



Wernham, C., Jordan, A., & Hughes, J. C. (2018). Assessing pain in dementia: tools or tacit knowledge (or both)? *British Journal of General Practice*, 68(669), 196-197. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp18X695657>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):  
[10.3399/bjgp18X695657](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp18X695657)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)  
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via RCP at <http://bjgp.org/content/68/669/196>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

## University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

### General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:  
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms>

**For BJGP Debate and Analysis section:**

**Title: Assessing pain in dementia: tools or tacit knowledge (or both)?**

Running Title: Assessing pain in dementia

**Authors:**

**Cathy Wernham**, Clinical Research Fellow, Research Institute for the Care of Older People, Royal United Hospital, Combe Park, Bath BA1 3NG, UK , and Locum GP

Tel +44 (0)1225 476420

Email: [c.wernham@bath.ac.uk](mailto:c.wernham@bath.ac.uk)

**Alice Jordan**, Consultant in Palliative Medicine, North Tees and Hartlepool NHS Foundation Trust and Alice House Hospice, Wells Avenue, Hartlepool, UK TS24 9DA

Tel: +44 (0)1429 855555

Email: [ajordan@alicehousehospice.co.uk](mailto:ajordan@alicehousehospice.co.uk)

**Julian C Hughes**, RICE Professor of Old Age Psychiatry, Bristol Medical School: Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol, and the Research Institute for the Care of Older People, Royal United Hospital, Combe Park, Bath, BA1 3NG, UK.

Tel: +44 (0)1225 476420

Fax: +44 (0)1225 463403

Email: [julian.hughes@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:julian.hughes@bristol.ac.uk)

**ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:** Dr. Cathy Wernham, Research Institute for the Care of Older People, Royal United Hospital, Combe Park, Bath, BA1 3NG, UK. Email: [C.Wernham@bath.ac.uk](mailto:C.Wernham@bath.ac.uk)

**Abstract**

In this paper we present some anonymized vignettes in order to raise issues about the assessment of pain in people living with dementia. Observational pain assessment tools are to be commended as adjuncts to diagnosis and as a means to demonstrate change in response to the management of distress, whether or not caused by pain. But diagnosis also critically requires clinical judgement arrived at on the basis of observations made by people who know the person well. And, we suggest, clinical judgement, which remains a crucial part of the GP's armamentarium, involves the use of tacit knowledge.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared no competing interests.

**Key words:** clinical judgement, dementia, general practice, pain measurement, pain, tacit knowledge

**Word count:** 1315 (main text)

**References:** 13 (314 words)

## Introduction

In the United Kingdom approximately 770,000 people are affected by dementia, with the prevalence predicted to rise to 1.2 million by 2040 as the population ages. (1) It is clearly important that GPs are confident in their treatment of people living with dementia.

Estimates of the prevalence of pain vary, but probably about 50% of people with dementia experience pain regularly. (2) This is understandable given that older patients are likely to have a variety of painful chronic comorbidities. When communication is compromised it becomes difficult to identify pain in people with dementia. Seminal studies suggested that pain in people with severe dementia has been under-recognized and under-treated. (3) In 2007, the NICE-SCIE Guidelines on dementia suggested: 'If a person with dementia has unexplained changes in behaviour and/or shows signs of distress, health and social care professionals should assess whether the person is in pain, using an observational pain assessment tool if helpful. However, the possibility of other causes should be considered'. (4)

In recent years, there has been a proliferation of observational pain tools. A systematic review found 28 such tools, which had been studied in a variety of settings, and confirmed that 'no one tool can be recommended given the existing evidence'. (5) The NICE- SCIE guidelines seem exactly right and go on to commend holistic assessment. They acknowledge (at paragraph 4.11.8) the concern 'that pain assessment tools might detect distress caused by other factors'. Our concern is that, despite the guidelines, (a) some practitioners might not see *how* assessment tools can be helpful and, contrariwise, (b) pain assessment tools might encourage other possible causes of distress to be overlooked. (6)

In this article, we use three case vignettes to illustrate both how observational pain tools can be useful in assessing people with dementia and how they can be misleading. In discussing this we shall

go on to make a point about the continuing importance of clinical judgement. The cases are based on real patients, but are anonymized.

### **Case vignettes**

#### **1.**

Mrs Smith was a 92-year-old woman with a history of Alzheimer's disease and osteoarthritis. Her medication included Donepezil 5mg daily and Paracetamol 1g four times a day. She lived in a residential home and used a Zimmer frame to mobilise.

She was also prescribed a 10mcg/hr buprenorphine patch, which had been started by a previous GP for pain. She was trialled without this, but became agitated and it was restarted. With time there were further behavioural issues such as hitting or spitting at staff members at her residential home when they were helping with personal care. Her score on an objective pain scale was high. Buprenorphine was increased to 15mcg/hr and she seemed to be more mobile with no further episodes of aggression. At the same time her pain score went down.

#### **2**

Mr. Pasha, at the age of 82 years, was a man with a diagnosis of vascular dementia. He had spent 30 years working as a building labourer. Shortly after admission to a care home, over the course of a week he became increasingly aggressive. Urinalysis was negative. A full review revealed that his regular analgesia for chronic back pain, caused by a crush fracture, had been omitted from his prescription when he was admitted. He had a high score on an objective measure of pain. He was refusing to take his medication by mouth. On the advice of the local palliative care team, lidocaine 5% medicated plasters were used until oral medication could be reinstated once he was less agitated. Mr. Pasha calmed down almost immediately and a week later was willing to accept oral medication once more. His pain score also settled.

**3**

Mr. Kowalski had dementia with Lewy bodies. In the care home, during some meals he would become very distressed, agitated and verbally aggressive towards those who sat with him. It was questioned whether he was hallucinating. The care team also considered that he might be in pain since, when agitated, he scored high on the observational pain tool. However, a member of staff then noticed that another man at his table was stealing Mr. Kowalski's chips, which seemed to precipitate the aggression. A change of table settled the problem and the scores on the pain tool diminished.

**Discussion**

These vignettes make the point that observational pain tools can be used as an adjunct to diagnosis and as a means to record change. (7) They also show that distress might have a number of causes, one of which is pain. So pain needs to be considered, but a high score on an observational pain tool is not diagnostic of pain. (8) A stratified, thorough, holistic medical assessment – and in complex cases, specialist help – will be useful; but it is also worthwhile and important to seek the views of care staff or family who know the person well. When multiple carers are involved in a person's care, a range of interpretations of potential signs of distress may be available and beneficial to consider. An observational pain tool can be useful in showing a reduction in signs of distress and can help to create a consensus as to its cause whilst demonstrating the effectiveness of the intervention.

Deciding that someone is in pain and monitoring change in response to treatment, with or without the help of a pain tool, still requires clinical judgement. It might be tempting to think that such judgement functions simply like a highly refined pain tool, amalgamating discrete observations to form a more or less valid conclusion.

Of course we know that human judgement can err. Studies have shown, for instance, that nursing professionals, albeit (crucially) 'without further contextual information', are no better at

assessing pain from facial expression than lay people. (9) Nevertheless, there are good conceptual grounds on which to think that a correct clinical judgement is based on a holistic assessment that is greater than the sum of its parts. And the ability to make holistic judgements is seen as the mark of expertise. (10) Regarding the observations as part of a whole picture, where judgements also have to be made about the informing contextual background, is also key. That background involves, however, shared understandings which it may not be possible to codify as would be required for an objective tool.

This takes us into the territory of tacit knowledge, which is a notion derived from the work of Michael Polanyi (1891-1976). Space does not allow this to be pursued here (but see (11 and 12)).

Still, it is instructive to quote Polanyi:

‘When we accept a certain set of pre-suppositions and use them as our interpretative framework, we may be said to dwell in them as we do in our own body. ... They are not asserted and cannot be asserted, for assertion can be made only within a framework with which we have identified ourselves for the time being; as they are themselves our ultimate framework, they are essentially inarticulable’. (13)

In other words, we understand – as our background framework – that a certain sort of grimace is a smile, that a certain mien indicates contentedness, that a certain sort of soft noise is a moan. We cannot say why; these things just are the case. And against this background we place our understanding of pathophysiology, of history, of the reaction to specific injuries and drugs and so forth. In this context of understanding, the results of an observational pain tool can be useful. But they do not provide the answer. Instead, crucially, at root there is clinical judgement based on the GP’s most essential resource: his or her access to those who know the person well and the GP’s knowledge of the person as a whole.

## **Conclusion**

People living with dementia should not be left in pain. Observational pain tools can be useful in advanced dementia, but they must be used judiciously and always with clinical judgement.

## References

1. Ahmadi-Abhari S, Guzman-Castillo M, Bandosz P, et al. *BMJ* 2017;358:j2856
2. Corbett A, Husebo B, Malcangio M, Staniland A, Cohen-Mansfield J, Aarsland D, Ballard C. Assessment and treatment of pain in people with dementia. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2012;8(5): 264-274. doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2012.53
3. Morrison RS, Siu AL. A comparison of pain and its treatment in advanced dementia and cognitively intact patients with hip fracture. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2000;19(4): 240-8.
4. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health. *Dementia: A NICE–SCIE Guideline on supporting people with dementia and their carers in health and social care*. London: The British Psychological Society and Gaskell; 2007: paragraph 4.13.10
5. Lichtner V, Dowding D, Esterhuizen P, Closs SJ, Long AF, Corbett A, Briggs M. Pain assessment for people with dementia: a systematic review of systematic reviews of pain assessment tools. *BMC Geriatr* 2014;14:138 <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2318/14/138>
6. Jordan AI, Regnard C, Hughes JC. Hidden Pain or Hidden Evidence? *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2007;33(6): 658-9.
7. Jordan A, Hughes J, Pakresi M, Hepburn S, O'Brien JT. The utility of PAINAD in assessing pain in a UK population with severe dementia. *Inter J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2011;26: 118-126. DOI: 10.1002/gps.2489



8. Jordan A, Regnard C, O'Brien JT, Hughes JC. Pain and distress in advanced dementia: Choosing the right tools for the job. *Palliat Med* 2012;26(7): 873-878. doi:10.1177/0269216311412227
9. Lautenbacher S, Niewelt BG, Kunz M. Decoding pain from the facial display of patients with dementia: a comparison of professional and nonprofessional observers. *Pain Med* 2013;14(4):469-477. doi:10.1111/pme.12050
10. Benner P. *From Novice to Expert: Excellence and Power in Clinical Nursing Practice*. Menlo Park, CA: Addison-Wesley; 1984.
11. Hughes JC. *How We Think About Dementia*. London and Philadelphia: Jessica Kingsley; 2014: Chapter 10. Understanding the language of distress, pp. 151-164.
12. Gascoigne N, Thornton, T. *Tacit Knowledge* Durham: Acumen; 2013.
13. Polanyi M. *Personal Knowledge: Towards a Post-Critical Philosophy*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul; 1958: pp. 59-60.