

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Risk and Protective Factors for the Occurrence of Sporadic Pancreatic Endocrine Neoplasms

Citation for published version:

Valente, R, Hayes, AJ, Haugvik, S-P, Hedenström, P, Siuka, D, Korsæth, E, Kaemmerer, D, Robinson, SM, Maisonneuve, P, Delle Fave, G, Lindkvist, B & Capurso, G 2017, 'Risk and Protective Factors for the Occurrence of Sporadic Pancreatic Endocrine Neoplasms' Endocrine-Related Cancer. DOI: 10.1530/ERC-17-0040

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1530/ERC-17-0040

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Endocrine-Related Cancer

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 Risk and Protective Factors for the Occurrence of Sporadic Pancreatic Endocrine

2 Neoplasms

- 3
- 4 Roberto Valente¹, Alastair J Hayes², Sven-Petter Haugvik³, Per Hedenström⁴, Darko Siuka⁵,
- 5 Emilie Korsæth³, Daniel Kämmerer⁷, Stuart M Robinson⁸, Patrick Maisonneuve⁶, Gianfranco
- 6 Delle Fave¹, Bjorn Lindkvist⁴, Gabriele Capurso¹
- 7
- 8 1. Digestive and Liver Disease Unit, Sant'Andrea Hospital Sapienza University of Rome, Rome,
 9 Italy.
- 10 2. Department of General Surgery, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom.
- 11 *3. Department of Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Surgery, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway.*
- 4.Unit of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital,
 Gothenburg, Sweden.
- 14 5. Department of Gastroenterology, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia.
- 15 6. Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, European Institute of Oncology, Milan, Italy.
- 16 7. Department of General and Visceral Surgery, Zentralklinik Bad Berka, Bad Berka, Germany.
- 17 8. Department of Hepatopancreatobiliary and Transplantation Surgery, The Freeman Hospital,
- 18 Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom.
- 19

- 21 Corresponding Author: Gabriele Capurso, Digestive and Liver Disease Unit, Faculty of
- 22 Medicine and Psychology, Sapienza University of Rome at Sant' Andrea Hospital
- 23 Address: Via di Grottarossa 1035, 00189, Rome, Italy.
- 24 Phone: +39 06 33775691
- 25 Fax: +39 06 33775526
- 26 e-mail: gabriele.capurso@gmail.com
- 27
- 28 Key Words: Pancreas, Neuroendocrine Neoplasms, Insulinomas, Gastrinomas, Risk factors
- 29 Short Title: Risk Factors for the Occurrence of PNEN
- **30 Word count:** 3858

31 Abstract

32

BACKGROUND: Pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms (PNENs) represent 10% of all
pancreatic tumors by prevalence. Their incidence has reportedly increased over recent
decades in parallel with that of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. PNENs are relatively rare and of
the few institutions that have published potential risk factors, findings have been
heterogenous.

AIM: To investigate the association between potential risk and protective factors for the 38 occurrence of sporadic PNENs across a European population from several institutions. 39 METHODS: A multinational European case-control study was conducted to examine the 40 association of selected environmental, family and medical exposure factors using a 41 42 standardized questionnaire in face-to-face interviews. A ratio of 1:3 cases to controls were sex and age matched at each study site. Adjusted univariate and multivariate logistic regression 43 analysis were performed for statistically significant factors. RESULTS: In 201 cases and 603 44 controls non-recent onset diabetes (OR 2.09, CI 1.27-3.46) was associated with an increased 45 occurrence of PNENs. The prevalence of non-recent onset diabetes was higher both in cases 46 with metastatic disease (TNM stage III-IV) or advanced grade (G3) at the time of diagnosis. 47 The use of metformin in combination with insulin was also associated with a more aggressive 48 phenotype. Drinking coffee was more frequent in cases with localized disease at diagnosis. 49 50 CONCLUSIONS: Non-recent onset diabetes was associated with an increased occurrence of 51 PNENs and the combination of metformin and insulin was consistent with a more aggressive 52 PNEN phenotype. In contrast to previous studies, smoking, alcohol and first-degree family 53 history of cancer were not associated with PNEN occurrence.

- 54
- 55
- 2

56

57

58 Introduction

Pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms (PNENs) are a group of tumors which originate 59 from endocrine cells within the pancreas gland. PNENs have heterogeneous clinical behavior 60 61 owing to their hormone functional status, cellular characteristics and the extent of metastatic 62 disease. Whilst representing only 1-2% of pancreatic neoplasms by incidence (Fitzgerald, et 63 al. 2008; Yao, et al. 2008), PNENs may account for as much as 10% by prevalence (Yao et al. 64 2008). Such discrepancy is due in part to the relatively indolent clinical course of many PNENs 65 compared to pancreatic adenocarcinoma. PNENs are rare tumors but their incidence has reportedly increased in recent decades, particularly that of non-functioning tumors 66 (Fitzgerald et al. 2008; Lepage, et al. 2004; Yao et al. 2008). To a lesser degree investigators 67 have also identified a modest increase in the incidence of pancreatic adenocarcinoma 68 (Fitzgerald et al. 2008). 69

The increased reported incidence of both endocrine and exocrine pancreatic tumors is likely due to rising population lifespan and the wider availability of high resolution crosssectional imaging (Del Chiaro, et al. 2013; Ellison, et al. 2014), but additionally raises the possibility of changing exposure to factors which may alter the risk of pancreatic neoplasia.

There are a small number of case-control studies that have investigated potential risk factors for the occurrence of PNENs (Ben, et al. 2016; Capurso, et al. 2009; Halfdanarson, et al. 2014; Hassan, et al. 2008b; Zhan, et al. 2013). These studies recruited participants from a single institution or geographical region, and were recently summarized in a meta-analysis that found personal history of diabetes mellitus and family history of cancer to be associated with an increased risk of PNEN (Haugvik, et al. 2015). The association of PNENs with smoking and alcohol drinking was less clear, and only heavy smoking and heavy alcohol consumption

81 reached statistical significance. The included studies differed in their design and population 82 definitions, with a considerable heterogeneity limiting the significance of the meta-analysis. 83 Moreover, these studies had some specific methodological limitations, such as the absence of 84 a power calculation and exposures were often recorded at the time of diagnosis or treatment, 85 rather than considering the exposure history prior to diagnosis. The latter raises the 86 possibility of a bias due to cancer symptoms (e.g. weight loss, new onset diabetes) or lifestyle 87 modifications such as changes in smoking behaviour and alcohol consumption.

It appears that there is overlap in risk factors, such as smoking and alcohol, for the occurrence of PNENs and pancreatic adenocarcinoma, however, a number of other factors that have been associated with the occurrence of pancreatic cancer have not, to our knowledge, been investigated for PNENs. For example, the use of medications such as aspirin, and the association with allergy and atopy that have been reported to be protective against pancreatic adenocarcinoma occurrence (Gomez-Rubio, et al. 2015; Streicher, et al. 2014).

94 For these reasons, we conducted a multi-center European study aimed at assessing the
95 association between a large number of potential risk or protective factors for the
96 development of sporadic PNENs.

97

- 98 Materials and Methods
- 99

100 Study Design and population

101 A collaborative multicentre hospital based case-control study was conducted in six European 102 countries: Italy, Norway, Sweden, Slovenia, United Kingdom and Germany as part of the 103 "Pancreas 2000" educational project (www.pancreas2000.org), upon local hospital ethical 104 committee approval.

105 A standardized questionnaire, including questions about demographics and potential 106 risk factors, such as family history of cancer, environmental factors, previous use of drugs and 107 other medical history features was administered to patients by a trained medical doctor. Each 108 questionnaire took ~15 minutes to be completed during a face-to-face interview, after gaining 109 participant consent.

The cases were prevalent sporadic PNEN patients diagnosed within 24 months from the beginning of the study (January 2013) and new incident cases of sporadic PNENs diagnosed from January 2013 to December 2015 that were recruited at the participating centers.

The inclusion criterion was to have a histological or cytological diagnosis of PNEN. Thedate of the confirmatory pathological report was accepted as the date of diagnosis.

Exclusion criteria were the presence of an inherited form of PNEN such as those associated with multiple endocrine neoplasia-type 1 (MEN1), von Hipple-Lindau disease (VHL), neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF-1), tuberous sclerosis (TSC), or an inability to participate, such as dementia.

According to the absence or presence of a clinical syndrome due to hormonal 120 hypersecretion, cases were classified as non-functioning or functioning tumors (gastrinoma, 121 insulinoma, glucagonoma). For example the Zollinger Ellison Syndrome was clinically 122 suspected in the presence of a PNEN associated with peptic disease and its complications and 123 124 diarrhea, insulinomas in the presence of severe hypoglicamia with associated neurological symptoms (varing from confusion to coma), glucagonoma in the presence of rash, glucose 125 intolerance and weight loss. In any case the syndrome was confirmed with a specific 126 127 laboratory work-up according to guidelines. (Falconi, et al. 2016; Jensen, et al. 2012)

Cases were classified according with the European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society (ENETs) and the 2010 World Health Organization classifications (Falconi et al. 2016; Rindi G ; Solcia E 2002).

Eligible controls were either individuals seen in the participating hospitals' outpatient 131 clinic for a non-specific, non-organic gastrointestinal disorder (bloating, aspecyfic dyspeptic 132 133 symptoms, eructation) or visitors attending the same network of referring hospitals, matched by country, sex and age (+/- 5 years). Visitors and hospital outpatients' clinic belonged to the 134 same catchment area of cases. Specific exclusion criteria for controls were: 1) the presence of 135 any genetic syndrome associated with the occurrence of PNENs; 2) a history of active cancer 136 (diagnosed within 5 years); 3) any biological relation of a participating PNEN case in this 137 study; 4) a history of any chronic inflammatory condition (e.g. chronic obstructive pulmonary 138 disease, liver cirrhosis, inflammatory bowel disease, end stage kidney disease); 5) undergoing 139 evaluation of a possible familial cancer syndrome. Controls were included in the same country 140 and interviewed within 6 months of the inclusion of the matched corresponding case. 141

142

143 *Exposure definitions*

Subjects were questioned about risk factors that were present at least 12 months before diagnosis or presentation of symptoms, in order to avoid potential bias due to lifestyle modifications, cancer symptoms or cancer treatments.

Subjects were considered ever smokers if they reported a cumulative lifetime smoking history greater than 6 months or 100 cigarettes smoked. A quantification of the smoking habit for cases and controls was performed considering the number of pack-years (pack-year = number of packs per day x years of smoking), with 20 pack-years being the lower limit to qualify a participant a heavy smoker.

A daily intake of at least 12.5 g of alcohol, equivalent to one glass of wine, one pint of beer or one shot of hard liquor, for at least one year, was considered the cut-off to be a regular ever alcohol drinker. Because of possible different drinking habits within different European countries, the weekly alcohol amount was also sub-analyzed according to low (1-7 weekly units assumption), medium (8-14 weekly units), medium-high (14-20 weekly units) and heavy alcohol consumption (≥21 weekly units). Coffee drinking was also recorded as ever drinking (at least one cup per day) or heavy coffee drinking (>5 cups per day).

Height and weight were recorded from which body mass index (BMI) (kg/m2) was 159 calculated. A history of chronic pancreatitis, acute pancreatitis, peptic ulcer disease, biliary 160 stones and previous surgery were specifically recorded. Additionally, a diagnosis of diabetes 161 was documented and subdivided for type and onset. For cases, recent onset diabetes was 162 defined as that which was diagnosed in the 12 months prior to the PNEN diagnosis, and for 163 controls a diagnosis of diabetes 12 months prior to the date of recruitment was required. 164 Sensitivity analysis were also performed for different intervals of the onset of diabetes, 165 (respectively inferior to 1 year, between 1 and 3 years, between 3 and 5 years and above 5 166 years). Another sensitivity analysis was also conducted considering incident/prevalent cases 167 and hospitals controls/visitors controls compared to respective cases. 168

As atopy and allergy have been associated with a reduced risk of pancreatic cancer (Gomez-Rubio et al. 2015), cases and controls were interrogated about a history of allergy, with specific enquiry for eczema, hay fever and asthma. The use of aspirin, proton pump inhibitors, metformin and insulin were recorded. Subjects were interrogated about 1st and 2nd degree family history of cancer, and the total number of siblings and children was recorded.

174

175 Statistical analysis

An a priori power calculation was performed. We estimated sample size based on the differences reported in the frequencies of exposure in cases and controls according to a previous study (Capurso et al. 2009), and considering a ratio 1:3, with a statistical power equal to 80% and an alpha error equal to 0.05.

According to Capurso and colleagues (Capurso et al. 2009) the reported prevalence in cases and controls was respectively 53% vs. 32% for 1st degree family history of cancer, 10% vs. 2% for diabetes and 14% vs. 3% for heavy alcohol consumption. Therefore, the sample size's estimate of cases and controls, to show true differences whether existing, were respectively 64 cases and 191 controls for 1st degree family history of cancer, 117 cases and 350 controls for diabetes, 85 cases and 253 controls for heavy alcohol consumption.

We therefore estimated that a total of 200 cases and 600 controls across participating centers, to be an adequate sample size to reveal differences in the prevalence of most risk factors analyzed among cases and controls, where these exist.

Characteristics of cases and controls were compared by chi-square test for categorical 189 variables or Student's t test for continuous variables. Significant variables were analyzed by 190 logistic regression analysis adjusted for sex, age and enrolment center. A multivariable logistic 191 regression analysis, adjusted for sex, age and enrolment center was also performed with an 192 enter selection procedure for statistically significant risk factors. A dedicated statistical 193 software package (MedCald Mariakerke, Belgium) was used for data analysis. The 95 % 194 195 confidence interval (CI 95) was calculated where possible. All p-values were two-sided and a p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. 196

197

198 **RESULTS**

199 *Characteristics of cases and controls*

8

A total of 201 cases and 603 sex and age matched controls were enrolled among the six centers (Italy, Norway, Sweden, United Kingdom, Slovenia and Germany) as shown in table 1. The mean age was 59.6 years in cases (CI 57.7-61.4) and 59.5 years in controls (CI 58.4-60.55), and 51% of cases and controls were male.

Amongst the 201 PNEN cases, 154 (76.6%) had a non-functioning tumor. Of the 47 functioning PNENs, 26 (55.3%) had an insulinoma, 9 (19.1%) a gastrinoma, 7 (14.9%) a glucagonoma and 5 (10.6%) other functioning tumors. The majority were G1 (44.8%) or G2 (43.3%) PNENs, and were equally distributed among different disease stages (**Table 1**). Of the 208 201 PNEN cases, 80 (38%) cases were incident and 121 (62%) prevalent. Of the 603 controls, 422 (70%) were hospital outpatients controls and 181 (30%) visitors.

210

211 Risk factors for the occurrence of PNEN

212

213 Family history of cancer

The proportion of subjects who had a 1st degree family history of cancer was similar in cases and in controls (respectively 51.1% vs. 45.3% p=0.17), while 2nd degree family history was slightly more prevalent in cases (36.8% vs. 30.2% p=0.09). A 1st degree family history of specific cancer sites was also not significantly different (**Table 2**). No cases or controls reported a family history of neuro-endocrine tumor (NET). At multiple regression analysis 2nd degree family history of any cancer was, however associated with an increased risk of PNEN (see Table 2 and 3).

221

222 Body Mass Index

Mean BMI was not significantly different amongst cases and controls (26.8 kg/m² (CI 26.027.5) and 26.4 kg/m² (CI 26.4-26.8), p=0.10. Similarly, whilst the overall prevalence of obesity
was more frequent in cases than controls (25.5% vs. 18.2%) this was not significant (p=0.44).
At regression analysis after adjustment for matching variables, there remained no significant
association with obesity (OR 1.36, 95% CI 0.88-2.08, p=0.15) (Table 3).

228

229 Cigarette smoking, alcohol intake and coffee drinking

The proportion of smokers (55.5% vs. 53.5%, p=0.59), heavy smokers (25.5% vs. 24.0%, p=0.59), alcohol drinkers (75.6% vs. 70.8%, p=0.33), heavy alcohol drinkers (3.4% vs. 4.2%, p=0.33), coffee drinkers (84.8% vs. 87.8%, p=0.24) and heavy coffee drinkers (18.8% vs. 19.2%, p=0.24) did not significantly differ between cases and controls (**Table 2**).

234

235 *History of diabetes mellitus*

A history of diabetes mellitus was more prevalent in cases than in controls (18.4% vs. 12.3%, 236 p=0.03). This difference was greater on analysis of non-recent onset diabetes, defined as 237 diabetes diagnosed more than 12 months before the diagnosis of PNEN in cases, or 12 months 238 prior to the interview for controls (17.4% vs. 9.7%, p=0.004) (**Table 2**). After adjustment for 239 the matching variables, non-recent onset diabetes was confirmed to be consistent with the 240 occurrence of PNEN (OR 1.89, 95% CI 1.17-3.05, p=0.008). At multivariable analysis the 241 242 association with non-recent onset diabetes remained statistically significant (OR 2.09, 95% CI 1.27-3.45, p=0.003) (Table3). At sensitivity analysis, a history of diabetes with an onset 243 between 1-3 year and between 3- 5 years, was increasingly prevalent in PNEN compared to 244 controls (respectively 3.0% vs 1.1% p=0.07 and 4% vs 0.9% p=0.004). At univariable logistic 245 246 regression analysis this difference remained significant (respectively OR 2.56, 95% C.I 0.83-7.91 and OR 4.31, 95% C.I 1.43-12.98). For intervals of occurrence of diabetes superior to 5 247

years no statistically significant difference was found between cases and controls (respectively 10.7% vs 7.4%, p=0.16) (**Table 2**). We also performed a separate analysis for "late onset diabetes" using as controls either only the 422 hospital controls or only the 181 visitors controls. In the first case the OR resulted to be 2.52 (95%CI 1.08-5.86; p=0.03), while in the second one the OR was 1.7 (95%CI 0.95-3; p=0.07), most likely due to the lower number of controls reducing the power of the analysis.

Neither the use of metformin (7.3% vs. 5.1%, p=0.3), nor insulin (4.1% vs. 1.6%, p=0.1), or their association together (5.2% vs 3.4%, p=0.4) were statistically different between cases and controls.

257 Past medical history

With regards to past medical history, the prevalence of acute pancreatitis (3.5% vs. 2.4%, 258 p=0.60), peptic ulcer disease (12.3% vs. 10.6%, p=0.59), cholecystectomy (9.0% vs. 8.5%, 259 p=0.92) and gastrectomy (1.0% vs. 0.7%, p=0.99) were similar in cases and controls. None of 260 the participants reported a medical history of chronic pancreatitis (Table 2). A higher 261 proportion of cases reported a history of gallstone disease than controls (19.2% vs. 13.3%) 262 but this did not reach the significance threshold (p=0.06). After adjustment for age, sex and 263 enrolment center at multivariable analysis, this latter association remained borderline 264 significant (OR 1.52, 95% CI 0.95-2.44, p=0.08) (Table 3). 265

266

267 Non-diabetic medications

The use of proton pump inhibitors (PPI) (39.2% vs. 39.6%, p=0.97) and aspirin (22.5% vs.
269 26.5%, p=0.29) did not differ among cases and controls respectively (**Table 2**).

270

271 Allergies

A history of allergies was not different in cases and in controls (28.9% vs. 25.0%, p=0.32).
Specifically, neither asthma (12.1% vs. 8.6%, p=0.19), eczema (11.1% vs. 7.6%, p=0.17) nor
hay fever (15.6% vs. 14.5%, p=0.79) were more prevalent in PNEN patients than in controls
(Table 2).

276

277 Risk factors for the advanced grades and stages of PNEN

278 When stratifying cases for the TNM stage at diagnosis and for the tumor grade, diabetes mellitus was statistically more prevalent in patients with G3 tumors (pancreatic neuro-279 endocrine carcinoma; PNEC) than with G1 or G2 tumors (40.9% vs. 15.8%, p=0.01). Amongst 280 cases, non-recent onset diabetes was associated with a more advanced stage at diagnosis 281 (TNM III-IV vs TNM I-II respectively 23.3% vs. 11.8%, p=0.05) and with a G3 vs G1-2 tumor 282 (respectively 40.9% vs. 14.9%, p=0.006). The use of metformin in combination with insulin 283 was more prevalent in patients with G3 than G1-G2 tumors (respectively 23.5% vs. 3.2%, 284 p=0.003) (Table 4). Asthma was more prevalent in G3 cases than in G1-2 (30.0% vs. 10.2%) 285 p=0.02), and eczema was also more prevalent in G3 cases than in G1-2 but without reaching 286 statistical significance (25.0% vs. 9.6%, p=0.08). Coffee drinking was more prevalent in 287 localized disease (TNM 1-2) at diagnosis compared with advanced stage (TNM 3-4) (92.3% vs. 288 75.9%, p=0.003). 289

290

292 Discussion

The present study was designed to recruit cases of PNEN from multiple sites across Europe 293 294 using a standardised questionnaire in a face-to-face interview setting. To further strengthen our method, we incorporated a preliminary power calculation based upon results from a 295 296 similar previous study. To the best of our knowledge, there have been six published studies to 297 have investigated risk factors for PNEN. Due to the low incidence of such tumors, which would make a longitudinal cohort study highly problematic, it is not unexpected that these were all 298 case-control studies. Three were conducted in the USA, one in Europe and two in China (Ben 299 300 et al. 2016; Capurso et al. 2009; Halfdanarson et al. 2014; Hassan, et al. 2008a; Hassan et al. 2008b; Zhan et al. 2013). Collective analysis of these studies has been limited by the variety 301 data collection methods employed, the selection of investigated exposures (and differing 302 303 definitions), in addition to the disparate population pools, all of which lead to substantial heterogeneity (Haugvik et al. 2015). One study from China exclusively investigated a cohort of 304 insulinomas, with the exclusion of non-functioning endocrine tumors; the latter represent the 305 majority of PNEN cases, accounting from 60 to 90% of cases (Falconi et al. 2016). Another 306 study from China investigated a cohort of PNEN cases in which 63% had functioning tumors, 307 and 84% had early stage disease (Ben et al. 2016). The results of this study may have limited 308 applicability to other populations, as the majority of PNENs arising in Western populations 309 are non-functioning and would typically present with more advanced disease (Panzuto, et al. 310 311 2011).

The two publications from the USA reported different risk factors in the same population, from a retrospective analysis of a large hospital database of neuroendocrine tumors (Hassan et al. 2008a, b). None of the reported studies sought to investigate potentially protective factors against the occurrence of PNENs. Two meta-analyses have summarized the results of the previous primary studies and reached similar conclusions: Diabetes mellitus and family history of cancer are risk factors for the occurrence of PNENs, whilst the role of
environmental factors was unclear and warranted further investigation (Haugvik et al. 2015;
Leoncini, et al. 2016).

Our study affirms an increased risk of PNEN occurrence with diabetes mellitus, however it is noteworthy that we identify the significance of non-recent onset diabetes as a risk factor. Four studies previously identified an association between PNEN and diabetes (Ben et al. 2016; Capurso et al. 2009; Halfdanarson et al. 2014; Hassan et al. 2008b), however in contrast to the current study, this association was for recent onset diabetes, which can represent an epiphenomenon of the disease as suggested elsewhere for pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Pannala, et al. 2008).

Given than beta cells typically express low levels of cytoprotective antioxidant 327 enzymes (Tiedge, et al. 1997), and because oxidative stress contributes to both the 328 pathogenesis of diabetes (Rolo and Palmeira 2006) and can potentiate somatic mutations, it 329 would not be unexpected for long standing diabetes to have an association with oncogenic 330 transformation of islet cells. Indeed, PNEN proliferation, tumor invasion and disease stage 331 have been found to be associated with expression of mTOR (mechanistic/mammalian target 332 of rapamycin) and its effectors (Capurso, et al. 2015), a cytoplasmic kinase that is activated by 333 both glucose and insulin (Blagosklonny 2013). 334

As the relation between diabetes and carcinogenesis is complex and still not clear, we sought to specifically investigate the timing of onset of diabetes in respect to the clinical presentation of the cancer. We therefore analyzed risk factors present at least 12 months before diagnosis, minimizing the overlap between risk factors and cancer-related symptoms, which could included cancer-induced endocrine insufficiency. With such premises, our results support the view that long standing diabetes is a risk factor for PNEN rather than sign of disease. In order to further analyze possible overlaps between diabetes as a risk factors and diabetes as a

342 cancer-related symptom, we performed a further sensitivity analysis, investigating several different intervals of time between the onset of diabetes and the diagnosis of cancer. Non 343 344 recent onset diabetes was confirmed to be increasingly consistent with the occurrence of PNEN for intervals superior to 1 year and up to 5 years. For intervals of onset of diabetes 345 superior to 5 years this association was not anymore statistically significant. This might be 346 347 interpreted on the base of a lack of power of the study when considering small subgroups or, alternatively, it could be biologically explained by the trophic influence that diabetes plays on 348 cancer. On the other hand one should also take into account that PNEN display a slower 349 350 growing rate compared to PDAC and therefore it might justify a major latency of occurrence of symptom diabetes. 351

As the potential role of anti-diabetic drugs (metformin and insulin) in influencing pancreatic carcinogenesis has been reported (De Souza, et al. 2016), we also specifically investigated the role of such drugs in our multi-national cohort. Although the prevalent use insulin alone was more frequent among cases than in controls (4.1% vs 1.6%), this difference was not significant as the study was underpowered to assess it.

Another noteworthy finding of the present study was the increased proportion of 357 gallstone disease amongst PNEN cases compared to controls. However, this did not reach 358 statistical significance and we therefore cannot conclude that this was anything more than a 359 chance observation. The apparent proportional increase amongst cases, however, may reflect 360 361 the universal use of abdominal imaging in those diagnosed with PNEN, as compared to occult gallstones in controls. It may be that our study was underpowered to detect a true association 362 between PNEN and biliary calculi, as the latter have been found to be associated with 363 364 'malignant neoplasm of the pancreas' (ICD-Oncology C25.0-C25.9) as a single entity, using a 365 large combined US cancer registry with population-based controls (Nogueira, et al. 2014).

366

In the present study the rate of family history of cancer was not different between

367 cases and controls. This finding was in contrast with previous studies on this topic. However, 368 study design issues and/or selection bias in previous studies may account for this difference. 369 For example, three of these reports (Hassan et al. 2008a, b; Zhan et al. 2013) did not exclude PNEN cases with genetic syndromes (ie. MEN1 or VHL). Of particular note, 25% of PNEN cases 370 371 had a genetic syndrome in the study by Zhan and colleagues, and therefore a higher 372 proportion of family history of any cancer would be expected (Zhan et al. 2013). Halfdanarson and colleagues studied only sporadic cases, but excluded insulinomas and poorly differenced 373 PNECs which may have affected results in this regard (Halfdanarson et al. 2014). In the 374 present study, controls had a significantly higher number of siblings compared with cases, 375 potentially biasing the probability of cancer family history in the control study arm. On the 376 other hand, we found an association between 2nd degree family history of cancer and risk of 377 PNEN, thus suggesting that some kind of hereditary component might exist in this patients. 378

Environmental factors such as smoking and alcohol, even in high doses, did not 379 increase the risk of developing a PNEN in our study. This result is in keeping with the study by 380 Hassan and colleagues (Hassan et al. 2008b) but in contrast with others (Capurso 2009; 381 Halfdanarson et al. 2012; Zhan et al. 2013). A recent meta-analysis highlighted that the role of 382 smoking and alcohol might be less relevant in PNENs than in pancreatic adenocarcinoma 383 (Haugvik et al. 2015). To explore potential environmental factors which might alter the risk of 384 PNEN occurrence, we investigated for the first time a number of factors associated with a 385 386 lower incidence of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, such as the use of aspirin and a personal history of allergies. No statistically significant differences were detected, possibly reflecting 387 intrinsic biological differences between endocrine and exocrine neoplasia of the pancreas. 388 389 Furthermore, as the power of the present study was based on risk factors for which there 390 were previous data, and this was not the case for previously uninvestigated exposures, a type II error may have occurred. 391

392 Finally, we investigated the possible prognostic relevance of the investigated factors, analyzing their distribution in PNEN patients according to their stage of disease at diagnosis 393 394 (TNM stages III or IV compared with I and II) or with their grade assessed by proliferative activity (G1 and G2 compared to G3). Interestingly, of the prevalence of non-recent onset 395 396 diabetes was higher both in cases with metastatic disease (TNM stage III-IV) or advanced 397 grade (G3) at the time of diagnosis (**Table 5**). Drinking coffee was more frequent in cases with 398 localized disease at diagnosis. The use of metformin in combination with insulin, was also associated with a more aggressive phenotype. Therefore, diabetes and use of insulin might 399 also exert a proliferative effect on tumor progression, as reported for other cancer types 400 (Vigneri, et al. 2016). 401

The present study displays several strengths as well as limitations. The strengths of the study 402 403 are represented by the relatively large sample size keeping in mind the low incidence of this 404 tumor type, the European multicentre setting (6 countries involved), the preliminary power calculation, the investigation for the first time of a large set of factors possibly associated with 405 406 the risk of PNENs and the conduct of the study by face-to-face interview with a standardised questionnaire. The inclusion criteria were clearly defined, controls were well matched for age 407 and gender with a 1:3 ratio and all questionnaires were administered by trained medical 408 doctors fluent in the local language, who evaluated exposures present 12 months before 409 diagnosis, to minimize bias due to cancer symptoms. Inherent with a multi-national case-410 411 control design, our study displays some limitations such as potential recall bias and heterogeneity in data from different countries, although the analysis was corrected for centre 412 of enrollment. Furthermore, the analysis might have been underpowered for some of the 413 414 investigated factors and additional studies might be important to confirm the lack of significant association. 415

416 Another important matter of concern, as for any case-control study, regards the choice of the

417 control population. We opted for a mixed control group that we believed to represent the
418 same population as the case group, as living in the same catchment area of the corresponding
419 cases, to limit possible bias that could have been specific of either hospital controls or visitors.
420 Interestingly, "late onset diabetes" seemed to be associated with an increased risk of PNEN
421 with both kind of controls used in separate analyses.

In conclusion, the findings of this large multicentre case-control study suggest that non-recent onset diabetes was associated with an increased risk of PNENs occurrence. Our results do not support the view of a strict similarity with factors affecting the risk of pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

426

428 **Declaration of interest**

- 429 Potential competing interests: None.
- 430 Financial support: Gabriele Capurso was supported by AIRC grant IG 2015, Id 17177.
- 431 Guarantor of the article: Gabriele Capurso.
- 432 All authors approved the final version.
- 433 Specific author contributions:
- 434 Wrote the paper: Roberto Valente, Gabriele Capurso, Alastair Hayes.
- 435 Analyzed the data: Roberto Valente, Gabriele Capurso, Patrick Maisonneuve.
- 436 All authors contributed to the design, data collection, data interpretation, and writing of the
- 437 manuscript.

438

439 Acknowledgements

- 440 This study was conducted through *Pancreas 2000*, which is a European educational and scientific
- 441 pancreatology programme initiated by the Karolinska Institutet in Stockholm, Sweden, and the
- 442 European Pancreatic Club.
- 443 We also wish to acknowledge the contributions from the following sites:
- 444 Italy: Dr Livia Archibugi, Dr Maria Rinzivillo.
- 445 United Kingdom: Dr Lucy Wall, Dr Karen M Hayes, Mr Christos Skouras, Professor Rowan W
- 446 Parks, Professor Mark WJ Strachan [Edinburgh]; Mr Stuart M Robinson, Mr Colin H Wilson
- 447 [Newcastle]; Miss Tani Fasih, Mr Cho Ee Ng [Gateshead].
- 448 Norway: Prof. Ivar P. Gladhaug, Miss Kjerstin Skrede Mordal.
- 449 Sweden: Dr Louise Bexander, Dr Isabel Sjöholm and Medical Student Anna Sjögren

450 References

- 451 Ben Q, Zhong J, Fei J, Chen H, Yv L, Tan J & Yuan Y 2016 Risk Factors for Sporadic Pancreatic
- 452 Neuroendocrine Tumors: A Case-Control Study. *Sci Rep* **6** 36073.
- 453 Capurso G, Archibugi L & Delle Fave G 2015 Molecular pathogenesis and targeted therapy of
- 454 sporadic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci* **22** 594-601.
- 455 Capurso G, Falconi M, Panzuto F, Rinzivillo M, Boninsegna L, Bettini R, Corleto V, Borgia P,
- 456 Pederzoli P, Scarpa A, et al. 2009 Risk factors for sporadic pancreatic endocrine tumors: a
- 457 case-control study of prospectively evaluated patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* **104** 3034-3041.
- 458 De Souza A, Khawaja KI, Masud F & Saif MW 2016 Metformin and pancreatic cancer: Is there a 459 role? *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* **77** 235-242.
- 460 Del Chiaro M, Verbeke C, Salvia R, Kloppel G, Werner J, McKay C, Friess H, Manfredi R, Van
- 461 Cutsem E, Lohr M, et al. 2013 European experts consensus statement on cystic tumours of the
 462 pancreas. *Dig Liver Dis* 45 703-711.
- 463 Ellison TA, Wolfgang CL, Shi C, Cameron JL, Murakami P, Mun LJ, Singhi AD, Cornish TC, Olino
- 464 K, Meriden Z, et al. 2014 A single institution's 26-year experience with nonfunctional
- pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: a validation of current staging systems and a new
 prognostic nomogram. *Ann Surg* 259 204-212.
- 467 Falconi M, Eriksson B, Kaltsas G, Bartsch DK, Capdevila J, Caplin M, Kos-Kudla B, Kwekkeboom
- D, Rindi G, Kloppel G, et al. 2016 ENETS Consensus Guidelines Update for the Management of
- Patients with Functional Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors and Non-Functional Pancreatic
 Neuroendocrine Tumors. *Neuroendocrinology* **103** 153-171.
- 471 Fitzgerald TL, Hickner ZJ, Schmitz M & Kort EJ 2008 Changing incidence of pancreatic
- 472 neoplasms: a 16-year review of statewide tumor registry. *Pancreas* **37** 134-138.
- 473 Gomez-Rubio P, Zock JP, Rava M, Marquez M, Sharp L, Hidalgo M, Carrato A, Ilzarbe L,
- 474 Michalski C, Molero X, et al. 2015 Reduced risk of pancreatic cancer associated with asthma
 475 and nasal allergies. *Gut*.
- 476 Halfdanarson TR, Bamlet WR, McWilliams RR, Hobday TJ, Burch PA, Rabe KG & Petersen GM
- 477 2014 Risk factors for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: a clinic-based case-control study.
 478 Pancreas 43 1219-1222
- 478 *Pancreas* **43** 1219-1222.
- 479 Hassan MM, Phan A, Li D, Dagohoy CG, Leary C & Yao JC 2008a Family history of cancer and
- 480 associated risk of developing neuroendocrine tumors: a case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol*481 *Biomarkers Prev* 17 959-965.
- 482 Hassan MM, Phan A, Li D, Dagohoy CG, Leary C & Yao JC 2008b Risk factors associated with
- 483 neuroendocrine tumors: A U.S.-based case-control study. *Int J Cancer* **123** 867-873.
- 484 Haugvik SP, Hedenstrom P, Korsaeth E, Valente R, Hayes A, Siuka D, Maisonneuve P, Gladhaug
- 485 IP, Lindkvist B & Capurso G 2015 Diabetes, smoking, alcohol use, and family history of cancer
- as risk factors for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
- 487 *Neuroendocrinology* **101** 133-142.
- 488 Jensen RT, Cadiot G, Brandi ML, de Herder WW, Kaltsas G, Komminoth P, Scoazec JY, Salazar R,
- 489 Sauvanet A & Kianmanesh R 2012 ENETS Consensus Guidelines for the management of
- patients with digestive neuroendocrine neoplasms: functional pancreatic endocrine tumor
 syndromes. *Neuroendocrinology* 95 98-119.
- 492 Leoncini E, Carioli G, La Vecchia C, Boccia S & Rindi G 2016 Risk factors for neuroendocrine
- 493 neoplasms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Oncol* **27** 68-81.
- 494 Lepage C, Bouvier AM, Phelip JM, Hatem C, Vernet C & Faivre J 2004 Incidence and
- 495 management of malignant digestive endocrine tumours in a well defined French population.
 496 *Gut* 53 549-553.
- 497 Nogueira L, Freedman ND, Engels EA, Warren JL, Castro F & Koshiol J 2014 Gallstones,
- 498 cholecystectomy, and risk of digestive system cancers. *Am J Epidemiol* **179** 731-739.

- 499 Pannala R, Leirness JB, Bamlet WR, Basu A, Petersen GM & Chari ST 2008 Prevalence and
- 500 clinical profile of pancreatic cancer-associated diabetes mellitus. *Gastroenterology* **134** 981-501 987.
- Panzuto F, Boninsegna L, Fazio N, Campana D, Pia Brizzi M, Capurso G, Scarpa A, De Braud F, 502
- Dogliotti L, Tomassetti P, et al. 2011 Metastatic and locally advanced pancreatic endocrine 503 504 carcinomas: analysis of factors associated with disease progression. J Clin Oncol 29 2372-
- 505 2377.
- Rindi G AR, Bosman F, Capella C, Klimstra D, Klöppel G, Komminoth P, Solcia E Nomenclature 506
- and classification of neuroendocrine neoplasms of the digestive system. WHO Classification of 507 508 Tumours of the Digestive System.
- 509 Rolo AP & Palmeira CM 2006 Diabetes and mitochondrial function: role of hyperglycemia and oxidative stress. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **212** 167-178. 510
- Solcia E KpG, Sobin LH In collaboration with 9 pathologists from 4 countries 2002 World 511
- 512 Health Organization Histological Typing of Endocrine Tumors, 2nd edn. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York. Sprinter Verlag pp. 1–5. 513
- Streicher SA, Yu H, Lu L, Kidd MS & Risch HA 2014 Case-control study of aspirin use and risk 514 of pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 23 1254-1263. 515
- 516 Tiedge M, Lortz S, Drinkgern J & Lenzen S 1997 Relation between antioxidant enzyme gene
- 517 expression and antioxidative defense status of insulin-producing cells. *Diabetes* **46** 1733-518 1742.
- 519 Vigneri R, Goldfine ID & Frittitta L 2016 Insulin, insulin receptors, and cancer. *J Endocrinol* 520 Invest 39 1365-1376.
- Yao JC, Hassan M, Phan A, Dagohoy C, Leary C, Mares JE, Abdalla EK, Fleming JB, Vauthey JN, 521
- Rashid A, et al. 2008 One hundred years after "carcinoid": epidemiology of and prognostic 522
- factors for neuroendocrine tumors in 35,825 cases in the United States. J Clin Oncol 26 3063-523
- 3072. 524
- Zhan HX, Cong L, Zhao YP, Zhang TP & Chen G 2013 Risk factors for the occurrence of 525
- insulinoma: a case-control study. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* **12** 324-328. 526