666

Copyright © 2018 by The Authors Published by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated.



A commentary by Nitin B. Jain, MD, MSPH, is linked to the online version of this article at jbjs.org.

Effects of a Single Intra-Articular Injection of a Microsphere Formulation of Triamcinolone Acetonide on Knee Osteoarthritis Pain

A Double-Blinded, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Multinational Study

Philip G. Conaghan, MBBS, PhD, FRACP, FRCP, David J. Hunter, MBBS, PhD, FRACP, Stanley B. Cohen, MD, Virginia B. Kraus, MD, PhD, Francis Berenbaum, MD, PhD, Jay R. Lieberman, MD, Deryk G. Jones, MD, Andrew I. Spitzer, MD, David S. Jevsevar, MD, MBA, Nathaniel P. Katz, MD, MS, Diane J. Burgess, PhD, Joelle Lufkin, MPH, James R. Johnson, PhD, and Neil Bodick, MD, PhD, on behalf of the FX006-2014-008 Participating Investigators

Background: Intra-articular corticosteroids relieve osteoarthritis pain, but rapid systemic absorption limits efficacy. FX006, a novel, microsphere-based, extended-release triamcinolone acetonide (TA) formulation, prolongs TA joint residence and reduces systemic exposure compared with standard TA crystalline suspension (TAcs). We assessed symptomatic benefits and safety of FX006 compared with saline-solution placebo and TAcs.

Methods: In this Phase-3, multicenter, double-blinded, 24-week study, adults \geq 40 years of age with knee osteoarthritis (Kellgren-Lawrence grade 2 or 3) and average-daily-pain (ADP)-intensity scores of \geq 5 and \leq 9 (0 to 10 numeric rating scale) were centrally randomized (1:1:1) to a single intra-articular injection of FX006 (32 mg), saline-solution placebo, or TAcs (40 mg). The primary end point was change from baseline to week 12 in weekly mean ADP-intensity scores for FX006 compared with saline-solution placebo. Secondary end points were area-under-effect (AUE) curves of the change in weekly mean ADP-intensity scores from baseline to week 12 for FX006 compared with saline-solution placebo, AUE curves of the change in weekly mean ADP-intensity scores from baseline to week 12 for FX006 compared with TAcs, change in weekly mean ADP-intensity scores from baseline to week 12 for FX006 compared with TAcs, and AUE curves of the change in weekly mean ADP-intensity scores from baseline to week 24 for FX006 compared with saline-solution placebo. Exploratory *continued*

Disclosure: This study was sponsored and funded by Flexion Therapeutics, which developed the drug investigated in the study; 2 of the authors (J. Lufkin and N. Bodick) are employees of the company. With guidance from regulatory authorities, a subset of authors (including the corresponding author) worked with the sponsor to develop the study design, protocol, and Statistical Analysis Plan and to interpret study data. Site management/monitoring was provided by contract research organizations (CROs). Patient recruitment was handled independently by study site (no centralized campaign/call center was utilized). Data collection and database maintenance were performed by a CRO. A full audit trail was maintained from the time of data entry to database lock. The database was securely transferred to another CRO for statistical analyses. All authors participated in data interpretation and manuscript development in collaboration with a professional medical writer funded by the sponsor. All authors had full access to study data. The corresponding author takes final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication. No author external to the sponsor received financial compensation to write this manuscript. P.G. Conaghan is supported, in part, by the UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Leeds Biomedical Research Centre. On the **Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest** forms, *which are provided with the online version of the article*, one or more of the authors checked "yes" to indicate that the author had a relevant financial relationship in the biomedical arena outside the submitted work; "yes" to indicate that the author had a petern and yor copyright, planned, pending, or issued, broadly relevant to this work; and "yes" to indicate that the author had other relationships or activities that could be perceived to influence, or have the potential to influence, what was written in this work (<u>http://links.lww.com/JBJS/E645</u>).

Disclaimer: The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the UK National Health Service, the National Institute for Health Research, or the Department of Health.

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Published by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated. All rights reserved. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

SINGLE IA INJECTION OF MICROSPHERE FORMULATION OF TRIAMCINOLONE ACETONIDE ON KNEE OA PAIN

end points included week-12 changes in Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) and Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score Quality of Life (KOOS-QOL) subscale scores for FX006 compared with saline-solution placebo and TAcs. Adverse events were elicited at each inpatient visit.

Results: The primary end point was met. Among 484 treated patients (n = 161 for FX006, n = 162 for saline-solution placebo, and n = 161 for TAcs), FX006 provided significant week-12 improvement in ADP intensity compared with that observed for saline-solution placebo (least-squares mean change from baseline: -3.12 versus -2.14; p < 0.0001) indicating \sim 50% improvement. FX006 afforded improvements over saline-solution placebo for all secondary and exploratory end points (p < 0.05). Improvements in osteoarthritis pain were not significant for FX006 compared with TAcs using the ADP-based secondary measures. Exploratory analyses of WOMAC-A, B, and C and KOOS-QOL subscales favored FX006 (p ≤ 0.05). Adverse events were generally mild, occurring at similar frequencies across treatments.

Conclusions: FX006 provided significant, clinically meaningful pain reduction compared with saline-solution placebo at week 12 (primary end point).

Level of Evidence: Therapeutic Level I. See Instructions for Authors for a complete description of levels of evidence.

In 1955, intra-articular injection of corticosteroids (particularly hydrocortisone acetate) was reported to be effective in 80% of arthritic joints, but the transitory nature of the effect "limited its practical value as a therapy."¹ Subsequently, more potent synthetic corticosteroids replaced hydrocortisone for intra-articular indications; controlled clinical studies of these analogs also demonstrated short-term effects^{2,3}. Pharmacokinetic study indicated the efflux of corticosteroids from the joint within hours of injection⁴.

Osteoarthritic pain represents a major health problem for aging and increasingly obese populations⁵. Modern evidencebased management guidelines from the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) and the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) recommend a combination of pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapies, including joint replacement⁶⁷. In practice, the utility of oral pharmacotherapy is limited by modest efficacy and side effects^{6,8,9}, and the treatment effect of intra-articular hyaluronic acid preparations has been questioned^{6,10,11}. Despite the short-term efficacy, and perhaps reflecting the paucity of effective alternatives, intra-articular corticosteroid use is common. Among beneficiaries with knee osteoarthritis identified from a 1999 to 2013 Medicare 5% sample, >25% of the nearly 12 million people with knee osteoarthritis received intra-articular corticosteroid injections; the fraction of newly diagnosed knee patients receiving intra-articular corticosteroids increased from 0.27 to 0.45 during the time period¹².

FX006 is a novel, microsphere-based, extended-release formulation of triamcinolone acetonide (TA) for intra-articular injection. Within each 35-to-55- μ m microsphere, small (<5 μ m) TA crystals are embedded in a poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) matrix (Fig. 1-A). Pharmacokinetic assessments have demonstrated that measurable TA concentrations persist in the joint for \geq 12 weeks¹³, indicative of long duration of release as compared with other marketed PLGA small-molecule formulations¹⁴. Typically, PLGA microspheres exhibit a triphasic drugrelease profile, with an initial burst, a subsequent lag phase with minimal drug release, and a zero-order-release phase via bulk polymer erosion¹⁵⁻¹⁸. In vitro, FX006 does not exhibit a burst or lag phase; rather, release commences immediately and is continuous (data on file). In the early-release phase, scanning electron microscopy reveals small channels approximately 500 nm in diameter on the smooth, largely intact, microsphere surface (Fig. 1-B). These nanochannels are unique to FX006 and presumably limit both TA egress from the microsphere's interior and polymer hydration, slowing bulk erosion and prolonging drug release. PLGA is degraded to oligomeric poly-acid units and then to lactic and glycolic acids¹⁹, followed by elimination as carbon dioxide and water.

In a rat model of localized synovitis, FX006 was demonstrated to significantly (p < 0.05 versus vehicle control) reduce pain as assessed by gait scores, with a prolonged effect relative to standard TA crystalline suspension (TAcs); significantly improved (p < 0.05 versus vehicle control) histological joint scores were observed with effective doses of FX006 but not with TAcs²⁰. In a clinical study, peak plasma TA concentrations following intra-articular injection in patients with knee osteoarthritis were approximately 11 times lower following the proposed clinical dose of FX006 compared with 40 mg (the routine clinical dose) of TAcs; measurable intra-articular TA concentrations were observed for ≥12 weeks¹³. In Phase-2 testing, FX006 administered at the proposed clinical dose produced statistically significant improvements in osteoarthritis average-daily-pain (ADP) intensity as compared with TAcs 40 mg (weeks 5 to 10)²¹, and provided meaningful diminution of pain intensity, with maximal effects observed at week 5 and persisting through week 13, compared with saline-solution placebo²².

In this article, we describe a Phase-3, randomized controlled trial comparing FX006 with saline-solution placebo, primarily, and TAcs, secondarily, with respect to efficacy and safety in patients with knee osteoarthritis.

Materials and Methods

Trial Design

In this Phase-3, double-blinded, multinational study (41 global sites), participants were centrally randomized

The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery • JBJS.org Volume 100-A • Number 8 • April 18, 2018 SINGLE IA INJECTION OF MICROSPHERE FORMULATION OF TRIAMCINOLONE ACETONIDE ON KNEE OA PAIN





Figs. 1-A and 1-B FX006, an intra-articular extended-release formulation of triamcinolone acetonide (TA). Fig. 1-A Raman image of microsphere crosssections. Within each microsphere, small crystals of TA (red) are embedded in a poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) matrix (green). Fig. 1-B Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of a microsphere collected in the initial phase of release. Small channels approximately 500 nm in diameter appear on the smooth, largely intact surface of the microsphere.

(1:1:1, block size = 6; FlexRandomizer [Cytel], with stratification by baseline weekly ADP-intensity score [5 to <6, 6 to <7, or \geq 7]) to receive a single intra-articular injection of FX006 (32 mg), saline-solution placebo, or a routine dose (40 mg) of TAcs. Patients with bilateral disease designated the more painful knee as the index knee at screening. Following informed consent, analgesic medications for index-knee pain were withheld, with the exception of acetaminophen or paracetamol (\leq 3,000 mg/day; 500-mg tablets provided as rescue treatment). For details of study blinding, see the Appendix.

This study was registered at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02357459). Patients were evaluated at 7 outpatient visits (day 1 [baseline] and weeks 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24). ADP intensity was assessed daily (4:00 P.M. to 12:00 A.M. locally) via an interactive voice-response system. Patients reported pain intensity according to a numeric rating scale (NRS; with 0 indicating no pain and 10 indicating pain "as bad as you can imagine")23,24. The Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) Likert 3.1, 5-point subscales, with higher scores indicating worse status,²⁵ were employed to assess pain (WOMAC-A), stiffness (WOMAC-B), and physical function (WOMAC-C). The Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score was used to assess quality of life (KOOS-QOL; 0 to 4 Likert scale, with a higher score indicating better quality of life)²⁶. Both instruments were administered as local language-validated questionnaires (see Appendix). Patients recorded rescue medication use daily via an interactive voice-response system and returned medication bottles at study visits. For additional details regarding index-knee radiographic assessment, measurement instruments, and adverse events (AEs), see the Appendix.

Participants

Institutional review board approval was obtained from all study sites. Eligible patients who provided signed informed

consent (n = 486) were enrolled and randomized at 38 centers in North America (United States and Canada), Australia and New Zealand, Asia (Hong Kong), and the European Union; 484 patients were treated (Fig. 2). Eligible for inclusion were men and women \geq 40 years of age with symptomatic knee osteoarthritis per ACR criteria²⁷ for \geq 6 months prior to screening, Kellgren-Lawrence grade-2 or 3²⁸ osteoarthritis in the index knee as assessed on the screening radiograph, patient-reported pain for >15 days in the previous month, and a 24-hour ADP-intensity score of \geq 5 and \leq 9^{23,24} for \geq 5 days during the week preceding randomization and intra-articular injection. For the exclusion criteria, see the Appendix.

Interventions

Patients received a single intra-articular injection of FX006 (5 mL injection volume), saline-solution placebo (5 mL), or TAcs 40 mg (1 mL), allowing comparisons between FX006 and a current standard of care. FX006 was supplied as a sterile powder for reconstitution; dose-delivery studies indicate that this provides an injection of 32 mg of TA into the joint. For additional details of the study agents and administration, see the Appendix.

Outcomes of Interest and Statistical Methods

The primary outcome, the least-squares mean (LSM) change from baseline to week 12 in weekly mean ADP-intensity scores for FX006 compared with saline-solution placebo in the full analysis set (the randomized and treated patients), was analyzed with a longitudinal mixed-effects model for repeat measures using observed data, with fixed effects for treatment group, study week, treatment-by-week interaction, study site, and baseline pain, and a random patient effect. The SAS/STAT PROC MIXED procedure (2015; SAS Institute) employed an unstructured correlation matrix to model within-patient error. For additional details of the sensitivity analyses, see the Appendix.

668



1 Placebo patient was discontinued by the Investigator after attempts to administer study drug at baseline failed.

2 TAcs patient withdrew consent to participate after being randomized but before receipt of study drug due to the

* Early terminations prior to week 12 are included in the total early terminations through week 24.

FX006 Phase-3 study in knee osteoarthritis: patient disposition through week 24. TAcs = triamcinolone acetonide crystalline suspension, and placebo = saline-solution placebo.

Secondary end points were area-under-effect (AUE) curves of the change in weekly mean ADP-intensity scores from baseline to week 12 (AUE_{week1-12}) for FX006 compared with saline-solution placebo, AUE_{week1-12} for FX006 compared with TAcs, change in weekly mean ADP-intensity scores from baseline to week 12 for FX006 compared with TAcs, and AUE curves of the change in weekly mean ADP-intensity scores from baseline to week 24 for FX006 compared with saline-solution placebo (AUE_{week1-24}). In the step-down testing procedure²⁹ implemented for primary and secondary end points, sequential testing proceeded as long as p < 0.05. P values resulting from testing conducted after the first non-significant p value were considered informative only. Changes to secondary end points and sequential testing order during development of the Statistical Analysis Plan after trial initia-

Fig. 2

tion were made blinded to any clinical data and results (see the Appendix).

Analyses conducted for the secondary end points outlined above and additional exploratory end points (changes in WOMAC and KOOS-QOL scores, changes in ADP-intensity scores at additional time points, >30% and >50% improvement in ADP-intensity scores, and rescue medication use) utilized models similar to primary end-point analyses for outcomes involving score changes, summarization of "time-toevent" weekly mean ADP-intensity scores via Kaplan-Meier methodology, and calculation of AUE curves for ADP-intensity score changes over time using linear trapezoidal methodology. The proportions of patients achieving >30% and >50% improvement in ADP-intensity scores were compared using a logistic regression model with study site as a covariate. The

requirement for blood sample collection in the study.

The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery JBJS.org Volume 100-A · Number 8 · April 18, 2018 SINGLE IA INJECTION OF MICROSPHERE FORMULATION OF TRIAMCINOLONE ACETONIDE ON KNEE OA PAIN

TABLE I Baseline Patient Characteristics and Baseline Values for Outcome Measures*					
		Treatment Group			
Parameter	FX006, N = 161	Saline-Solution Placebo, N = 162	TAcs, N = 161	Total, N = 484	
Female (no. [%])	103 (64.0)	96 (59.3)	97 (60.2)	296 (61.2)	
White (no. [%])	130 (80.7)	144 (88.9)	131 (81.4)	405 (83.7)	
Age at consent† (yr)	61.5 ± 9.52	62.4 ± 8.89	62.3 ± 10.08	62.1 ± 9.49	
BMI† (kg/m²)	30.1 ± 5.01	30.2 ± 4.69	30.3 ± 4.82	30.2 ± 4.83	
BMI category (no. [%])					
Normal (18.5-24.9 kg/m ²)	28 (17.4)	22 (13.6)	25 (15.5)	75 (15.5)	
Overweight (25.0-29.9 kg/m ²)	57 (35.4)	58 (35.8)	53 (32.9)	168 (34.7)	
Class-I obese (30.0-34.9 kg/m ²)	45 (28.0)	52 (32.1)	55 (34.2)	152 (31.4)	
Class-II obese (35.0-39.9 kg/m ²)	31 (19.3)	30 (18.5)	28 (17.4)	89 (18.4)	
Type of knee osteoarthritis (no. [%])					
Unilateral	51 (31.7)	60 (37.0)	59 (36.6)	170 (35.1)	
Bilateral	110 (68.3)	102 (63.0)	102 (63.4)	314 (64.9)	
No. of yr since diagnosis†	7.6 ± 6.56	6.6 ± 5.79	7.5 ± 6.70	7.2 ± 6.37	
No. of days with index-knee pain in past month†	28.4 ± 3.86	28.3 ± 3.80	$\textbf{28.0} \pm \textbf{4.18}$	28.2 ± 3.95	
Kellgren-Lawrence grade (no. [%])					
2	79 (49.1)	69 (42.6)	69 (42.9)	217 (44.8)	
3	82 (50.9)	93 (57.4)	91 (56.5)	266 (55.0)	
4	0	0	1 (0.6)†	1 (0.2)‡	
ADP-intensity score (0-10)†	$\textbf{6.3} \pm \textbf{0.93}$	6.3 ± 0.98	$\textbf{6.3} \pm \textbf{0.90}$	6.3 ± 0.94	
Weekly ADP-intensity score (0-10) category§ (no. [%])					
5 to <6	68 (42.2)	68 (42.0)	68 (42.2)	204 (42.1)	
6 to <7	52 (32.3)	52 (32.1)	51 (31.7)	155 (32.0)	
≥7	41 (25.5)	42 (25.9)	42 (26.1)	125 (25.8)	
WOMAC score (0-4)†					
A: pain	2.0 ± 0.53	2.0 ± 0.52	2.0 ± 0.52	2.0 ± 0.52	
B: stiffness	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.88}$	2.4 ± 0.79	2.3 ± 0.87	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.85}$	
C: physical function	$\textbf{2.1} \pm \textbf{0.56}$	$\textbf{2.1} \pm \textbf{0.51}$	$\textbf{2.1}\pm\textbf{0.58}$	$\textbf{2.1} \pm \textbf{0.55}$	
KOOS-QOL (0-4)†#	29.9 ± 16.11	31.9 ± 16.64	31.8 ± 15.49	$\textbf{31.2} \pm \textbf{16.09}$	

*Full analysis set, N = 484. TAcs = triamcinolone acetonide crystalline suspension, BMI = body mass index, ADP = average daily pain, WOMAC = Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index, and KOOS-QOL = Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score Quality of Life. †The values are given as the mean and the standard deviation. ‡The patient with a Kellgren-Lawrence grade of 4, in violation of the entrance criteria, was included in the full analysis set. §Randomization was stratified by baseline ADP-intensity score category. #Normalized scores (100 indicating no symptoms and 0 indicating extreme symptoms) were calculated as: 100 – AVERAGE(Q1-Q4)/4*100. The numbers of patients with baseline KOOS-QOL assessments were 136, 144, 134, and 414 across the FX006, saline-solution placebo, TAcs, and total groups, respectively.

mean number of daily rescue medication tablets per week was summarized.

For sample size determination, see the Appendix.

Results

Participant Flow and Baseline Characteristics

The study was conducted at 41 sites from January 29, 2015 to January 21, 2016. Among the 486 patients enrolled over

6 months by 38 sites and randomized to FX006 (n = 161), saline-solution placebo (n = 163), or TAcs (n = 162), 2 patients (1 in the saline-solution placebo group and 1 in the TAcs group) were neither treated nor included in the full analysis set or safety populations. Overall, 443 (91.2%) of the patients completed the study through week 24, and 43 (8.8%) discontinued prematurely (n = 17, 14, and 12 across the respective treatment arms; from 21 [55.3%] of the 38 enrolling study sites;

670

THE JOURNAL OF BONE & JOINT SURGERY 'JBJS.ORG VOLUME 100-A · NUMBER 8 · APRIL 18, 2018 SINGLE IA INJECTION OF MICROSPHERE FORMULATION OF TRIAMCINOLONE ACETONIDE ON KNEE OA PAIN



Figs. 3-A through 3-F Least-squares (LS) mean change from baseline (BL) (and standard error [SE]) for the efficacy end points of weekly mean average daily pain (ADP)-intensity scores (0 to 10 on numeric rating scale) at week 12, the primary end point (n = 484) (**Fig. 3-A**); Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC)-A (pain), WOMAC-B (stiffness), and WOMAC-C (physical function) subscale scores (n = 484) (**Figs. 3-B**, **3-C**, **and 3-D**); Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score-Quality of Life (KOOS-QOL) subscale score (n = 414) (**Fig. 3-E**); and rescue medication (med) use (mean number of daily rescue medication [500-mg] tablets per week; n = 484) over time in the full analysis set (**Fig. 3-F**). Primary and exploratory secondary end-point analyses employed an analysis of covariance with model parameters for treatment and covariates of baseline pain-intensity score and study site. TAcs = triamcinolone acetonide crystalline suspension, and placebo = saline-solution placebo.

 \leq 5 of the patients from any site). Sixteen (3.3%) of the patients (n = 5, 8, and 3 across the groups) discontinued before week 12 (Fig. 2).

Fig. 3

The treatment groups were well balanced with respect to baseline patient characteristics and values for outcome measures. Patients ranged from 40 to 85 years of age (mean, 62 years), the majority were female (61.2%), and approximately 50% were obese (body mass index of \geq 30 kg/m²). The mean number of years between the diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis per the ACR criteria and the start of the study was 7.2 (Table I).

Efficacy

The primary efficacy end point was met. ADP intensity was significantly improved among the patients treated with FX006 compared with those treated with saline-solution placebo (LSM change in weekly mean ADP-intensity scores from baseline to week 12: -3.12 compared with -2.14, respectively; LSM difference [95% confidence interval (CI)]: -0.98 [-1.47 to -0.49]; p < 0.0001) (Fig. 3-A), indicating that FX006 afforded approximately 50% improvement over saline-solution placebo. The results of prespecified sensitivity analyses allowing

671

The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery · JBJS.org Volume 100-A · Number 8 · April 18, 2018

SINGLE IA INJECTION OF MICROSPHERE FORMULATION OF TRIAMCINOLONE ACETONIDE ON KNEE OA PAIN

TABLE II Summary of Prespecified Sensitivity Analyses of LSM Change in Weekly Mean ADP-Intensity Scores at Week 12 (Primary End Point)*					
	Primary Analysis	BLOCF/LOCF Sensitivity	Multiple Imputation Sensitivity		
LSM change from baseline (SE)					
FX006	-3.12 (0.20)	-2.97 (0.22)	-3.05 (0.22)		

-1.98(0.22)

LSM difference, placebo vs. FX006 (95% CI)	-0.98 (-1.47, -0.49)	-0.99 (-1.51, -0.48)	-1.03 (-1.53, -0.52)			
P value	<0.0001	<0.0002	<0.0001			
*Full analysis set, N = 484. LSM = least-squares mean; ADP = average daily pain; BLOCF = baseline observation carried forward, for data missing due to patient discontinuation resulting from adverse event(s)/"other" reasons: LOCF = last observation carried forward, for data missing due to						

-2.14(0.20)

due to patient discontinuation resulting from adverse event(s)/"other" reasons; LOCF = last observation carried forward, for data missing due patient discontinuation because of lack of efficacy; SE = standard error; and CI = confidence interval.

for missing-data imputation were consistent with those of our primary analysis (Table II).

Saline-solution placebo

With respect to the protocol-defined secondary end points (Table III), we found that AUE_{week1-12} was significantly greater for FX006 compared with saline-solution placebo (LSM change: -247.3 compared with -145.3, respectively; LSM difference: -102.0; p < 0.0001), indicating that FX006 afforded nearly twice the time-averaged reduction in ADP intensity through week 12 compared with saline-solution placebo. Similar findings were observed for AUE_{week1-24} (LSM change: -432.5 for FX006 compared with -297.0 for placebo; LSM difference: -135.5; p = 0.0002).

FX006 demonstrated efficacy advantages over salinesolution placebo for exploratory end points, as evidenced by greater improvements in the WOMAC pain, stiffness, and physical function and KOOS-QOL subscale scores at weeks 4, 8, and 12 (all p < 0.0001) (Table IV) (Figs. 3-B through 3-E). Greater proportions of patients treated with FX006 compared with saline-solution placebo achieved the >30% (67.3% compared with 53.0% at week 12; p < 0.05 at weeks 1 to 13 among 24 weeks assessed) and more stringent >50% (52.3% compared with 37.1% at week 12; p < 0.05 at weeks 1 to 16 and week 18) ADP-intensity score improvement criteria. Significantly fewer rescue medication tablets per week were used by patients treated with FX006 compared with placebo overall (LSM difference [95% CI]: -0.50 [-0.78 to -0.21]; p = 0.0006) and at each of weeks 2 to 16, 19, and 20 (p ≤ 0.0269) (Fig. 3-F).

-2.02(0.22)

Differences between FX006 and TAcs in AUE_{week1-12} (LSM change: -247.3 for FX006 compared with -231.9 for TAcs; LSM difference: -15.3; p = 0.3827) and change from baseline to week 12 in ADP-intensity score (LSM change: -3.1 compared with -2.9; LSM difference: -0.26; p = 0.2964) were not significant (Table III).

FX006 performed more favorably than did TAcs with respect to exploratory end points, as evidenced by greater improvements in WOMAC subscale scores for pain ($p \le 0.0475$), stiffness ($p \le 0.0182$), and physical function ($p \le 0.0111$) and the KOOS-QOL subscale score ($p \le 0.0256$) at weeks 4, 8, and 12 (Table IV) (Figs. 3-B through 3-E). No significant differences were observed between the proportions of FX006 and TAcs-treated patients achieving >30% or >50% improvement in ADP-intensity scores from baseline (not shown). FX006 onset-of-action was similar to that of TAcs: median (95% CI) days to >30% improvement in ADP intensity, 4 (4 to 5) for FX006 and 3 (3 to 5) for TAcs (not shown).

Safety

Overall, 55.3%, 53.1%, and 56.5% of the patients in the FX006, saline-solution placebo, and TAcs treatment groups,

TABLE III Summary of Protocol-Defined Secondary Efficacy End Points*						
		LSM Change (SE)				
Secondary End Point	Comparison	FX006, N = 161	Saline-Solution Placebo, N = 162	TAcs, N = 161	LSM Difference (95% CI)	P Value
1. AUE _{week 1-12}	FX006 vs. placebo	-247.3 (14.89)	-145.3 (14.77)	_	-102.0 (-136.8, -67.3)	<0.0001
2. AUE _{week 1-12}	FX006 vs. TAcs	-247.3 (14.89)	—	-231.9 (14.85)	-15.3 (-49.8, 19.2)	0.3827
3. ADP change from baseline to week 12	FX006 vs. TAcs	-3.12 (0.203)	—	-2.86 (0.202)	-0.26 (-0.74, 0.23)	0.2964†
4. AUE _{week 1-24}	FX006 vs. placebo	-432.5 (30.14)	-297.0 (29.90)	_	-135.5 (-205.9, -65.2)	0.0002†

*Full analysis set, N = 484. LSM = least-squares mean, SE = standard error, TAcs = triamcinolone acetonide crystalline suspension, CI = confidence interval, AUE = area under effect, and ADP = average daily pain. \uparrow P value derived from sequential testing conducted after a prior end-point treatment difference was \geq 0.05.

THE JOURNAL OF BONE & JOINT SURGERY JBJS.ORG VOLUME 100-A · NUMBER 8 · APRIL 18, 2018 SINGLE IA INJECTION OF MICROSPHERE FORMULATION OF TRIAMCINOLONE ACETONIDE ON KNEE OA PAIN

		FX006 Vs. Saline-Solution Placebo		FX006 Vs. TAcs	
Exploratory End Point	Week	LSM Difference (95% CI)	P Value	LSM Difference (95% CI)	P Value
WOMAC-A (pain)	4	-0.60 (-0.76, -0.44)	<0.0001	-0.23 (-0.39, -0.07)	0.0052
	8	-0.54 (-0.71, -0.37)	<0.0001	-0.21 (-0.38, -0.04)	0.0158
	12	-0.37 (-0.55, -0.20)	<0.0001	-0.17 (-0.34, -0.00)	0.0475
WOMAC-B (stiffness)	4	-0.72 (-0.91, -0.53)	<0.0001	-0.23 (-0.42, -0.04)	0.0179
	8	-0.69 (-0.88, -0.50)	<0.0001	-0.32 (-0.51, -0.13)	0.0009
	12	-0.44 (-0.63, -0.25)	<0.0001	-0.23 (-0.42, -0.04)	0.0182
WOMAC-C (physical function)	4	-0.60 (-0.75, -0.44)	<0.0001	-0.24 (-0.40, -0.08)	0.0029
	8	-0.56 (-0.73, -0.40)	<0.0001	-0.29 (-0.45, -0.12)	0.0007
	12	-0.38 (-0.54, -0.21)	<0.0001	-0.22 (-0.38, -0.05)	0.0111
KOOS-QOL†	4	14.57 (10.01, 19.12)	<0.0001	7.90 (3.29, 12.52)	0.0008
	8	12.60 (8.02, 17.18)	<0.0001	5.28 (0.65, 9.91)	0.0256
	12	8.97 (4.37, 13.57)	<0.0001	5.42 (0.78, 10.06)	0.0222

*Full analysis set, n = 484 (n = 414 for Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score Quality of Life [KOOS-QOL]). TAcs = triamcinolone acetonide crystalline suspension, LSM = least-squares mean, Cl = confidence interval, and WOMAC = Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index. \uparrow Normalized scores (100 indicating no symptoms and 0 indicating extreme symptoms) were calculated as: 100-AVERAGE(Q1-Q4)/4*100.

respectively, reported AEs (see Table V for the most common AEs). Across treatments, most AEs were mild/moderate (grade 1 or 2), nonserious, and unrelated to the study agent.

Serious AEs occurred in 3.1%, 1.9%, and 2.5% of the patients treated with FX006, saline-solution placebo, and TAcs, respectively (Table V). Each type of serious AE occurred in only 1 patient; none was considered related to the study agent. No FX006-treated patient and <1% of the placebo and TAcs-treated patients discontinued because of an AE (Table V). No deaths occurred.

Index knee-related AEs (new and clinically relevant or worsened index-knee findings), most of which were grade 1 or 2, nonserious, and unrelated to the study agent, occurred in 18.6%, 12.3%, and 9.9% of the patients treated with FX006, saline-solution placebo, and TAcs, respectively (Table V). Most index knee-related AEs occurred after day 3; none was consistent with post-injection flare.

Among the patients with baseline and week-24 indexknee radiographs, worsening of joint-space narrowing was uncommon, occurring in 7 (5.0%) of 140, 6 (4.1%) of 148, and 5 (3.5%) of 145 patients who received FX006, saline-solution placebo, and TAcs, respectively. Joint-space narrowing worsened by only 1 grade in these patients, with the exception of 1 patient treated with saline-solution placebo, who demonstrated worsening from grade 0 to grade 2. One patient treated with TAcs had an insufficiency fracture noted at week 24. No subchondral bone change, osteonecrosis, or radiographically documented rapidly progressive osteoarthritis was identified in the patients treated with FX006. One FX006-treated patient underwent uneventful total knee arthroplasty in the treated knee on day 145. At the time of surgery, the patient's ADP- intensity score had improved from baseline, and the preoperative knee radiograph showed no change from baseline (Kellgren-Lawrence grade 3).

No joint infections occurred. Non-joint infections occurred in 16.8%, 19.1%, and 21.7% of the patients treated with FX006, placebo, and TAcs, respectively; upper-respiratory tract infection, nasopharyngitis, influenza, sinusitis, and viral upper-respiratory tract infections were common across the groups. Hypertension occurred in 3.1%, 3.7%, and 0% of the patients who received FX006, saline-solution placebo, and TAcs, respectively.

Discussion

In primary analyses, a single intra-articular injection of FX006 demonstrated statistically significant reductions in osteoarthritis pain intensity as compared with saline-solution placebo. Secondary and exploratory analyses further evaluating pain relief, function, and overall well-being consistently favored FX006 over saline-solution placebo. The FX006 analgesic effect persisted for >12 weeks; onset of action was similar to that of TAcs.

The improvements in osteoarthritis pain conferred by FX006 are clinically relevant. Per accepted consensus criteria specifying \geq 50% improvement versus saline-solution placebo as "substantial" for individual patients³⁰, FX006 provided substantial improvements compared with saline-solution placebo at weeks 1 to 16 and week 18 (p < 0.05). In a separate analysis of data from the current study, the LSM improvement afforded by FX006 compared with saline-solution placebo at weeks 4, 8, and 12 exceeded the minimally clinically important improvement thresholds for the WOMAC pain, stiffness, and physical-function subscales

The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery · JBJS.org Volume 100-A · Number 8 · April 18, 2018

SINGLE IA INJECTION OF MICROSPHERE FORMULATION OF TRIAMCINOLONE ACETONIDE ON KNEE OA PAIN

	Treatment Group			
	FX006, N = 161	Saline-Solution Placebo, $N = 162$	TAcs, N = 161	
≥1 AE	89 (55.3)	86 (53.1)	91 (56.5)	
≥1 common AE (>5% in any treatment				
group)				
Arthralgia (any joint)	23 (14.3)	20 (12.3)	12 (7.5)	
Headache	14 (8.7)	13 (8.0)	15 (9.3)	
Back pain	9 (5.6)	9 (5.6)	12 (7.5)	
≥1 serious AE	5 (3.1)	3 (1.9)	4 (2.5)	
≥1 AE leading to study discontinuation	0	1 (0.6)†	1 (0.6)	
AEs by maximum severity†				
Grade 1	37 (23.0)	33 (20.4)	40 (24.8)	
Grade 2	45 (28.0)	48 (29.6)	47 (29.2)	
Grade 3	6 (3.7)	5 (3.1)	4 (2.5)	
Grade 4	1 (0.6)	0	0	
AEs by maximum relationship to study agent				
Not related	69 (42.9)	77 (47.5)	78 (48.4)	
Unlikely	10 (6.2)	6 (3.7)	9 (5.6)	
Possibly, probably, or definitely related	10 (6.2)	3 (1.9)	4 (2.5)	
≥1 index knee-related AE [‡]	30 (18.6)	20 (12.3)	16 (9.9)	
≥1 serious index knee-related AE	1 (0.6)	0	0	
≥1 index knee-related AE leading to study discontinuation	0	1 (0.6)§	1 (0.6)	
Index knee-related AEs by maximum severity†				
Grade 1	18 (11.2)	7 (4.3)	8 (5.0)	
Grade 2	11 (6.8)	12 (7.4)	6 (3.7)	
Grade 3	1 (0.6)	1 (0.6)	2 (1.2)	
Index knee-related AEs by maximum relationship to study agent				
Not related	22 (13.7)	14 (8.6)	10 (6.2)	
Unlikely	3 (1.9)	3 (1.9)	5 (3.1)	
Possibly, probably, or definitely related	5 (3.1)	3 (1.9)	1 (0.6)	
≥1 AE related to injection procedure	5 (3.1)	5 (3.1)	3 (1.9)	

*Safety population, N = 484. The values are given as the number of patients, with the percentage in parentheses. TAcs = triamcinolone acetonide crystalline suspension. †If a patient experienced >1 AE in a given category, that patient was counted only once in that category. †Any index-knee finding that was new and clinically relevant or had worsened from baseline. §AE was nonserious and unrelated to the study agent.

utilized by the AAOS to assess the "clinical significance" of treatment effects^{6,31,32}. Furthermore, the 95% CIs surrounding the FX006-associated LSM improvement in all WOMAC subscales at weeks 4 and 8 exceeded minimally clinically important improvement thresholds defined by the AAOS for determining a "clinically significant" effect⁶. In a systematic review of pharmacological interventions for symptomatic knee osteoarthritis, the AAOS concluded that no currently available injectable intra-articular treatments

(including corticosteroids and hyaluronic acids) provided a "clinically significant" treatment effect⁶. While there is support for¹⁰, and criticism of¹¹, the AAOS criteria, they represent a systematic approach to identifying clinically meaningful improvements.

Although differences between FX006 and TAcs per protocol-specified ADP-based secondary end points were not significant, FX006 was superior to TAcs on exploratory end points (WOMAC pain, stiffness and physical function and

SINGLE IA INJECTION OF MICROSPHERE FORMULATION OF TRIAMCINOLONE ACETONIDE ON KNEE OA PAIN

KOOS-QOL data) at weeks 4, 8, and 12 (Fig. 3). Regarding the inconsistency between the 2 instruments in assessing pain, it is noted that WOMAC pain is a multi-item tool purpose-built for knee osteoarthritis, whereas the NRS is a single-item measure used for numerous indications²³⁻²⁵. A meta-analysis reviewing 125 randomized controlled trials found greater responsiveness for the WOMAC pain subscale compared with single-item pain-intensity measures³³.

FX006 demonstrated an acceptable safety profile. Most AEs across the groups were grade 1 or 2, nonserious, and unrelated to the study drug or injection procedure. Intramuscular delivery of PLGA microspheres is associated with a foreign-body response^{19,34}; in synovial tissues of dogs receiving intra-articular FX006, this was demonstrated to be mild, self-limiting, localized, reversible, and without detectable clinical effect³⁵. The Phase-3 clinical data are consistent with a lack of a clinically relevant foreign-body response, as a single intra-articular FX006 injection demonstrated systemic and local safety profiles generally similar to those of saline-solution placebo and TAcs. Although the numbers of FX006-treated patients to date are limited, the time course of index knee-related AEs in this trial indicated no post-injection flares. Index knee-related arthralgia in the FX006-treated patients was mild and occurred at time points when patients still exhibited improved ADP-intensity scores.

Early case reports associated joint damage with repeated corticosteroid injections^{36,37}. However, final data from 2 controlled imaging studies designed to assess how multiple injections administered over 2 years impact cartilage structure yielded conflicting results^{38,39}. In this study, we found no radiographic evidence of rapidly progressive osteoarthritis in either active arm through 24 weeks.

FX006 has the potential to demonstrate cost-effectiveness as a knee osteoarthritis therapy. In a preliminary evaluation of results from this trial pooled with results from other randomized trials of FX006^{21,22}, observed WOMAC-based clinical benefits of FX006 translated into an increase in quality of life for patients with knee osteoarthritis, demonstrated by an average qualityadjusted-life-years gain per 6 months of 0.189. Employing a hypothetical drug cost of \$500 (USD), FX006 was determined to be cost-effective, with incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICERs) of <\$5,000 versus either conventional care or diclofenac. FX006 was the dominant approach compared with intraarticular hyaluronic acid in a further ICER analysis⁴⁰. Additional studies are required to fully understand the cost-benefit and cost-effectiveness implications of managing osteoarthritis knee pain with FX006.

This study had limitations, including differing injection volumes for FX006 and saline-solution placebo (5 mL) versus TAcs (1 mL, as employed in clinical practice). The study was powered to detect significant differences in changes in ADP-intensity scores between FX006 and saline-solution placebo but not significant differences in rapidly progressive osteoarthritis defined by joint-space narrowing or loss of fixed joint space width. Exclusion of diabetics with a hemoglobin A1c level of >7.5% and the absence of fasting clinical laboratory assessments limit any potential conclusions concerning the effects of FX006 compared with TAcs on serum glucose levels.

In summary, this randomized double-blinded trial demonstrated sustained and clinically meaningful reductions in pain in knee osteoarthritis following a single intra-articular injection of a novel microsphere-based corticosteroid formulation that prolongs TA residency in synovial tissues compared with saline-solution placebo (primary outcome). No osteoarthritis flare or important AE signals were observed. The observed magnitude and persistence of pain-intensity reduction suggest that FX006 may have a place in knee osteoarthritis treatment paradigms.

Appendix

Additional details regarding blinding, exclusion criteria, assessments, adverse events, interventions, and outcomes and statistical methods, and a table describing changes made to the study efficacy outcomes during the development of the Statistical Analysis Plan are available with the online version of this article as a data supplement at jbjs.org (<u>http://links.lww.</u> com/JBJS/E646).

Nore: The authors thank the FX006-2014-008 study participants and their enrolling investigators. The authors also thank Daniel Leblanc, MS, and J. Derek Jackson, MA, of Flexion Therapeutics, for their assistance with study drug availability and manuscript review; Mittie K. Doyle, MD, a former employee of Flexion Therapeutics, for assistance with study conduct and manuscript review; Jie Shen, PhD, and Namita Tipnis, MS, both from the University of Connecticut, for assistance with data analysis and manuscript review; and Michelle L. Perate, MS, a paid professional medical writer, for assistance with manuscript preparation and submission.

Philip G. Conaghan, MBBS, PhD, FRACP, FRCP^{1,2} David J. Hunter, MBBS, PhD, FRACP³ Stanley B. Cohen, MD⁴ Virginia B. Kraus, MD, PhD⁵ Francis Berenbaum, MD, PhD⁶ Jay R. Lieberman, MD⁷ Deryk G. Jones, MD⁸ Andrew I. Spitzer, MD⁹ David S. Jevsevar, MD, MBA¹⁰ Nathaniel P. Katz, MD, MS^{11,12} Diane J. Burgess, PhD¹³ Joelle Lufkin, MPH¹⁴ James R. Johnson, PhD¹⁵ Neil Bodick, MD, PhD¹⁴

¹Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom

²NIHR Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds, United Kingdom

³Institute of Bone and Joint Research, Kolling Institute, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

⁴Department of Rheumatology, Metroplex Clinical Research Center, Dallas, Texas

⁵Department of Rheumatology and Duke Molecular Physiology Institute, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina ⁶Department of Rheumatology, Sorbonne Université, INSERM, AP-HP hôpital Saint-Antoine, DHU i2B, Paris, France

⁷Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California

⁸Ochsner Sports Medicine Institute, New Orleans, Louisiana

⁹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Cedars-Sinai Orthopaedic Center, Los Angeles, California

¹⁰Department of Orthopaedics, Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, New Hampshire

¹¹Analgesic Solutions, Natick, Massachusetts

¹²Department of Anesthesia, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts

¹³School of Pharmacy, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut ¹⁴Clinical Operations (J.L.) and Clinical Research and Medical Affairs (N.B.), Flexion Therapeutics, Inc., Burlington, Massachusetts

¹⁵Biostatistics, Summit Analytical, LLC, Cary, North Carolina

E-mail address for P.G. Conaghan: p.conaghan@leeds.ac.uk E-mail address for D.J. Hunter: david.hunter@sydney.edu.au E-mail address for S.B. Cohen: scohen@arthdocs.com E-mail address for V.B. Kraus: kraus004@duke.edu E-mail address for F. Berenbaum: francis.berenbaum@aphp.fr E-mail address for J.R. Lieberman: Jay.Lieberman@med.usc.edu E-mail address for D.G. Jones: djones@ochsner.org E-mail address for A.I. Spitzer: Andrew.spitzer@cshs.org E-mail address for D.S. Jevsevar: David.S.Jevsevar@hitchcock.org E-mail address for N.P. Katz: NKatz@analgesicsolutions.com E-mail address for D.J. Burgess: d.burgess@uconn.edu E-mail address for J. Lufkin: jlufkin@flexiontherapeutics.com E-mail address for J.R. Johnson: jjohnson@summitanalytical.com E-mail address for N. Bodick: nbodick@flexiontherapeutics.com

ORCID iD for P.G. Conaghan: 0000-0002-3478-5665

References

1. Hollander JL, Brown EM Jr, Jessar RA, Udell L, Smukler NM, Bowie MA. Hydrocortisone tertiary-butyl-acetate by intra-articular injection. J Am Med Assoc. 1955 Jun 11;158(6):476-7.

2. Bellamy N, Campbell J, Robinson V, Gee T, Bourne R, Wells G. Intraarticular corticosteroid for treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006 Apr 19;2:CD005328.

3. Jüni P, Hari R, Rutjes AW, Fischer R, Silletta MG, Reichenbach S, da Costa BR. Intra-articular corticosteroid for knee osteoarthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015 Oct 22;10:CD005328.

4. Derendorf H, Möllmann H, Grüner A, Haack D, Gyselby G. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of glucocorticoid suspensions after intra-articular administration. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 1986 Mar;39(3):313-7.

5. Bijlsma JW, Berenbaum F, Lafeber FP. Osteoarthritis: an update with relevance for clinical practice. Lancet. 2011 Jun 18;377(9783):2115-26.

6. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Evidence-based guideline, 2nd edition. 2013. www.aaos.

org/research/guidelines/TreatmentofOsteoarthritisoftheKneeGuideline.pdf. Accessed 2017 Dec 12.

7. Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, Benkhalti M, Guyatt G, McGowan J, Towheed T, Welch V, Wells G, Tugwell P; American College of Rheumatology. American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2012 Apr;64(4):465-74.

8. Conaghan PG, Peloso PM, Everett SV, Rajagopalan S, Black CM, Mavros P, Arden NK, Phillips CJ, Rannou F, van de Laar MA, Moore RA, Taylor SD. Inadequate pain relief and large functional loss among patients with knee osteoarthritis: evidence from a prospective multinational longitudinal study of osteoarthritis real-world therapies. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2015 Feb;54(2):270-7. Epub 2014 Aug 23.

9. Bhala N, Emberson J, Merhi A, Abramson S, Arber N, Baron JA, Bombardier C, Cannon C, Farkouh ME, FitzGerald GA, Goss P, Halls H, Hawk E, Hawkey C, Hennekens C, Hochberg M, Holland LE, Kearney PM, Laine L, Lanas A, Lance P, Laupacis A, Oates J, Patrono C, Schnitzer TJ, Solomon S, Tugwell P, Wilson K, Wittes J, Baigent C; Coxib and traditional NSAID Trialists' (CNT) Collaboration. Vascular and upper gastrointestinal effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: meta-analyses of individual participant data from randomised trials. Lancet. 2013 Aug 31;382(9894):769-79. Epub 2013 May 30.

10. Jevsevar D, Donnelly P, Brown GA, Cummins DS. Viscosupplementation for osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review of the evidence. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2015 Dec 16;97(24):2047-60.

11. Bannuru RR, Vaysbrot EE, McIntyre LF. Did the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons osteoarthritis guidelines miss the mark? Arthroscopy. 2014 Jan;30(1):86-9.

12. Koenig KM, Ong KL, Lau EC, Vail TP, Berry DJ, Rubash HE, Kurtz S, Bozic KJ. The use of hyaluronic acid and corticosteroid injections among Medicare patients with knee osteoarthritis. J Arthroplasty. 2016 Feb;31(2):351-5. Epub 2015 Aug 29.

13. Kraus VB, Conaghan PG, Aazami HA, Mehra P, Kivitz AJ, Lufkin J, Hauben J, Johnson JR, Bodick N. Synovial and systemic pharmacokinetics (PKs) of triamcinolone acetonide (TA) following intra-articular (IA) injection of an extended-release microsphere-based formulation (FX006) or standard crystalline suspension in patients with knee osteoarthritis (OA). Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2018 Jan;26(1):34-42. Epub 2017 Oct 9.

14. Wang Y, Burgess DJ. Microsphere technologies. In: Wright JC, Burgess DJ, eds. Long acting injections and implants. New York: Springer; 2012. p. 167-194.

15. Kumar R, Palmieri MJ Jr. Points to consider when establishing drug product specifications for parenteral microspheres. AAPS J. 2010 Mar;12(1):27-32. Epub 2009 Nov 17.

16. Wang Y, Papadimitrakopoulos F, Burgess DJ. Polymeric "smart" coatings to prevent foreign body response to implantable biosensors. J Control Release. 2013 Aug 10;169(3):341-7. Epub 2013 Jan 5.

17. Young D. In vitro-in vivo correlation for modified release parenteral drug delivery systems. In: Chilukuri DM, Sunkura G, Young D, eds. Pharmaceutical product development: in vitro-in vivo correlation. New York: Informa Healthecare; 2007. p 141-51.

18. Zolnik BS, Burgess DJ. Evaluation of in vivo-in vitro release of dexamethasone from PLGA microspheres. J Control Release. 2008 Apr 21;127(2):137-45. Epub 2008 Jan 24.

19. Shive MS, Anderson JM. Biodegradation and biocompatibility of PLA and PLGA microspheres. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 1997 Oct 13;28(1):5-24.

20. Kumar A, Bendele AM, Blanks RC, Bodick N. Sustained efficacy of a single intraarticular dose of FX006 in a rat model of repeated localized knee arthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2015 Jan;23(1):151-60. Epub 2014 Sep 26.

21. Bodick N, Lufkin J, Willwerth C, Kumar A, Bolognese J, Schoonmaker C, Ballal R, Hunter D, Clayman M. An intra-articular, extended-release formulation of triamcinolone acetonide prolongs and amplifies analgesic effect in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized clinical trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2015 Jun 3;97 (11):877-88.

22. Conaghan PG, Cohen SB, Berenbaum F, Lufkin J, Johnson JR, Bodick N. A Phase IIb Trial of a Novel Extended-Release Microsphere Formulation of Triamcinolone Acetonide for Intraarticular Injection in Knee Osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2017 Oct 31. [Epub ahead of print].

23. Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Farrar JT, Haythornthwaite JA, Jensen MP, Katz NP, Kerns RD, Stucki G, Allen RR, Bellamy N, Carr DB, Chandler J, Cowan P, Dionne R, Galer BS, Hertz S, Jadad AR, Kramer LD, Manning DC, Martin S, McCormick CG, McDermott MP, McGrath P, Quessy S, Rappaport BA, Robbins W, Robinson JP, Rothman M, Royal MA, Simon L, Stauffer JW, Stein W, Tollett J, Wernicke J, Witter J; IMMPACT. Core outcome measures for chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. Pain. 2005 Jan;113(1-2):9-19.

24. Cleeland CS, Ryan KM. Pain assessment: global use of the Brief Pain Inventory. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 1994 Mar;23(2):129-38.

25. Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, Campbell J, Stitt LW. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. J Rheumatol. 1988 Dec;15(12):1833-40.

26. Roos EM, Lohmander LS. The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS): from joint injury to osteoarthritis. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2003 Nov 3:1:64.

27. Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K, Christy W, Cooke TD, Greenwald R, Hochberg M, Meenan R, Mikkelsen W, Moskowitz R, Murphy W, Rothschild B, Segal M, Sokoloff I, Wolfe F; Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism Association. Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Arthritis Rheum. 1986 Aug;29(8):1039-49.

28. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS. Radiological assessment of osteo-arthrosis. Ann Rheum Dis. 1957 Dec;16(4):494-502.

29. Holm S. A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. Scand J Stat. 1979;6(2):65-70.

30. Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Wyrwich KW, Beaton D, Cleeland CS, Farrar JT, Haythornthwaite JA, Jensen MP, Kerns RD, Ader DN, Brandenburg N, Burke LB, Cella D, Chandler J, Cowan P, Dimitrova R, Dionne R, Hertz S, Jadad AR, Katz NP, Kehlet H, Kramer LD, Manning DC, McCormick C, McDermott MP, McQuay HJ, Patel S, Porter L, Quessy S, Rappaport BA, Rauschkolb C, Revicki DA, Rothman M, Schmader KE, Stacey BR, Stauffer JW, von Stein T, White RE, Witter J, Zavisic S. Interpreting the clinical importance of treatment outcomes in chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. J Pain. 2008 Feb;9(2):105-21. Epub 2007 Dec 11.

31. Angst F, Aeschlimann A, Michel BA, Stucki G. Minimal clinically important rehabilitation effects in patients with osteoarthritis of the lower extremities. J Rheumatol. 2002 Jan;29(1):131-8.

32. Tubach F, Wells GA, Ravaud P, Dougados M. Minimal clinically important difference, low disease activity state, and patient acceptable symptom state: methodological issues. J Rheumatol. 2005 Oct;32(10):2025-9.

SINGLE IA INJECTION OF MICROSPHERE FORMULATION OF TRIAMCINOLONE ACETONIDE ON KNEE OA PAIN

33. Dworkin RH, Peirce-Sandner S, Turk DC, McDermott MP, Gibofsky A, Simon LS, Farrar JT, Katz NP. Outcome measures in placebo-controlled trials of osteoarthritis: responsiveness to treatment effects in the REPORT database. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2011 May;19(5):483-92. Epub 2011 Mar 23.

34. Anderson JM. Host response to long acting injections and implants. In: Wright JC, Burgess DJ, eds. Long acting injections and implants. New York: Springer; 2012. p 25-55.

35. Williamson TL, Waltz A, Garlick D, Lightfoot-Dunn R, Schafer K, Kelley S, Bodick N. Systemic and local effects following intra-articular injection of FX006, an extended release, PLGA microsphere formulation of triamcinolone acetonide: results from two nonclinical toxicity studies in dogs. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2017;25(Suppl 1): S431-S432.

36. Chandler GN, Wright V. Deleterious effect of intra-articular hydrocortisone. Lancet. 1958 Sep 27;2(7048):661-3.

37. Sweetnam R. Corticosteroid arthropathy and tendon rupture. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1969 Aug;51(3):397-8.

38. McAlindon TE, LaValley MP, Harvey WF, Price LL, Driban JB, Zhang M, Ward RJ. Effect of intra-articular triamcinolone vs saline on knee cartilage volume and pain in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2017 May 16;317(19):1967-75.

39. Raynauld JP, Buckland-Wright C, Ward R, Choquette D, Haraoui B, Martel-Pelletier J, Uthman I, Khy V, Tremblay JL, Bertrand C, Pelletier JP. Safety and efficacy of long-term intraarticular steroid injections in osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum. 2003 Feb;48(2):370-7.

40. Kelley SD, Johnson JR, Thornton D, Skaar JR, Varsos GV, Peyerl FW. An intraarticular, extended-release formulation of triamcinolone acetonide as a cost-effective therapy for treating osteoarthritis of the knee. Value Health. 2017; 20(5):A145.