Temporally versatile polarization entanglement from Bragg-reflection waveguides

A. Schlager,¹ B. Pressl,¹ K. Laiho,^{1,*} H. suchomel,² M. Kamp,² S. Höfling,^{2,3} C. Schneider,² and G. Weihs¹

¹Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Innsbruck, Technikerstraße 25, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

² Technische Physik, Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

³School of Physics & Astronomy, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, KY16 9SS, United Kingdom

Bragg-reflection waveguides emitting broadband parametric down-conversion (PDC) have been proven to be well suited for the on-chip generation of polarization entanglement in a straightforward fashion [R. T. Horn et al., Sci. Rep. 3, 2314 (2013)]. Here, we investigate how the properties of the created states can be modified by controlling the relative temporal delay between the pair of photons created via PDC. Our results offer an easily accessible approach for changing the coherence of the polarization entanglement, in other words, to tune the phase of the off-diagonal elements of the density matrix. Furthermore, we provide valuable insight in the engineering of these states directly at the source.

The verification of the non-classical nature of quantum objects, such as photons, plays an important role to ensure a successful implementation of forthcoming quantum technologies. Among theses tasks is the preparation and characterization of polarization entanglement that provides some of the strongest evidence of the quantum features of light [1–3]. Since the first realizations with parametric down-conversion (PDC) in bulk crystals more than two decades ago [4–6], a lot of effort has been put in replacing them both with ferroelectric [7–11] and semiconductor waveguides [12–14], which provide higher brightnesses and easier alignment in collinear configurations.

In one of such configurations, the cross-polarized PDC photon pairs–called signal and idler–that have at least some nanometers of spectral extent, are divided on a dichroic beam splitter into two paths [15–19]. Due to a strict frequency or energy correlation between signal and idler, a two-partite polarization superposition is created between the output paths of the dichroic mirror. Often, a continuous-wave pump laser is utilized to guarantee tight correlations in the spectral domain [14, 20].

Bragg-reflection waveguides (BRWs) made of AlGaAs generate indistinguishable photon pairs over several tens of nanometers [14, 21] and they have been utilized for narrowband multiplexing of polarization entanglement [22, 23]. Due to the very small birefringence between the orthogonally-polarized signal and idler [24], BRWs may work reasonably well in this configuration even without compensating their temporal walk-off. However, their group index difference is large enough to result into an uncompensated phase. Therefore, the engineering of the spectro-temporal degree of freedom of PDC photon pairs from BRWs is essential for the controlled creation and manipulation of the polarization entanglement.

Changes in the coherence of the polarization entangled states, that is their phase, provide an interesting degree of freedom for the state manipulation [2]. When regarding PDC sources, this is usually accomplished by modifying the characteristics of one of the entangled parties with birefringent retarders [14, 25, 26]. However, the phase control over the joint state can possibly be realized more easily [27–29]. Here, we generate broadband, pulsed polarization entanglement from a collinear BRW source emitting PDC. As the intrinsic group delay of signal and idler causes an uncompensated phase, we tailor the coherence of the created state by introducing an optical path length difference for them. Our results show that the phase of the created state can be tuned flexibly in a very simple manner. Further, we provide an important insight into how the spectro-temporal properties of the joint PDC state can be taken advantage of in the creation and manipulation of polarization entanglement.

Our BRW source generates cross-polarized signal and idler photons via a type-II PDC process. In the low gain regime, the photon-pair state can be approximated as [30, 31]

$$|\psi\rangle = \iint d\omega_s d\omega_i f(\omega_s, \omega_i) \hat{a}_H^{\dagger}(\omega_s) \hat{a}_V^{\dagger}(\omega_i) |0\rangle, \quad (1)$$

in which $f(\omega_s, \omega_i)$ describes the joint spectral amplitude (JSA) of signal (s) and idler (i) in terms of their angular frequencies ω_{μ} ($\mu = s, i$). The operator $\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(\omega)$ accounts for the creation of a photon with a specific angular frequency at either horizontal (H) or vertical (V) polarization ($\sigma = H, V$). The normalization condition ensures that $\iint d\omega_s d\omega_i |f(\omega_s, \omega_i)|^2 = 1$.

For the creation of polarization entanglement we employ the scheme illustrated in Fig. 1(a), also used for BRWs in Refs [14, 20] without delay compensation. The state from (1) is split at a dichroic mirror, which we model as a frequency dependent beam splitter. We take into account the finite steepness of the cut-off edge of the dichroic beam splitter and its polarization dependent behavior. Prior to that, the signal beam is delayed by a time τ with respect to the idler. Thus, we apply the beam splitter transformations

$$\hat{a}_{H}^{\dagger}(\omega_{s}) = \left[\sqrt{T_{H}(\omega_{s})}\hat{A}_{H}^{\dagger}(\omega_{s}) - \sqrt{R_{H}(\omega_{s})}\hat{B}_{H}^{\dagger}(\omega_{s})\right] e^{-i\omega_{s}\tau} \quad \text{and} \\ \hat{a}_{V}^{\dagger}(\omega_{i}) = \sqrt{T_{V}(\omega_{i})}\hat{A}_{V}^{\dagger}(\omega_{i}) - \sqrt{R_{V}(\omega_{i})}\hat{B}_{V}^{\dagger}(\omega_{i}), \qquad (2)$$

^{*} kaisa.laiho@uibk.ac.at



FIG. 1. (a) Scheme for creating polarization entangled states via the type-II PDC emission from a BRW, in which signal and idler pass a polarization selective delay of τ before being split at a dichroic mirror (DM) into two paths A and B. (b) The absolute value of the JSA for our BRW in terms of the wavelength λ subjected to the spectral filtering of our experiment. It is calculated using the PDC process parameters measured in Refs [24, 32]. The output paths taken by the *H*-polarized signal and *V*-polarized idler are shown in the different spectral regions. The dotted lines mark the cut-off edge of the DM.

in which $T_{\sigma}(\omega_{\mu})$ and $R_{\sigma}(\omega_{\mu})$ are the polarization dependent, real-valued transmission and reflection coefficients of the dichroic mirror, for which the relation $T_{\sigma}(\omega_{\mu}) + R_{\sigma}(\omega_{\mu}) = 1$ holds. Further, in (2) the properties of signal and idler are expressed in terms of the transmitted and reflected output arms of the dichroic mirror labeled with A and B, respectively.

We plug the transformations in (2) into (1) in order to derive the polarization entangled state. We keep only the terms that can cause coincidences between A and B. This approximation is justified by the shape of the JSA in our BRW and only a very small part of the JSA is neglected as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). We express the post-selected state in the form

$$\begin{split} |\phi\rangle &\approx \iint d\omega_s d\omega_i \mathrm{e}^{-i\omega_s\tau} \Big[g(\omega_s,\omega_i) |\omega_s,H\rangle_A |\omega_i,V\rangle_B \\ &+ h(\omega_s,\omega_i) |\omega_i,V\rangle_A |\omega_s,H\rangle_B \Big], \end{split}$$
(3)

in which

$$g(\omega_s, \omega_i) = f(\omega_s, \omega_i) \sqrt{T_H(\omega_s) R_V(\omega_i)}$$
 and (4)

$$h(\omega_s, \omega_i) = f(\omega_s, \omega_i) \sqrt{R_H(\omega_s) T_V(\omega_i)}.$$
 (5)

Further, we use in (3) the short notation $\hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(\omega_{\mu})|0\rangle = |\omega_{\mu}, \sigma\rangle_{A}$ and similar for the arm *B*. In order to calculate the density matrix of the polarization entangled state ρ we trace the density matrix $\tilde{\rho} = |\phi\rangle \langle \phi|$ of the state in (3) over the signal and idler angular frequencies and obtain

$$\rho = 1/\mathcal{N} \iint d\omega' d\omega''_A \langle \omega' | _B \langle \omega'' | \tilde{\rho} | \omega'' \rangle_B | \omega' \rangle_A , \qquad (6)$$

in which \mathcal{N} is a normalization constant. Using the relation $_A\langle \omega' | \omega_\mu, \sigma \rangle_A = \delta(\omega' - \omega_\mu) | \sigma \rangle_A$ and similar for the arm B, we can rewrite the density matrix of the polarization entangled state in (6) as

$$\rho = \alpha |HV\rangle_{AB AB} \langle HV| + \mathcal{D}(\tau) |VH\rangle_{AB AB} \langle HV| + \mathcal{D}^{*}(\tau) |HV\rangle_{AB AB} \langle VH| + \beta |VH\rangle_{AB AB} \langle VH|$$
(7)

in which α and β are the diagonal elements of the density matrix, the sum of which is normalized to unity. The complex-valued off-diagonal elements, $\mathcal{D}(\tau)$ and its complex conjugate $\mathcal{D}^*(\tau)$, quantify the amount of entanglement in the created state, which we call the *degree* of polarization entanglement, shortly \mathcal{D} -parameter [33]. This parameter is highly dependent on τ and the state characteristics can be manipulated by changing the relative delay between signal and idler.

The elements of the density matrix in (7) are determined by the spectral properties of the PDC emission and can be evaluated via $\alpha = 1/\mathcal{N} \iint d\omega' d\omega'' |g(\omega', \omega'')|^2$, $\beta = 1/\mathcal{N} \iint d\omega' d\omega'' |h(\omega'', \omega')|^2$ as well as

$$\mathcal{D}(\tau) = 1/\mathcal{N} \iint d\omega' d\omega'' \mathrm{e}^{i(\omega'-\omega'')\tau} h(\omega'',\omega') g^*(\omega'\omega'').$$
(8)

We emphasize that the trace in (6) causes mixedness and therefore, the density matrix cannot generally be written in terms of a pure polarization entangled state. Moreover, the purity of the state in (7) is greatly dependent on the temporal characteristics of the \mathcal{D} -parameter that are governed by the properties of the underlying PDC process [33–35].

In our experiment shown in Fig. 2 we use a pulsed laser as the pump of the PDC process, whose spectral properties (767.6 nm central wavelength, 0.8 nm bandwidth) are controlled with a grating setup. After spatial mode control with a single mode fiber, the proper power and polarization of the pump beam are chosen. The pump pulses are coupled into our BRW with a 100x microscope objective and collimated afterwards with a high numerical aperture aspheric lens having a 3 mm focal length.



FIG. 2. Setup for creating and characterizing the polarization entanglement from a BRW. Abbreviations: AL = aspheric lens, APD = avalanche photo-diode, BP = band passfilter, CM = curved mirror, DM = dichroic mirror, G = grating, HWP = half-wave plate, L = lens, M = mirror, MO= microscope objective, P = polarizer, PH = pinhole, PM =power meter, QP = quartz plate, QWP = quarter-wave plate,SM = spectrometer, SMF = single mode fiber, SP = shortpass filter.

The investigated BRW with the same layer structure as in Refs [21, 24, 32] has 4 μ m ridge width, is 1.87 mm long and its front facet is anti-reflection coated for the pump wavelengths. Signal and idler are emitted into the fundamental total internal reflection modes, whereas the pump is a higher-order spatial mode called Bragg mode. Behind the BRW, the pump beam with an average power of about 250 μ W is separated from the emitted signal and idler beams with a dichroic mirror. Thereafter, a spectral filter with 40 nm bandwidth and a central wavelength of 1535.2 nm, corresponding to the PDC degeneracy wavelength, is employed to limit the spectral extent of the emitted photon pairs in order to suppress background light. The PDC emission is then sent to a dichroic mirror centered at the degeneracy and having about 7 nm broad step between transmission and reflection bands for the both polarizations. At the two output arms we use a combination of half- and quarter-wave plates as well as sheet polarizers for setting the desired polarizations for the tomographic reconstruction of the density matrix. Finally, we couple the transmitted light into single mode fibers and use commercial, gated avalanche photodetectors having a quantum efficiency of about 0.2 for counting the coincidences and singles at a measurement rate of 1.9 MHz and acquisition time of 2 min.

The pump power is selected such that the coincidencesto-accidentals ratio (CAR) is approximately 9.5(5). We extract the CAR from the measurement of the coincidence and single count rates that are about 4/s and 870/s, respectively, at the arms A and B, when one of them passes light in H-polarization and the other one in V-polarization [21]. A value as high as possible for the CAR is desired in order to suppress the higher photonnumber contributions in the PDC emission and spurious counts that cause an undesired background on the measured coincidences. It is also strong evidence of the concurrent production of photons in signal and idler beams, i.e. the pair production.

We implement a relative temporal delay between signal and idler with a 0.82 mm thick quartz plate [36], which according to our earlier experiments [24] is necessary for compensating the group delay between signal and idler. We verify the path length difference along the retarder's fast and slow axis with the help of a spectrophotometer having a spectral range of $0.5 - 2 \ \mu m$ (Perkin-Elmer, Lambda 19). We place the retarder between two crossed polarizers such that the input polarization is rotated in the retarder due to its birefringence. The strong wavelength dependence of the retardance causes fringes in the system's transmission. This approach yields a relative temporal difference of $\Delta \tau = 25.9(4)$ fs between its fast and slow axis near 1550 nm.

We reconstruct the density matrices of the generated states from 36 correlation measurements between the two output paths of the dichroic mirror. The created state is projected onto all polarization combinations of H/V, $D^+/D^- = (H \pm V)/\sqrt{2}$ and $R/L = (H \pm iV)/\sqrt{2}$. For the state tomography we employ standard optimization



FIG. 3. (a) Real and (b) imaginary part of the reconstructed density matrix for the case (i). See Data File 1 for underlying values. The two main diagonal elements of the density matrix take the values of 0.52(1) and 0.43(1). The undesired background in the remaining diagonal elements is about 0.05. The \mathcal{D} -parameter takes the value of $0.243(13) \pm i \cdot 0.259(12)$ corresponding to the weights of the main off-diagonal elements.

algorithms with the subtraction of accidental counts [37]. We further note that although achromatic components are used, a polarimeter study reveals small deviations from the desired polarization within the broad spectral band of signal and idler.

The relative time delay between signal and idler is controlled with the orientation of the fast axis of the quartz plate or by removing it from the setup. In total we can measure the density matrix at three temporal delays of (i) 0, (ii) $+\Delta\tau$ and (iii) $-\Delta\tau$. In Fig. 3 we show the reconstructed density matrix for the case (i). We clearly notice that the main diagonal elements of the density matrices have slightly different weights that can be explained by a slight mismatch in the central wavelengths of the broadband filter and the dichroic mirror. We further notice that there is a rather large background noise in many elements of the reconstructed density matrix. It is most probably caused by background light such as fluorescence from the BRW and imperfect optics distorting the polarization of light. Also the rather low CAR achieved hints at the existence of the spurious counts. However, most importantly, we see that the \mathcal{D} -parameter exhibits both real and imaginary parts, which means that the intrinsic group delay of signal and idler is non-negligible.

Next, we use the quartz plate to manipulate the \mathcal{D} parameter, whereas the diagonal elements of the density matrix vary only slightly. In case (ii) we compensate the relative temporal delay between signal and idler to suppress the imaginary part of the off-diagonal terms in the density matrix. From the raw coincidence counts measured for the state tomography we extract the maximal visibilities of 0.78(3), 0.68(3) and 0.68(3) in H/V, D^+/D^- and R/L basis, respectively. We achieve a \mathcal{D} parameter with the value of $0.361(12) \pm i \cdot 0.132(13)$. This parameter can also become fully imaginary close to the delay used in case (iii), in which case we extract the value of $0.097(15) \pm i \cdot 0.242(14)$. In order to examine this timedependence in more detail, we illustrate in Fig. 4(a) the obtained \mathcal{D} -parameters with respect to the temporal delay between signal and idler together with (8), which is



FIG. 4. (a) Predicted values for the real and imaginary parts as well as for the absolute value of the \mathcal{D} -parameter vs. the temporal delay. The measured values are marked with diamonds, circles and squares, respectively. The dotted lines illustrate the corresponding degradation of the theoretical curves due to the experimental imperfections. The inset shows the expected (solid line), due to the imperfections degraded (dotted line) and measured (symbols) purity extracted via $\operatorname{Tr}(\rho^2)$. (b) The predicted phase (solid line) extracted via arg (\mathcal{D}) vs. the temporal delay together with the measured values (symbols).

calculated with the JSA in Fig. 1(b). The inset shows the purity of the created state with respect to the temporal delay, indicating a strong drop in it within the investigated time window. In Fig. 4(b) we extract the phase of the \mathcal{D} -parameter, which exhibits a linear behavior.

The highest values for the \mathcal{D} -parameter and purity are reached as expected when the relative temporal delay between signal and idler is compensated, and the degree of polarization entanglement should be real-valued. Otherwise the state is mixed, finally exhibiting no coherence, in other words, the off-diagonal elements of the density matrix vanish. Nevertheless, within this time window we can tune the phase of the \mathcal{D} -parameter by changing the delay between signal and idler. Our theoretical predictions can qualitatively verify the expected trend, however, the measured values are somewhat decreased. We take these 4

imperfections into account empirically by shifting the theoretical prediction for $\mathcal{D}(\tau)$ in time with the offset clearly visible in Fig. 4(b) between the experiment and theory and by scaling down its amplitude, which is diminished from the prediction with the background-free theory as already indicated by the measured raw visibilities in D^+/D^- and R/L bases. Finally, we find a good agreement with the theory and experiment also shown in Fig. 4(a). We summarize the achieved values for the purity, fidelity and concurrence [38] in Table I.

To conclude, we studied the temporal characteristics of the polarization entangled states in terms of the \mathcal{D} parameter. These states were created by splitting the broadband, pulsed PDC emission from our BRW with a

Case	Purity	Fidelity	Concurrence
(i)	0.77(2)	93.0(6)	70(3)
(ii)	0.80(2)	93.2(6)	75(3)
(iii)	0.65(2)	92.2(7)	50(3)

TABLE I. Extracted values for the purity, fidelity with the simulated states from (7) evaluated via $\text{Tr}(\sqrt{\rho^{1/2}\rho_{\text{Exp}}\rho^{1/2}})$ with ρ_{Exp} being the experimentally reconstructed density matrix, and concurrence for the cases (i)-(iii).

dichroic mirror and by manipulating the relative delay between signal and idler prior to that. Our results illustrate the necessity of an accurate temporal control when creating these states via PDC emitted from BRWs. We have shown that the group delay difference between signal and idler is non-negligible, affecting the \mathcal{D} -parameter in an uncontrolled manner. However, its phase can easily be tuned if the relative delay between signal and idler is changed, excluding the need for modifying the state afterwards in the individual paths of the two separate parties. Our results deliver insight into the internal phase of the PDC emission and emphasizes the possibilities for the state manipulation directly at the source.

This work was supported by the FWF through project no. I-2065-N27, the DFG Project no. SCHN1376/2-1, the ERC project *EnSeNa* (257531) and the State of Bavaria. We thank A. Wolf and S. Kuhn for assistance during sample growth and fabrication.

- K. Edamatsu, "Entangled photons: Generation, observation, and characterization," Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 46, 7175–7187 (2007).
- [2] J.-W. Pan, Z.-B. Chen, C.-Y. Lu, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger, and M. Zukowski, "Multiphoton entanglement and interferometry," Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 777 (2012).
- [3] O. Alibart, V. D'Auria, M. D. Micheli, F. Doutre, F. Kaiser, L. Labonte, T. Lunghi, E. Picholle, and S. Tanzilli, "Quantum photonics at telecom wavelengths based on lithium niobate waveguides," J. Opt. 18, 104001 (2016).
- [4] Z. Y. Ou and L. Mandel, "Violation of bell's inequality and classical probability in a two-photon correlation experiment," Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 50 (1988).
- [5] Y. H. Shih and C. O. Alley, "New type of einsteinpodolsky-rosen-bohm experiment using pairs of light quanta produced by optical parametric down conversion," Phys. Rev. Lett 61, 2921 (1988).
- [6] P. G. Kwiat, K. Mattle, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger, A. V. Sergienko, and Y. Shih, "New high-intensity source of polarization-entangled photon pairs," Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4337 (1995).
- [7] Y.-K. Jiang and A. Tomita, "Highly efficient

polarization-entangled photon source using periodically poled lithium niobate waveguides," Opt. Comm. **267**, 278 (2006).

- [8] H. C. Lim, A. Yoshizawa, H. Tsuchida, and K. Kikuchi, "Stable source of high quality telecom-band polarizationentangled photon-pairs based on a single, pulse-pumped, short ppln waveguide," Opt. Express 16, 12460 (2008).
- [9] T. Zhong, X. Hu, F. N. C. Wong, K. K. Berggren, T. D. Roberts, and P. Battle, "High-quality fiber-optic polarization entanglement distribution at 1.3 μ m telecom wavelength," Opt. Lett. **35**, 1392–1394 (2010).
- [10] A. Martin, A. Issautier, H. Herrmann, W. Sohler, D. B. Ostrowsky, O. Alibart, and S. Tanzilli, "A polarization entangled photon-pair source based on a type-ii ppln waveguide emitting at a telecom wavelength," New J. Phys. 12, 103005 (2010).
- [11] H. Herrmann, X. Yang, A. Thomas, A. Poppe, W. Sohler, and C. Silberhorn, "Post-selection free, integrated optical source of non-degenerate, polarization entangled photon pairs," Opt. Express 21, 27981 (2013).
- [12] A. Valles, M. Hendrych, J. Svozilik, R. Machulka, P. Abolghasem, D. Kang, B. J. Bijlani, A. S. Helmy, and J. P. Torres, "Generation of polarization-entangled photon pairs in a bragg reflection waveguide," Opt. Express 21, 10841 (2013).
- [13] A. Orieux, A. Eckstein, A. Lemaitre, P. Filloux, I. Favero, G. Leo, T. Coudreau, A. Keller, P. Milman, and S. Ducci, "Direct bell states generation on a iii-v semiconductor chip at room temperature," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 160502 (2013).
- [14] R. T. Horn, P. Kolenderski, D. Kang, P. Abolghasem, C. Scarcella, A. D. Frera, A. Tosi, L. G. Helt, S. V. Zhukovsky, J. E. Sipe, G. Weihs, A. S. Helmy, and T. Jennewein, "Inherent polarization entanglement generated from a monolithic semiconductor chip," Sci. Rep. 3, 2314 (2013).
- [15] Z. H. Levine, J. Fan, J. Chen, and A. L. Migdall, "Polarization-entangled photon pairs from a periodically poled crystalline waveguide," Opt. Express 19, 6724 (2011).
- [16] F. Kaiser, A. Issautier, L. A. Ngah, O. Danila, H. Herrmann, W. Sohler, A. Martin, and S. Tanzilli, "Highquality polarization entanglement state preparation and manipulation in standard telecommunication channels," New J. Phys. 14, 085015 (2012).
- [17] N. Bruno, E. Z. Cruzeiro, A. Martin, and R. Thew, "Simple, pulsed, polarization entangled photon pair source," Opt. Comm. **327**, 3 (2014).
- [18] P. S. Kuo, T. Gerrits, V. B. Verma, and S. W. Nam, "Spectral correlation and interference in non-degenerate photon pairs at telecom wavelengths," Opt. Lett. 41, 5074–5077 (2016).
- [19] F. Laudenbach, S. Kalista, M. Hentschel, P. Walther, and H. Hübel, "A novel single-crystal & single-pass source for polarisation- and colour-entangled photon pairs," arXiv:1612.06579 (2016).
- [20] D. Kang, M. Kim, and A. S. Helmy, "Two polarizationentangled sources from the same semiconductor chip," Phys. Rev. A 92, 013821 (2015).
- [21] T. Günthner, B. Pressl, K. Laiho, J. Gessler, S. Höfling, M. Kamp, C. Schneider, and G. Weihs, "Broadband indistinguishability from bright parametric downconversion in a semiconductor waveguide," J. Opt. 17, 125201 (2015).

- [22] D. Kang, A. Anirban, and A. S. Helmy, "Monolithic semiconductor chips as a source for broadband wavelengthmultiplexed polarization entangled photons," Opt. Express 24, 15160 (2016).
- [23] C. Autebert, J. Trapateau, A. Orieux, A. Lemaitre, C. Gomez-Carbonell, E. Diamanti, I. Zaquine, and S. Ducci, "Multi-user quantum key distribution with entangled photons from an algaas chip," Quantum Sci. Technol. 1, 01LT02 (2016).
- [24] K. Laiho, B. Pressl, A. Schlager, H. Suchomel, M. Kamp, S. Höfling, C. Schneider, and G. Weihs, "Uncovering dispersion properties in semiconductor waveguides to study photon-pair generation," Nanotechnology 27, 434003 (2016).
- [25] K. Mattle, H. Weinfurter, P. G. Kwiat, and A. Zeilinger, "Dense coding in experimental quantum communication," Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4656 (1996).
- [26] L. Sansoni, F. Sciarrino, G. Vallone, P. Mataloni, A. Crespi, R. Ramponi, and R. Osellame, "Polarization entangled state measurement on a chip," Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 200503 (2010).
- [27] P. G. Kwiat, E. Waks, A. G. White, I. Appelbaum, and P. H. Eberhard, "Ultrabright source of polarizationentangled photons," Phys. Rev. A 60, R773 (1999).
- [28] F. Steinlechner, P. Trojek, M. Jofre, H. Weier, D. Perez, T. Jennewein, R. Ursin, J. Rarity, M. W. Mitchell, J. P. Torres, H. Weinfurter, and V. Pruneri, "A highbrightness source of polarization-entangled photons optimized for applications in free space," Opt. Express 20, 9640 (2012).
- [29] P. Chen, X. Guo, C. Shu, M. M. T. Loy, and S. Du, "Frequency-induced phase-tunable polarizationentangled narrowband biphotons," Optica 2, 505 (2015).
- [30] W. P. Grice, A. B. U'Ren, and I. A. Walmsley, "Eliminating frequency and space-time correlations in multiphoton states," Phys. Rev. A 64, 063815 (2001).
- [31] Y.-H. Kim and W. P. Grice, "Measurement of the spectral properties of the two-photon state generated via type ii spontaneous parametric downconversion," Opt. Lett. **30**, 908 (2004).
- [32] B. Pressl, T. Günthner, K. Laiho, J. Gessler, S. Höfling, M. Kamp, C. Schneider, and G. Weihs, "Moderesolved fabry-perot experiment in low-loss braggreflection waveguides," Opt. Express 23, 33608 (2015).
- [33] S. V. Zhukovsky, L. G. Helt, D. Kang, P. Abolghasem, A. S. Helmy, and J. E. Sipe, "Generation of maximallypolarization-entangled photons on a chip," Phys. Rev. A 85, 013838 (2012).
- [34] B. Fang, O. Cohen, and V. O. Lorenz, "Polarizationentangled photon-pair generation in commercial-grade polarization-maintaining fiber," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 31, 277–281 (2014).
- [35] H.-T. Lim, K.-H. Hong, and Y.-H. Kim, "Effects of polarization mode dispersion on polarization-entangled photons generated via broadband pumped spontaneous parametric down-conversion," Sci. Rep. 6, 25846 (2016).
- [36] W. P. Grice and I. A. Walmsley, "Spectral information and distinguishability in type-II down-conversion with broadband pump," Phys. Rev. A, 56, 1627 (1997).
- [37] D. F. V. James, P. G. Kwiat, W. J. Munro, and A. G. White, "Measurement of qubits," Phys. Rev. A 64, 052312 (2001).
- [38] S. Hill and W. K. Wootters, "Entanglement of a pair of quantum bits," Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 5022 (1997).