off-target effect, an additional siRNA
8 targeting a different region of LRP was used. After transfection of cells with the alternative
9 siRNA (esiRNA-RPSA), Western blot analysis and densitometry was performed. By comparison
10 to non-transfected cells, pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) cells showed a significant knockdown of
11 83% in LRP expression (Fig.2a and 2b). Similarly, neuroblastoma (IMR-32) cells exhibited a
12 significant down-regulation of 64% in LRP expression when compared to non-transfected cells
13 (Fig.2c and 2d). Additionally, treatment of AsPC-1 cells with esiRNA-RLUC (negative control
14 siRNA) displayed no significant difference in LRP expression when compared to non-transfected
15 cells (Fig.S2a and S2b). Likewise, the same effect was observed for IMR-32 cells treated with
16 esiRNA-RLUC (Fig.S2c and S2d).

17 To assess the effects of esiRNA-RPSA-mediated LRP knockdown on the viability of AsPC-1
18 and IMR-32 cells, MTT assays were performed. It was found that treatment of cells with this
19 alternative siRNA resulted in significantly reduced cellular viability in both tumourigenic cell
20 lines under study. Specifically, AsPC-1 cells showed an 82% reduction in cellular viability post
21 siRNA treatment whilst IMR-32 cells exhibited a 60% reduction when compared to non-
22 transfected cells (Fig.2e). Moreover, treatment of both cell lines with the negative control siRNA

1 (esiRNA-RLUC) did not result in a significant change in cellular viability when compared to
2 non-transfected cells (Fig.2e).

3 **Knockdown of LRP expression by siRNA significantly reduces cell proliferation.** Due to the
4 observed reduction in cellular viability of pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-
5 32) cells upon treatment with siRNA, the effect of siRNA-mediated down-regulation of LRP
6 expression on cellular proliferation was also investigated since a loss in cellular viability could
7 be a result of reduced proliferation rates. Cellular proliferation was analysed by use of the BrdU
8 assay.

9 It was observed that AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells showed significant reductions of 76% and 44% in
10 cellular proliferation, respectively, after being treated with siRNA-LAMR1 and compared to
11 non-transfected cells (Fig.3). Furthermore, treatment of both cell lines with the negative control
12 siRNA (siRNA-scr) showed no significant differences in cellular proliferation when compared to
13 non-transfected cells (Fig.3).

14 **siRNA-mediated knockdown of LRP expression results in nuclear morphological changes**
15 **indicating apoptotic induction.** To examine if apoptotic induction led to the reductions in
16 cellular viability post siRNA-LAMR1 treatment, nuclear morphology was investigated by
17 confocal microscopy. Compared to the nuclei of non-transfected cells (Fig.4a), siRNA-LAMR1
18 treated pancreatic cancer cells (AsPC-1) displayed nuclear morphology indicative of apoptosis
19 (Fig.4d). Similarly, comparison of nuclei of siRNA-LAMR1 treated neuroblastoma cells (IMR-
20 32) (Fig.4h) to the nuclei of non-transfected cells (Fig.4e) revealed nuclear morphological
21 changes such as nuclear shrinkage, membrane blebbing and the formation of apoptotic bodies.
22 Moreover, treatment of both cell lines with the negative control siRNA-scr did not result in
23 changes in nuclear morphology when compared to non-transfected cells (Fig.4b and 4f). PCA

1 was used as a positive control for studying nuclear morphological changes under apoptotic
2 conditions (Fig.4c and 4g).

3 **siRNA-mediated down-regulation of LRP expression leads to phosphatidylserine**
4 **externalization and apoptotic induction.** Confocal microscopy suggested that knockdown of
5 LRP expression in pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-32) cells causes nuclear
6 morphological changes that are characteristic of cells undergoing apoptosis. In order to confirm
7 that this observation of apoptotic induction holds true for both the cell lines in question, an
8 Annexin V-FITC/ 7-AAD assay was performed.

9 It was observed that transfection of AsPC-1 cells with siRNA-LAMR1 resulted in 76% of cells
10 undergoing apoptosis (Fig.5d) when compared to non-transfected cells (Fig5a). Treatment of
11 IMR-32 cells with siRNA-LAMR1 induced apoptosis in 42.7% of cells (Fig.5h) when compared
12 to non-transfected cells (Fig.5e). Furthermore, treatment of both cell lines with the negative
13 control siRNA-scr did not induce apoptosis (Fig.5b and 5f). Protocatechuic acid (PCA) was used
14 as a positive control (Fig.5c and 5g).

15 **siRNA-mediated knockdown of LRP expression leads to significantly increased caspase-3**
16 **activity.** To further confirm that down-regulation of LRP induces apoptosis in pancreatic cancer
17 (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-32) cells, caspase-3 activity was assessed. It was found that
18 after transfection with siRNA-LAMR1, AsPC-1 cells displayed a significant 10-fold increase in
19 caspase-3 activity when compared to non-transfected cells (Fig.6a). IMR-32 cells showed a 6-
20 fold increase in caspase-3 activity after treatment of cells with siRNA-LAMR1 and compared to
21 non-transfected cells (Fig.6a). Additionally, treatment of both cell lines with the negative control
22 siRNA-scr showed no significant differences in caspase-3 activity when compared to non-
23 transfected cells (Fig.6a).

1 **Pancreatic cancer and neuroblastoma cells exhibit significantly increased caspase-8 activity**
2 **after siRNA-mediated down-regulation of LRP expression.** Since caspase 3 is activated in
3 both the intrinsic and extrinsic pathways of apoptosis, caspase-8 activity assays were performed
4 to further understand the role LRP/LR plays in promoting cell survival of cancerous cells. This
5 assay was used to gain further insight into whether transfection with siRNA-LAMR1 results in
6 activation of the caspase-8-mediated extrinsic pathway in both cell lines. It was observed that
7 AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells treated with siRNA-LAMR1 experienced a significant 3-fold and 4-
8 fold increase in caspase-8 activity, respectively, when compared to non-transfected cells
9 (Fig.6b). Moreover, transfection of both cell lines with the negative control siRNA-scr revealed
10 no significant differences in caspase-8 activity when compared to non-transfected cells (Fig.6b).

11 **Pancreatic cancer cells show a significant increase in caspase-9 activity after siRNA-**
12 **mediated LRP knockdown.** Caspase-9 activity assays were used to establish whether pancreatic
13 cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-32) cells undergo apoptosis through the caspase-9-
14 mediated intrinsic pathway after siRNA-mediated LRP downregulation. It was found that AsPC-
15 1 cells exhibited a significant 3-fold increase in caspase-9 activity after transfection with siRNA-
16 LAMR1, when compared to non-transfected cells (Fig.6c). No significant difference was
17 however observed in caspase-9 activity in IMR-32 cells after transfection when compared to
18 non-transfected cells (Fig.6c). Furthermore, treatment of both cell lines with the negative control
19 siRNA-scr resulted in no significant differences in caspase-9 activity when compared to non-
20 transfected cells, as expected (Fig.6c).

21

22

1 **Discussion**

2 The overexpression of LRP/LR in cancerous cell lines and its involvement in numerous
3 tumourigenic processes has made this receptor an attractive target for study. Specifically, tumour
4 progression is enhanced by the LRP/LR-assisted promotion of processes such as tumour
5 angiogenesis, metastasis and apoptotic evasion – three out of the six hallmarks of cancer^[35]. The
6 widespread cellular localization of LRP/LR allows this receptor to serve several physiological
7 functions such as cell adhesion, cell migration and proliferation, cell viability, regulation of the
8 cell cycle, protein synthesis and ribosomal RNA processing. Due to LRP/LR over-expression,
9 these functions may be exploited in tumour cells, leading to the occurrence of the afore-
10 mentioned tumour-promoting processes.

11 In order to determine the role played by LRP/LR in the maintenance of cellular viability, siRNAs
12 were used to downregulate LRP expression in AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells (Fig.1 and 2) and the
13 effect on cell viability was assessed. Treatment of the cells with siRNA-LAMR1 and esiRNA-
14 RPSA resulted in significant reductions in viability which correlated with siRNA-mediated LRP
15 knockdown expression levels (Fig. 1e and 2e). This observation suggests that LRP plays a
16 crucial role in cell survival of these two cancer cell lines.

17 Since it is known that, in addition to viability, LRP/LR plays a role in proliferation, we wanted to
18 establish whether downregulation of LRP/LR would further impair cellular division of AsPC-1
19 and IMR-32 cells. After transfection with siRNA-LAMR1, AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells showed
20 reductions in cellular proliferation that correlated with the LRP expression levels observed after
21 downregulation. This suggests that LRP/LR plays a role in cellular division which might in
22 addition facilitate cellular viability maintenance. LRP/LR has also been identified in interactions
23 with certain proteins that govern cell cycle progression, such as cyclins A2 and B1, CDK's 1 and

1 2, and p2^[11], thus providing not only an explanation for the hampered cellular growth but also
2 the decreased proliferation observed upon siRNA treatment.

3 Next we wanted to determine whether apoptosis was the form of cell death responsible for the
4 observed reduction in the viability of AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells after LRP downregulation. After
5 treatment of these two cell lines with siRNA-LAMR1, confocal microscopy revealed evident
6 alterations in nuclear morphology – such as nuclear shrinkage, nuclear membrane blebbing and
7 the formation of apoptotic bodies (Fig.4). Due to the maintenance of nuclear structures by the
8 binding of nuclear and perinuclear LRP/LR to histones^[36], it is clear that knockdown of this
9 receptor leads to diminished nuclear integrity and altered nuclear morphology. These siRNA-
10 LAMR1-induced changes to the nuclear morphology of AsPC-1 and IMR-32 are indicative of
11 apoptotic induction in these cell lines.

12 Further confirmation and quantification of apoptotic induction was achieved by use of an
13 Annexin-V-FITC/ 7-AAD assay. It was observed that non-transfected and negative control
14 siRNA-scr transfected AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells did not display Annexin-V and/or PI, which is
15 representative of live cells. However, 8mM PCA-treated and siRNA-LAMR1-treated AsPC-1
16 and IMR-32 cells exhibited an increase in Annexin-V or Annexin-V and PI which is indicative
17 of early and late stage apoptosis respectively. The shift from negative to positive Annexin-V
18 staining signifies that siRNA-LAMR1-mediated LRP down-regulation in AsPC-1 and IMR-32
19 cells triggers loss of membrane asymmetry and PS externalization on the outer leaflet of the
20 plasma membrane which are common features of apoptotic cells^[37].

21 Nuclear morphological studies as well as Annexin V assays proved that siRNA-mediated
22 knockdown of LRP expression leads to apoptotic induction in AsPC- 1 and IMR-32 cells.
23 Additionally, caspase-3 assays were performed in order to further ascertain the occurrence of

1 apoptotic induction as well as the involvement of caspases after treatment of these cell lines with
2 siRNA-LAMR1. Caspase-3 is an effector caspase that exhibits increased activity in cells that are
3 actively undergoing apoptosis. After treatment of AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells with siRNA-
4 LAMR1, there was a marked increase in caspase-3 activity in both cell lines when compared to
5 non-transfected cells. These findings clearly indicate that siRNA-mediated LRP down-regulation
6 induces apoptosis in these two tumourigenic cell lines. This observation of apoptotic induction
7 after siRNA-mediated LRP knockdown may also be attributed to the interaction of LRP/LR with
8 focal adhesion kinase (FAK). A recent study showed that after LRP/LR binds to laminin,
9 interactions can occur between LRP/LR and FAK^[38]. These interactions were implicated in the
10 activation of PI3-kinase/AKT and MEK/ERK 1/2 cell survival pathways and also the up-
11 regulation of Bcl-2 - an anti-apoptotic protein^[38]. Alternatively, down-regulation of LRP by
12 siRNAs may have led to apoptotic induction due to the ribosomal functions of LRP/LR. It is
13 known that LRP/LR plays a role in the biogenesis of ribosomes by facilitating 21S pre-rRNA
14 processing into mature 18S rRNA^[13], and also associates with and acts as a component of the
15 40S ribosomal small subunit where it assists with protein translation and synthesis^[39-41].
16 Therefore, knockdown of LRP in this study might interfere with ribosome formation and
17 consequent translation of proteins that are critically involved in proper cell functioning and
18 survival, thereby leading to cell death.

19 As mentioned before LRP/LR plays a role in the PI3-kinase/AKT and MEK/ERK 1/2 cell
20 survival pathways. Since both these pathways are involved in inhibiting the intrinsic as well as
21 extrinsic apoptotic pathways, caspase-8 and caspase-9 assays were performed to assess which
22 apoptotic pathway is induced after siRNA-LAMR1-mediated knockdown of LRP/LR. It was
23 observed that both of the tumourigenic cell lines exhibited increased caspase-8 activity after

1 treatment with siRNA-LAMR1, when compared to non-transfected cells indicating that the
2 extrinsic apoptotic pathway is activated ^[42].

3 In addition, it was observed that AsPC-1 cells treated with siRNA-LAMR1 exhibited a
4 significant increase in caspase-9 activity in comparison to non-transfected cells. Caspase-9 is
5 intricately involved in the mitochondrial (intrinsic) pathway^[42], thus suggesting that siRNA-
6 mediated LRP knockdown also induces apoptosis in AsPC-1 cells via the intrinsic pathway.

7 Interestingly, it has been shown by Celay et al. ^[43]that activation of the apoptotic intrinsic
8 pathway is less probable after treatment of IMR-32 cells with 9-cis Retinoic Acid (RA).
9 Treatment of IMR-32 and SH-SY5Y cells with 9-cis RA leads to an increase of BIRC3 and
10 BIRC8 (an inhibitor of APAF1, BAX and Caspase 9) and to a decrease of APAF1 and BCL10
11 (an activator of Caspase 9), respectively, suggesting a lesser formation of the apoptosome and a
12 blockade of the intrinsic pathway. Moreover, RA causes downregulation of the 67 kDa Laminin
13 Receptor which correlates with reduced biological aggressiveness of human neuroblastoma
14 cells^[44]. We therefore suggest that the decrease in caspase 9 activation observed after treatment
15 of RA is possibly due to the downregulation of the 67 kDa Laminin Receptor. This might explain
16 why siRNA mediated downregulation of LRP induces caspase 8 activation in both cell lines
17 whilst caspase 9 activation occurs only in AsPC-1 cells.

18 Besides its normal roles in mediating cell viability, cellular adhesion and functioning as a
19 member of the ribosomal translational machinery, LRP/LR has been implicated in a number of
20 pathological processes including cancer and metastasis ^[45]. However, the induction of apoptosis
21 in response to downregulation of LRP/LR, as shown here and previously for MCF-7, MDA-
22 MB231 and WHCO1 cells ^[32], suggests that this may not be a practical approach without specific
23 targeting to avoid inducing apoptosis in non-tumourigenic cells. Interestingly, though, we have

1 previously shown that shRNA mediated downregulation of LRP/LR had no significant effect on
2 the viability of non-cancerous HEK293 cells suggesting that this protein might be crucial for cell
3 survival in certain cell types only^[17]. As such, modifying the expression of LRP/LR is an
4 attractive therapeutic target for the treatment of cancer.

6 **Conclusion**

7 The present study has demonstrated that the siRNA-mediated knockdown of LRP expression
8 significantly reduced the viability of pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-32)
9 cells through hampering of cell proliferation and through the induction of apoptosis. In IMR-32
10 cells, apoptosis was solely induced through the death receptor (extrinsic) pathway while
11 apoptosis occurred via both the intrinsic and extrinsic pathways in AsPC-1 cells. It is likely that
12 the PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ERK pathways are involved however the exact mechanism of action
13 warrants further investigation. These findings exemplify the critical role played by LRP/LR in
14 the maintenance of cellular viability in both of these tumourigenic cell lines. Furthermore, this *in*
15 *vitro* study highlights the potential of using siRNAs targeting LRP therapeutic tools for the
16 treatment of pancreatic cancer and neuroblastoma. Future research will therefore include
17 investigation of the effect of LRP/LR knock-down strategies in *in vivo* cancer models.

18 **Funding**

19 This work is based upon research supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF), the
20 Republic of South Africa (RSA). Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations
21 expressed in this material are those of the author(s), and therefore, the National Research
22 Foundation does not accept any liability in this regard thereto. Financial support was further

1 received from the South African Medical Research Council (MRC) under the Wits Common
2 Epithelial Cancer Research Centre (CECRC) grant. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or
3 recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s), and therefore, the MRC
4 does not accept any liability in this regard thereto.

5 **Conflict of interest**

6 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

7 **Acknowledgments**

8 We thank Affimed Therapeutics GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany for providing antibody IgG1-iS18.

9

10 **References**

- 11 [1] T. Sasaki, R. Fassler, E. Hohenester, Laminin: the crux of basement membrane assembly, *J Cell*
12 *Biol* 164 (2004) 959-963.
- 13 [2] S. Aznavoorian, M.L. Stracke, H. Krutzsch, E. Schiffmann, L.A. Liotta, Signal transduction for
14 chemotaxis and haptotaxis by matrix molecules in tumor cells, *J Cell Biol* 110 (1990) 1427-
15 1438.
- 16 [3] K.M. Malinda, H.K. Kleinman, The laminins, *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 28 (1996) 957-959.
- 17 [4] B.S. Weeks, J. DiSalvo, H.K. Kleinman, Laminin-mediated process formation in neuronal cells
18 involves protein dephosphorylation, *J Neurosci Res* 27 (1990) 418-426.
- 19 [5] F.G. Giancotti, Signal transduction by the alpha 6 beta 4 integrin: charting the path between
20 laminin binding and nuclear events, *J Cell Sci* 109 (Pt 6) (1996) 1165-1172.
- 21 [6] M. Patarroyo, K. Tryggvason, I. Virtanen, Laminin isoforms in tumor invasion, angiogenesis
22 and metastasis, *Semin Cancer Biol* 12 (2002) 197-207.
- 23 [7] J. Nelson, N.V. McFerran, G. Pivato, E. Chambers, C. Doherty, D. Steele, D.J. Timson, The 67
24 kDa laminin receptor: structure, function and role in disease, *Biosci Rep* 28 (2008) 33-48.
- 25 [8] C.N. Rao, V. Castronovo, M.C. Schmitt, U.M. Wewer, A.P. Claysmith, L.A. Liotta, M.E. Sobel,
26 Evidence for a precursor of the high-affinity metastasis-associated murine laminin receptor,
27 *Biochemistry* 28 (1989) 7476-7486.
- 28 [9] D. Auth, G. Brawerman, A 33-kDa polypeptide with homology to the laminin receptor:
29 component of translation machinery, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 89 (1992) 4368-4372.
- 30 [10] R.H. Salama, H. Muramatsu, K. Zou, T. Inui, T. Kimura, T. Muramatsu, Midkine binds to 37-kDa
31 laminin binding protein precursor, leading to nuclear transport of the complex, *Exp Cell Res*
32 270 (2001) 13-20.
- 33 [11] J. Scheiman, J.C. Tseng, Y. Zheng, D. Meruelo, Multiple functions of the 37/67-kd laminin
34 receptor make it a suitable target for novel cancer gene therapy, *Mol Ther* 18 (2010) 63-74.

- 1 [12] L. Venticinque, D. Meruelo, Comprehensive proteomic analysis of nonintegrin laminin
2 receptor interacting proteins, *J Proteome Res* 11 (2012) 4863-4872.
- 3 [13] M.F. O'Donohue, V. Choemmel, M. Faubladiere, G. Fichant, P.E. Gleizes, Functional dichotomy of
4 ribosomal proteins during the synthesis of mammalian 40S ribosomal subunits, *J Cell Biol* 190
5 (2010) 853-866.
- 6 [14] K.S. Wang, R.J. Kuhn, E.G. Strauss, S. Ou, J.H. Strauss, High-affinity laminin receptor is a
7 receptor for Sindbis virus in mammalian cells, *J Virol* 66 (1992) 4992-5001.
- 8 [15] C.J. Orihuela, J. Mahdavi, J. Thornton, B. Mann, K.G. Wooldridge, N. Abouseada, N.J. Oldfield,
9 T. Self, D.A. Ala'Aldeen, E.I. Tuomanen, Laminin receptor initiates bacterial contact with the
10 blood brain barrier in experimental meningitis models, *J Clin Invest* 119 (2009) 1638-1646.
- 11 [16] C. Leucht, S. Simoneau, C. Rey, K. Vana, R. Rieger, C.I. Lasmezas, S. Weiss, The 37 kDa/67 kDa
12 laminin receptor is required for PrP(Sc) propagation in scrapie-infected neuronal cells, *EMBO*
13 *Rep* 4 (2003) 290-295.
- 14 [17] B. Da Costa Dias, K. Jovanovic, D. Gonsalves, K. Moodley, U. Reusch, S. Knackmuss, C. Penny,
15 M.S. Weinberg, M. Little, S.F. Weiss, Anti-LRP/LR specific antibody IgG1-iS18 and knock-down
16 of LRP/LR by shRNAs rescue cells from Abeta42 induced cytotoxicity, *Scientific reports* 3
17 (2013) 2702.
- 18 [18] K. Jovanovic, D. Gonsalves, B. Da Costa Dias, K. Moodley, U. Reusch, S. Knackmuss, C. Penny,
19 M.S. Weinberg, M. Little, S.F. Weiss, Anti-LRP/LR specific antibodies and shRNAs impede
20 amyloid beta shedding in Alzheimer's disease, *Sci Rep* 3 (2013) 2699.
- 21 [19] C. Chetty, T. Khumalo, B. Da Costa Dias, U. Reusch, S. Knackmuss, M. Little, S.F. Weiss, Anti-
22 LRP/LR specific antibody IgG1-iS18 impedes adhesion and invasion of liver cancer cells, *PLoS*
23 *One* 9 (2014) e96268.
- 24 [20] T. Khumalo, U. Reusch, S. Knackmuss, M. Little, R.B. Veale, S.F. Weiss, Adhesion and Invasion
25 of Breast and Oesophageal Cancer Cells Are Impeded by Anti-LRP/LR-Specific Antibody IgG1-
26 iS18, *PloS one* 8 (2013) e66297.
- 27 [21] A. Omar, U. Reusch, S. Knackmuss, M. Little, S.F. Weiss, Anti-LRP/LR-specific antibody IgG1-
28 iS18 significantly reduces adhesion and invasion of metastatic lung, cervix, colon and prostate
29 cancer cells, *Journal of molecular biology* 419 (2012) 102-109.
- 30 [22] C. Zuber, S. Knackmuss, G. Zemora, U. Reusch, E. Vlasova, D. Diehl, V. Mick, K. Hoffmann, D.
31 Nikles, T. Frohlich, G.J. Arnold, B. Brenig, E. Wolf, H. Lahm, M. Little, S. Weiss, Invasion of
32 tumorigenic HT1080 cells is impeded by blocking or downregulating the 37-kDa/67-kDa
33 laminin receptor, *J Mol Biol* 378 (2008) 530-539.
- 34 [23] L. Vania, C.J. Chetty, E. Ferreira, S.F. Weiss, Anti-LRP/LR specific antibody IgG1-iS18
35 significantly impedes adhesion and invasion in early and late stage colorectal carcinoma cells,
36 *Mol Med* 22 (2016).
- 37 [24] T.M. Rebelo, C.J. Chetty, E. Ferreira, S.F. Weiss, Anti-LRP/LR-specific antibody IgG1-iS18
38 impedes adhesion and invasion of pancreatic cancer and neuroblastoma cells, *BMC Cancer* 16
39 (2016) 917.
- 40 [25] C. Munien, T.M. Rebelo, E. Ferreira, S.F. Weiss, IgG1-iS18 impedes the adhesive and invasive
41 potential of early and late stage malignant melanoma cells, *Experimental cell research* 351
42 (2017) 135-141.
- 43 [26] R. Khusal, B. Da Costa Dias, K. Moodley, C. Penny, U. Reusch, S. Knackmuss, M. Little, S.F.
44 Weiss, In vitro inhibition of angiogenesis by antibodies directed against the 37kDa/67kDa
45 laminin receptor, *PloS one* 8 (2013) e58888.
- 46 [27] K. Naidoo, S.T. Malindisa, T.C. Otgaar, M. Bernert, B.D.C. Dias, E. Ferreira, U. Reusch, S.
47 Knackmuss, M. Little, S.F. Weiss, Knock-down of the 37kDa/67kDa laminin receptor LRP/LR
48 impedes telomerase activity, *PloS one* 10 (2015) e0141618.

- 1 [28] T.C.F. Otgaar, Eloise; Malindisa, Sibusiso; Bernert, Martin; Letsolo, Boitelo T; Weiss, Stefan F.T.
2 , 37 kDa LRP::FLAG enhances telomerase activity and reduces senescent markers in vitro.,
3 Oncotarget In Press (2017).
- 4 [29] S.F. Weiss, Bad Boy with a Twist: Targeting the 37 kDa/67 kDa Laminin Receptor for Treatment
5 of Cancer and Neurodegenerative Diseases and for Changing Telomere Dynamics, Cell &
6 Cellular Life Sciences Journal 2 (2017) 000114.
- 7 [30] T. Susantad, D.R. Smith, siRNA-mediated silencing of the 37/67-kDa high affinity laminin
8 receptor in Hep3B cells induces apoptosis, Cell Mol Biol Lett 13 (2008) 452-464.
- 9 [31] K. Moodley, S.F. Weiss, Downregulation of the non-integrin laminin receptor reduces cellular
10 viability by inducing apoptosis in lung and cervical cancer cells, PLoS One 8 (2013) e57409.
- 11 [32] T. Khumalo, E. Ferreira, K. Jovanovic, R.B. Veale, S.F. Weiss, Knockdown of LRP/LR Induces
12 Apoptosis in Breast and Oesophageal Cancer Cells, PloS one 10 (2015) e0139584.
- 13 [33] R.S. Wong, Apoptosis in cancer: from pathogenesis to treatment, J Exp Clin Cancer Res 30
14 (2011) 87.
- 15 [34] R. Kumar, D. Parsad, A.J. Kanwar, Role of apoptosis and melanocytorrhagy: a comparative
16 study of melanocyte adhesion in stable and unstable vitiligo, Br J Dermatol 164 (2011) 187-
17 191.
- 18 [35] D. Hanahan, R.A. Weinberg, The hallmarks of cancer, Cell 100 (2000) 57-70.
- 19 [36] K. Kinoshita, Y. Kaneda, M. Sato, Y. Saeki, M. Wataya-Kaneda, A. Hoffmann, LBP-p40 binds
20 DNA tightly through associations with histones H2A, H2B, and H4, Biochem Biophys Res
21 Commun 253 (1998) 277-282.
- 22 [37] H.P. Dong, A. Holth, L. Kleinberg, M.G. Ruud, M.B. Elstrand, C.G. Trope, B. Davidson, B.
23 Risberg, Evaluation of cell surface expression of phosphatidylserine in ovarian carcinoma
24 effusions using the annexin-V/7-AAD assay: clinical relevance and comparison with other
25 apoptosis parameters, Am J Clin Pathol 132 (2009) 756-762.
- 26 [38] L. Sun, L. Liu, X. Liu, Y. Wang, M. Li, L. Yao, J. Yang, G. Ji, C. Guo, Y. Pan, S. Liang, B. Wang, J.
27 Ding, H. Zhang, Y. Shi, MGr1-Ag/37LRP induces cell adhesion-mediated drug resistance
28 through FAK/PI3K and MAPK pathway in gastric cancer, Cancer Sci 105 (2014) 651-659.
- 29 [39] A. Ben-Shem, N. Garreau de Loubresse, S. Melnikov, L. Jenner, G. Yusupova, M. Yusupov, The
30 structure of the eukaryotic ribosome at 3.0 Å resolution, Science 334 (2011) 1524-1529.
- 31 [40] A.A. Malygin, E.S. Babaylova, V.B. Loktev, G.G. Karpova, A region in the C-terminal domain of
32 ribosomal protein SA required for binding of SA to the human 40S ribosomal subunit,
33 Biochimie 93 (2011) 612-617.
- 34 [41] A.M. Anger, J.P. Armache, O. Berninghausen, M. Habeck, M. Subklewe, D.N. Wilson, R.
35 Beckmann, Structures of the human and Drosophila 80S ribosome, Nature 497 (2013) 80-85.
- 36 [42] G. Keri, G. Racz, K. Magyar, L. Orfi, A. Horvath, R. Schwab, B.B. Hegymegi, B. Szende, Pro-
37 apoptotic and anti-apoptotic molecules affecting pathways of signal transduction, Ann N Y
38 Acad Sci 1010 (2003) 109-112.
- 39 [43] J. Celay, I. Blanco, P. Lázcoz, M. Rotinen, J.S. Castresana, I. Encío, Changes in gene expression
40 profiling of apoptotic genes in neuroblastoma cell lines upon retinoic acid treatment, PloS one
41 8 (2013) e62771.
- 42 [44] J.M. Escamilla, C. Bäuerl, C.M. López, S.P. Pekkala, S. Navarro, D. Baretino, Retinoic-acid-
43 induced downregulation of the 67 KDa laminin receptor correlates with reduced biological
44 aggressiveness of human neuroblastoma cells, Neuroblastoma-Present and Future, InTech,
45 2012.
- 46 [45] K. Jovanovic, C.J. Chetty, T. Khumalo, B. Da Costa Dias, E. Ferreira, S.T. Malindisa, R. Caveney,
47 B.T. Letsolo, S.F. Weiss, Novel patented therapeutic approaches targeting the 37/67 kDa

1 **laminin receptor for treatment of cancer and Alzheimer's disease, Expert Opin Ther Pat 25**
2 **(2015) 567-582.**

3

4

5

6

7

1 **Figure Legends**

2 **Figure 1: LRP expression in pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-32) cells**
3 **after siRNA transfection, and the subsequent effect of siRNA-mediated LRP**

4 **downregulation on cellular viability. a) and b)** Transfection of AsPC-1 cells with siRNA-
5 LAMR1 resulted in significant 90% knockdown of LRP when compared to non-transfected
6 cells. The value for the non-transfected cells was set to 100%. **p=0.0086. **c) and d)**
7 Densitometry revealed that there was a significant 71% reduction of LRP expression after
8 transfection of IMR-32 cells with siRNA-LAMR1 when compared to non-transfected cells. The
9 value for non-transfected cells was set to 100%. *p=0.0117. **e)** MTT assays were used to analyse
10 the viability of AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells after treatment with siRNA-LAMR1. The value for
11 non-transfected cells was set to 100% for both cell lines and by comparison, AsPC-1 and IMR-
12 32 cells treated with siRNA-LAMR1 displayed a significant reduction of 82% and 65% in
13 cellular viability, respectively. Cells treated with the negative control siRNA-scr showed no
14 significant differences in cellular viability when compared to non-transfected cells for both cell
15 lines. Protocatechuic acid (PCA) was used as a positive control. Graph is representative (mean ±
16 STD) of an average of experiments performed in triplicate and repeated three times. **p=0.0017,
17 ***p=0.0008, N.S.: p>0.05, non-significant.

18 **Figure 2: Detection of LRP expression in pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma**
19 **(IMR-32) cells after transfection with esiRNA-RPSA, and the subsequent effect thereof on**

20 **cellular viability. a) and b)** After transfection of AsPC-1 cells with esiRNA-RPSA, there was a
21 significant reduction of 83% in LRP expression when compared to non-transfected cells. β -actin
22 was used as a loading control. **p=0.0057. **c) and d)** Densitometry revealed that after treatment
23 of IMR-32 cells with esiRNA-RPSA, there was a significant reduction of 64% in LRP

1 expression when compared to non-transfected cells. Non-transfected cells were set to 100% to
2 allow for comparison and β -actin was used as a loading control. * $p=0.025$. e) After
3 downregulation of LRP with esiRNA-RPSA, MTT assays were performed to assess cellular
4 viability. Compared to non-transfected cells (set to 100%), AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells showed a
5 reduction of 82% and 60% in cellular viability, respectively. No significant differences were
6 observed in the viability of cells treated with the negative control siRNA (esiRNA-RLUC) when
7 compared to non-transfected cells for both cell lines. PCA was used as a positive control. Data
8 shown are representative (mean \pm STD) of three biological replicates, each performed in
9 triplicate. ** $p=0.0014$, *** $p=0.0005$, N.S.: $p>0.05$ therefore non-significant.

10 **Figure 3: The effect of siRNA-mediated LRP knockdown on the proliferation of pancreatic**
11 **cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-32) cells.** After downregulation of LRP, AsPC-1
12 cells showed a 76% reduction in cellular proliferation when compared to non-transfected cells
13 (set to 100%). IMR-32 cells showed a decrease of 44% in proliferation after siRNA-LAMR1
14 treatment by comparison to non-transfected cells. Treatment of both cell lines with the negative
15 control siRNA-scr revealed no significant changes in cellular proliferation when compared to
16 non-transfected cells. Protocatechuic acid was used as a positive control and data shown are
17 representative (mean \pm STD) of three biological replicates, each performed in triplicate.
18 ** $p=0.0053$ and 0.0031 for AsPC-1 and IMR32 cells, respectively. N.S.: $p>0.05$, non-
19 significant.

20 **Figure 4: The effect of siRNA-mediated LRP knockdown on nuclear morphology of**
21 **pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-32) cells.** a) Non-transfected AsPC-1
22 cells showed normal rounded nuclei with uncompromised integrity. b) AsPC-1 cells treated with
23 the negative control siRNA-scr displayed nuclei similar to that of the non-transfected cells. c)

1 PCA-treated AsPC-1 cells showed membrane blebbing and apoptotic body formation (indicated
2 by white arrows). **d**) siRNA-LAMR1 transfected AsPC-1 cells exhibited diminished nuclear
3 integrity and the formation of apoptotic bodies (shown by white arrows). **e**) Nuclear integrity is
4 maintained in non-transfected cells, evident by the normal rounded shape of nuclei of the IMR-
5 32 cells. **f**) Negative control siRNA-scr transfected IMR-32 cells showed normal rounded nuclei
6 with uncompromised integrity. **g**) PCA (positive control) treated IMR-32 cells showed nuclear
7 shrinkage (indicated by white arrows). **h**) siRNA-LAMR1 transfected IMR-32 cells showed
8 compromised nuclear integrity, made clear by the observation of nuclear shrinkage and apoptotic
9 body formation (shown by white arrows). All images were obtained at a magnification of 63X.
10 Scale bar applies to all images.

11 **Figure 5: Apoptotic induction in pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-32)**
12 **cells after siRNA transfection.** **a**) and **e**) Non-transfected cells show that majority of cells lie in
13 the lower left quadrant (Q1-LL) which is indicative of normal living cells. **b**) and **f**) Treatment of
14 cells with the negative control siRNA-scr also resulted in majority of the cells appearing in the
15 lower left quadrant. **c**) and **g**) Protocatechuic acid (PCA) was used as a positive control and
16 resulted in most of the cells appearing in the upper right quadrant (Q1-UR), which is
17 representative of cells undergoing late apoptosis. **d**) and **h**) Transfection of cells with siRNA-
18 LAMR1 led to 0.8% of AsPC-1 cells and 7.5% of IMR-32 cells undergoing early apoptosis, as
19 shown in the lower right quadrant (Q1-LR), and 75.2% of AsPC-1 cells and 35.2% of IMR-32
20 cells undergoing late apoptosis – therefore a total of 76% of AsPC-1 and 42.7% of IMR-32 cells
21 underwent apoptosis after siRNA-LAMR1 treatment. Plots are indicative of an average of
22 experiments performed in triplicate and repeated three times.

1 **Figure 6: The effect of siRNA-mediated LRP down-regulation on caspase-3, -8 and -9**
2 **activity in pancreatic cancer (AsPC-1) and neuroblastoma (IMR-32) cells.** a) Caspase-3
3 activity assays showed that after treatment of cells with siRNA-LAMR1, AsPC-1 and IMR-32
4 cells showed a significant 10-fold and 6-fold increase in caspase-3 activity, respectively, when
5 compared to non-transfected cells (which were set to 100%). No significant difference was
6 observed when both cell lines were treated with the negative control siRNA-scr and compared to
7 non-transfected cells. Prototechuic acid (PCA) was used as a positive control. *p=0.0109 and
8 0.0154 for AsPC-1 and IMR-32, respectively. N.S: p>0.05, non-significant. b) siRNA-LAMR1
9 transfected AsPC-1 and IMR-32 cells showed a significant 3-fold and 4-fold increase in caspase-
10 8 activity, respectively, when compared to non-transfected cells (set to 100%). Treatment of both
11 cell lines with the negative control siRNA-scr resulted in no significant difference in caspase-8
12 activity when compared to non-transfected cells. *p=0.0357, **p=0.0053, N.S: p>0.05, non-
13 significant. c) siRNA-LAMR1 transfected AsPC-1 cells showed a significant 3-fold increase in
14 caspase-9 activity when compared to non-transfected cells (set to 100%). Treatment of IMR-32
15 cells with siRNA-LAMR1 showed no difference in caspase-9 activity by comparison to non-
16 transfected cells. Both tumourigenic cell lines displayed no significant difference in caspase-9
17 activity after treatment with the negative control siRNA-scr when compared to non-transfected
18 cells. **p=0.0016, N.S.: p>0.05, non-significant. Data shown are representative (mean \pm STD)
19 of three biological replicates, each performed in triplicate.

20