

### THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

### Edinburgh Research Explorer

## Combined effects of rhizodeposit C and crop residues on SOM priming, residue mineralization and N supply in soil

#### Citation for published version:

Mwafulirwa, L, Baggs, L, Russell, JR, Morley, N, Sim, A & Paterson, E 2017, 'Combined effects of rhizodeposit C and crop residues on SOM priming, residue mineralization and N supply in soil', *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, vol. 113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.05.026

#### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.05.026

#### Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

Published In: Soil Biology and Biochemistry

#### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Combined effects of rhizodeposit C and crop residues on SOM priming, residue mineralization and N supply in soil

3

4 Lumbani D. Mwafulirwa <sup>a, b, c</sup>, Elizabeth M. Baggs <sup>b, c</sup>, Joanne Russell <sup>d</sup>, Nicholas
5 Morley <sup>b</sup>, Allan Sim <sup>a</sup> and Eric Paterson <sup>a, \*</sup>

6

<sup>a</sup> The James Hutton Institute, Craigiebuckler, Aberdeen, AB15 8QH, Scotland UK; <sup>b</sup>
School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, AB24 2TZ,
Scotland UK; <sup>c</sup> The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of
Edinburgh, Easter Bush Campus, Midlothian, EH25 9RG, Scotland UK; <sup>d</sup> The James
Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee, DD2 5DA, Scotland UK.

12

<sup>13</sup> \* Correspondence: eric.paterson@hutton.ac.uk; +44(0)1224395000

14

#### 15 Abstract

16

Fluxes of rhizodeposit carbon (C) to soil stimulate microbial activity affecting 17 soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition and, in turn, nutrient fluxes in soil. In 18 agricultural soils, residues from previous crops also have major impacts on SOM and 19 20 nutrient cycling, and their turnover by microbes is likely to be indirectly impacted by rhizodeposition. However, the combined effects of rhizodeposit C and inputs of C 21 from dead plant materials in soil on native SOM decomposition are unclear. In this 22 23 study, we assessed (i) the individual and combined effects of barley rhizodeposition and ryegrass root residue inputs (as a model for residue input from previous crop) on 24 SOM mineralization, (ii) the intraspecies variation within barley in impacting residue 25

mineralization, and (iii) whether genotypes that stimulate high mineralization rates of 26 plant residues in soil also directly benefit through increased nutrient uptake from 27 these residues. We continuously applied <sup>13</sup>C depleted CO<sub>2</sub> to selected barley 28 recombinant chromosome substitution lines (RCSLs) to trace the flow of barley root-29 derived C in surface soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux, soil microbial biomass and soil particle-size 30 fractions. In addition, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>N enriched ryegrass root residues were mixed into 31 soil to trace the mineralization of residue-derived C and the residue-derived nitrogen 32 (N) uptake by plants. Our results show (i) genotype-specific variation in impacting 33 34 total soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and its component sources: SOM-derived C, barley root-derived C and/or ryegrass residue-derived C, (ii) residue effects on total C and SOM-derived 35 C respired as CO<sub>2</sub>, (iii) genotype-residue combined effects on SOM primed C, that 36 were very similar to the sum of primed C caused by planting or residue addition 37 alone (except for the last sampling date), and (iv) that plant uptake of residue 38 released N between genotypes was linked to genotype impacts on residue 39 mineralization. These results suggest that impacts of plant rhizodeposition and 40 residue inputs had additive effects on SOM priming. Furthermore, these results 41 demonstrate, for the first time, genotype differences in impacting the mineralization 42 of recent plant-derived organic materials in soil, and reveal that this process directly 43 contributes to plant nutrition. 44

45

*Key words*: Nutrient fluxes in soil; Plant N uptake; Residue mineralization;
Rhizodeposition; Soil organic matter decomposition

48

49

51

#### 52 **1.0 Introduction**

53

Soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition affects nutrient fluxes in soil and 54 contributes to plant nutrition and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from soil 55 (Zancarini et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013). Therefore, increased understanding of SOM 56 decomposition processes could help to improve strategies for sustainable agriculture 57 production. The magnitude of SOM decomposition is determined by several factors 58 59 that include plant type, soil type and nutrient availability in soil (Cheng et al., 2003; Rasmussen et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2014; Datta et al., 2015), but actual 60 mechanisms of SOM decomposition are less understood. 61

62 In planted systems, one key factor impacting SOM decomposition is inputs of labile carbon (C) from rhizodeposition, in the form of root exudates and other 63 rhizodeposits, that microbes utilize as C sources to derive energy for their activity 64 (Paterson, 2003; Cheng and Kuzyakov, 2005). Indeed, Cheng et al. (2003) reported 65 that plant roots increase SOM decomposition by up to 3.8 fold relative to unplanted 66 soil. This stimulation of SOM decomposition resulting from inputs of labile C 67 substrates is defined as the priming effect (Jenkinson et al., 1985; Kuzyakov et al., 68 2000). Other studies have found strong variation in priming effects between plant 69 70 species (Zhu et al., 2014; Shahzad et al., 2015). Recently, there has been increased demonstration of differences in rhizodeposit C and priming effects between 71 genotypes within a single plant species (Zhu and Cheng, 2012; De Graaff et al., 72 2014; Mwafulirwa et al., 2016; Pausch et al., 2016), that may promote variety 73 selection to control GHG emissions from soil and nutrient release from SOM, thereby 74 supporting sustainable agricultural production. While the genotype-specific 75

influences on native SOM decomposition are getting researchers' attention, no study has yet investigated influences on the mineralization of other forms of C in soil, especially recent dead roots in cropland, or plant residues returned to cropland to improve soil fertility and reduce large use of chemical fertilizers. Suffice to say, we do not know whether genotype-specific influences on the mineralization of these recent plant residues in soil may lead to significant differences in nutrient uptake by crop plants.

Where planted soils contain recent dead roots or residues from a previous 83 84 crop, the mechanisms of C mineralization and priming effects are likely to be complex. In these systems, rhizodeposits may impact decomposition of old SOM and 85 the recent dead roots or crop residues, but the dead roots or crop residues 86 themselves may also impact SOM decomposition. For instance, Siciliano et al. 87 (2003) found that rhizodeposit C accelerated the decomposition of chemically 88 recalcitrant old SOM pools in the rhizosphere of tall fescue grass. On the other hand, 89 addition of plant-derived organic amendments (slurry of C3 or C4 plant materials) to 90 soil accelerated SOM decomposition (Kuzyakov and Bol, 2006) in unplanted soil. In 91 another study, Millar and Baggs (2004) observed increased emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O and 92 CO<sub>2</sub> following addition of agroforestry residues to soil, with the magnitude of 93 emissions being influenced by residue chemical composition. Nonetheless, these 94 95 studies only accounted for the individual roles of plant residues or rhizodeposit C (i.e. from a single plant type or contrasting plant species) on SOM mineralization, the 96 regulation of GHG emissions from soil and the release of nutrients essential for plant 97 growth. None of these studies was designed to consider the combined effects of 98 rhizodeposit C and crop residues or dead roots on SOM decomposition. A study to 99 investigate the combined effects of labile and recalcitrant C on short term availability 100

of nitrogen (N) was conducted by San-Emeterio et al. (2014), but the investigators used additions of model C substrates of glucose, phenols and an extract from ryegrass. As such, the combined effects of rhizodeposit C and other recalcitrant plant-derived inputs in soil, such as dead roots and plant residues from a previous crop, on microbial mediated C mineralization in planted systems remain unclear.

Here the individual and combined effects of rhizodeposit C from barley and 106 ryegrass root residues (that may represent dead roots or residues from a previous 107 crop) on C and N cycling in soil were assessed. We continuously applied <sup>13</sup>C 108 109 depleted CO<sub>2</sub> to selected barley recombinant chromosome substitution lines (RCSLs) to trace the flow of barley root-derived C in surface soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux, soil 110 microbial biomass and soil particle-size fractions. These RCSLs have genetically 111 112 tractable exotic diversity (Close et al., 2009; Comadran et al., 2012), and have demonstrated variation in rhizodeposit C inputs and subsequent mineralization of 113 SOM (Mwafulirwa et al., 2016). In addition, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>N enriched ryegrass root 114 residues mixed into soil allowed tracing of residue-derived C in soil pools and CO<sub>2</sub> 115 efflux, and plant uptake of residue-derived N. We hypothesized that (i) rhizodeposit 116 C and plant residue inputs to soil individually affect native SOM mineralization, but 117 the rate of SOM mineralization would increase when sources of C from 118 rhizodeposition and plant residue in soil are combined, (ii) the variation in 119 120 rhizodeposit C between barley genotypes would affect rates of the plant residue mineralization in soil and (iii) genotypes that stimulate high rates of residue 121 mineralization in soil will directly benefit through increased uptake of the residue 122 released N. 123

124

126 **2.0 Materials and methods** 

127

#### 128 2.1 Plants and soil

129

Three barley RCSLs were used in this experiment. These RCSLs were 130 selected based on (i) differences in rhizodeposit C and the respective impacts on 131 SOM mineralization, as observed in previous work (Mwafulirwa et al., 2016), and (ii) 132 consistency in aboveground plant morphological traits of height and heading date in 133 134 order to limit potential confounding influences of plant growth rate and phenology. These RCSLs were derived from a cross between an accession of Hordeum vulgare 135 subsp. spontaneum from a dry and saline region in Israel (Caesarea 26-24) as a 136 donor and North American malting *Hordeum vulgare* subsp. *vulgare* (Harrington) as 137 the recurrent parent (Matus et al., 2003). 138

The soil was collected from a depth of 0 to 10 cm from a conventionally 139 managed field at Balruddery farm (56°N, 3°W) near Dundee, Scotland. At time of 140 collection, the field was cropped with barley (tillering stage), having been planted 141 with potato in the previous year. The soil was a sandy loam of Balrownie Series, 142 Balrownie Association (as identified by Bell et al. (2014), unpublished), and was 143 sieved to <6 mm onsite before storing at 4°C for 2 weeks. The soil had an organic 144 matter content of 6.4% (muffle furnace, 450°C, 24 hr), pH of 6.0 (H<sub>2</sub>O) and water 145 content (w/w) of 22.3%. 146

147

148

149 **2.2** <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>N labelling and experimental setup

Before planting, the soil was mixed with <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>N enriched ryegrass root 151 residues. These residues were uniformly labelled from previous work under 152 continuous <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>N enriched CO<sub>2</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> solution, respectively. Furthermore, 153 these residues were hot water extracted (80°C for 15 min, then centrifugation at 154 1500 rpm for 10 min, x2) to remove the soluble and readily available C and N 155 fractions, producing the insoluble material with isotopic enrichment of 3.83 <sup>13</sup>C-156 atom% and 3.81 <sup>15</sup>N-atom% and C/N ratio of 35.8. The C/N ratio of the root residues 157 before hot water extraction was 29.6. Use of the insoluble fraction of the root 158 159 material was preferred in this experiment as it better represents plant material remaining in soil from a previous crop, such as dead roots. One gram (dry weight) of 160 the insoluble ryegrass root residues was thoroughly mixed in 1225 g fresh soil that 161 162 was packed in a 1 L pot (10 cm X 10 cm X 10 cm), representing a C input rate of 0.01 mg residue C per gram soil C, and 16 pots were prepared in this way. A further 163 equal number of pots were packed with soil to which no residue had been applied. 164 All pots were prepared to a soil bulk density of 1 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, adjusted to 65% water 165 holding capacity (WHC) and left to stabilize over 7 days, after which gas chambers 166 (210 ml headspace) were inserted to the middle of pots for trapping CO<sub>2</sub> efflux from 167 soil. The gas chambers had inlet and outlet stopper end tubes for controlled gas 168 flow. The complete system was also left to stabilize to conditions used in the 169 170 experiment for 5 days before planting.

Each pot, with or without residue incorporation in soil, was planted with one of the 3 genotypes (2 seeds were planted and thinned to 1 at 5 days from planting) and fallow pots of both soil treatments were included providing no plant controls. These were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications in a controlled environment growth chamber (Conviron CG90; Winnipeg, Canada) set to

a temperature of 22°C, relative humidity of 70% and a 12 hr daily photoperiod with 176 512 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> PAR. The soil water content was maintained at 65% WHC by 177 adding deionized water on a mass basis. The plants were grown over 34 days to 178 avoid other potential morphological differences, such as differences in heading 179 dates, between the genotypes at later plant growth stages from impacting soil 180 processes. Plants were grown without fertilizer addition to soil, and were labelled 181 with <sup>13</sup>C depleted CO<sub>2</sub> throughout the growth period. The plant labelling was 182 achieved by blending CO<sub>2</sub>-free air routed via pressure swing adsorption CO<sub>2</sub> 183 scrubber unit (Parker Balston, Haverhill, USA) with <sup>13</sup>C depleted CO<sub>2</sub> (-38‰) from a 184 cylinder via Brooks thermal mass flow controllers (Flotech Solutions Ltd., Stockport, 185 UK), supplied at 25 L min<sup>-1</sup> with a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 367 µl/L (Paterson et al., 186 2006). 187

188

189

#### 190 **2.3 CO<sub>2</sub> sampling, partitioning and calculations**

191

Soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were sampled four times, at 20d, 23d, 27d and 33d after 192 planting. To collect CO<sub>2</sub> samples, the gas collection chambers were flushed with 193 CO<sub>2</sub>-free air for 3 minutes, obtaining outlet airflow <10  $\mu$ l/L CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, then 194 195 sealed for 60 minutes using stopper end tubes to accumulate soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in the headspace. Thereafter, approximately 25 ml air was sampled from the headspace 196 with a gas syringe connected to the outlet tubing. Gas chambers remained open 197 except during collection of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux. Fifteen ml of the sampled air was injected 198 into an infrared gas analyser (EGM-4, PP-Systems, Amesbury, USA) to obtain the 199 CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. The remaining 10 ml was injected into a sealed N<sub>2</sub> flush-filled 200

glass vial (12 ml) (Labco Ltd, High Wycombe, UK) for  $\delta^{13}$ C-CO<sub>2</sub> analysis. The <sup>12</sup>C/<sup>13</sup>C ratios of the air samples were determined on a DeltaPlus Advantage isotope ratio mass spectrometer via an interfaced Gas-bench II unit (all Thermo Finnigan, Bremen, Germany).

Calculation of total C respired for each treatment per sampling point was 205 achieved using the CO<sub>2</sub>-C concentration values. The total CO<sub>2</sub>-C (C<sub>total</sub>) was 206 partitioned to two component sources (SOM- and barley root-derived C) or three 207 component sources (SOM-, residue- and barley root-derived C) for residue-208 unamended soil and residue-amended soil, respectively, using  $\delta^{13}$ C signatures. This 209 was possible because plants were <sup>13</sup>C depleted while the residues incorporated in 210 soil were <sup>13</sup>C enriched. In treatments with two C sources, the barley root-derived C 211 (C<sub>plant</sub>) and SOM-derived C (C<sub>soil</sub>) were determined using the following equations 212 (Garcia-Pausas and Paterson, 2011): 213

214

215 Cplant = Ctotal(
$$\delta^{13}$$
Ccontrol -  $\delta^{13}$ Ctotal)/( $\delta^{13}$ Ccontrol -  $\delta^{13}$ Cplant) (1)

216

217 
$$C_{soil} = C_{total} - C_{plant}$$
 (2)

218

where  $\delta^{13}C_{control}$  is the mean  $\delta^{13}C$  value of CO<sub>2</sub> from SOM decomposition measured in the residue-unamended fallow soil,  $\delta^{13}C_{total}$  is the measured  $\delta^{13}C$  value of total soil respiration, and  $\delta^{13}C_{plant}$  is the  $\delta^{13}C$  value of plant tissue.

In treatments with three C sources, C partitioning was based on assumptions (i) that relative to the residue  $\delta^{13}$ C signature (2534.44‰), SOM  $\delta^{13}$ C signature measured in the residue-unamended control soil and plant  $\delta^{13}$ C signature (section 3.1) were very similar and effectively a single source and (ii) that barley root-derived respiration in the residue-amended soil was equal to the barley root-derived respiration in the residue-unamended soil. These assumptions then allowed us to test whether the combined effects of plant and residue were consistent with these effects being additive with respect to impacts on SOM mineralization. Therefore, in treatments with three C sources the average  $\delta^{13}$ C value of soil and plant (-23.33‰) was used as control  $\delta^{13}$ C value to calculate the residue-derived C (C<sub>residue</sub>) as shown in the following equation:

234 Cresidue = Ctotal(
$$\delta^{13}$$
Ccontrol -  $\delta^{13}$ Ctotal)/( $\delta^{13}$ Ccontrol -  $\delta^{13}$ Cresidue) (3)

235

where  $\delta^{13}C_{control}$  is the mean  $\delta^{13}C$  value of CO<sub>2</sub> from SOM plus plant and  $\delta^{13}C_{residue}$  is the  $\delta^{13}C$  value of the residue incorporated into soil. Thereafter,  $C_{soil}$  in the residueamended treatments was calculated following the equation below:

239

$$240 \quad C_{\text{soil}} = C_{\text{total}} - C_{\text{plant}} - C_{\text{residue}} \tag{4}$$

241

where C<sub>plant</sub> is the barley root-derived CO<sub>2</sub>-C calculated in the residue-unamended 242 soil. Furthermore, based on these assumptions, it was possible to predict an 243 expected isotopic signature of total soil respiration ( $\delta^{13}C_{\text{predicted total}}$ ) following equation 244 5 (terms as described above), and to test whether the measured  $\delta^{13}$ C value of total 245 soil respiration was consistent with effects of plants and residues on SOM 246 mineralization being additive. For all planted or residue-amended treatments, priming 247 effects (C<sub>primed</sub>) were quantified by subtracting C<sub>soil</sub> of the fallow from those of the 248 planted or residue-amended treatments (equation 6). 249

251	$\delta^{13}C_{\text{predicted total}} = \left[ (C_{\text{plant}} \times \delta^{13}C_{\text{plant}}) + (C_{\text{residue}} \times \delta^{13}C_{\text{residue}}) + (C_{\text{soil}} \times \delta^{13}C_{\text{soil}}) \right] / C_{\text{total}}$	(5)
252		
253	$C_{primed} = C_{soil}$ (planted or residue-amended) - $C_{soil}$ (residue-unamended fallow)	(6)
254		

255

#### 256 2.4 Plant and soil harvesting, analysis and isotope partitioning of C and N

257

Plants were harvested as shoot and root fractions. The shoots were harvested 258 259 by cutting off at the soil surface. Roots with adhering soil were gently shaken off from bulk soil, and the roots were washed in deionized water. The bulk soil was 260 thoroughly mixed by hand and a sub-sample was taken for freeze-drying, as were 261 262 harvested shoots and roots. Further sub-samples (described below) of fresh bulk soil were immediately stored at 4°C for soil microbial biomass C (MBC) and mineral N 263 determination after the harvesting was completed. Weights of freeze-dried root and 264 shoot fractions were used to quantify plant (root and shoot) biomass. Sub-samples 265 (15 g) of freeze-dried bulk soil were used to determine the relative distribution of 266 rhizodeposition- and residue-derived C and N in SOM fractions at the end of the 267 experiment. To achieve this, a particle-size physical soil fractionation procedure used 268 by Garcia-Pausas et al. (2012) was performed. In this procedure, whole soil was 269 270 separated into three size fractions of coarse sand (2000-250 µm), fine sand (250-53 µm), and silt plus clay (<53 µm) by wet sieving. The fractions were oven dried to 271 constant weight at 60°C. Dried samples (roots, shoots and soil particle-size-272 fractions) were ball milled and analysed for total C, total N,  $\delta^{13}$ C signature and  $\delta^{15}$ N 273 signature on a Flash EA 1112 Series Elemental Analyser connected via a Conflo III 274 to a DeltaPlus XP isotope ratio mass spectrometer (all Thermo Finnigan, Bremen, 275

Germany). For soil samples, their  $\delta^{13}$ C values were used to partition total C into the component sources of SOM-, rhizodeposition- or residue-derived C applying similar models to those described in section 2.3. The  $\delta^{15}$ N values of the harvested plant materials (root and shoot tissues) were used to calculate the residue-derived N (released through residue mineralization in soil) uptake by plants using a two source model analogous to equation 1 (terms replaced accordingly).

The soil microbial biomass C was determined by the chloroform fumigation-282 extraction method according to Vance et al. (1987), where fresh fumigated and non-283 284 fumigated soil samples (equivalent 12.5 g dry soil) were extracted with 50 ml of 0.5 M K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. Organic C of the extracts was analysed on a TOC Analyser 700 285 (Corporation College Station, TX), and MBC was calculated as the difference 286 between organic C in the paired fumigated and non-fumigated extracts using a 287 conversion factor  $k_{EC}$  of 0.45 (Joergensen, 1996). Thereafter, the  $\delta^{13}C$  values of 288 MBC were determined using the method described by Garcia-Pausas and Paterson 289 (2011) and fractions of MBC derived from rhizodeposition, residue and SOM were 290 calculated in similar way to two-source or three-source C partitioning equations 291 described in section 2.3. Mineral N (NH4<sup>+</sup> and NO3<sup>-</sup>) concentrations of the harvested 292 soil samples were determined using an autoanalyser (Technicon Traaks 800, 293 Saskatoon, Canada) following extraction of 10 g fresh soil with 50 ml of 1 M KCl 294 295 solution (Mitchell et al., 2000).

296

297

298 **2.5 Statistical analyses** 

Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the effects of 300 barley genotype and ryegrass residue amendment to soil on soil respiration rates 301 (i.e. total C, SOM-derived C, barley root-derived C and ryegrass residue-derived C 302 respired as CO<sub>2</sub>) sampled at different dates, and on soil mineral N (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) 303 and C and N partitioning in soil particle-size fractions at harvest. For each soil 304 treatment (i.e. with or without residue amendment), one-way ANOVA was used to 305 test for differences between genotypes in soil respiration at each sampling date and 306 soil mineral N and amount-C or amount-N (SOM-, rhizodeposition- and/or residue-307 308 derived) in particle-size soil fractions at harvest date. One-way ANOVA was also used to evaluate differences between genotypes in plant uptake of residue-derived N 309 and in rhizodeposition-, SOM- and residue-derived proportions of MBC. Where 310 significant (*P*<0.05) treatment effects were found, least significant differences (LSD) 311 were used to assess differences between individual means. In addition, simple linear 312 regression (all genotypes, 95% confidence limit) was used to determine the 313 relationship between residue-derived N uptake by plants and residue-derived soil 314 CO<sub>2</sub>-C flux rates across genotypes. The software package GenStat (Eighteenth 315 Edition, VSN International Ltd) was used for all statistical analyses. 316

317

318

#### 319 **3.0 Results**

320

#### 321 **3.1 Plant growth and <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>N enrichment**

322

All plants were at tillering stage on harvest date, 34d after planting, and no significant differences in root and shoot biomass were found between the genotypes (mean 0.66 ± 0.02 g and 0.63 ± 0.03 g root dry weight per plant, and 1.03 ± 0.03 g and 1.02 ± 0.05 g shoot dry weight for residue-amended and residue-unamended treatments, respectively, Figure S1). The plants showed no signs of pathogen or pest infestation, nor nutrient or water deficiency. At harvest, plant tissue  $\delta^{13}$ C enrichment (average -35.05‰) and  $\delta^{15}$ N enrichment from the residue-amended treatments (average 225.08‰) did not significantly differ between genotypes.

331

332

#### **333 3.2 Soil CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux**

334

Total CO<sub>2</sub>-C flux rates from the unplanted soils (residue-amended and 335 residue-unamended fallow soils) were always smaller than planted treatments 336 throughout the experiment period (Figure 1a). Similarly, the total CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux rate 337 from the residue-unamended fallow soil was consistently smaller than that from the 338 residue-amended fallow soil. Furthermore, total CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux rates from both fallow 339 treatments slightly declined over the experiment period, but the  $\delta^{13}$ C signature of the 340 CO<sub>2</sub> evolved from the residue-unamended fallow did not change while the  $\delta^{13}$ C 341 signature of the CO<sub>2</sub> evolved in the residue-amended fallow also declined over time 342 (370.39‰ at 20d to 190.03‰ at 33d). For the planted soils, the  $\delta^{13}$ C signature of 343 CO<sub>2</sub> emitted from the residue-unamended treatments did not change over time, 344 while in the residue-amended planted treatments the  $\delta^{13}$ C signature of the CO<sub>2</sub> 345 emitted declined (a mean of 217.57‰ at 20d to a mean of 46.84‰ at 33d). The 346 measured  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes from the residue-amended planted soils 347 were not significantly different from their predicted  $\delta^{13}$ C values (Table 1). 348

In the residue-amended soil, total CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux rates were significantly 349 (P<0.05) different between genotypes at 20d, 23d and 27d but not at 33d (Figure 350 1a), and the greatest genotype difference between the largest and smallest total 351 respiration rate of 14% was observed at 20d. In the residue-unamended soil, the 352 total CO<sub>2</sub>-C fluxes varied between genotypes at all sampling dates (Figure 1a), with 353 the greatest genotypic variation between the largest and smallest total respiration 354 rate of 12% observed at 27d. During the first sampling dates (i.e. at 20d, 23d and 355 27d), total CO<sub>2</sub>-C fluxes were larger (by 26% average) in the residue-amended soil 356 357 relative to the residue-unamended soil for all genotypes and increased over time. At the last sampling (33d), however, the largest total CO<sub>2</sub>-C flux rates were from 358 residue-unamended planted treatments (Figure 1a). 359

Residue amendment in soil alone increased SOM mineralization (positive 360 priming) at all sampling dates (20d, 23d, 27d and 33d) (Figure 1b), with significant 361 (P<0.05) increases in SOM mineralization in the residue-amended fallow treatment 362 of 6% (relative to the residue-unamended fallow soil) observed at 20d and 33d. SOM 363 mineralization was to a greater extent influenced by barley plant roots throughout the 364 experiment period (Figure 1b). For the residue-amended soil and residue-365 unamended soil, presence of plants increased SOM mineralization by up to 16% at 366 20d and up to 86% and 114%, respectively, at 33d (relative to respective fallow 367 treatments), while the combination of plants and residue amendment in soil 368 increased SOM mineralization by up to 22% at 20d and up to 95% at 33d (both 369 relative to the residue-unamended fallow treatment). SOM mineralization in the 370 residue-amended soil planted with RCSL 124 and RCSL 144 was consistently 371 greater at the first three sampling dates (20d, 23d and 27d). For these treatments 372 and sampling dates, the primed C (resulting from the combination of plants and 373

residue amendment in soil) was not significantly different from the sum of primed C 374 induced by residue amendment in soil alone and that induced by presence of plants 375 alone. In contrast, at 33d SOM mineralization was greater (P<0.05) in the residue-376 unamended soil compared to the residue-amended soil for all three genotypes 377 (Figure 1b). While the presence of plants or residue incorporation into soil generally 378 increased SOM mineralization, for one genotype (RCSL 44) the combination of 379 plants and residues reduced SOM mineralization relative to control soils (negative 380 priming) at the first sampling (20d) (Figure 1b). All in all, SOM priming significantly 381 382 (P<0.05) varied between the three barley genotypes regardless of soil treatment.

The barley root-derived CO<sub>2</sub>-C flux rates varied between the three genotypes at all four sampling dates (20d, 23d, 27d and 33d), with the average difference of between the largest and smallest barley root-derived CO<sub>2</sub>-C flux rates (Figure 1c). For each genotype, the barley root-derived soil respiration rate did not change over the experiment period.

Mineralization of ryegrass root residues mixed in soil also significantly 388 (P<0.05) varied between genotypes at all four sampling dates, and rates of residue-389 derived CO<sub>2</sub>-C fluxes continuously declined over time (Figure 2a). On average, 390 residue-derived CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux rate in planted treatments declined by 61.9% between 391 20d and 33d, which was higher compared with the unplanted soil in which residue-392 derived CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux rate declined by 55.9% over the same period (data not shown). 393 The largest variation in residue mineralization in soil between the genotypes was 394 observed at the first sampling (20d), where residue-derived CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux in the 395 RCSL 124 treatment was 11% larger (P<0.05) relative to that in the RCSL 44 396 treatment. 397

399

#### 400 3.3 Plant <sup>15</sup>N uptake

401

Residue-derived N uptake by plants, calculated as mg N incorporated into 402 biomass (root + shoot biomass), is shown in Figure 2b. The differences between 403 genotypes in residue-derived N uptake were not significant, but their pattern 404 positively corresponded with that of the genotypes impacts on residue mineralization. 405 For example, the largest residue-derived N uptake (Figure 2b) was measured in 406 407 genotype RCSL 124, the same treatment where the largest residue mineralization rate (Figure 2a) in soil was consistently observed. This relationship between residue-408 derived N uptake by plants and residue mineralization in soil, as calculated using 409 regression analysis (95% confidence limit) (Figure 3), was significant (P<0.05) at all 410 time points and was strongest at 27d (P=0.002). On average, 12% of the total 411 residue N added to soil was recovered in the harvested plant tissues, which was 412 approximately 2.2% of the total plant biomass N. 413

- 414
- 415

#### 416 3.4 Soil microbial biomass C and soil mineral N

417

The incorporation of ryegrass root residue in soil did not significantly affect the total MBC. Furthermore, neither the residue-amended soil nor the residueunamended soil significantly differed between genotypes in total MBC and SOMderived MBC (Table 2). Likewise, in the residue-amended soil, the proportion of residue-derived MBC did not significantly differ between genotypes. However, the rhizodeposition-derived MBC significantly (*P*<0.05) varied between genotypes (Table</li>
2).

For soil mineral N at harvest, there were no significant differences in ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) and nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) concentrations (average 0.43  $\mu$ g NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and 0.98  $\mu$ g NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil) between the genotypes in both soil treatments. The presence of plants, however, greatly reduced the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration in soil (from a mean of 30.22  $\mu$ g NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil in unplanted controls), but did not significantly alter the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration. Comparing the fallow treatments, residue incorporation in soil also did not significantly alter the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations.

432

433

#### 434 **3.5 Distribution of C and N in soil particle-size fractions**

435

At harvest (34d), there were no significant differences between genotypes in 436 total organic C remaining in soil and its component fractions of rhizodeposition- and 437 SOM-derived C (for the residue-unamended soil) or rhizodeposition-, residue- and 438 SOM-derived C (for the residue-amended soil) recovered in each soil particle-size 439 fraction, i.e. coarse sand, fine sand or silt plus clay. Total organic N also did not vary 440 between genotypes in all three soil particle-size fractions, nor residue-derived N in 441 442 the residue-amended treatments (Table 3). For all genotypes, the largest proportions of total organic C, total organic N and their component fractions of SOM- and 443 residue-derived C or N were recovered in the silt plus clay fraction, while there were 444 no significant differences in the recovered amounts of rhizodeposit C between soil 445 particle-size fractions (Table 3). 446

448

#### 449 **4.0 Discussion**

450

## 451 **4.1 Effects of plant rhizodeposits, residue addition to soil or their combination**452 **on SOM priming**

453

This study showed that the presence of plants, residue incorporation into soil 454 or their combination all induced positive SOM priming, except for one residue-455 456 amended planted treatment that induced negative SOM priming at the first sampling. Importantly, this study showed that during the first three sampling dates priming 457 effects induced in the residue-amended planted soil were very similar to the sum of 458 priming effects induced by residue amendment in soil alone and that caused by 459 presence of plants alone for the respective genotypes. At the last sampling, 460 however, the combination of plants and residues induced smaller priming effects 461 than plants alone. These results provide a clear demonstration of the dynamic 462 combined effects of plant and residue on SOM priming, and that these effects were 463 additive during the first three sampling dates. The measured and the predicted  $\delta^{13}C$ 464 signatures of the CO<sub>2</sub> efflux from soil (in treatments with three C sources), that were 465 very similar, are also consistent with the effects of plant and residues on SOM 466 priming being additive. 467

The positive SOM priming caused by presence of plants, residue incorporation into soil or their combination was likely due to increased microbial activity resulting from inputs of labile C as rhizodeposit C or residue C (i.e. the microbial activation hypothesis, Cheng and Kuzyakov, 2005), considering that there were no significant differences in total MBC between genotypes or residue

treatments. Indeed, because we did not apply fertilizer to soil, and plant growth may 473 have caused nutrient limitation in soil, we suggest that microbes used the 474 rhizodeposits or the labile fraction of residue C to release nutrients from SOM (i.e. 475 microbial N mining, Fontaine et al., 2011). The large C/N ratio of the residue (35.8) 476 also supports the assumption of microbial N mining of SOM. Others have attributed 477 the increase in SOM mineralization caused by plants (Dijkstra et al., 2009, 2013; 478 Frank and Groffman, 2009; Bengtson et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2016; Wang et al., 479 2016) or crop residues returned to soil (Li et al., 2013; Thangarajan et al., 2013; 480 Moreno-Cornejo et al., 2014) to microbial activation by inputs of labile C. 481 Furthermore, we propose that greater positive SOM priming caused by the 482 combination of plants and residues during the first three sampling dates, compared 483 to that caused by plants alone, was due to an overall increase in labile C input from 484 the two C sources combined. On the other hand, at the last sampling the smaller 485 positive SOM priming caused by the combination of plants and residues, compared 486 to plants alone, could be due to temporary microbial adjustment when the easily 487 decomposable fraction of the residues was significantly reduced (discussed 488 underneath), thereby altering the overall labile C input. The negative SOM priming 489 observed in one planted treatment at the first sampling date is consistent with the 490 soil containing sufficient nutrients initially (section 3.4), and that microbes 491 preferentially switched from decomposing SOM to using the labile C from 492 rhizodeposition or the residue (Cheng, 1999). This negative SOM priming found in 493 one planted treatment at the first sampling (20d) is also in line with previous findings 494 (Mwafulirwa et al., 2016) where all barley genotypes induced negative SOM priming 495 at 19d and positive SOM priming thereafter. 496

Irrespective of soil treatment (i.e. residue-amended soil or residue-497 unamended soil), there were significant differences in SOM priming between the 498 barley genotypes. This result also corroborates earlier findings (Mwafulirwa et al., 499 2016) where differences in SOM mineralization between barley genotypes were 500 linked to potential differences in the quality (composition) of rhizodeposits between 501 the genotypes. This result was expected when taking into account that the barley 502 genotypes used in this study were selected for their potential variations in 503 rhizodeposit C and their respective impacts on SOM mineralization (section 2.1). As 504 505 such, the differences in SOM priming between genotypes observed here were also likely caused by variations in rhizodeposit guality between genotypes, especially that 506 other plant factors such as phenology and root or shoot biomass production, known 507 to affect gross rates of rhizodeposition (Kuzyakov and Domanski, 2000), did not 508 differ between genotypes. 509

510

511

#### 512 **4.2 Barley genotype-specific impacts on residue mineralization**

513

The three barley genotypes also varied in affecting the mineralization of 514 ryegrass root residues incorporated in soil, suggesting that the differential activation 515 516 of soil microbes resulting from differences in rhizodeposit quality between the genotypes also influenced residue mineralization. Our study therefore also 517 demonstrates that intraspecies variation on the decomposition of plant materials in 518 soil, such as roots from a previous cropping cycle or plant-derived organic 519 amendments, also impacts nutrient release from those plant materials (section 4.4). 520 The decline in residue-derived CO<sub>2</sub>-C over time was expected considering that there 521

was a single addition of residues at the start of the experiment, meaning that the residue amount, or its labile fraction, would decline over the experimental period. Another laboratory incubation experiment that used single additions of plant residues in unplanted soil (Majumder and Kuzyakov, 2010) also observed that the easily decomposable part of ryegrass residue mineralized strongly at the initial phase of incubation, and the residue impact on soil respiration declined following the depletion of the labile residue fraction.

- 529
- 530

#### 531 4.3 Recovery of C and N pools in soil

532

For all genotypes, largest proportions of total organic C, total organic N and 533 their component fractions of SOM- and residue-derived C or N were recovered in the 534 silt plus clay fraction, while there were no significant differences in the recovered 535 amounts of rhizodeposit C between soil particle-size fractions. It is known that the 536 fine soil particle-size fraction (i.e. the silt plus clay fraction) is associated with 537 protected SOM pools relative to SOM within coarse soil fractions that is associated 538 with less protected and labile pools (Gregorich et al., 1988; Six and Jastrow, 2002; 539 Von Lutzow et al., 2007). Therefore, in this study the recovery of large proportions of 540 541 SOM-derived C and N in the silt plus clay fraction relative to the coarse soil fractions was as expected. However, the recovery of large proportions of residue-derived C 542 and N in the silt plus clay fraction may mean that a large proportion of the initial 543 ryegrass root residue incorporated into soil was turned over by microbes over the 544 experiment period, considering that most of the products of decomposition remaining 545 in soil would accumulate within the fine soil fraction (Vogel et al., 2015). 546

Neither rhizodeposit C recovered in each soil particle-size fraction of coarse 547 sand, fine sand or silt plus clay, nor residue-derived C and N recovered in each soil 548 particle-size fraction, significantly varied between the genotypes. This result is 549 consistent with our previous study (Mwafulirwa et al., 2016) where the three 550 genotypes used in this recent work also did not significantly vary in amounts of 551 rhizodeposit C recovered in each soil particle-size fraction, although in that study 552 other genotypes showed variation in the allocation of rhizodeposit C to the silt plus 553 clay fraction after 40 days, inferring differential stabilization of rhizodeposit C in soil 554 555 between those genotypes.

- 556
- 557

#### 558 4.4 Relationship between mineralization of residue N and plant N uptake

559

Linear regression demonstrated that the residue-derived N taken up by plants 560 was positively correlated with residue mineralization rates in soil although residue-561 derived N uptake by plants did not significantly differ between genotypes. This 562 reveals a direct positive relationship between plant-influenced residue decomposition 563 in soil and uptake of the residue released N by the growing plants, and thus the 564 possibility of selecting crop varieties for greater use efficiency of organic sources of 565 nutrients. The plant-influenced priming of SOM (section 4.1) may also have 566 functioned to supply N, which is in line with the findings of Murphy et al. (2015) who 567 showed that SOM priming is a response mechanism to increase soil N supply. 568

As reviewed by Tilman et al. (2002), crop plants take up about 30-50% of N applied in inorganic form. Availability of residue N is slower (Beare et al., 2002), and the proportion taken up by plants will vary, for example with soil mineral N and SOM

concentrations. Here plant uptake of the residue-derived N of 12% (relative to initial 572 total residue N) was measured at the end of the experiment, and we expect that 573 comparatively more residue-derived N would have been recovered in plant tissue if 574 unextracted residue with a smaller C/N ratio (relative to the C/N ratio of the hot water 575 extracted residue) was used. Indeed, according to Mancinelli et al. (2013), the plant 576 material used as organic amendments in form of green manure have a small C/N 577 578 ratio to ensure rapid biomass decomposition and avoid microbial immobilization of N that would decrease available N in soil. Nevertheless, use of the insoluble fraction in 579 580 our experiment better represents previous crop inputs remaining in soil and enabled accurate measurement of the decomposition-derived residue N. Use of the insoluble 581 fraction avoided causing major impacts on soil processes that could have subdued 582 the genotypes impacts, taking into consideration the findings from a microcosm 583 incubation study by McMahon et al. (2005) where the soluble fraction (leachate) of 584 ryegrass straw strongly influenced decomposer communities in soil compared to 585 unleached and leached straw. 586

587

588

590

We have shown the combination of presence of plants and residue incorporation into soil to result in greater positive SOM priming than the presence of plants alone at 20d, 23d and 27d, but smaller positive SOM priming than the presence of plants alone at the last sampling (33d) when the residue amount in soil was significantly reduced. These results demonstrate dynamic combined effects of rhizodeposit C and other recalcitrant plant-derived inputs in soil (such as dead roots

or plant residues from previous crop) on SOM mineralization in a planted system. 597 Our results are consistent with the effects of plants and residue additions on priming 598 of SOM being additive, in that (except for the last sampling) SOM priming induced by 599 the combination of plants and residues was very similar to the sum of priming effects 600 caused by plants alone and residues alone. Besides the observed genotype-specific 601 influences on SOM mineralization, our work also revealed genotype-specific 602 influences on the mineralization of other recalcitrant sources of C in soil, such as 603 dead root material or plant residues from a previous crop. In addition, we show for 604 605 the first time that plant uptake of the residue released N was linked to plant influence on residue mineralization in soil, suggesting that it is possible to select for crop 606 varieties that have greater use efficiency of organic sources of nutrients, that may 607 608 benefit farmers the most in parts of the world where crop production is limited by inadequate application of chemical fertilizers. These findings provide the first step 609 towards helping improve current strategies, or define new strategies, for sustainable 610 management of C and N dynamics in agricultural soils which next need to be 611 considered across crop species and under field conditions. 612

613

614

#### 615 Acknowledgements

616

This research was funded by a James Hutton Institute international PhD studentship awarded to L. Mwafulirwa. We gratefully acknowledge B. Thornton and G. Martin for their outstanding specialist support in isotope analyses. We also thank T. George, C. De la Fuente Cantó, M. Procee, S. McIntyre, C. Curran and A. Bruce for their respective contributions, B. Duff for her input in statistical analysis, P. Hayes and I. Matus for supplying the original set of the barley RCSLs, and two anonymous

reviewers for their constructive comments on the earlier version of this article.

624

625

626 **References** 

627

Beare, M.H., Wilson, P.E., Fraser, P.M., Butler, R.C., 2002. Management effects on barley straw decomposition, nitrogen release, and crop production. Soil Science Society of America Journal 66, 848-856.

Bengtson, P., Barker, J., Grayston, S.J., 2012. Evidence of a strong coupling
between root exudation, C and N availability, and stimulated SOM decomposition
caused by rhizosphere priming effects. Ecology and Evolution 2, 1843-1852.

Chen, R., Senbayram, M., Blagodatsky, S., Myachina, O., Dittert, K., Lin, X.,
Blagodatskaya, E., Kuzyakov, Y., 2014. Soil C and N availability determine the
priming effect: microbial N mining and stoichiometric decomposition theories. Global
Change Biology 20, 2356-2367.

Cheng, W., 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology19, 313-320.

640 Cheng, W., Kuzyakov, Y., 2005. Root effects on soil organic matter 641 decomposition. American Society of Agronomy Journal 48, 119-144.

Cheng, W.X., Johnson, D.W., Fu, S.L., 2003. Rhizosphere effects on
decomposition: controls of plant species, phenology, and fertilization. Soil Science
Society of America Journal 67, 1418-1427.

Close, T.J., Bhat, P.R., Lonardi, S. et al., 2009. Development and
implementation of high-throughput SNP genotyping in barley. BMC Genomics 10,
582-594.

Comadran, J., Kilian, B., Russell, J. et al., 2012. A barley homolog of
Antirrhinum CENTRORADIALIS is a component of the photoperiod and vernalisation
independent EARLINESS PER SE 2 locus on barley chromosome 2H. Nature
Genetics 44, 1388-1392.

Datta, A., Basak, N., Chaudhari, S.K., Sharma, D.K., 2015. Soil properties and organic carbon distribution under different land uses in reclaimed sodic soils of North-West India. Geoderma Regional 4, 134-146.

De Graaff, M.A., Jastrow, J.D., Gillette, S., Johns, A., Wullschleger, S.D., 2014. Differential priming of soil carbon driven by soil depth and root impacts on carbon availability. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 69, 147-156.

Dijkstra, F.A., Bader, N.E., Johnson, D.W., Cheng, W., 2009. Does accelerated soil organic matter decomposition in the presence of plants increase plant N availability? Soil Biology and Biochemistry 41, 1080-1087.

Dijkstra, F.A., Carrillo, Y., Pendall, E., Morgan, J.A., 2013. Rhizosphere priming: a nutrient perspective. Frontiers in Microbiology, Vol 4, Article 216.

Fontaine, S., Henault, C., Aamor, A., Bdioui, N.,Bloor, J.M.G., Maire, V., Mary,
B., Revaillot, S., Maron, P.A., 2011. Fungi mediate long term sequestration of carbon
and nitrogen in soil through their priming effect. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 43,
86-96.

667 Frank, D.A., Groffman, P.M., 2009. Plant rhizospheric N processes: what we 668 don't know and why we should care. Ecology 90, 1512-1519. Garcia-Pausas, J., Casals, P., Rovira, P., Vallecillo, S., Sebastia, M.T.,
Romanya, J., 2012. Decomposition of labelled roots and root-C and -N allocation
between soil fractions in mountain grasslands. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 49, 6169.

Garcia-Pausas, J., Paterson, E., 2011. Microbial community abundance and
structure are determinants of soil organic matter mineralisation in the presence of
labile carbon. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 43, 1705-1713.

Gregorich, E.G., Kachanoski, R.G., Voroney, R.P., 1988. Ultrasonic
dispersion of aggregates: distribution of organic matter in size fractions. Canadian
Journal of Soil Science 68, 395-403.

Jenkinson, D.S., Fox, R.H., Rayner, J.H., 1985. Interactions between fertilizer
nitrogen and soil nitrogen – the so-called 'priming' effect. Journal of Soil Science 36,
425-444.

Joergensen, R.G., 1996. The fumigation-extraction method to estimate soil microbial biomass: calibration of the  $k_{EC}$  value. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 28, 25-31.

685 Kumar, A., Kuzyakov, Y., Pausch, J., 2016. Maize rhizosphere priming: field 686 estimates using <sup>13</sup>C natural abundance. Plant and Soil 409, 87-97.

687 Kuzyakov, Y., Bol, R., 2006. Sources and mechanisms of priming effect 688 induced in two grassland soils amended with slurry and sugar. Soil Biology and 689 Biochemistry 38, 747-758.

Kuzyakov, Y., Domanski, G., 2000. Carbon input by plants into the soil.
 Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 163, 421-431.

692 Kuzyakov, Y., Friedel, J.K., Stahr, K., 2000. Review of mechanisms and 693 quantification of priming effects. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 32, 1485-1498. Li, L-J., Han, X-Z., You, M-Y., Yuan, Y-U., Ding, X-L., Qiao, Y-F., 2013. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization patterns of two contrasting crop residues in a Mollisol: effects of residue type and placement in soils. European Journal of Soil Biology 54, 1-6.

Majumder, B., Kuzyakov, Y., 2010. Effect of fertilization on decomposition of <sup>14</sup>C labelled plant residues and their incorporation into soil aggregates. Soil and Tillage Research 109, 94-102.

Mancinelli, R., Marinari, S., Di Felice, V., Savin, M.C., E. Campiglia, E., 2013. Soil property, CO<sub>2</sub> emission and aridity index as agroecological indicators to assess the mineralization of cover crop green manure in a Mediterranean environment. Ecological Indicators 34, 31-40.

Matus, I., Corey, A., Filichkin, T. et al., 2003. Development and characterization of Recombinant Chromosome Substitution Lines (RCSLs) using *Hordeum vulgare* subsp. *spontaneum* as a source of donor alleles in a *Hordeum vulgare* subsp. *vulgare* background. Genome 46, 1010-1023.

McMahon, S.K., Williams, M.A., Bottomley, P.J., Myrold, D.D., 2005.
Dynamics of microbial communities during decomposition of carbon-13 labeled
ryegrass fractions in soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 69, 1238-1247.

Millar, N., Baggs, E.M., 2004. Chemical composition, or quality, of agroforestry residues influences N<sub>2</sub>O emissions after their addition to soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 36, 935-943.

Mitchell, D.S., Edwards, A.C., Ferrier, R.C., 2000. Changes in fluxes of N and
P in water draining a stand of Scots pine treated with sewage sludge. Forest Ecology
and Management 139, 203-213.

Moreno-Cornejo, J., Zornoza, R., Faz, A., 2014. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization during decomposition of crop residues in a calcareous soil. Geoderma 230 - 231, 58-63.

Murphy, C.J., Baggs, E.M., Morley, N., Wall, D.P., Paterson, E., 2015. Rhizosphere priming can promote mobilisation of N-rich compounds from soil organic matter. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 81, 236-243.

Mwafulirwa, L., Baggs, E.M., Russell, J., George, T., Morley, N., Sim, A., De la Fuente Cantó, C., Paterson, E., 2016. Barley genotype influences stabilization of rhizodeposition-derived C and soil organic matter mineralization. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 95, 60-69.

Paterson, E., 2003. Importance of rhizodeposition in the coupling of plant and
 microbial productivity. European Journal of Soil Science 54, 741-750.

Paterson, E., Sim, A., Standing, D., Dorward, M., McDonald, A.J.S., 2006.
Root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in response to localized nitrate supply.
Journal of Experimental Botany 57, 2413-2420.

Pausch, J., Loeppmann, S., Kühnel, A., Forbush, K., Kuzyakov, Y., Cheng,
W., 2016. Rhizosphere priming of barley with and without root hairs. Soil Biology and
Biochemistry 100, 74-82.

Rasmussen, C., Southard, R.J., Horwath, W.R., 2007. Soil mineralogy affects
conifer forest soil carbon source utilization and microbial priming. Soil Science
Society of America Journal 71, 1141-1150.

San-Emeterio, L., Canals, R.M., Herman, D.J., 2014. Combined effects of
labile and recalcitrant carbon on short-term availability of nitrogen in intensified
arable soil. European Journal of Soil Science 65, 377-385.

Shahzad, T., Chenu, C., Genet, P., Barot, S., Perveen, N., Mougin, C.,
Fontaine, S., 2015. Contribution of exudates, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and litter
depositions to the rhizosphere priming effect induced by grassland species. Soil
Biology and Biochemistry 80, 146-155.

Siciliano, S.D., Germida, J.J., Banks, K., Greer, C.W., 2003. Changes in
microbial community composition and function during a polyaromatic hydrocarbon
phytoremediation field trial. Applied Environmental Microbiology 69, 483-489.

Six, J., Jastrow, J.D., 2002. Soil organic matter turnover. P. 936-942. In: R.
Lal (Ed.) Encyclopedia of Soil Science. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, NY.

Thangarajan, R., Bolan, N.S., Tian, G., Naidu, R., Kunhikrishnan, A., 2013.
Role of organic amendment application on greenhouse gas emission from soil.
Science of the Total Environment 465, 72-96.

Tilman, D., Cassman, K.G., Matson, P.A., Naylor, R., Polasky, S., 2002.
Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. NATURE 418, 671-677.
Vance, E.D., Brookes, P.C., Jenkinson, D.S., 1987. An extraction method for
measuring soil microbial biomass-C. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 19, 703-707.

Vogel, C., Heister, K., Buegger, F., Tanuwidjaja, I., Haug, S., Schloter, M., Kögel-Knabner, I., 2015. Clay mineral composition modifies decomposition and sequestration of organic carbon and nitrogen in fine soil fractions. Biology and Fertility of Soils 51, 427-44.

Von Lutzow, M., Kogel-Knabner, I., Ekschmitt, K., Flessa, H., Guggenberger,
G., Matzner, E., Marschner, B., 2007. SOM fractionation methods: Relevance to
functional pools and to stabilization mechanisms. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 39,
2183-2207.

Wang, X., Tang, C., Severi, J., Butterly, CR., Baldock, JA., 2016. Rhizosphere
priming effect on soil organic carbon decomposition under plant species differing in
soil acidification and root exudation. New Phytologist 211, 864-873.

Zancarini, A., Mougel, C., Voisin, A-S. et al., 2012. Soil nitrogen availability and plant genotype modify the nutrition strategies of *M. truncatula* and the associated rhizosphere microbial communities. PLoS ONE 7, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047096.

Zhu, B., Cheng, W., 2012. Nodulated soybean enhances rhizosphere priming
effects on soil organic matter decomposition more than non-nodulated soybean. Soil
Biology and Biochemistry 51, 56-65.

Zhu, B., Gutknecht, J.L.M., Herman, D.J., Keck, D.C., Firestone, M.K., Cheng,
W., 2014. Rhizosphere priming effects on soil carbon and nitrogen Mineralization.
Soil Biology and Biochemistry 76, 183-192.

779

780

#### 781 Figure legends

782

**Figure 1**: Total CO<sub>2</sub>-C (a), SOM primed CO<sub>2</sub>-C (b) and barley root-derived CO<sub>2</sub>-C (c) efflux rates for residue-amended and residue-unamended soils planted with 3 barley genotypes (RCSL 124, RCSL 144 and RCSL 44) and from unplanted control soils. PE represent priming effect. Values are means (n=4),  $\pm$  1SEM.

787

**Figure 2**: Residue-derived CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux rates from the residue-amended soil (a) and total residue-derived N uptake by barley plants (b) for three barley genotypes (RCSL 124, RCSL 144 and RCSL 44). Significant (P<0.05) differences between the genotypes are indicated by different lowercase letters. Values are means (n=4), ±
1SEM.

793

**Figure 3**: Relationship (95% confidence limit) between total residue-derived N uptake by plants at harvest and residue-derived soil CO<sub>2</sub>-C flux rates at 20d, 23d, 27d and 33d for three barley genotypes (RCSL 124, RCSL 144 and RCSL 44). Values are individual treatment measurements (n=4).

798

799

#### 800 Table legends

801

**Table 1**: Measured and predicted\*  $\delta^{13}$ C signatures of CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes from residueamended soils planted with three barley genotypes (RCSL 124, RCSL 144 and RCSL 44). Values are means (n=4), ± 1SEM.

805

**Table 2**: Concentrations of rhizodeposition-derived MBC in soil, residue-derived MBC in residue-amended soil and SOM-derived MBC in residue-amended or residue-unamended soil for three barley genotypes (RCSL 124, RCSL 144 and RCSL 44). Different lowercase letters indicate significant (P<0.05) differences between genotypes. Values are means (n=4), ± 1SEM.

811

**Table 3**: Accumulation of SOM-derived C, rhizodeposit C, residue-derived C, residue-derived N and total N in soil particle-size fractions of coarse sand (CS), fine sand (FS) and silt plus clay (S+C) for three barley genotypes (RCSL 124, RCSL 144 and RCSL 44) planted in residue-amended and residue-unamended soil. Different lowercase letters within columns and within rows indicate significant (P<0.05) differences between soil fractions and genotypes, respectively. Values are means (n=4), ± 1SEM.