# Linear response for intermittent maps with summable and nonsummable decay of correlations

Alexey Korepanov \*

August 26, 2015 updated March 15, 2016

#### Abstract

We consider a family of Pomeau-Manneville type interval maps  $T_{\alpha}$ , parametrized by  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , with the unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measures  $\nu_{\alpha}$ , and rate of correlations decay  $n^{1-1/\alpha}$ . We show that despite the absence of a spectral gap for all  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  and despite nonsummable correlations for  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ , the map  $\alpha \mapsto \int \varphi \, d\nu_{\alpha}$  is continuously differentiable for  $\varphi \in L^q[0,1]$  for q sufficiently large.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $T_{\alpha} \colon X \to X$  be a family of transformations on a Riemannian manifold X parametrized by  $\alpha$  and admitting unique SRB measures  $\nu_{\alpha}$ . Having an observable  $\varphi \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ , it may be important to know how  $\int \varphi \, d\nu_{\alpha}$  changes with  $\alpha$ . If the map  $\alpha \mapsto \int \varphi \, d\nu_{\alpha}$  is differentiable, then *linear response* holds.

An interesting question is, which families of maps and observables have linear response. Ruelle proved linear response in the Axiom A case [R97, R98, R09, R09.1]. It was shown in [D04, B07, M07, BS08] that spectral gap and structural stability are not necessary or sufficient conditions.

We consider a family of Pomeau-Manneville type maps with slow (polynomial) decay of correlations:  $T_{\alpha}$ :  $[0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ , given by

$$T_{\alpha}(x) = \begin{cases} x(1+2^{\alpha}x^{\alpha}) & \text{if } x \in [0,1/2] \\ 2x-1 & \text{if } x \in (1/2,1] \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

parametrized by  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . By [LSV99], each  $T_{\alpha}$  admits a unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measure  $\nu_{\alpha}$ , and the sharp rate of decay of correlations for Hölder observables is  $n^{1-1/\alpha}$  [Y99, S02, G04, H04].

We prove linear response on the interval  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , including the case when  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ , and correlations are not summable. This is the first time that linear response has been proved in the case of nonsummable decay of correlations. We develop a machinery which, when applied to the family  $T_{\alpha}$ , yields:

<sup>\*</sup>Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

**Theorem 1.1.** For any  $\varphi \in C^1[0,1]$ , the map  $\alpha \mapsto \int \varphi \, d\nu_\alpha$  is continuously differentiable on (0,1).

Using additional structure of the family  $T_{\alpha}$ , we prove a stronger result:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\rho_{\alpha}$  be the density of  $\nu_{\alpha}$ . For every  $\alpha, x \in (0,1) \times (0,1]$  there exists a partial derivative  $\partial_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}(x)$ . Both  $\rho_{\alpha}(x)$  and  $\partial_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}(x)$  are jointly continuous in  $\alpha, x$  on  $(0,1) \times (0,1]$ . Moreover, for every interval  $[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}] \subset (0,1)$  there exists a constant K, such that for all  $x \in (0,1]$  and  $\alpha \in [\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}]$ 

$$\rho_{\alpha}(x) \le K x^{-\alpha}$$
 and  $|\partial_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}(x)| \le K x^{-\alpha}(1 - \log x)$ .

In particular, for any  $q > (1 - \alpha_+)^{-1}$  and observable  $\varphi \in L^q[0,1]$ , the map  $\alpha \mapsto \int \varphi \, d\nu_\alpha$  is continuously differentiable on  $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$ .

The same problem of linear response for the family  $T_{\alpha}$  has been solved independently by Baladi and Todd [BT15] using different methods. They prove that for  $\alpha_{+} \in (0, 1)$ ,  $q > (1-\alpha_{+})^{-1}$  and  $\varphi \in L^{q}[0, 1]$ , the map  $\alpha \mapsto \int \varphi \, d\nu_{\alpha}$  is differentiable on  $[0, \alpha_{+})$ , plus they give an explicit formula for the derivative in terms of the transfer operator corresponding to  $T_{\alpha}$ . We obtain more control of the invariant measure, as in Theorem 1.2, but do not give such a formula. Instead we provide explicit formulas for  $\rho_{\alpha}$  and  $\partial_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}$  in terms of the transfer operator for the induced map (see Subsections 4.1 and 5.3), but we do not state them here because they are too technical. Whereas [BT15] were the first to treat the case  $\alpha < 1/2$ , we were the first to treat the case  $\alpha \ge 1/2$ .

In a more recent paper [BS15], Bahsoun and Saussol consider a class of dynamical systems which includes (1.1). They prove in particular that for  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, \beta)$ ,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{x \in (0,1]} x^{\beta} \left| \frac{\rho_{\alpha+\varepsilon} - \rho_{\alpha}}{\varepsilon} - \partial_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} \right| = 0.$$

That is,  $\rho_{\alpha}$  is differentiable as an element of a Banach space of continuous functions on (0,1] with a norm  $\|\varphi\| = \sup_{x \in (0,1]} x^{\beta} |\varphi(x)|$ . We remark that for the map (1.1) this follows from Theorem 1.2.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce an abstract framework, and in Section 3 we apply it to the family  $T_{\alpha}$ , and prove Theorem 1.1.

Technical parts of proofs are presented separately: in Section 4 for the abstract framework, and in Section 5 for the properties of the family  $T_{\alpha}$ .

Theorem 1.2 is proven in Subsection 5.3. We do not give the proof earlier in the paper, because it uses rather special technical properties of  $T_{\alpha}$ .

### 2 Setup and Notations

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a closed bounded interval, and  $F_{\alpha} : I \to I$  be a family of maps, parametrized by  $\alpha \in [\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}]$ . Assume that each  $F_{\alpha}$  has finitely or countably many full branches, indexed by  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ , the same set  $\mathcal{R}$  for all  $\alpha$ .

Technically we assume that  $I = \bigcup_r [a_r, b_r]$  modulo a zero measure set (branch boundaries  $a_r$  and  $b_r$  may depend on  $\alpha$ ), and that for each r the map  $F_{\alpha,r} : [a_r, b_r] \to I$  is a diffeomorphism; here  $F_{\alpha,r}$  equals to  $F_{\alpha}$  on  $(a_r, b_r)$ , and is extended countinuously to  $[a_r, b_r]$ .

- We use the letter  $\xi$  for spatial variable, and notation  $(\cdot)'$  for differentiation with respect to  $\xi$ , and  $\partial_{\alpha}$  for differentiation with respect to  $\alpha$ .
- Denote  $G_{\alpha,r} = |(F_{\alpha,r}^{-1})'|$ , defined on I. Note that  $G_{\alpha,r} = \pm (F_{\alpha,r}^{-1})'$ , the sign depends only on r.
- For each i let  $||h||_{C^i} = \max(||h||_{\infty}, ||h'||_{\infty}, \dots, ||h^{(i)}||_{\infty})$  denote the  $C^i$  norm of h.
- Let m be the normalized Lebesgue measure on I, and  $P_{\alpha}$  be the transfer operator for  $F_{\alpha}$  with respect to m. By definition,  $\int (P_{\alpha}u)v\,dm = \int u(v\circ F_{\alpha})\,dm$  for  $u\in L^{1}(I)$  and  $v\in L^{\infty}(I)$ . There is an explicit formula for  $P_{\alpha}$ :

$$(P_{\alpha}h)(\xi) = \sum_{r} G_{\alpha,r}(\xi)h(F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}(\xi)).$$

We assume that  $F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}$  and  $G_{\alpha,r}$ , as functions of  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$ , have continuous second order partial derivatives for each  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ , and there are constants

$$0 < \sigma < 1$$
,  $K_0 > 0$  and  $\gamma_r > 1$ ,  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ 

such that uniformly in  $\alpha \in [\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ :

A1. 
$$\|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \leq \sigma$$
,  
A2.  $\|G'_{\alpha,r}/G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \leq K_0$ ,  
A3.  $\|G''_{\alpha,r}/G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \leq K_0$ ,  
A4.  $\|\partial_{\alpha}F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma_r$ ,  
A5.  $\|(\partial_{\alpha}G_{\alpha,r})/G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma_r$ ,  
A6.  $\|(\partial_{\alpha}G'_{\alpha,r})/G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma_r$ ,  
A7.  $\sum_{r} \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \gamma_r \leq K_0$ .

It is well known that under conditions A1, A2, the map  $F_{\alpha}$  admits a unique absolutely continuous invariant measure (see for example [P80, Z04]), which we denote by  $\mu_{\alpha}$ , and its density by  $h_{\alpha} = d\mu_{\alpha}/dm$ .

**Theorem 2.1.**  $h_{\alpha} \in C^2(I)$  and  $\partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha} \in C^1(I)$  for each  $\alpha \in [\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$ . The maps

$$[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}] \longrightarrow C^{2}(I)$$
 and  $[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}] \longrightarrow C^{1}(I)$   $\alpha \longmapsto h_{\alpha}$   $\alpha \longmapsto \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}$ 

are continuous.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is postponed until Section 4.

Remark 2.2. Later in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we explicitly compute constants  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , such that  $||h_{\alpha}||_{C^2} \leq K_1$  and  $||\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}||_{C^1} \leq K_2$ . These constants depend only on  $K_0$  and  $\sigma$ . Below we use  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  as reference bounds on  $||h_{\alpha}||_{C^2}$  and  $||\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}||_{C^1}$ .

Remark 2.3. Both  $h_{\alpha}(\xi)$  and  $(\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha})(\xi)$  are continuous in  $\alpha$ , and continuous in  $\xi$  uniformly in  $\alpha$ , because  $||h_{\alpha}||_{C^2} \leq K_1$ . Therefore both are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$ .

Corollary 2.4. Assume that  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  is a family of observables, such that  $\Phi_{\alpha}(F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}(\xi))$  and  $\partial_{\alpha}[\Phi_{\alpha}(F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}(\xi))]$  are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$  for each r, and

$$\|\Phi_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}\|_{\infty} \le \delta_r, \qquad \|\partial_{\alpha}[\Phi_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}]\|_{\infty} \le \delta_r$$

for some constants  $\delta_r \geq 1$ ,  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ . Assume also that  $\sum_r \gamma_r \delta_r \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \leq K_3$ . Then the map  $\alpha \mapsto \int \Phi_{\alpha} d\mu_{\alpha}$  is continuously differentiable on  $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$ .

Proof. First,

$$\int \Phi_{\alpha} d\mu_{\alpha} = \int h_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha} dm = \int P_{\alpha}(h_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}) dm$$
$$= \int \left( \sum_{r} (h_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) (\Phi_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r} \right) dm.$$

By Theorem 2.1 and our assumptions,  $h_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}$ ,  $\Phi_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}$  and  $G_{\alpha,r}$  are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$ , and have jointly continuous partial derivatives by  $\alpha$ . Since  $||h_{\alpha}||_{C^{2}} \leq K_{1}$ ,  $||\Phi_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \delta_{r}$  and  $\sum_{r} \delta_{r} \gamma_{r} ||G_{\alpha,r}||_{\infty} \leq K_{3}$ , the series inside the integral converges uniformly to a function which is jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$ . Therefore,  $\int \Phi_{\alpha} d\mu_{\alpha}$  depends continuously on  $\alpha$ .

Moreover, since  $\|\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}\|_{C^{1}} \leq K_{2}$ ,  $\|\partial_{\alpha}F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma_{r}$ ,  $|(\Phi_{\alpha}\circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1})| \leq \delta_{r}$ ,  $|\partial_{\alpha}(\Phi_{\alpha}\circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1})| \leq \delta_{r}$  and  $|\partial_{\alpha}[G_{\alpha,r}]| \leq \gamma_{r} G_{\alpha,r}$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_{\alpha} (h_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) \right| &= \left| \left[ \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha} \right] \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1} + (h_{\alpha}' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) \, \partial_{\alpha} F_{\alpha,r}^{-1} \right| \\ &\leq K_2 + K_1 \gamma_r \quad \text{and} \\ \left| \partial_{\alpha} \left[ (h_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) (\Phi_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r} \right] \right| &\leq \left[ (K_2 + K_1 \gamma_r) + K_1 + K_1 \gamma_r \right] \delta_r \, G_{\alpha,r} \\ &\leq (3K_1 + K_2) \, \delta_r \, \gamma_r \, G_{\alpha,r}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{r} \delta_r \gamma_r \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \leq K_3$ , we can write

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \int \Phi_{\alpha} d\mu_{\alpha} = \frac{d}{d\alpha} \int \left( \sum_{r} (h_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) (\Phi_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r} \right) dm$$
$$= \sum_{r} \int \partial_{\alpha} \left[ (h_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) (\Phi_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r} \right] dm.$$

The series converges uniformly, and is bounded by  $K_3(3K_1 + K_2)$ . The terms are continuous in  $\alpha$ , thus so is the sum.

## 3 Application to Pomeau-Manneville type maps

In this section we work with the family of maps  $T_{\alpha}$ , defined by equation (1.1). Assume that  $\alpha \in [\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}] \subset (0, 1)$ . Let  $\tau_{\alpha}(x) = \min\{k \geq 1 : T_{\alpha}^{k}x \in [1/2, 1]\}$  be the return time to the interval [1/2, 1]. Let

$$F_{\alpha} \colon [1/2, 1] \to [1/2, 1], \qquad x \mapsto T_{\alpha}^{\tau_{\alpha}(x)}(x)$$

be the induced map.

**Branches.** Let  $x_0 = 1$ ,  $x_1 = 1/2$ , and define  $x_k \in (0, 1/2]$  for  $k \ge 1$  by setting  $x_k = T_{\alpha}x_{k+1}$ . Note that  $T_{\alpha}^k$ :  $(x_{k+1}, x_k) \to (1/2, 1)$  is a diffeomorphism. Let  $y_k = (1 + x_k)/2$ . Then  $T_{\alpha}^{k+1}$ :  $(y_{k+1}, y_k) \to (1/2, 1)$  is a diffeomorphism. It is clear that  $\tau_{\alpha} = k + 1$  on  $(y_{k+1}, y_k)$ , so the map  $F_{\alpha}$  has full branches on the intervals  $(y_{k+1}, y_k)$  for  $k \ge 0$ .

We index branches by  $r \in \mathcal{R} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , the r-th branch being the one on  $(y_{r+1}, y_r)$ . Let  $F_{\alpha,r}: [y_{r+1}, y_r] \to [0, 1]$  be the continuous extension of  $F_{\alpha}: (y_{r+1}, y_r) \to (0, 1)$ .

For notational convenience we introduce a function

$$\log(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & r \le e \\ \log(r) & r > e \end{cases}.$$

Let  $\varphi \in C^1[0,1]$  be an observable; let

$$\Phi_{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\tau_{\alpha} - 1} \varphi \circ T_{\alpha}^{k} \tag{3.1}$$

be the corresponding observable for the induced system.

**Theorem 3.1.** The family of maps  $F_{\alpha} = T_{\alpha}^{\tau_{\alpha}} : [1/2, 1] \to [1/2, 1]$  with observables  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  fits into the setup of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.4 with branches indexed as above,  $\delta_r = K(r+1) \|\varphi\|_{C^1}$ ,  $\sigma = 1/2$ , and  $\gamma_r = K(\log r)^3$ , where K is a constant, depending only on  $\alpha_-$  and  $\alpha_+$ .

The proof consists of verification of the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.4, and is carried out in Subsection 5.2. Here we use Theorem 3.1 to prove our main result — Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The invariant measure  $\nu_{\alpha}$  for  $T_{\alpha}$  is related to the invariant measure  $\mu_{\alpha}$  for  $F_{\alpha}$  by Kac's formula:

$$\int \varphi \, d\nu_{\alpha} = \int \Phi_{\alpha} \, d\mu_{\alpha} \, \Big/ \int \tau_{\alpha} d\mu_{\alpha},$$

where  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  is given by (3.1). Note that if  $\varphi \equiv 1$ , then  $\Phi_{\alpha} = \tau_{\alpha}$ . By Theorem 3.1, both integrals are continuously differentiable in  $\alpha$ . Also,  $\tau_{\alpha} \geq 1$ , so  $\int \tau_{\alpha} d\mu_{\alpha} \geq 1$ . Hence  $\int \varphi d\nu_{\alpha}$  is continuously differentiable in  $\alpha$ .

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.1

For  $h \in C^1(I)$  and  $\alpha \in [\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$  define  $Q_{\alpha}h = \partial_{\alpha}(P_{\alpha}h)$ , if the derivative exists. Denote

$$Q_h(\alpha) = Q_{\alpha}h,$$
  $P_h(\alpha) = P_{\alpha}h.$ 

#### 4.1 Outline of the proof

The proof consists of three steps:

(a) Continuity (Subsection 4.2). We show that for i = 1, 2 the linear operators

$$P_{\alpha} \colon C^{i}(I) \to C^{i}(I)$$
 and  $Q_{\alpha} \colon C^{i}(I) \to C^{i-1}(I)$ 

are well defined, and their norms are bounded uniformly in  $\alpha$ . Plus, they continuously depend on  $\alpha$  in the following sense: for each  $h \in C^i(I)$  the maps  $\mathcal{P}_h \colon [\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \to C^i(I)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_h \colon [\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \to C^{i-1}(I)$  are continuous. Moreover, the map  $\mathcal{P}_h \colon [\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \to C^{i-1}(I)$  is continuously differentiable, and its derivative is  $\mathcal{Q}_h \colon [\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \to C^{i-1}(I)$ .

In addition,  $\int Q_{\alpha}h \, dm = 0$  for every  $h \in C^1(I)$ .

- (b) Distortion bounds and coupling (Subsection 4.3).
  - If h is in  $C^i(I)$  for i = 1 or 2, and  $\int h \, dm = 0$ , then  $||P_{\alpha}^k h||_{C^i} \to 0$  exponentially fast, uniformly in  $\alpha$ .
  - If  $h \in C^2(I)$  and  $\int h \, dm = 1$ , then  $h_\alpha = \lim_{k \to \infty} P_\alpha^k h = h + \sum_{k=0}^\infty P_\alpha^k (P_\alpha h h)$
  - The series above converges exponentially fast in  $C^2$ , and  $||h_{\alpha}||_{C^2}$  is bounded on  $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$ .
  - The map  $\alpha \mapsto h_{\alpha}$  from  $[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}]$  to  $C^{2}(I)$  is continuous.
- (c) Computation of  $\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}$ . Fix  $\alpha \in [\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}]$ . Start with a formula, which holds for every  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and n:

$$P_{\beta}^{n}h_{\alpha} - P_{\alpha}^{n}h_{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{\beta}^{k}(P_{\beta} - P_{\alpha})h_{\alpha}.$$

Since the terms in the above sum converge exponentially fast in  $C^2$ , we can take the limit  $n \to \infty$ . Note that  $P_{\alpha}^n h_{\alpha} = h_{\alpha}$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{\beta}^n h_{\alpha} = h_{\beta}$ . Hence

$$h_{\beta} - h_{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{\beta}^{k} (P_{\beta} - P_{\alpha}) h_{\alpha}.$$

For fixed  $\alpha$ , recall that the map  $\beta \mapsto P_{\beta}h_{\alpha}$  from  $[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}]$  to  $C^{1}(I)$  is continuously differentiable, and its derivative is the map  $\beta \mapsto Q_{\beta}h_{\alpha}$ , hence

$$(P_{\beta} - P_{\alpha})h_{\alpha} = (\beta - \alpha) Q_{\alpha}h_{\alpha} + R_{\beta},$$

with  $||R_{\beta}||_{C^1} = o(\beta - \alpha)$ . Note that both  $Q_{\alpha}h$  and  $R_{\beta}$  have zero mean. Next,

$$h_{\beta} - h_{\alpha} = (\beta - \alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{\beta}^{k} Q_{\alpha} h_{\alpha} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{\beta}^{k} R_{\beta}.$$

Both series converge exponentially fast in  $C^1(I)$ , uniformly in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and the  $C^1$  norm of the second one is  $o(\alpha - \beta)$ .

Observe that the maps

$$[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}] \times C^{1}(I) \longrightarrow C^{1}(I)$$
 and  $[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}] \times C^{2}(I) \longrightarrow C^{1}(I)$   
 $\alpha, h \longmapsto P_{\alpha}h$   $\alpha, h \longmapsto Q_{\alpha}h$ 

are continuous in  $\alpha$ , and continuous in h uniformly in  $\alpha$ , because  $P_{\alpha}$  and  $Q_{\alpha}$  are linear operators, bounded uniformly in  $\alpha$ . Thus both maps are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and h. Recall that the map  $\alpha \to h_{\alpha}$  from  $[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}]$  to  $C^{2}(I)$  is continuous. Thus the map  $\alpha, \beta \mapsto P_{\beta}^{k}Q_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}$  from  $[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}]^{2}$  to  $C^{1}(I)$  is continuous.

Therefore, in  $C^1(I)$  topology,

$$\lim_{\beta \to \alpha} \frac{h_{\beta} - h_{\alpha}}{\beta - \alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{\alpha}^{k} Q_{\alpha} h_{\alpha},$$

and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{\alpha}^{k} Q_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}$  continuously depends on  $\alpha$ . Note that the above also implies that  $\partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{\alpha}^{k} Q_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}$  (if understood pointwise).

Therefore the map  $\alpha \mapsto h_{\alpha}$  from  $[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}]$  to  $C^{1}(I)$  is continuously differentiable, and its derivative is  $\alpha \mapsto \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{\alpha}^{k} Q_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}$ .

In the remainder of this section we make the above precise.

#### 4.2 Continuity

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $K_6 = 4K_0(1 + K_0)$ . For each i = 1, 2 and  $h \in C^i(I)$ :

- a) The map  $\mathcal{P}_h: [\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \to C^i(I)$  is continuous. Also,  $\|P_\alpha h\|_{C^i} \leq K_6 \|h\|_{C^i}$ .
- b) The map  $\mathcal{Q}_h \colon [\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \to C^{i-1}(I)$  is continuous. Also,  $\|Q_\alpha h\|_{C^{i-1}} \le K_6 \|h\|_{C^i}$ .
- c) The map  $\mathcal{Q}_h: [\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \to C^{i-1}(I)$  is the derivative of the map  $\mathcal{P}_h: [\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \to C^{i-1}(I)$ .
- $d) \int Q_{\alpha} h \, dm = 0.$

*Proof.* We do the case i = 2; the case i = 1 is similar and simpler.

a) Let  $p_{\alpha,r} = (h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1})G_{\alpha,r}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} p'_{\alpha,r} &= \pm (h' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}^2 + (h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) \, G'_{\alpha,r}, \quad \text{and} \\ p''_{\alpha,r} &= (h'' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}^3 \pm 3 (h' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r} G'_{\alpha,r} + (h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G''_{\alpha,r}, \end{split}$$

where the sign of  $\pm$  depends only on r. By assumptions A1, A2 and A3,  $||p_{\alpha,r}||_{C^2} \le (4K_0+1)||h||_{C^2}||G_{\alpha,r}||_{\infty}$ .

Since  $p_{\alpha,r}$ ,  $p'_{\alpha,r}$  and  $p''_{\alpha,r}$  are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$ , we obtain that the map  $\alpha \mapsto p_{\alpha,r}$  from  $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$  to  $C^2(I)$  is continuous.

By assumption A7,  $\sum_r \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \leq K_0$ , so the map  $\alpha \mapsto P_{\alpha}h = \sum_r p_{\alpha,r}$  is continuous from  $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$  to  $C^2$ , and  $\|P_{\alpha}h\|_{C^2} \leq K_0(4K_0 + 1)\|h\|_{C^2}$ .

b) Let  $q_{\alpha,r} = \partial_{\alpha}[(h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1})G_{\alpha,r}]$ . We use the fact that  $F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}$ , as a function of  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$ , has continuous partial derivatives up to second order, to compute

$$q'_{\alpha,r} = \partial_{\alpha} \left[ ((h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r})' \right] = \partial_{\alpha} \left[ \pm (h' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}^2 + (h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}' \right].$$

We use assumptions A1, A2, A4, A5 and A6 to estimate

$$||q_{\alpha,r}||_{C^1} \le ||G_{\alpha,r}||_{\infty} (4 + K_0) \gamma_r ||h||_{C^2}.$$

Since  $q_{\alpha,r}$  and  $q'_{\alpha,r}$  are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$ , we obtain that the map  $\alpha \mapsto q_{\alpha,r}$  from  $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$  to  $C^1(I)$  is continuous.

By assumption A7,  $\sum_r \gamma_r \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \leq K_0$ , so the map  $\alpha \mapsto Q_{\alpha}h = \sum_r q_{\alpha,r}$  is continuous from  $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$  to  $C^1$ , and  $\|Q_{\alpha}h\|_{C^1} \leq K_0(4 + K_0)\|h\|_{C^2}$ .

c) Note that  $(Q_{\alpha}h)(\xi)$  and  $(Q_{\alpha}h)'(\xi)$  are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$ . By definition of  $Q_{\alpha}$ , for every  $\xi$  and j = 0, 1 we can write

$$(P_{\beta}h)^{(j)}(\xi) - (P_{\alpha}h)^{(j)}(\xi) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (Q_{t}h)^{(j)}(\xi) dt$$
$$= (\beta - \alpha)(Q_{\alpha}h)^{(j)}(\xi) + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[ (Q_{t}h)^{(j)}(\xi) - (Q_{\alpha}h)^{(j)}(\xi) \right] dt.$$

Fix  $\alpha$ . Since  $\lim_{t\to\alpha} \|Q_t h - Q_\alpha h\|_{C^1} = 0$ , the integral on the right is  $o(\beta - \alpha)$  uniformly in  $\xi$ . Therefore,

$$||P_{\beta}h - P_{\alpha}h - (\beta - \alpha)Q_{\alpha}h||_{C^1} = o(\beta - \alpha),$$

thus  $\mathcal{Q}_h : [\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \to C^1(I)$  is the derivative of  $\mathcal{P}_h[\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \to C^1(I)$ .

d) To prove that  $\int Q_{\alpha}h \, dm = 0$ , we differentiate the identity  $\int P_{\alpha}h \, dm = \int h \, dm$  by  $\alpha$ :

$$\int Q_{\alpha}h \, dm = \frac{d}{d\alpha} \int P_{\alpha}h \, dm = 0,$$

the order of differentiation and integration can be changed because both  $P_{\alpha}h$  and  $\partial_{\alpha}(P_{\alpha}h) = Q_{\alpha}h$  are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$ .

### 4.3 Distortion bounds and coupling

If  $h \in C^1$  and h is positive, denote  $||h||_L = ||h'/h||_{\infty}$ . If also  $h \in C^2$ , denote  $||h||_P = ||h''/h||_{\infty}$ .

**Lemma 4.2** (Distortion bounds). If  $h \in C^1$  and h > 0, then

$$||P_{\alpha}h||_{L} \le \sigma ||h||_{L} + K_{0}. \tag{4.1}$$

If also  $h \in C^2$ , then

$$||P_{\alpha}h||_{P} \le \sigma^{2}||h||_{P} + 3\sigma K_{0}||h||_{L} + K_{0}.$$
(4.2)

*Proof.* Recall that  $P_{\alpha}h = \sum_{r} (h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1})G_{\alpha,r}$  and  $(F_{\alpha,r}^{-1})' = \pm G_{\alpha,r}$ , where the sign depends only on r. Inequality (4.1) follows from the following computation:

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{(P_{\alpha}h)'}{P_{\alpha}h} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{r} \pm (h' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}^{2} + (h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}'}{\sum_{r} (h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}} \right| \\ &\leq \max_{r} \left| \frac{\pm (h' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}^{2} + (h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}'}{(h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}} \right| \leq \max_{r} \left( \frac{|h' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}|}{h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}} G_{\alpha,r} + \frac{|G'_{\alpha,r}|}{G_{\alpha,r}} \right) \\ &\leq \max_{r} (\|h\|_{L} \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} + \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{L}) \end{split}$$

and assumptions A1 and A2.

Next,

$$(P_{\alpha}h)'' = \sum_{r} \left[ (h'' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}^3 \pm 3(h' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r} G_{\alpha,r}' + (h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}'' \right].$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{(P_{\alpha}h)''}{P_{\alpha}h} \right| &\leq \max_{r} \frac{\left| (h'' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}^{3} \pm 3(h' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r} G_{\alpha,r}' + (h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}'' \right|}{(h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}) G_{\alpha,r}} \\ &\leq \max_{r} \left( \frac{|h'' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}|}{h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}} G_{\alpha,r}^{2} + 3 \frac{|h' \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}|}{h \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}} \frac{|G_{\alpha,r}'|}{G_{\alpha,r}} G_{\alpha,r} + \frac{|G_{\alpha,r}''|}{G_{\alpha,r}} \right) \\ &\leq \max_{r} \left( \|h\|_{P} \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty}^{2} + 3 \|h\|_{L} \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{L} \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} + \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{P} \right). \end{split}$$

The inequality (4.2) follows from the above and assumptions A1, A2 and A3.

Let  $K_L > 0$ ,  $K_P > 0$  and  $\theta \in (0,1)$  be constants satisfying

$$K_L(1 - \theta e^{|I|K_L}) > \sigma K_L + K_0$$
, and  
 $K_P(1 - \theta e^{|I|K_L}) > \sigma^2 K_P + 3\sigma K_0 K_L + K_0$ , (4.3)

where |I| means the length of the interval I. It is clear that such constants can be chosen, because  $\sigma < 1$ .

**Definition 4.3.** We say that a function h is regular, if it is positive, belongs in  $C^1(I)$ , and  $||h||_L \leq K_L$ . If in addition  $h \in C^2(I)$  and  $||h||_P \leq K_P$ , we say that h is superregular.

Remark 4.4. It readily follows from Lemma 4.2 that if h is a regular function, then so is  $P_{\alpha}h$ . If h is superregular, then so is  $P_{\alpha}h$ .

Remark 4.5. We observe that regular functions are explicitly bounded from above and from below. If h is regular, so  $||h'/h||_{\infty} < K_L$ , then  $h(x_1)/h(x_2) \le e^{|I|K_L}$  for all  $x_1, x_2$ . Also, there is  $\hat{x} \in I$  such that  $h(\hat{x}) = \int h \, dm$ , hence

$$e^{-|I|K_L} \int h \, dm \le h(x) \le e^{|I|K_L} \int h \, dm$$
 for all  $x \in I$ . (4.4)

**Lemma 4.6.** Assume that h is regular. Let  $g = P_{\alpha}h - \theta \int h \, dm$ . Then g is regular. If h is superregular, then so is g.

*Proof.* Since  $P_{\alpha}h \geq e^{-|I|K_L} \int P_{\alpha}h \, dm = e^{-|I|K_L} \int h \, dm$  by equation (4.4),

$$g = P_{\alpha} h \left( 1 - \frac{\theta \int h \, dm}{P_{\alpha} h} \right) \ge P_{\alpha} h \left( 1 - \theta e^{|I|K_L} \right).$$

Thus g > 0. By Lemma 4.2 and equation (4.3)

$$||g||_{L} = \left|\left|\frac{g'}{g}\right|\right|_{\infty} = \left|\left|\frac{(P_{\alpha}h)'}{g}\right|\right|_{\infty} \le \left|\left|\frac{(P_{\alpha}h)'}{P_{\alpha}h}\right|\right|_{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \theta e^{|I|K_{L}}} = ||P_{\alpha}h||_{L} \frac{1}{1 - \theta e^{|I|K_{L}}} \le K_{L}.$$

Hence g is regular. An analogous proof works for  $||g||_P$ .

**Lemma 4.7** (Coupling Lemma). Let f and g be two regular functions with  $\int f dm = \int g dm = M$ . Let  $f_0 = f$  and  $g_0 = g$ , and define

$$f_{n+1} = P_{\alpha} f_n - \theta \int f_n dm, \qquad g_{n+1} = P_{\alpha} g_n - \theta \int g_n dm.$$

Then for all n

$$P_{\alpha}^{n}(f-g) = f_{n} - g_{n},$$

where  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  are regular, and  $\int f_n dm = \int g_n dm = (1 - \theta)^n M$ . In particular,  $||f_n||_{\infty}$ ,  $||g_n||_{\infty} \leq (1 - \theta)^n e^{|I|K_L} M$ , and

$$||f'_n||_{\infty}, ||g'_n||_{\infty} \le K_L (1-\theta)^n e^{|I|K_L} M.$$

If in addition f and g are superregular, then

$$||f_n''||_{\infty}, ||g_n''||_{\infty} \le K_P (1-\theta)^n e^{|I|K_L} M.$$

*Proof.* The proof of  $\int f_n dm = \int g_n dm = (1-\theta)^n M$  is by induction.

By equation (4.4),  $||f||_{\infty}$  and  $||g||_{\infty}$  are bounded by  $(1-\theta)^n e^{|I|K_L}M$ . Note that if h is a regular function, then  $||h'||_{\infty} \leq K_L ||h||_{\infty}$ , and if it is superregular, then also  $||h''||_{\infty} \leq K_P ||h||_{\infty}$ . The bounds on  $||f'||_{\infty}$ ,  $||g'||_{\infty}$ ,  $||f''||_{\infty}$ ,  $||g''||_{\infty}$  follow.

Corollary 4.8. There is a constant  $K_5$  such that if  $h \in C^i(I)$  for i = 1 or 2, and h has mean zero, then

$$||P_{\alpha}^{n}h||_{C^{i}} \leq K_{5}(1-\theta)^{n} ||h||_{C^{i}}.$$

*Proof.* We can represent h = (h+c) - c, where  $c = ||h||_{C^i} (1 + \max(K_L^{-1}, K_P^{-1}))$ . Then

$$\left\| \frac{h'}{h+c} \right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{\|h\|_{C^i}}{-\|h\|_{C^i} + c} = \frac{1}{\max(K_L^{-1}, K_P^{-1})} = \min(K_L, K_P),$$

and so h + c is regular. If i = 2, then the same identity with h'' in place of h' also holds true, so also h + c is superregular.

By Lemma 4.7 applied to f = h + c and g = c,

$$P_{\alpha}^{n}h = f_{n} - g_{n},$$

where

$$||f_n||_{C^i}, ||g_n||_{C^i} \le \max(1, K_L, K_P)(1-\theta)^n e^{|I|K_L} c$$

$$= (1-\theta)^n \left[ \max(1, K_L, K_P) e^{|I|K_L} (1 + \max(K_L^{-1}, K_P^{-1})) \right] ||h||_{C^i}$$

$$= (1-\theta)^n \frac{K_5}{2} ||h||_{C^i}.$$

Thus  $||P_{\alpha}^{n}h||_{C^{i}} \leq (1-\theta)^{n}K_{5}||h||_{C^{i}}$ , where

$$K_5 = 2 \max(1, K_L, K_P)(1 + \max(K_L^{-1}, K_P^{-1})) e^{|I|K_L}.$$

Corollary 4.9. For any  $h \in C^2(I)$  with  $\int h \, dm = 1$ 

$$h_{\alpha} = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{\alpha}^{n} h = h + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{\alpha}^{n} (P_{\alpha} h - h).$$

The series converges exponentially fast in  $C^2$ . The  $C^2$  norm of  $h_{\alpha}$  is bounded by  $K_1 =$  $1 + 2\theta^{-1}e^{|I|K_L} \max(1, K_L, K_P).$ 

Proof. Let

$$f = 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{\alpha}^{n} (P_{\alpha} 1 - 1).$$

Since 1 is a superregular function, so is  $P_{\alpha}1$ , and by Lemma 4.7 applied to  $f = P_{\alpha}1$  and g = 1, we have that  $||P_{\alpha}^{n}(P_{\alpha}1 - 1)||_{C^{2}} \leq 2(1 - \theta)^{n} e^{|I|K_{L}} \max(1, K_{L}, K_{P})$ . Thus the series

above converges exponentially fast in  $C^2(I)$  and  $||h||_{C^2} \leq K_1$ . Since  $1 + \sum_{n=0}^{N} P_{\alpha}^n(P_{\alpha}1 - 1) = P_{\alpha}^{N+1}1$ , we have  $f = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{\alpha}^n1$ . Thus f is invariant under  $P_{\alpha}$ . It is clear that  $\int f dm = 1$ . Thus  $h_{\alpha} = f$ . By Corollary 4.8, the  $C^2$  norm of  $P_{\alpha}^n(h - h_{\alpha}) = (P_{\alpha}^n h) - h_{\alpha}$  decreases exponentially with n, thus  $h_{\alpha} = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{\alpha}^n h = h + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{\alpha}^n(P_{\alpha}h - h)$ .

Corollary 4.10. The map  $\alpha \mapsto h_{\alpha}$  from  $[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}]$  to  $C^{2}(I)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Using Corollary 4.9, write for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$h_{\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_{\alpha}^{n}(P_{\alpha}1 - 1) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} P_{\alpha}^{n}(P_{\alpha}1 - 1).$$

The  $C^2$  norm of the second sum is exponentially small in N, uniformly in  $\alpha$ . By Lemma 4.1, a map  $\alpha \mapsto P_{\alpha}^{n}(P_{\alpha}h - h)$  from  $[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}]$  to  $C^{2}(I)$  is continuous for every n. Thus the first sum depends on  $\alpha$  continuously. Since the choice of N is arbitrary, the result follows.

#### Proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 1.2 5

In this section we prove technical statements about the family of maps  $T_{\alpha}$ , defined by equation (1.1). We use notations introduced in Section 3.

In Subsection 5.1 we introduce necessary notations and prove a number of technical lemmas, in Subsections 5.2 and 5.3 we use the accumulated knowledge to prove Theorems 3.1 and 1.2.

#### 5.1 Technical Lemmas

We use notation C for various nonnegative constants, which only depend on  $\alpha_{-}$  and  $\alpha_{+}$ , and may change from line to line, and within one expression if used twice. Recall the definition of  $y_r$  from the beginning of Section 3.

It is clear that  $T_{\alpha}(x)$ , as a function of  $\alpha$  and x, has continuous partial derivatives of all orders in  $\alpha, x \in [\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \times (0, 1/2]$ , and so do  $F_{\alpha,r}(x)$  and  $F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}(x)$  on  $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \times [y_{r+1}, y_r]$ and  $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+] \times [1/2, 1]$  respectively.

Let  $E_{\alpha} \colon [0,1/2] \to [0,1]$ ,  $E_{\alpha}x = T_{\alpha}x$  be the left branch of the map  $T_{\alpha}$ . Note that  $E_{\alpha}$  is invertible. Let  $z \in [0,1]$  and write, for notational convenience,  $z_r = E_{\alpha}^{-r}(z)$ . Then  $F_{\alpha,r}(z) = E_{\alpha}^r(T_{\alpha}(z)) = E_{\alpha}^r(2z-1)$  for  $z \in [y_{r+1}, y_r]$ , and for  $z \in [1/2, 1]$ 

$$T_{\alpha}(F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}(z)) = 2F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}(z) - 1 = z_r. \tag{5.1}$$

By  $(\cdot)'$  we denote the derivative with respect to z. Let  $G_{\alpha,r}$  be defined as in Theorem 2.1. Then for  $z \in [1/2, 1]$ 

$$G_{\alpha,r}(z) = (F_{\alpha,r}^{-1})'(z) = z_r'/2.$$
 (5.2)

We do all the analysis in terms of  $z_r$ , and the relation to  $G_{\alpha,r}$  and  $F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}$  is given by equations (5.1) and (5.2).

Remark 5.1. By construction,  $z_0 = z$ ,  $z_0' = 1$  and  $z_0'' = 0$ . Note that  $z_r \le 1/2$  for  $r \ge 1$ . Also

$$z_r = z_{r+1}(1 + 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha}), \tag{5.3}$$

$$z'_{r} = [1 + (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha}] z'_{r+1}, \tag{5.4}$$

$$z_r' = \prod_{j=1}^r \left[ 1 + (\alpha + 1) 2^{\alpha} z_j^{\alpha} \right]^{-1}.$$
 (5.5)

Our analysis is built around the following estimate:

#### **Lemma 5.2.** For $r \ge 1$

$$\frac{1}{z_0^{-\alpha} + r\,\alpha 2^\alpha} \le z_r^\alpha \le \frac{1}{z_0^{-\alpha} + r\,\alpha (1-\alpha) 2^{\alpha-1}}.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{\mathbf{C} z_0^{\alpha}}{r} \le z_r^{\alpha} \le \frac{\mathbf{C}}{r} \qquad and \qquad -\log z_r \le \mathbf{C} \left[\log r - \log z_0\right].$$

*Proof.* Transform equation (5.3) into

$$z_{r+1}^{-\alpha} = z_r^{-\alpha} + \frac{1 - (1 + 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha})^{-\alpha}}{z_{r+1}^{\alpha}}.$$

Then

$$z_r^{-\alpha} = z_0^{-\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1 - (1 + 2^\alpha z_j^\alpha)^{-\alpha}}{z_j^\alpha}.$$
 (5.6)

For all  $t \in (0,1)$  and all  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ 

$$1 - \alpha t \le (1+t)^{-\alpha} \le 1 - \alpha t + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2}t^2.$$

Since  $z_j \in (0, 1/2]$  for  $j \geq 1$ , using the above inequality with  $t = 2^{\alpha} z_j^{\alpha}$ , we obtain

$$\alpha(1-\alpha)2^{\alpha-1} \le \frac{1-(1+2^{\alpha}z_j^{\alpha})^{-\alpha}}{z_j^{\alpha}} \le \alpha 2^{\alpha}.$$

By equation (5.6),

$$r \alpha (1-\alpha)2^{\alpha-1} \le z_r^{-\alpha} - z_0^{-\alpha} \le r \alpha 2^{\alpha}$$
.

for  $r \geq 1$ . Write

$$\frac{z_0^{\alpha}}{r} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha 2^{\alpha}} \le \frac{z_0^{\alpha}}{r} \frac{1}{r^{-1} + z_0^{\alpha} \alpha 2^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{z_0^{-\alpha} + r \alpha 2^{\alpha}} \le z_r^{\alpha} \le \frac{1}{z_0^{-\alpha} + r \alpha (1 - \alpha) 2^{\alpha - 1}}.$$

The result follows.

**Lemma 5.3.**  $z'_0 = 1$  and

$$0 \le z_r' \le \mathbf{C} \left( 1 + r z_0^{\alpha} \alpha 2^{\alpha} \right)^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \le \mathbf{C} \, r^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \, z_0^{-(\alpha+1)}$$

for  $r \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* By Remark 5.1,  $z_0' = 1$ . Let  $r \ge 1$ . Using the inequality

$$\frac{1}{1+t} \le \exp(-t+t^2) \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge 0$$

on equation (5.5) we obtain

$$0 \le z_r' = \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{1 + (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha} z_j^{\alpha}} \le \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^r (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha} z_j^{\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^r \left((\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha} z_j^{\alpha}\right)^2\right). \quad (5.7)$$

By Lemma 5.2,  $(z_j^{\alpha})^2 \leq \mathbf{C}/j^2$ , thus the second sum under the exponent is bounded by  $\mathbf{C}$ . Also by Lemma 5.2,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} z_j^{\alpha} \ge \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{z_0^{-\alpha} + j\alpha 2^{\alpha}} \ge \int_1^r \frac{z_0^{\alpha}}{1 + tz_0^{\alpha} \alpha 2^{\alpha}} dt - \mathbf{C}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha 2^{\alpha}} \log(1 + tz_0^{\alpha} \alpha 2^{\alpha}) \Big|_{t=1}^{t=r} - \mathbf{C}$$

$$\ge \frac{\log(1 + rz_0^{\alpha} \alpha 2^{\alpha})}{\alpha 2^{\alpha}} - \mathbf{C}$$

Thus

$$-(\alpha+1)2^{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{r}z_{j}^{\alpha} \leq -\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}\log(1+rz_{0}^{\alpha}\alpha 2^{\alpha}) + \mathbf{C},$$

and by equation (5.7),

$$z'_r \le \mathbf{C} \left( 1 + r z_0^{\alpha} \alpha 2^{\alpha} \right)^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \le \mathbf{C} \left( r z_0^{\alpha} \alpha 2^{\alpha} \right)^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \le \mathbf{C} r^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha} z_0^{-(\alpha+1)}.$$

**Lemma 5.4.**  $0 \le -z_r''/z_r' \le \mathbf{C} z_0^{-2}/\max(r, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Differentiating both sides of the equation (5.4), we obtain

$$z_r'' = \alpha(\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha - 1} (z_{r+1}')^2 + (1 + (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha}) z_{r+1}''.$$
(5.8)

Dividing the above by  $z'_r = [1 + (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha}z^{\alpha}_{r+1}]z'_{r+1}$  we get

$$\frac{z_r''}{z_r'} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)2^{\alpha}z_{r+1}^{\alpha-1}z_{r+1}'}{1+(\alpha+1)2^{\alpha}z_{r+1}^{\alpha}} + \frac{z_{r+1}''}{z_{r+1}'}.$$

Recall that  $z_0''/z_0'=0$  and  $z_r'\geq 0$ , thus  $z_r''\leq 0$  for all r. By Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 we have

$$0 \le z_r^{\alpha - 1} z_r' \le \mathbf{C} \left( \frac{z_0^{\alpha}}{r} \right)^{(\alpha - 1)/\alpha} r^{-\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha}} z_0^{-(\alpha + 1)} \le \mathbf{C} r^{-2} z_0^{-2}$$

for  $r \geq 1$ . Thus

$$0 \le \frac{z_r''}{z_r'} - \frac{z_{r+1}''}{z_{r+1}'} \le \mathbf{C} (r+1)^{-2} z_0^{-2}.$$

The result follows.

**Lemma 5.5.**  $|z_r'''/z_r'| \le \mathbf{C} z_0^{-\alpha-4}/\max(r^2, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Differentiate the equation (5.8). This results in

$$z_r''' = (\alpha - 1)\alpha(\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha - 2} (z_{r+1}')^3 + 3\alpha(\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha - 1} z_{r+1}' z_{r+1}'' + (1 + (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha}) z_{r+1}'''$$

Dividing the above by  $z'_r = [1 + (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha} z^{\alpha}_{r+1}] z'_{r+1}$  we get

$$\frac{z_r'''}{z_r'} = \frac{(\alpha - 1)\alpha(\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha}z_{r+1}^{\alpha - 2}(z_{r+1}')^2}{1 + (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha}z_{r+1}^{\alpha}} + \frac{3\alpha(\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha}z_{r+1}^{\alpha - 1}z_{r+1}'}{1 + (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha}z_{r+1}^{\alpha}} \frac{z_{r+1}''}{z_{r+1}'} + \frac{z_{r+1}'''}{z_{r+1}'}$$

Using Lemmas 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 we bound the first two terms in the right hand side above by  $\mathbf{C}(r+1)^{-3}z_0^{-\alpha-4}$  and  $\mathbf{C}(r+1)^{-3}z_0^{-4}$  respectively. Thus

$$\left| \frac{z_r'''}{z_r'} - \frac{z_{r+1}'''}{z_{r+1}'} \right| \le \mathbf{C} (r+1)^{-3} z_0^{-\alpha - 4}.$$

Since  $z_0'''/z_0' = 0$ , the result follows.

**Lemma 5.6.**  $\partial_{\alpha}z_0 = 0$  and for  $r \geq 1$ 

$$0 \le \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_r}{z_r} \le \mathbf{C} \, \log(r z_0^{\alpha}) \left[ \log r - \log z_0 \right] \qquad and$$
$$0 \le \partial_{\alpha} z_r \le \mathbf{C} \, \frac{\log(r z_0^{\alpha})}{r^{1/\alpha}} \left[ \log r - \log z_0 \right].$$

*Proof.* Since  $z_0 = z$  does not depend on  $\alpha$ ,  $\partial_{\alpha} z_0 = 0$ .

Differentiating the identity  $z_{r+1}(1+2^{\alpha}z_{r+1}^{\alpha})=z_r$  by  $\alpha$  we obtain a recursive relationz

$$\partial_{\alpha} z_{r+1} = \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_r + 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha+1} (-\log(2z_{r+1}))}{1 + (\alpha + 1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha}}.$$

Since  $z_{r+1} \leq 1/2$  for all r, it follows that  $\partial_{\alpha} z_r \geq 0$  for all r. It is convenient to rewrite the above, dividing by  $z_{r+1}$  and using  $z_{r+1}(1+2^{\alpha}z_{r+1}^{\alpha})=z_r$ :

$$\frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_{r+1}}{z_{r+1}} = \frac{(1 + 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha}) \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_{r}}{z_{r}} + 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha} (-\log(2z_{r+1}))}{1 + (\alpha + 1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha}},$$

which implies

$$\frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_{r+1}}{z_{r+1}} \le \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_r}{z_r} + 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha} (-\log(2z_{r+1})).$$

By Lemma 5.2,

$$2^{\alpha} z_r^{\alpha}(-\log(2z_r)) \le \mathbf{C} \frac{\log r - \log z_0}{z_0^{-\alpha} + r\alpha(1-\alpha)2^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_r}{z_r} \le \sum_{j=1}^r 2^{\alpha} z_j^{\alpha} (-\log(2z_j)) \le \mathbf{C} \int_1^r \frac{\log t - \log z_0}{z_0^{-\alpha} + t\alpha(1-\alpha)2^{\alpha-1}} dt$$

$$\le \mathbf{C} \log(r z_0^{\alpha}) [\log(r z_0^{\alpha}) - \log z_0].$$
(5.9)

The first part of the lemma follows. To prove the second part, observe that by Lemma 5.2,  $z_r \leq \mathbf{C} \, r^{-1/\alpha}$ .

**Lemma 5.7.**  $|(\partial_{\alpha} z'_r)/z'_r| \leq \mathbf{C} [\log(rz_0^{\alpha})]^2 [\log r - \log z_0].$ 

*Proof.* Note that  $\partial_{\alpha} z'_0 = 0$ , because  $z_0 = z$  does not depend on  $\alpha$ . Differentiate equation (5.4) by  $\alpha$ . This results in

$$\partial_{\alpha} z_{r}' = \left(2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha} + (\alpha + 1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha} \log(2z_{r+1}) + \alpha(\alpha + 1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha - 1} \partial_{\alpha} z_{r+1}\right) z_{r+1}' + (1 + (\alpha + 1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha}) \partial_{\alpha} z_{r+1}'$$

Dividing the above by  $z'_r = [1 + (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha}z^{\alpha}_{r+1}]z'_{r+1}$  we get

$$\frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_{r}'}{z_{r}'} = \frac{2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha} + (\alpha+1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha} \log(2z_{r+1}) + \alpha(\alpha+1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha-1} \partial_{\alpha} z_{r+1}}{1 + (\alpha+1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_{r+1}'}{z_{r+1}'}.$$

For  $r \ge 1$  Lemmas 5.2 and 5.6 give  $|z_r^{\alpha}| \le \mathbf{C}/(z_0^{-\alpha} + r\alpha(1-\alpha)2^{\alpha-1})$ ,

$$|z_r^{\alpha} \log z_r| \le \mathbf{C} \frac{\log r - \log z_0}{z_0^{-\alpha} + r\alpha(1 - \alpha)2^{\alpha - 1}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$|z_r^{\alpha - 1} \partial_{\alpha} z_r| = \left| z_r^{\alpha} \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_r}{z_r} \right| \le \mathbf{C} \frac{\log(r z_0^{\alpha}) (\log r - \log z_0)}{z_0^{-\alpha} + r\alpha(1 - \alpha)2^{\alpha - 1}}.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z'_r}{z'_r} - \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z'_{r+1}}{z'_{r+1}} \right| \le \mathbf{C} \frac{\log((r+1)z_0^{\alpha}) \left(\log(r+1) - \log z_0\right)}{z_0^{-\alpha} + (r+1)\alpha(1-\alpha)2^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_r'}{z_r'} \right| \le \mathbf{C} \int_1^r \frac{\log(t z_0^{\alpha}) \left( \log t - \log z_0 \right)}{z_0^{-\alpha} + t\alpha (1 - \alpha) 2^{\alpha - 1}} dt \le \mathbf{C} \left[ \log(r z_0^{\alpha}) \right]^2 (\log r - \log z_0).$$

Lemma 5.8.  $|(\partial_{\alpha} z_r'')/z_r'| \leq \mathbf{C} z_0^{-2} (1 - \log z_0).$ 

*Proof.* Differentiate both sides of the equation (5.8) by  $\alpha$ . This gives

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\alpha} z_{r}'' = & [2\alpha + 1 + \alpha(\alpha + 1)\log(2z_{r+1})] 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha - 1} (z_{r+1}')^{2} \\ & + (\alpha - 1)\alpha(\alpha + 1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha - 2} (z_{r+1}')^{2} \partial_{\alpha} z_{r+1} + 2\alpha(\alpha + 1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha - 1} z_{r+1}' \partial_{\alpha} z_{r+1}' \\ & + (1 + (\alpha + 1)\log(2z_{r+1})) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha} z_{r+1}'' + \alpha(\alpha + 1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha - 1} z_{r+1}'' \partial_{\alpha} z_{r+1}' \\ & + (1 + (\alpha + 1) 2^{\alpha} z_{r+1}^{\alpha}) \partial_{\alpha} z_{r+1}''. \end{split}$$

Dividing the above by  $z'_r = [1 + (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha}z^{\alpha}_{r+1}]z'_{r+1}$  and using Lemma 5.2 to bound  $z_{r+1}$ , Lemma 5.3 to bound  $z'_r$ , Lemma 5.4 to bound  $z''_r/z'_r$ , Lemma 5.6 to bound  $\partial_{\alpha}z_r$  and Lemma 5.7 to bound  $\partial_{\alpha}z'_r/z'_r$ , we obtain for  $r \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |z_r^{\alpha-1} z_r' \log z_r| &\leq \mathbf{C} \, r^{-2} \, z_0^{-2} \, (\log r - \log z_0), \\ |z_r^{\alpha-2} z_r' \partial_\alpha z_r| &\leq \mathbf{C} \, r^{-2} \, z_0^{-2} \, \log(r z_0^\alpha) \, (\log r - \log z_0), \\ |z_r^{\alpha-1} \partial_\alpha z_r'| &\leq \mathbf{C} \, r^{-2} \, z_0^{-2} \, [\log(r z_0^\alpha)]^2 \, (\log r - \log z_0), \\ |z_r^\alpha z_r'' \log z_r/z_r'| &\leq \mathbf{C} \, r^{-2} \, z_0^{-2} \, (\log r - \log z_0), \\ |z_r^{\alpha-1} z_r'' (\partial_\alpha z_r)/z_r'| &\leq \mathbf{C} \, r^{-2} \, z_0^{-2} \, \log(r z_0^\alpha) \, (\log r - \log z_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $r \geq 1$ 

$$\left| \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_{r}''}{z_{r}'} - \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_{r-1}''}{z_{r-1}'} \right| \le \mathbf{C} \, r^{-2} \, z_{0}^{-2} \, [\log(r z_{0}^{\alpha})]^{2} \, (\log r - \log z_{0}).$$

Recall that  $\partial_{\alpha} z_0'' = 0$ . Then

$$\left| \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_r''}{z_r'} \right| \le z_0^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^r j^{-2} \left[ \log(j z_0^{\alpha}) \right]^2 \left[ \log j - \log z_0 \right] \le \mathbf{C} z_0^{-2} (1 - \log z_0).$$

#### 5.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1

The verification of assumptions of Theorem 2.1 is as follows. Since  $G_{\alpha,r}$  and  $F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}$  are defined on [1/2, 1], we use that  $z = z_0 \ge 1/2$  in the bounds below. Now,

- A1. By equation (5.5),  $z'_r \leq 1$ , thus  $||G_{\alpha,r}||_{\infty} \leq 1/2$ .
- A2. By Lemma 5.4,  $|z_r''/z_r'| \leq \mathbf{C}$ , thus  $||G_{\alpha,r}'/G_{\alpha,r}||_{\infty} \leq \mathbf{C}$ .
- A3. By Lemma 5.5,  $|z_r'''/z_r'| \leq \mathbf{C}$ , thus  $||G_{\alpha,r}''/G_{\alpha,r}||_{\infty} \leq \mathbf{C}$ .
- A4. By Lemma 5.6,  $|\partial_{\alpha}z_r| \leq \mathbf{C} r^{-1/\alpha} (\log r)^2$ , and by equation (5.1) we have

$$\|\partial_{\alpha} F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}\|_{\infty} \le \mathbf{C} r^{-1/\alpha} (\log r)^2 \le \mathbf{C} (\log r)^2.$$

- A5. By Lemma 5.7,  $|(\partial_{\alpha} z_r')/z_r'| \leq \mathbf{C} (\log r)^3$ , thus  $||(\partial_{\alpha} G_{\alpha,r})/G_{\alpha,r}||_{\infty} \leq \mathbf{C} (\log r)^3$ .
- A6. By Lemma 5.8,  $|(\partial_{\alpha} z_r'')/z_r'| \leq \mathbf{C}$ , thus  $||(\partial_{\alpha} G_{\alpha,r}')/G_{\alpha,r}||_{\infty} \leq \mathbf{C}$ .

A7. By Remark 5.1,  $z'_0 = 1$ , and by Lemma 5.3,  $|z'_r| \leq \mathbf{C} r^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha}$  for  $r \geq 1$ , so

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} (\log r)^3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sup_{z} |z'_r| \cdot (\log r)^3 \le \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{C} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log r)^3}{r^{1+1/\alpha}} \le \mathbf{C}.$$

To verify the assumptions of the Corollary 2.4 — we have to show in addition that

•  $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (r+1)(\log r)^3 \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \leq \mathbf{C}$ . By Lemma 5.3 and equation (5.2),

$$|G_{\alpha,r}(z)| = |z'_r|/2 < \mathbf{C} \, r^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha}$$

thus

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (r+1) (\log r)^3 \|G_{\alpha,r}\|_{\infty} \le \mathbf{C} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\log r)^3}{r^{1/\alpha}} \frac{r+1}{r} \le \mathbf{C}.$$

•  $\|\partial_{\alpha}[\Phi_{\alpha}\circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}]\|_{\infty} \leq \mathbf{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{1}}(r+1)$  and  $\|\Phi_{\alpha}\circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \mathbf{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{1}}(r+1)$ . This is true because

$$\left(\Phi_{\alpha} \circ F_{\alpha,r}^{-1}\right)(z) = \varphi\left(\frac{z_r + 1}{2}\right) + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \varphi(T_{\alpha}^j z_r) = \varphi\left(\frac{z_r + 1}{2}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^r \varphi(z_j),$$

and  $|\partial_{\alpha}z_r| \leq \mathbf{C}$  by Lemma 5.6.

Hence we have verified assumptions of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.4 as required.

#### 5.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recall that the invariant measure of  $T_{\alpha}$  is denoted by  $\nu_{\alpha}$ , and its density by  $\rho_{\alpha}$ , while the invariant measure of the induced map  $F_{\alpha}$  is denoted by  $\mu_{\alpha}$ , and its density by  $h_{\alpha}$ .

**Lemma 5.9.**  $\rho_{\alpha}(z) = g_{\alpha}(z) / \int_0^1 g_{\alpha}(x) dx$  for all  $z \in (0, 1]$ , where

$$g_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{\alpha} \left( \frac{z_k + 1}{2} \right) z'_k.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi$  be a nonnegative observable on [0,1], and  $\Phi_{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\tau_{\alpha}-1} \varphi \circ T_{\alpha}^{k}$  be the corresponding induced observable. In the beginning of Section 3 we partitioned the interval [1/2,1] into intervals  $[y_{r+1},y_r]$ ,  $r \geq 0$ , where  $F_{\alpha}$  has full branches and  $\tau_{\alpha} = r + 1$ .

Compute

$$\int \Phi_{\alpha} d\mu_{\alpha} = \int_{1/2}^{1} \sum_{k=0}^{\tau_{\alpha}(y)-1} \varphi(T_{\alpha}^{k}y) h_{\alpha}(y) dy = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{y_{j+1}}^{y_{j}} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \varphi(T_{\alpha}^{k}y) h_{\alpha}(y) dy 
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \int_{y_{j+1}}^{y_{j}} \varphi(T_{\alpha}^{k}y) h_{\alpha}(y) dy = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{1/2}^{y_{k}} \varphi(T_{\alpha}^{k}y) h_{\alpha}(y) dy 
= \int_{1/2}^{1} \varphi(y) h_{\alpha}(y) dy + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{x_{k}} \varphi(T_{\alpha}^{k-1}x) h_{\alpha} \left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) dx 
= \int_{1/2}^{1} \varphi(y) h_{\alpha}(y) dy + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{x_{k+1}} \varphi(T_{\alpha}^{k}x) h_{\alpha} \left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) dx 
= \int_{1/2}^{1} \varphi(y) h_{\alpha}(y) dy + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1/2} \varphi(z) h_{\alpha} \left(\frac{z_{k}+1}{2}\right) z_{k}' dz 
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(z) h_{\alpha} \left(\frac{z_{k}+1}{2}\right) z_{k}' dz.$$

First we made a substitution  $x = T_{\alpha}y = 2y - 1$ , and then a substitution  $z = T_{\alpha}^{k}x$ , i.e.  $x = z_{k}$ . In the last step we used the fact that for  $z \geq 1/2$ 

$$h_{\alpha}(z) = (P_{\alpha}h_{\alpha})(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{\alpha}\left(\frac{z_k + 1}{2}\right) \frac{z'_k}{2}.$$

Since  $\int \varphi \, d\nu_{\alpha} = \int \Phi_{\alpha} \, d\mu_{\alpha} / \int \tau_{\alpha} \, d\mu_{\alpha}$ , the result follows.

**Lemma 5.10.**  $g_{\alpha}(z)$  and  $\partial_{\alpha}g_{\alpha}(z)$  are jointly continuous in  $\alpha, z$  on  $[\alpha_{-}, \alpha_{+}] \times (0, 1]$ . Also,  $0 \leq g_{\alpha}(z) \leq \mathbf{C} z^{-\alpha}$  and  $|\partial_{\alpha}g_{\alpha}(z)| \leq \mathbf{C} z^{-\alpha}(1 - \log z)^{3}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1,  $||h_{\alpha}||_{C^2} \leq \mathbf{C}$  and  $||\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}||_{C^1} \leq \mathbf{C}$ , and both  $h_{\alpha}(z)$  and  $\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}(z)$  are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and z. By Lemma 5.3,  $0 \leq z'_r \leq \mathbf{C} (1 + rz^{\alpha}\alpha^{2\alpha})^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha}$ , hence

$$0 \le \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} z_r' \le \mathbf{C} \int_1^{\infty} (1 + tz^{\alpha} \alpha 2^{\alpha})^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha} dt \le \mathbf{C} z^{-\alpha}.$$
 (5.10)

Now,

$$0 \le g_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{\alpha} \left( \frac{z_k + 1}{2} \right) z_k' \le \mathbf{C} z^{-\alpha}.$$

Terms of the series are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and z, and convergence is uniform away from z = 0, thus  $g_{\alpha}(z)$  is also jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and z.

Denote  $u_{\alpha,k}(z) = h_{\alpha}((z_k+1)/2) z_k'/2$ , so that  $g_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_{\alpha,k}(z)$  and compute

$$\partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha,k}(z) = \left[ (\partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}) \left( \frac{z_k + 1}{2} \right) + h_{\alpha} \left( \frac{z_k + 1}{2} \right) \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_k}{2} \right] \frac{z'_k}{2} + h_{\alpha} \left( \frac{z_k + 1}{2} \right) \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z'_k}{2}.$$

By Lemma 5.6,

$$0 \le \partial_{\alpha} z_r \le \mathbf{C} r^{-1/\alpha} \log(rz^{\alpha}) [\log r - \log z].$$

By Lemma 5.7,

$$|\partial_{\alpha} z'_r| \le \mathbf{C} z'_r [\log(rz^{\alpha})]^2 [\log r - \log z].$$

Thus  $|\partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha,k}(z)| \leq \mathbf{C} z_r' [\log(rz^{\alpha})]^2 [\log r - \log z]$ . Thus by Lemma 5.3,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\partial_{\alpha} u_{\alpha,k}(z)| \leq \mathbf{C} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z_k' \left[ \log(kz^{\alpha}) \right]^2 \left[ \log k - \log z \right]$$

$$\leq \mathbf{C} \int_{1}^{\infty} (1 + tz^{\alpha} \alpha 2^{\alpha})^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \left[ \log(tz^{\alpha}) \right]^2 \left[ \log t - \log z \right] dt$$

$$= \mathbf{C} z^{-\alpha} \int_{z^{\alpha}}^{\infty} (1 + s\alpha 2^{\alpha})^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \left( \log s \right)^2 \left[ \log \frac{s}{z^{\alpha}} - \log z \right] ds$$

$$\leq \mathbf{C} z^{-\alpha} \left( 1 - \log z \right).$$

Therefore we can write

$$(\partial_{\alpha}g_{\alpha})(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \partial_{\alpha}u_{\alpha,k}(z).$$

Away from z=0, the terms of the series are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and z, and series converges uniformly, so  $(\partial_{\alpha}g_{\alpha})(z)$  is jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and z, and  $|\partial_{\alpha}g_{\alpha}(z)| \leq \mathbf{C} z^{-\alpha}(1-\log z)$ .

Corollary 5.11.  $\rho_{\alpha}(z)$  and  $\partial_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}(z)$  are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and z. Also,  $0 \leq g_{\alpha}(z) \leq C z^{-\alpha}$  and  $|\partial_{\alpha}g_{\alpha}(z)| \leq C z^{-\alpha}(1 - \log z)$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\int_0^1 g_{\alpha}(z) dz = \int \tau_{\alpha} d\mu_{\alpha} \geq 1$ , and

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \int_0^1 g_{\alpha}(z) dz = \int_0^1 (\partial_{\alpha} g_{\alpha})(z) dz.$$

By Lemma 5.10,  $\int_0^1 g_{\alpha}(z) dz$  is continuously differentiable in  $\alpha$ , its derivative is bounded by **C**. The result follows from Lemma 5.10 and relation, established in Lemma 5.9:

$$\rho_{\alpha}(z) = g_{\alpha}(z) / \int_0^1 g_{\alpha}(x) dx.$$

Corollary 5.12. Assume that  $\varphi \in L^q[0,1]$ , where  $q > (1-\alpha_+)^{-1}$ . Then the map  $\alpha \mapsto \int \varphi(x) \rho_{\alpha}(x) dx$  is continuously differentiable on  $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$ .

*Proof.* Let p = 1/(1 - 1/q). Then  $p < 1/\alpha_+$  and by Corollary 5.11,  $\|\partial_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}\|_{L^p}$  is bounded uniformly in  $\alpha$ . Since  $\rho_{\alpha}(x)$  and  $(\partial_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha})(x)$  are jointly continuous in  $\alpha$  and x, we can write

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\alpha} \int \varphi(x) \rho_{\alpha}(x) \, dx \right| = \left| \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(x) \left( \partial_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} \right)(x) \, dx \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^{q}} \|\partial_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha}\|_{L^{p}}.$$

It is clear that the above is bounded on  $[\alpha_-, \alpha_+]$ . Continuity of  $\int_0^1 \varphi(x) (\partial_\alpha \rho_\alpha)(x) dx$  follows from continuity of  $(\partial_\alpha \rho_\alpha)(x)$  in  $\alpha$  and the dominated convergence theorem.

**Acknowledgements.** This research was supported in part by a European Advanced Grant *StochExtHomog* (ERC AdG 320977). The author is grateful to Zemer Kosloff and Ian Melbourne for many hours of discussions, and numerous suggestions and corrections on the manuscript.

## References

- [BS15] W. Bahsoun and B. Saussol, Linear response in the intermittent family: differentiation in a weighted  $C^0$ -norm, arXiv:1512.01080 [math.DS].
- [B07] V. Baladi, On the susceptibility function of piecewise expanding interval maps, Comm. Math. Phys. **275** (2007), 839–859.
- [BS08] V. Baladi and D. Smania, Linear response formula for piecewise expanding unimodal maps, Nonlinearity 21 (2008), 677–711. (Corrigendum: Nonlinearity 25 (2012), 2203–2205.)
- [BT15] V. Baladi and M. Todd, *Linear response for intermittent maps*, to appear in Comm. Math. Phys. arXiv:1508.02700 [math.DS]
- [D04] D. Dolgopyat, On differentiability of SRB states for partially hyperbolic systems, Invent. Math. **155** (2004), 389–449.
- [G04] S. Gouëzel, Sharp polynomial estimates for the decay of correlations, Israel J. Math., 139 (2004), 29–65.
- [H04] H. Hu, Decay of correlations for piecewise smooth maps with indifferent fixed points, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **24** (2004), 495–524.
- [LSV99] C. Liverani, B. Saussol, and S. Vaienti, A probabilistic approach to intermittency, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 19 (1999), 671–685.
- [M07] M. Mazzolena, Dinamiche espansive unidimensionali: dipendenza della misura invariante da un parametro, Master's Thesis, Roma 2 (2007).
- [P80] G. Piangiani, First return map and invariant measures, Israel J. Math. **35** (1980), 32–48.
- [R97] D. Ruelle, *Differentiation of SRB states*, Comm. Math. Phys. **187** (1997), 227–241.
- [R98] D. Ruelle, General linear response formula in statistical mechanics, and the fluctuation-dissipation theorem far from equilibrium, Phys. Lett. A **245** (1998), 220–224.
- [R09] D. Ruelle, Structure and f-dependence of the A.C.I.M. for a unimodal map f of Misiurewicz type, Comm. Math. Phys. **287** (2009), 1039–1070.

- [R09.1] D. Ruelle, A review of linear response theory for general differentiable dynamical systems, Nonlinearity 22 (2009), 855–870.
- [S02] O. Sarig, Subexponential decay of correlations, Invent. Math. **150** (2002), 629–653.
- [Y99] L.-S. Young, Recurrence times and rates of mixing, Israel J. Math. 110 (1999), 153–188.
- [Z04] R. Zweimüller, *Kuzmin*, coupling, cones, and exponential mixing, Forum Math. **16** (2004), 447–457.