

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Energy



Energy Procedia 92 (2016) 633 - 637

6th International Conference on Silicon Photovoltaics, SiliconPV 2016

Back junction n-type silicon heterojunction solar cells with V_2O_5 hole-selective contact

Luis G. Gerling^{a,b, *}, Gerard Masmitja^a, Cristobal Voz^a, Pablo Ortega^a, Joaquim Puigdollers^{a,b}, Ramón Alcubilla^{a,b}

^a Electronic Engineering Department, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Jordi Girona 1-3, 08034, Barcelona, Spain ^b Centre for Research in Nano-engineering, Pascual i Vila 15, 08028, Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

In this work, a back junction solar cell design is explored for n-type crystalline silicon by using thermally evaporated V_2O_5 as a rear hole contact and n⁺ amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) as a front electron contact. Photoconductance measurements revealed an implicit open-circuit voltage (*i*- V_{OC}) of 670 mV for the solar cell precursor (before metallization), achieved by maximizing the work function of V_2O_5 with a thin nickel capping layer. The V_{OC} value of the finished device was lower than projected at 617 mV, most likely due to poor passivation of the active area perimeter. Nonetheless, an efficiency of 14.2% was achieved (in polished substrates), proving the potential of such a novel structure.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Peer review by the scientific conference committee of SiliconPV 2016 under responsibility of PSE AG.

Keywords: Silicon heterojunction solar cell; Hole-selective contact; Transition metal oxide; Vanadium pentoxide

1. Introduction

During the past decades, crystalline silicon (c-Si) has become the dominant photovoltaic technology due to its well-known device physics and high throughput processing. However, further lowering of its production cost depends on the implementation of novel processes and materials with lower energy budget. In parallel, the development of organic photovoltaics has introduced a great number of hole- and electron-selective materials whose

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 93 401 1002; fax: +34 93 401 6756. *E-mail address:* guillermo.gerling@upc.edu

optoelectronic properties are comparable or superior to the conventional p- and n-doped layers used in c-Si (either thermally-diffused homojunctions or heterojunctions based on hydrogenated amorphous silicon, a-Si:H). Recent research involving the use of such "alternative" materials on c-Si includes the formation of thin (<20 nm) hole-selective layers with thermally-evaporated transition metal oxides MoO₃, WO₃ and V₂O₅ [1,2,3,4], working as front-side emitters in n-type crystalline silicon (n-Si) and as back-surface fields in p-type crystalline silicon (p-Si) solar cells [4], with a proven record conversion efficiency of 22.5% [5]. Given their high work function values (> 5eV), these materials are capable of inducing either an inversion layer on n-Si or an accumulation layer on p-Si, working as contacts that extract photogenerated holes. When used as front emitters, their wide energy bandgap (E_{gap}>3 eV) reduces the absorption losses of the device by ≥ 1 mA/cm² when compared to front emitters based on a-Si:H (E_{gap}~1.7 eV). However, the main advantage of these materials is their ambient temperature and/or solution-based processing.

Despite these benefits, transition metal oxides are known for their sensitivity to air exposure, drastically lowering their work function [6] and/or degrading their passivation potential. Further passivation losses occur by ionbombardment and UV-luminescence when transparent electrodes of indium-tin oxide (ITO) are sputtered over these oxides [2,5], a necessary step due to their poor conductivity (~10⁻⁶ S/cm). Moreover, post-processing of the device (for example, post-fabrication annealing) is limited to temperatures below 130 °C [5,7], because of thermal instabilities of the oxide or its interface with c-Si or ITO. To overcome these problems, this work reports on a back junction n-Si solar cell with V₂O₅ (work function $\Phi_{V2O5} \sim 6.7$ eV, $E_{gap} \sim 2.8$ eV) acting as hole-selective contact. Passivation losses caused by air exposure are avoided by a 20 nm Ni capping layer ($\Phi_{Ni} \sim 5$ eV), while damage caused by ITO sputtering is prevented by directly contacting with an Al back electrode. These modifications allow to fully take advantage of the passivation potential of V₂O₅, measured as an implicit open-circuit voltage (*i*-V_{OC}) of 678 mV, demonstrating its feasibility as a hole contact in back junction architectures.

2. Device structure and fabrication

The back junction n-Si/V₂O₅ solar cells were fabricated on FZ (100 orientation, 280 µm thickness) wafers with 2.7 Ω cm resistivity. After standard RCA cleaning, samples were dipped in 1% HF (1 min) and washed with deionized water. A stack of intrinsic (5 nm) and n⁺-doped (15 nm) a-Si:H layers was deposited on the front side by Plasma Enhanced Chemical Vapor Deposition (PECVD), resulting in a passivated electron-selective contact (or front surface field). After a brief air exposure, an 80 nm 120 Ω /sq ITO film was sputtered (RF-magnetron) as a front anti-reflection conductive layer. At this point, an annealing step at 160 °C was applied for 20 min under a N₂ atmosphere to improve the conductivity of the ITO and recover the passivation quality of the i/n⁺ a-Si:H stack after the sputtering process [8]. Regarding the rear side, a 10 nm V₂O₅ film (99.99%, powdered) was deposited by vacuum thermal evaporation (<8x10⁻⁶ mbar) from a tantalum boat at ~0.1 Å/s, comprising the hole-selective contact (or emitter) of the device. Without interrupting the vacuum, a 20 nm Ni capping layer was evaporated from an alumina-coated tungsten boat. After characterizing the device precursor by quasi-steady state photoconductance (QSSPC) measurements, a 300 nm Al layer was evaporated as a rear electrode. Both the rear and front active areas (1 cm²) were defined by photolithography, completed by wet etching of the Al/Ni/V₂O₅ layers and by wet/dry etching of the ITO/n⁺ a-Si:H layers. The solar cell was finalized by an evaporated Ag front grid (3 µm, 4.3% shadow), as depicted in figure 1(a) with its fabrication steps.

As for the front junction device, the fabrication process has been described elsewhere [3] and mainly differs from the back junction process in (1) the rear i/n^+ a-Si:H stack is capped by an 80 nm a-SiC_x rear reflector and contacts the c-Si substrate by laser firing, followed by annealing at 160 °C/20 min; (2) after a brief air exposure (< 5 min) of the V₂O₅ layer, ITO is sputtered; (3) the active front area (1 cm²) is defined by photolithography while the rear contact (full area) consists of thermally evaporated Al. Figure 1(b) depicts the front junction solar cell structure and its main fabrication steps.



Fig. 1. Schematic of the n-type c-Si/V₂O₅ front (a) and back (b) junction solar cells, along with main processing steps.

3. Device characterization

Figure 2 shows the QSSPC measurements of the n-Si/V₂O₅ front (1) and back (2) junction device precursors (before AI metallization and active area defining). For the back junction, an implicit open-circuit voltage (iV_{OC} , 1 sun intensity) of 670 mV is measured (equivalent to a dark saturation current $J_0 \sim 85$ fA/cm²), while the front junction shows a lower value of 644 mV ($J_0 \sim 205$ fA/cm²) before ITO sputtering. The difference between both values could be attributed to work function lowering of the air-exposed V₂O₅ from ~6.7 to ~5.7 eV [6]. Additionally, a fraction of iV_{OC} loss is also due to the passivation damage induced by the laser firing of the rear a-Si:H/a-SiC_x stack. Furthermore, the potential V_{OC} of the front junction degrades to 619 mV after the ITO sputtering process, a loss that could be partially recovered by low temperature annealing (160 °C/20 min) at the expense of a Fill Factor loss of 20%, as previously reported in [7]. This recovery in passivation suggests that even though annealing improves the n-Si/V₂O₅ interface, it permanently deteriorates the V₂O₅ layer or its interface with ITO. Therefore, the potential of a V₂O₅ hole-selective contact is higher when a thin metallic layer keeps it from reacting to redox environments and when the device is not subjected to straining post-processing steps. This last condition limits the applicability of V₂O₅ to standard solar cell processing unless moderate temperature steps (T>100 °C) are bypassed or performed before its deposition.

In order to determine the optimal potential of the n-Si/V₂O₅ junction, a reference structure was also fabricated using an i/n^+ a-Si:H/a-SiC_x stack on the opposite side that provides an excellent passivation quality ($\tau_{eff \ stack} \sim 1.3$ ms). As shown in figure 2, this structure (3) had an iV_{OC} of 678 mV, very close to the maximum open-circuit voltage that could be achieved with this n-Si/V₂O₅ heterojunction. Since the a-Si:H/a-SiC_x stack has a surface recombination velocity $S_{stack} \sim 20$ cm/s (as previously obtained by QSSPC), an emitter saturation current density $J_{0 \ V2O5} \sim 55$ fA/cm² is calculated. This value is quite significant considering the dissimilar nature of the heterojunction, the thinness of the oxide layer and the lack of any additional passivation interlayers (such as a-Si:H). Similar results were obtained for the back junction concept reported in [9] for n-Si/PEDOT:PSS, and proves the high-efficiency potential of this structure.



Fig. 2. (a) Implicit open-circuit voltage (iV_{OC}) and (b) effective carrier lifetime for the n-Si/V₂O₅ front (1) and back (2)(3) junctions.

Figure 3(a) shows the current density-voltage (*J-V*) characteristics of the polished substrate solar cells measured under dark and AM1.5g standard illumination at 25 °C, while Table I summarizes the relevant cell performance parameters. Even though the back junction QSSPC results pointed to a larger open-circuit voltage, the measured V_{OC} was 617 mV, very similar to the front junction V_{OC} (615 mV). One possible reason for the ~50 mV difference between the QSSPC and V_{OC} values may be an inaccurate alignment of the top and bottom active cell areas defined during photolithography. Such a misalignment would result on a small but highly recombinative fraction of surface included in the 1 cm² photoactive area. This alignment is not critical in a front junction design with a full area rear contact, but it becomes very important in back junctions where the collection of photogenerated carriers occurs on a restricted-area front electrode. Some other reasons for the loss in V_{OC} could be lateral damage of the front a-Si:H stack during its dry etching with CF₄, or lack of uniformity of the V₂O₅/Ni layers causing a direct contact between Al and n-Si.



Fig. 3. (a) Current density-voltage (J-V) characteristic of the n-Si/V₂O₅ front and back junction solar cells under standard illumination. Inset shows *J-V* under dark conditions. (b) External Quantum Efficiency (EQE) of both devices.

Table 1. Solar cell performance parameters measured at 1.5AMg standard illumination and 25 °C.

| V ₂ O ₅ /n-Si junction solar cell | V _{oc} (mV) | J_{sc} (mA/cm ²) | FF (%) | Efficiency (%) |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Front-junction | 615 | 31.8 | 75.1 | 14.7 |
| Back junction | 617 | 30.4 | 75.3 | 14.2 |

In terms of short-circuit current (J_{SC}), the front junction (31.8 mA/cm²) clearly outperforms the back junction design (30.4 mA/cm²) due to the lower absorption losses of V₂O₅ compared to the a-Si:H. In Figure 2(b) an evidently higher External Quantum Efficiency response is observed for the front junction device in the short wavelength range (300 – 600 nm), equivalent to 1.1 mA/cm². Despite its J_{SC} losses, the back junction concept could be useful in interdigitated back-contact designs where front parasitic absorption is minimized by window materials with higher transparency than the a-Si:H/ITO stack used in this work. Finally, it is worth noting that the Fill Factor (*FF*) of both junctions is fairly equivalent, suggesting that the contact resistivities of both the V₂O₅/Ni and V₂O₅/ITO contacts are similar and do not depend strongly on the work function differences $\Phi_{Ni} - \Phi_{V205}$ and $\Phi_{ITO} - \Phi_{V205}$.

4. Conclusions

In summary, V_2O_5 was tested as a hole-selective contact for n-type c-Si in a back junction structure, showing a potential V_{OC} of 678 mV could be achieved when appropriate capping and no ITO sputtering damage is present. Even though the final back junction device could only achieve 617 mV in V_{OC} , a relatively good Fill Factor of 75% was obtained, indicating the feasibility of a low resistive V_2O_5/Ni hole-selective contact. By solving the issues related to iV_{OC} loss surrounding the back and front active cell areas and by maximizing light absorption (i.e. using a texturized substrate), conversion efficiencies above 18% could be reached for this back junction concept.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Spain government under the ENE2013-48629-C4-1-R and ENE2014-56237-C4-1-R projects. The authors are also thankful for financial support from the Mexican government grants program (CONACyT).

References

- Battaglia, C., Martin de Nicolas, S., De Wolf, S., Yin, X., Zheng, M., Ballif, C. & Javey, A., Silicon heterojunction solar cell with passivated hole selective MoOx contact, App. Phys. Lett. 2014; 104: 113902.
- [2] Bivour, M., Temmler, J., Steinkemper, H., & M. Hermle, Molybdenum and tungsten oxide: high work function wide band gap contact materials for hole selective contacts of silicon solar cells, Sol. Ener. Mat. Sol. Cells 2015; 142: 34-41.
- [3] Gerling, L.G., Mahato, S., Morales-Vilches, A., Masmitja, G., Ortega, P., Voz, C., Alcubilla R. & Puigdollers, J., Transition metal oxides as hole-selective contacts in silicon heterojunctions solar cells, Sol. Ener. Mat. Sol. Cells 2016; 145: 109-115.
- [4] Bullock, J., Samundsett, C., Cuevas, A., Yan, D., Wan, Y. & Allen, T., Proof-of-Concept p-Type Silicon Solar Cells with Molybdenum Oxide Local Rear Contacts, IEEE J. Photovoltaics 2015; 5: 1591-1594.
- [5] Geissbühler, J., Werner, J., Martin de Nicolas, S., Barraud, L., Hessler-Wyser, A. & Despeisse, M., 22.5% efficient silicon heterojunction solar cell with molybdenum oxide hole collector, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2015; 107: 081601
- [6] Zilberberg, K., Meyer, J. & Riedl, T. Solution processed metal-oxides for organic electronic devices. J. Mater. Chem. 2013; 1: 4796-4815.
- [7] Gerling, L.G., Mahato, S., Voz, C., Alcubilla R. & Puigdollers, J., Characterization of transition metal oxide/silicon heterojunctions for solar cell applications, Appl. Sciences 2015; 5: 695-705.
- [8] Demaurex, B., De Wolf, S., Descoeudres, A., Holman, Z.C. & Ballif, C., Damage at hydrogenated amorphous/crystalline silicon interfaces by indium tin oxide overlayer sputtering, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2012; 101: 171604.
- [9] Zielke, D., Pazidis, A., Werner F. & Schmidt, J., Organic-silicon heterojunction solar cells on n-type silicon wafers: The BackPEDOT concept, Sol. Ener. Mat. Sol. Cells 2014; 131: 110-116.