1 TITLE PAGE

2	
3	Article title
4	Barriers to identifying Eating Disorders in pregnancy and in the postnatal period: A
5	qualitative approach
6	
7	Authors
8	Amanda Bye
9	¹ Population, Policy and Practice, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London,
10	UK
11	² Centre for Implementation Science, Health Service and Population Research, Institute of
12	Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK
13	³ Department of Midwifery, Florence Nightingale Faculty of Nursing, Midwifery & Palliative
14	Care, King's College London, London, UK
15	a.bye@ucl.ac.uk
16	
17	Jill Shawe
18	4 Institute of Health and Community, Faculty of Health & Human Sciences, University of
19	Plymouth, Devon, UK
20	jill.shawe@plymouth.ac.uk
21	
22	Debra Bick
23	³ Women and Children's Health Department, School of Life Course Sciences, Faculty of Life
24	Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, London, UK

25	debra.bick@kcl.ac.uk
26	
27	Abigail Easter
28	² Centre for Implementation Science, Health Service and Population Research, Institute of
29	Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK
30	abigail.easter@kcl.ac.uk
31	
32	Megan Kash-Macdonald
33	¹ Population, Policy and Practice, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London,
34	UK
35	megan.kash-mcdonald@ucl.ac.uk
36	
37	Nadia Micali
38	¹ Population, Policy and Practice, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London,
39	UK
40	5 Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, US
41	⁶ Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai,
42	New York, US
43	n.micali@ucl.ac.uk
44	
45	Corresponding author
46	Amanda Bye, Population, Policy and Practice, UCL Institute of Child Health, 30 Guilford
47	Street, London, WC1N 1EH. Tel: 020 7905 2166. Fax: 020 7831 7050 Email: a.bye@ucl.ac.uk.
48	

49 ABSTRACT

50 Background

Eating Disorders (ED) are mental health disorders that typically effect women of 51 childbearing age and are associated with adverse maternal and infant outcomes. UK 52 53 healthcare guidance recommends routine enquiry for current and past mental illness in 54 antenatal and postnatal care for all women, and that pregnant women with a known ED are offered enhanced monitoring and support. Midwives and health visitors are ideally placed 55 56 to identify and support women with ED as they are often the primary point of contact during the antenatal and postnatal periods. However, research on the barriers to identifying 57 ED in the perinatal period is limited. This study aimed to understand the barriers to 58 disclosure and identification of ED in pregnancy and postnatally as perceived by women 59 with past or current ED, and midwives and health visitors working in the UK National Health 60 61 Service.

62

63 Methods

Two studies were undertaken: mixed-measures survey of pregnant and postnatal women with current or past ED; focus groups with student and qualified midwives and health visitors.

67

68 Results

Five themes emerged on the barriers to disclosure in pregnancy as perceived by women:
stigma, lack of opportunity, preference for self-management, current ED symptomatology
and illness awareness. Four themes were identified on the barriers to identification of ED in

pregnancy and in the postnatal period as perceived by health professionals: system
 constraints, recognition of role, personal attitudes, and stigma and taboo.

74

75 Conclusions

Several barriers to the identification of ED during and after pregnancy were described, the 76 main factors were stigma and poor professional training. Perinatal mental health is 77 becoming increasingly prioritised within national policy initiatives; however, ED continue to 78 be neglected and increased awareness is needed. Similarly, clinical guidance aimed at 79 responding to the rising prevalence of obesity focus on changing nutrition but not on 80 assessing for the presence of ED behaviours that might be affecting nutrition. Improving 81 education and training for health professionals may contribute to reducing stigma and 82 83 increase confidence in identifying ED. The barriers identified in this research need to be 84 addressed if recognition and response to women with ED during the perinatal period is to 85 improve.

86

87 Key words

88 Eating Disorders, pregnancy, barriers, disclosure, identification, qualitative research

- 89
- 90
- 91
- 92
- 93
- 94
- 95

97

98

99 BACKGROUND

100 Eating Disorders (ED) are a group of mental health disorders characterised by severe disturbances in eating behaviour that significantly impair health and psychosocial 101 functioning, including Anorexia Nervosa (AN), Bulimia Nervosa (BN) and Binge-Eating 102 103 Disorder (BED) [1]. ED typically affect women of reproductive age [2] and may affect between 5.1-7.5% of women during pregnancy, if subthreshold disorders are included [3–5]. 104 Women with ED tend to experience a decrease in ED symptoms during pregnancy [6–9]. 105 106 However, there is evidence that symptoms persist [7, 8] and of postnatal relapse [6, 8, 9]. Furthermore, depression and anxiety symptoms are common during pregnancy and 107 108 postnatally for women with current and past ED [10, 11].

109

ED have been associated with various adverse pregnancy outcomes, for instance women with AN have higher risk of infertility, unplanned pregnancies, miscarriage, prematurity and low birth weight babies while women with BED have increased risk of higher birth weight babies [12–17]. There is growing evidence of the postnatal impact of maternal ED, such as difficulties with infant feeding [18–20], and behavioural and emotional problems in the infant [21–23].

116

Given the evidence of adverse outcomes for women and their infants, early identification of ED and appropriate antenatal and postnatal care are highly important. In the UK, National Health Service (NHS) maternity care is informed by a suite of guidance from the National

Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). NICE antenatal and postnatal mental health 120 121 guidance [24] recommends routine enquiry about current and past severe mental illness with all women, and women with ED should be offered enhanced support and monitoring, 122 and referred to specialist care if needed. Midwives and health visitors are ideally placed to 123 identify and support women with ED as they are the primary point of contact delivering 124 125 routine care for all women from pregnancy until the child is aged five. In a universal 126 healthcare system such as the NHS where these regular routine appointments are provided, 127 guidelines to support effective identification and management of women with ED should be implemented to reduce risk of poor pregnancy and birth outcomes, however evidence on 128 uptake and use of guidance is limited. 129

130

To our knowledge, no previous studies have specifically investigated the barriers to 131 132 identifying ED in the perinatal period. Evidence suggests that women with ED are often 133 reluctant to disclose their ED to a health professional [25] and are unlikely to seek treatment 134 [26], which may be due to feelings of stigma and shame [27, 28]. One UK-based qualitative study investigating women's views of antenatal care found that women were reluctant to 135 disclose their ED because they felt health professionals lacked ED knowledge and sensitivity 136 in dealing with the disorder [29]. A few studies in the US have found poor routine enquiry 137 138 and knowledge about ED behaviours and symptoms among clinicians, including 139 obstetricians [30–32].

140

Subsequently, women with ED may go undetected during pregnancy and postnatally, with potential implications for adverse maternal and infant health outcomes if disorders are not managed appropriately. An in-depth understanding of barriers to the identification of ED

during and after pregnancy which reflects the perspectives of women and health professionals is needed to assess and inform practice, including implementation of relevant guidelines in to practice.

147

148 **Objectives**

To understand the barriers to disclosure and identification of ED in pregnancy and postnatally as perceived by women with past or current ED, and midwives and health visitors working in the UK NHS.

- 152
- 153 **METHODS**
- 154 Two studies were undertaken:
- 155 Study 1
- 156 Design and setting

A mixed-measures survey of pregnant and postnatal women with current or past ED was conducted over a seven-month period. Women were recruited via a national parenting website, Netmums, which is a UK-based online parenting organisation with over 1.7 million members. Ethical approval was granted by the University College London's Research Ethics Committee (Ref. 3735/002).

162

163 **Recruitment and procedure**

The study employed convenience sampling by inviting women to voluntarily take part in an online survey via a study advertisement on the organisation website. Before commencing the survey, women were asked to read the information about the study displayed on the website. To be eligible, women had to answer yes to "Do you have, or have you had an eating disorder?", and respond to "How many children do you have?" with either the number
of children or that they are currently pregnant. For women who were eligible and willing to
take part, consent to participate was implied by virtue of survey completion. The survey was
developed specifically for this study, and questions of interest were a combination of seven
Likert-type scale questions and an open-ended question (see Additional file 1).

173

174 *Participants*

A total of 101 women completed the mixed-measures survey, the majority of whom were not currently pregnant and already had children and had experienced an ED in the past or currently (n=92; see Table 1). The sample consisted of women from across the UK, with a range of age and educational attainment reflected.

179

180 Analysis

181 Data were analysed using the thematic analysis approach described by Braun and Clark [33]. This approach is an inductive and iterative process involving six phases of analysis: 182 familiarisation with data, generation of initial codes, searching for themes among codes, 183 reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the final report. Data were 184 185 independently coded by two researchers (AB and KT), both of whom were trained in qualitative research methods and analysis. The rating-pair familiarised themselves with the 186 data and independently coded the complete data set to ensure reliability and thoroughness, 187 ensuring full consideration could be given to patterns within the data. The pair discussed 188 their codes together, with an 89% agreement being achieved by the rating-pair. At this 189 190 stage, codes with similar information were grouped, discrepancies discussed, and 191 agreement sought before amendments were made and emerging themes sought. The process was iterative throughout, with continual reference to the original data to validate and refine emerging themes. The themes were then clustered into subordinate themes, and finally superordinate themes. The research team contributed to the refining, naming and interpretation of the themes. Quotes that were illustrative of the themes and subthemes are presented in the results and additional files.

- 197
- 198 [INSERT TABLE 1 HERE]
- 199
- 200 Study 2

201 Design and setting

Focus groups with student and qualified midwives and health visitors were conducted over a seven-month period at participating universities and NHS hospital and community services in the South of England. Ethical approval was granted by the University College London's Research Ethics Committee (Ref. 3735/001) and the Joint Research and Development Office for Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust & The UCL Institute of Child Health (Ref. 11BS33).

208

209 Recruitment and procedure

A convenience sampling strategy was employed to recruit participants to the focus groups. Student midwives were recruited from across three universities, and student health visitors from one university. Qualified midwives were recruited from two hospital and community services, and qualified health visitors from one community service. Five focus groups were conducted, with one group per professional group, except for qualified midwives who required two separate groups to be conducted as staff were unable to travel between the two locations due to time and resource constraints. Participants provided written informed
consent prior to taking part in the focus group. The focus groups were facilitated by AB.

218

The focus group topic guide was developed specifically for this study and refined by the research team and other experts, including researchers with qualitative research experience and training and clinical specialists in ED, midwifery and health visiting. The guide facilitated an informal discussion in each focus group on attitudes, knowledge, and clinical practice on identifying ED in pregnancy and in the postnatal period, focusing on the role of midwives and health visitors (see Additional file 2). Health professionals were not asked directly if they had a personal experience of ED.

226

227 Participants

Thirty-three health professionals took part in the focus groups, the majority of whom were qualified health professionals (see Table 2). The sample was predominately white, female and over twenty-five years of age. The majority had trained in the UK and as part of that training had been educated in perinatal mental health, however only a small proportion had received training specifically in ED (n=10; 29%).

233

234 Analysis

The focus group discussions were recorded and transcribed verbatim with identifying material removed. Data were analysed following the same procedure as detailed for Study 1 using a thematic analysis approach [33] to refine the emerging themes. The data were independently coded by two trained researchers (AB and MKM) and a percentage

agreement of 79% was achieved by the rating-pair, with discrepancies resolved in the samemanner as detailed for Study 1.

241

242 [INSERT TABLE 2 HERE]

243

244 **RESULTS**

245 **Study 1**

246 Women reported experiencing some improvements in ED symptoms during pregnancy, however over half of the sample reported experiencing any ED symptoms during pregnancy 247 (n=64; 63%), most common was calorie restriction and binge eating. Only a quarter (n=26; 248 249 26%) of the sample reported disclosing their ED to a health professional involved in their antenatal care, and of the seventy-two (71%) women who did not disclose, seventy-one 250 251 (70%) explained their reasons for not doing so (see Table 1). The findings generated five 252 themes on the barriers to disclosure of ED in pregnancy as perceived by women: stigma, lack of opportunity, preference for self-management, current ED symptomatology and 253 illness awareness (see additional file 3). 254

255

256 *Stigma*

Stigma of ED was an important theme for women's non-disclosure to a health professional. Many women reported that they felt shameful and embarrassed and feared judgement. Some women described feeling judged by health professionals based on their physical appearance, as illustrated by one woman who stated: *"I was overweight according to my BMI. I didn't think they would believe me to tell them I had an actual problem. I was* patronised by more than one healthcare professional who tried to educate me on nutrition. I

263 got the impression they thought I was just lazy and ate junk food all of the time when this 264 wasn't the case. I felt they were too judgemental to approach" (W42). A few women 265 expressed concern that a disclosure would lead to unwanted referrals to social services and 266 other services: *"I would have been to worried to discuss with my midwife etc. for fear of* 267 being reprimanded for it (i.e. referred to social services" (W49).

268

269 Lack of opportunity

Several women expressed a lack of opportunity to disclose and discuss an ED with a health professional. It was felt there was limited and insufficient enquiry by health professionals as *"they didn't ask and it wasn't raised as a concern"* (W67). One woman expressed difficulties in establishing a rapport with a midwife that may have facilitated a disclosure: *"I didn't have the same midwife for long enough to speak to them, it was rather stressful and upsetting"* (W21).

276

277 **Preference for self-management**

Some women reported not disclosing their ED to a health professional as they did not need or want specialist care and preferred to self-manage their disorder: *"I don't like to talk about it and think I can manage on my own"* (W26), and *"I just wanted to deal with it myself"* (W36). In some cases, this feeling appeared to relate to how long their ED had been undetected for: *"I don't really like to talk about it I have had some sort of disordered eating for a very long time it is very much part of me and no one else's business"* (W27).

284

285 Current ED symptomatology

For some women disclosure was dependent on their current mental health status and perceived need to disclose a history of an ED to a health professional. A few women who experienced ED prior to becoming pregnant did not think it necessary to raise this with a healthcare professional: *"I didn't think it was relevant as I have been OK for a few years now"* (W23). Other women reported improvements in ED symptoms during pregnancy so similarly did not feel it relevant to disclose: *"It wasn't affecting me during my pregnancy, it helped"* (W50) and *"I felt like I was a lot better when I fell pregnant"* (W30).

293

294 Illness awareness

For several women, disclosure of an ED was dependent on their awareness of ED and acknowledging that their symptoms were that of an ED. This was particularly notable in women with BED as retrospectively some considered that they had dismissed their binge eating behaviours as general over eating:

299

300 *"Binge eating doesn't seem like that big of an issue and I've never seen it as an eating* 301 *disorder before"* W4

302

303 *"I have only really just recognised that I have an issue & at the time I was pregnant did not*304 *realise. I just thought I was a greedy person"* W34

305

306 Study 2

Four main themes emerged on the barriers to identification of ED in the perinatal period as perceived by health professionals: system constraints, recognition of role, personal attitudes, and stigma and taboo (see additional file 4).

311 System constraints

System constraints and associated sub-themes were the dominant theme affecting the 312 identification of ED among health professionals. All the professionals reported receiving 313 314 minimal, if any training on ED as part of their pre or post-registration clinical education, as one participant described: "I know what an eating disorder is but I've not come across it 315 through my health visitor training" (P7). Some health professionals felt knowledge had to be 316 317 inferred from other taught topics as ED were not specifically addressed, as illustrated by one qualified midwife involved in midwifery education: "it wouldn't be a module...it would be 318 linked into mental ill health or BMI" (P22). Several qualified and student participants 319 320 reported receiving training, but considered that this was a general introduction to ED which was not specific to women during or after pregnancy and did not clarify their clinical role in 321 322 identifying or managing ED: "I don't know whether it was actually mentioned apart from 323 refer to a dietician, there wasn't really any practical advice of what we need to do" (P17). Some student midwives felt that module and programme leads expected knowledge of ED 324 to be gained from self-directed learning or 'learning' in clinical practice: "I think university 325 often relies on us learning this kind of thing in practice that obviously we've got so much 326 327 learning in the three years" (P4).

328

Across all groups, most participants felt that the media was their main source of ED knowledge, with personal and previous clinical experience and training less likely to be described as a source. Most participants expressed limited understanding of ED beyond food-restriction associated with AN and self-induced vomiting associated with BN, and were not aware of implications for maternal and infant health. Some reported a lack of awareness

that ED was classed as a mental health disorder, as one qualified health visitor explained: "I 334 have a very limited knowledge about the, those terms as in Bulimia and Anorexia, I've heard 335 the words being thrown round quite a lot...but what I know as well is that it's kind of 336 linked...to mental health issues" (P30). Consequently, many health professionals lacked 337 338 evidence-based knowledge on ED which impacted on their confidence in enquiring and identifying ED. One qualified midwife described: "it's really hard when you've, when people 339 340 give you information but you don't know anything about it or there's nothing much you can 341 do" (P17), and likewise this feeling was expected to affect disclosure by women: "It's that kind of feeling that, like a bit awkward and stuff like you don't really know what to say and 342 then it's not going to help the women open up and discuss anymore with you" (P4). 343

344

Health professionals related their poor awareness of relevant policies, guidance, care 345 346 management plans and referral pathways to their lack of relevant training on ED: "If it's in 347 the trust policy and guidelines I haven't found it yet because I haven't sort of come across it or it hasn't been emphasised in the training" (P3). Several qualified and student 348 349 professionals reported not routinely including ED when asking women about their history of mental health problems: "I never mention those words, I don't think I ever ask a question 350 351 that you know" (P11). However, several midwives that had asked women felt "there's no point in asking the question if you don't know what to say next" (P13) referring to the lack of 352 353 awareness on care pathways.

354

Midwives reported that time constraints in antenatal clinics would be likely to impact on their ability to enquire effectively about ED, with opportunities to screen for physical and mental health risk often limited to the initial pregnancy 'booking' appointment: "*these really*

big questions you know which can't just be rushed over" (P15). Some health visitors reported they would ask about women's mental health at a 'new baby' visit which was usually allocated more time than other routine clinic appointments, but there was less focus on this being the main opportunity as *"when you see them for the first visit…you know the chances are you won't see that person again…I've got someone who comes to clinic…the health visitor probably never saw her again anyway, whereas now it would be much more appropriate for me to say to her"* (P31).

365

In all groups, poor sharing of information about a woman's physical and mental health was 366 reported to be problematic. Qualified and student midwives reported limited means of 367 relaying sensitive information or raising concerns about a woman's mental health between 368 colleagues. A woman's pregnancy and medical history was expected to be documented in 369 370 the woman's handheld maternity notes, with concerns about confidentiality if a woman's 371 history of ED was included. Several midwives used domestic violence as an example of the limitations of using women's handheld notes: "obviously because they are handheld notes 372 we're very careful of what we write in them" (P3). Communication between services 373 particularly within primary care, for instance between the family doctor (GP), midwives and 374 375 health visitors, was described by some qualified health visitors as limited, with services 376 increasingly fragmented across acute and primary healthcare sectors: "there was one midwife in every Sure Start and we were all attached so we would always be able to liaise 377 with that midwife and they would liaise with us...now it's like five midwives, like different 378 midwives' every time and they, they don't build up that kind of rapport" (P26). Sure Start is 379 380 a UK parenting support programme, with centres primarily across England with slightly 381 different versions in Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, but funding cuts have led to

many of these centres closing in recent years [34]. Clinicians felt there were few 382 opportunities to be involved in shared care as part of a multidisciplinary team, resulting in 383 limited access to mental health expertise within or between services, particularly within 384 health visiting: "if you had some supervision around those sorts of issues, any sorts of issue 385 386 where you're just feeling like you're holding something but you haven't necessarily got the skills" (P26). Furthermore, a few health visitors described poor awareness about ED 387 generally among health professionals and not isolated to health visiting: "I do really think 388 389 that if we had it everybody else in the community teams would need it too because there would be no point in just training us if it then stopped with us" (P27). 390

391

392 *Recognition of role*

Many clinicians were in favour of enquiring about ED but several considered their 393 394 confidence and competence to identify complex mental health problems was limited, and 395 their role should be more advisory and supportive. This was illustrated by one student midwife who described: "we tell people what not to eat but not how do you eat" (P3). Some 396 qualified midwives felt that the primary focus in antenatal care was physical wellbeing of 397 the woman and the fetus rather than the woman's mental health: "We would be just 398 making sure that the baby was growing adequately... and then leaving the woman well 399 alone in a way just focusing on the wellbeing of the baby" (P11). The focus on the infant 400 after the birth was similarly expressed by some of the qualified health visitors. A few 401 student midwives considered whether women's perceptions of their clinical roles could 402 support or hinder a discussion about ED as "a midwife...usually it's for normal pregnancies, 403 normality, and also is a figure that only she's for the women and babies and the doctors 404 405 maybe they seem, or the mental health services don't sound probably very nice...maybe it's 406 easier because they know that this, the midwife is gonna follow them through the
407 pregnancy" (P2), whereas "the health visitors kind of some were viewed for the baby and
408 kind of for the child's sake not someone to support the mum" (P4).

409

410 *Personal attitudes*

The majority felt that health professionals needed to be empathic and positive so that 411 412 women felt comfortable to raise and discuss their mental health problems: "there is no 413 room for negativity in midwifery" (P12). However, one health visitor did express that she would feel uncomfortable to enquire about ED with women who were overweight 414 415 compared to women who were underweight. One midwife discussed the need to recognise the health professional as an individual: "we make assumptions that we all will deliver that 416 health promotion message when actually attitudes and beliefs are integral to who we are, 417 418 influence how we ask the question" (P22).

419

420 Stigma and taboo

Health professionals in all groups discussed the stigma of ED, with some referring to it as a 'taboo' subject for women and clinicians, with less experienced midwives and health visitors describing greater anxiety about asking women. As one student midwife said: *"it does feel kind of sometimes like it's one of those taboo questions a bit like domestic violence…but you kind of like skirt over like 'you haven't ever had any eating disorders, have you? No right moving on"* (P4). One qualified midwife explained: *"one needs to be sensitive about these things, mental health issues equals social services take away baby"* (P15).

428

429 **DISCUSSION**

This is the first research to specifically explore the perspectives of women and health professionals on the barriers to identifying ED during and after pregnancy. Our main findings were that perceived stigma had a major impact on women's disclosure of their illness, and health professionals had low confidence in identifying ED as they lacked evidence-based knowledge and training. The discussion will mainly focus on these outcomes as they were the most prominent, and have direct implications to support effective identification of ED during and after pregnancy.

437

Consistent with general and pregnancy ED research, women were often reluctant to disclose 438 439 their ED to a health professional [25, 29]. Stigma was a key barrier reported by the women in this research, and health professionals similarly felt it hindered discussion and enquiry 440 about ED. Stigma is consistently implicated as a barrier to disclosure and treatment-seeking 441 442 in the ED and wider mental health literature [27, 28, 35]. The term stigma involves 443 perceived and experienced stereotyping, prejudice and discrimination to the detriment of 444 the targeted group [36]. The stigma of mental health is widely recognised, but it can be greater for ED as sufferers are perceived as more responsible and in control of their ED 445 behaviours [37, 38]. The stigmatising attitudes towards BED specifically are comparable to 446 attitudes towards obesity and overweight status [39]. Weight stigma is well recognised and 447 448 reinforced by some of the more pervasive anti-obesity campaigns [39]. Given the 449 association between BED and obesity [40], the stigma women with BED experience may be compounded by their weight status. Stigmatising attitudes can contribute to feelings of 450 shame and guilt, which cause an individual to feel personal responsibility for their 451 452 behaviours. As a consequence, an individual may want to hide their disorder [41], avoid 453 disclosure and show reluctance to seek help for their ED [27–29].

455 In the UK, several campaigns have been launched to raise public awareness and reduce stigma about mental health, such as the 'Time to Change' campaign [42], and several 456 initiatives have been launched to address perinatal mental health awareness specifically, 457 458 such as the 'Better Births' initiative [43]. Similar anti-stigma programmes exist in other countries, such as 'Beyond Blue' in Australia [44], 'Mental Health Commission of Canada' 459 [45], and 'Bring Change 2 Mind' in the US [46], and these, along with other campaigns, have 460 461 formed a global alliance to reduce mental health stigma [47]. However, ED continue to be largely neglected in these mental health awareness campaigns. BED is also not considered 462 and neither is the broader issue of weight stigma within the anti-obesity and healthy eating 463 campaigns and clinical guidance [48–50]. The campaigns and clinical guidance [48–50] 464 aimed at addressing the rising prevalence of obesity focus on changing nutrition but not on 465 466 eating disorder behaviours that might affect nutrition. Considering the high level of 467 comorbidity [40], the identification of ED perinatally may subsequently support obesity prevention in pregnancy and postnatally. Initiatives are needed to specifically target and 468 address the broad range of ED to reduce stigma, prevent discrimination and raise 469 awareness. Only by raising the profile of ED and reducing stigma will disclosure and open 470 471 discussion with health professionals be encouraged among women during and after pregnancy who suffer these mental health disorders. 472

473

Health professionals considered an important barrier to identifying ED was their lack of
evidence-based knowledge and training, which subsequently impacted on their confidence.
This finding was not unexpected as ED are not currently specified in the core clinical
competencies required as part of pre-registration training of midwives and health visitors in

the UK [51, 52]. This reflects poor integration of available guidance in to pre and post-478 479 registration training [24]. Future curriculum revisions need to educate about the complexity and range of ED and ED behaviours, and include the changes in symptoms that may be 480 experienced during and after pregnancy [3, 6–9]. Research is needed to explore facilitators 481 482 to disclosure to identify acceptable means of enquiry and management that are sensitive and responsive to the needs of women, and findings need to be incorporated in to future 483 484 training. Training also needs to address individual attitudes about ED given the expectation 485 for health professionals to deliver care in a consistent manner.

486

Other system level barriers to identifying ED in pregnancy and postnatally were identified, 487 488 specifically poor continuity of care and poor communication between health professionals and women, and between health professionals. Women and health professionals described 489 490 the lack of opportunity and time within routine antenatal and postnatal care contacts to 491 discuss ED in a comfortable way to encourage disclosure, with midwives advocating the potential benefit of a 'case loading' model of midwifery care. This model of midwifery care is 492 493 considered to promote better continuity of care in pregnancy and is advocated in UK policy and guidance [53, 54] and other countries with similar healthcare systems such as Australia 494 [55]. Case loading models of midwifery care have been associated with better maternal and 495 496 infant outcomes, patient satisfaction, and cost effectiveness in comparison to other models 497 of maternity care [56–58], yet provision remains variable [59, 60]. Poor communication between health professionals was similarly an important barrier as methods of relaying 498 concern about women were complicated by the need to balance communication of crucial 499 500 information with respecting the sensitive and confidential nature of disclosure. The issue of 501 communication of risk between acute and primary care services is increasingly affected by

fragmented and poorly integrated maternity services, particularly in primary care settings with services central to coordinating healthcare [61]. Addressing these system level barriers could promote an environment conducive to open discussion and support the role of the health professionals in the identification of ED in the perinatal period.

506

507 Strengths and limitations

508 Strengths of this research include the exploration of experiences from both women and 509 health professionals, with consistency in the findings between the two groups. Research 510 findings are likely to be relevant for women who have ED regardless of place of birth, with 511 issues for health professional training likely to be relevant in settings where women can 512 access care from midwives and health visitors or an equivalent health professional.

513

514 There are several limitations to the research to be taken in to account when considering the 515 implications of the findings. Convenience sampling was necessary for logistical reasons however, it limits the representativeness of the sample and generalisability of the findings. 516 517 There may have been recall bias as most women were reflecting on past experiences of antenatal care. The single eligibility question may have been ambiguous in the absence of a 518 clinical diagnosis and did not distinguish between past or current ED. However this type of 519 520 self-report indicator was used primarily for practical reasons, further it has been validated in 521 an antenatal sample [62] and no ED screening measures have been validated in pregnancy. Future research could focus on women who have been clinically diagnosed with ED. 522

523

524 CONCLUSIONS

There are several important barriers to the identification of ED in pregnancy and the 525 postnatal period. Stigma had a major impact on women's disclosure of their illness, whilst 526 health professionals had poor confidence in identifying ED as they lacked evidence-based 527 knowledge and training. The need to identify perinatal mental health problems has been 528 529 increasingly recognised, however ED continue to be neglected and it is important to raise awareness with health professionals. Similarly, clinical guidance aimed at responding to the 530 531 rising prevalence of obesity focus on changing nutrition but not on ED behaviours that might 532 affect nutrition. Improving ED education and training for health professionals may contribute to reducing stigma and increase confidence in identifying ED. The barriers 533 534 identified in this research need to be addressed if recognition and response to women with 535 ED during the perinatal period is to improve.

536

537 Abbreviations

- 538 Eating Disorders (ED)
- 539 Anorexia Nervosa (AN)
- 540 Bulimia Nervosa (BN)
- 541 Binge Eating Disorder (BED)
- 542 National Health Service (NHS)
- 543 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

544

545 **DECLARATIONS**

- 546 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**
- 547 Ethical approval for the research was granted by the University College London's Research
- 548 Ethics Committee (Ref. 3735/001 and 3735/002) and the Joint Research and Development

549	Office for Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust & The UCL
550	Institute of Child Health (Ref. 11BS33). Women's consent to take part in the survey was
551	implied by virtue of survey completion. All participants in the focus groups provided written
552	informed consent prior to taking part.
553	
554	Consent for publication
555	Not applicable
556	
557	Availability of data and material
558	The datasets generated and analysed during the current research are not publicly available
559	as individual privacy could be compromised but are available from the corresponding author
560	on reasonable request.
561	
562	Competing interests
563	JS is an Associate Editor for BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. The other authors declare that
564	they have no competing interests.
565	
566	Funding
567	DB is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for
568	Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care South London at King's College Hospital
569	NHS Foundation Trust (NIHR, CLAHRC-2013-10022). AE is funded through a King's
570	Improvement Science Fellowship award. King's Improvement Science is part of the NIHR
571	CLAHRC South London and comprises a specialist team of improvement scientists and senior

572 researchers based at King's College London. Its work is funded by King's Health Partners

(Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust,
King's College London and South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust), Guy's and St
Thomas' Charity, the Maudsley Charity and the Health Foundation. NM was funded by a
National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) clinician scientist award (DHCS/08/08/012). The
views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the
NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

579

580 Authors' contributions

All authors contributed significantly to this work. AB and NM conceived and designed the studies, with input from JS, DB and AE. AB carried out the data collection. AB and MKM analysed the data. All authors contributed to interpreting the findings. AB drafted the manuscript, and all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

585

586 Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to the women, students, staff, NHS Trusts and Universities that have contributed to this research. We would like to thank NetMums for their support in administering the survey. We would like to thank Dr Kylee Trevillion for contributions to the data analysis, and Jennifer Elglumati for assistance with data collection. Finally, we are grateful for the support received from the Open Access Funding Team at the University College London Library Services towards publishing this manuscript as an open access publication.

594

595 **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

1. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders,

- 597 Fifth Edition (DSM-5TM) (5th ed.).Washington, D.C. 2013.
- 598 2. Micali N, Hagberg KW, Petersen I, Treasure JL. The incidence of eating disorders in the UK
- in 2000–2009: findings from the General Practice Research Database. BMJ Open.
- 600 2013;3:e002646. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002646.
- 3. Easter A, Bye A, Taborelli E, Corfield F, Schmidt U, Treasure J, et al. Recognising the
- symptoms: how common are eating disorders in pregnancy? Eur Eat Disord Rev.
- 603 2013;21:340–4. doi:10.1002/erv.2229.
- 4. Pettersson CB, Zandian M, Clinton D. Eating disorder symptoms pre- and postpartum.
- 605 Arch Womens Ment Health. 2016. doi:10.1007/s00737-016-0619-3.
- 5. Turton P, Hughes P, Bolton H, Sedgwick P. Incidence and demographic correlates of eating
- disorder symptoms in a pregnant population. Int J Eat Disord. 1999;26:448–52.
- 608 6. Blais MA, Becker AE, Burwell RA, Flores AT, Nussbaum KM, Greenwood DN, et al.
- 609 Pregnancy: outcome and impact on symptomatology in a cohort of eating-disordered
- 610 women. Int J Eat Disord. 2000;27:140–9.
- 611 7. Crow SJ, Keel PK, Thuras P, Mitchell JE. Bulimia symptoms and other risk behaviors during
- 612 pregnancy in women with bulimia nervosa. Int J Eat Disord. 2004;36:220–3.
- 613 doi:10.1002/eat.20031.
- 8. Micali N, Treasure J, Simonoff E. Eating disorders symptoms in pregnancy: a longitudinal
- study of women with recent and past eating disorders and obesity. J Psychosom Res.
- 616 2007;63:297–303. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2007.05.003.
- 9. Crow SJ, Agras WS, Crosby R, Halmi K, Mitchell JE. Eating disorder symptoms in
- 618 pregnancy: a prospective study. Int J Eat Disord. 2008;41:277–9. doi:10.1002/eat.20496.
- 10. Easter A, Solmi F, Bye A, Taborelli E, Corfield F, Schmidt U, et al. Antenatal and postnatal
- 620 psychopathology among women with current and past eating disorders: longitudinal

- 621 patterns. Eur Eat Disord Rev. 2015;23:19–27. doi:10.1002/erv.2328.
- 622 11. Micali N, Simonoff E, Treasure J. Pregnancy and post-partum depression and anxiety in a
- 623 longitudinal general population cohort: the effect of eating disorders and past depression. J
- 624 Affect Disord. 2011;131:150–7. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2010.09.034.
- 625 12. Bulik CM, Von Holle A, Siega-Riz AM, Torgersen L, Lie KK, Hamer RM, et al. Birth
- outcomes in women with eating disorders in the Norwegian Mother and Child cohort study
- 627 (MoBa). Int J Eat Disord. 2009;42:9–18. doi:10.1002/eat.20578.
- 13. Easter A, Treasure J, Micali N. Fertility and prenatal attitudes towards pregnancy in
- 629 women with eating disorders: results from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and
- 630 Children. BJOG. 2011;118:1491–8. doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2011.03077.x.
- 14. Linna MS, Raevuori A, Haukka J, Suvisaari JM, Suokas JT, Gissler M. Pregnancy, obstetric,
- and perinatal health outcomes in eating disorders. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2014;211:392.e1-8.
- 633 doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2014.03.067.
- 15. Micali N, Simonoff E, Treasure J. Risk of major adverse perinatal outcomes in women
- 635 with eating disorders. Br J Psychiatry. 2007;190:255–9. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.106.020768.
- 16. Micali N, Stemann Larsen P, Strandberg-Larsen K, Nybo Andersen A-M. Size at birth and
- 637 preterm birth in women with lifetime eating disorders: a prospective population-based
- 638 study. BJOG An Int J Obstet Gynaecol. 2016;123:1301–10. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.13825.
- 17. Solmi F, Sallis H, Stahl D, Treasure J, Micali N. Low birth weight in the offspring of women
- with anorexia nervosa. Epidemiol Rev. 2014;36:49–56. doi:10.1093/epirev/mxt004.
- 18. Larsson G, Andersson-Ellstrom A. Experiences of pregnancy-related body shape changes
- and of breast-feeding in women with a history of eating disorders. Eur Eat Disord Rev.
- 643 2003;11:116–24. doi:10.1002/erv.497.
- 19. Micali N, Simonoff E, Treasure J. Infant Feeding and Weight in the First Year of Life in

- Babies of Women with Eating Disorders. J Pediatr. 2009;154:55–60.e1.
- 646 20. Reba-Harrelson L, Von Holle A, Hamer RM, Torgersen L, Reichborn-Kjennerud T, Bulik
- 647 CM. Patterns of maternal feeding and child eating associated with eating disorders in the
- Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). Eat Behav. 2010;11:54–61.
- 649 doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2009.09.004.
- 650 21. Henrichs J, Schenk JJ, Schmidt HG. Maternal Pre- and Postnatal Anxiety and Infant
- Temperament . The Generation R Study. 2009;572 July:556–72.
- 652 22. Patel P, Wheatcroft R, Park RJ, Stein A. The children of mothers with eating disorders.
- 653 Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2002;5:1–19.
- 654 23. Zerwas S, Von Holle A, Torgersen L, Reichborn-Kjennerud T, Stoltenberg C, Bulik CM.
- 655 Maternal eating disorders and infant temperament: findings from the Norwegian mother
- and child cohort study. Int J Eat Disord. 2012;45:546–55. doi:10.1002/eat.20983.
- 657 24. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Antenatal and postnatal mental
- health: clinical management and service guidance. Clinical Guideline CG192; 2014.
- 25. Becker AE, Thomas JJ, Franko DL, Herzog DB. Disclosure patterns of eating and weight
- 660 concerns to clinicians, educational professionals, family, and peers. Int J Eat Disord.
- 661 2005;38:18–23. doi:10.1002/eat.20141.
- 662 26. Hudson JI, Hiripi E, Pope HG, Kessler RC. The prevalence and correlates of eating
- disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Biol Psychiatry. 2007;61:348–58.
- 664 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.03.040.
- 665 27. Swan S, Andrews B. The relationship between shame, eating disorders and disclosure in
- 666 treatment. Br J Clin Psychol. 2003;42 Pt 4:367–78. doi:10.1348/014466503322528919.
- 28. Hepworth N, Paxton SJ. Pathways to help-seeking in bulimia nervosa and binge eating
- 668 problems: a concept mapping approach. Int J Eat Disord. 2007;40:493–504.

- 669 doi:10.1002/eat.20402.
- 670 29. Stringer E, Tierney S, Fox J, Butterfield C, Furber C. Pregnancy, motherhood and eating
- disorders: a qualitative study describing women's views of maternity care. Evid Based
- 672 Midwifery. 2010;8:112–21.
- 673 30. Abraham S. Obstetricians and maternal body weight and eating disorders during
- 674 pregnancy. J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol. 2001;22:159–63.
- 675 31. Supina D, Herman BK, Frye CB, Shillington AC. Knowledge of binge eating disorder: a
- 676 cross-sectional survey of physicians in the United States. Postgrad Med. 2016;128:311–6.
- 677 doi:10.1080/00325481.2016.1157441.
- 678 32. Banas DA, Redfern R, Wanjiku S, Lazebnik R, Rome ES. Eating disorder training and
- attitudes among primary care residents. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2013;52:355–61.
- 680 doi:10.1177/0009922813479157.
- 681 33. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol. 2006;3:77–
- 682 101. doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa.
- 34. Bate A, Foster D. Sure Start (England). Briefing Paper Number 7257. House of Commons
 Library: London; 2017.
- 685 35. Clement S, Schauman O, Graham T, Maggioni F, Evans-Lacko S, Bezborodovs N, et al.
- 686 What is the impact of mental health-related stigma on help-seeking? A systematic review of
- 687 quantitative and qualitative studies. Psychol Med. 2015;45:11–27.
- 688 doi:10.1017/S0033291714000129.
- 689 36. Link BG, Phelan JC. Conceptualizing Stigma. Annu Rev Sociol. 2001;27:363–85.
- 690 doi:10.1146/annurev.soc.27.1.363.
- 691 37. Ebneter DS, Latner JD. Stigmatizing attitudes differ across mental health disorders: a
- 692 comparison of stigma across eating disorders, obesity, and major depressive disorder. J Nerv

- 693 Ment Dis. 2013;201:281–5. doi:10.1097/NMD.0b013e318288e23f.
- 694 38. Roehrig JP, McLean CP. A comparison of stigma toward eating disorders versus
- depression. Int J Eat Disord. 2010;43:671–4. doi:10.1002/eat.20760.
- 39. Puhl R, Peterson JL, Luedicke J, Keranen L, Komesaroff P, Komesaroff PA. Motivating or
- 697 stigmatizing? Public perceptions of weight-related language used by health providers. Int J
- 698 Obes. 2013;37:623–623. doi:10.1038/ijo.2013.17.
- 40. de Zwaan M. Binge eating disorder and obesity. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25
- 700 Suppl 1:S51-5. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0801699.
- 41. Tangney JP, Miller RS, Flicker L, Barlow DH. Are shame, guilt, and embarrassment distinct
- 702 emotions? J Pers Soc Psychol. 1996;70:1256–69.
- 42. Time To Change. 2007. http://www.time-to-change.org.uk/. Accessed 31 Mar 2017.
- 43. Royal College of Midwives. Better Births Initiative. 2016. http://betterbirths.rcm.org.uk/.
- 705 Accessed 30 Mar 2017.
- 44. Beyond Blue. https://www.beyondblue.org.au. Accessed 9 Apr 2018.
- 45. Mental Health Commission of Canada. Mental Health Commission of Canada Strategic
- 708 Plan 2017-2022. 2016.
- 46. Bring Change To Mind. http://bringchange2mind.org/about-us/our-mission/. Accessed
- 710 21 Apr 2017.
- 47. Global anti-stigma campaigns | Time To Change. https://www.time-to-
- 712 change.org.uk/about-us/what-we-do/global-anti-stigma-alliance/global-campaigns.
- 713 Accessed 21 Apr 2017.
- 48. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Obesity prevention. Clinical Guideline
- 715 (CG43); 2006.
- 49. Public Health England. Change4Life Be Food Smart. https://www.nhs.uk/change4life-

- 717 beta/be-food-smart#bRG6l1Y9HOKh4u8b.97. Accessed 26 Apr 2017.
- 50. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Weight management before, during
- and after pregnancy. Clinical Guideline (PH27); 2010.
- 51. Nursing & Midwifery Council. Standards for pre-registration midwifery education.
- 721 London: Nursing & Midwifery Council; 2009.
- 52. Nursing & Midwifery Council. Standards of proficiency for specialist community public
- health nurses. London: Nursing & Midwifery Council; 2004.
- 53. Department of Health. Maternity Matters: Choice, access and continuity of care in a safe
- service. Department of Health: London; 2007.
- 54. National Institute of Health and Care Excellence. Antenatal care. Clinical Guideline
- 727 (QS22); 2012.
- 55. Commonwealth of Australia. Improving Maternity Services in Australia: The Report of
- the Maternity Services Review. Commonwealth of Australia: Canberra; 2009.
- 56. Sandall J, Soltani H, Gates S, Shennan A, Devane D. Midwife-led continuity models versus
- other models of care for childbearing women. Cochrane database Syst Rev.
- 732 2013;8:CD004667. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004667.pub3.
- 57. Tracy SK, Hartz DL, Tracy MB, Allen J, Forti A, Hall B, et al. Caseload midwifery care
- versus standard maternity care for women of any risk: M@NGO, a randomised controlled
- 735 trial. Lancet. 2013;382:1723–32. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61406-3.
- 58. Forster DA, McLachlan HL, Davey M-A, Biro MA, Farrell T, Gold L, et al. Continuity of care
- by a primary midwife (caseload midwifery) increases women's satisfaction with antenatal,
- intrapartum and postpartum care: results from the COSMOS randomised controlled trial.
- 739 BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2016;16:28. doi:10.1186/s12884-016-0798-y.
- 59. Care Quality Commission. CQC's response to the 2015 survey of women's experiences of

- 741 maternity care. Care Quality Commission: London; 2016.
- 742 60. Commonwealth of Australia. National Maternity Services Plan 2010. Commonwealth of
- 743 Australia: Canberra; 2011.
- 61. Watton R. The loss of multidisciplinary primary healthcare teams is bad for patient care.
- 745 BMJ. 2013;347 sep09_1:f5450. doi:10.1136/bmj.f5450.
- 746 62. Micali N, De Stavola B, dos-Santos-Silva I, Steenweg-de Graaff J, Jansen PW, Jaddoe VW
- 747 V, et al. Perinatal outcomes and gestational weight gain in women with eating disorders: a
- 748 population-based cohort study. BJOG. 2012;119:1493–502. doi:10.1111/j.1471-
- 749 0528.2012.03467.x.

765		
766		
767		
768		
769		
770		

772 Table 1. Study 1: Sample characteristics

Characteristics N (%)			N=101
Age	≤24		21 (21%)
	25-35		55 (55%)
	<u>≥</u> 36		24 (24%)
	Missing		1
Devite	Comment and the second second		0 (0%)
Parity	Currently pregnant		9 (9%)
	1 child		39 (39%)
	2 children		37 (37%)
	<u>≥</u> 3 children		16 (16%)
	Missing		-
Location	England	London	16 (16%)
		Midlands and	18 (18%)
		East of England	
		North England	26 (26%)

		South England	24 (24%)
	Wales		6 (6%)
	Scotland		5 (5%)
	Northern Ireland		3 (3%)
	Missing		3
Education	GCSE or equivalent		27 (27%)
	A level or equivalent		28 (28%)
	Degree or above		44 (44%)
	Missing		2
Type of eating disorder	Anorexia Nervosa		34 (34%)
	Bulimia Nervosa		16 (16%)
	Binge Eating Disorder		24 (24%)
	Eating Disorder Not Otherwise		25 (25%)
	Specified		
	Missing		2
Any opting disorder symptoms			
			(()
experienced during pregnancy	Νο		36 (36%)
	Yes		64 (63%)
	Missing		1
Eating disorder symptoms			
experienced during pregnancy	Purging		12 (12%)

	Binge eating	29 (29%
	Calorie restriction	31 (31%
	Excessive exercise	14 (14%
	Low weight	17 (17%
Eating disorder symptoms that	ıt	
improved during pregnancy	Purging	16 (16%
	Binge eating	21 (21%
	Calorie restriction	27 (27%
	Excessive exercise	12 (12%
	Low weight	15 (15%
Health professional aware of		
eating disorder	Yes	22 (22%
	Νο	62 (61%
	Unsure	16 (16%
	Missing	1
Informed health professional		
	Yes	26 (26%
about eating disorder		
about eating disorder	No	72 (71%

777			
778			
779			
780			
781			
782			

784 Table 2. Study 2: Sample characteristics

Characteristics N (%)			N=33
Age	≤24		4 (12%)
	25-35		11 (32%)
	<u>≥</u> 36		12 (35%)
	Missing		7
Gender	Female		32 (97%)
	Male		1 (3%)
Ethnicity	White		24 (73%)
	Black		5 (15%)
	Asian Indian		1 (3%)
	Mixed ethnicity		1 (3%)
	Missing		2
Professional category	Student	Midwifo	5 /150/\
	Student		5 (15%)
		Health Visitor	5 (15%)

	Qualified	Midwife	14 (429
		Health Visitor	9 (27%)
Training for current post in			
the UK	Yes		28 (82%
	Νο		3 (9%)
	Missing		3
Previous nurse training	Yes		19 (56%
	No		12 (35%
	Missing		2
Received training in			
perinatal mental health	Yes		24 (71%
	No		5 (15%)
	Missing		5
Received training specifically	y		
in ED	Yes		10 (29%
	No		21 (62%
	Missing		3
	Missing		3

789	
790	
791	
792	
793	
794	
795	
796	ADDITIONAL MATERIALS
797	Additional file 1.docx
798	Study 1: Mixed-measures survey
799	
800	Additional file 2.docx
801	Study 2: Focus group topic guide
802	
803	Additional file 3.docx
804	Study 1: Themes with illustrative quotations
805	
806	Additional file 4.docx
807	Study 2: Themes with illustrative quotations