

#### Blockade of Migration inhibitory factor-CD74 signalling on 1

- macrophages and dendritic cells restores the anti-tumour immune 2
- response against metastatic melanoma. 3
- Carlos R. Figueiredo<sup>1,2</sup>, Ricardo A. Azevedo<sup>2</sup>, Sasha Mousdell<sup>1</sup>, Pedro T. Resende-Lara<sup>3,4</sup>, , Lucy Ireland<sup>1</sup>, Almudena Santos<sup>1</sup>, Natalia Girola<sup>2</sup>, Rodrigo L.O.R. Cunha<sup>5</sup>, Michael C. 4
- 5
- Schmid<sup>1</sup>, Luciano Polonelli<sup>6</sup>, Luiz R. Travassos<sup>2</sup>, Ainhoa Mielgo<sup>1\*</sup>. 6
- 7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular and Clinical Cancer Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United
- Kingdom. 8
- <sup>2</sup>Experimental Oncology Unit (UNONEX), Department of Microbiology, Immunology and 9
- Parasitology, Federal University of São Paulo (UNIFESP), São Paulo, SP Brazil. 10
- <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Federal University of ABC, Santo André, 11
- São Paulo, SP, Brazil. 12
- <sup>4</sup>Laboratoire de Biologie et Pharmacologie Appliquées (LBPA), UMR 8113, Ecole Normale 13
- Supérieure, Cachan, France. 14
- 15 <sup>5</sup>Chemical Biology Laboratory, Natural and Human Sciences Center, Federal University of ABC,
- Santo André, SP, Brazil. 16
- <sup>6</sup>Unit of Biomedical, Biotechnological and Translational Sciences, Department of Medicine and 17
- Surgery, Universitá degli Studi di Parma, Parma, Italy. 18
- \* Senior corresponding author: 20
- 21 Dr Ainhoa Mielgo

19

31

- 22 Department of Molecular & Clinical Cancer Medicine, Institute of Translational Medicine
- 23 First Floor Sherrington Building, Ashton street, Liverpool L69 3GE, United Kingdom.
- Phone: +44 (0) 151 794 9555 24
- e-mail: amielgo@liverpool.ac.uk 25
- Keywords: metastatic melanoma, macrophages, dendritic cells, immune response, peptide-26
- based immunotherapy, MIF, CD74. 27
- 28 Running title: CD74 blockade restores the anti-tumour immune response in metastatic melanoma
- 29 **Word count: 7,221**
- Figures: 7 main figures, 8 supplementary figures, 1 table and 1 movie. 30

#### 32 **ABSTRACT**

- 33● Mounting an effective immune response against cancer requires the activation of innate and adaptive
- immune cells. Metastatic melanoma is the most aggressive form of skin cancer. While 34
- immunotherapies have shown a remarkable success in melanoma treatment, patients develop 35
- resistance by mechanisms that include the establishment of an immune suppressive tumour 36
- 37 microenvironment. Thus, understanding how metastatic melanoma cells suppress the immune system

38 is vital to develop effective immunotherapies against this disease. In this study, we find macrophages 39 and dendritic cells are suppressed in metastatic melanoma and that the Ig-CDR-based peptide C36L1 40 is able to restore macrophages and dendritic cells' anti-tumorigenic and immunogenic functions and 41 to inhibit metastatic growth in lungs. Specifically, C36L1 treatment is able to repolarise M2-like 42 immunosuppressive macrophages into M1-like anti-tumorigenic macrophages, and increase the 43 number of immunogenic dendritic cells, and activated cytotoxic T cells, while reducing the number 44 of regulatory T cells and monocytic myeloid derived suppressor cells in metastatic lungs. 45 Mechanistically, we find that C36L1 directly binds to the MIF receptor CD74 which is expressed on macrophages and dendritic cells, disturbing CD74 structural dynamics and inhibiting MIF signalling 46 47 on these cells. Interfering with MIF-CD74 signalling on macrophages and dendritic cells leads to a 48 decrease in the expression of immunosuppressive factors from macrophages and an increase in the 49 capacity of dendritic cells to activate cytotoxic T cells. Our findings suggest that interfering with 50 MIF-CD74 immunosuppressive signalling in macrophages and dendritic cells, using peptide-based 51 immunotherapy, can restore the anti-tumour immune response in metastatic melanoma. Our study 52 provides the rationale for further development of peptide-based therapies to restore the anti-tumour immune response in metastatic melanoma. 53

#### INTRODUCTION

54●

55

56•

57

58

59

60 61

62

63 64

65

66 67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81 82

83

Cutaneous melanoma is a cancer that develops from melanocytes generally located in the epidermal basal cell layer of the skin. At very-early stages, single skin lesions can be promptly excised and the 5-year survival rate of melanoma is 98%. Beyond these stages, however, melanoma can metastasize to distant organs including lungs, liver, bones and brain, and the 5-year survival rate in stage IV drastically decreases to 15-20% (1, 2). The aggressiveness of melanoma is associated with a strong burden of somatic mutations (3), with different neoepitopes making melanoma cells immunogenic and boosting the immune response (4, 5). In order to evade the immune response, melanomas often activate negative immune checkpoint regulators (ICRs) such as PD-1 and PD-L1 or CTLA-4 that inhibit effector T cell and function in peripheral tissues or lymph nodes, respectively (6, 7). Inhibition of the immune checkpoint regulators with anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 antibodies enables T-cell-mediated killing of melanoma cells and significantly improved patient outcomes in recent years (5). However, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) are only effective if effector T cells infiltrate the tumour. The generation of effector T cells requires the activation and function of antigen presenting cells (APCs), such as dendritic cells (DCs) and macrophages (8, 9). DCs and macrophages are cells from the innate immune system that are essential for starting and shaping the immune response against any damaged tissue, including cancer (7,10). Tumour associated macrophages (TAMs) are one of the most predominant immune cells in melanomas, and the number of TAMs inversely correlates with patients' outcome, in both early and late stages of melanoma (11). Macrophages can be polarised into M1-like anti-tumorigenic and M2like immunosuppressive macrophages (12). We, and others, have shown that, in tumours, macrophages are often polarised into M2-like macrophages that support tumour cell proliferation, survival, metastasis, resistance to therapy, and suppress the anti-tumour immune response (12-16). Similarly, DCs can also acquire immunogenic or tolerogenic behaviours depending on their maturation status (17). Immunogenic DCs support T cell activation and function (17, 18). However, immunogenic DCs often switch into a tolerogenic phenotype during cancer progression, which inhibits the activation and function of effector T cells (19, 20). Tumour cells contribute to the establishment of an immunosuppressive environment by secreting factors that polarise macrophages

into M2-like immunosuppressive macrophages and suppress DCs immunogenic functions leading to

84 (7, 16, 21). Thus, understanding how metastatic melanoma suppresses the immune system is vital for the development of therapies that restore an effective anti-tumour immune response.

Bioactive peptides based on immunoglobulin complementary determining regions (CDRs) are promising candidates for adjuvant cancer therapy and can stimulate the innate immune system (22-24). We have previously shown that different CDR peptides display anti-tumour activities against melanoma, and are able to regulate receptors and transcription factors on both tumour cells and immune cells (24-28). Recently, we identified the C36 V<sub>L</sub> CDR-1 peptide (C36L1) as an anti-tumour CDR-based peptide that inhibits metastatic melanoma cells proliferation and growth *in vitro* and *in vivo* (24, 25). However, the mechanism by which C36L1 inhibits metastatic melanoma progression in a syngeneic model remains unknown.

In this study, we found that C36L1 inhibits metastatic melanoma only in mice that have a competent immune system. C36L1 supports M1-like anti-tumorigenic macrophages and restores DCs pro-inflammatory phenotype and immunogenic function. C36L1 activation of macrophages and DCs results in a significant increase in the infiltration of effector T cells in the metastatic lungs, leading to a marked decrease in the tumour burden.

Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) is an inflammatory cytokine and an important regulator of the innate immune system. Previous studies have shown that MIF can induce an immunosuppressive environment that supports melanoma progression (29, 30). However, the mechanisms by which MIF suppresses the immune cells remain poorly understood. CD74 is the main receptor for MIF. CD74 is the invariant chain of the MHC-class II and plays an important role in antigen presentation. CD74 is highly expressed in antigen presenting cells such as macrophages and DCs (31, 32). Thus, MIF and CD74 are emerging attractive targets for immunotherapy.

In the present study we show that the C36L1 peptide binds to CD74 in both macrophages and DCs, disturbing its structural dynamics and inhibiting the MIF-CD74 signalling and the immunosuppressive effect on macrophages and DCs. These findings highlight the MIF-CD74 axis as an important mechanism of macrophage and DC immunosuppression in metastatic melanoma, and provide a rationale for further evaluation of CDR-based peptides as therapeutic agents able to restore macrophages and DCs' anti-tumour functions in metastatic melanoma.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Cell culture

- Murine melanoma B16F10 cells were cultured in complete RPMI-1640 medium (Thermo Fisher,
- Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10 mM N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N2 ethane sulfonic
- acid (HEPES), 24 mM sodium bicarbonate, 40 mg/L gentamicin, pH 7.2 and 10% fetal bovine serum
- 122 (FBS), at 37°C. Primary macrophages and myeloid DCs were generated from C57BL/6-mice bone-
- marrows and cultured in complete DMEM-Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (Thermo Fisher)
- supplemented with M-CSF1 (10ng/mL) and RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with GM-CSF
- 125 (50ng/mL) and IL-4 (25ng/mL), respectively. Cultures were regularly checked for contamination.

Mice and in vivo metastatic melanoma studies

- 6-8 Week-old healthy male C57BL/6 (Wild Type, WT) or NOD/Scid/IL-2rynull (NSG) mice (n=5,
- per group) were intravenously challenged with  $5 \times 10^5$  (for WT) or  $5 \times 10^4$  (for NSG) syngeneic
- B16F10 viable cells in 0.1 mL of RPMI medium without fetal bovine serum (FBS), and treated on
- the next day with intraperitoneal (i.p.) doses of 300 µg (10 mg/kg) of C36L1 peptide, for 5
- consecutive days, or with control vehicle (PBS). After 14 days, mice were euthanized and lungs were
- harvested and assessed for metastatic colonization. The number of metastatic lesions was quantified
- using a stereo microscope (Magnification, ×4) (Nikon, Tokyo).

# Peptides

134

140

152

165

- Peptides were purchased from Peptide 2.0 (Chantilly, VA, USA). C36L1 peptide
- 136 (KSSQSVFYSSNNKNYLA-NH2) and the irrelevant iCDR control peptide (CE48-H2,
- 137 INSGGGGTYYADSVKG-NH2) were synthesized with an amide group in the C- terminus, at 95–
- 98% purity, determined by High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a C18 column
- and subsequently analysed by mass spectrometry.

#### Tissue paraffin immunofluorescence

- 141 Deparaffinization and antigen retrieval were performed in mouse melanoma lung metastasis using a
- 142 PT-link system (Dako) and stained as previously described (13) The following antibodies were used
- for immune stainings: anti-iNOS, anti-CD206, anti-CD103, anti-Ki67, anti-granzyme B, anti-MPO,
- anti-CD86, anti-CD68, anti-MHC-II, anti-CD11b, anti-Ly6C, anti-Ly6G, and anti-PD-L1 all
- purchased from Abcam; anti-CD11c and anti-F4/80, purchased from Biolegend; anti-Foxp3 (Cell
- Signaling); anti-Arg1 (Bioss) and anti-CD8 (Dako) primary antibodies, anti-CD4 (Biolegend) and
- anti-CD25 (R&D systems). followed by fluorescently labelled secondary antibodies. Images were
- anti-CD25 (Red systems). Tonowed by indoorescently labelled secondary antibodies. Intages were
- 148 acquired using an Axio Observer Light Microscope with the Apotome.2 (Zeiss). Metastatic
- 149 melanoma lesions were gated by generating a region of interest (ROI) and threshold merge
- 150 fluorescence was limited to ROI and calculated using the NIS-Elements Advanced Research 4.0
- 151 software (Nikon, Tokyo).

#### Flow cytometry analysis

- Lungs from C36L1 treated and control mice were digested in collagenase A and purified for CD11c<sup>+</sup>
- dendritic cells using a magnetic bead affinity chromatography approach (Miltenyi Biotec, Woking,
- 155 UK). Both enriched CD11c<sup>+</sup> and CD11c<sup>-</sup> cell fractions were used for DCs and lymphocyte analysis,
- respectively. Dendritic cells were stained with anti-CD11c (V450), anti-CD86 (PE-Cy7), anti-MHC-
- 157 II (V500), anti-CD197 (PERCP-CY5.5). Tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes were characterised using
- anti-CD3 (PE), anti-CD4 (FITC), anti-CD8 (FITC) and anti-NK1.1 (FITC). To analyse splenic Treg
- cells and macrophages, fresh spleens were obtained from mice after treatments and probed with the
- following conjugated antibodies: anti-CD4 (FITC) and anti-Foxp3 (APC) for lymphocyte analysis,
- 100 Tonowing conjugated anticodies. anti-CD4 (111C) and anti-1 0xp3 (711C) for Tymphocyte anarysis,
- anti-F4/80 (FITC), anti-CD86 (PE-Cy7) and anti-CD40 (APC) for macrophage analysis. All
- antibodies were purchased from BD Pharmingen (Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Samples were analysed
- by flow cytometry using a FACSCanto II (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA). Acquired data
- was analysed using the FlowJo V10 software (TreeStar Inc., Ashland, OR, USA).

#### TGF-β ELISA assay

- 166 CD11c<sup>+</sup> DCs (1x10<sup>5</sup>) were purified from lymphoid tissues of C36L1 treated mice and control vehicle
- 167 (PBS) using the mouse Pan Dendritic Cell Isolation Kit according to manufacturer's instructions
- (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). Primary myeloid DCs were cultured for 48h at

- 37°C and the supernatant was collected for TGF-β quantification using the mouse-TGF-beta ELISA 169
- 170 Set (BD, OptEIA TM) detection kit according to the manufacturer's instruction.

#### 171 **Tumour conditioned medium preparation**

- B16F10 melanoma cells were cultured in 175 cm<sup>2</sup> culture flasks and in complete RPMI-1640. When 172
- cells reached 70% of confluence, the medium was harvested, filtered for functional assays or 173
- 174 concentrated using StrataClean Resin (Agilent Technologies) for MIF detection by immunoblot.
- 175 Alternatively, to increase the concentration of tumour-secreted factors, B16F10 cells were sub-
- cultured in TCM and fresh media (v/v). 176

# Generation of bone marrow derived macrophages and myeloid dendritic cells

- Bone marrow cells were isolated from the femurs of C57BL/6 mice in cold MAC buffer (Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup> 178
- free PBS + 2 mM EDTA + 0.5% BSA), centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 10 min, re-suspended in 5 mL 179
- 180 RBC Lysis Buffer (1X, BD Pharm Lyse) and incubated for 5 min at RT. Reaction was terminated in
- 181 PBS and cells were centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 10 min at RT. Cells were re-suspended in 5 mL of
- MAC buffer and carefully added in the top of 5 mL of Histopaque solution (Sigma-Aldrich) in 15 182
- mL tubes and centrifuged at 1200 rpm, 25 min at 15°C without brake and 1 acceleration. The 183
- monocyte-enriched fraction was collected in a new tube and washed in PBS. Monocytes were further 184
- incubated with M-CSF-1 (10 ng/mL) in complete DMEM media (Thermo Fisher) to generate 185
- 186 macrophages (13), or GM-CSF (50ng/mL) plus IL-4 (25ng/mL) in complete RPMI to generate
- 187 myeloid DCs (17, 33). To generate macrophage conditioned media (MCM) for the experiment
- described in figure 6, macrophages were incubated with TCM, MIF (200ng/mL) or left untreated, in 188
- the presence or absence of C36L1 peptide (200 µM) for 72h, and further incubated in serum free 189
- 190 medium for 48h. Then, the medium was harvested, centrifuged and filtered for functional assays or
- 191 stored at -20 °C.

177

196

203

#### 192 **CD8**<sup>+</sup> T cells isolation from naïve splenocytes

- Lymphocytes were obtained from fresh spleens of naïve mice. The negative CD8a<sup>+</sup> T Cell Isolation 193
- Kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Woking, UK) was used to purify CD8+ naïve lymphocytes as per 194
- manufacturer's instructions. 195

### Flow cytometry analysis of primary DCs

- 197 For flow cytometry analysis of primary myeloid DCs, cells were harvested from cultures and blocked
- with PBS/BSA 1% plus TruStain fcX anti-mouse CD16/32 (Biolegend) and stained using the 198
- 199 following conjugated antibodies: DCs: anti-CD11c (APC), anti-CD11b (FITC), anti-MHC-II (Percp-
- Cy5.5), anti-CD80 (PE-Cy7), anti-CD86 (PE), all purchased from Biolegend. Stained cells were 200
- acquired using Attune<sup>TM</sup> NxT Acoustic Focusing Cytometer (Thermo Fisher). Data analysis was 201
- 202 performed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR, USA).

#### Immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy

- Fluorescence microscopy of B16F10 cells was performed using the following antibodies: rabbit anti-204
- MIF antibody (Abcam) and secondary antibody solution (anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (Abcam) 205
- and 10 µg/mL of Hoechst 33342). Confocal microscopy for detection of CD74 interaction with 206
- C36L1 was performed using a biotinylated C36L1. Briefly, tumour cells were incubated with C36L1 207
- (300 µM) and stained using primary mouse-anti-CD74 (Abcam) and a secondary anti-mouse IgG 208

- 209 Alexa Fluor 488 (Abcam) (Green) and Hoechst 33342 (Blue) (Sigma-Aldrich). Streptavidin-Alexa
- Fluor 594 (Red) (LifeTechnology) was used to probe biotinylated C36L1. Fluorescence and confocal
- 211 Imaging was performed using an Axio Observer Fluorescence Microscope with the Apotome.2
- 212 (Zeiss) and a confocal Zeiss LSM 780 microscope with the 63x 1.4NA objective, respectively.
- 213 Colocalization analysis was performed using ImageJ software.

### 214 Primary macrophages and myeloid dendritic cells culture assays

- 215 Primary macrophages and myeloid DCs were generated as described above. 5x10<sup>5</sup> cells were seeded
- 216 in 12-well plates in complete fresh media and 200 μM of C36L1 peptide was added to the cultures
- for at least 6 h prior to the addition of B16F10 TCM or 200 ng/mL of recombinant MIF (R&D
- 218 System, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Cells were incubated at 37 °C for 72 h and further used in FACs
- analysis for phenotyping or functional assays..

## Dendritic cells stimulation for CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell activation assays

- 221 Primary myeloid DCs incubated with C36L1 (200 µM) peptide for 6 h prior to incubation with
- recombinant MIF at 200 ng/mL for 72 hours. Cells were treated with 200 μM of the tyrosinase-
- related protein 1 (TYRP-1) peptide (NDPIFVLLH) as a MHC class I related melanoma antigen.
- 224 CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells previously incubated with 30U/mL of IL-2 and anti-CD3/CD28 dynabeads (Thermo
- Fisher) were co-cultured for 5 days with myeloid DCs in the presence of 30U/mL of IL-12
- 226 (PeproTech, London, UK).-CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were harvested and co-cultured with B16F10 melanoma
- cells (10:1) for 72 hours. CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were removed from cultures and remaining viable B16F10
- cells were quantified with a Neubauer chamber using the Trypan Blue dead cells exclusion stain and
- the MTT colorimetric based assay

220

230

243

#### B16F10 proliferation assay with macrophage conditioned media

- 231 To obtain different macrophage conditioned media, primary macrophages were cultured in the
- following conditions for 72 h: (1) alone, (2) in the presence of tumour conditioned medium (TCM) or
- with recombinant MIF (200 ng/mL) and (3) pre-incubated for 6 h with C36L1 peptide (200 μM)
- followed by TCM or MIF (200ng/mL) incubation. Next, the medium was removed and macrophages
- were further cultured with serum free medium for 48 h to produce macrophage conditioned media
- corresponding to the different conditions (MCM1, MCM2 and MCM3). MCM was harvested from
- the different macrophage culture conditions, filtered through 0.45 µm and added to 2x10<sup>3</sup> B16F10
- melanoma cells plated in 96-well plates stained with CFSE (Thermo Fisher). B16F10 melanoma cells
- inclain a cens placed in 70-wen places stained with Cl SL (Thermo I isner). Brot 10 inclain a cens
- 239 were cultured with the different MCMs for 72 h. Next, B16F10 cells were harvested from wells,
- stained with propidium iodide (10 µg/mL) and the total number of viable (PI) and proliferating cells
- 241 (CFSE) was quantified by flow cytometry acquiring fixed volumes of cell suspension using an
- 242 Attune Flow Cytometer.

#### Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) experiments

- Total RNA from primary macrophages previously stimulated with C36L1 (200 μM) for 6 h and
- 245 tumour conditioned media (TCM) from B16F10 melanoma cells or recombinant MIF (200 ng/mL)
- for 72 h was isolated using the RNeasy® Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). cDNA was prepared
- 247 from 100ng RNA per sample, and qPCR was performed using gene-specific QuantiTect Assay
- primers (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions. qPCR reactions were performed using
- 249 FIREPol® EvaGreen® qPCR Mix Plus ROX (Solis Biodyne, Tartu, Estonia) in a MaxQuant system.

- 250 The following primers were used: TGF-β (Mm\_Tgfb1\_1\_SG, Qiagen), IL-10 (Mm\_ IL10\_1\_SG,
- 251 Qiagen), PD-L1 (Mm Pdcd1Ig1 1 SG, Qiagen), Arginase-1 (Mm Arg1 1 SG, Qiagen), IL-6
- 252
- (Mm\_Il6\_1\_SG, Qiagen), GAPDH (Mm\_Gapdh\_3\_SG, Qiagen). Relative expression levels were normalized to *Gapdh* expression according to the formula  $<2^{-(C_{\text{tgene of interest}}-C_{\text{tgapdh}})}$  (13), and displayed as 253
- 254 fold change units.

255

267

283

#### Protein extraction and immunoblotting

- 256 Primary macrophages and myeloid DCs were serum starved for 24 hours, treated with C36L1 (200
- 257 μM) for 6 hours (or left untreated) and stimulated with recombinant MIF (200 ng/mL) at different
- 258 time points for determination of AKT and ERK1/2 phosphorylation. Protein lysates were separated
- 259 by electrophoresis and immunoblotting analyses were performed for: total AKT, total p44/42 MAPK
- (ERK1/2), phospho-AKT (Ser473) and phospho-ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204). HRP-conjugated 260
- 261 secondary antibodies were used, followed by incubation with the ECL substrate (Pierce). All primary
- and secondary antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technologies (Beverly, MA, USA). 262
- Anti-GAPDH (Sigma), was used as protein loading control. To assess the presence of MIF in the 263
- 264 tumour conditioned medium (TCM), TCM was filtered with 0.45-µm filter and concentrated using
- 265 StrataClean Resin (Agilent Technologies), and immunoblotted for MIF (Abcam). Phosphorylation
- 266 ratios were quantified using ImageJ gels' algorithm, normalized to untreated control lanes.

# Peptide/Protein binding prediction

- 268 The computational modelling platform Pepsite 2.0 (Russel-Lab) (34) was used to predict the binding
- probability of peptides to mouse MIF (PDB: 1MFI, chain B) and mouse CD74 (PDB: 1IIE, chain B) 269
- 270 proteins. Results are displayed as p values, where  $p \le 0.05$  values are the statistically significant
- 271 binding predictions. iCDR peptide was used as a negative peptide control. Binding probability was
- 272 calculated using the interval 0.01 , where <math>p = 0.01 represents 100% of binding probability
- 273 and p > 0.05 represents 0% of binding probability.

#### 274 C36L1 preparation and molecular dynamics

- We obtained the 3D structure of C36L1 by performing de novo structure prediction in Pep-Fold3 275
- 276 web-server. To perform molecular docking experiments, we carried out a molecular dynamics (MD)
- 277 simulation on GROMACS 5.1 using CHARMM36 force field. We set up the simulation system on
- 278 CHARMM-GUI web-server. We clustered the MD trajectory to obtain a diverse conformational
- population to perform molecular docking. All MD frames fitted the reference structure and clustered 279
- with GROMOS method by using GROMACS 5.1, with a backbone root-mean-squared deviation 280
- 281 (RMSD) cutoff of 5.0 Å for C36L1, resulting in 8 different clusters. The centre structure of each
- 282 cluster was used in docking simulations.

#### CD74 normal mode calculations and generation of low-energy conformations

- 284 The CD74 structure 1IIE (35) (residues from 118 to 176) was used to perform normal modes analysis
- using CHARMM c41b1, and CHARMM36 force filed using DIMB module. A distance dependent 285
- 286 dielectric constant was employed to treat the electrostatic shielding from solvation. The 5 lowest-
- 287 frequency normal modes were computed as directional constraint to generate low-energy conformers
- 288 along the mode trajectory using the VMOD algorithm in CHARMM, as previously described (36,
- 289 37). The restraints were applied only on Cα atoms and the energy was computed for all atoms. The
- 290 structures were displaced from -3.0 Å to +3.0 Å using steps of 0.1 Å, resulting in 61 intermediate
- 291 energy relaxed structures along each mode.

#### 292 Molecular docking

- 293 Molecular docking simulations were performed using iATTRACT algorithm depicting
- 294 conformational selection and induced fit between both partners. Various conformations of both
- 295 receptor and ligand (ensemble docking) were simultaneously combined among interface flexibility
- and rigid body optimizations during docking energy minimization. The best 50 solutions were written
- 297 for each combination. BINANA 1.2 was used to investigate the specific molecular basis guiding the
- interaction between CD74 and C36L1.

#### **Chemiluminescent Dot blot binding assay**

- 300 Interaction between the peptide C36L1 and recombinant CD74 was determined by chemiluminescent
- dot-blotting carried out as previously described (24). Briefly, 25 nmoles of C36L1 and the irrelevant
- 302 CDR peptide control (iCDR) and vehicle (0.025% DMSO in dH<sub>2</sub>O) were immobilized on
- 303 nitrocellulose membranes, blocked and incubated with 25 nM of recombinant CD74 (Abcam)
- 304 overnight at 4 °C.Membranes were washed and incubated with primary mouse anti-CD74 (Abcam),
- 305 washed and incubated with secondary anti-mouse IgG-HRP (CST). Immunoreactivity was
- 306 determined using the ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Pierce<sup>TM</sup>) and signal was detected in a
- 307 transilluminator Alliance 9.7 (Uvitec, Cambridge UK).

#### 308 Statistics

299

- 309 All statistic tests were performed using the GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (San Diego, CA). Statistical
- 310 differences between experimental and control group were calculated using the Student's t-test. In
- 311 vitro experiments were performed in triplicates. In vivo experiment were performed with at least n=5
- 312 per treatment group. Sample size for each experiment is described in figure legends. Significant
- differences are indicated by \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 and \*\*\*p < 0.001.

# 315 **RESULTS**

314

329

#### The Anti-metastatic effect of the C36L1 peptide requires the immune system.

- 317• We have previously shown that intraperitoneal injections of the anti-tumour CDR peptide C36L1
- 318 significantly decrease pulmonary melanoma metastasis in a syngeneic model (24, 25). In addition,
- 319 bone marrow derived myeloid pro-inflammatory dendritic cells (DCs) displayed equivalent anti-
- tumour effect when tumor antigen-primed DCs were pre- treated with C36L1 ex vivo and adoptively
- transferred to mice bearing lung melanoma metastasis (24). These findings suggest that the anti-
- transferred to finee bearing fung inclational inclastasis (24). These findings suggest that the anti-
- 322 tumour effects induced by C36L1 in vivo may result from the peptide ability to stimulate the host
- 323 immune response. To further investigate the mechanism of action of C36L1, we treated
- 324 immunocompetent C57BL/6 and immunodeficient NOD/Scid/IL-2rynull mice bearing melanoma
- lung metastasis with C36L1 peptide or control vehicle (Figure 1A). We observed that C36L1
- 326 significantly decreased lung metastasis in immunocompetent mice but not in immunodeficient mice
- 327 (Figure 1B). These findings confirm that C36L1 anti-tumour effect is driven by its ability to stimulate
- 328 the immune response against metastatic melanoma.

#### C36L1 restores macrophages and DCs immunogenic functions in metastatic melanoma.

330• Macrophages and DCs are vital for activating effector T cells and shaping the immune response 331 against cancer (7). In solid tumours, including melanomas, macrophages and DCs are suppressed by the tumour and lose their ability to activate and support the immune response against cancer (12, 17). 332 333 Tumour associated macrophages (TAMs) often acquire an M2-like phenotype that hampers the antitumour immune response and supports tumour growth, metastasis and resistance to therapies (12-14, 334 38). Similarly, intratumoral DCs often acquire a tolerogenic phenotype and lose their ability to 335 336 activate effector T cells (17, 39, 40). Thus, effective anti-cancer immunotherapies must reverse the 337 tumour immunosuppressive environment and restore the immunogenic functions of macrophages and DCs. In this respect, we found that C36L1 is able to re-polarise M2-like (F4/80<sup>+</sup> CD206+ Arg1<sup>+</sup>) 338 339 tumour associated macrophages into M1-like (F4/80<sup>+</sup> iNOS<sup>+</sup> CD86<sup>+</sup> MHC-II<sup>+</sup>) pro-inflammatory and 340 anti-tumorigenic macrophages (Figure 1C and Supplementary Figure 1A, B, C). In addition, 341 increased levels of M1-like macrophages were also observed in the spleens of C36L1 treated mice, 342 compared to control treated mice (Supplementary Figure 5A). The number of activated intratumoral 343 DCs (CD11c<sup>+</sup>, MHC-II<sup>+</sup>, CD197<sup>+</sup>, CD40<sup>+</sup>, CD86<sup>+</sup> and CD103<sup>+</sup>) in metastatic lungs from C36L1 344 treated mice was significantly increased compared to control treated mice (Figure 1D and 345 Supplementary Figure 1D). The number of neutrophils and polymorphonuclear myeloid-derived 346 suppressor cells did not significantly change between control and C36L1 treated metastatic lungs 347 (Supplementary Figure 3A and B). However, we observed a small but statistically significant 348 decrease in the number of monocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells (Supplementary Figure 3C) C36L1 treatment decreased the secretion of the immunosuppressive cytokine TGF-β by CD11c<sup>+</sup> DCs 349 350 from lymphoid organs (spleens and cervical lymph nodes) (Supplementary Figure 5B). These 351 findings suggest that C36L1 re-polarises and re-activates macrophages and DCs' immunogenic and 352 anti-tumorigenic functions in metastatic melanoma.

#### C36L1 increases the level of effector T cells in the TME.

353

374

Tumour specific antigen presentation by DCs and macrophages to effector T cells is a crucial step for 354● the generation of an effective immune response against cancer, and increased infiltration of effector T 355 cells in tumours is a good prognostic marker (4, 5). Since treatment with C36L1 decreases melanoma 356 pulmonary metastasis and increases the numbers of pro-inflammatory macrophages and DCs, we 357 358 asked whether C36L1 increases effector T cell infiltration in metastatic tumours. We found that, indeed, C36L1 significantly increased the levels of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from 6.86% to 13.35%, CD8<sup>+</sup> 359 cytotoxic T cells from 6.11% to 17.6%, and NK1.1<sup>+</sup> natural killer cells from 8.44% to 16.13%, in 360 361 lung metastatic melanoma (Figure 1E and Supplementary Figure 6A). CD8+ cytotoxic T cells 362 number and proliferative (CD8+Ki67+) and activation status (CD8+GranzymeB+) were significantly 363 increased in C36L1 treated metastatic lungs compared to control treated lungs (Supplementary Figure 2 A and B). We also observed a decrease in the number of regulatory T cells (CD4+CD25+FoxP3+) 364 365 in metastatic lungs from C36L1 treated mice compared to control mice (Supplementary Figure 2C and D). In lymphoid organs, tolerogenic DCs are responsible for inducing Foxp3<sup>+</sup> Tregs 366 differentiation by secreting TGF-\beta. Since C36L1 treatment decreases TGF-\beta production by DCs 367 368 (Supplementary Figure 5B), we evaluated whether Tregs were also reduced in lymphoid organs upon C36L1 treatment. Flow cytometry analysis of mice splenocytes revealed a highly significant decrease 369 370 in the percentage of CD4<sup>+</sup>Foxp3<sup>+</sup> Tregs from 59.6% to 1.39% following C36L1 treatment 371 (Supplementary Figure 6B). Together, these findings indicate that C36L1 restores DCs and macrophages immunogenic functions, increases effector T cell infiltration in metastatic tumours and 372 373 inhibits immunosuppressive regulatory T cells.

#### C36L1 inhibits the suppressive effects of tumour-secreted factors in macrophages.

375• Tumour educated macrophages exhibit an M2-like phenotype and support cancer progression in several ways, including the direct support of cancer cell proliferation (17). To further understand how 376 C36L1 affects macrophage function, we cultured metastatic B16F10 melanoma cells with 377 378 conditioned media from tumour educated macrophages (macrophages previously exposed to tumour conditioned media) in the presence or absence of C36L1. As expected, melanoma cells exposed to 379 tumour educated macrophages showed a significant increase in proliferation. Addition of C36L1 380 381 abrogated this macrophage - driven tumour cell proliferation (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 6C). These results show that macrophages exposed to tumour conditioned media acquire pro-382 tumorigenic functions and this can be inhibited by C36L1 peptide. These findings suggest that 383 384 C36L1 must interfere with a tumour secreted factor (or its receptor) that regulates macrophage 385 function.

#### C36L1 binds to MIF receptor, CD74

386

388

389

390 391

392

393

394

395 396•

398

399 400

401

402

403

404

405

406 407

408

409 410

411

412 413

414●

387∙ C36L1 is a linear and flexible CDR-based peptide. Linear peptides are likely to adopt a few stable conformations and interactive possibilities to different relevant targets (41). Previous studies have shown that stromal and melanoma cells express high levels of MIF, supporting melanoma growth and modulating immune cells in late-stage melanoma (29, 30, 42-46). Dendritic cells and macrophages both express MIF's main receptor, CD74 (47). Thus, we hypothesize that C36L1 could interfere with MIF signalling on macrophages and dendritic cells. In agreement with previous studies, we observed that B16F10 metastatic melanoma cells express and secrete high levels of MIF in vitro, (Figure 3A, B), and that MIF is highly expressed in small and large lung metastatic melanoma lesions (Figure 3C).

397• A pilot study addressing the binding probability of C36L1 to MIF and its receptor CD74 was carried out using the computational modelling prediction of peptide-binding sites to protein surfaces and the Pepsite 2.0 algorithm (34). This in silico approach predicted a statistically significant binding of C36L1 to mouse CD74 B chain (PDB: 1IIE) protein (p < 0.001), and a potential binding to mouse MIF B chain (PDB: 1MFI) protein (p = 0.04) (Figure 4A). No interaction with either CD74 or MIF was predicted for an irrelevant control CDR peptide (iCDR - CE48-H2), which was previously observed to have no effect on metastatic melanoma proliferation in vitro and progression in vivo (25) (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure 7A). We used the Pepsite 2.0 algorithm to identify the amino acid residues involved in the interaction of C36L1 to CD74, and found that the peptide is predicted to interact with Tvr (118), Arg (179) and His (180) residues from the B chain of the murine/human CD74 protein, highlighted in red (Supplementary Figure 7B). Interestingly, Mesa-Romero et al., have recently described that some of these residues (highlighted in green) are also critical for the interaction of MIF with the CD74 antagonist (RTL-1000) (48). The in silico predicted interaction of C36L1 with CD74 was further confirmed in a dot-blot binding assay using both immobilized C36L1 and iCDR peptides against recombinant murine CD74 protein (Figure 4B). These results suggest that C36L1 could act as an antagonist of MIF, since its interaction occurs on critical binding sites used by MIF to interact with CD74.

415• To further investigate this, we performed a molecular docking study between C36L1 and CD74 protein. Docking calculations resulted in 122,000 different poses of which the worst 1% were 416 discarded for presenting outliers' energy values. The average energy of remaining structures was 60.8 417 kcal/mol and more than 95% of them presented thermodynamically favourable binding energies 418 (Supplementary Figure 7C). The best solution occurred between C36L1 cluster 5 centroid and a 419 420 CD74 structure with large opening (2.7 Å from reference) along normal mode 10, which shows an open-close motion. This pose presented -192.6 kcal/mol as free energy of binding, and is depicted in 421

422 Supplementary Figure 7D. The key interaction elements observed in this complex were analysed using BINANA algorithm. Hydrophobic contacts forming an extended pocket along the interface of 423 424 all CD74 subunits were observed (Figure 4C). Stronger interactions were also observed: three 425 critical hydrogen bonds, one salt-bridge and one cation- $\pi$  stacking interaction between CD74 and 426 C36L1 peptide (Table 1 and Figure 4D). Interestingly, C36L1 cluster 5 centroid appears in 30 of top 427 50 best poses suggesting that this peptide conformation is likely to be privileged to bind CD74. 428 Moreover, structures with large displacements along mode 10 of CD74 are more frequent; the worst 429 ranked structures were less displaced. C36L1 interacts better with CD74 as it moves according to 430 normal mode 10, whereas once CD74 returns to the relaxed conformation, the peptide binding 431 affinity decreases and the complex dissociates. Furthermore, the overlap of 50 best solutions showed 432 a putative preferred binding region of C36L1 to the interface formed between N- and C-terminal 433 portions of CD74 monomers. This binding site is corroborated by the observation of C36L1 main 434 binding to CD74' α-helices, only in the worst solutions. In Figure 4E, blue arrows indicate spatial distribution of C36L1 (blue) over CD74 altered structures (green) and the best and worst poses of 435 C36L1 are shown in red. A video representing the consequences of this dynamic interaction between 436 437 C36L1 and CD74 tertiary structure is shown in Supplementary Video 1.

### C36L1 binds to CD74 on macrophages and DCs and disrupts downstream signalling.

439• CD74 is a transmembrane protein mainly expressed in APCs and associated with the MHC II intracellular trafficking. CD74 is the main receptor for MIF in macrophages and DCs, and MIF binding to CD74 leads to immunosuppression of macrophages, activation of myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), suppression of natural killer (NK) cells and inhibition of T cell activation (29, 43, 47, 49-51). Thus, we evaluated whether C36L1 peptide (as predicted in the *in silico* approach) physiologically binds to CD74 receptor on macrophages and DCs.

445•

458

438

446• To address these interactions, primary bone marrow derived macrophages and DCs were incubated 447 with biotinylated C36L1 probed with streptavidin-PE (Red), and stained for CD74 (green). We observed that C36L1 binds to CD74 in both macrophages and DCs (Figure 5A). CD74 can be 448 449 expressed intracellularly and at the plasma membrane. Using confocal microscopy, we observed that 450 C36L1 co-localizes with CD74 both intracellularly and at the cell membrane (Figure 5B). MIF 451 interaction with CD74 receptor activates different cell signalling pathways, including the PI3K/AKT 452 and the MAPK signalling pathways (47, 49, 52). In agreement with this, we observed that 453 recombinant MIF induces the phosphorylation of AKT (S473) and ERK (Thr202/Tyr204) in both 454 primary macrophages and DCs (Figure 5C). However, pre-incubation of macrophages and DCs with 455 C36L1 inhibited MIF induced AKT and ERK downstream signalling on macrophages and DCs. 456 These findings show that C36L1 binds to CD74 on macrophages and DCs and disrupts MIF-CD74 signalling on these cells. 457

## C36L1 inhibits MIF induced suppression of macrophages and DCs and restores their

#### 459 immunogenic and anti-tumorigenic functions.

To further understand the mechanism of action of C36L1 on macrophages, we evaluated the immunosuppressive and tumour supporting functions of macrophages exposed to MIF in the presence or absence of C36L1. Macrophages exposed to MIF supported the proliferation of melanoma cells (similar to what we observed when we exposed macrophages to tumour conditioned media (TCM) in Figure 2). C36L1 treatment abolished this MIF-induced pro-tumorigenic function of macrophages (Figure 6A). C36L1 also significantly decreased the expression of the

immunosuppressive factors TGF-β, IL-10, IL-6, Arginase-1, PD-L1 by macrophages exposed to MIF (Figure 6B).

468●

478

469● To understand the mechanism of action of C36L1 on DCs, we evaluated the expression levels of DC 470 activation markers as well as DCs ability to activate cytotoxic T cells in the presence or absence of 471 MIF and C36L1 (Figure 6C). Treatment of primary myeloid DCs with MIF significantly decreased the levels of the maturation and co-stimulatory markers CD86, CD80 and MHC-II. Treatment with 472 C36L1 peptide counteracted the immunosuppressive effect of MIF on DCs (Figure 6D). DCs ability 473 474 to activate cytotoxic T cell killing function was also significantly impaired by MIF but rescued by C36L1 treatment (Figure 6C, E, Supplementary Figure 8). All together, these results provide 475 476 functional evidence that C36L1 restores DCs and macrophages immunogenic and anti-tumorigenic 477 functions by interfering with the MIF/CD74 immunosuppressive signalling axis.

#### DISCUSSION

- 479 Cutaneous melanomas are common in the Western hemisphere causing the majority (75%) of deaths 480 related to skin cancer (53). The incidence rate of melanoma increases faster than for any other cancer (52). At very-early stages, melanomas can be surgically removed and the 5-year survival rate of 481 482 melanoma is 98%. However, melanoma can metastasize to distant organs including lungs, liver, 483 bones and brain, and the 5-year survival rate of patients with metastatic melanoma drastically 484 decreases to 15-20% (1, 2). Treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors has significantly increased the 5-year survival rate of melanoma patients (1, 55), but the number of non-responders is still high, 485 486 with the lack of response being currently intensively investigated. Mutations of gene families of 487 cytokines, chemokine levels, mesenchymal transition, E-cadherin and other proteins expressed in 488 tumours are being studied (56). Understanding and targeting the immunosuppressive tumour 489 microenvironment to restore an anti-tumour immune response is an area of great interest (7, 29, 57, 490 58). Therefore, understanding the mechanisms by which metastatic melanoma suppresses anti-491 tumour immunity could further contribute to the development of new combinatorial agents that 492 restore the immune response against metastatic melanoma.
- 493• Synthetic peptides based on Immunoglobulin-CDR sequences have shown promising anti-tumour properties, and some of these peptides display immune stimulatory functions (22, 24-26).
- We previously found that the C36 V<sub>L</sub> CDR1 peptide (C36L1) displays dose-dependent antitumor 495● 496 activities in vitro against B16F10 melanoma cells, exerting microtubule de-polymerization at low 497 concentrations and cell death at high concentrations (24). Our in vivo studies show that the antitumour effect induced by the C36L1 peptide strictly depends on its original sequence since the 498 499 shuffled peptide was unable to exert any anti-tumour effects in the metastatic melanoma setting, and 500 acted in a similar way as the PBS vehicle control (24). We also observed that the anti-tumour activity of C36L1 is not a general property of Ig-CDRs, since other CDR sequences (i.e. CE48-H2) did not 501 502 show such anti-tumour effects (25). Short peptides can interact with more than one ligand, with variable affinities under different conditions or microenvironments. We have previously uncovered 503 504 peptide sequences that exert different therapeutic activities against infection diseases and cancer (22, 505
- In this study, we uncover the mechanism by which C36L1 restores an effective immune response against metastatic melanoma *in vivo*. We found that C36L1 is able to decrease melanoma metastatic growth in wildtype mice but not in immunodeficient mice, suggesting that in vivo, the anti-tumour effect of C36L1 requires the immune system. Specifically, we found that C36L1 is able to re-polarise M2-like immunosuppressive tumour associated macrophages into immunogenic and anti-tumorigenic

M1-like macrophages. C36L1 also promotes the activation and immunogenicity of DCs. C36L1 driven activation of the innate immune system leads to the inhibition of immunosuppressive Tregs, the activation of effector T cells and subsequently to the killing of metastatic melanoma cells. Mechanistically, we found that C36L1 binds to the MIF receptor CD74 on macrophages and DCs, thereby inhibiting MIF immunosuppressive effect on these innate immune cells, and shifting the balance from an immunosuppressive tumour microenvironment into a pro-inflammatory immunogenic environment in which the anti-tumour immune response is reinvigorated.

518• 519•

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

Tumours, including melanomas secrete factors that inhibit the immune system. Among these factors, MIF has been recently shown to have immunosuppressive activities, in many cancers, including glioblastoma, breast, pancreatic cancer and melanoma (29, 30, 49, 59-61). Thus, MIF is an emerging attractive target for immunotherapy. In pancreatic cancer, MIF is an important downstream regulator of fibrosis that culminates in the recruitment of TAMs favouring metastasis (21). In a similar way, metastatic uveal melanoma cells secrete MIF to recreate the eye immune-privileged environment and to inhibit the immune response in the liver, favouring liver metastasis (42, 61). In cutaneous melanoma, MIF is produced by melanoma cells to support growth and induce immunosuppression (29, 51). However, the role of MIF in metastatic melanoma remains unclear. In glioblastoma, MIF can also induce pro-inflammatory functions, including M1-like macrophage polarization (59, 63). Bevacizumab, a monoclonal antibody that targets VEGF may also interact and neutralize MIF in glioblastomas, inducing the polarisation of macrophages into the M2-like phenotype that contributes to therapy resistance (59). This dual and opposite effect of MIF on the immune response depends on the cytokine milieu in the tumour microenvironment and on the levels of MIF. In fact, very low or high concentrations of MIF are thought to suppress the immune response, while intermediate doses rather promote pro-inflammatory and anti-tumour effects (59).

534 535• 536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546547

548

549

550

551

552

Different drugs targeting MIF and its main receptor CD74 are in clinical development in many diseases, including cancer (31, 32, 48, 64-67). The MIF inhibitor 4-iPP is so far the only immunomodulatory agent described to be effective in melanoma, and has shown promising results in subcutaneous melanoma, associated to an increase in monocyte pro-inflammatory functions (30). The effect of blocking MIF-CD74 signalling in metastatic melanoma has not yet been investigated. Targeting CD74 seems to be a promising anti-cancer therapeutic strategy to disrupt MIF induced suppressive signalling effect on monocytes (31, 49, 67). The most well-characterized CD74 inhibitor is Milatuzumab, a monoclonal antibody approved for the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia with acceptable side effects in humans including leukopenia, rash, nausea and vomiting at low grade (67). In the field of drug discovery, peptide based approaches emerge with intrinsic advantages, compared to antibodies including their small size, lack of immunogenicity, high affinity, specificity to different targets, low toxicity, good tissue penetration and biocompatibility (22, 25, 26). Peptides can exert immunomodulatory functions and have been shown to neutralize immune checkpoint receptors in cancer (68-70). Indeed, linear peptides such as CDR peptides are flexible and likely to bind to different biologically relevant targets (41). Ig-CDR peptides, like C36L1, are mostly nontoxic in normal tissues and untransformed cell lines and are short living in the plasma due to proteolysis and renal filtration. However, since they can promptly interact with immune cells such as dendritic cells and macrophages, they could modulate the immune response in advanced stage melanomas.

553554555

556

557

558

In this study, we found that C36L1 interaction with the CD74 receptor expressed on macrophages and DCs is sufficient to inhibit MIF-CD74 signalling and to restore macrophages and DCs antitumorigenic functions (Figure 7). Our *in silico* studies show that the flexibility of this linear peptide allows its transient interaction with the CD74 receptor, disturbing its molecular dynamics in the cell

559 membrane. C36L1-CD74 interaction seems to be crucial to disrupt CD74 interaction with MIF in both macrophages and DCs. The cell internalisation of CD74 conjugates is a well-known 560 pharmacological characteristic of CD74 (50, 67), which has been recently explored as a drug-carrier 561 strategy for the treatment of lymphomas and B cell malignancies (67). CD74 internalisation 562 independent of MIF binding could impair the activation of downstream signalling (31, 71). In this 563 respect, we found that C36L1 binds to CD74 at the cell membrane as well as in the intra-cellular 564 565 space of macrophages and DCs. This suggests that C36L1 binding to CD74 may promote its cytosolic internalization making it unavailable for binding to MIF. MIF binding to CD74 activates 566 the PI3K/AKT and MAPK signalling pathways, and both these pathways have been related to 567 monocyte immunosuppression, and macrophage M2-like polarization (45, 47, 49, 52). In agreement 568 with these studies, we found that C36L1 inhibits MIF induced AKT and ERK1/2 phosphorylation in 569 570 both primary macrophages and DCs and restores their anti-tumorigenic and immunogenic functions 571 (Figure 7).

*5*72●

In conclusion, our findings suggest that MIF is highly secreted in metastatic melanoma and is an important immunosuppressor of macrophages and DCs. Blocking MIF signalling through CD74 on macrophages and DCs, using the C36L1 Ig-CDR-based peptide, restores the pro-inflammatory functions of macrophages and DCs thereby harnessing the immune response against metastatic melanoma. This study provides a rationale for further evaluation of CDR-based peptides as therapeutic agents to restore the ability of macrophages and DCs to start and shape an effective anti-cancer immune response.

580

581

588

590

597

#### ETHICS STATEMENT

- 582 Animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the National
- Council for the Control of Animal Experimentation (CONCEA, Brazil), and approved by the Ethics
- Committee of Federal University of São Paulo, registered with the number CEUA Nº 7588260915.
- Weight loss, lethargy and weakness that could result in inability to feed and drink, as well as
- infection with systemic signs of illness were considered as standard clinical symptoms that indicate
- deteriorating health conditions requiring euthanasia before the end of the experiment.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- The authors acknowledge Ms Jennifer Adcott and Dr. Marco Marcello, from the Liverpool Centre for
- 592 Cell Imaging (CCI) for providing additional support with the confocal microscopy studies.

#### 593 **FUNDING**

- These studies were supported by the São Paulo Research Foundation FAPESP (2015/23898-8) and
- by a Sir Henry Dale research fellowship to Dr A. Mielgo funded by the Wellcome Trust and the
- Society (grant number 102521/Z/13/Z).

#### **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT**

- 598 **CF** performed most of the experiments. **RA** performed *in vivo* experiments. **SM** assisted with flow
- 599 cytometry experiments. **PR** performed the molecular docking and dynamics studies. **LI** helped with
- 600 isolation of primary cells and with methodology development. AS assisted with tissue processing and
- 601 IHC experiments. NG assisted with in vivo and flow cytometry procedures. RC assisted with
- molecular docking and dynamic analysis of C36L1/CD74 interaction model. MS assisted with
- methodology development and provided conceptual advice. **LP** assisted with the initial conception of
- 604 Ig-CDR peptide biological functions. LT generated the peptide, assisted in its functional
- characterization and supervised the *in vivo* experiments. AM and CF designed experiments and
- wrote the manuscript. **AM** supervised the project. All authors helped with analysis and interpretation
- of results and approved the manuscript.

# 609 **REFERENCES**

608

- D. D. George, V. A. Armenio and S. C. Katz: Combinatorial immunotherapy for melanoma.
- 611 Cancer Gene Ther, 24(3), 141-147 (2017) doi:10.1038/cgt.2016.56
- 612 2. V. Panasiti, M. Curzio, V. Roberti, P. Lieto, V. Devirgiliis, S. Gobbi, A. Naspi, R. Coppola,
- T. Lopez, N. di Meo, A. Gatti, G. Trevisan, P. Londei and S. Calvieri. Metastatic Volume: An Old
- Oncologic Concept and a New Prognostic Factor for Stage IV Melanoma Patients. Dermatology
- 615 227:55–61 (2013) doi: 10.1159/000351713
- 616 3. C. G. A. Network: Genomic Classification of Cutaneous Melanoma. Cell, 161(7), 1681-96
- 617 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.044
- 4. M. Sznol: Betting on immunotherapy for melanoma. *Curr Oncol Rep*, 11(5), 397-404 (2009)
- 5. J. J. Luke, K. T. Flaherty, A. Ribas and G. V. Long: Targeted agents and immunotherapies:
- 620 optimizing outcomes in melanoma. Nat Rev Clin Oncol, 14(8), 463-482 (2017)
- 621 doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.43
- 622 6. Y. Iwai, M. Ishida, Y. Tanaka, T. Okazaki, T. Honjo and N. Minato: Involvement of PD-L1
- on tumor cells in the escape from host immune system and tumor immunotherapy by PD-L1
- 624 blockade. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 99(19), 12293-7 (2002) doi:10.1073/pnas.192461099
- D. S. Chen and I. Mellman: Oncology meets immunology: the cancer-immunity cycle.
- 626 *Immunity*, 39(1), 1-10 (2013) doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2013.07.012
- 627 8. K. Palucka and J. Banchereau: Cancer immunotherapy via dendritic cells. *Nat Rev Cancer*,
- 628 12(4), 265-77 (2012) doi:10.1038/nrc3258
- 629 9. N. Zhang and M. J. Bevan: CD8(+) T cells: foot soldiers of the immune system. *Immunity*,
- 630 35(2), 161-8 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2011.07.010
- 631 10. A. Chow, D. Toomre, W. Garrett and I. Mellman: Dendritic cell maturation triggers
- retrograde MHC class II transport from lysosomes to the plasma membrane. *Nature*, 418(6901), 988-
- 633 94 (2002) doi:10.1038/nature01006
- 11. T. Wang, M. Xiao, Y. Ge, C. Krepler, E. Belser, A. Lopez-Coral, X. Xu, G. Zhang, R.
- 635 Azuma, Q. Liu, R. Liu, L. Li, R. K. Amaravadi, W. Xu, G. Karakousis, T. C. Gangadhar, L. M.
- 636 Schuchter, M. Lieu, S. Khare, M. B. Halloran, M. Herlyn and R. E. Kaufman: BRAF Inhibition
- 637 Stimulates Melanoma-Associated Macrophages to Drive Tumor Growth. Clin Cancer Res, 21(7),
- 638 1652-64 (2015) doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-1554
- 639 12. R. Noy and J. W. Pollard: Tumor-associated macrophages: from mechanisms to therapy.
- 640 *Immunity*, 41(1), 49-61 (2014) doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.010
- 641 13. L. Ireland, A. Santos, M. S. Ahmed, C. Rainer, S. R. Nielsen, V. Quaranta, U. Weyer-
- 642 Czernilofsky, D. D. Engle, P. A. Perez-Mancera, S. E. Coupland, A. Taktak, T. Bogenrieder, D. A.
- Tuveson, F. Campbell, M. C. Schmid and A. Mielgo: Chemoresistance in Pancreatic Cancer Is

- Driven by Stroma-Derived Insulin-Like Growth Factors. Cancer Res, 76(23), 6851-6863 (2016)
- 645 doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-1201
- 646 14. S. R. Nielsen, V. Quaranta, A. Linford, P. Emeagi, C. Rainer, A. Santos, L. Ireland, T. Sakai,
- K. Sakai, Y. S. Kim, D. Engle, F. Campbell, D. Palmer, J. H. Ko, D. A. Tuveson, E. Hirsch, A.
- 648 Mielgo and M. C. Schmid: Macrophage-secreted granulin supports pancreatic cancer metastasis by
- 649 inducing liver fibrosis. *Nat Cell Biol*, 18(5), 549-60 (2016) doi:10.1038/ncb3340
- 650 15. A. Mielgo and M. C. Schmid: Impact of tumour associated macrophages in pancreatic cancer.
- 651 *BMB Rep*, 46(3), 131-8 (2013)
- 652 16. D. F. Quail and J. A. Joyce: Microenvironmental regulation of tumor progression and
- 653 metastasis. *Nat Med*, 19(11), 1423-37 (2013) doi:10.1038/nm.3394
- 654 17. S. V. Schmidt, A. C. Nino-Castro and J. L. Schultze: Regulatory dendritic cells: there is more
- 655 than just immune activation. Front Immunol, 3, 274 (2012) doi:10.3389/fimmu.2012.00274
- 656 18. M. Hubo, B. Trinschek, F. Kryczanowsky, A. Tuettenberg, K. Steinbrink and H. Jonuleit:
- 657 Costimulatory molecules on immunogenic versus tolerogenic human dendritic cells. Front Immunol,
- 4, 82 (2013) doi:10.3389/fimmu.2013.00082
- 659 19. S. Seton-Rogers: Tumour immunology: dendritic cell switch. Nat Rev Cancer, 12(4), 230
- 660 (2012) doi:10.1038/nrc3253
- 661 20. R. Tisch: Immunogenic versus tolerogenic dendritic cells: a matter of maturation. Int Rev
- 662 *Immunol*, 29(2), 111-8 (2010) doi:10.3109/08830181003602515
- B. Costa-Silva, N. M. Aiello, A. J. Ocean, S. Singh, H. Zhang, B. K. Thakur, A. Becker, A.
- Hoshino, M. T. Mark, H. Molina, J. Xiang, T. Zhang, T. M. Theilen, G. García-Santos, C. Williams,
- Y. Ararso, Y. Huang, G. Rodrigues, T. L. Shen, K. J. Labori, I. M. Lothe, E. H. Kure, J. Hernandez,
- A. Doussot, S. H. Ebbesen, P. M. Grandgenett, M. A. Hollingsworth, M. Jain, K. Mallya, S. K. Batra,
- W. R. Jarnagin, R. E. Schwartz, I. Matei, H. Peinado, B. Z. Stanger, J. Bromberg and D. Lyden:
- Pancreatic cancer exosomes initiate pre-metastatic niche formation in the liver. Nat Cell Biol, 17(6),
- 669 816-26 (2015) doi:10.1038/ncb3169
- 670 22. E. Gabrielli, E. Pericolini, E. Cenci, F. Ortelli, W. Magliani, T. Ciociola, F. Bistoni, S. Conti,
- A. Vecchiarelli and L. Polonelli: Antibody complementarity-determining regions (CDRs): a bridge
- 672 between adaptive and innate immunity. PLoS One, 4(12), e8187 (2009)
- 673 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008187
- 674 23. W. Magliani, S. Conti, L. Giovati, P. P. Zanello, M. Sperindè, T. Ciociola and L. Polonelli:
- 675 Antibody Peptide based antifungal immunotherapy. Front Microbiol, 3, 190 (2012)
- 676 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2012.00190
- 677 24. C. R. Figueiredo, A. L. Matsuo, R. A. Azevedo, M. H. Massaoka, N. Girola, L. Polonelli and
- 678 L. R. Travassos: A novel microtubule de-stabilizing complementarity-determining region C36L1
- peptide displays antitumor activity against melanoma in vitro and in vivo. Sci Rep, 5, 14310 (2015)
- 680 doi:10.1038/srep14310
- 681 25. C. R. Figueiredo, A. L. Matsuo, M. H. Massaoka, L. Polonelli and L. R. Travassos: Anti-
- tumor activities of peptides corresponding to conserved complementary determining regions from
- different immunoglobulins. *Peptides*, 59, 14-9 (2014) doi:10.1016/j.peptides.2014.06.007
- 684 26. D. C. Arruda, L. C. Santos, F. M. Melo, F. V. Pereira, C. R. Figueiredo, A. L. Matsuo, R. A.
- Mortara, M. A. Juliano, E. G. Rodrigues, A. S. Dobroff, L. Polonelli and L. R. Travassos: β-Actin-
- 686 binding complementarity-determining region 2 of variable heavy chain from monoclonal antibody
- 687 C7 induces apoptosis in several human tumor cells and is protective against metastatic melanoma. J
- 688 *Biol Chem*, 287(18), 14912-22 (2012) doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.322362
- 689 27. A. S. Dobroff, E. G. Rodrigues, M. A. Juliano, D. M. Friaça, E. S. Nakayasu, I. C. Almeida,
- 690 R. A. Mortara, J. F. Jacysyn, G. P. Amarante-Mendes, W. Magliani, S. Conti, L. Polonelli and L. R.
- 691 Travassos: Differential Antitumor Effects of IgG and IgM Monoclonal Antibodies and Their

- 692 Synthetic Complementarity-Determining Regions Directed to New Targets of B16F10-Nex2
- 693 Melanoma Cells. *Transl Oncol*, 3(4), 204-17 (2010)
- 694 28. W. Magliani, S. Conti, R. L. Cunha, L. R. Travassos and L. Polonelli: Antibodies as crypts of
- antiinfective and antitumor peptides. *Curr Med Chem*, 16(18), 2305-23 (2009)
- 696 29. K. Yaddanapudi, B. E. Rendon, G. Lamont, E. J. Kim, N. Al Rayyan, J. Richie, S. Albeituni,
- 697 S. Waigel, A. Wise and R. A. Mitchell: MIF Is Necessary for Late-Stage Melanoma Patient MDSC
- 698 Immune Suppression and Differentiation. Cancer Immunol Res, 4(2), 101-12 (2016)
- 699 doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-15-0070-T
- 700 30. K. Yaddanapudi, K. Putty, B. E. Rendon, G. J. Lamont, J. D. Faughn, A. Satoskar, A. Lasnik,
- J. W. Eaton and R. A. Mitchell: Control of tumor-associated macrophage alternative activation by
- 702 macrophage migration inhibitory factor. J Immunol, 190(6), 2984-93 (2013)
- 703 doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1201650
- 704 31. G. Benedek, R. Meza-Romero, S. Andrew, L. Leng, G. G. Burrows, D. Bourdette, H. Offner,
- R. Bucala and A. A. Vandenbark: Partial MHC class II constructs inhibit MIF/CD74 binding and downstream effects. *Eur J Immunol*, 43(5), 1309-21 (2013) doi:10.1002/eji.201243162
- 707 32. R. Meza-Romero, G. Benedek, X. Yu, J. L. Mooney, R. Dahan, N. Duvshani, R. Bucala, H.
- 708 Offner, Y. Reiter, G. G. Burrows and A. A. Vandenbark: HLA-DRα1 constructs block CD74
- 709 expression and MIF effects in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Immunol, 192(9),
- 710 4164-73 (2014) doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1303118
- 711 33. Y. Xu, Y. Zhan, A. M. Lew, S. H. Naik and M. H. Kershaw: Differential development of
- 712 murine dendritic cells by GM-CSF versus Flt3 ligand has implications for inflammation and
- 713 trafficking. *J Immunol*, 179(11), 7577-84 (2007)
- 714 34. L. G. Trabuco, S. Lise, E. Petsalaki and R. B. Russell: PepSite: prediction of peptide-binding
- 715 sites from protein surfaces. Nucleic Acids Res, 40(Web Server issue), W423-7 (2012)
- 716 doi:10.1093/nar/gks398
- 717 35. A. Jasanoff, G. Wagner and D. C. Wiley: Structure of a trimeric domain of the MHC class II-
- 718 associated chaperonin and targeting protein Ii. EMBO J, 17(23), 6812-8 (1998)
- 719 doi:10.1093/emboj/17.23.6812
- 720 36. N. Floquet, P. Durand, B. Maigret, B. Badet, M. BadetDenisot and D. Perahia, Collective
- 721 motions in glucosamine-6-phosphate synthase: influence of ligand binding and role in ammonia
- 722 channelling and opening of the fructose-6- phosphate binding site, J. Mol. Biol. 385 (2), 653-64
- 723 (2009) doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2008.10.032
- 37. M. Louet, D. Perahia, J. Martinez and N. Floquet, A concerted mechanism for opening the GDP
- binding pocket and release of the nucleotide in hetero-trimeric G-proteins, J. Mol. Biol. 411 (1), 298–
- 726 312 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2011.05.034
- 38. L. Ireland, A. Santos, F. Campbell, C. Figueiredo, L. Ellies, U. Weyer-Czernilofsky, T.
- 728 Bogenrieder, M. Schmid, A. Mielgo. Blockade of insulin-like growth factors increases efficacy of
- paclitaxel in metastatic breast cancer. Oncogene. (2018) doi: 10.1038/s41388-017-0115-x
- 730 39. P. A. Ascierto, M. Atkins, C. Bifulco, G. Botti, A. Cochran, M. Davies, S. Demaria, R.
- 731 Dummer, S. Ferrone, S. Formenti, T. F. Gajewski, C. Garbe, S. Khleif, R. Kiessling, R. Lo, P.
- Lorigan, G. M. Arthur, G. Masucci, I. Melero, M. Mihm, G. Palmieri, G. Parmiani, I. Puzanov, P.
- Romero, B. Schilling, B. Seliger, D. Stroncek, J. Taube, S. Tomei, H. M. Zarour, A. Testori, E.
- Wang, J. Galon, G. Ciliberto, N. Mozzillo, F. M. Marincola and M. Thurin: Future perspectives in
- melanoma research: meeting report from the "Melanoma Bridge": Napoli, December 3rd-6th 2014. J
- 736 Transl Med, 13, 374 (2015) doi:10.1186/s12967-015-0736-1
- 737 40. I. Melero, A. Rouzaut, G. T. Motz and G. Coukos: T-cell and NK-cell infiltration into solid
- tumors: a key limiting factor for efficacious cancer immunotherapy. Cancer Discov, 4(5), 522-6
- 739 (2014) doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-13-0985

- 740 41. Á. Roxin and G. Zheng: Flexible or fixed: a comparative review of linear and cyclic cancer-
- 741 targeting peptides. Future Med Chem, 4(12), 1601-18 (2012) doi:10.4155/fmc.12.75
- 742 42. T. Shimizu, R. Abe, H. Nakamura, A. Ohkawara, M. Suzuki and J. Nishihira: High
- expression of macrophage migration inhibitory factor in human melanoma cells and its role in tumor
- 744 cell growth and angiogenesis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 264(3), 751-8 (1999)
- 745 doi:10.1006/bbrc.1999.1584
- 746 43. A. C. Repp, E. S. Mayhew, S. Apte and J. Y. Niederkorn: Human uveal melanoma cells
- 747 produce macrophage migration-inhibitory factor to prevent lysis by NK cells. *J Immunol*, 165(2),
- 748 710-5 (2000)
- 749 44. S. Waigel, B. E. Rendon, G. Lamont, J. Richie, R. A. Mitchell and K. Yaddanapudi: MIF
- 750 inhibition reverts the gene expression profile of human melanoma cell line-induced MDSCs to
- 751 normal monocytes. *Genom Data*, 7, 240-2 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.gdata.2015.12.025
- 752 45. C. S. Oliveira, C. E. de Bock, T. J. Molloy, E. Sadeqzadeh, X. Y. Geng, P. Hersey, X. D.
- 753 Zhang and R. F. Thorne: Macrophage migration inhibitory factor engages PI3K/Akt signalling and is
- a prognostic factor in metastatic melanoma. BMC Cancer, 14, 630 (2014) doi:10.1186/1471-2407-
- 755 14-630
- 756 46. M. Oliva, A. J. Rullan and J. M. Piulats: Uveal melanoma as a target for immune-therapy.
- 757 Ann Transl Med, 4(9), 172 (2016) doi:10.21037/atm.2016.05.04
- 758 47. H. Su, N. Na, X. Zhang and Y. Zhao: The biological function and significance of CD74 in
- 759 immune diseases. *Inflamm Res*, 66(3), 209-216 (2017) doi:10.1007/s00011-016-0995-1
- 760 48. R. Meza-Romero, G. Benedek, L. Leng, R. Bucala and A. A. Vandenbark: Predicted structure
- 761 of MIF/CD74 and RTL1000/CD74 complexes. Metab Brain Dis, 31(2), 249-55 (2016)
- 762 doi:10.1007/s11011-016-9798-x
- 763 49. A. Ghoochani, M. A. Schwarz, E. Yakubov, T. Engelhorn, A. Doerfler, M. Buchfelder, R.
- 764 Bucala, N. E. Savaskan and I. Y. Eyüpoglu: MIF-CD74 signaling impedes microglial M1
- 765 polarization and facilitates brain tumorigenesis. Oncogene, 35(48), 6246-6261 (2016)
- 766 doi:10.1038/onc.2016.160
- 767 50. B. Schröder: The multifaceted roles of the invariant chain CD74--More than just a chaperone.
- 768 Biochim Biophys Acta, 1863(6 Pt A), 1269-81 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2016.03.026
- 769 51. X. Yan, R. J. Orentas and B. D. Johnson: Tumor-derived macrophage migration inhibitory
- 770 factor (MIF) inhibits T lymphocyte activation. Cytokine, 33(4), 188-98 (2006)
- 771 doi:10.1016/j.cyto.2006.01.006
- 772 52. Y. Zhang, X. Wang, H. Yang, H. Liu, Y. Lu, L. Han and G. Liu: Kinase AKT controls innate
- immune cell development and function. *Immunology*, 140(2), 143-52 (2013) doi:10.1111/imm.12123
- 774 53. D. Schadendorf, D. E. Fisher, C. Garbe, J. E. Gershenwald, J. J. Grob, A. Halpern, M. Herlyn,
- 775 M. A. Marchetti, G. McArthur, A. Ribas, A. Roesch and A. Hauschild: Melanoma. Nat Rev Dis
- 776 *Primers*, 1, 15003 (2015) doi:10.1038/nrdp.2015.3
- 777 54. P. Karagiannis, M. Fittall and S. N. Karagiannis: Evaluating biomarkers in melanoma. Front
- 778 *Oncol*, 4, 383 (2014) doi:10.3389/fonc.2014.00383
- 779 55. M. Maio, J. J. Grob, S. Aamdal, I. Bondarenko, C. Robert, L. Thomas, C. Garbe, V. Chiarion-
- 780 Sileni, A. Testori, T. T. Chen, M. Tschaika and J. D. Wolchok: Five-year survival rates for treatment-
- 781 naive patients with advanced melanoma who received ipilimumab plus dacarbazine in a phase III
- 782 trial. *J Clin Oncol*, 33(10), 1191-6 (2015) doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.56.6018
- 783 56. B.D. Shields, F. Mahmoud, E. M. Taylor, S.D. Byrum, D. Sengupta, B. Koss, G. Baldini, S.
- 784 Ransom, K. Cline, S.G. Mackintosh, R.D. Edmondson, S. Shalin and A.J. Tackett. Indicators of
- responsiveness to immune checkpoint inhibitors. Sci. Reports 7: 807 (2017).
- 786 doi:10.1038/s41598-017-01000-2

- 787 57. I. S. Okoye, M. Houghton, L. Tyrrell, K. Barakat and S. Elahi: Coinhibitory Receptor
- 788 Expression and Immune Checkpoint Blockade: Maintaining a Balance in CD8+ T Cell Responses to
- 789 Chronic Viral Infections and Cancer. Front Immunol, 8, 1215 (2017) doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.01215
- 790 58. S. L. Topalian, C. G. Drake and D. M. Pardoll: Immune checkpoint blockade: a common
- 791 denominator approach to cancer therapy. Cancer Cell, 27(4), 450-61 (2015)
- 792 doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2015.03.001
- 793 59. B. A. Castro, P. Flanigan, A. Jahangiri, D. Hoffman, W. Chen, R. Kuang, M. De Lay, G.
- Yagnik, J. R. Wagner, S. Mascharak, M. Sidorov, S. Shrivastav, G. Kohanbash, H. Okada and M. K.
- 795 Aghi: Macrophage migration inhibitory factor downregulation: a novel mechanism of resistance to
- 796 anti-angiogenic therapy. Oncogene, 36(26), 3749-3759 (2017) doi:10.1038/onc.2017.1
- 797 60. N. Funamizu, C. Hu, C. Lacy, A. Schetter, G. Zhang, P. He, J. Gaedcke, M. B. Ghadimi, T.
- Ried, H. G. Yfantis, D. H. Lee, J. Subleski, T. Chan, J. M. Weiss, T. C. Back, K. Yanaga, N. Hanna,
- 799 H. R. Alexander, A. Maitra and S. P. Hussain: Macrophage migration inhibitory factor induces
- 800 epithelial to mesenchymal transition, enhances tumor aggressiveness and predicts clinical outcome in
- 801 resected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Int J Cancer, 132(4), 785-94 (2013)
- 802 doi:10.1002/ijc.27736
- 803 61. K. D. Simpson, D. J. Templeton and J. V. Cross: Macrophage migration inhibitory factor
- promotes tumor growth and metastasis by inducing myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the tumor
- 805 microenvironment. J Immunol, 189(12), 5533-40 (2012) doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1201161
- 806 62. J. Y. Niederkorn: Ocular immune privilege and ocular melanoma: parallel universes or
- 807 immunological plagiarism? *Front Immunol*, 3, 148 (2012) doi:10.3389/fimmu.2012.00148
- 808 63. P. S. Zeiner, C. Preusse, A. E. Blank, C. Zachskorn, P. Baumgarten, L. Caspary, A. K.
- Braczynski, J. Weissenberger, H. Bratzke, S. Reiß, S. Pennartz, R. Winkelmann, C. Senft, K. H.
- Plate, J. Wischhusen, W. Stenzel, P. N. Harter and M. Mittelbronn: MIF Receptor CD74 is Restricted
- 811 to Microglia/Macrophages, Associated with a M1-Polarized Immune Milieu and Prolonged Patient
- 812 Survival in Gliomas. *Brain Pathol*, 25(4), 491-504 (2015) doi:10.1111/bpa.12194
- 813 64. F. Hussain, M. Freissmuth, D. Völkel, M. Thiele, P. Douillard, G. Antoine, P. Thurner, H.
- 814 Ehrlich, H. P. Schwarz, F. Scheiflinger and R. J. Kerschbaumer: Human anti-macrophage migration
- inhibitory factor antibodies inhibit growth of human prostate cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. *Mol*
- 816 Cancer Ther, 12(7), 1223-34 (2013) doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-12-0988
- 817 65. Y. Al-Abed, D. Dabideen, B. Aljabari, A. Valster, D. Messmer, M. Ochani, M. Tanovic, K.
- Ochani, M. Bacher, F. Nicoletti, C. Metz, V. A. Pavlov, E. J. Miller and K. J. Tracey: ISO-1 binding
- 819 to the tautomerase active site of MIF inhibits its pro-inflammatory activity and increases survival in
- severe sepsis. *J Biol Chem*, 280(44), 36541-4 (2005) doi:10.1074/jbc.C500243200
- 821 66. K. L. Meyer-Siegler, K. A. Iczkowski, L. Leng, R. Bucala and P. L. Vera: Inhibition of
- macrophage migration inhibitory factor or its receptor (CD74) attenuates growth and invasion of DU-
- 823 145 prostate cancer cells. *J Immunol*, 177(12), 8730-9 (2006)
- 824 67. Z. Berkova, R. H. Tao and F. Samaniego: Milatuzumab a promising new
- 825 immunotherapeutic agent. Expert Opin Investig Drugs, 19(1), 141-9 (2010)
- 826 doi:10.1517/13543780903463854
- 827 68. J. M. Li, C. T. Petersen, J. X. Li, R. Panjwani, D. J. Chandra, C. R. Giver, B. R. Blazar and E.
- 828 K. Waller: Modulation of Immune Checkpoints and Graft-versus-Leukemia in Allogeneic
- 829 Transplants by Antagonizing Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide Signaling. Cancer Res, 76(23), 6802-
- 830 6815 (2016) doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-0427
- 831 69. H. N. Chang, B. Y. Liu, Y. K. Qi, Y. Zhou, Y. P. Chen, K. M. Pan, W. W. Li, X. M. Zhou,
- W. W. Ma, C. Y. Fu, Y. M. Qi, L. Liu and Y. F. Gao: Blocking of the PD-1/PD-L1 Interaction by a
- 833 D-Peptide Antagonist for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*, 54(40), 11760-4 (2015)
- 834 doi:10.1002/anie.201506225

- 835 C. Li, N. Zhang, J. Zhou, C. Ding, Y. Jin, X. Cui, K. Pu and Y. Zhu: Peptide blocking of PD-
- 1/PD-L1 interaction for cancer immunotherapy. Cancer Immunol Res (2017) doi:10.1158/2326-836
- 837 6066.CIR-17-0035
- 838 A. A. Vandenbark, R. Meza-Romero, G. Benedek, S. Andrew, J. Huan, Y. K. Chou, A. C.
- 839 Buenafe, R. Dahan, Y. Reiter, J. L. Mooney, H. Offner and G. G. Burrows: A novel regulatory
- pathway for autoimmune disease: binding of partial MHC class II constructs to monocytes reduces 840
- CD74 expression and induces both specific and bystander T-cell tolerance. J Autoimmun, 40, 96-110 841
- (2013) doi:10.1016/j.jaut.2012.08.004 842

843

844

#### FIGURE LEGENDS

- Figure 1. The anti-metastatic effect of the C36L1 peptide depends on the immune system. (A) 845
- 846 Metastatic melanoma model and therapeutic strategy using C36L1 peptide and control vehicle (PBS).
- 847 At end point, lungs, cervical lymph nodes and spleens are harvested. (B) Number of metastatic foci
- in immunocompetent (Wild Type, WT) and immunodeficient (NOD/Scid/IL-2rynull, NSG) mice 848
- treated with control vehicle (PBS) or C36L1 peptide. n = 10 mice per group (two combined 849
- 850 experiments). Values are expressed as means  $\pm$  s.e.m., and were analysed using a two-tailed unpaired
- 851 t-test. \*\* p=0.001. Graph combines two independent experiments. (C) Left, Immunofluorescent
- staining and quantification of F4/80<sup>+</sup>Arg.1<sup>+</sup> M2-like and F4/80<sup>+</sup>iNOS<sup>+</sup> M1-like macrophages in lung 852
- 853 metastasis from C36L1 and control vehicle treated mice. Melanoma lung metastatic area appears in
- dark/brown colour in brightfield images. Right, Graphs show quantification of positive F4/80<sup>+</sup>Arg1<sup>+</sup> 854
- 855
- (\*p=0.028) and F4/80<sup>+</sup>iNOS<sup>+</sup> (\*p=0.02) stainings. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342
- 856 (Blue). N = 5 mice per group; at least five fields assessed per sample. Values are expressed as means
- 857  $\pm$  s.e.m., and were analysed using a two-tailed unpaired t-test. Blue and red lines indicate the tumour
- area in C36L1 and control vehicle treated mice, respectively. Scale bars: 50 µm. (**D**) Flow Cytometry 858
- 859 quantification of activation markers MHC-II (\*\*p=0.003), CD197 (\*\*p=0.002), CD86 (\*p=0.019),
- and CD40 (\*\*p=0.007) expressed in CD11c<sup>+</sup> DCs isolated from lungs of C36L1 and control vehicle 860
- 861 treated mice. Data represent quantification of four independent experiments with 5 pooled lungs per
- group for each experiment. Values are expressed as means  $\pm$  s.e.m, and were analysed using a two-862
- tailed, unpaired t-test. (E) Quantification of CD4<sup>+</sup> (\*p=0.03), CD8<sup>+</sup> (\*\*p=0.005) and NK1.1<sup>+</sup> 863
- (\*p=0.02) cells among CD3<sup>+</sup> cells in lung metastatic lesions from C36L1 and control vehicle treated 864
- 865 mice. Bar graphs combine three independent in vivo experiments with 5 pooled lungs per group for
- each experiment. Values represent means  $\pm$  s.e.m., and were analysed using a two-tailed unpaired t-866
- 867 test.
- 868 Figure 2: C36L1 counteracts the pro-tumorigenic activity of macrophages induced by
- melanoma derived factors. Left: Schematics describing the workflow of the tumour cell 869
- proliferation assay. Tumour cells are exposed to either conditioned media from: untreated 870
- 871 macrophages (MCM1), macrophages exposed to tumour conditioned media (TCM) from metastatic
- melanoma B16F10 cells (MCM2), or macrophages exposed to C36L1 peptide + TCM from B16F10 872
- cells (MCM3). Next, MCM generated from these three conditions were added into B16F10 873
- 874 melanoma cells and the number of live proliferating cells was quantified by flow cytometry after 72h.
- **Right:** Bar graph represents average of three independent experiments (n=3). Values represent means 875
- 876  $\pm$  s.e.m. and data were analysed using a two-tailed unpaired t-test. \*\*\* p<0.001.
- 877 Figure 3: MIF is secreted by B16F10 metastatic melanoma cells and is highly expressed in lung
- 878 metastatic lesions. (A) Immunofluorescent staining of B16F10 cells stained for MIF (green) and
- 879 nuclei (blue). Scale bars: 50 µm. (B) Immunoblotting analysis of B16F10 tumour conditioned media

880 (TCM) detecting secreted MIF. (C) Immunohistochemical staining of lung melanoma metastasis

showing MIF (in red) in small and large lesions. Dark brown areas are metastatic foci of melanoma

882 cells. Scale bars: 200 μm (Left) and 50 μm (Right).

883 Figure 4: Binding prediction and molecular docking of C36L1 dynamic interactions to MIF and its receptor CD74. (A) Binding probability of C36L1 peptide and irrelevant peptide (iCDR) to 884 885 MIF and its receptor CD74 calculated using Pepsite algorithm. Best ranked binding scores (n=5) were included in the analysis for each group (\*\*\* p< 0.001). (B) Dot-blot binding assay for C36L1 886 887 and iCDR peptides to mouse recombinant CD74. Bar graph represents mean of RLU in dot area 888 quantified using ImageJ software from triplicates (n = 3), \*\*\*p < 0.001). (C) Hydrophobic pocket 889 (orange) formed by CD74 and C36L1 partners characterized by carbon-carbon interactions above a 890 4Å distance cut-off. (**D**) Electrostatic interactions between CD74 and C36L1 peptide: hydrogen 891 bonds formed between partners. Donor-acceptor distances are described; salt bridge formed 892 involving K13; cation-π stacking between tyrosine residues of chain A of CD74 and C36L1. CD74 893 chains A, B and C are coloured in green, cyan and magenta, respectively. C36L1 is coloured in 894 yellow. (E) Overlap of highest and lowest free energy results for C36L1 (cyan) in complex with CD74 (green). Left: Overlap of the lowest free energy 50 poses showing major concentration of 895 896 C36L1 peptide at the CD74 N- and C- terminal interface. Lowest peptide free energy pose 897 highlighted in red. Right: Overlap of the lowest free energy 50 poses, where C36L1 visits other 898 regions of CD74, including the external region of the α-helices. Lowest peptide free energy 899 highlighted in red.

900 Figure 5: C36L1 interacts with CD74 in both macrophages and dendritic cells, and inhibits 901 MIF/CD74 signalling. (A) Immunofluorescent staining of C36L1 (red), CD74 (green), nuclei (blue) 902 in primary macrophages (MOs) and dendritic cells (DCs). CD74 interactions with C36L1 were 903 quantified using automated analysis in ImageJ. Arrows indicate merged channels depicted in white. 904 Four fields per slide were quantified. Scale bars: 50 µm. (B) Representative fluorescent confocal microscopy images showing colocalisation of C36L1 peptide (Red) and CD74 (green) in the 905 906 intracellular and surface focal plane of both primary macrophages (left) and DCs (right). Co-907 localized points were detected using ImageJ colocalization algorithm, depicted in white. Scale bars: 908 10 μm. (C) Immunoblotting analysis of phosphorylated AKT and ERK1/2 on primary macrophages 909 (10 and 20 min, respectively) and DCs (5 mins) previously treated with C36L1 (200 µg/mL) or left 910 untreated, and further treated with recombinant MIF (200 ng/mL).

911 Figure 6: C36L1 blocks MIF induced immunosuppressive effect on macrophages and dendritic 912 cells. (A) Top: Schematics describing the workflow of the tumour cell proliferation assay. B16F10 913 metastatic melanoma cells are exposed to conditioned media from: untreated macrophages, 914 macrophages exposed to MIF (200 ng/mL) or macrophages exposed to C36L1 (200 µg/mL) + MIF 915 (200ng/mL). The number of live proliferating B16F10 cells was quantified by flow cytometry after 72h. **Bottom:** Bar graph represents average of three independent experiments (n=3), mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. 916 Data were analysed using a two-tailed unpaired t-test (\*\*\* p<0.001). (B) C36L1 blocks MIF induced 917 918 immunosuppressive effect on primary macrophages. mRNA levels of  $TGF-\beta$  (n.s = 0.058), IL-10 919 (\*p=0.049, p=0.042), Arg.1 (\*\*p=0.002, \*\*\*p<0.001), PD-L1 (\*\*p=0.0049, \*\*\*p<0.001), and IL-6 920 (\*\*0.0015, \*\*\*p<0.001) from macrophages exposed to recombinant MIF in the presence or absence 921 of C36L1 peptide. Experiment was performed in triplicates (n=3). Values represent mean  $\pm$  s.e.m and 922 were analysed using a two-tailed unpaired t-test. (C) Schematics describing the different conditions 923 in which DCs were cultured and then used to activate T cells. Primary DCs were incubated with MIF 924 (200 ng/mL) in the presence or absence of C36L1 peptide and activation markers were quantified by 925 flow cytometry. These DCs were further pulsed with a melanoma antigen peptide and incubated with

- 926 syngeneic purified CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Next, T cells were harvested and incubated with melanoma B16F10
- 927 cells at a ratio of  $10/1 \text{ CD8}^+\text{ T}$  cells/ B16F10 tumour cell. (**D**): Quantification of MHC-II (p=0.01),
- 928 CD80 (p<0.001) and CD86 (p=0.02) activation markers in DCs performed by flow cytometry. Bar
- graph represents mean  $\pm$  s.e.m, from three independent experiments (n=3). Data were analysed using
- 930 a two-tailed unpaired t-test. (E) Bar graph showing the quantification of dead B16F10 cells after
- incubation with CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Best of three independent experiments is shown, mean  $\pm$  s.e.m from
- biological triplicates, one-tailed unpaired *t*-test (\*p=0.032).

937

- 933 Figure 7: Scheme of the mechanism of action of the C36L1 peptide in macrophages and
- 934 **dendritic cells.** C36L1 binds to MIF's receptor CD74, thereby blocking its immunosuppressive
- 935 effect on macrophages and DCs, restoring their anti-tumorigenic functions and their capacity to
- 936 activate and support an effective immune response against metastatic melanoma.