

This is a repository copy of *Mechanisms and Mitigation of Agglomeration during Fluidized Bed Combustion of Biomass: A Review.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/131275/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Morris, J., Daood, S. orcid.org/0000-0002-4580-2504, Chilton, S. et al. (1 more author) (2018) Mechanisms and Mitigation of Agglomeration during Fluidized Bed Combustion of Biomass: A Review. Fuel, 230. pp. 452-473. ISSN 0016-2361

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2018.04.098

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1 Mechanisms and Mitigation of Agglomeration during Fluidized Bed

2 Combustion of Biomass: A Review

- 3 Jonathan D. Morris^a, Syed Sheraz Daood^a*, Stephen Chilton^b, William Nimmo^a
- 4 ^aEnergy Engineering Group, Energy 2050, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of
- 5 Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK
- 6 ^bSembcorp Utilities UK Ltd., Sembcorp U.K. Headquarters, Wilton International, Middlesbrough TS90
- 7 8WS, United Kingdom
- *Corresponding author at: Level 1, Arts Tower, Energy Engineering Group, Energy 2050, Department
 of Mechanical Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK.
- 10 E-mail address: s.daood@sheffield.ac.uk (S.S. Daood)

11 Abstract

12 A key issue associated with Fluidized Bed Combustion of biomass is agglomeration. The presence of 13 high quantities of alkali species in biomass ash leads to the formation of sticky alkali-silicate liquid 14 phases during combustion, and consequently the adhesion and agglomeration of bed material. This 15 review principally examines probable mechanisms of agglomeration and the effects of operational 16 variables in reducing its severity. Additionally, an overview of monitoring and prediction of 17 agglomerate formation is given. Two key mechanisms of agglomeration are apparent in literature, 18 and both may occur concurrently dependent on fuel composition. Coating-induced agglomeration is 19 defined by the interaction of alkali metals in fuel ash with the bed material, commonly silica sand, to 20 form an alkali-silicate melt. Melt-induced agglomeration is defined by the presence of sufficient 21 amounts of both alkali compounds and silica liquid phases sourced from the fuel ash to form a 22 eutectic mixture. Physical mechanisms, such as tumble agglomeration and sintering, may further 23 enhance either of the coating-induced or melt-induced mechanisms. Of the operational variables

24 examined in this review, temperature, fluidizing gas velocity, fuel, bed material and additives have 25 been shown to have the greatest effect on agglomeration severity. Prediction of agglomeration 26 propensity may be attempted with mathematical correlations or lab-scale fuel testing before use in 27 the boiler, "pre-combustion" methods, or in-situ methods, which are focused on temperature or 28 pressure analysis. The review of the literature has highlighted the need for further research in some 29 areas, including: mechanisms when using alternate bed materials, use of dual-fuel biomass blends, 30 technical and economic optimisation of alternative bed material, the use of additives or improvers 31 and further modelling of coating growth behaviours.

32 Keywords

33 Fluidized bed; Combustion; Biomass; Agglomeration; Review

34 Nomenclature

35	AFBC		Atmospheric fluidized bed combustion
36	BFB		Bubbling fluidised bed
37	CFB		Circulating fluidised bed
38	d_{bed}	m	Bed diameter
39	DDGS		Distillers dried grain using wheat and solubles
40	FBC		Fluidized bed combustion
41	\mathbf{h}_{bed}	m	Static bed height
42	IDT		Initial deformation temperature
43	PF		Pulverized fuel
44	PFBC		Pressurized fluidized bed combustion

45	T_{aggl}	°C	Agglomeration temperature
46	t_{def}	mins	Defluidization time
47	U	m/s	Superficial gas velocity
48	U/U _{mf}	-	Fluidization number
49	U_{mf}	m/s	Minimum fluidization velocity
50	XRD		X-Ray Diffraction

51 **1. Introduction**

In recent decades, there has been an increased importance placed on fuels and power generation methods that emit reduced amounts of carbon dioxide (CO₂), a key contributor to anthropogenic changes to the atmosphere. [1, pp. 12-19]. One fuel type that has the potential to address this issue is biomass, due to its potential to approach carbon neutrality [2], and has thus been the subject of research into technical issues that may negatively affects its use in the power generation industry, and policy driven regulation to incentivize its deployment.

58 Biomass is a direct, low carbon alternative to fossil fuels for power and heat generation, and is 59 abundant in many areas of the world [3, 2]. In the UK it can offer competitively priced power 60 generation versus options such as nuclear and offshore wind [4]. The combustion of biomass comes 61 with several technological challenges for traditional burner technologies such as those adopted in pulverized fuel (PF) power stations [5]; i.e. : low energy density after initial harvesting, variable 62 63 volumes of non-combustible contaminants, high moisture contents and, in most applications, 64 requires a large amounts of pre-processing/pre-treatment with specialised transportation. Due to 65 these challenges, technologies such as fluidized bed combustion (FBC) boilers have been employed. 66 FBC offers a number of advantages, such as combustion of different fuel types, blends, and ranges of 67 qualities, features commonly referred to under the umbrella term of "fuel flexibility" [5]. Hundreds

68	of full-scale bubbling fluidized bed (BFB) [6, p. 7] and circulating fluidized bed (CFB) [6, p. 8] boilers
69	have been deployed around the world [7, 8] for power generation and/or steam sales to industrial or
70	chemical plant sites. However, each FBC plant development has to overcome slagging, fouling,
71	corrosion and, most significantly, agglomeration issues resulting from the composition and
72	behaviour of the biomass fuel stock [9].
73	Analytical studies of wide ranges of fuel types have typically shown biomass to be high in volatiles
74	and moisture, with mineral matter content rich in alkali and alkali earth metals such as potassium
75	and calcium [10, 11, 12, 13]. There is also a high complexity and variation in biomass ash
76	compositions. Saidur, et al. [12] placed biomass fuels into one of three different categories based
77	upon their fuel ash composition:
78	• Ca- & K-rich, Si-lean. Typically woody biomass.
79	• Si- & Ca-rich, K-lean. Typically herbaceous or agricultural.
80	• Ca-, K-, & P-rich, e.g. sunflower stalk ash or rapeseed expeller ash.
81	The above components in biomass ash, together with sodium and chlorine, have been identified as
82	being responsible for agglomeration, slagging, fouling, and corrosion in Fluidized Bed boilers [10, 14,
83	pp. 471-491].
84	Agglomeration occurs within the bed itself, and is where bed particles begin to group together into
85	larger particles [14, pp. 471-491] (Figure 1). In the case of biomass combustion on a silica sand bed,
86	this is due to the formation of sticky, low melting temperature, alkali-silicate complexes. These
87	agglomerates may be further strengthened by sintering, in which high localised temperatures leads
88	to the melting of particles and thus fusing agglomerated materials into large hardened structures.
89	This method of agglomeration, in which fuel ash interacts with bed material, is typically termed
90	coating-induced agglomeration. When fuel ash contains quantities of both silica and alkali melts
91	sufficient to create melts, the term melt-induced agglomeration is commonly used. The

accumulation of agglomerates eventually leads to defluidization of the bed. This is the point at which
the bed particles no longer move and behave as a fluid in response to the fluidizing gas, as the mean
bed particle size will have increased and the minimum fluidization velocity, U_{mf}, is no longer achieved
[15].

96 In an industrial installation, operators may control agglomeration by varying fuel feeds, using 97 alternative bed materials and/or additives, moderating combustion temperatures and combustion 98 distribution, altering and moderating airflows, or varying rates of bottom ash removal and bed 99 replenishment [16, 17]. A full bed defluidization event would necessitate a plant shutdown, as the 100 bed is cooled, replenished, and started up again [18, pp. 2-110]. The financial cost associated with 101 plant outage can mean that the profitability of the plant may be at risk. Moreover, frequent start-up 102 and shutdown cycles may reduce the working lifespan of plant equipment [19, pp. 38-42]. As such, 103 considerable efforts have been made towards methods to predict or prevent agglomeration [20]. 104 Considering the upper sections of the boiler, slagging on the membrane walls, fouling on 105 superheater tubes [21, pp. 406-412, 22, 23], as well as corrosion on superheater tubes [22, 24, 25, 106 26] are driven by reactions with the same chemical components as agglomeration, namely, alkali 107 and alkali earth metals, and silicon, with chlorine aiding alkali transport [27] (see Figure 2). 108 Therefore, it is important to consider the whole boiler system and the secondary or consequential 109 negative impacts when evaluating a potential mitigation or solution for any of the aforementioned 110 phenomena. 111 This review sets out to bring together the literature on the mechanisms of agglomeration, means of 112 mitigating it through varying operational conditions, and the relationships between both areas. 113 Literature from lab-scale work through to investigations on full-scale industrial boilers has been 114 selected, so that this review may be of use to both researchers and plant operators. In doing so, this

review has also highlighted numerous areas in which further work would be beneficial to both

116 broaden and deepen the knowledgebase.

117 **1.1 Review Scope**

This review focuses on the issue of agglomeration during FBC of biomass, and is divided into threesections:

A review of the mechanisms of agglomeration found within the literature
 A review of the effects of process variables on agglomeration severity
 A brief overview of the current methods to predict the occurrence of agglomeration, with signposting to the other available articles and reviews on this subject
 Summaries are provided after each section. These act to highlight key findings from the literature evaluated, note the important critiques, and discuss key areas for further work noted within the review. The end conclusion highlights the main areas where further work is needed.

127 **2. Mechanisms of Agglomeration**

As noted in the introduction, the fundamental chemistry driving agglomeration is the formation of alkali silicate eutectics. This is from the interaction of SiO₂ in the bed material or ash together with an alkali metal oxides in the fuel ash, such as K₂O or Na₂O. For example [28]:

131
$$K_2 O + nSiO_2 \rightarrow K_2 O \cdot nSiO_2$$
 Equation 1

The value of 'n' may range from 1-4. In the case of potassium silicates, higher values of 'n' generally reduce the eutectic melting point: with K₂O·SiO₂ this is 976°C, whilst for K₂O·4SiO₂ the melting point is 764°C [28]. These low melting points allows for the formation of a melt through the typical FBC operational temperature range of 800-900°C [29], which can then cause adhesion of the bed particles and agglomeration.

137 Early works into agglomeration with biomass fuels identified these eutectic melts as key drivers of

agglomeration [30, 31, 32]. Sintering had been identified as a key driver as agglomeration in coal

research [33]. Skrifvars, et al. [34] looked at this phenomena when combusting biomass, and found

140 that the presence of >15% molten phase in ash would lead to elevated amounts of sintering.

141 Skrifvars, et al. [35] then applied standardised ash testing methods to predict sintering and

agglomeration temperatures in biomass, to see if such methods could accurately predict

143 troublesome fuels at typical industrial operational conditions. However, there was limited success

144 with both of these methods.

Subsequent work on the behaviours of biomass ashes has led to the definition to two differentagglomeration mechanisms: coating-induced agglomeration and melt-induced agglomeration.

147 2.1 Coating-induced Agglomeration

148 In the work of Öhman & Nordin [36], combustion experiments for several different biomass fuels

149 were performed at lab-scale, using the "Controlled Fluidized Bed Agglomeration" methodology put

150 forth in their earlier work [37]. Here, controlled incremental heating is applied to the bed until

151 agglomeration is detected by Principal Component Analysis of temperature and pressure

152 fluctuations within the bed. This was followed by SEM/EDS analysis of the resulting agglomerates,

153 which focused on the "neck" between two joined particles.

154 The most abundant non-silica components in the agglomerates were alkali or alkaline earth metals –

155 primarily either potassium or calcium – which accounted for between 20-70wt% across the different

156 fuels. For some fuels, aluminium and iron featured amounts of up to 20wt%. Öhman & Nordin [36]

157 then proposed the following mechanism for agglomeration:

158 1. Ash is deposited on bed particles creating a coating, through a mixture of small particles

159 attaching to bed material, gaseous alkali molecules condensing, and reactions involving

160 gaseous alkali molecules on the surface of the bed material.

161 2. Sintering occurs on this bed particle coating, homogenizing and strengthening it.

Melting of this silicate coating layer controls adhesive forces, which influence the severity of
 the agglomeration process. This is temperature-driven.

The work of Silvennoinen [38] also describes a coating mechanism similar to that of Öhman & Nordin [36] and state that whilst potassium silicates are the primary chemical basis for coating layers, in some cases sodium silicates are instead present. This highlights that other alkali-silicates can be the basis of coating layers.

The works of Nuutinen, et al. [39], Visser [40], Brus, et al. [41], and Öhman, et al. [42] are closely
related, in that they further investigated bed particle coatings, all finding compositional and
structural differences through the layers, indicating the presence of multiple layers. These works
took samples from woody fuels, typically using quartz sand beds, at scales from lab-scale FBC units
to full-scale installations.

The presence of multiple layers appears to be a factor of the potassium content of the fuel, with an example from the work of Visser [40] shown diagrammatically in Figure 3. Fuels that are lean in potassium produce two layers: an "inner" homogenous layer with significant calcium content, and an outer heterogeneous layer more similar in composition to that of the fuel ash. Fuel that are rich in potassium produce an additional "inner-inner" layer with notable amounts of potassium. The relative compositions of the inner and outer layers remain similar regardless of if the fuel is potassium-rich or lean.

Some different observations can be seen between the works of Nuutinen, et al. [39], Visser [40],
Brus, et al. [41], and Öhman, et al. [42].

Nuutinen, et al. [39] noted that for the combustion of peat "inner" layer was absent, leaving only the outer ash layer. This could perhaps be a result of the operational time, conditions, or behaviour unique to peat as a fuel. Nuutinen, et al. [39] also trialled a proprietary magnesium based bed particle named "GR Granule", which had two coating layers present: an inner layer of ~60% calcium and 15-20% silicon, and outer layer with notable amounts of magnesium, possibly from abrasion of the bed material. Visser [40] proposed a coating mechanism similar to that of Öhman & Nordin [36], albeit without
mention of interactions with gaseous alkali compounds. It was described as a build-up of small ash
particles on bed material or larger ash particles to create a coating, followed by neck formation
between two coated particles, which can be followed agglomeration and/or sintering. If this
agglomeration leads to localised defluidization, an increase in localised bed temperatures may occur,
triggering melt-induced agglomeration (see section 2.2).

194 Brus, et al. [41] examined agglomerate samples produced from plant-scale CFB and BFB boilers 195 ranging from 30-122MW_{th}, and those from a lab-scale BFB rig. "Inward chemical attack" by 196 potassium or calcium silicates on the original quartz sand bed particle was observed. This conclusion 197 was drawn through using quartz sand of a homogenous particle size distribution of 106-125µm, 198 taking 200 SEM images of particles before and after experimentation had occurred, and then 199 comparing the mean cross-sectional area of the sand particles before and after experimentation. 200 This is an adequate method, given the alternative of tracking and comparing a specific particle and 201 the challenges which that would entail. SEM/EDS imaging of sand particles that had been in boilers 202 for upwards of 33 days showed the diffusion of potassium into cracks in the sand particle and the 203 formation of potassium silicate veins within the sand particle. This adds additional support to the 204 conclusion of inward chemical attack occurring. Brus, et al. [41] also noted that the calcium-silicate 205 dominated "inner" coating layer is replaced by potassium- or other alkali-silicates in locations where 206 calcium is not as available, such as cracks in sand particles, or when the fuel ash contains less 207 calcium.

208 Brus, et al. [41] presented three mechanisms of agglomeration:

• Coating-induced agglomeration, with inward chemical attack by potassium/calcium silicates.

Direct-attack by gaseous potassium compounds, forming low melting point potassium
 silicates and resulting in viscous-flow sintering.

Direct adhesion through partially melted ash derived potassium silicate particles (melt induced agglomeration, section 2.2).

214 Öhman, et al. [42] examined coating distribution across the particles examined with SEM/EDS, as 215 summarised in Table 1. To obtain their SEM/EDS data, Öhman, et al. [42] selected 3-5 particles per 216 fuel. All the fuels tested led to bed agglomeration, yet, as per Table 1, the quantity of coated 217 particles to cause agglomeration varied in from being <10% of particles examined to the "majority", 218 which can be assumed as at least > 50%. This raises questions around the methods by which agglomeration occurred: for example, whether these differences are down to sampling methods or 219 220 are the result of other mechanisms. Therefore, it would be worth investigating the differences 221 coating composition and frequency of coated particles across the whole bed. This may indicate if 222 certain zones are more susceptible to agglomeration and help provide a better understanding of 223 bed-scale agglomeration and defluidization mechanisms.

224 Zevenhoven-Onderwater, et al. [43] investigated the ash compositions of five different woody fuels: 225 bark, two forest residues, construction residue wood, and sawdust. From analysis of bed material 226 and fuel ash compositions, the coating layer thickness observed, and the weight of the bed before 227 and after experimentation, the source of coating elements was determined. Roughly 50wt% of 228 potassium from the fuel remained in the bed, along with 8-30wt% of the calcium and 30-65wt% of 229 fuel derived silicon, all of which could contribute to agglomeration. Coating layers were 230 homogenous, with a formation method suggested: potassium-silicates begin forming a "first layer" 231 on bed particles at around 750°C, which then captures other ash components, leading to the formation of a sticky layer of melting point <800°C. 232

Grimm, et al. [44] investigated agglomeration behaviour when using Olivine as a bed material.
Experiments were performed for willow, logging residue, wheat straw, and distillers dried grain
using wheat and solubles (DDGS) fuels, on both Olivine and quartz sand beds, with a 5kW BFB

reactor. An Olivine bed resulted in reduced agglomeration tendency for willow and logging residue,with no change noticed for wheat straw or DDGS.

238 The outer coating layer with Olivine was similar in composition to the fuel ash, as it was with quartz 239 sand. A key difference versus quartz sand though was the composition of the homogenous inner 240 coating layer for willow and logging residue, which comprised of Mg, Si and Ca as opposed to K, Si 241 and Ca. This may have been due to the methodology used: fuels were combusted at around 800°C 242 for 8 hours before the temperature was incrementally increased until agglomeration occurred or the 243 maximum of 1060°C was reached. Temperatures in excess of 1000°C, as were reached with willow 244 and logging residue, may have allowed some fraction of the magnesium in Olivine to partake in melt 245 formation. Such temperatures would not be reached in typical FBC operation, therefore this result 246 may not be wholly representative of a full-scale facility. Wheat straw and DDGS did not reach such 247 high temperatures, and did not exhibit differences in coating composition when using Olivine 248 compared to quartz sand.

He, et al. [45] analysed the effect of the operational time on quartz sand bed particles for a lab-scale
5kW_{th} BFB, 30MW_{th} BFB, and 122MW_{th} CFB. Samples were taken after the addition of a fresh bed,
and at intervals of several hours for the lab-scale unit or every few days for the full-scale plants. The
bed material was replenished at the standard operational rate for the two full-scale units: <3wt% of
the bed per day for the 30MW_{th} BFB unit, and 50wt% of the bed per day for the 122MW_{th} CFB unit.
He, et al. [45] noted similar layer composition findings to others [39, 40], though there was a time
dependency for their formation.

In the case of the 5kW_{th} BFB, a single coating layer was found on bed particles. For the 30MW_{th} BFB,
a single layer was found on 1 day old particles, whereas older particles displayed an inner
homogenous layer and outer non-homogenous layer. For the 122MW_{th} CFB, 3 day old samples
displayed two coating layers, equivalent in composition to the "inner-inner" and "inner" layers
observed by others [39, 40]. An outer layer was found only on 4 and 6 day old particles.

Only the 122MW_{th} CFB presented an "inner-inner" Si-K-Ca layer. The fuel used in the CFB had higher
ash content than the 30MW_{th} BFB (3.1wt% dry, versus 1.8wt% dry) and higher potassium content
(0.18wt% dry, versus 0.11wt% dry). This adds further support to the theory that an inner-inner layer
of Si-K-Ca is only present with sufficient availability of potassium in the fuel [39, 40].

Layer growth was tracked over time by He, et al. [45], the results of which are reproduced in Figure

4. XRD analysis on 30MW_{th} BFB samples from 3-23 days old revealed that initially K-based

267 compounds formed the majority of the mass of the layer. This then progressed to the layers

primarily comprising of calcium based compounds such as Ca₃Mg(SiO₄)₂, Ca₂SiO₄ and Ca₃SiO₅.

He et al. [45] then gave the following theory for agglomerate formation: Potassium species first react

270 with the bed particle to form low-melting point potassium-silicates. Layer growth proceeds with the

addition of calcium to this melt, causing precipitation of stable calcium-silicates with high melting

272 points. The increase in calcium concentration within the layer, and loss of potassium, results in a

273 weaker driving force for calcium diffusion and reaction, thus a reduced layer growth rate over time.

A higher amount of melt in this inner layer would influence diffusion and reaction of calcium into the

275 layer, thus influence layer growth rate.

Gatternig & Karl [46] have further explored coating-induced mechanisms. Experiments were first
performed with a progressively heated bed, and multiple coating layers were observed aligning with
the findings of others [39, 40].

Building on the inward coating growth theory suggested by Brus, et al. [41], Gatternig and Karl [46]
suggested that collisions between two coated particles allows for capillary action to draw coating
melts inwards into the particle. Additionally, from SEM/EDS imaging, visible remains of the
heterogeneous outer coating shell were seen in agglomerate necks/joins. Gatternig & Karl [46]
concluded that the outer coating layer is dry and powdery, a feature observed by others [42], and
that it increases in melt fraction towards the centre. On collision, this shell fractures, allowing for the
inner melt to form a liquid bridge, with remnants of the outer shell being present within it. Such a

theory diverges from previous suggestions that bridges between coated particles form during theinitial melt layer phase.

288 Gatternig & Karl [46] also performed experiments with a fluidized bed of sand above a fixed bed into 289 which fuel was added, to detect the effects of gaseous phase alkali compounds on agglomeration. 290 No coating layer formation was found, indicating that gaseous or aerosol alkali metals do not 291 contribute to coating formation. Others have speculated this to be the case, such as Scala & Chirone 292 [47]. However, the methodology employed by Gatternig & Karl [46] does not allow for other bed 293 phenomena to proceed, such as localised defluidization and/or bed hotspots, as there is no direct 294 contact with the fuel. However, such phenomena may provide a temperature gradient over which an 295 evaporation-condensation cycle could occur.

Recently, He, et al. [48] have expanded upon their previous work [45] by means of chemical
equilibria modelling for ash reactions and the development of a diffusion model, using the FactSage
software package. The data used in the model, and for validation, was that of their previous work
[45].

Temperature had a large effect on layer growth rate for operation at 850°C. The model predicted
layer thicknesses of 10µm at 5 days of operation and 15µm at 16 days, whilst at 900°C, a thickness of
about 20µm was predicted at 5 days, and 40µm at 16 days. It was suggested that the additional
temperature allowed for increased diffusion of Ca²⁺ into the inner melt layer, hence greater layer
growth.

The decrease in inner layer growth rate over time is suggested as being due to changes in inner layer composition. Ca^{2+} diffusivity was higher in Ca_2SiO_4 than in Ca_3SiO_5 , but it is the latter which increases in concentration within the inner layer over time. Furthermore, the physical growth of the layer would increase the diffusion distance, further impacting calcium diffusion. The agglomerate coating layer growth mechanism thus suggested by He, et al. [48] is reproduced inTable 2.

When He, et al. [48] validated the model against experimental data, the model was found to provide a good indication of starting and ending coating layer thickness, though did not match the variations in layer growth rate that happened on smaller timescales. This highlights an area for further work: accurately modelling layer growth rate over the entirety of coating layer growth periods. This would be of particular use for full-scale FBC units, as bed material is removed and replenished during operation [17, 49]. The ability to accurately model and predict coating layer thicknesses across the bed at any point in time could allow for optimisation of bed replenishments frequencies.

318 2.2 Melt-induced Agglomeration

319 Olofsson, et al. [28] proposed an agglomeration formation mechanism, "heterogeneous 320 agglomeration", and stated that this arises due to localised "hot-spots" of over 1000°C, versus given 321 operational temperatures of ~670-870°C, allowing the creation of a melt phase of alkali-silicate 322 derived from both fuel ash and bed material. The largest agglomerates had glass-like appearance, 323 indicating prolonged exposure to high temperatures, and were 50-60mm in size, and frequently 324 caused defluidization. Olofsson, et al. [28] speculated that the causation of "hot-spots" in the bed 325 was a combination of small fuel feed fluctuations and temporary gas channelling through the bed 326 leading to localized fluidization disturbances.

The later work of Lin, et al. [50] presented an alternative melt-induced agglomeration mechanism, from combustion of wheat straw on a quartz sand bed. After two minutes of combustion at a bed temperature of 720°C, weak agglomerates were present in the form of a charred fuel pellet with sand particles weakly attached. After two minutes of combustion at 920°C, the agglomerates were stronger and there was far less of a char core present. After ten minutes of combustion, the char core had fully combusted leaving hollow sand agglomerates in the shape of the fuel pellet.

333 Lin, et al. [50] proposed a mechanism as follows: Burning char particles had been observed as being 334 at higher temperatures than bed particles, and go from partially to almost completely molten 335 between 750-900°C. When bed particles collide with these molten char particles, they may adhere 336 to them, and become coated with the molten char melt. As the char particle burns away, the sand 337 particles would remain stuck together. Such a conclusion is supported by their results: two minutes 338 of combustion at 920°C versus 720°C resulted in a stronger agglomerate, therefore there could be 339 more of a molten char melt hence a stronger agglomerate forms. Additionally, at this temperature 340 sintering may have strengthened the agglomerate.

Visser [40] put forth a melt-induced agglomeration formation mechanism from a comparison
between a lab-scale FBC unit and an 80MW_{th} FBC plant, and described it as the result of collisions
between bed particles or larger ash particles, which adhere to one another due to molten ash
particles that function as a viscous glue. It is notable that whilst similar in nature to the method of
Lin, et al. [50], it does not suggest that larger molten char particles may act as a platform from which
agglomerates can grow.

Chirone, et al. [51] examined agglomeration behaviour when combusting pine seed shells, using labscale and pilot-scale equipment. Chirone, et al. [51] proposed that bed particles stick to melting char particles which then burn away, leaving behind hollow agglomerates in the shape of fuel particles. Chirone, et al. [51] further suggested that combusting char particles act as a localised temperature "hot-spot". This causes more severe melting and thus more severe agglomeration than coatinginduced agglomerates typically display.

353 Scala & Chirone [47] studied mechanisms of agglomeration with a lab-scale unit using olive husk 354 fuel. A prior examination of the literature revealed that temperature had negligible effects on alkali 355 deposition rate, and experimentation with variable air flow rates to control temperature gave little 356 change in amounts of bed ash. Scala & Chirone [47] concluded that vaporisation and condensation 357 pathways for alkali deposition likely had a negligible effect on agglomeration. Scala & Chirone [47] 358 proposed a mechanism for agglomeration: Ash is transferred to bed particles via collisions with small 359 fine ash or large coarse char particles. Alkali species then physically diffuse through the ash and 360 interact with silica to form a eutectic. From the observations of others [50, 51], Scala and Chirone 361 [47] then stated that the transfer of alkali species by collision and their melting behaviour was likely 362 promoted by high temperature char particles. With sufficient temperature and alkali content in the 363 bed, defluidization will occur. If the bed temperature is not high enough to melt the eutectics, 364 burning char particles may provide a "hot-spot" that can drive melt formation and the accumulation 365 of smaller agglomerates, which can defluidize the bed.

Liu, et al. [52] looked at melt-induced phenomena, when combusting rice straw, and suggested that the presence of K and Na components on the exterior of fuel fragments would allow them to form adhesive alkali-silicates with relative ease. Large ash fragments (>10µm) may then bind together bed material, as was evidenced by agglomerates being conjoined by necks of similar composition to that of the fuel ash.

371 Gatternig & Karl [46] provided further evidence in support of the melt-induced agglomeration 372 behaviour seen by Lin et al. [50] and Chirone, et al. [51]. Gatternig & Karl [46] first observed that 373 denser fuel particles, such as wood pellets, were fully submerged in the bed during combustion thus 374 had similar temperatures to the bed itself. When testing less dense hay pellets, the pellet "floated" 375 on top of the bed and reached temperatures up to 400°C higher than the bed. This would be 376 sufficient to produce molten ash fuel pellets to drive the melt-induced agglomeration mechanisms 377 proposed by Lin, et al. [50], and may offer one explanation for temperature "hot-spots". Gatternig & 378 Karl [46] state that lower density fuels, typically herbaceous ones, will likely undergo this behaviour. 379 This aligns with the experiences of Lin, et al. [50], whom used low density wheat straw, Chirone, et 380 al. [51] whom used pine seed shells, and Olofsson, et al. [28], whom recorded the occurrence of 381 "hot-spots" and more severe agglomeration when lower density fuels were used (sawdust, straw, 382 and meat and bone meal).

383 An aspect not explored within the literature is the relative presence of silica and alkaline metals 384 within the ash to drive melt-induced agglomerate formation. The fundamental difference seen 385 between coating-induced and melt-induced agglomeration, present throughout the literature, is that 386 the former involves the interaction of alkaline metals with silica in the bed material, whilst the latter 387 relies on the presence of both silica and alkali metals in the ash to form an alkali-silicate ash melt 388 [40, 53]. The fuels used in the majority of the works above where severe melt-induced 389 agglomeration occurred were generally herbaceous with high silica content in the ash [46, 47, 28, 390 50, 52]. Therefore, there may be a point at which the melt-induced mechanism takes precedence 391 over coating-induced agglomeration as the dominant mechanism for agglomeration, due to the 392 relative availability of silica in the ash.

393 2.3 Physical Agglomeration Mechanisms

394 There are several larger, bed-scale mechanisms, which may assist or propagate agglomeration.

395 These mechanisms have been explored and exploited in other industries, with comprehensive works

available, such as those of Pietsch [54, 55].

397 The first of these mechanisms is sintering, a mechanism frequently referenced in the literature [33,

398 36, 40, 41]. This is the process by which bridges between particles are formed or strengthened by

the diffusion of surface matter across particle boundaries, resulting in particles being fused together.

400 Sintering can be pressure or temperature driven, though in the context of atmospheric FBC of

401 biomass is temperature driven.

402 Tumble or growth agglomeration is a result the of sum of all forces acting to adhere the particles

403 being greater than the sum of those acting against the adhesion. The ash melts or coating layers that

404 form on or between bed particles provide an additional adhesive force between the particles, thus

405 making it easier for agglomerates to form.

406 A "molecular cramming" mechanism was first suggested by Anthony et al. [56]. It was proposed that

407 the increase in molar volume when converting calcium oxide in deposits to calcium carbonate and

then to calcium sulphate led to it filling any available pores or inter-particle space and produced
denser deposits. It was further suggested that higher quantities of materials such as iron-,
aluminium, and silicon-oxides in fuel ash may create small discontinuities in deposits that are
enhanced by molecular cramming and allow them to fracture more easily. There has been some
further supporting evidence for this theory [57, 58], though it appears there has been no conclusive
proof at the full-scale, or in the context of biomass fuels.

414 A final mechanism is pressure agglomeration [54, p. 504] whereby bonding and densification occur

415 through the application of an external force. In the context of atmospheric FBC of biomass, this may

416 occur around changes in bed geometry whereby particle can be pressed together, such as around

417 baffles or in-bed heat exchange tubes. Pressure agglomeration may also compliment tumble

418 agglomeration, as the larger agglomerates cause by the latter obstruct particle motion and cause

419 variances in pressure, allowing for pressure agglomeration to occur.

420 As can be imagined, both sintering and tumble agglomeration would enhance coating-induced and

421 melt-induced agglomeration. The larger, stronger agglomerates that result would also allow for

422 further localised temperature variation, which may allow for "hot spots" that could drive the

423 formation of larger melt fractions, further worsening agglomeration.

424 **2.4 Summary of Agglomeration Mechanisms**

425 The current knowledge of agglomeration mechanisms can be summarised as follows (shown

426 diagrammatically in Figure 7 and Figure 8):

427 Coating-induced agglomeration (Figure 7)

428 There is broad agreement that this mechanism is initiated via the formation of a molten adhesive

429 alkali-silicate melt upon the surface of bed particles, usually potassium-silicate, though in some cases

430 sodium-silicates if sufficient quantities are present in the fuel [38]. This layer forms via the

431 accumulation of K-compounds from fuel ash on silicate-rich bed particles, under temperatures in

excess of 750°C. Initial layer formation may be influenced through condensation of gaseous Kspecies from fuel ash onto bed particles [36, 40, 43].

This K-silicate layer then grows inwards via reaction with silicate species in the bed material [41].
There may be the effects of capillary action from cracks in the bed particle drawing K-compounds
further inwards after collisions with other coated particles [46]. Any silica within the fuel ash may
also react together with potassium species on the bed material surface to generate more of a melt.
Calcium species from the outer ash layer begin diffusing into the molten K-silicate inner layer and
react to form stable species with silicate with melting points in excess of 1000°C, such as Ca₂SiO₄ and
Ca₃SiO₅ [45].

441 At the end of this process, bed particles typically possess two- or three-layer coatings. In the case of 442 a two-layer coating, there is an inner homogenous layer rich in Ca-silicate compounds, and an outer 443 heterogeneous layer whose composition is broadly in line with that of the fuel ash [44, 45, 46]. In the case of three layer coating systems, there is an additional "inner-inner" homogenous layer, rich 444 445 in silicate, K, and Ca [40, 45]. The causation of this inner-inner layer has been speculated as the 446 presence of high amounts of K in the fuel [40], or perhaps the lack of diffusive driving force for Ca to 447 diffuse and react all the way to the bed particle-coating layer boundary [45, 48]. The outer ash layer 448 appears to prevent formation of further K-silicate melts, by denying K-compounds access to the 449 silicate of the bed material with which it would otherwise form a melt. In particular, magnesium in 450 the outer ash layer has been identified as preventing alkali-silicate melt formation [39]. 451 Agglomeration appears to proceed at any point during layer formation. Bed particles collide, in some 452 cases breaking the outer ash layer [46], and enable that formation of a K- or Ca-silicate neck

453 conjoining bed particles [36, 40, 51]. Temperature-induced sintering may occur on the agglomerate,

454 strengthening it [36, 40, 41, 42] and with sufficient accumulation of agglomerates defluidization

455 occurs.

456 Melt-induced agglomeration (Figure 8)

The central idea of melt-induced agglomeration is the collision of larger molten ash particles with bed particles, where the molten ash particles act as a viscous glue [40, 47, 51]. Scala & Chirone [47] suggest that burning char particles create a localised hotspot that further enhances the adhesive potential of this "viscous glue". The resultant agglomerates are characterized by displaying a more severe melting and agglomeration than traditional coating-induced agglomerates [51].

462 A notable variant to melt-induced agglomeration is when molten char particles act as a platform for 463 agglomerates to grow, as was first described by Lin, et al. [50]. Combusting char fragments have 464 elevated temperatures in comparison to the bed average, and become almost completely molten at 465 around 900°C. In collisions with bed particles, the bed particles adhere to the char fragment and the 466 viscous alkali-silicate melt on its surface. This coats the bed particles, and propagates further 467 adhesion of bed particles. Eventually, the char fragment fully combusts, typically leaving an 468 agglomerate with a hollow centre in the shape of the initial fuel fragment. The agglomerate 469 retaining the shape of the fuel particle is likely due to the ash skeleton of the fuel particle that 470 remains after combustion of the fuel pellet, a topic discussed in the work of Chirone, et al. [59] 471 (further discussed in section 3.6).

The elevated temperature during char combustion would allow for sintering of the agglomerate,
strengthening it. Gatternig & Karl [46] extended this theory, stating that less dense fuels, e.g. straws,
were observed to "float" on top of the fluidized bed whilst combusting, as opposed to being
submerged within the bed, and were exposed to higher temperatures. Moreover, Olofsson, et al.
[28] observed temperature hot-spots whilst utilizing less dense fuels, perhaps also due to this

477 "floating" behaviour.

478 **Recommendations for further work**

479 Agglomeration mechanisms when using non-SiO₂ based bed materials have not received a great deal

480 of work. Both Nuutinen, et al. [39] and Grimm, et al. [44] used Mg-based materials (the former a

481 proprietary material, the latter Olivine). Clearly, potassium will not react with silica in the bed

material to generate a K-silicate melt, yet layer formation still occurred. This is perhaps indicative of
a melt-induced type mechanism, though further work is needed to clarify the exact mechanisms
under which layer growth is occurring for non-SiO₂ based bed materials.

485 Use of chemical equilibria modelling software such as FactSage has received increased attention in 486 recent years due to improvements in the accuracy and quality of databases. The work of He, et al. 487 [48] resulted in a relatively accurate model of coating layer growth in a silica sand and wood fuel 488 scenario. However, intermediate variances and fluctuations in growth rates weren't fully captured 489 by the model, presenting an opportunity for future improvement. A more comprehensive model of 490 coating growth rate would allow for optimisation of bed replenishment in industrial facilities, and 491 allow for minimisation of agglomeration risk through prediction of the coating distribution across the 492 bed inventory. Beyond this, similar coating growth and ash melt models would be of use for 493 different fuels and alternative bed materials, again with the intention of informing agglomeration 494 risk at the industrial scale.

Related to this would be investigation into bed scale variances in coating composition and the
relative frequency and distribution of coated bed particles across the bed. If certain zones are found
to be particularly problematic with regards to enabling agglomeration, targeted control and
prevention methods may be possible.

Melt-induced agglomeration proceeds with sufficient silica and alkali metal content in the fuel ash.
However, it appears there has been no work to find a point at which the more severe melt-induced
agglomeration becomes the dominant form of agglomeration within the bed, due to fuel ash
composition. Such a value would help further inform fuel selection and fuel blending trials. Related is
a more general, secondary area for work, on transition points and relationships between melt-induced
induced and coating-induced agglomeration occurrence.

3. Effect of Operational Variables on Agglomeration

506 3.1 Temperature

507 The effects of temperature on agglomeration have been extensively researched within the 508 literature. The general trend exhibited is that with increases in temperature, there is an increase in 509 the severity of agglomeration because of the increased presence of liquid and gas phases. 510 Ultimately, this leads to a reduction in the defluidization time, t_{def} [50, 43, 60]. The elevated 511 temperatures increase the melt fraction within the ash, and decrease the viscosity of the melt [50]. 512 This results in a more abundant and more mobile melt, leading to more severe agglomeration. The 513 temperatures at which FBC operate at (750-900°C) are within the range at which alkaline metal 514 complexes melt. Furthermore, the modelling efforts of He, et al. [48] highlighted that increases in 515 temperature of 50°C may lead to a 2-3x increase in coating layer growth rate. This elevated growth 516 rate would make it easier for neck formation between coated particles during collisions, due to the 517 availability of a larger melt layer, thus worsening agglomeration. 518 Conversely, lower bed temperatures delay the onset of agglomeration defluidization. For example, 519 Yu, et al. [61] found that t_{def} more than quadrupled from 60 minutes to 270 minutes by reducing the 520 operating temperature for burning straw from 800°C to 650°C. However, it is important to consider 521 that at plant scale, the end goal of combusting biomass is often to raise steam. Lowering combustion 522 temperatures will limit the conditions of the steam that can be raised, having large impacts

- 523 downstream of the boiler, such as on turbine efficiency [17]. Therefore, bed temperatures are likely
- 524 to be constrained by steam requirements.

525 3.2 Pressure

- 526 Most literature on agglomeration when using biomass utilises Atmospheric FBC (AFBC) units.
- 527 However, PFBC units have been seen to experience similar agglomeration phenomena to AFBC units.
- 528 The work of Olofsson, et al. [28] utilised a PFBC unit, showing similar phenomena to later work by

others who used AFBC equipment. Recent work by Zhou, et al. [62] looked at agglomeration during
Pressurised Fluidized Bed Gasification of biomass, which appeared to exhibit similar coating
phenomena to what would be experienced during AFBC of biomass. However, caution should be
taken when drawing comparisons between AFBC and PFBC agglomeration mechanisms, as whilst the
end result may the same, the pathway there may differ.

534 3.3 Fluidizing Gas Velocity

The fluidizing gas velocity, U, has an important role to play in determining the fluidization regime in any FBC system [15, 63]. Over the years, numerous researchers have looked at the effect of varying

537 U, or the ratio U/U_{mf}, known as the fluidization number, on agglomeration and defluidization.

Lin, et al. [50] doubled U whilst maintaining the same combustion conditions through use of N₂. This

increased t_{def} by 30%. Chaivatamaset, et al. [64] found that increases to U of 28% and 60%, led to

average increases of t_{def} for two different fuels of 56% and 95% respectively. Lin, et al. [65] recorded

541 increases in t_{def} with successive increments in U, across four types of particle size distribution

- 542 (narrow, Gaussian, binary flat). Yu, et al. [61] observed reduced agglomeration by increasing U/U_{mf}
- 543 by a factor of 1.6, noting that agglomerates no longer presented themselves as larger clumps, but as
- 544 a few bed particles attached to an ash fragment.

It is clear then that increases in U or U/U_{mf} will cause an increase in t_{def}. With increases in U, bed particles gain momentum thus are more likely to overcome adhesive forces during collisions with coated bed particles and molten ash particles [50, 65]. Furthermore, higher U values would lead to more vigorous bed mixing. This in turn would reduce the chance of certain areas experiencing poor fluidization; a behaviour suspected to propagate agglomeration.

550 **3.4 Gas Distribution Uniformity**

551 An aspect that has received little direct investigation is that of the fluidizing gas distribution

uniformity on agglomeration. Bubbles will form at the bottom of the fluidized bed as gas is released

from the gas distribution plate. These bubbles will coalesce into larger ones as they rise through the
bed [15]. This bubble movement drives fluidisation and heat transfer within the bed, as well as how
well mixed it is [66], thus if impaired may have significant effects on operation.

556 Oka [67] suggests that with damaged bubble cap, thermal diffusivity across the bed would be 557 reduced and the bed hydrodynamics would be altered. This would create regions of high and low 558 turbulence, and lead to temperature gradients across the bed that may assist or accelerate the 559 formation of agglomerates. Kuo, et al. [68] trialled a fixed grate furnace with wood fuel, and gave a 560 comparison between sidewall air injection and under-grate air injection. They noted that changing 561 the air distributor configuration had significant effects on flame coverage, and led to higher and 562 lower temperature regions within the furnace. This behaviour could increase the rate of 563 agglomerate formation. Lin, et al. [65] found that a temporary burst of high velocity air was 564 sufficient to break apart in-situ agglomerates and postpone a defluidization event. This could imply 565 that a region of higher turbulence in the bed may be beneficial for minimising agglomerate 566 formation.

567 The work of Chilton [69, pp. 225-291] aimed to test the effects of non-uniform air distribution when using five different biomasses in a 200kW_{th} FBC unit. A uniform air distributor with 30 evenly spaced 568 569 bubble caps was compared against with one that had 18 slightly larger bubble caps plus an ash chute 570 occupying one corner of the distributor. The ash chute had an air gap around it, allowing for air 571 leakage and further non-uniformity. Use of the non-uniform plate created greater variations in 572 temperatures across the bed and freeboard, and in emissions. Data on defluidization times was less 573 conclusive. Peanuts and straw experienced reductions in defluidization time of 10% and 40% 574 respectively with the non-uniform air distribution plate, whilst oats experienced an increase of 181% 575 and miscanthus an increase of 73%. Whilst this does not provide a conclusive result on the effects of 576 gas distribution uniformity on agglomeration, it does indicate that differences in distribution plate

design, and the effects of bubble cap failures or leaks, can be significant on defluidization times. It
also shows that it is an area where future work may be useful, albeit challenging to execute.

579 3.5 Static Bed Height

580 Lin & Wey [53] examined the effects of static bed height on t_{def} during FBC of waste. Increases in the 581 Bed Height to Diameter ratio (h_{bed}/d_{bed}), produced a non-linear decline in t_{def} (Figure 9). The 582 explanation cited was reduced vertical mixing with increasing bed height, allowing for agglomeration 583 to proceed more easily upon release of alkali-metals from ash. However, a reasoning was not 584 proposed for the rate of this decline, in particular from 2.0h_{bed}/d_{bed} and 2.3h_{bed}/d_{bed}. This is perhaps 585 indicative of some larger change in the bed dynamics when moving between these two bed heights, 586 thus allowing for defluidization to occur much sooner. However, this was not explored further. 587 Moreover, the timescales of t_{def} are all below 15 minutes, meaning that smaller irregularities e.g. in

588 fuel feeding, may have a large proportional impact on the results.

589 Chaivatamaset, et al. [64] examined the effect of static bed height on t_{def}, and observed behaviours 590 that were opposite to those noted by Lin & Wey [53]. Doubling h_{bed} whilst maintaining the same 591 fluidizing gas velocity, temperature and bed particle size resulted in increases in t_{def} of between 5-592 55%, dependent upon the fuel and fluidizing velocity. Corncob typically showed greater percentage 593 increases than Palm Shell in response to increases in static bed height. No further comparison was 594 performed between agglomerates from the two different static bed heights examined.

It may be of interest to further examine the effects of static bed height on agglomeration, t_{def}, and determining any relationships that may exist. A larger static bed height is known to allow the coalescence of bubbles to larger sizes, and causes increased turbulence at the top of the bed [70]. This behaviour may also influence that seen through the observations of Gatternig & Karl [46], whom noted that low density fuel pellets floating on the bed surface caused severe melt-induced agglomeration. Therefore, the effects on agglomeration of bubble size and behaviour at the bed

601 surface may be worthy of investigation.

602 **3.6 Fuel**

603 Fuel Type

The effects of different fuels on agglomeration have been extensively researched. As noted in the introduction, fuel and ash composition can vary massively across different biomass fuels [10, 11]. As illustrated in section 2, the presence of alkali and alkali earth metals within fuel ash is a key contributing factor to agglomeration severity.

608 Works such as those by Skrifvars, et al. [34], Öhman, et al. [36], and Brus, et al. [41], have looked at 609 fuels across a variety of different biomass categories. Fuels with high amounts of alkali metals within 610 their ash agglomerate more quickly, and at lower temperatures. For example, fuels such as straws 611 are particularly bad due to their high potassium contents, as discussed by Yu, et al. [61]. As a general 612 comment, fuels with a combination of high silica content and high alkali content, such as straws, 613 seem predisposed to agglomerating via melt-induced agglomeration, as the fuel ash itself has the 614 necessary material to create an alkali silicate melt. In industrial and plant-scale settings, woody fuels 615 have emerged as the preferred fuel type for FBC of biomass, due to their less severe agglomeration 616 tendencies [17].

617 Co-firing

618 Co-firing of biomass fuel blends may be performed due to economic and operational needs, for 619 example balancing usage of a better quality, more expensive fuel with a poorer, cheaper one [71, 72, 620 17]. Whilst there is a sizable body of research available on co-firing of coal-biomass blends, there are fewer systematic studies available on biomass fuel blends and the effects of altering blend ratios. 621 622 Hupa [71] notes that there was an increasing number of FBC boilers employing co-firing in the 2001-623 2002 period, some of which using biomass-only fuel blends, and this amount would likely have only 624 increased with time as there are more FBC units online thus greater competition for biomass fuels. A 625 recent review on biomass combustion and ash behaviours by Hupa [73] again notes the lack of 626 knowledge surrounding co-firing of biomass blends.

Salour, et al. [74] blended rice straw with wood, in order to control the severe agglomeration
ordinarily caused by rice straw. When combusted at a bed temperature at or below 800°C, blends of
up to 50% rice straw were acceptable. Beyond this, t_{def} decreased with increasing rice straw fraction.
Salour, et al. [74] also measured key ash fusion temperatures such as the initial deformation
temperature (IDT). These were found to be non-linear in behaviour, Figure 10 providing an example.
Non-linear behaviours such as these add difficulty in predicting the behaviour of fuel blends, and
highlight the need further systematic studies of behaviour with blend variations.

Davidsson, et al. [75] examined the effects of biomass co-firing in a 12MW_{th} CFB with a mixture of
86% wood and 14% straw pellets on an energy basis. This produced a high level of alkali deposits
compared to their coal based tests, a result of alkali metal content in the straw. Concentrations of
KCl in the flue gas rose from around 3-4ppm with wood pellets to 20ppm with the 14% straw blend.

Thy, et al. [76] investigated agglomeration behaviour of a blend of wood with between 2.6-25.0wt%

rice straw. They found a strong positive correlation between increasing amount of straw and

640 severity of agglomeration, with blends of 2.6wt% rice straw producing mild agglomeration whilst

those of 9.6% and above resulted in defluidization and large plugs of agglomerates being extracted.

A visual estimation of the proportion of the bed that suffered from agglomeration produced an

643 exponential relationship between percentage agglomerated and percentage rice straw content.

Elled, et al. [77] explored usage of a wood-straw fuel blend. A two layer coating was formed on bed

645 particles, the inner layer dominated by potassium silicates, whilst the outer layer comprised

646 primarily of calcium silicates. Whilst these results broadly align with what is typically seen in a single

647 fuel system, a closer comparison was not drawn.

648 Silvennoinen & Hedman [78] examined the effects of co-firing wood biomass with up to 30wt%

sunflower seed hull pellets or oat seeds, in a 75MW_{th} commercial BFB. During their experimentation,

no agglomeration was detected, a result of an intentional reduction of temperature to 750°C which

would bring the system to just above the melting point of potassium-silicate eutectics (742°C).

652 Becidan, et al. [79] modelled the effects of a binary system consisting of straw with either peat or 653 sewage sludge on alkali chloride formation; a key driver of corrosion [25, 26]. Non-linear 654 relationships were exhibited with increasing weight percentages of peat or sewage sludge, and the 655 mechanisms and elements affecting formation and decomposition of alkali chlorides changed with 656 fuel blend ratios. This further highlights the complexities of using biomass fuel blends. 657 The works of Suheri & Kuprianov [80] and Sirisomboon & Kuprianov [81] looked at varying blend 658 ratios of binary biomass mixtures, as well as combustion excess air ratios, on emissions and 659 combustion efficiency. Emissions behaviours here seemed to scale more proportionately between

660 varying secondary fuel percentages.

661 Fuel Particle Size

662 Lin, et al. [50] performed an experimental run with smashed straw pellets of particle size <1mm, to 663 compare against straw pellets of sizes 1-10mm, but did not find a notable change in t_{def}. The work of 664 Yu, et al. [61] looked at the effect of straw fuel size, with a comparison of small straw bales against 665 milled straw powder, in a lab-scale BFB. Use of the powder allowed for a total fuel feeding of 281g, 666 as opposed to 110g for the bales. However, the low density of straw powder may allow for it to be 667 easily entrained within the flue gas. An analysis of the amount of unburnt carbon within the fly ash 668 in not provided, nor an analysis of the potassium retained within the bed at the end of the run. 669 Therefore, it cannot be stated if this elevated level of fuel feeding before defluidization is simply due 670 to fuel becoming entrained within the flue gas.

Burton & Wei [82] looked at the effect of fuel particle size in the context of Fluidised Bed Pyrolysis. A
relation between biomass fuel particle size and 'Sand Loading' was drawn; this latter term being
defined as the mass of bed sand adhered to fuel particles normalised against the total mass of fuel
fed. Sand Loading increased with fuel particle size up to 430µm, and then decreased until reaching a
plateau at around 1500µm. This was suggested as being due to transfer of the sticky alkali coating

within the fuel particle being convection controlled to a particle size of 430μm, and diffusion
controlled at larger sizes.

678 Also related are the combustion profiles of a fuel. Chirone, et al. [59] performed a comprehensive 679 investigation into combustion profiles and characteristics of three pelletized fuels: wood, straw, and 680 sludge. Fuels underwent several repetitions of a combustion-quenching process, in order to examine 681 the condition and structure of fuel pellets at successive times. With sludge a "shrinking core" pattern 682 was seen, where the initial size of the pellet was preserved with an ash skeleton that remained after 683 burn-off of the carbon. Wood followed a "shrinking particle" pattern, whereby the pellet slowly 684 shrank and fragmented over time. Straw took a pathway almost between these two. Shrinkage of 685 the pellet was observed, but an ash skeleton did remain, and said skeleton had bed sand adhered to 686 it. This ash skeleton supports the melt-induced agglomeration observations of Lin, et al. [50] and 687 Chirone, et al. [51] whereby an agglomerate is formed in the shaped of a fuel particle (section 2.2).

688 Fuel Moisture

689 Fuel moisture has not been investigated in relation to agglomeration behaviour in the literature. This 690 may be of interest due to the high relatively moisture content of biomass fuels, e.g. wood has been 691 reported as having a moisture content of 40-70% [27]. This moisture content affects parameters 692 such as the fuel heating value, bed temperatures, and flue gas composition during combustion [10, 693 27]. Higher moisture content negatively affects the overall boiler efficiency, as additional heat 694 energy is used on the fuel drying phase of combustion, and larger variations in moisture content will 695 affect combustion control [83]. However, it is known that water will leach out soluble fractions alkali 696 and alkali earth metals responsible agglomeration problems [11], thus there is some benefit in the 697 fuel initially being exposed to a higher moisture content.

698 Fuel Feeding Rate

Fuel feeding rate has not been directly investigated as a factor, largely because a higher fuel feeding rate for a FBC unit would imply a higher thermal rating. Therefore, higher temperatures will naturally result, the effects of which are described in section 3.1. Moreover, it will of course provide more fuel ash to drive agglomeration.

703 3.7 Bed Material

As is evident throughout section 2, the common denominator for agglomeration is the presence of large quantities of silica within the bed material. Thus, research has been ongoing for alternative bed materials. A selection of these results have been summarised in Table 3.

707 Substituting SiO₂-based sands for materials dominant in Mg, Al or Ca has a proven positive effect on

reducing agglomeration, as doing so reduces or eliminates the availability of silicon for

agglomeration. The exception is for fuels that contain sufficient amounts of Si to drive the formation

of alkali-silicate melts themselves, such as straw, as seen in several works [44, 75, 61]. Use of

711 different bed materials does still have some positive effect on lengthening t_{def} in these cases though.

712 More recently, Knutsson, et al. [84] investigated the potential of mixing bed materials to balance

performance and economic aspects, an idea little explored in the literature. Varying mixtures of one

to all of silica sand, bauxite (Al₂O₃), K₂CO₃, and CaCO₃, were thermodynamically modelled and tested

experimentally. The presence of bauxite with silica sand or K₂CO₃ weakened agglomeration tendency

over silica sand alone, as did blends with CaCO₃, which had a stronger effect on reducing

agglomeration tendency. Knutsson, et al. [84] state that calcium forms a barrier preventing further

diffusion of potassium into silicate melts. This appears to be an exploitation of the protective

capabilities of calcium described by He, et al. [45], by forming a calcium-silicate protective layer

faster than one would otherwise arise during the natural progression of coating-induced

721 agglomeration.

722 Corcoran, et al. [85] trialled a blend of quartz sand with up to 40wt% Ilmenite (FeTiO₃) when

combusting wood. It was found that a very thin layer of potassium from fuel ash would initially form

Page 30 of 75

on the Ilmenite, and this would disappear as the potassium diffused into the bed particle, thus
removing its availability for driving agglomeration and corrosion. Iron was found to migrate
outwards to the surface of the Ilmenite bed particle, and calcium from fuel as was observed to form
a layer on the surface of the Ilmenite particle. This may have prevented further diffusion of
potassium inwards, similar to the calcium observations of Knutsson, et al. [84] and He, et al. [45].
Recently, energy supplier E.ON has begun using an Ilmenite-based bed material named "Improbed"
in several of their FBC units [86].

731 3.8 Bed Material Particle Size

Figure 11 provides graphs of the effect of changing average bed particle size on t_{def} from four
separate works. The trend exhibited is that with an increase in bed particle size, there is a reduction
in t_{def}. Some notes on these graphs are as follows:

- Lin, et al. [50] maintained a constant temperature and superficial gas velocity, U, between
 the two d_p values. They suggested that poorer mixing due to the smaller U/U_{mf} ratio for the
 larger particles led to a lower t_{def}.
- Chaivatamaset, et al. [64] also maintained a constant fluidizing gas velocity across the bed
 particle sizes. Tests for all fuels at 900°C also showed decreases in t_{def} with increases in d_p.
- Yu, et al. [61] used a near constant U/U_{mf} value for all three particle sizes, as opposed to
 maintaining a constant U value as Lin, et al. [50] and Chaivatamaset, et al. [64] did. However,
 Yu, et al. [61] also hand fed bundles of straw every 20 seconds as fuel. This non-continuous
 fuel feeding method have affected results somewhat.
- Lin & Wey [53] combusted Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) within a Fluidized Bed, a fuel with
 similar agglomeration characterisations to biomass due to its high Na content. They note
 that sand particles up to 770µm acted as a Geldart Group B powder, whilst the 920µm sand

747

748

acted as a Group D powder. This change in Geldart classification is accompanied by a sharp decline in t_{def}.

749 The distinction between the Geldart particle classifications by Lin & Wey [53] is perhaps an important one: particles in Group B favour bubbling behaviour at Umf, whilst Group D will spout as 750 751 they more readily form large bubbles [87, 15]. This raises the question of the potential impact of 752 different Geldart powder classifications on agglomeration during FBC of biomass, and if a wider 753 study may reveal relationships between particle size, Geldart particle classification, and 754 agglomeration. For example, perhaps the bubbling behaviour of Group B acts to minimize the 755 formation of potential agglomerates by improved bed mixing, whereas Group D materials may allow 756 for bed material to end up grouped together, promoting temperature non-uniformities and 757 agglomerate formation. 758 Scala & Chirone [60] reported a different trend for increases in d_p (Table 4). Increases in d_p by a 759 factor of 2-3 led to an approximate doubling of t_{def} for all fuels and scenarios. In the Pine Seed Shells 760 data fuel feed rate was reduced to increase amounts of excess air which may explain increases in t_{def} . However, this was not the case for the virgin olive husk fuel, which exhibited the same trend. 761 762 The reasoning for this put forth by Scala & Chirone [60] was that large particles will participate in 763 more energetic collisions, making it harder for adhesive forces to mitigate these and cause adhesion 764 between the particles. 765 Lin, et al. [65] performed a comprehensive study on the effects of different d_p distributions of sand

766 on t_{def} , albeit for MSW as opposed to biomass. Four d_p distributions were selected:

- 767 A narrow distribution of d_p between 590-840 μ m
- 768 A Gaussian distribution where d_p ranged between 350-1190 μ m
- A Binary distribution where 59% of bed mass was 840-1000μm, whilst the remainder was
 500-590μm

771

• A flat distribution, ranging between 350-1190µm

772 Increases in fluidizing gas velocity resulted in larger values of t_{def} across the board. The narrow 773 distribution showed a noticeably longer t_{def} , sometimes up to 20% longer than the other 774 distributions at 700°C and 800°C. The Gaussian distribution also showed slightly longer t_{def} compared 775 to the other two distributions. At 900°C however, there was almost no difference in t_{def} between the 776 distributions, perhaps indicating severe ash melting due to the temperature. With increasing Na 777 concentration in the bed, t_{def} declined as would be expected, but the narrow distribution frequently 778 produced the largest values of t_{def}, with the Gaussian distribution also showing slightly higher values 779 of t_{def} . At the highest Na concentration, there was little difference between the four distributions. 780 The work of Lin, et al. [65] indicates the potential importance of particle size distribution. The 781 narrow distribution displayed some sizable increases in t_{def} over the other distributions at moderate 782 temperatures and sodium contents, a behaviour displayed to a lesser extent by the Gaussian 783 distribution. However, different distributions of smaller particles were not examined here, and these 784 were seen to produce longer t_{def} times in the work of others [50, 64, 61]. Therefore, further work 785 into finding the optimal particle size distribution for typical bed materials may be worthy of 786 investigation.

787 **3.9 Additives**

788 The use of additives to minimize or eliminate agglomeration has been a key area of research. The 789 work of Steenari & Lindqvist [88] identified Kaolin and Dolomite as increasing the ash melting 790 temperature for straw ash, with the former having a greater effect. Öhman & Nordin [89] trialled 791 Kaolin, comprising primarily of Kaolinite $(Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4)$ with some Halloysite $(Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4(H_2O))_2)$. 792 An amount of 10wt% of a quartz bed sand bed was used, with bark and wheat straw as fuel. For 793 wheat straw the agglomeration temperature, T_{aggl}, increased from 739°C to 886°C, whilst for bark it 794 increased from 988°C to 1000°C. The Kaolin used had transformed into meta-kaolinite and absorbed 795 potassium, thus denying potassium for agglomeration.

Olofsson, et al. [28] experimented with the addition of mullite, calcite, clay, and a clay-calcite
mixture, each 10wt% of the bed, for different bed materials and fuels. Mullite was found to largely
mitigate agglomeration, clay worsened agglomeration due to its potassium content of 1.28wt%,
whilst calcite was present in agglomerates but had a somewhat positive effect on reducing
agglomeration severity.

801 Davidsson, et al. [72] trialled several additives in a 12MW CFB for the combustion of a blend of wood 802 pellets and straw pellets on a quartz sand bed. When using Kaolin as an additive, T_{aggl} of cyclone ash 803 samples were over 100°C above those of samples where Kaolin wasn't used. A molar ratio of Kaolin 804 to alkali of 0.85 was sufficient to maintain a T_{aggl} > 1100°C. The effects of ammonium sulphate and 805 sulphur were also monitored. These additives are typically used for corrosion control [25, 26]. In 806 theory, these would convert gaseous KCl into K-sulphates, and favour the release of potassium into 807 the gaseous form. Ammonium sulphate gave a small increase in T_{aggl} of around 50°C to 919°C, whilst 808 sulphur had no such effect.

Vamvuka, et al. [90] tested Kaolinite (Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄), Clinoclore ((Mg,Al,Fe)₆[(Si,Al)₄O₁₀](OH)₈) and

810 Ankerite (Ca(Mg,Fe,Mn)(CO₃)₂) for the combustion of olive kernel and olive tree wood on a Na-

811 feldspar bed. The authors state that this bed material was selected itself to reduce agglomeration,

thus will affect the apparent effectiveness of these additives. All three additives prevented

agglomeration during the tests, retaining alkali species within the bottom ash.

Zabetta, et al. [17] discuss the commercial experiences of Foster Wheeler with additives. They too
note that Kaolin has been found to be the most effective, but also list some alternatives used with
their boilers such as bauxite, emalthite, sillimanite, and diatomaceous earth. These materials are
noted to contain one or more of silicon-, aluminium-, or iron-oxide which react with H₂O to form HCl,
transferring the alkali to the mineral used and preventing the formation of KCl.

Lin, et al. [91] investigated the addition of calcium and magnesium for incineration of waste. Both

820 reduced agglomeration tendency and increased t_{def}, when the molar ratio of Na, which drove

agglomeration in waste incineration, to Mg or Ca was below 2. Above this ratio there was noinhibiting effect.

823 To summarise, additives that are rich in Mg, Ca, or Al have a positive effect in reducing 824 agglomeration tendency, similar to use of alternative bed materials (section 3.7); Kaolin in particular 825 has been successful. Moreover, additives generally retain alkali species within the bottom ash, thus 826 preventing it from contributing to fouling, slagging or corrosion. Agglomeration may still proceed for 827 fuels that produce melt-induced agglomeration, though additives still have a positive effect. It would 828 perhaps be of some interest to investigate the effects of varying additive dosage, relative to the 829 molar amount needed for the fuel feed rate. This could help determine the relative technical benefits of under and overdosing additives on both bed agglomeration and other downstream issues 830 831 such as slagging, fouling and corrosion.

832 **3.10** Effect of Additives and Alternative Bed Materials on Chlorine Emissions

As discussed in sections 3.7 and 3.9, additives and alternative bed materials will alter or prevent the reactions that would otherwise occur between bed material and fuel ash. The behaviour of Chlorine may be of particular interest, as it acts as an alkali carrier gas driving fouling, slagging, and corrosion [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26].

Coda, et al. [92] trialled use of Kaolin, Bauxite, and Limestone as additives with wood and waste
fuels. Kaolin doses of 25% mass of fuel ash had little effect on Cl in flue gas, whilst moving Kaolin
dosage to 50% mass of fuel ash almost doubled the Cl content in flue gas, a value that remained near
constant when further increasing Kaolin dosage to 79% mass of fuel ash. Bauxite had a similar effect,
whereby a dosage of 40% mass of fuel ash gave a 100% increase in Cl in the flue gas. This emphasises
the large effect that additive dosing can have on emissions.

Davidsson, et al. [72] attained some emissions data when using Kaolin as an additive or Olivine as a
bed material in a 12MW_{th} CFB combusting a blend of wood and straw. Three different Kaolin doses
were trialled, leading to increases of up to 50% in HCl concentration prior to the convective pass,
over reference values of 55-60ppm. Sharp declines in alkali chloride concentrations were observed,
as would be expected, due to the reaction of the Kaolin with alkali metals in fuel ash. At the stack
HCl concentrations were doubled with high Kaolin doses compared to those without Kaolin. These
increases in HCl concentration, particularly at the stack, should be noted in regards to what the
allowable metal losses due to HCl corrosion are.

Use of Olivine instead of quartz sand as a bed material caused alkali chloride concentrations in the convective pass to almost double. HCl concentrations here were also higher by around 30%. Downstream at the stack, HCl concentrations were approximately 30% lower with Olivine. The Olivine does not contain significant quantities of silica thus would not react with the alkali metal content of the fuel, hence allowing it to form alkali chlorides instead. Once again, these elevated quantities of HCl and alkali chlorides would be of concern when considering the acceptable metal losses due to corrosion.

These findings reinforce the idea that the boiler system must be considered as a whole, since solutions to one issue may free up additional material to drive another. It also highlights that there would be value in a comprehensive study that evaluates the effects on the whole boiler system when using additives or alternative bed materials. For example, ammonium sulphate is a common additive used to convert alkali chlorides into alkali sulphates [26], though if used in a system with an Olivine bed there would be significantly more alkali chlorides available, thus the potential for elevated HCl concentrations given sufficient amounts of ammonium sulphate.

865 3.11 Coating Thickness

The idea of a "Critical Coating Thickness" and the effects of liquid layering on particles in a fluidised bed is one that been discussed in the literature for many years. For example, Seville & Clift [93] noted that the continuous addition of liquid layers to fluidised particles of Geldart Group B would cause them to transition to Geldart Groups A and C, as inter-particle forces are enhanced. A "Critical Coating Thickness" would be the point at which neck formation between coated particles occurs and 871 bed agglomeration proceeds. Brus, et al. [94] performed an analysis of coating thickness over time, 872 taking samples from industrial scale FBC plants, and stated that the critical coating thickness is less 873 than 10μm. The recent work of He, et al. [45] provides a systematic investigation into coating 874 thickness over time for a lab-scale BFB, 30MW_{th} BFB, and 122MW_{th} CFB. An initial rapid growth of 875 coating layers occurred over the first several days in the full-scale units. This growth rate declined as 876 diffusion of calcium into the melt began and higher melting point calcium compounds formed. 877 However, there was no further discussion of a critical coating thickness. Others in the literature have 878 also mentioned the idea of a critical coating thickness with little other discussion [39, 43, 47, 95].

879

3.12 Size & Scale of Fluidized Bed

880 For generating solutions to industrial problems at the lab- or pilot-scale, it is important to 881 understand the applicability of results and findings to full-scale FBC plants. Many researchers have 882 investigated agglomeration in full-scale plants, and performed direct comparisons to samples 883 produced by lab- or pilot-scale facilities. Visser [40] looked at agglomerates from both the lab-scale 884 and the 80MW_{th} Cuijk FBC unit in the Netherlands. The two operational differences between these 885 setups were that the lab-scale unit had fuel fed directly into the bed, whilst for Cuijk it was above-886 bed, and that there was a constant bed renewal and replenishment cycle in effect at Cuijk. This 887 bottom ash removal and bed replenishment ability is a common agglomeration control strategy 888 within industry [17, 49]. However, it is also one not available to most lab- and pilot-scale facilities. It 889 is of note that variations to replenishment rate to determine the effect on agglomeration is not 890 something that appears to have been examined in the literature, but equally would require a 891 suitable lab- or pilot-scale facility.

Visser [40] concluded that lab-scale agglomeration testing provided a representative view of the
initial stages of plant-scale agglomeration. The constant replenishment of sand at the Cuijk bioenergy plant was believed to be the cause of some of the differences in the chemistry of outer
coating layers, due to providing fresh material for chemical reactions. Furthermore, samples at Cuijk

had thicker coatings due to a longer average residence time in the bed compared to the lab-scaleagglomerate samples.

Others have also observed consistent results between lab- and full-scale facilities, be it for topics

899 such as agglomeration mechanisms, additives, or fuels, albeit with the same shortcomings such as 900 those seen by Visser [40], e.g. thinner coatings due to shorter residence times [38, 39, 45, 72, 78]. 901 One difference suggested by Chirone, et al. [51] is that a pilot-scale fluidized bed provided a longer 902 t_{def} time compared to a lab-scale setup due to higher inertial forces in the bed. A comparison 903 between a pilot- and lab-scale unit resulted in a t_{def} that was 3.6 times longer at pilot-scale, and had 904 a higher ash content within the bed at time of defluidization (4wt% versus 2wt%). Chirone, et al. [51] 905 suggested an increase in inertial forces inside the bed when moving up in scale would counteract the 906 formation of weaker agglomerates that might otherwise cause a quicker onset of defluidization. 907 Therefore, at plant-scale higher inertial forces may also assist in lengthening t_{def}.

908 **3.13** Summary of Effects of Operational Variables

Table 5 summarises the effect of operational variables on agglomeration.

910 Increases in combustion temperature have a sizable effect on agglomeration, by increasing the

911 amount of alkali-silicate melt that is generated and making it less viscous. Therefore a lower

912 temperature is desirable, insofar as it does not have too great of an impact on the conditions of

913 raised steam at full scale.

898

914 From the limited literature available on agglomeration in PFBC units, the final agglomerates formed

915 in PFBC units seem of similar composition and type to those that would form in an AFBC.

916 Increases in the fluidizing gas velocity, U, have consistently produced longer values of t_{def} in the

917 literature. This appears to be a result of two factors:

Better in-bed mixing preventing the formation of localized temperature hot spots or bed
 dead-zones.

• Higher kinetic forces of bed particles that may overcome adhesive coating or melt forces.

There is little research on the effects of static bed height, and what is available is not conclusive.
Work using a constant U value across several bed heights has produced an increase and decrease in
t_{def} with increasing bed height, indicating perhaps the involvement of other factors. Additionally,
increases in bed height allow for coalescence of bubbles to larger sizes. Therefore, will be increased
turbulence at the bed surface where the bubbles exit, which in turn may affect combustion
behaviour of the fuel particles and agglomeration behaviour.

927 Investigations into bed material particle size have generally shown that increasing d_p, even whilst
928 maintaining a constant U/U_{mf}, leads to a reduction in t_{def} thus worsened agglomeration. Variations of
929 bed particle size distribution for larger bed particles have shown that Gaussian and narrow
930 distributions provide longer t_{def} values.

Alternative bed materials that comprise primarily of aluminium-, calcium-, or magnesium-oxides, as
opposed to the SiO₂, have been shown to reduce or eliminate agglomeration. A change of the bed
material can increase the ash fusion temperature of complexes forming, and in doing so reduce melt
phases. The exception is where a fuel is rich in both alkali metals and SiO₂, such as straw, which will
form a melt-induced agglomerates with just its fuel ash contents.

Similar to bed materials, aluminous-, calcium-, or magnesium-based additives have been shown to
be effective. Kaolin in particular has shown itself to be successful in reducing or eliminating
agglomeration several times within the literature. Both additives and bed materials have been noted
to have a large effect on emissions, particularly Chlorine, which can drive corrosion mechanisms.
Fuel has a large influence on agglomeration. Fuels with increasing amounts of alkali metals such as

- 941 potassium exhibit more severe agglomeration, typically melt-induced agglomeration, and lower
- 942 values of t_{def}. Co-firing of fuels is something primarily explored in the context of coal-biomass or
- 943 wood-straw mixtures within the literature. A common trend for biomass blends is that relationships

between blend ratios and agglomeration factors such as melt temperatures are non-linear. Fuel
particle size has receive some attention, with smaller particle sizes giving better combustion
efficiencies and longer values of t_{def}. This is perhaps due to combustion taking place at higher regions
of, or just above, the bed.

Coating thickness and critical values for triggering agglomeration are of general interest. Growth
rates are typically quicker at the start and then trail off due to diffusion of Ca into the K-silicate melt,
forming a Ca-silicate melt of higher melting point. Neck formation between coated particles can
occur at coating thicknesses less than 10µm.

952 The applicability of lab- and pilot-scale results to full-scale facilities has been explored within the 953 literature. Mechanisms and behaviours generally map well to full-scale facilities for the initial 954 triggering of agglomeration. Over time, there is a divergence due to replenishment of bed material 955 in full-scale facilities, plus longer residence times, leading to thicker coatings on bed particles.

956 **Recommendations for further work**

 Large bed heights allow for further coalescence of bubbles, leading to greater turbulence at the surface of the bed, as well as enhanced combustion efficiencies [6, 70]. Gatternig, et al.
 [46] observed that less dense fuel particles "floated" on the surface of the bed, reaching higher temperatures, and exacerbating agglomeration issues, thus there may be interest in the effects of bed height on agglomeration severity.

Smaller particle sizers have generally been shown to lengthen t_{def}, and certain particle size
 distributions (Gaussian, narrow) have been shown to lengthen t_{def} albeit with larger average
 particle sizes. Therefore, it would be of interest to trial different size distributions of a
 smaller mean particle size, to see if similar findings are apparent, and if there may be an
 optimum size range and size distribution.

967 • Several alternative bed materials and additives have been shown to mitigate or prevent
 968 agglomeration in numerous studies, with some such as Olivine (bed material) and Kaolin

970additives would always be welcomed, but also model971of alternative bed materials and additives on other972content of biomass fuels, such as slagging, fouling973materials and additives have been observed to har974which drive corrosion within the boiler.975• There may be some interest in blending of bed materials and investigating impact on the whole beiler977• There may also be some interest in trialling difference978overdosing relative to the molar amount needed to overdosing relative to the molar amount needed to received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur980• Co-firing of biomass-biomass blends and the effect981received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur982have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships to blends for larger scale FBC units.985• Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates in seems apparent in literature. However, this may to finding a suitable and available test facility or full-	vestigatory work into new bed material and
971of alternative bed materials and additives on other972content of biomass fuels, such as slagging, fouling973materials and additives have been observed to har974which drive corrosion within the boiler.975There may be some interest in blending of bed mar976with cost, and investigating impact on the whole b977There may also be some interest in trialling difference978overdosing relative to the molar amount needed b979cost and performance impact on the whole boiler980Co-firing of biomass-biomass blends and the effect981received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur982have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships b983deformation temperature. Work in this area could984blends for larger scale FBC units.985Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates in986seems apparent in literature. However, this may b987finding a suitable and available test facility or full-	ore comprehensive studies into the effects
972content of biomass fuels, such as slagging, fouling973materials and additives have been observed to ha974which drive corrosion within the boiler.975• There may be some interest in blending of bed ma976with cost, and investigating impact on the whole b977• There may also be some interest in trialling difference978overdosing relative to the molar amount needed b979cost and performance impact on the whole boiler980• Co-firing of biomass-biomass blends and the effect981received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur982have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships b983deformation temperature. Work in this area could984blends for larger scale FBC units.985• Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates in seems apparent in literature. However, this may b987finding a suitable and available test facility or full-	er phenomena driven by the alkali metal
973materials and additives have been observed to have974which drive corrosion within the boiler.975• There may be some interest in blending of bed may976with cost, and investigating impact on the whole be977• There may also be some interest in trialling difference978overdosing relative to the molar amount needed to979cost and performance impact on the whole boiler980• Co-firing of biomass-biomass blends and the effect981received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur982have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships to983deformation temperature. Work in this area could984blends for larger scale FBC units.985• Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates in seems apparent in literature. However, this may be987finding a suitable and available test facility or full-	g and corrosion. Some alternatives bed
 974 which drive corrosion within the boiler. 975 There may be some interest in blending of bed may 976 with cost, and investigating impact on the whole B 977 There may also be some interest in trialling difference 978 overdosing relative to the molar amount needed for 979 cost and performance impact on the whole boiler 980 Co-firing of biomass-biomass blends and the effect 981 received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur 982 have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships for 983 deformation temperature. Work in this area could 984 blends for larger scale FBC units. 985 Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates in 986 seems apparent in literature. However, this may be 987 finding a suitable and available test facility or full- 	ave large effects on alkali chlorides and HCI,
 975 There may be some interest in blending of bed may with cost, and investigating impact on the whole B 977 There may also be some interest in trialling difference overdosing relative to the molar amount needed B 979 cost and performance impact on the whole boiler 980 Co-firing of biomass-biomass blends and the effect received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur 981 have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships B 983 deformation temperature. Work in this area could blends for larger scale FBC units. 985 Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates may seems apparent in literature. However, this may be finding a suitable and available test facility or full- 	
 976 with cost, and investigating impact on the whole I 977 There may also be some interest in trialling difference 978 overdosing relative to the molar amount needed I 979 cost and performance impact on the whole boiler 980 Co-firing of biomass-biomass blends and the effect 981 received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur 982 have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships I 983 deformation temperature. Work in this area could 984 blends for larger scale FBC units. 985 Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates in 986 seems apparent in literature. However, this may be 987 finding a suitable and available test facility or full- 	aterials, perhaps to balance performance
 977 There may also be some interest in trialling difference overdosing relative to the molar amount needed is cost and performance impact on the whole boiler oction of biomass-biomass blends and the effect received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships is deformation temperature. Work in this area could blends for larger scale FBC units. 985 Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates in seems apparent in literature. However, this may be finding a suitable and available test facility or full- 	boiler system.
978overdosing relative to the molar amount needed is979cost and performance impact on the whole boiler980• Co-firing of biomass-biomass blends and the effect981received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur982have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships is983deformation temperature. Work in this area could984blends for larger scale FBC units.985• Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates in986seems apparent in literature. However, this may be987finding a suitable and available test facility or full-	ent dosage rates of additives from under to
979cost and performance impact on the whole boiler980Co-firing of biomass-biomass blends and the effect981received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur982have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships f983deformation temperature. Work in this area could984blends for larger scale FBC units.985Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates in986seems apparent in literature. However, this may b987finding a suitable and available test facility or full-	for the given fuel, and investigating the
 Co-firing of biomass-biomass blends and the effect received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships f deformation temperature. Work in this area could blends for larger scale FBC units. Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates in seems apparent in literature. However, this may b finding a suitable and available test facility or full- 	r system.
 981 received little work outside of wood-straw mixtur 982 have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships for 983 deformation temperature. Work in this area could 984 blends for larger scale FBC units. 985 Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates n 986 seems apparent in literature. However, this may b 987 finding a suitable and available test facility or full- 	ct on agglomeration is something that has
 982 have exhibited complex, non-linear relationships for deformation temperature. Work in this area could blends for larger scale FBC units. 985 Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates in seems apparent in literature. However, this may be finding a suitable and available test facility or full- 	res. From current works, biomass ashes
 983 deformation temperature. Work in this area could 984 blends for larger scale FBC units. 985 Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates n 986 seems apparent in literature. However, this may b 987 finding a suitable and available test facility or full- 	for properties such as initial ash
 984 blends for larger scale FBC units. 985 Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates n 986 seems apparent in literature. However, this may b 987 finding a suitable and available test facility or full- 	d aid in assessing the viability of such
 Studies into optimising bed replenishment rates n seems apparent in literature. However, this may b finding a suitable and available test facility or full- 	
986 seems apparent in literature. However, this may b987 finding a suitable and available test facility or full-	may be of interest, as no work on this area
987 finding a suitable and available test facility or full-	be challenging from the perspective of
	-scale unit on which a study could be
988 conducted.	

989 **4. Agglomeration Monitoring and Prediction**

- 990 This section provides a brief overview and introduction to agglomeration monitoring and prediction
 991 methods. A more extensive and comprehensive review of this broad field is available with the work
 992 of Bartels, et al. [20].
- 993 These methods can fall under one of two general categories:

- 994 1. "Pre-combustion" prediction methods applied before use of the fuel in a full scale boiler,
- 995 e.g. empirical correlations, lab-scale testing.
- 996 2. "In-situ" monitoring and prediction methods that may be applied within a full-scale boiler
 997 to monitor agglomeration during standard operation.

998 4.1 Pre-combustion Prediction of Agglomeration

999 Experimental Methods

1000 Several research groups have attempted to utilise standardised fuel ash testing methods to predict 1001 agglomeration temperatures. For example, the ASTM ash fusion test was performed on several 1002 biomass fuels by Skrifvars, et al. [35], though it was found to be unreliable, as it predicted 1003 problematic temperatures for ashes well in excess of the temperatures where they are known to be 1004 problematic in a fluidised bed. A sintering test was more accurate, but typically under-predicted 1005 temperatures at which ashes would be problematic by 20-50°C. At present, the most reliable 1006 experimental method for determining agglomeration difficulties associated with a new fuel may be 1007 is through lab- and pilot-scale trials.

1008 Theoretical Methods

1009 Use of the thermochemical software package FactSage has gained popularity in recent years as a 1010 tool to predict slagging and melting phase temperatures for fuels, as the available databases have 1011 improved in breadth and accuracy [77, 96]. The work of Fryda, et al. [97], whilst using older 1012 databases, is an example of FactSage being used to predict melt formation fractions across typical 1013 FBC operating temperatures. A point of note here is that there was a prediction of 25-45% less melt 1014 formed when the silica in bed material did not react with fuel ash elements. Fryda, et al. [97] note 1015 that this was more realistic for their fuels, as from their SEM/EDS observations there was not 1016 significant interactions between bed material and fuel ash. As Fryda, et al. [97] state, this highlights 1017 some general shortfalls of FactSage modelling, in that it considers all silica equally reactive, and does 1018 not have any allowance for bed material porosity or size, leading to the suggestion that only a

fraction of silica in the bed material should be considered within the FactSage model. More
generally, this reinforces the necessity of having a good understanding of the real phenomena in
order to create an accurate model.

1022 The more recent work of Rizvi, et al. [96] examined slag formation quantities and compositions using 1023 FactSage, for pine wood, peanut shells, sunflower stalks, and miscanthus. All fuels were predicted to 1024 have some liquid slag formation at 700°C, however each fuel had different responses to increases in 1025 temperature. For example, both peanut ash and pine wood maintained relatively constant liquid slag 1026 fractions through typical FBC operating temperatures of 800-900°C. Miscanthus on the other hand 1027 exhibited a 10-15% increase. It should be noted though that this work does not consider the fuel in 1028 the presence of the bed material, only the fuel alone, and doing so would likely encounter many of 1029 the similar challenges highlighted by Fryda, et al. [97].

1030 Indices

1031 Numerous empirical agglomeration indices have been proposed over the years by researchers.

1032 Gatternig [98, p. 56] provided a summary of common agglomeration indices and relationships for

1033 both coal and biomass. The coal indices tested on biomass, as well as those proposed for biomass,

1034 have been reproduced and further added to in Table 6.

1035 These indices have varying levels of usefulness. The Alkali Index has been examined by several

1036 researchers, and provides a generally good indication of the likelihood of fouling, slagging, or

1037 agglomeration, but its effectiveness decreases when other factors are at play such as alternate bed

1038 materials and/or additives [90, 99, 100]. The alkaline earth oxides to alkaline oxides ratio examined

1039 by Fernández Llorente & Carrasco García [101] was found to provide a poor indication of the

1040 likelihood and severity of ash sintering. Therefore, it is important to consider the combustion

1041 conditions under which the fuel will be used when applying an agglomeration indicator, as these

1042 indicators have been empirically derived and may not be directly applicable.

1043 **4.2 In-situ Monitoring and Prediction of Agglomeration**

1044 Pressure Drop

Pressure drop across the bed is a common reading taken on FBC units, with it giving operators realtime information on bed hydrodynamics and fluidisation behaviour, as well as density and height [20, 102]. However, bed pressure drop only gives a view of the bed as a singular entity, with the potential for smaller scale disturbances going unnoticed. Moreover, probe blockages may cause measurement inaccuracies.

1050 Nonetheless, researchers have tried to apply algorithms or statistical analyses to detect when

agglomeration or a defluidization event may be beginning [20]. For example, Chirone, et al. [51]

1052 looked at pressure drop variance, and noted a 60% decline in pressure drop variance had occurred at

1053 the point of defluidization, with similar observations noted in a subsequent work [47].

1054 Temperature

1055 Temperatures are routinely measured on FBC units, often in several locations within the bed. 1056 Moreover, as has been seen in sections 2 and 3, operating temperature has a large impact on melt 1057 formation and the likelihood of other phenomena such as sintering to worsen agglomeration. An 1058 issue with this approach is successfully determining a localised spike due to agglomerate formation 1059 versus one that is the result of normal variances during combustion. Furthermore, full coverage of 1060 the bed with thermocouples is not possible, as there is always the possibility of missing small, 1061 localised variations of importance [103]. Some authors have evaluated the potential for 1062 temperature-based detection of hot-spots and agglomerate formation. For example, Khan and 1063 Turton [104] used thermocouple data and empirically derived heat transfer coefficients to analyse 1064 temperature variations within the bed, whilst Basu [105] applied a statistical analysis to highlight 1065 abnormal temperature variances within the bed. Lau & Whalley [106] trialled radially mounted 1066 differential thermocouples to detect hotspots when combusting caking coals with some success, 1067 though this was in the context of a lab-scale wall-heated FBC unit.

1068 Other Methods

Several novel methods for agglomeration detection have been trialled over the years. One example
is the use of fibre optic sensors to measure bed hydrodynamic data, though this has been restricted
to lab-scale units [107, 108, 109, 110].

The work of Wang, et al. [102] trialled analysis of acoustic emissions, resultant from inter-particle
and particle-wall collisions. It was shown that there was potential with this methodology, given
sufficient data and a competent interpretive model. Others have since expanded upon acoustic
emissions monitoring methods and the associated mathematical analyses to the point where specific
agglomerates can be identified, though further development is needed to improve reliability and
scale them up for full-scale FBC units [111, 112, 113].

1078 Combined Approaches

1079 Some researchers have attempted to combine monitoring approaches to see if the result is more 1080 accurate for detecting agglomeration and defluidization. An example is the recent work of 1081 Shabanian, et al. [114] where bed pressure drop and temperatures were considered together. 1082 Shabanian, et al. [114] took reference values for the temperature difference between an upper and 1083 lower point in the bed, as well as pressure difference between an upper lower point in the bed, and 1084 then compared a moving average of real temperature and pressure differences against these 1085 reference values. From this, they were able to derive settings that could be used as "high" and "high-1086 high" alarm points, warning of defluidization. In some cases, their method gave indication of 1087 defluidization upwards of 3 hours in advance. This highlights that there may be some considerable 1088 promise in combined approaches to agglomeration monitoring, though still leaves open the question 1089 of mitigation and prevention.

4.3 Summary of Agglomeration Monitoring and Prediction Methods

1091 Monitoring and prediction of agglomeration is an area where much work is still needed to create 1092 accurate, robust, reliable, and cost effective solutions. Empirical indices appear to require further 1093 refining across a variety of fuels, conditions, and scales to be of more use, and to do so would likely 1094 take large collaborative efforts to collect, compile and analyse the necessary data. Lab-scale 1095 combustion trials of fuels are perhaps the best way to assess its issues prior to use at the full scale, 1096 but numerous tests may be needed to represent the range of fuel qualities that a facility may use, 1097 and this would increase the cost and time requirements of such studies. For in-situ monitoring, 1098 pressure drop and temperature based methods are preferred as such measurements are readily 1099 available on industrial units, however there are still challenges in drawing accurate and reliable 1100 correlations for the prediction of agglomeration and defluidization. Combined approaches such as 1101 that of Shabanian, et al. [114] have been shown to have some promise, and developments along 1102 similar lines may be worthwhile.

1103 **5. Conclusion**

Sections 2.4, 3.13, 4.3 provide more detailed summaries and suggestions for further work for each
area examined within this review. The main findings from this review are as follows:

1106 There is a wealth of mechanism research when combusting biomass on SiO₂-based bed 1107 materials, with agglomeration mechanisms being of the coating- or melt-induced variety. For 1108 coating agglomeration in the case of SiO₂-based bed materials, there is the common 1109 occurrence of two or three distinct particle layers, with a higher presence of potassium 1110 within the fuel causing the "inner-inner" third layer. Sufficient growth of the calcium-based 1111 "inner" layer appears to prevent further formation of K-silicate melts with the bed particle, 1112 and as the layer changes in composition further diffusion of calcium is limited. Melt-induced 1113 agglomeration is the result of sufficient silica and alkali metal content in the fuel forming an 1114 ash melt. In some cases, the ash skeleton shape of the particle appears to allow the

formation of agglomerates similar in shape to that of the fuel particle. Further work is particularly needed into mechanisms when using alternate bed materials and additives, with consideration given to the effects on the whole boiler system.

- Of the operating variables, fuel, bed material, additives, fluidizing gas velocity and
 temperature have the greatest effect on agglomeration severity. An overall ranking of
 parameters examined is given in Table 5. Most other variables have received some degree of
 attention, though may benefit from some deeper studies. Co-firing of dual-biomass blends
 stands out as one area that may benefit from additional work, given that work so far has
 focused on coal-biomass or wood-straw mixes, together with further work into alternative
 bed materials and additives.
- A brief overview of agglomeration monitoring and prediction methods has been given,
 showing that whilst there are numerous potential methods available, accuracy is a key
 concern. Monitoring and prediction is in general an area that would benefit from further
 work into accurate, reliable, robust and cost-effective monitoring and prediction methods
 for full-scale installations. Recent work on combined approaches using temperature and
 pressure drop measurements has shown some promise in this field, where defluidization
 was successfully predicted up to 3 hours in advance.

1132 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge UK EPSRC funding support through research grant no. EP/M01536X/1 and
EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in CCS and Cleaner Fossil Energy, grant no. EP/L016362/1.

1135 **6. References**

- Frankfurt School of Finance and Management, "Global Trends in Renewable Energy Investment 2016," Frankfurt School of Finance and Management, 2016.
- [2] E. Johnson, "Goodbye to carbon neutral: Getting biomass footprints right," *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 165-168, 2009.
- [3] J. Goldemberg, T. Johansson and D. Anderson, "World energy assessment: overview : 2004 update," United Nations Development Programme, New York, 2004.
- [4] Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy, "Electricity Generation Costs," UK Government, 2016.
- [5] F. Johnsson, "Fluidized Bed Combustion for Clean Energy," Vancouver, Canada, 2007.
- [6] P. Basu, Combustion and Gasification in Fluidized Beds, 1st ed., Taylor & Francis, 2006.
- J. Koornneef, M. Junginger and A. Faaij, "Development of fluidized bed combustion An overview of trends, performance and cost," *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, vol. 33, pp. 19-55, 2007.
- [8] A. Hotta, "Circulating Fluidized Bed Technology," in 4th EU South Africa Clean Coal Working Group Meeting, Kempton Park, South Africa, 2012.
- [9] A. Khan, W. de Jong, P. Jansens and H. Spliethoff, "Biomass combustion in fluidized bed boilers: Potential problems and remedies," *Fuel Processing Technology*, vol. 90, pp. 21-50, 2009.

- [10] B. Jenkins, L. Baxter, T. Miles Jr. and T. Miles, "Combustion properties of biomass," Fuel Processing Technology, vol. 54, pp. 17-46, 1998.
- [11] S. Vassilev, D. Baxter, L. Andersen and C. Vassileva, "An overview of the chemical composition of biomass," *Fuel*, vol. 89, no. 5, pp. 913-933, 2010.
- [12] R. Saidur, E. Abdelaziz, A. Demirbas, M. Hossain and S. Mekhilef, "A review on biomass as a fuel for boilers," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 2262-2289, 2011.
- [13] P. Xing, P. Mason, S. Chilton, S. Lloyd, J. Jones, A. Williams, W. Nimmo and M. Pourkashanian, "A comparative assessment of biomass ash preparation methods using X-ray fluorescence and wet chemical analysis," *Fuel*, vol. 182, pp. 161-165, 2016.
- [14] M. Lackner, F. Winter and A. Agarwal, Handbook of Combustion Vol. 4, John Wiley & Sons, 2010.
- [15] D. Kunii and O. Levenspiel, Fluidization Engineering, 2nd Edition, Butterworth-Heinemann, 1991.
- [16] R. Brown, M. Dawson and J. Smeenk, "Bed Material Agglomeration During Fluidized Bed Combustion," U.S. Department of Energy, Pittsburgh, PA, 1996.
- [17] E. Zabetta, V. Barišić, K. Peltola and A. Hotta, "Foster Wheeler Experience with biomass and waste in CFBs," in 33rd International Technical Conference on Coal Utilization and Fuel Systems, Clearwater, Florida, 2008.
- [18] A. Giramonti, R. Lessard, D. Merrick and M. Hobson, "Technical and Economic Assessment of Fluidized Bed Augmented Compressed Air Energy Storage System," Battelle Memorial Institute, 1991.

- [19] N. Kumar, P. Besuner, S. Lefton, D. Agan and D. Hilleman, "Power plant cycling costs," National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Sunnyvale, California, 2012.
- [20] M. Bartels, W. Lin, J. Nijenhuis, F. Kapteijn and J. van Ommen, "Agglomeration in fluidized beds at high temperatures: Mechanisms, detection and prevention," *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 633-666, 2008.
- [21] P. Basu, C. Kefa and L. Jestin, Boilers and Burners: Design and Theory, Springer, 2000.
- Y. Niu, Y. Zhu, H. Tan, S. Hui, Z. Jing and W. Xu, "Investigations on biomass slagging in utility boiler: Criterion numbers and slagging growth mechanisms," *Fuel Processing Technology*, vol. 128, pp. 499-508, 2014.
- [23] A. Stam, K. Haasnnot and G. Brem, "Superheater fouling in a BFB boiler firing wood-based fuel blends," *Fuel*, vol. 135, pp. 322-331, 2014.
- [24] H. Nielsen, F. Frandsen, K. Dam-Johansen and L. Baxter, "The implications of chlorineassociated corrosion on the operation of biomass-fired boilers," *Progress in energy and combustion science*, vol. 26, no. 3, p. 283–298, 2000.
- [25] P. Henderson, P. Szakálos, R. Pettersson, C. Andersson and J. Högberg, "Reducing superheater corrosion in wood-fired boilers," *Materials and Corrosion*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 128-134, 2006.
- [26] H. Kassman, M. Broström, M. Berg and L. Åmand, "Measures to reduce chlorine in deposits:
 Application in a large-scale circulating fluidised bed boiler firing biomass," *Fuel*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 1325-1334, 2011.
- [27] A. Demirbas, "Potential applications of renewable energy sources, biomass combustion problems in boiler power systems and combustion related environmental issues," *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 171-192, 2005.

- [28] G. Olofsson, Z. Ye, I. Bjerle and A. Andersson, "Bed Agglomeration Problems in Fluidized-Bed Biomass Combustion," *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 2888-2894, 2002.
- [29] M. Radovanovic, Fluidized Bed Combustion, Taylor & Francis, 1986.
- [30] A. Ghaly, A. Ergüdenler and E. Laufer, "Agglomeration characteristics of alumina sand-straw ash mixtures at elevated temperatures," *Biomass and bioenergy*, vol. 5, no. 6, p. 467–480, 1993.
- [31] A. Ghaly, A. Ergüdenler and E. Laufer, "Study of agglomeration characteristics of silica sandstraw ash mixtures using scanning electronic microscopy and energy dispersion X-ray techniques," *Bioresource technology*, vol. 48, no. 2, p. 127–134, 1994.
- [32] A. Nordin, M. Öhman, B. Skrifvars and M. Hupa, Applications of Advanced Technology to Ash-Related Problems in Boilers, Springer International Publishing, 1996.
- [33] B. Skrifvars, M. Hupa, R. Backman and M. Hiltunen, "Sintering mechanisms of FBC ashes," *Fuel*, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 171-176, 1994.
- [34] B. Skrifvars, R. Backman and M. Hupa, "Characterization of the sintering tendency of ten biomass ashes in FBC conditions by a laboratory test and by phase equilibrium calculations," *Fuel Processing Technology*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 55-67, 1998.
- [35] B. Skrifvars, M. Öhman, A. Nordin and M. Hupa, "Predicting bed agglomeration tendencies for biomass fuels fired in FBC boilers: a comparison of three different prediction methods," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 359-363, 1999.
- [36] M. Öhman and A. Nordin, "Bed Agglomeration Characteristics during Fluidized Bed Combustion of Biomass Fuels," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 14, pp. 169-178, 2000.

- [37] M. Öhman and A. Nordin, "A new method for quantification of fluidized bed agglomeration tendencies: a sensitivity analysis," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 90–94, 1998.
- [38] J. Silvennoinen, "A new method to inhibit bed agglomeration problems in fluidized bed boilers," Jacksonville, Florida, 2003.
- [39] L. Nuutinen, M. Tiainen, M. Virtanen, S. Enestam and R. Laitinen, "Coating Layers on Bed Particles during Biomass Fuel Combustion in Fluidized-Bed Boilers," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 127-139, 2004.
- [40] H. Visser, "The Influence of Fuel Composition on Agglomeration Behaviour in Fluidised-Bed Combustion," Energy Research Centre of the Netherlands (ECN), 2004.
- [41] E. Brus, M. Öhman and A. Nordin, "Mechanisms of Bed Agglomeration during Fluidized-Bed
 Combustion of Biomass Fuels," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 825-832, 2005.
- [42] M. Öhman, L. Pommer and A. Nordin, "Bed Agglomeration Characteristics and Mechanisms during Gasification and Combustion of Biomass Fuels," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1742-1748, 2005.
- [43] M. Zevenhoven-Onderwater, M. Öhman, B. Skrifvars, R. Backman, A. Nordin and M. Hupa,
 "Bed Agglomeration Characteristics of Wood-Derived Fuels in FBC," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 818-824, 2006.
- [44] A. Grimm, M. Öhman, T. Lindberg, A. Fredriksson and D. Boström, "Bed Agglomeration Characteristics in Fluidized-Bed Combustion of Biomass Fuels Using Olivine as Bed Material," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 4550-4559, 2012.

- [45] H. He, D. Boström and M. Öhman, "Time Dependence of Bed Particle Layer Formation in Fluidized Quartz Bed Combustion of Wood-Derived Fuels," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 3841-3848, 2014.
- [46] B. Gatternig and J. Karl, "Investigations on the Mechanisms of Ash-Induced Agglomeration in Fluidized-Bed Combustion of Biomass," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 29, pp. 931-941, 2015.
- [47] F. Scala and R. Chirone, "Characterization and Early Detection of Bed Agglomeration during the Fluidized Bed Combustion of Olive Husk," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 120-132, 2006.
- [48] H. He, X. Ji, D. Boström, R. Backman and M. Öhman, "Mechanism of Quartz Bed Particle Layer Formation in Fluidized Bed Combustion of Wood Derived Fuels," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 30, no.
 3, pp. 2227-2232, 2016.
- [49] B. Gatternig and J. Karl, "The Influence of Particle Size, Fluidization Velocity, and Fuel Type on Ash-Induced Agglomeration in Biomass Combustion," *Frontiers in Energy Research*, vol. 2, 2014.
- [50] W. Lin, K. Dam-Johansen and F. Frandsen, "Agglomeration in bio-fuel fired fluidized bed combustors," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 96, pp. 171-185, 2003.
- [51] R. Chirone, F. Miccio and F. Scala, "Mechanism and prediction of bed agglomeration during fluidized bed combustion of a biomass fuel: Effect of the reactor scale," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 123, no. 3, pp. 71-80, 2006.
- [52] H. Liu, Y. Feng, S. Wu and D. Liu, "The role of ash particles in the bed agglomeration during the fluidized bed combustion of rice straw," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 100, no. 24, pp. 6505-6513, 2009.

- [53] C. Lin and M. Wey, "The effect of mineral compositions of waste and operating conditions on particle agglomeration/defluidization during incineration," *Fuel*, vol. 83, no. 17-18, pp. 2335-2343, 2004.
- [54] W. Pietsch, Agglomeration in Industry: Occurrence and Applications, Wiley-VCH, 2004.
- [55] W. Pietsch, Agglomeration Processes, Wiley-VCH, 2008.
- [56] E. Anthony, A. Iribarne and J. Iribarne, "A new mechanism for FBC agglomeration and fouling in 100 percent firing of petroleum coke," *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, vol. 119, no. 1, pp. 55-61, 1997.
- [57] E. Anthony, F. Preto, L. Jia and J. Iribarne, "Agglomeration and fouling in petroleum coke-fired FBC boilers," *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, vol. 120, no. 4, pp. 285-292, 1998.
- [58] B. Skrifvars, M. Hupa and E. Anthony, "Mechanisms of bed material agglomeration in a petroleum coke-fired circulating fluidized bed boiler," *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, vol. 120, no. 3, pp. 215-218, 1998.
- [59] R. Chirone, P. Salatino, F. Scala, R. Solimene and M. Urciuolo, "Fluidized bed combustion of pelletized biomass and waste-derived fuels," *Combustion and Flame*, vol. 155, no. 1-2, pp. 21-36, 2008.
- [60] F. Scala and R. Chirone, "An SEM/EDX study of bed agglomerates formed during fluidized bed combustion of three biomass fuels," *Biomass and Bioenergy*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 252-266, 2008.
- [61] C. Yu, J. Qin, H. Nie, M. Fang and Z. Luo, "Experimental research on agglomeration in strawfired fluidized beds," *Applied Energy*, vol. 88, no. 12, pp. 4534-4543, 2011.

- [62] C. Zhou, C. Rosen and K. Engvall, "Biomass oxygen/steam gasification in a pressurized bubbling fluidized bed: Agglomeration behavior," *Applied Energy*, vol. 172, pp. 230-250, 2016.
- [63] J. Grace, "Contacting modes and behaviour classification of gas—solid and other two-phase suspensions," *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering,* vol. 64, no. 3, p. 353–363, 1986.
- [64] P. Chaivatamaset, P. Sricharoon and S. Tia, "Bed agglomeration characteristics of palm shell and corncob combustion in fluidized bed," *Applied Thermal Engineering*, vol. 31, no. 14-15, pp. 2916-2927, 2011.
- [65] C. Lin, T. Peng and W. Wang, "Effect of particle size distribution on agglomeration/defluidization during fluidized bed combustion," *Powder Technology*, vol. 207, no. 1-3, pp. 290-295, 2011.
- [66] J. Howard, Fluidized Bed Technology: Principles and Applications, Adam Hilger Ltd., 1989.
- [67] S. Oka, Fluidized Bed Combustion, CRC Press, 2003.
- [68] J. Kuo, W. Hsu and T. Yo, "Effect of air distribution on solid fuel bed combustion," *Transactions - American Society of Chemical Engineers Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, vol. 119, pp. 120-128, 1997.
- [69] S. Chilton, The Combustion of Low Grade Fuels in Fluidised Bed Combustors, University of Leeds, 2017.
- [70] R. Darton, "Bubble growth due to coalescence in fluidised beds," *Transactions of the Institute of Chemical Engineers,* vol. 55, pp. 274-280, 1977.
- [71] M. Hupa, "Interaction of fuels in co-firing in FBC," Fuel, vol. 80, no. 10, pp. 1312-1319, 2005.

- [72] K. Davidsson, L. Åmand, B. Steenari, A. Elled, D. Eskilsson and B. Leckner, "Countermeasures against alkali-related problems during combustion of biomass in a circulating fluidized bed boiler," *Chemical Engineering Science*, vol. 63, no. 21, pp. 5314-5329, 2008.
- [73] M. Hupa, O. Karlström and E. Vainio, "Biomass combustion technology development It is all about chemical details," *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 113-134, 2017.
- [74] D. Salour, B. Jenkins, M. Vafaei and M. Kayhanian, "Control of in-bed agglomeration by fuel blending in a pilot scale straw and wood fueled AFBC," *Biomass and Bioenergy*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 117-133, 1993.
- [75] K. Davidsson, L. Åmand, A. Elled and B. Leckner, "Effect of Cofiring Coal and Biofuel with Sewage Sludge on Alkali Problems in a Circulating Fluidized Bed Boiler," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 3180-3188, 2007.
- [76] P. Thy, B. Jenkins, R. Williams, C. Lesher and R. Bakker, "Bed agglomeration in fluidized combustor fueled by wood and rice straw blends," *Fuel Processing Technology*, vol. 91, no. 11, pp. 1464-1485, 2010.
- [77] A. Elled, L. Åmand and B. Steenari, "Composition of agglomerates in fluidized bed reactors for thermochemical conversion of biomass and waste fuels," *Fuel*, vol. 111, pp. 696-708, 2013.
- [78] J. Silvennoinen and M. Hedman, "Co-firing of agricultural fuels in a full-scale fluidized bed boiler," *Fuel Processing Technology*, vol. 105, pp. 11-19, 2013.
- [79] M. Becidan, E. Houshfar, R. Khalil, Ø. Skreiberg, T. Løvås and L. Sørum, "Optimal Mixtures to Reduce the Formation of Corrosive Compounds during Straw Combustion: A Thermodynamic Analysis," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 3223-3234, 2011.

- [80] P. Suheri and V. Kuprianov, "Co-Firing of Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch and Kernel Shell in a Fluidized-Bed Combustor: Optimization of Operating Variables," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 79, pp. 956-962, 2015.
- [81] K. Sirisomboon and V. Kuprianov, "Effects of Fuel Staging on the NO Emission Reduction during Biomass–Biomass Co-combustion in a Fluidized-Bed Combustor," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 659-671, 2017.
- [82] A. Burton and H. Wu, "Influence of biomass particle size on bed agglomeration during biomass pyrolysis in fluidised bed," *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 2199-2205, 2017.
- [83] H. Li, Q. Chen, X. Zhang, K. Finney, V. Sharifi and J. Swithenbank, "Evaluation of a biomass drying process using waste heat from process industries: A case study," *Applied Thermal Engineering*, vol. 35, pp. 71-80, 2012.
- [84] P. Knutsson, G. Schwebel, B. Steenari and H. Leion, "Effect of bed materials mixing on the observed bed sintering," Beijing, China, 2014.
- [85] A. Corcoran, J. Marinkovic, F. Lind, H. Thunman, P. Knutssun and M. Seemann, "Ash Properties of Ilmenite Used as Bed Material for Combustion of Biomass in a Circulating Fluidized Bed Boiler," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 28, pp. 7672-7679, 2014.
- [86] L. Bierlein and B. Fredriksson-Moeller, "New Industrial Development in Fluidised Bed Combustion of Waste and Biomass," in 25th Euopean Biomass Conference and Exhibition, Stockholm, Sweden, 2017.
- [87] D. Geldart, "Types of Gas Fluidization," *Powder Technology*, vol. 7, pp. 285-292, 1973.

- [88] B. Steenari and O. Lindqvist, "High-temperature reactions of straw ash and the anti-sintering additives kaolin and dolomite," *Biomass and Bioenergy*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 67–76, 1998.
- [89] M. Öhman and A. Nordin, "The Role of Kaolin in Prevention of Bed Agglomeration during Fluidized Bed Combustion of Biomass Fuels," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 618-624, 2000.
- [90] D. Vamvuka, D. Zografos and G. Alevizos, "Control methods for mitigating biomass ashrelated problems in fluidized beds," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 99, no. 9, pp. 3534-3544, 2008.
- [91] C. Lin, J. Kuo, M. Wey, S. Chang and K. Wang, "Inhibition and promotion: The effect of earth alkali metals and operating temperature on particle agglomeration/defluidization during incineration in fluidized bed," *Powder Technology*, vol. 189, no. 1, pp. 57-63, 2009.
- [92] B. Coda, M. Aho, R. Berger and K. Kein, "Behavior of chlorine and enrichment of risky elements in bubbling fluidized bed combustion of biomass and waste assisted by additives," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 15, no. 3, p. 680–690, 2001.
- [93] J. Seville and R. Clift, "The Effect of Thin Liquid Layers on Fluidisation Characteristics," Powder Technology, vol. 37, pp. 117-129, 1984.
- [94] E. Brus, M. Öhman, A. Nordin, B. Skrifvars and R. Backman, "Bed Material Consumption in Biomass Fired Fluidised Bed Boilers Due to Risk of Bed Agglomeration - Coating Formation and Possibilities for Regeneration," *IFRF Combustion Journal*, 2003.
- [95] H. Visser, S. van Lith and J. Kiel, "Biomass Ash-Bed Material Interactions Leading to
 Agglomeration in FBC," *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, vol. 130, no. 1, p. 011801, 2008.

- [96] T. Rizvi, P. Xing, M. Pourkashanian, L. Darvell, J. Jones and W. Nimmo, "Prediction of biomass ash fusion behaviour by the use of detailed characterisation methods coupled with thermodynamic analysis," *Fuel*, vol. 141, pp. 275-284, 2015.
- [97] L. Fryda, K. Panopoulos and E. Kakaras, "Agglomeration in fluidised bed gasification of biomass," *Powder Technology*, vol. 181, no. 3, pp. 307-320, 2008.
- [98] B. Gatternig, Predicting Agglomeration in Biomass Fired Fluidized Beds, Nuremberg: Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, 2015.
- [99] T. Miles, L. Baxter, R. Bryers, B. Jenkins and L. Oden, "Boiler deposits from firing biomass fuels," *Biomass and Bioenergy*, vol. 10, no. 2-3, pp. 125-138, 1996.
- [100] D. Dayton, B. Jenkins, S. Turn, R. Bakker, R. Williams, D. Belle-Oudry and L. Hill, "Release of Inorganic Constituents from Leached Biomass during Thermal Conversion," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 860-870, 1999.
- [101] M. Fernández Llorente and J. Carrasco García, "Comparing methods for predicting the sintering of biomass ash in combustion," *Fuel*, vol. 84, no. 14-15, pp. 1893-1900, 2005.
- [102] J. Wang, Y. Cao, X. Jiang and Y. Yang, "Agglomeration Detection by Acoustic Emission (AE) Sensors in Fluidized Beds," *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 48, no. 7, pp. 3466-3473, 20009.
- [103] J. Werther, "Measurement techniques in fluidized beds," Powder Technology, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 15-36, 1999.
- [104] T. Khan and R. Turton, "The measurement of instantaneous heat transfer coefficients around the circumference of a tube immersed in a high temperature fluidized bed.," International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 35, no. 12, pp. 3397-3406, 1992.

- [105] P. Basu, "Heat transfer in high temperature fast fluidized beds," *Chemical Engineering Science*, vol. 45, no. 10, pp. 3123-3136, 1990.
- [106] I. Lau and B. Whalley, "A differential thermal probe for anticipation of defluidization of caking coals," *Fuel Processing Technology*, vol. 4, no. 2-3, pp. 101-115, 1981.
- [107] M. Ishida and H. Tanaka, "An optical probe to detect both bubbles and suspended particles in a three-phase fluidized bed," *Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 389-391, 1982.
- [108] J.-M. Schweitzer, J. Bayle and T. Gauthier, "Local gas hold-up measurements in fluidized bed and slurry bubble column," *Chemical Engineering Science*, vol. 56, pp. 1103-1110, 2001.
- [109] J. Link, C. Zeilstra, N. Deen and H. Kuipers, "Validation of a Discrete Particle Model in a 2D Spout-Fluid Bed Using Non-Intrusive Optical Measuring Techniques," *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 30-36, 2004.
- [110] S. Razzak, S. Barghi, J.-X. Zhu and Y. Mi, "Phase holdup measurement in a gas-liquid-solid circulating fluidized bed (GLSCFB) riser using electrical resistance tomography and optical fibre probe," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 147, no. 2-3, pp. 210-218, 2009.
- [111] Y. Jiang, C. Ren, Z. Huang, J. Wang, B. Jiang, J. Yang and Y. Yang, "Acoustic Emission Detection of Particle Movement in a Cross-Flow Moving Bed," *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 53, no. 10, pp. 4075-4083, 2014.
- [112] T. Sheahan and L. Briens, "Passive acoustic emission monitoring of pellet coat thickness in a fluidized bed," *Powder Technology*, vol. 286, pp. 172-180, 2015.

- [113] L. Weiguo, W. Xiaodong, W. Fenwei and W. Haiyan, "Feature extraction and early warning of agglomeration in fluidized bed reactors based on an acoustic approach," *Powder Techology*, vol. 279, pp. 185-195, 2017.
- [114] J. Shabanian, P. Sauriol and J. Chaouki, "A simple and robust approach for early detection of defluidization," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 313, pp. 144-156, 2017.
- [115] W. Hosford, Materials Science: An Intermediate Text, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [116] M. Fernández Llorente, R. Escalada Cuadrado, J. Murillo Laplaza and J. Carrasco García, "Combustion in bubbling fluidised bed with bed material of limestone to reduce the biomass ash agglomeration and sintering," *Fuel*, vol. 85, no. 14-15, pp. 2081-2092, 2006.
- [117] S. De Geyter, M. Öhman, D. Boström, M. Eriksson and A. Nordin, "Effects of Non-Quartz Minerals in Natural Bed Sand on Agglomeration Characteristics during Fluidized Bed Combustion of Biomass Fuels," *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 2663-2668, 2007.
- [118] R. Liu, B. Jin, Z. Zhong and J. Zhao, "Reduction of Bed Agglomeration in CFB Combustion Biomass with Aluminium-Contain Bed Material," *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, vol. 85, no. 5, pp. 441-445, 2007.
- [119] D. Vamvuka and D. Zografos, "Predicting the behaviour of ash from agricultural wastes during combustion," *Fuel*, vol. 83, no. 14-15, pp. 2051-2057, 2004.

1137

1139 **7. Figures**



1141 Figure 1: Image of several agglomerate samples collected from 50kW_{th} Fluidized Bed combusting

¹¹⁴² wheat straw pellets.



Figure 2: Simplified diagram of a BFB boiler highlighting areas where biomass ash contents - alkali and alkali earth metal, silica and chlorine - cause issues. Adapted from the diagram of Hupa, et al.

1146 **[73].**



1	1	л	о
т	т	4	о

К

Са

7

29

1149 Figure 3: Diagram showing the compositional differences between two- and three-layer coating

10

4-5

К

Ca

7

28

41



_



Figure 4: Coating layer growth over time for lab-scale BFB and full-scale BFB and CFB units. Based
on the data of He, et al. [45].





Figure 5: Example SEM images of coating layers (lighter grey) resulting from the combustion of
wood on a sand bed in a 30MW_{th} Bubbling FBC unit. Images 'a' through 'e' are of particles 1, 3, 5,
13, and 23 days after initial bed start-up. Differences in layer homogeneity moving outward can be
clearly seen in images 'c' through 'e'. Images reproduced with permission from the work of He, et
al. [45].



1160

Figure 6: Generalised diagram showing the progression of sintering. Within an atmospheric
 fluidised bed, particles may be fused together under high temperatures. Diagram adapted from
 Hosford [115, p. 144].



1165 Figure 7: Coating-induced agglomeration mechanism in a system with an SiO₂-based bed material,

1166 whereby agglomeration proceeds due to potassium presence within the fuel ash. Described within

1167 the text of section 2.4.



1169 Figure 8: Melt-induced agglomeration mechanism, as described within the text of section 2.4.





1172 defluidization time, t_{def}. Based on the work of Lin & Wey [53].





1175 Reproduced from the work of Salour, et al. [74].



1177 Figure 11: Graphs showing the effect of changing average bed particle size on defluidization time,

1178 t_{def}. Based on the works of Lin, et al. [50], Lin & Wey [53], Chaivatamaset, et al. [64], and Yu, et al.

1179 **[61].**

1181 **8. Tables**

- 1182 Table 1: Table summarising fuel and relative presence of coating on the particles examined with
- 1183 SEM/EDS [42]. Note that this percentage presence of coating was found to be identical for both
- 1184 combustion and gasification environments.

Fuel	Amount of particles examined where coating was present
Bark	"Majority"
Reed canary grass	10%
Lucerne	10%
Olive flesh	"Majority"
Cane trash	10-30%
Bagasse	<10%

1185

- 1186 Table 2: Coating-induced agglomeration layer growth mechanisms proposed by He, et al. [48].
- 1187 Table reproduced from He, et al. [48].

Phase	Controlled Process	Main Crystalline Phases	Layer Growth Rate
1 (<1 day)	Reaction	Only K-rich silicate melt	Fast in the presence of enough available calcium
2 (from 1 day to ~2 weeks)	Diffusion	CaSiO ₃ , Ca ₂ SiO ₄	Medium
3 (from ~>2 weeks)	Diffusion	Ca_2SiO_4 , Ca_3SiO_5	Quite low

1188

1190 Table 3: Table summarising the effect of varying bed material on agglomeration. Results taken from the literature as noted.

Reference	Bed Material	Composition	Fuel(s)	Effect on Agglomeration
Olofsson, et al. [28]	Bone ash	44.2wt% CaO, 28.8wt% P ₂ O ₃	Straw	Reduced agglomeration tendency compared to quartz sand
Olofsson, et al. [28]	Mullite	75.2wt% Al ₂ O ₃ , 24.5wt% SiO ₂	Straw	Reduced agglomeration tendency compared to quartz sand and better than Bone ash or Magnesite. Noted as being due to high melting point of alumina silicates.
Olofsson, et al. [28]	Magnesite	84.4wt% MgO, 7.55wt% CaO, 3.93wt% SiO ₂	Straw	Reduced agglomeration tendency compared to quartz sand
Nuutinen, et al. [39]	GR Granule (commercial/proprietary)	Proprietary (Mg- based, SiO ₂ -free)	Various: woody, wastes, industrial residues	Prevented agglomeration in cases where quartz sand otherwise did
Fernández Llorente, et al. [116]	Limestone	CaCO ₃	Brassica, Thistle, Almond shells	Prevented agglomeration in cases where quartz sand otherwise did
De Geyter, et al. [117]	Potassium feldspar	66.2wt% SiO ₂ , 19.3wt% Al ₂ O ₃ , 8.2wt% K ₂ O	Bark, olive residue, wheat straw	Increased agglomeration risk with bark and olive residues, no effect on wheat straw (agglomeration still occurred). Note that K-feldspar may be a constituent of natural sand.
De Geyter, et al. [117]	Plagioclase	54wt% SiO ₂ , 27wt% Al ₂ O ₃ , 11wt% CaO	Bark, olive residue, wheat straw	Increased T _{aggl} for olive residue, no effect on bark, no effect on wheat straw (agglomeration still occurred). Note that Plagioclase may be a constituent of natural sand.
De Geyter, et al. [117]	Olivine	49.5wt% MgO 45.0wt% SiO ₂	Bark, olive residue, wheat straw	Increased t_{def} for olive residue, no effect with bark, no effect on wheat straw (agglomeration still occurred)
Reference	Bed Material	Composition	Fuel(s)	Effect on Agglomeration
---------------------------	---	---	--	---
Liu, et al. [118]	Aluminous bed material	75.93wt% Al ₂ O ₃ , 19.92wt% SiO ₂	Cotton stalk	Agglomeration issues after 38h of operation, as opposed to 8h for silica sand, when using 200kW _{th} CFB.
Davidsson, et al. [75]	Olivine	(Mg, Fe) ₂ SiO ₄	80% woody + 20% straw blend (energy basis)	Higher T _{aggl} compared to sand. No reaction between melt- layers and Olivine. Agglomerates formed over time due to presence of straw & melt-induced agglomeration.
Davidsson, et al. [75]	Blast furnace slag	Ca/Mg/Al silicates	80% woody + 20% straw blend (energy basis)	Higher T _{aggl} compared to sand and Olivine. No reaction between melt-layers and blast furnace slag. Agglomerates formed over time due to presence of straw leading to melt- induced agglomeration.
Yu, et al. [61]	Aluminous bed material	Al ₂ O ₃	Rice straw	Increase in $t_{\mbox{\scriptsize def}}$ though melt-induced agglomeration still occurred due to fuel.
Corcoran, et al. [85]	Quartz sand + Ilmenite (up to 40wt%)	FeTiO₃	Wood chips	Reduction in agglomeration tendency – potassium diffused into the centre of the bed particle thus was less available to form alkali-silicate melts.
Grimm, et al. [44]	Olivine	49.0wt% MgO, 41.0wt% SiO ₂ , 8.4wt% Fe ₂ O ₃	Willow, wood residues, wheat straw, wheat distiller's dried grain with solubles (DDGS)	Fewer agglomerates with willow & wood residues compared to quartz sand bed, plus different coating layer composition (Mg/Si/Ca vs. Si/K/Ca). No reduction in agglomeration tendency with wheat straw or DDGS.

1192 Table 4: Summary of the effects Bed Particle Diameter variations from the work of Scala & Chirone

1193 [60], using a quartz sand bed.

Fuel	Temperature (°C)	Fluidizing Gas Velocity (m/s)	Excess Air (%)	d _P (μm)	t _{def} (mins)
Virgin Olive Husk	850	0.61	77	212-400	197
Virgin Olive Husk	850	0.61	76	600-850	348
Pine Seed Shells	850	0.55	35	212-400	320
Pine Seed Shells	850	0.50	75	212-400	388
Pine Seed Shells	850	0.54	58	600-850	702

1194

1196 Table 5: Table summarising the effect of various operational variables on reducing agglomeration severity.

Effect on REDUCING Agglomeration Severity					
Conflicting or Unknown	No Effect	Minor	Major		
Increase/Decrease Bed Height	Increase/Decrease Pressure	Decrease mean d _p	Decrease temperature		
		Different Particle Size Distribution (Gaussian, Narrow)	Increase U/U _{mf} ratio		
		Decrease fuel particle size	Decrease fuel feed rate		
			Decrease Alkali Metal/Alkali Metal + Si content of fuel		
			Use of Al/Mg/Ca-based additives		
			Decrease bed material SiO ₂ content (use of Al/Mg/Ca-based bed material)		

1197

1199 Table 6: Summary of common agglomeration indices and relationships. Adapted and expanded upon from the work of Gatternig [98, p. 56]

Index	Definition	Limit(s) for safe operation	Reference
Alkali Index	$AI = (K_2O + Na_2O)kg/GJ$	0.17 < AI < 0.34 Agglomeration possible	[90, 99,
		AI > 0.34 Agglomeration near certain	100]
Bed Agglomeration Index	$BAI = \frac{Fe_2O_3}{K_2O + Na_2O}$	Agglomeration when BAI < 0.15	[90, 119]
Base-to-acid ratio	$R_{b/a} = \frac{\%(Fe_2O_3 + CaO + MgO + K_2O + Na_2O)}{\%(SiO_2 + TiO_2 + Al_2O_3)}$	Lower R _{b/a} implies lower ash melt temperatures, see [74]	[10, 74]
Agglomeration Index	$I1 = \frac{Na + K}{2S + Cl}$	High agglomeration potential when I1 > 1	[40]
Agglomeration Index	Na + K + Si	12 > 1	[40]
12	$IZ = \frac{1}{Ca + P + Mg}$	Noted as being arbitrary/requiring further confirmation	
Alkaline earth oxides to alkaline oxides	$I = \frac{(CaO + MgO)}{(K_2O + Na_2O)}$	No effective correlation found	[101]