

Original citation:

Bernards, Nick (2017) *The International Labour Organization and African Trade Unions : tripartite fantasies and enduring struggles.* Review of African Political Economy, 44 (153). pp. 399-414.doi:10.1080/03056244.2017.1318359

Permanent WRAP URL:

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/101993

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

"This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Review of African Political Economy on 15/07/2016 available online: https://doi.org/10.1080/03056244.2017.1318359

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

The ILO and African Trade Unions: Tripartite Fantasies and Enduring Struggles

Nick Bernards McMaster University

* This is the accepted version of an article appearing in *Review of African Political Economy* 44 (153): 399-414.

Abstract

This paper examines the complex and contradictory history of interactions between the International Labour Organization (ILO) and trade unions in Africa from 1960-present. The paper focuses in particular on ILO efforts to deliver technical assistance to trade unions. I highlight the tensions raised by the mismatch between ILO's adherence to a particular view of industrial unionism rooted in Northern European experience, which I label the 'tripartite fantasy' and the political and economic realities of labour in Africa. The paper draws on original archival and interview evidence to trace out the subtle conflicts raised by these tensions. It focuses in particular on the difficulty in balancing the principle of freedom of association with efforts to promote 'unity' among African unions. These tensions played out most clearly in efforts to organise assistance to unions under apartheid. The paper concludes by reflecting on the difficult position of the ILO in contemporary African politics.

Keywords: International Labour Organization; Trade Unions; Tripartism; Industrial Relations; Development Assistance

Introduction

'Traditional' forms of industrial relations in the global north have been badly eroded by processes of neoliberal globalization. The International Labour Organization (ILO) -- historically closely associated with 'tripartism' and conventional industrial relations -- has been threatened by these developments (e.g. Cox 1977; Standing 2008). The organization's response to these challenges, the 1999 'Decent Work Agenda' (DWA), re-emphasized a handful of protections against the worst abuses of labour rights alongside measures to promote employment. Tripartism has been retained as a pillar of 'decent work', albeit articulated more loosely as 'social dialogue' making room for other 'civil society' actors (Fashoyin 2005). The erosion of 'traditional' industrial relations, then, would seem to have posed considerable challenges for the ILO.

However, the ILO has also long operated in places where 'traditional' labour relations have scarcely ever prevailed -- not least sub-Saharan Africa. For students of African political economy, then, the question is whether or not the promotion of 'tripartism', or 'social dialogue' in its newer form, is likely to be of benefit to African workers. On one level, the question could be answered by critiquing tripartism and the ILO's approach to labour relations more broadly. Here I take a somewhat different tack: I suggest that, while 'tripartism' is a problematic idea, the ILO's practical efforts to promote tripartism have often had impacts that are difficult to predict from the intents of the ILO's programming alone. It is less the ILO's vision *per se* and more the messy encounters between that vision and the real balance of forces in national and regional political economies that really matter.

In the following section, I outline the concept of 'tripartite fantasies' to capture the essential tensions that have developed around the ILO's interventions in sub-Saharan Africa. Drawing on archival and interview research conducted in Geneva and at an ILO field office in Pretoria, the remainder of the article traces out the ILO's efforts to provide assistance to African trade unions from 1960-present. As Croucher and Wood have recently noted (2015: 353), the role of the ILO in promoting tripartism in the global south remains largely unexamined. While initiatives for trade unions have never been publicized to the same extent as the ILO's conventions and recommendations, in practical terms such 'development' assistance activities have long taken up the bulk of the budget and staff time of the organization. Meanwhile, the focus here on initiatives

dealing with workers' organizations is justified because these programmes are a point where the tensions surrounding the tripartite fantasy are particularly acute. Workers' education programming has very often involved efforts to produce particular kinds of trade unions. A similar word is in order on the empirical focal points of the paper. I spend the bulk of the paper on developments from roughly 1960-1990, followed by a brief consideration of contemporary trends. This is a useful exercise because it underlines the more fundamental issues at stake -- whatever problems we might find with the tripartite fantasy are not solely down to its obsolescence in the face of neoliberal globalism. I focus on South Africa in the discussion of developments since 1975, for two reasons. First, South Africa has historically been of particular importance for the ILO in the region: assistance to unions under apartheid was a key focus in the 1970s and 1980s and the country has taken on an increasing importance since 1994. The second reason is that South Africa is probably the jurisdiction in sub-Saharan Africa where the ILO's tripartite fantasy comes closest to being realized -- the country has a comparatively large and well-organized trade union movement, and well-established formal tripartite institutions. The limitations of the ILO's vision in South Africa are often only amplified elsewhere.

Tripartite Fantasies

Tripartism is an institutional form more than a specific set of policies. It refers to formal institutions for economic policy-making in which government mediates between workers and employers. Tripartite institutions are nonetheless built on the assumption of cooperation and compromise between workers, employers, and the state -- they are often, in short, based on a reformist conception of labour politics. The emergence of the ILO, and of international labour governance more broadly, was very closely linked to efforts to de-radicalize labour as a political force. The promotion of international labour standards emerged as a conservative response to revolutionary pressures stemming from the growth of trade unions, the expansion of the franchise, and the rise of socialist parties in the latter part of the nineteenth century in Europe. International agreements on labour standards were first articulated alongside the 'new nationalism' linking 'policies for industrial expansion, protection for workers, and increased state power' (Cox 1996: 46). The establishment of a formal institution to oversee international labour standards after WWI, moreover, was in no small part a reaction the Russian Revolution.

As Croucher and Wood (2015) note, the history of tripartite institutions is closely linked to the history of class compromise in Europe.

This connection between the ILO, tripartism, and class compromise in Europe suggests a question: how does the idea of tripartism translate in the rest of the world? Efforts to extend tripartite structures into Africa and elsewhere date to the mid-twentieth century. The ILO became increasingly involved in governing colonial labour practices in the 1930s and after WWII (see Daughton 2013; Maul 2012); this extension of the ILO's mission to the colonized world also set in motion longstanding efforts to promote tripartite institutions outside Western Europe. A number of developing countries, not least in southern Africa, have adopted nominally tripartite institutions of economic governance, often with the support of the ILO. Recent studies suggest some conclusions about the impacts of tripartism in Africa. Fraile and Baccaro (2010, 5) argue that tripartite institutions in developing countries have 'blunted' the worst excesses of neoliberal policies, rather than construct alternatives. Studies of Southern Africa similarly suggest that the outcomes of tripartite institutions installed during the process of democratization have often been disappointing for workers (Dibben, Klerck, and Wood 2015; Webster 2013). The political dominance of single party governments, growing opposition from business, and the global rise of neoliberalism have restricted the capacity of tripartite institutions to advance workers' interests.

There are also deeper problems with the concept of tripartism. Tripartism is ultimately rooted in a normative preference for reformism, compromise, and consensus building over radical change or agonistic politics. This has meant that the ILO has rarely been attentive to power relations, political conflicts, or structural factors that might stand in the way of the effective functioning of industrial relations institutions. Caraway (2006), for instance, argues that the ILO's conception of 'free' unionism encourages fragmentation. 'Free' unions can thus come at the expense of 'powerful' unions: indeed, encouraging the formation of rival trade union centres under the guise of promoting 'free association' is a means by which governments and businesses have sought to undermine politically troublesome unions in some instances. Selwyn (2013) argues in broader terms that the ILO's emphasis on institutional reforms, embodied in the DWA, promote 'top-down' solutions to labour abuses, leaving it up to governments and corporations to treat workers

better. This closes down considerations of the forms of exploitation which create 'indecent' work in the first place, or of the place of 'bottom-up' mobilizations in securing workers' rights.

These arguments suggest a more fundamental puzzle. If tripartite institutions rarely work well outside the historical contexts in which they emerged, what does the *practice* of promoting tripartism actually accomplish? I suggest that it is useful to think about the role of the ILO in this respect as a set of practices linked to a kind of 'tripartite fantasy'. The 'tripartite fantasy' is a particular set of assumptions about what constitutes ideal labour relations. Perhaps most importantly, this involves a troubled conception of the purpose and functions of workers' organizations. The tripartite fantasy involves a commitment on one hand to 'free' trade unionism -- meaning freedom of association and autonomy from government and political parties -- and on the other, an understanding of the 'appropriate' role for trade unions based on depoliticized and non-radical participation through established institutional channels. These two dimensions do not always easily co-exist. The term 'fantasy' highlights the fact that this vision has deeply coloured the way in which the ILO's officials have interpreted and interacted with the structures of political economy with which they have been confronted. The key argument of this paper is that it is in the troubled translation between abstract policy ideas and the contested political economy of labour relations in the real world that the really significant impacts of organizations like the ILO are often felt.

Highlighting this set of tensions offers a pessimistic assessment of the ILO's ability to improve the situation of African workers, but also underlines the ability of workers' organizations to twist ILO programming to their own ends. The following pages show that union leaderships have not always advanced the interests of rank and file workers in re-appropriating the ILO's resources, but that their actions have often profoundly shaped the practical impacts of the ILO. African workers will not find the tools they need to confront neoliberalism in conforming to the ILO's vision, but they might still find some use in engagements with the ILO.

Workers' education and decolonization

The ILO launched a programme for workers' education in 1956 -- which was expanded into sub-Saharan Africa after 1960. The Director General's report to the first African Regional

Conference included a full chapter on workers' education (ILO 1960). The ILO understood the purpose of workers education in terms of the tripartite fantasy -- seeking to encourage the formation of trade unionism suited for consensual bargaining in workplaces and national-level institutions. Workers' Education, in this respect, was often with bringing about 'appropriate' subjectivities on the part of workers. Training materials from a mission to Zambia in 1967, for instance, included the following on the conduct of union stewards:

A man who readily concedes plainly improper grievances but fights hard for those with some substance gains the respect not only of his members but also often of management... A man who shouts and threatens on every occasion and who generally puts on a dramatic performance for the benefit of his members -- and then ends up being made a fool of and losing the case -- is not a man who commands respect. (ILO 1968, 32)

This vision sat at odds with the historical context of unionism in postcolonial Africa. As Cooper (1996) has argued, the process of decolonization left in place ambiguous relationships between trade unions and nationalist movements. Unionized workers usually represented a narrow proportion of the total population. They remained strategically significant, however, because they were employed at crucial nodes in the infrastructure of neo-colonial export economies (especially on railways, ports, mines, and plantations) and had organizational capacities beyond those available to many other economic segments. Trade unions could thus be either a disruptive oppositional force or a crucial source of support for postcolonial regimes.

The practical activities of the ILO's experts were often impacted by struggles stemming from this political-economic context. The Workers' Education (WED) department launched a pilot project on trade union education in West and Central Africa in 1965. The activities of this project illustrate the conflicts in which the ILO found itself entangled. For instance, shortly before one expert was scheduled to make a trip to Haute Volta to lead a seminar in January 1966, workers launched a general strike to protest austerity measures adopted by the government. In response to the strike, the government banned all trade union meetings -- including the ILO seminar.² After the strike and associated protests brought down the government, the new regime reversed course and allowed the seminar to go ahead. The participating confederations even established a joint committee for workers' education, to receive further ILO assistance.³ Ultimately, however, the

joint committee lasted only a few months before splintering into rival committees, which prevented the education of any more than a few dozen workers.⁴

These national struggles were overlaid with conflicts at the regional and global levels between conservative nationalist unions (often closely affiliated to single-party governments), 'independent' unions with affiliations to the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU), and radical unions with links to the communist-led World Confederation of Trade Unions (WFTU). This meant that in any given country multiple government and trade union donors were often operating separate workers' education programmes. It concerned ILO officials that 'donor organizations seem sometimes to be more concerned with outbidding each other to establish clients for themselves'. Several pan-African union confederations were also in place. The most notable were the All-Africa Trade Union Federation (AATUF) -- based initially in Accra, then in Dar es Salaam -- and loosely linked to the WFTU, although typically more defined by anti-colonialism than communism; and African Trade Union Confederation (ATUC), based in Dakar, whose members were mostly affiliated to the ICFTU. More conservative unions favoured the formation of a single regional federation backed by the Organization for African Unity (OAU) -- along the lines of the Organization for African Trade Union Unity (OATUU) eventually established in 1973 (see Agyeman 2003).

Trade Union Unity?

By the early 1970s, the ILO clearly saw the promotion of 'unity' as a potential antidote to the factional divisions that frequently derailed projects on the ground. ILO officials responded positively to a resolution on trade union unity by the OAU's Conference of African Labour Ministers (CALM) in 1969.⁶ Yet, it was impossible to reconcile any compulsory policy of 'unity' with the principle of freedom of association. An internal comment on a draft speech to be delivered at another CALM meeting in 1972 noted that 'Trade union unity whether at the national or continental level is a delicate topic... It would be advisable... to inform the conference that the ILO attaches great importance to the development of responsible, free, and democratic trade unionism'.⁷ 'Unity', then, was appealing but difficult to balance with the ILO's commitment to 'free' unionism.

In spite of the pitfalls, though, the ILO supported the formation of OATUU. Almost immediately after its formation, the Secretary General of OATUU wrote to the ILO asking for an 'extensive Worker' [sic] Education Programme at national, regional, and continental levels'. WED started lobbying for assistance to OATUU under the ILO's technical assistance budget. It was difficult to avoid the fact that OATUU was not an 'independent' representative of workers' interests. OATUU (and many of its member unions) made little effort at establishing any independent fundraising capacity -- e.g. a check-off system or regularized collection of member dues -- relying instead on the OAU, international donors, and governments (Ananaba 1979, 219-220). OATUU was committed from the start to the solidification of singular 'non-political' (read closely controlled by the ruling party) trade union centres. In short, OATUU was dependent on its ability to leverage assistance from both the OAU and the ILO -- a potentially difficult balance made possible largely by the ILO's interest in 'unity'.

Given the importance of its external linkages, OATUU sought to cement its role in the ILO's WED activities in the region. ¹⁰ In 1978, the OAU Assembly called for a 'joint action programme' of the ILO, OAU, and OATUU on 'labour and related social questions'. ¹¹ The ILO's regional director responded positively, noting (ironically) the difficulties raised by participating in uncoordinated projects with international confederations or bilateral donors, which 'have their own objectives which may or may not be consistent with ILO objectives'. ¹² In 1979, OATUU's General Council passed a resolution that 'any assistance of a multilateral nature... by any extra-African organisation must necessarily pass through OATUU as the only expression of willingness for progress and for the promotion of African workers and Trade Unions'. ¹³ No formal machinery was ever put in place, but OATUU was increasingly closely involved in WED activities. One of the most notable areas of activity was aid to NLMs in Southern Africa.

The ILO, OATUU, and NLMs

The ILO played a significant role in international opposition to apartheid -- South Africa was forced to withdraw from the organization in 1964. The ILO hosted a 'Workers' Conference on Action Against Apartheid' in Geneva in 1973, which called for aid to 'the oppressed people of South Africa' (ILO 1973: 2). There were also internal proposals as early as 1972 for technical

assistance to exiled unionists. ¹⁴ Assistance to workers on the ground, however, was difficult for the ILO to provide -- ironically, precisely because South Africa had been pushed out of the ILO. Officials noted that if unions in host countries were to organize educational activities for exiles, the ILO could provide assistance without raising issues of jurisdiction. ¹⁵ The ICFTU was initially more successful in establishing links with workers' organizations in the white-ruled countries. The formation of OATUU in 1973 and the formal support of the OAU for NLMs thus gave the ILO an important entry point. Nonetheless, OATUU's connection with a particular vision of 'trade union unity' that sat awkwardly with the ILO's tripartite fantasy created some notable tensions. These were compounded as OATUU and the ICFTU became increasingly aligned with exiled and independent unionists, respectively.

The first major ILO event for NLMs was a workshop held in Lusaka in 1978 with the Zambian Confederation of Trade Unions (ZCTU) and OATUU in support of trade unions affiliated to national liberation movements in Southern Africa. South Africa, notably, was represented by the exiled South African Confederation of Trade Unions (SACTU). The ILO officials involved delivered rather banal talks about administrative structures, 'normal' trade union functions, and different ways to provide trade union education. One official included an appendix with a table giving a detailed breakdown of 18 different techniques for facilitating discussion (ILO 1978, 59-63). The ILO's archive files relating to the workshop even contain printed lyrics to British and American trade union songs -- e.g. 'Solidarity Forever', 'Roll the Union On', 'We Shall Not Be Moved'. Rather like the mission to Zambia ten years earlier, then, the ILO's contributions centered on producing the particular kinds of subjectivities required by the tripartite fantasy.

Meanwhile, the OATUU and ZCTU officials present addressed the conference to talk mostly about the need for labour movements to work with governments for national 'development'. An OATUU speaker critiqued the idea of trade union 'independence':

'Some people think that collaboration of a trade union organization with a government is a sell-out... *In Africa, governments and workers are allies in the struggle for development. Who is subordinate to who is not even the question*' (ILO 1978, 38, emphasis added).

OATUU as well as speakers from the ZCTU (ILO 1978: 23) drew on the 'development' imperative as a means of urging trade union subordination to governments while ILO officials were trying to produce workers' organizations that looked like their tripartite fantasy. All of these political differences, however, were partially offset by the broader context of jurisdiction -- it was simply easier for the ILO to work with OATUU and SACTU. After the Lusaka seminar, WED put together a project proposal for SACTU and the liberation movements in South West Africa and Zimbabwe -- aimed at 'develop[ing] and strengthen[ing] effective and independent workers' organizations capable of discharging complex responsibilities... in the task of nation-building'.¹⁷

Meanwhile, SACTU was increasingly coming under pressure from the formation of independent trade unions in South Africa. The Federation of South African Trade Unions (FOSATU) was founded in 1979 and the Council of Unions of South Africa (CUSA) in 1980. The independent federations organizing in South Africa scarcely had contacts with OATUU. FOSATU depended on the ICFTU for the bulk of its budget -- in 1982, 84 percent of its operating costs were paid out of ICFTU assistance. These developments posed significant challenges for SACTU, which suddenly found itself competing for international resources (Plaut 1984, 118-119). The ICFTU, meanwhile, was concerned with limiting SACTU's access to international assistance. A 1984 discussion paper of the ICFTU's Co-Ordinating Committee on South Africa suggests that 'It is disquieting to note that SACTU is increasingly trying to take credit for developments inside South Africa as this could seriously prejudice the progress of the independent trade union movement'. 19

OATUU, meanwhile, responded to these developments by seeking to lock in the ILO's commitment to the exiled unionists. The Secretary General wrote to the ILO in 1982 requesting help setting up a seminar for South African trade unions. OATUU fell back on familiar arguments about 'unity':

'There are many organisations... who are very active in South Africa, under the pretext that they are helping the workers in South Africa and those who are in the neighbouring states... These organisations have caused confusion inside South

Africa, where they are now having five national centres, but they are also beginning to cause confusion even in the independent states in Southern Africa.' ²⁰

The question of which federations should be invited caused some controversy within the ILO. The ÉGALITÉ department -- responsible the ILO's advocacy campaign against apartheid -- suggested that the meeting should be limited to the independent federations in South Africa. ²¹ However, officials in WED informed SACTU about the meeting, suggesting that if the NLMs were not to be invited then OATUU should be responsible for organizing the seminar rather than the ILO. ²² Officials in Workers' Relations also noted that as long the OAU recognized one official liberation movement and trade union centre per country (the ANC and SACTU in South Africa), excluding these from an ILO meeting would be impossible. ²³ WED eventually partially met OATUU's request by organizing a seminar in Gaborone in March of 1983, which led to the formation of the Southern African Trade Union Coordination Council. SACTU used the meeting as an opportunity to condemn the operations of the ICFTU and International Trade Secretariats in South Africa. ²⁴ Officials from OATUU also met with WED officials in December of 1983, reiterating the argument that the ITS were 'constantly interfering in the internal affairs of the trade unions and not respecting the OATUU policy that no African trade unions should remain affiliated to any international organization other than OATUU'. ²⁵

Yet, WED was simultaneously pursuing projects with the independent federations. WED was not especially successful overall, but did manage to secure funding from the Canadian Labour Congress and the Canadian International Development Agency for a workers' education project for unions in South Africa in 1983. FOSATU was generally receptive, although the ICFTU saw the project as an infringement on their 'territory'. ²⁶ The ILO also hosted meetings of the ICFTU's Coordinating Committee on South Africa (COCOSA) in Geneva, in which FOSATU and CUSA representatives participated. In general, though, the ILO was clearly hamstrung by internal debates, the relationship with OATUU, and their inability to work in South Africa itself. A FOSATU official noted in 1982 that 'it is very clear that within the ILO this whole program of support is very controversial and raises a number of problems about the ILO's relation to South Africa as a non-member and to the liberation movements'. ²⁷ Assistance to independent federations in South Africa was difficult for the ILO to provide, ironically despite the organization's broader preference for 'free' trade unions.

The situation became somewhat less complicated as the decade wore on. The relationship between the ICFTU and the independent federations became difficult. FOSATU and CUSA members were marginalized in COCOSA. A FOSATU official reported of the 1981 meeting that 'The real business of the meeting took place without us being referred to, and a FOSATU contract was agreed to without me being called in to discuss it'. FOSATU developed a cautious approach to internationalism. To a considerable extent, this approach was carried over after the merger of independent unions into the Congress of South African Trade Unions (COSATU) in 1985. COSATU refused to affiliate to the ICFTU, preferring bilateral relationships with other national confederations.

Further, OATUU's 4th Congress in early 1985 collapsed. A group of unions raised a number of allegations about the secretariat misusing funds, manipulating votes, and a failure to 'take seriously the preoccupations of the African working class'. 30 The Secretary General refused to resign, but a rival Provisional Coordinating Committee was established (over his objections). One of the immediate consequences was that WED cancelled a Danish International Developent Agency (DANIDA)-funded multi-country project for OATUU.³¹ The Secretary General responded by accusing WED of having 'people or organizations who are inimical to OATUU' influence the cancellation of the project and that 'our confidence in your impartiality and fair judgement has been greatly shaken'. 32 The specifics are less significant for present purposes than the fact that the entire basis for OATUU's existence was under threat. OATUU's model of 'pan-African' unity was rooted in a particular model of single party government and extensive incorporation of 'civil society', all supported by the rents collected from control over primary exports -- that was profoundly in crisis. With its patrons in the OAU under strain, OATUU persisted mostly because of its ability to leverage resources from northern donors through the ILO -- despite the gap between the ILO's tripartite fantasy and OATUU's political commitments. This greatly amplified the threat from the cancellation of the DANIDA project.

The ILO thus started pursuing avenues of cooperation with COSATU. WED officials met with COSATU leadership in Lusaka in March of 1986 to discuss establishing a workers' education programme for COSATU, but the inability of the ILO to work in South Africa remained a

stumbling block. 33 Indeed, ironically, after the leadership situation in OATUU was resolved in favour of the provisional committee group, COSATU officials started to explore the possibility of establishing links to OATUU. In the end, OATUU being increasingly limited in terms of resources, this amounted to very little. ILO events were nonetheless important to these developments insofar as they provided opportunities for COSATU and OATUU officials to meet.³⁴ Nonetheless, COSATU's generally cautious approach to international unionism helped reinforce the situation in which the ILO continued to deal much more easily in 'global' policies to mobilize pressure against apartheid than in direct assistance to workers on the ground. COSATU did seek to work through the ILO in some instances -- most notably, COSATU protested to the Governing Body of the ILO in 1988 over reforms to the Labour Relations Act that the union felt would infringe on the right to strike and favour racially constituted unions over non-racial ones. A 'Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission' would eventually be sent to South Africa, although this was delayed several years because of South Africa's status in the ILO. Notably, rather unlike SACTU -- which sought to draw on the ILO and other international links to compensate for their organizational weakness -- the ILO here provided an alternative outlet for COSATU in responding to proposed policy changes from a hostile government rather than a source of material and political resources. The broader point here is that, whatever the shortcomings of the tripartite fantasy in its own right, what often mattered most in the ILO's interactions with the movement against apartheid were the struggles between the actors involved to re-appropriate ILO spaces and programmes towards very different ends.

Tripartite Fantasies in Contemporary South Africa

There have obviously been dramatic transformations in both the relations of production and in political institutions across the region since the late 1980s -- the end of apartheid, the crisis of the postcolonial state, and the widespread and rapid growth of informal work are all notable. Significantly, though, while tripartite institutions might succeed at times in 'blunting' neoliberalism (Fraile and Baccaro 2010), the limits of the tripartite fantasy persist. The ILO continues to have to fall back on trying to encourage trade unions to behave 'properly' according to the terms of the tripartite fantasy. This emphasis, indeed, has been deepened by the incorporation of promotion of national institutions for 'social dialogue' in the DWA (Fashoyin 2005). South Africa is increasingly a key terrain on which these problems are grappled with.

COSATU's role in post-apartheid South Africa -- especially its involvement in policy-making through the National Economic Development and Labour Council (NEDLAC) -- came closer to the ILO's tripartite fantasy than any other workers' organization in the region. Indeed, the its significance for the ILO in Africa extends well beyond South Africa itself -- the ILO has even arranged study visits to NEDLAC for trade unions in Zimbabwe and Swaziland.

In practice, it is hard to escape the conclusion that tripartism in South Africa has not done much for workers. South Africa's corporatist institutions have been challenged by employers seeking greater flexibility, and democratization more broadly has not always delivered 'on expectations of more and better jobs and employers were bypassing the new labour laws' (Webster 2013: 210). Economic policy after South Africa's transition away from apartheid has been profoundly shaped by a history of compromise between the ANC and big business, especially leading firms in finance and mining (Bond 2000; Bassett 2008; Fine 2012). Despite the existence of institutions like NEDLAC, trade unions have often played a relatively marginal role in making policy. Neoliberal economic policies, then, have tended to prevail.

The weaknesses of tripartism are compounded by growing challenges facing the labour movement. As a number of authors have argued, the close alliance of COSATU's leadership and the leading factions of the ANC seems less and less likely to serve the interests of rank and file workers (Bassett and Clarke 2008; Beresford 2012). Of course, these political links with the ANC have long been contested within COSATU (Buhlungu 2012: 3-4; Southall and Webster 2010). COSATU and formal tripartism have also been undercut to some extent by structural changes in the labour market. It is increasingly difficult for conventional unions to represent the frustrations of poor communities and individuals faced with persistently high unemployment, increasingly precarious work, and growing inequality in South Africa (Scully 2016); an inability reflected in part in a growing wave of community protests (Alexander 2010; Paret 2015). The point is that, while COSATU doubtless remains a crucial instrument for the representation of workers' interests, both in political terms and in the workplace, it faces growing structural and political challenges, which deepen the limitations of institutionalized tripartism.

The moralism underpinning the tripartite fantasy, however, often obscures the underlying political and economic shifts to the ILO's officials, meaning the ILO is unlikely to be of much direct help. The close links between COSATU and the ANC are viewed with increasing unease at the ILO, but are attributed largely to leadership failures:

Some people are saying for instance that COSATU, which has very close links to the ANC... Some of the members are starting to fight that kind of bureaucracy, because they're finding that the leaders are not looking after the main members of the organization. And you can see in the situation in Marikana that the workers are lost and they don't know who is there to help them and they try to sort out their problems by themselves. (ILO Official, Pretoria, 16 October 2014)

The growth of conflicts over poverty and unemployment in South Africa is similarly seen as a result of a weakening commitment to the cause of tripartism:

In SA, they've almost moved away from [tripartism], and that's been their downfall I believe in the Marikana, and all sorts of the challenges they're having around social dialogue... And countries that do take it up -- and you just need to look at the Swedish and Scandinavian models, even though they're developed economies -- you'll see how entrenched social dialogue is in their societies, which helps them get through difficult times. (ILO Official, 27 October 2014)

The imputed moral failings of workers' organizations and of the government, who have deviated from the ideal represented in the tripartite fantasy, are given priority here over structural and institutional factors. As in the longer history of workers' education in postcolonial Africa, workers' subjectivities and formal institutional structures remain the main points of emphasis, rather than broader structures of production and accumulation or political power.

The presence of multiple trade union centres continues to pose operational problems for the ILO, which have been reinforced by the fact that COSATU has shown little interest in Workers' Education activities. While the ILO is keen to promote the unity of South African trade unions, this has opened up opportunities for the two much smaller 'national' confederations (NACTU and FEDUSA) to draw on ILO support, and for COSATU affiliates to participate in programming independent of the central federation. The National Union of Metalworkers of South Africa (NUMSA), for instance, was providing a financial education course for retrenched

members, with ILO assistance, at the time of its expulsion from COSATU. For the ILO, then, the desire to promote 'unity' continues to run up against the need to find willing participants for its programming. Here, though, is at least a small hint of the kind of positive role the ILO could play (in spite of itself): sectoral federations have been able to draw on the ILO for certain limited kinds of material and organizational support. Even for trade unions, like NUMSA, committed to far more radical positions than those of the ILO, it might be possible to draw on ILO support on particular issue areas.

In a somewhat similar vein, despite COSATU's reluctance to draw on ILO technical assistance, the ILO is identified as a potential 'strategic lever of power' with which to 'further a working class agenda and to facilitate the transformation of global multilateralism' (COSATU 2012: 23). Calls for policies to promote 'decent work' have also been increasingly incorporated into COSATU's official platforms and congress resolutions. Insofar as COSATU has drawn on the language of creating 'decent work' to articulate calls for redistributive policies and for greater state intervention in the economy, this represents a potentially progressive way of engaging with the resources produced by the ILO. But this strategy is also potentially fraught. The Zuma government's 'New Growth Path' (NGP) announced in 2011 is also explicitly framed around the DWA (EDD 2011). The NGP does propose expanded social grants for poor communities and investments in infrastructure, but also threatens to roll back previous gains made by organized labour -- most notably by moderating wage increases for workers earning between R3000 and R20000 per month in order to limit inflation (EDD 2011: 58). These aspects of the NGP (rightly) drew criticism from COSATU -- whose response also noted the document's 'over-reliance on corporatist social consensus' (COSATU 2011) -- and a number of academics (Fine 2012).

The point is that whatever positive impacts the broader DWA might have in South Africa or elsewhere are likely to come about through these kinds of struggles to define and appropriate the language of 'decent work' rather than through the ILO's own efforts (see also Bernards 2015; 2016). The ILO is still highly limited in its ability to act in the interests of workers in its own right by the constraints posed by the tripartite fantasy. The fantasy contains a set of assumptions that remain deeply moralistic. Marikana appears in the above quotes as a kind of specter of the disorder that might result from failures in leadership or the abandonment of tripartite values by

workers, government, and employers. Of course, this assessment fails to do justice to the massacre as a complex phenomenon with multiple causes and ambiguous consequences (Bond 2013; Alexander 2013; Chinguno 2013). The tripartite fantasy is, ultimately, a fantasy precisely because it ignores the realities of political contestation and power.

Conclusion

The fundamental question here is whether or not the ILO can be expected to make a positive contribution to workers' livelihoods. The obvious conclusion from the evidence above is that the tripartite fantasy is ill-suited to the task, and this is as true for contemporary workers faced with struggles over neoliberal development strategies and precarious labour markets as much as those involved in struggles over political independence or against apartheid in the postcolonial era. The moralistic and 'top-down' character of the ILO's ideas often inhibits their usefulness. Yet, there is perhaps reason for hope, in an ironic sort of way, in the contradictory and contested character of interventions in practice. This is the real value in tracing out the myriad struggles through which the tripartite fantasy has been enacted. The results of the ILO interventions discussed above have more often than not been more reflective of the balance of forces 'on the ground' in any given context than of what the ILO wanted. Paradoxically, this means that ILO interventions have at times been *both* better and worse for workers than we might expect from the objectives of the organization on paper.

So, the big point is that much depends on the actions of workers themselves in their relations with the ILO. Materially and organizationally weak trade unions have been able to draw on the ILO's support to maintain themselves. This has at times been a substitute for undertaking the more difficult work of building stronger organizations, giving voice to rank and file workers, or forging links with other social movements. OATUU was able to take advantage of the ILO's WED programming as part of a broader strategy drawing on international resources to make up for its weak organizational base. However, the ILO has also at times made it easier for unions to operate in harsh environments. Here the limited assistance the ILO did provide to the independent South African federations under apartheid is notable. Even things as simple as providing safe spaces to meet for South African unionists with potential allies (in Geneva or at regional events) are noteworthy. So too are current efforts by unions and others to define 'Decent

Work' in South Africa and elsewhere. Ultimately, there is little reason for African workers to put much faith in the tripartite fantasy, but there is scope for the ILO to benefit workers in spite of itself.

Acknowledgements

An earlier version of this paper was presented at the Canadian Association of African Studies annual conference in June of 2015. I would like to thank conference participants, as well as the editors and anonymous reviewers from this journal, for their comments and discussion. Thanks are also due to the staff at the ILO Archives and the Wits Historical Papers for their assistance. I also gratefully acknowledge support for this research through a SSHRC Joseph Armand Bombardier Canada Graduate Scholarship. All errors are, of course, my own.

Author Bio

Nick Bernards recently completed a PhD in International Relations at McMaster University. He is currently a SSHRC postdoctoral fellow at Queen's University, Canada. His articles on the ILO have appeared in *Global Labour Journal*, *Critical African Studies*, and *New Political Economy*.

References

- Agyeman, Opoku (2003). *The Failure of Grassroots Pan-Africanism: The Case of the All-Africa Trade Union Federation*. New York: Lexington Books.
- Alexander, Peter (2010). 'Rebellion of the Poor: South Africa's Service Delivery Protests -- A Preliminary Analysis'. *Review of African Political Economy* 37 (120): 25-40.
- Alexander, Peter (2013). 'Marikana, Turning Point in South African History'. *Review of African Political Economy* 40 (138): 605-619.
- Ananaba, Wogu (1979). *The Trade Union Movement in Africa: Promise and Performance*. London: C. Hurst & Company.
- Bassett, Carolyn (2008). 'South Africa: Revisiting Capital's "Formative Action". *Review of African Political Economy* 35 (116): 185-202.
- Bassett, Carolyn and Marlea Clarke (2008). 'The Zuma Affair, Labour and the Future of Democracy in South Africa'. *Third World Quarterly* 29 (4): 787-803.
- Beresford, Alexander (2012). 'Organised Labour and the Politics of Class Formation in Post-

- Apartheid South Africa'. Review of African Political Economy 39 (134): 569-589.
- Bernards, Nick (2015). 'The Internationalization of Labour Politics in Africa'. *Critical African Studies* 7 (1): 7-25.
- Bernards, Nick (2016). 'The International Labour Organization and the Ambivalent Politics of Financial Inclusion in West Africa'. *New Political Economy*, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2016.1183115.
- Bond, Patrick (2010). *The Elite Transition: From Apartheid to Neoliberalism in South Africa*. London: Pluto Press.
- Bond, Patrick (2013). 'Debt, Uneven Development and Capitalist Crisis in South Africa: from Moody's Macroeconomic Monitoring to Marikana Microfinance *Mashonisas'*. *Third World Quarterly* 34 (4): 569-592.
- Buhlungu, Sakhela and Malehoko Tshoaedi (2012). 'A Contested Legacy: Organisational and Political Challenges Facing COSATU', in Buhlungu and Tshoaedi, eds., *COSATU's Contested Legacy*. Cape Town: HRSC Press.
- Caraway, Teri L. (2006). 'Freedom of Association: Battering Ram or Trojan Horse?'. *Review of International Political Economy* 13 (2): 210–232.
- Chinguno, Crispen (2013). 'Marikana Massacre and Strike Violence Post-Apartheid'. *Global Labour Journal* 4 (2): 160-166.
- Cooper, Frederick (1996). *Decolonization and African Society: The Labor Question in French and British Africa*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- COSATU (2011). 'Government's New Growth Path Framework: One Step Forward, Two Steps Backward', available http://www.cosatu.org.za/show.php?ID=4459, accessed 31 August 2016.
- COSATU (2012). *International Policy: The Meaning and Practice of International Working Class Solidarity in the 21st Century*. Bramfontein: COSATU.
- Cox, Robert W. (1977). 'Labor and Hegemony'. *International Organization* 31 (3): 385-424.
- Cox, Robert W. (1996). 'The Idea of International Labour Regulation'. In Cox with Timothy Sinclair, *Approaches to World Order*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Croucher, Richard and Geoffrey Wood (2015). 'Tripartism in Comparative and Historical Perspective'. *Business History* 57 (3): 347-357.

- Daughton, J.P. (2013). 'ILO Expertise and Colonial Violence in the Interwar Years'. In Sandrine Kott and Joelle Droux, eds., *Globalizing Social Rights: The International Labour Organization and Beyond*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Dibben, Pauline, Gilton Klerck and Geoffrey Wood (2015). 'The Ending of Southern Africa's Tripartite Dream: The Cases of South Africa, Namibia, and Mozambique'. *Business History* 57 (3): 461-483.
- Fashoyin, Tayo (2005). 'Tripartism and Other Actors in Social Dialogue'. *International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations* 21 (1): 37-58.
- Fine, Ben (2012). 'Assessing South Africa's New Growth Path: Framework for Change?'. *Review of African Political Economy* 39 (134): 551-568.
- Fraile, Lydia and Lucio Baccaro (2010), 'Introduction', in Fraile (ed.), *Blunting Neoliberalism: Tripartism and Economic Reforms in the Developing World*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hughes, Steve and Nigel Haworth (2011). *The International Labour Organization: Coming in from the Cold.* New York: Routledge.
- ILO (1960). First African Regional Conference, Lagos 1960: Report of the Director-General. Geneva: ILO.
- ILO (1968). Report on Workers' Education in Zambia. Geneva: ILO.
- ILO (1973). Action against Apartheid: Report of a Workers' Meeting on Action against Apartheid. Geneva: ILO.
- ILO (1978), Report on ZCTU/ILO Workshop on Workers' Education for Liberation Movements in Southern Africa. Geneva: ILO.
- ILO (1981). ILO/Norway Africa Regional Project on Seminars on Methods and Techniques of Workers' Education. Geneva: ILO.
- Maul, Daniel Roger (2012). *Human Rights, Development, and Decolonization: The International Labour Organization, 1940-1970.* New York: Palgrave Macmillan and International Labour Organization.
- Paret, Marcel (2015). 'Violence and Democracy in South Africa's Community Protests'. *Review of African Political Economy* 42 (143): 107-123.
- Plaut, Martin (1984). 'Changing Perspectives on South African Trade Unions'. *Review of African Political Economy* 30: 116-123.

- Scully, Ben (2016). 'From the Shop Floor to the Kitchen Table: the Shifting Centre of Precarious Workers' Politics in South Africa'. *Review of African Political Economy* 43 (148): 295-311.
- Selwyn, Ben (2013), 'Social Upgrading and Labour in Global Production Networks: A Critique and Alternative Conception', *Competition and Change* 17 (1): 75-90.
- Southall, Roger and Edward Webster (2010). 'Unions and Parties in South Africa: COSATU and the ANC in the Wake of Polokwane', in in Bjorn Beckman, Sakhela Buhlungu, and Lloyd Sachikonye, eds., *Trade Unions and Party Politics: Labour Movements in Africa*, Cape Town: HSRC Press.
- Standing, Guy (2008). 'The ILO: an Agency for Globalization?'. *Development and Change* 39 (3): 355-384.
- Webster, Edward (2013). 'The Promise and the Possibility: South Africa's Contested Industrial Relations Path'. *Transformation* 81/82: 208-235.

¹ Critiques of 'Decent Work' can be found in Lerche (2012), Standing (2008), and Vosko (2002); for more positive assessment see Hughes and Howarth (2011).

² Kesler Clermont to Mr. Paul B. Chu, 3 January 1966, ILO Archives, Geneva (ILOA) WED 3-159-2-3.

³ Kesler Clermont, 'Rapport Final Sur la Séminaire de Formation d'Instructeurs Syndicaux Tenu a Ouagadougou du 17 Janvier au 2 Février 1966, ILOA WED 3-159-2-3.

⁴ Kesler Clermont, 'Rapport d'Activités dans les Pays Couverts par le Projet WED 3-159-2, Novembre 65-Avril 67', ILOA WED 3-159-2-3.

⁵ Paul B. Chu minute to M. de Givry, 22 September 1972, ILOA WED 1-159 (2).

⁶ H.A. Dunning to Mr. Aamir Ali, Mr. Bolin, 18 March 1969, ILOA WED 1-159 (1).

⁷ B.E.D. Komba-Kono to Mr. Dunning, 22 February 1972, ILOA WED 1-159 (1).

⁸ 'Memorandum Submitted by the OATUU Secretary General to the Acting Director General of the ILO on the Proposals for Assistance for Educational Programmes', annexed to J.D. Akumu to F. Blanchard, 24 November 1974; ILOA WED 1-159-3-1.

⁹ 'Regular Budget Field Technical Cooperation Workers' Education Assistance to the Organization for African Trade Union Unity (OATUU) (Africa), n.d.; ILOA WED 1-159-3-1.

¹⁰ J.D. Akumu to Mr. Bert Bolin, 'Re: ILO/OATUU Projects', 10 August 1977, ILOA WED 1-159-3-1.

¹¹ Paul O. Etiang to Mr. Francis Blanchard, 30 October 1978, ILOA WED 1-159 (4).

¹² Quote from P. Adossama memorandum to Mr. J. Whitehouse, 7 November 1978, ILOA WED 1-159 (4); *cf.* P. Adossama memorandum to Mr. Amal Mukherjee, 7 November 1978, ILOA WED 1-159 (4).

¹³ 'Resolution on Workers' Education', p. 2., annex to A. Salam Gaye Minute Sheet, 6 July 1979, ILOA WED 1-159-3-2.

¹⁴ B.E.D. Komba Kono to Mr. Dunning *et al.*, 'Assistance to African Trade Unions in Exile', 10 August 1972, ILOA WED 1-159 (2).

¹⁵ Paul B.J. Chu to Mr. Dunning and Mr. Komba-Kono, 11 October 1972, ILOA WED 1-159 (2).

¹⁶ 'Trade Union Songs', n.d., RP 159-4-C-10-1.

¹⁷ 'Workers' Education for Development -- Southern Africa', annex to J.R.W. Whitehouse minute to Mr. Sacika, 18 December 1978, ILOA WED 0-159-1978/79.

¹⁸ 'Budget Proposals', n.d., WHP AH1999 C5.2.

¹⁹ '23rd Meeting of the ICFTU Co-ordinating Committee on South Africa, Geneva, 1984', p. 6, annex to letter to All Members of the ICFTU Co-ordinating Committee on South Africa, 22 May 1984, WHP AH1999 C5.2.

²⁰ J.D. Akumu to The Director General, 're: Workers' Education Programme for the Trade Unionists in Southern Africa', 7 February 1982, ILOA WED 1-159-3-3.

²¹ B. Rateree, 'Trade Union Activities in Southern Africa', 11 March 1982, ILOA WED 1-159-3-3.

- ²² C. Poloni minute to E. Kane, 10 May 1982, ILOA WED 1-159-3-3.
- ²³ A. Salam Gaye, 'Assistance to Independent Black Trade Unions in South Africa', 28, November 1982, ILOA WED 1-159-3-3.
- 24 K. Nordahl, 'Mission Report: Gaborone, Botswana, 11-18 March 1983', 13 April 1983, ILOA WED 1-159-3-3.
- ²⁵ 'Summary of Discussion Between the ILO and OATUU Delegations Held on the 14th December 1983, at Jubba Hotel, Mogadiscio, Somalia', 7 March 1984, ILOA WED 1-159-3-3.
- ²⁶ 'Report on the ICFTU World Congress Held in Olso, Norway -- 23rd June to 30th June 1983', WHP AH 1999 C5.1. ÉGALITÉ's insistence on leaving out the NLMs in response to OATUU's request the previous year stemmed in part from the fact that negotiations with CIDA and the CLC over this meeting were already ongoing.
- ²⁷ 'Report on Overseas Trip by A. Erwin, from: Sunday 7th November to Wednesday 17th November 1982', p.4, WHP AH2373 12.25.1.
- ²⁸ T. Adler, 'Report on Meeting of ICFTU South Africa Coordinating Committee As Well as Other Meetings in Europe Between 12/12/81 -- 21/12/81', 24 December 1981, WHP AH1999 C5.2.
- ²⁹ Mike Murphy, 'Report to the Fosatu Central Committee on the Work of the Fosatu International Research Officer', 4 October 1983, WHP AH1999, C5.3.
- ³⁰ Assane Diop, 'Circulaire à Toutes les Organisations Affiliées a OUSA', 22 February 1985, ILOA WED 3-159-3-4.
 - ³¹ Cesare Poloni to Mr. Aguiriano and Mr. Bolin, 27 May 1985, ILOA WED 3-159-3-4.
 - ³² J.D. Akumu to Mr. Cesare Poloni, 13 March 1985, ILOA WED 3-159-3-4.
- ³³ 'Report on the Trip Undertaken by COSATU's Executive Committee Members Abroad Between A Period of 5th March to 12th March 1986, WHP AH2373 12.25.1. The ILO also provided COSATU with a document detailing the different kinds of support they could offer, see 'Annexure B, CTUC/ILO Workshop Maseru, April 14-22', same file.
 - ³⁴ See e.g. 'Report on the International Trade Union Situation', n.d., p. 7, WHP AH2373 12.25.1.