

This is a repository copy of Efficacy of ceftazidime-avibactam in a rat intra-abdominal abscess model against a ceftazidime- and meropenem-resistant isolate of Klebsiella pneumoniae carrying blaKPC-2.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/131151/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Sleger, T., Gangl, E., Pop-Damkov, P. et al. (4 more authors) (2017) Efficacy of ceftazidime-avibactam in a rat intra-abdominal abscess model against a ceftazidime- and meropenem-resistant isolate of Klebsiella pneumoniae carrying blaKPC-2. Journal of Chemotherapy, 30 (2). ISSN 1120-009X

https://doi.org/10.1080/1120009X.2017.1405609

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Title: Efficacy of Ceftazidime-Avibactam in a Rat Intra-Abdominal Abscess Model against a Ceftazidime- and Meropenem-Resistant Isolate of Klebsiella pneumoniae Carrying blakPC-2. Running Title: Ceftazidime-avibactam vs KPC K. pneumoniae in intra-abdominal abscesses Authors: Undisclosed for review Key words: ceftazidime-avibactam; abscess infection; KPC; Klebsiella pneumoniae; rat pharmacokinetics

24 Abstract (79 words; guideline 150) 25 26 Efficacies of ceftazidime-avibactam (4:1 w/w) and ceftazidime were tested against ceftazidime-27 susceptible (bla_{KPC-2}-negative), and meropenem- and ceftazidime-resistant (bla_{KPC-2}-positive), 28 Klebsiella pneumoniae in a 52-hour, multiple-dose, abdominal abscess model in the rat. 29 Efficacies corresponded to minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) measured in vitro and 30 were consistent with drug exposures modelled from pharmacokinetics in infected animals. The 31 ceftazidime, ceftazidime-avibactam, and meropenem control treatments were effective in the rat 32 abscess model against the susceptible strain, whereas only ceftazidime-avibactam was effective 33 against K. pneumoniae harboring bla_{KPC-2}. 34 35 Text (2441 words not including Abstract, References, Acknowledgement, Geographic location, Declaration of Interest, or Tables and Figures: guideline maximum 9000) 36 37 38 Introduction 39 40 Avibactam is a new inhibitor of serine β -lactamases that is approved in the USA (1) and Europe 41 (2) for the rapeutic use in combination with ceftazidime. Avibactam displays a broader spectrum 42 of inhibition than the previously approved β -lactamase inhibitors, clavulanic acid, sulbactam, 43 and tazobactam: a key property being its inhibition of Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase 44 (KPC) variant β-lactamases (3–7). This inhibition translated to efficacy against KPC-producing 45 K. pneumoniae in acute lethal septicemia and neutropenic mouse thigh and intraperitoneal 46 infection models (8, 9). One of the target indications for ceftazidime-avibactam is complicated

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

intra-abdominal infection (1, 2, 10), which can include intraperitoneal abscesses (11). Therefore, we have examined the efficacy of ceftazidime-avibactam against K. pneumoniae, with or without bla_{KPC-2}, in fecal pellets implanted in the rat abdomen as a model of carbapenem-resistant intraabdominal abscess infection. Some of the results of this study have been presented in conference form (Sleger T, Krause KM, Slee AM, Nichols WW. Efficacy of ceftazidime-avibactam in the rat intra-abdominal abscess model against a meropenem-resistant isolate of Klebsiella pneumoniae carrying bla_{KPC-2}. [#B-070], Interscience Conference of Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy San Diego, USA. September 17–21, 2015.). Methods Two bacterial strains were used in the efficacy studies: ceftazidime- and meropenem-susceptible K. pneumoniae KB KPC-6 (bla_{KPC-2}-negative) and ceftazidime- and meropenem-resistant K. pneumoniae 283KB7 (bla_{KPC-2}-positive), both from the culture collection of Cerexa Inc (Oakland, USA). Carriage or non-carriage of blakpc-2 was determined by use of Check-Points microarray kits (Check-Points Health BV, Wageningen, The Netherlands) as described previously (12). Carriage of extended spectrum β-lactamase genes was not noted in either strain. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by broth microdilution with the concentration of avibactam fixed at 4 mg/L while the concentration of ceftazidime was varied in two-fold increments (13, 14).

91

92

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

Bacterial count data were summarized graphically using box-and-whisker plots, displaying the median and inter-quartile range of the counts for each dose group. Whiskers contained all data

114

115

93 points that fell within 1.5 times the interquartile range above and below the upper and lower 94 quartile, respectively, with any outliers falling outside that range shown as individual points. No 95 subculturing to test for the possible development of resistance was performed. 96 97 Pharmacokinetics (PK) of ceftazidime and avibactam were measured via single sc doses of 98 ceftazidime-avibactam of, respectively, 8 + 2 or 64 + 16 mg/kg (based on weight of parent drug) 99 in groups of four satellite animals subjected to agar plug infections as above with K. pneumoniae 27-908M (bla_{TEM-1}, bla_{SHV-27}, bla_{KPC-2}) using a validated liquid chromatography/mass 100 101 spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC MS/MS) method (15). Preparation of satellite infected rats 102 and dosing and sampling were performed at NeoSome Life Sciences, Lexington, MA, USA, to 103 OLAW standards under the company's IACUC policies and guidelines. For implanting 104 inoculated agar plugs, rats were anesthetized to surgical depth by isoflurane inhalation confirmed 105 by toe-pinch. A single dose of each combination was given at 12 h following surgery and 106 implantation. Blood samples (100 µL) were taken from the saphenous vein directly into 107 K₂EDTA collection tubes at times 0.08, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, and 6 h after dosing. A 108 pre-dose sample was also taken. Plasma was separated by centrifugation, decanted and stored at −80°C until assay. 109 110 111 Drug concentrations were determined by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry/mass 112

Drug concentrations were determined by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), detailed methods for which have been described in a separate validation study (15). Briefly, 50 μL samples of rat plasma plasma were dispensed into 96-well plates followed by 250 μL of protein precipitation solution (100 mM ammonium formate, pH 9.0, and acetonitrile; 5:95 by volume) containing internal standards (NXL-105 for avibactam and

although the inter-dose periods were regular (8 h), there was a 4-h period before dosing started,

and a post-final-dose period of 16 h before efficacy was assessed. Therefore, exposures could not be expressed as percent values of an inter-dose period.

140

138

139

Results and Discussion

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

141

Table 1 displays MICs and the corresponding median log(CFU/g abscess) recovered after treatment with the highest repeat doses of ceftazidime (64 mg/kg) or ceftazidime-avibactam (64:16 mg/kg), or the 40 mg/kg repeat dose of meropenem. The efficacies were consistent with expectations based on MICs. Thus, all three treatments were efficacious against the model abscesses containing the bla_{KPC-2}-negative strain of K. pneumoniae, which was susceptible to ceftazidime, ceftazidime-avibactam and meropenem with MICs 4, 0.12, and 0.06 mg/L respectively (median bacterial recovery from 3.3–3.9 logCFU/g abscess: reduced compared with the vehicle control level of 8.8 logCFU/g). However, only the ceftazidime-avibactam treatment was similarly efficacious against the bla_{KPC-2}-containing K. pneumoniae, against which the MIC of ceftazidime-avibactam was 2 mg/L and the median bacterial recovery was near the lower limit of detection at 3.3 logCFU/g. The ceftazidime MIC of >128 mg/L and the meropenem MIC of 32 mg/L against this strain were associated with lack of efficacy against the model abscesses (median bacterial recovery of 9.3 logCFU/g for both treatments). It should be noted that the doses of ceftazidime were identical between ceftazidime monotherapy and ceftazidimeavibactam, with avibactam being dosed at one-quarter that of ceftazidime by weight, as used in other efficacy studies (8, 17) and in the clinical formulation (1, 2). The meropenem treatment served as a control to demonstrate that the possession of bla_{KPC-2} was associated not only with an elevated MIC of the carbapenem in vitro but that the carbapenem resistance was also expressed in the animal infection model.

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

160

161

Figure 1 provides graphical plots of the bacterial recovery data for all treatments. Results for intra-abdominal abscesses containing the bla_{KPC-2}-negative K. pneumoniae are shown in Figure 1A. As expected, abscesses recovered from rats dosed with vehicle yielded about 10⁹ CFU/g abscess (median 8.8 logCFU/g, Table 1). All four ceftazidime-avibactam treatments were fully efficacious, as was the single regimen of meropenem in control animals, with bacterial recoveries near the lower limit of detection (~10³ CFU/g abscess). The higher doses of ceftazidime of 64 and 32 mg/kg/dose were also efficacious, but efficacy was reduced for the ceftazidime-alone doses of 16 and 8 mg/kg. Growth of the bla_{KPC-2}-positive strain of K. pneumoniae in abscesses in control rats dosed with vehicle also reached about 10⁹ CFU/g abscess (median 9.5 logCFU/g, Table 1) over the period of the study (Figure 1B). All the ceftazidime (and the meropenem control) treatments were ineffective against this strain in the model, with bacterial growth being similar to that seen in the abscesses from control animals treated with vehicle (Figure 1B). The effect of meropenem could thus be related qualitatively to the MICs measured in vitro and the result demonstrated that the molecular mechanism of meropenem resistance was expressed in this in vivo infection model. A dose-response relationship was observed for the different ceftazidime-avibactam treatments against the bla_{KPC-2}-positive strain, 283KB7, yielding between 1-log and 6-log reduction in CFU/g abscess compared with abscesses from rats treated with vehicle or ceftazidime monotherapy (Figure 1B).

Based on the above results, the efficacy of ceftazidime-avibactam against K. pneumoniae harboring bla_{KPC-2} demonstrated that distally-dosed avibactam penetrated into the abdominal abscesses and inhibited the β -lactamase there sufficiently for ceftazidime to be bactericidal (12) at that site. This is consistent with the efficacy of ceftazidime-avibactam in complicated intra-abdominal infections (cIAI) that has been reported from phase 2 and phase 3 clinical trials, although noting that organisms harboring bla_{KPC} were not reported from the great majority of patients in those trials (10, 18–20).

As stated in the Methods, the range of ceftazidime and ceftazidime-avibactam doses was chosen to elicit efficacy responses that would demonstrate the effect of avibactam in reversing blakec2-associated ceftazidime-resistance in an in vivo abscess model. The ceftazidime-susceptible isolate was included as control to confirm that in vitro susceptibility to ceftazidime was associated with ceftazidime efficacy in the model. This study was not designed to elucidate the pharmacodynamics of the ceftazidime-avibactam combination. However such pharmacodynamic studies have been performed; and the results were consistent with the antibacterial effect of ceftazidime-avibactam being related to the times that ceftazidime and avibactam exceeded critical concentrations (21, 22). From this time-dependency, one would predict that more frequent dosing would have resulted in greater efficacy of the lower doses of ceftazidime-avibactam against the K. pneumoniae strain harbouring KPC-2 in the current abdominal abscess model. Although this frequency-of-dosing prediction was not tested, the ceftazidime and avibactam exposures, measured as times above their respective critical concentrations, were consistent with the observed efficacies, as follows.

The efficacies of ceftazidime and ceftazidime-avibactam described here—were compared with predicted drug exposures calculated from PK models derived from plasma concentration—time courses determined in satellite infected rats. The derived parameters used for the model-based calculations are provided in Table 2. The index of exposure related to the efficacy of ceftazidime is %fT>MIC (2123) which is the percent of time that the ceftazidime concentration in plasma exceeds the MIC measured against the infecting bacterium in vitro. The index that has been used to relate avibactam exposure to restoration of the antibacterial activity of ceftazidime has been time above a threshold concentration: %fT>C_T (21, 22, -24). Threshold concentrations of 0.5 and 1 mg/L have been identified as useful measures for relating avibactam exposures to restoration of ceftazidime activity and were therefore also modeled here. Table 3 shows the modeled free plasma exposures as percentages of the time of duration of the infection.

With respect to the bla_{KPC-2}-negative K. pneumoniae KB KPC-6, ceftazidime alone was fully efficacious at 64 and 32 mg/kg (Table 1, Figure 1), which corresponded with calculated fT>MIC 4 mg/L of 42.8% and 35.3% (Table 3). The two lower doses of ceftazidime alone yielded intermediate efficacies (Figure 1) and corresponded to lower calculated fT>MIC 4 mg/L of 27.9% and 20.2%. The in vitro MIC of ceftazidime with avibactam against this bla_{KPC-2}-negative strain was lower, being 0.12 mg/L. As a result, when ceftazidime was combined with avibactam (4:1 w/w), the lower doses of 16 and 8 mg/kg were also fully efficacious in that bacterial counts in the abscesses were reduced to near the limit of detection (Figure 1). These lower ceftazidime doses corresponded to values of fT>MIC 0.12 mg/L calculated from the PK model of 65.2% and 58.0% (Table 3). Clearly, the increased potency of ceftazidime in the presence of avibactam against this strain (measured as a decrease in the in vitro MIC on the addition of avibactam)

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

harboring bla_{KPC-2}.

translated to an increased efficacy of the 16 and 8 mg/kg doses in vivo (Figure 1). The corresponding modeled exposures of avibactam were 11.5% and 8.3% fT>0.5 mg/L (5.4% and 0% fT>1 mg/L) (Table 3). In the case of the bla_{KPC-2}-positive K. pneumoniae, lack of efficacy at all ceftazidime doses (Figure 1) corresponded to calculated 0% fT>MIC of >128 mg/L (Table 3). In contrast, with coadministered avibactam at 16 mg/kg/dose, which yielded a calculated fT>0.5 mg/L of 24.0% (18.8% fT>1 mg/L), the 64 mg/kg dose of ceftazidime, calculated to yield 50.2% fT>MIC 2 mg/L (i.e. the MIC of ceftazidime-avibactam), corresponded with maximum efficacy (Table 3, Figure 1). Intermediate efficacies against the blakec-2-positive strain corresponded to calculated ceftazidime exposures of 42.8, 35.3, and 27.9% fT>MIC of 2 mg/L combined with respective calculated avibactam exposures of 15.4, 11.5, and 8.3% fT> C_T 0.5 mg/L (10.1, 5.4, and 0% $fT>C_T 1 mg/L$) (Table 3). The above modelled drug exposures are consistent with efficacy in this abdominal abscess model being achieved at an avibactam exposure somewhat lower than the 50% fT>C_T of 1 mg/L value that has been used as pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) target in dose assessments (2324). That is, the avibactam PK/PD target used in dose assessments appears to have been conservative relative to the calculated exposure that corresponded to bactericidal efficacy in this rat abscess model against a ceftazidime- and meropenem-resistant isolate of K. pneumoniae

Geolocation The efficacy experiments were performed in Cambridge, MA, USA. Dosing and sampling for measurements of plasma concentrations of ceftazidime and avibactam in satellite infected rats were performed in Lexington, MA, USA. Bioanalysis of blood samples, and PK data analysis and modelling were performed in Waltham, MA, USA. Statistical analyses were performed in Sheffield, UK. **Acknowledgement and Declaration of interest** This study was sponsored by AstraZeneca. The AstraZeneca product ceftazidime-avibactam was acquired by Pfizer in December 2016 and is being developed by Pfizer and Allergan Inc. (formerly Actavis). Other acknowledgements refer to individual authors and will be added if accepted.

6. Papp-Wallace KM, Bajaksouzian S, Abdelhamed AM, Foster AN, Winkler ML, Gatta JA, et

al. Activities of ceftazidime, ceftaroline and aztreonam alone and combined with avibactam

293

294

295

Agents Chemother. 2015;59:1789–1793.

- 297 Microbiol Infect Dis. 2015;82:65–69.
- 7. Giani T, Cannatelli A, Di Pilato V, Testa R, Nichols WW, Rossolini GM. Inhibitory activity
- of avibactam against selected β-lactamases expressed in an isogenic Escherichia coli strain.
- 300 Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2016;86:83–85.
- 301 8. Endimiani A, Hujer KM, Hujer AM, Pulse ME, Weiss WJ, Bonomo RA. Evaluation of
- 302 ceftazidime and NXL104 in two murine models of infection due to KPC-producing
- 303 Klebsiella pneumoniae. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2011;55:82–85.
- 9. MacVane SH, Crandon JL, Nichols WW, Nicolau DP. In vivo efficacy of humanized
- exposures of ceftazidime-avibactam in comparison with ceftazidime against contemporary
- Enterobacteriaceae isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58:6913–6919.
- 307 10. Mazuski JE, Gasink L, Armstrong J, Broadhurst H, Stone G, Rank D, et al. Efficacy and
- safety of ceftazidime-avibactam plus metronidazole versus meropenem in the treatment of
- 309 complicated intra-abdominal infection: results from a randomized, controlled, double-blind,
- 310 phase 3 program. Clin Infect Dis. 2016;62:1380–1389.
- 311 11. Solomkin JS, Mazuski JE, Bradley JS, Rodvold KA, Goldstein EJ, Baron EJ, et al.
- Diagnosis and management of complicated intra-abdominal infection in adults and children:
- guidelines by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America.
- 314 Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50:133–164.
- 315 12. Keepers TR, Gomez M, Celeri C, Nichols WW, Krause KM. Bactericidal activity, absence
- of serum effect, and time-kill kinetics of ceftazidime-avibactam against β-lactamase-
- producing Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents
- 318 Chemother. 2014;58:5297–5305.

- 319 13. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. M07-A9. Methods for dilution antimicrobial
- susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; Approved standard, 9th ed, 2012.
- 321 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 322 14. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. M100-S23. Performance standards for
- antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 23rd informational supplement; 2013. Clinical and
- Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 325 15. Beaudoin M-E, Gangl E. Bioanalytical method validation for the simultaneous
- determination of ceftazidime and avibactam in rat plasma. Bioanalysis. 2016;8:111–122.
- 327 16. Roosendaal R, Bakker-Woudenberg IA, van den Berghe-van Raffe M, Vink-van den Berg
- 328 JC, Michel MF. Comparative activities of ciprofloxacin and ceftazidime against Klebsiella
- pneumoniae in vitro and in experimental pneumonia in leukopenic rats. Antimicrob Agents
- 330 Chemother. 1987;31:1809–1815.
- 331 17. Levasseur P, Girard AM, Lavallade L, Miossec C, Pace J, Coleman K. Efficacy of a
- ceftazidime-avibactam combination in a murine model of septicemia caused by
- 333 Enterobacteriaceae species producing AmpC or extended-spectrum β-lactamases.
- 334 Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58:6490–6495.
- 18. Lucasti C, Popescu I, Ramesh MK, Lipka J, Sable C. Comparative study of the efficacy and
- safety of ceftazidime/avibactam plus metronidazole versus meropenem in the treatment of
- 337 complicated intra-abdominal infections in hospitalized adults: results of a randomized,
- double-blind, Phase II trial. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2013;68: 1183-1192.
- 339 19. Mendes RE, Castanheira M, Gasink L, Stone GG, Nichols WW, Flamm RK, et al. β-
- Lactamase characterization of Gram-negative pathogens recovered from patients enrolled in

- the phase 2 trials for ceftazidime-avibactam: clinical efficacies analyzed against subsets of
- molecularly characterized isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016;60:1328–1335.
- 343 20. Mendes RE, Castanheira M, Woosley LN, Stone GG, Bradford PA, Flamm RK. Molecular
- β-lactamase characterization of aerobic Gram-negative pathogens recovered from patients
- enrolled in the ceftazidime-avibactam phase 3 trials for complicated intra-abdominal
- infections: efficacies analyzed against susceptible and resistant subsets. Antimicrob Agents
- Chemother. 2017;61: Accepted manuscript posted online 27 March 2017, doi:
- 348 10.1128/AAC.02447-16.
- 349 21. Berkhout J, Melchers MJ, van Mil AC, Seyedmousavi S, Lagarde CM, Schuck VJ, et al.
- Pharmacodynamics of ceftazidime and avibactam in neutropenic mice with thigh- or lung-
- infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2016;60:368–375.
- 22. Coleman K, Levasseur P, Girard AM, Borgonovi M, Miossec C, Merdjan H, et al. Activities
- of ceftazidime and avibactam against β -lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in a hollow-
- fiber pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58:3366-3372.
- 355 23. Muller AE, Punt N, Mouton JW. Optimal exposures of ceftazidime predict the probability of
- 356 microbiological and clinical outcome in the treatment of nosocomial pneumonia. J
- 357 Antimicrob Chemother. 2013;68:900–906.
- 358 24. Cerexa. NDA 206494: Ceftazidime-Avibactam. Briefing package for Anti-Infective Drugs
- 359 Advisory Committee Meeting December 5 2014. Available from:
- 360 http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/A
- nti-InfectiveDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/UCM425458.pdf; accessed March 10 2016.

Efficacy of ceftazidime-avibactam in a rat intra-abdominal abscess model against a ceftazidime- and meropenem-resistant isolate of Klebsiella pneumoniae carrying bla_{KPC-2}

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Comparative efficacies of discriminatory doses of ceftazidime, ceftazidime-avibactam, and meropenem against K. pneumoniae KB KPC-6, not carrying, or 283KB7, carrying, bla_{KPC-2} (ceftazidime- and meropenem-susceptible or -resistant, respectively)

Treatment	Dose	K. pneumonia	ne	K. pneumoniae		
	(mg/kg/dose)	(blakpc-2-negative)		(blakpc-2-positive)		
		MIC (mg/L)	Median	MIC (mg/L)	Median	
			log(CFU/g) ^a		log(CFU/g) ^a	
Vehicle	-	-	8.8	-	9.5	
CAZ ^b	64	4	3.3	>128	9.3	
CAZ-AVI b	64:16	0.12 ^c	3.4	2 ^c	3.3	
MER ^b	40	0.06	3.9	32	9.3	

^aLimit of detection 3.0 (i.e. 1 x 10³ CFU/g abscess)

^bCAZ=ceftazidime; AVI=avibactam; MER=meropenem

^cAvibactam fixed at 4 mg/L for the MIC measurements

Table 2. Estimated unbound compartmental PK parameters of ceftazidime and avibactam in infected rats

Ceftazidime ^a	Avibactam b	
1	2	
0.90	1.56	
0.33	2.16	
0.079	0.966	
-	3.74	
-	0.40	
	1 0.90 0.33	

^a Observed unbound non-compartmental parameters: Vz/F (L/kg) = 0.362, Cl/F (L/h/kg) = 0.336 and $t_{1/2}$ (h) = 0.74

 $[^]b$ Observed unbound non-compartmental parameters: Vz/F (L/kg) = 2.33, Cl/F (L/h/kg) = 2.16 and $t_{\rm ½}$ (h) = 0.73

Table 3. Ceftazidime and avibactam exposures calculated from pharmacokinetics in infected rats, expressed as fT>MIC or fT> C_T (threshold concentration) of 0.5 and 1 mg/L

Modeled	AVI ^a fT>C _T of 0.5 mg/L	AVI fT>CT	/I fT>C _T K. pneumoniae 1 mg/L (bla _{KPC-2} -negative)		K. pneumoniae (bla _{KPC-2} -positive)	
dose (mg/kg)		of 1 mg/L				
			MIC (mg/L)	CAZa	MIC (mg/L)	CAZ
				fT>MIC b		fT>MIC b
CAZ (64)	0.0% ^c	0.0% ^c	4	42.8% ^c	>128 ^d	0.0% ^c
CAZ (32)	0.0%	0.0%	4	35.3%	>128	0.0%
CAZ (16)	0.0%	0.0%	4	27.9%	>128	0.0%
CAZ (8)	0.0%	0.0%	4	20.2%	>128	0.0%
CAZ-AVI	24.0%	18.8%	0.12	80.2%	2	50.2%
(64:16)						
CAZ-AVI	15.4%	10.1%	0.12	72.7%	2	42.8%
(32:8)						
CAZ-AVI	11.5%	5.4%	0.12	65.2%	2	35.3%
(16:4)						
CAZ-AVI	8.3%	0.0%	0.12	58.0%	2	27.9%

(8:2)

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

^a AVI=avibactam; CAZ=ceftazidime

 b In the case of monotherapy, the ceftazidime fT>MIC was estimated as the time that the free plasma concentration of ceftazidime was \geq the MIC of ceftazidime. When the therapy was ceftazidime-avibactam, the ceftazidime fT>MIC was estimated as the time that the free plasma concentration of ceftazidime was \geq the MIC of ceftazidime-avibactam.

^c Times are expressed as percent of the 52-hour period from the start of the infection to harvesting the abscesses

^d An MIC value of 256 mg/L was used for calculating ceftazidime fT>MIC of >128 mg/L

- 404 **FIG 1**. Comparative efficacies of ceftazidime, ceftazidime-avibactam, and meropenem against (A)
- 405 ceftazidime- and meropenem-susceptible, bla_{KPC-2}-negative, K. pneumoniae KB KPC-6, and (B)
- 406 ceftazidime- and meropenem-resistant, bla_{KPC-2}-positive, K. pneumoniae 283KB7.
- 407 AVI = avibactam; CAZ = ceftazidime. Magnitudes per dose are shown: see the text for the times of
- 408 dosing.

