



Bampouras, Theodoros, Reeves, Neil D., Baltzopoulos, Vasilios and Maganaris, Constantinos N. Muscle activation capacity: effects of method, stimuli number and joint angle. In: 5th World Congress of Biomechanics, 29 July - 4 August 2006, Munich, Germany. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/3809/>

*Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.*

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

**provided that**

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
  - a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

**You may not**

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing [insight@cumbria.ac.uk](mailto:insight@cumbria.ac.uk).

**Muscle Activation Capacity: Effects of Method, Stimuli Number and Joint Angle**

Bampouras T, Reeves ND, Baltzopoulos V and Maganaris CN.

*Institute for Biophysical & Clinical Research into Human Movement, Manchester Metropolitan University, Alsager, United Kingdom.*

To assess the sensitivity of existing measurement methods for muscle activation capacity to potential errors introduced by a) evoking inadequate force by stimulation and b) neglecting differences in series elasticity between conditions, the effect of different number of stimuli and joint angle on the interpolation twitch interpolation technique [ITT = (1- superimposed stimulus torque / resting stimulus torque) x 100] and central activation ratio (CAR = maximal voluntary contraction torque / maximal voluntary contraction torque + superimposed stimulus torque) was examined. Ten subjects performed knee extension maximal voluntary contractions at 30 and 90° knee flexion angles (0° is full knee extension). Singlets, doublets, quadruplets and octuplets of supramaximal intensity were applied via percutaneous quadriceps muscle stimulation at rest and during the plateau phase of the contraction. A mixed-design 2 x 2 x 4 repeated factorial ANOVA was used to examine for differences in activation capacity between methods, knee joint angles and stimuli number, and simple effects tests were used for post hoc analysis where appropriate. Joint angle had a significant effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) on the ITT method, while stimuli number had a significant effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) on the CAR method. At 30°, the CAR produced higher activation capacity values for the singlet, doublet and quadruplet by 14-16% compared to the ITT method ( $P < 0.05$ ), but no difference was found for any number of stimuli at 90° between the two methods ( $P > 0.05$ ). It is, therefore, suggested that in the quantification of voluntary drive during contraction with the ITT and CAR methods, consideration be given not only to the number of stimuli applied but also to the effect of series elasticity due to joint angle differences, since these factors may affect differently the outcome of the calculation, depending on the approach followed.