Plant Pathology (2018) Doi: 10.1111/ppa.12824



REVIEW

Progress in understanding *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens and the development of resistant *Musa* germplasm

A. E. Alakonya^{a*}, J. Kimunye^b, G. Mahuku^c, D. Amah^a, B. Uwimana^b, A. Brown^d and R. Swennen^{def}

^aInternational Institute of Tropical Agriculture, PMB 5320, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria; ^bInternational Institute of Tropical Agriculture, 15B East Naguru Road, PO Box 7878 Kampala, Uganda; ^cInternational Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Plot 25 Light Industrial Area, Coca Cola Rd, Dar es Salaam; ^dInternational Institute of Tropical Agriculture, PO Box 10, Duluti, Arusha, Tanzania; ^eLaboratory of Tropical Crop Improvement, Department of Biosystems, KU Leuven, Willem de Croylaan 42, 3001 Leuven; and ^fBioversity International, Willem de Croylaan 42 bus 2455, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

Banana and plantain (*Musa* spp.) are important food crops in tropical and subtropical regions of the world where they generate millions of dollars annually to both subsistence farmers and exporters. Since 1902, *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens, *Pseudocercospora fijiensis*, *P. musae* and *P. eumusae*, have emerged as major production constraints to banana and plantain. Despite concerted efforts to counter these pathogens, they have continued to negatively impact banana yield. In this review, the economic importance, distribution and the interactions between *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens and *Musa* species are discussed. Interactions are further scrutinized in the light of an emerging climate change scenario and efforts towards the development of resistant banana germplasm are discussed. Finally, some of the opportunities and gaps in knowledge that could be exploited to further understanding of this ubiquitous pathosystem are highlighted.

Keywords: banana, climate change, effectors, genetic improvement, Pseudocercospora banana pathogens

Importance of Musa spp.

Edible bananas are native to Southeast Asia and are a result of hybridization events involving two wild, seeded species, Musa acuminata (A genome) and Musa balbisiana (B genome). However, a few cultivars are thought to have originated from hybridization of Musa schizocarpa (S genome) with either M. acuminata or M. balbisiana. One clone is thought to have resulted from hybridization between M. balbisiana and Musa textilis (T genome; Simmonds, 1962; D'Hont et al., 2000). Banana and plantains are important food crops in tropical and subtropical regions where they are grown in nearly 120 countries on about 10 million hectares. They are estimated to yield 144 megatonnes (Mt) annually (Food & Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2014). The 10 leading banana-producing countries are India 28.28 Mt, China Mainland 11.64 Mt, Uganda 11.23 Mt, Phillipines 9.45 Mt, Ecuador 8.24 Mt, Brazil 7.65 Mt, Indonesia 6.34 Mt, Colombia 5.27 Mt, Cameroon 4.94 Mt and Tanzania 4.08 Mt (FAO, 2014). Worldwide, bananas are ranked sixth among the staple food crops after

Impact of *Pseudocercospora* Banana Pathogens

Production of banana and plantain worldwide is constrained by various pests and diseases. One of these major disease complexes results from three fungi belonging to the genus *Pseudocercospora* (previously named *Mycosphaerella*) that are closely related phylogenetically. These three fungi are *P. fijiensis* (sexual morph: *Mycosphaerella fijiensis*), the causal agent of black leaf streak diseases/black Sigatoka, *P. musae* (sexual morph: *Mycosphaerella musicola*), that causes yellow Sigatoka and *P. eumusae* (sexual morph: *Mycosphaerella eumusae*), the causal agent of eumusae leaf spot (Stover, 1980; Carlier *et al.*, 2000a; Marín *et al.*, 2003; Arzanlou *et al.*,

rice, wheat, maize, cassava and potato (Food & Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2014). Over 85% of banana is produced by smallholder farmers for food, nutritional security and as a source of income, while the rest of the production (approximately 16.5 Mt per year) is primarily dominated by cultivar Cavendish that is targeted for the export market, generating approximately \$13.2 billion in earnings annually (Food & Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2014).

^{*}E-mail: a.alakonya@cgiar.org

2007; Zandjanakou-Tachin et al., 2009). Pseudocercospora banana pathogens are hemibiotrophic. Once the spores of these pathogens are disseminated to the banana leaf surface and environmental conditions are conducive for pathogen growth, they germinate and penetrate leaf tissues through stomatal openings to colonize the intercellular spaces within mesophyll and pallisade cell layers (Jones, 2000). Symptom expression occurs 7-14 days after infection and is manifested by streaks on leaves that later turn necrotic, thus significantly reducing the leaf's ability to photosynthesize (Churchill, 2011). This may ultimately lead to 35-100% yield loss, depending on the cultivar, environmental conditions and level of infection (Marín et al., 2003; Churchill, 2011). These losses are reflected by poorly filled fruits, smaller bunches and reduced bunch weight (Chillet et al., 2014). In addition, severe infections induce physiological changes that result in heightened climacteric rise (CO₂ and C₂H₄ production) that leads to reduced green life (Castelana et al., 2012; Chillet et al., 2014); this is associated with premature and uneven fruit ripening, reduced pulp colour clarity and altered fruit taste. The pathogen-induced early fruit ripening results in farmers harvesting the fruit before maturity to avoid fruit spoilage before reaching the market (Jones, 2000; Marín et al., 2003; Pérez-Vicente, 2012; Chillet et al., 2014). Infection by Pseudocercospora banana pathogens also triggers the production of hormones such as abscisic acid that are known to play a role in the plant abiotic stress response. Similarly, foliar stress from these pathogens triggers anthocyanin synthesis which results in changes in pulp colour from white to grey or red (Dixon et al., 1994; Chaiker-Scott, 1999; Zhang et al., 2006; Gagné et al., 2011; Chillet et al., 2014).

Management of *Pseudocercospora* Banana Pathogens

Application of combinations of systemic and contact fungicides is effective against Pseudocercospora banana pathogens. However, this practice results in an increase in the cost of production by 25-30% (i.e. from \$1000 ha⁻¹ up to \$1800 ha⁻¹). In total these chemical control efforts cost \$550 million annually worldwide (Churchill, 2011). The use of chemicals effective against P. fijiensis is known to encourage the pathogen to develop resistance against these fungicides (Rodriguez et al., 2016). Another concern associated with chemical applications is the environmental risk posed to those working and living near banana plantations (de Bellaire et al., 2010; Churchill, 2011). Other non-chemical disease management approaches, such as the use of biological control agents, organic farming, cultural practices and phytosanitary legislation, have not been widely adopted as they are often laborious and require specialized equipment that might be prohibitive in developing countries (Marín et al., 2003; Jimenez et al., 2007; Churchill, 2011). The majority of banana and plantain producers in sub-Saharan Africa are small-scale farmers with limited income hence particularly vulnerable to impacts of *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens.

Distribution of *Pseudocercospora* Banana Pathogens and Predicted Climate Change Effects

Early reports show that P. musae was the first pathogen of the *Pseudocercospora* species to be identified as a pest to banana in the Java Islands in 1902 and it rapidly spread to other banana-growing areas causing mild to severe symptoms. Later, in 1963, P. fijiensis was reported in the Sigatoka district of the Fiji islands, giving the disease the name 'Sigatoka' by which it is commonly known. However, it is believed that P. fijiensis may have existed long before this initial documentation and probably coexisted with P. musae or may have been misidentified for the same pathogen (Meredith & Lawrence, 1970; Stover & Dickson, 1976; Jones, 2000). In less than four decades from its initial documentation, P. fijiensis has spread to attain global distribution in most banana-growing regions, earning the reputation of the most problematic disease of bananas in Africa, Asia, the Pacific Islands and the Americas (Stover, 1980; Stover & Simmonds, 1987). Pseudocercospora eumusae is the latest Pseudocercospora species to be recognized as a banana production constraint and since its first identification in Southeast Asia in the 1990s, the disease has since been reported in South Asia, Sri Lanka, Mauritius, Malaysia, Vietnam and Nigeria (Carlier et al., 2000b; Crous & Mourichon, 2002; Zandjanakou-Tachin et al., 2009). In Africa, there are no reports of P. eumusae in major banana-growing areas except Nigeria (Tushemereirwe et al., 1993; Zandjanakou-Tachin et al., 2009). However, distribution of this pathogen is suspected to be more widespread than currently reported but may be undetected due to its similarity of symptoms as well as coexistence with P. fijiensis and P. musae. The lack of comprehensive information calls for more robust epidemiology studies on this pathogen in major bananagrowing areas, especially in Africa where limited management efforts are employed.

Traditionally, *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens were separated by altitudinal and climatic gradients; for instance, P. musae was restricted to higher altitudes with cooler temperatures (Tushemereirwe et al., 1993; Carlier et al., 2000b; Jones, 2009). On the other hand, P. fijiensis was more prevalent in lower, warmer areas with higher rainfall (Tushemereirwe et al., 1993; Arzanlou et al., 2007; Churchill, 2011). However, there appears to be an adaptation shift towards higher altitude areas by P. fijiensis and in some cases it has replaced P. musae to become the dominant Pseudocercospora banana pathogen (Jones, 2000; Arzanlou et al., 2007). This displacement has been gradual, therefore suggesting an evolutionary adaptation in response to either a changing climate or host fitness (Jones, 2000). Growth, reproduction, dispersal and survival of Pseudocercospora banana pathogens are highly dependent on prevailing environmental conditions, primarily humidity, temperature and precipitation (Chakraborty & Newton, 2011; Churchill, 2011). Changes in climatic variables can often lead to shifts in the relative importance of diseases by altering their distribution in such a manner that previous habitats become more or less favourable for individual pathogens. These shifts are often gradual as the pathogens slowly adapt to new environments to avoid displacement (Chakraborty et al., 2000; Jones, 2000; Chakraborty, 2013). It has often been predicted that these ecological changes may affect virulence of plant pathogens and therefore impact negatively on plant quality and yield (Garrett et al., 2006, 2011; Chakraborty & Newton, 2011; Luck et al., 2011; Savary et al., 2011; Jones & Barbetti, 2012; Juroszek & Von Tiedemann, 2013).

Predictions of the effects of climatic change on banana and P. fijiensis have also been made. For instance, areas suitable for banana production are suggested to increase by 50% by the year 2070 as a result of increased annual temperatures that will make conditions more favourable for banana in higher altitudes. Thus, increases in banana cultivation area will increase fruit yield in the subtropics and in the tropical highlands (Calberto et al., 2015). However, the higher temperatures will potentially result in higher water demand, projected to increase by 12-15%. This high water demand may lead to enhanced irrigation to mitigate against water deficiency. Further, higher temperatures may threaten other perennial crops such as coffee and cocoa that are often intercropped with banana, possibly forcing farmers to abandon them and, in the long run, abandon banana. It has also been predicted that increased temperatures will increase incidence and severity of Pseudocercospora banana pathogens as it is likely to accelerate spore germination. However, some suggest this may not be as great a concern because leaf wetness plays a bigger role than temperature on disease severity; this is associated with rainfall distribution that may not be affected by climate change (Ghini et al., 2007; Calberto et al., 2015). In addition, new banana habitats may emerge, resulting in habitat shifts of Pseudocercospora banana pathogens. These climatic change models highlight the effect of habitat suitability on pathogen survival rather than the effect on pathogen development or host response to pathogens (Elad & Pertot, 2014).

Given the polycyclic nature and sexual reproduction of the *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens, it is likely that they will benefit from a prolonged host growing season occasioned by global warming (Calberto *et al.*, 2015); the pathogen will probably achieve more generations per season, which in turn will result in increased disease severity. In addition, changes in climate have also been shown to favour sexual reproduction in fungi, which increases the potential for emergence of new pathotypes (Legler *et al.*, 2012). The genetic diversity among and within *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens is considerable and has often resulted in emergence of resistance to existing chemical formulations used to control them (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, it is expected that

these difficulties will be further exacerbated in scenarios where humidity and temperature are changing.

Although it is difficult to discriminate between the effect of temperature on the effectiveness of host resistance mechanisms and its effect on pathogen virulence, it has been documented that host-pathogen gene pairs related to resistance respond differently to different temperature ranges (Browder & Eversmeyer, 1986; Olson et al., 1990; Newton & Young, 1996; Chakraborty et al., 2000; Garrett et al., 2006; Luck et al., 2011; Elad & Pertot, 2014). These changes in temperature resulting from climate change may be perceived by banana plants as a form of abiotic stress. Abiotic stresses are known to alter gene expression profiles of plants (Zhang et al., 2006); however, it is still not clear whether these changes will result in reduced or increased manifestation of Pseudocercospora banana diseases. Increased infection by the Pseudocercospora banana pathogens has been reported to illicit abiotic-like responses in banana by enhancing anthocyanin biosynthesis that in turn results in alteration in fruit colour (Chillet et al., 2014). The effect of climate change on the profile or quantity of small fungal molecules called effectors, which are known to aid in pathogen infection, also remains unknown (Vleeshouwers & Oliver, 2014). Recently, transcriptomic and bioinformatic analysis identified such factors in Pseudocercospora banana pathogens (Arango-Isaza et al., 2016; Noar & Daub, 2016a,b). It is possible that climatic changes will not only affect optimal conditions for infection but may also influence host specificity and success of disease management strategies (Elad & Pertot, 2014). To date, the optimum environmental conditions for P. eumusae are unclear, although it has been reported to survive in similar environmental conditions as P. fijiensis (Carlier et al., 2000a). More detailed research is needed to elucidate clearly how changes in climate will affect the distribution and virulence of *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens. In addition, it is imperative to understand how these changes will influence pathogenicity of the more than 20 Pseudocecospora spp. reported to colonize banana but whose economic importance on banana is yet to be determined (Arzanlou et al., 2008). In fact, because the effects of climatic change remain predictive, elaborate surveillance and management programmes targeting Pseudocercospora banana pathogens could be the most costeffective option to reduce future impacts (Hulme, 2017).

Advances in Genomics of *Pseudocercospora* Banana Pathogens

Efforts towards sequencing *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens were initiated in 2003 and first focused on *P. fijiensis*, using the genome of *Zymoseptoria tritici* (previously named *Mycosphaerella graminicola*) as a reference. By 2004, a draft of the *P. fijiensis* genome had been assembled and the size estimated to be 74.1 Mb. The then newly sequenced genome was found to be 87% larger than *Z. tritici* due to a considerable number of repetitive sequences (Goodwin *et al.*, 2004). Later, two idiomorph

mat1-1and mat1-2, were isolated P. fijiensis using long-range PCR, providing insight into the possible evolution of Pseudocercospora species (Conde-Ferráez et al., 2007). Additional studies have concentrated on genomic sequence annotation and isolation of useful markers (Yang & Zhong, 2008; Ferreira et al., 2009). These efforts have resulted in the identification of gene homologues associated with P. fijiensis virulence (Cho et al., 2008; De Wit et al., 2009; Stergiopoulos et al., 2010). Later, the genomes of P. fijiensis isolates CIRAD86 and CIRAD139 were sequenced using Sanger and Illumina Hi Seq technologies, and have provided more detailed information on genomic structure and content, as well as the functionality of several genes in the pathogen (Ohm et al., 2012; Arango-Isaza et al., 2016). These studies have clearly demonstrated genome expansion in *P. fijiensis* by the presence of repetitive sequences; such expansion may possibly be a result of horizontal gene transfer from banana or from other fungi colonizing banana (Friesen et al., 2006; Arzanlou et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2010; Santana et al., 2012; Czislowski et al., 2017). Comparative genomic analysis between P. fijiensis and P. eumusae has led to the suggestion that the two pathogens share a common ancestor and could have possibly emerged as a result of radiative evolution (Arango-Isaza et al., 2016). More importantly, these studies have determined that the gene content of virulent P. fijiensis and P. eumusae display complementary expansions and contractions in gene families involved in metabolism and enzymatic degradation (Chang et al., 2016). These findings point to a possibility of convergent evolution that resulted in variation of the virulence of the pathogens. One important paradigm would be to understand how the gene expansions and increase in repetitive sequences increase virulence of fungal pathogens and, by extension, host resistance (Möller & Stukenbrock, 2017). The analysis of repetitive sequences in *P. fijiensis* suggests that they play an important role in evolution of this pathogen through modification of gene structure or expression, resulting in new strains of the pathogen (Santana et al., 2012). Recently, transcriptome sequencing of *P. fijiensis* during association with banana and on media identified key pathogenicity genes that are up-regulated during plant infection (Noar & Daub, 2016a). Furthermore, a bioinfomatic approach to predict polyketide synthase (PKS) gene clusters from P. fijiensis found that the fungus contained three PKS genes that were also present in P. musae and P. eumusae but absent in other fungal species. Further comparison to P. musae and P. eumusae PKS gene clusters showed that many of the PKS genes were similar to those of P. fijiensis, with a single gene exception that encoded a unique compound (Noar & Daub, 2016b). An analysis of several isolates of P. fijiensis has also revealed allelic variation in some of the effector-coding sequences (Stergiopoulos et al., 2014). These findings further strengthen the radiative evolution theory for the Pseudocercospora banana pathogens (Arango-Isaza et al., 2016). With this information and knowledge of gene expansions in these pathogens, gene validation studies could be further intensified to gain more insight on gene function in the *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens (Santana *et al.*, 2012). Indeed, bioinformatics analysis of the repetitive sequences has revealed that gene expansions and increases in repetitive sequences lead to emergence of new strains/pathotypes of *P. fijiensis* (Santana *et al.*, 2012; Möller & Stukenbrock, 2017). To some extent these genomic expansions could be responsible for several *PKS* genes that are only found in the *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens and no other fungal genera, making it an even more complex pathosystem (Noar & Daub, 2016b).

Genetic Transformation of *Pseudocercospora* spp: A Tool to Understand Genomics of *Pseudocercospora* Banana Pathogens

Currently, several transformation systems for P. fijiensis, P. musae and P. eumusae using polyethylene glycol, Agrobacterium tumefaciens and underwater shock waves exist (Balint-Kurti et al., 2001; Donzelli & Churchill, 2003; Portal et al., 2012; Escobar-Tovar et al., 2015; Onyilo et al., 2017). These transformation protocols have led to better understanding of the infection process of Pseudocercospora banana pathogens in both susceptible and resistant banana germplasm through the use of tagged fluorescent markers. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the pathogens are hemibiotrophic (Balint-Kurti et al., 2001; Portal et al., 2012; Escobar-Tovar et al., 2015). Recently, Onyilo et al. (2017) demonstrated that silencing of a gene (PfHog1) responsible for the P. fijiensis mitogen-activated protein kinase known as P. fijiensis high osmolarity glycerol significantly suppressed growth of P. fijiensis on potato dextrose agar media supplemented with 1 M NaCl. This indicated that PfHog1 regulates osmotic stress and plays a critical role in osmotic stress regulation. In addition, the PfHog1-silenced mutants displayed reduced virulence as well as growth rate through reduced mycelial density (Onyilo et al., 2017). Although gene knockout or silencing approaches are not routine in these fungi, this study sets a solid foundation for further exploration in that area. Other tools such as gene overexpression as well as genome editing may also be feasible with the genomic information that is now available for P. fijiensis, P. eumusae and P. musae. The genomes of these three pathogens have lineage-specific transposable element expansions that may have played an important role during species divergence. Furthermore, it may be useful to apply reverse genetic studies to elucidate gene function in the Pseudocercospora banana pathogens; this may reveal new factors that are important for the virulence of the pathogens when colonizing banana (Arango-Isaza et al., 2016; Chang et al., 2016).

Identification and Validation of Effector Proteins and Other Virulence Factors of *Pseudocercospora* Banana Pathogens

Plant pathogens secrete a wide range of small molecules (microRNAs, metabolites and toxins) and proteins

referred to as effectors that, depending on the host lifestyle, result in triggered resistance or susceptibility responses (Weiberg et al., 2013; Vleeshouwers & Oliver, 2014; Arango-Isaza et al., 2016). Effector-triggered immunity is a result of interaction between pathogen virulence factors and their cognate resistance genes in the host (Vleeshouwers & Oliver, 2014). While the importance of Pseudocercospora species as banana pathogens has been known for a long time, the repertoire of effector proteins involved in pathogenicity and their functional characterization is only now being understood (Noar & Daub, 2016a,b). It is suspected that micro-RNAs, metabolites and toxins play effector roles in virulence of Pseudocercospora banana pathogens, although this has not been clearly demonstrated (Weiberg et al., 2013). Few effector proteins that are functional orthologues of the much studied Cladosporium fulvum have been identified in the genome of P. musae and P. fijiensis (Stergiopoulos et al., 2010; Passos et al., 2013; Arango-Isaza et al., 2016; Noar & Daub, 2016b). Notably, a higher number of effectors have been identified in P. fijiensis than other related fungi such as M. graminicola, P. eumusae, P. musae and C. fulvum (Cho et al., 2008; Arango-Isaza et al., 2016; Friesen, 2016; Noar & Daub, 2016a). Such findings, coupled with the current understanding that the three pathogens have different strategies for manipulating the host immune system, have great implications especially for the development of Musa germplasm with resistance to Pseudocercospora banana pathogens. Recently, transcriptomic and bioinformatic analyses have identified genes that code for molecules that aid *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens to successfully infect banana, including PKS and proteins involved in metabolism and enzymatic degradation (Chang et al., 2016; Noar & Daub, 2016a,b). Bioinformatic analysis has also demonstrated that some of the effectors are unique to the three Pseudocercospora banana pathogens while one is only present in P. fijiensis and not in any known fungal pathogen (Noar & Daub, 2016b). Recently, a purified protein of the putative gene PfAvr4 from P. fijiensis successfully illicited a hypersensitive reaction in a resistant banana genotype but not in the susceptible cultivar, hence cementing the importance of such molecules in virulence of the pathogen and their potential use in germplasm resistance screening (Arango-Isaza et al., 2016).

Understanding the types of effectors produced by the pathogens and their connection to virulence as well as host response will aid in the development of new management strategies against these pathogens. Effector-assisted breeding can facilitate the discovery of resistance genes and can be used to determine the durability of the resistance genes by evaluating their ability to recognize genetic variants of effector protein genes in a pathogen population (Vleeshouwers & Oliver, 2014). This approach may be more feasible in developing resistance to *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens that exhibit a great deal of plasticity. Effectors have been exploited in resistance breeding and resistance gene deployment in various

pathosystems such as Parastagonospora nodorum and Pyrenophora tritici-repentis on wheat and Phytophthora infestans on potato (Vleeshouwers & Oliver, 2014). Recent work by Arango-Isaza et al. (2016) has demonstrated that using effector proteins may provide a rapid screening method for resistance to Pseudocercospora banana pathogens that may culminate in early deployment/release of germplasm. It is understood that P. musae and P. eumusae employ similar mechanisms for host attack, thus, a similar approach of resistance identification is likely to be applicable (Noar & Daub, 2016a,b). Genomic comparisons of various pathogens with different virulence abilities may also help to explain why P. fijiensis and P. eumusae have managed to coexist while P. musae appears to have been displaced by P. fijiensis (Carlier et al., 2000a; Gauhl et al., 2000; Arzanlou et al., 2007; Zandjanakou-Tachin et al., 2009). It may be interesting to understand how virulence factors from these different but related fungi affect their coexistence.

Understanding the Host Response to Pseudocercospora Banana Pathogens and Development of Resistant Musa germplasm

Development of Musa germplasm with resistance to Pseudocercospora banana pathogens has been a focus of several breeding programmes since 1922 (Jones, 2000). Results from the breeding efforts have shown that Pseudocercospora banana pathogens appear to have evolved different virulence abilities against banana, sometimes leading to breakdown in resistance in well-characterized germplasm (Fullerton & Olsen, 1995; Escobar-Tovar et al., 2015). These reports confirm that major gene resistance, as proposed in the gene-for-gene resistance model by Flor (1946), is unlikely to be a long-term solution against Pseudocercospora banana pathogens. Ortiz & Vuylsteke (1994) proposed that resistance to P. fijiensis is a result of one recessive allele (bs_1) and two independent resistant additive alleles (bsr2 and bsr3). Later, Craenen & Ortiz (1997) demonstrated that intralocus interaction in the bs_1 locus apparently regulates the appearance of symptoms on the leaf surface whereas the additive effect and intralocus interaction of the bsr locus affects disease development in banana.

Several studies have determined that the biochemical reactions that occur in other plant species during invasion by pathogens also occur in banana when infected by *Pseudocercospora* pathogens (Dhakshinamoorthy *et al.*, 2014; Hölscher *et al.*, 2014; Vaganan *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2015). These include strengthening of physical barriers, such as lignification, the hypersensitive response, and the production of compounds such as phytoanticipins, phenols, phenylphenalenones, peroxidase, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, β-1,3-glucanase and hydrogen peroxide (Hoss *et al.*, 2000; Otálvaro *et al.*, 2007; Cruz-Cruz *et al.*, 2010; Cavalcante *et al.*, 2011; Torres *et al.*, 2012; Sanchez-García *et al.*, 2013; Hidalgo *et al.*, 2016). Specific changes in gene expression profiles have also been detected only in resistant cultivars. For instance, in

Calcutta 4, high expression of several genes associated with pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins has been recorded during infection with P. fijiensis (Portal et al., 2012; Rodriguez et al., 2016). Furthermore, an analysis of the banana genome has identified genes encoding putative proteins associated with plant response to invasion by pathogens and their effectors (D'Hont et al., 2012). The early gene expression pattern of Calcutta 4 in response to infection by P. fijiensis was determined using microarray chip and quantitative real-time PCR, which also established that genes coding for peroxidase, pathogenesisrelated 4 (PR-4), PR-10, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase and disease response 1 were up-regulated during early infection with the pathogen (Rodriguez et al., 2016). Concurrently, using the cDNA suppression subtractive hybridization technique, different pathways such as glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and genes encoding zinc finger domains, metallothioneins and putative disease resistance protein RGA1 were shown to play a role in the early resistance response against M. fijiensis in Calcutta 4 (Timm et al., 2016). A recent study established that genes coding for ethylene responsive factor, flavin-containing monoxygenase, serine glyoxylate lipoxygenase and metallothionein were up-regulated when the resistant cultivar Manoranjitham was infected with P. eumusae (Saravanakumar et al., 2016). Furthermore, other innate host factors such as stomatal density and pseudostem waxiness have been proposed to play a role in resistance to P. fijiensis (Craenen & Ortiz, 1997). It is important to note that true or complete resistance to P. fijiensis has not been shown to exist, although some level of resistance or tolerance has been clearly demonstrated (Craenen & Ortiz, 1997).

As observed in the studies above, different approaches as well as cultivars are used in determining host resistance responses against Pseudocercospora banana pathogens. However, it is clear that resistant and susceptible cultivars respond differently to infection by these pathogens. These gene response studies are therefore helpful on two fronts. First, the gene expression profiles could be used in early selection of resistant candidates through artificial infection by the pathogens followed by gene expression profiling of the target genes. This approach could save time and funds used in maintaining fields during selection of resistant parents under natural infection. Secondly, the genes identified to confer resistance against these pathogens could be cloned into overexpression vectors and transformed into banana. In the latter approach, the transgenic banana produced could be screened against Pseudocercospora banana pathogens and, if found to be resistant, deployed for wider cultivation. However, the acceptance of this approach may face barriers in countries that have restrictions on genetically engineered crops.

The major banana breeding programmes worldwide are mainly clustered in Africa, Asia and the Americas. In Africa, the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) in Nigeria, Uganda and Tanzania, the National Agricultural Research Organization (NARO) of Uganda,

the Centre Africain de Recherches sur Bananiers et Plantains (CARBAP) in Cameroon and the Centre National de Recherche Agronomique (CNRA) in Côte d'Ivoire have responsibility for banana improvement, In Asia, the Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences (CATAS) and the Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences (GDASS) in the Peoples' Rebublic of China, the Indonesian Fruits Research Institute (ITFRI) in Indonesia and the ICR-National Research Centre for Banana (NRCB) in Tamil Nadu, India perform similar functions on locally adapted varieties. In the Americas, breeding is carried out by the Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária-Mandioca e Fruticultura Tropical (EMBRAPA-CNPMF) in Brazil, the Centre de Coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Dévelopement -Département des productions fruitières et horticoles (CIRAD-FLHOR) in Guadeloupe, and the Fundación Hondurereña de Investigación Agrícola (FHIA) in Honduras that absorbed the United Fruits Company.

At IITA, the evaluation of several subspecies of wild M. acuminata (subsp. banksii, burmannica, malaccensis, microcarpa) against P. fijienis has shown that partial resistance (whereby disease symptoms develop but do not progress beyond the streak stage) was more durable than a gene-for-gene hypersensitive-like reaction (Craenen & Ortiz, 1997; Carlier et al., 2000b). Even with this information, the complexities of breeding Musa spp., including its sterility, polyploid nature, low seed germination and narrow genetic basis, call for the need to integrate genomic tools into banana breeding programmes (Swennen & Vuylsteke, 1993). When investigating Pseudocercospora banana pathogens, Ferreira et al. (2004) used RAPD markers to characterize banana diploids (AA) with contrasting responses to P. fijiensis and P. musae. In addition, methylationsensitive amplification polymorphism (MSAP) markers were found that were associated with resistance to P. fijiensis toxins and could be useful in selection of germplasm with resistance to the pathogen (Ortiz & Vuylsteke, 1995; Gimenez et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2010). To date, the genomes of two banana progenitors, M. acuminata subsp. malaccensis (A genome) and M. balbisiana (B genome), and another wild banana, M. itinerans, have been sequenced with the resulting sequences revealing genome evolution peculiarities of Musaceae (D'Hont et al., 2012; Davey et al., 2013; Čížková et al., 2015; Christelová et al., 2016). This information could shed more light on Musa spp. evolution and inherent disease resistance factors. In parallel, several studies have also demonstrated the utility of various classes of DNA markers in Musa spp. (Ude et al., 2002; Nwakanma et al., 2003; Buhariwalla et al., 2005; Teo et al., 2005; Heslop-Harrison & Schwarzacher, 2007; Garcia et al., 2010; Saraswathi et al., 2011; Ravishankar et al., 2013; Brown et al., 2017). Currently, a form of marker-selection known as genomic selection is being developed for use in generating appropriate breeding models for the improvement of banana (Nyine et al., 2017).

Validation of markers linked to resistance to Pseudocercospora banana pathogens will facilitate faster gene introgression and marker-assisted selection (MAS) that will accelerate the germplasm selection process. Furthermore, the markers can also identify gene targets whose function could be validated by transforming Musa species with RNA interference (RNAi) constructs targeting specific genes. Already, RNAi mechanisms have been demonstrated in Musa in vitro using the reporter gene for β-glucuronidase (GUS; Dang et al., 2014). Finally, some of these studies have illustrated the great genetic diversity in existing Musa spp., which could be used in selection of germplasm for genetic improvement of banana against Pseudocercospora banana pathogens, especially in programmes that need to expand the Musa genetic pool (Christelová et al., 2016; Janssens et al., 2016).

Despite the difficulties in breeding banana highlighted earlier, significant progress has been achieved by different programmes in the generation and delivery of P. fijiensis-resistant cultivars to farmers (Swennen & Vuylsteke, 1993). First, given the inherent need to distribute clean planting materials in sufficient quantities, the International Musa Transit Center (ITC) in Belgium was formed with the intention of sanitizing, multiplying and distributing genetic stocks from around the world. ITC provides an invaluable resource to breeding programmes and farmers as they can provide resistant germplasm. For example, P. fijiensis-resistant materials from the FHIA programme are now being grown in many countries worldwide, including Uganda, Tanzania, Ghana, Nigeria and Kenya (Tenkouano & Swennen, 2004). Similarly, P. fijiensis-resistant diploids from FHIA are being used in several breeding programmes including those at IITA, EMBRAPA, CIRAD and CARBAP. The breeding programme at IITA has also generated several improved P. fijiensis-resistant plantain hybrids, known as PITAs, and cooking banana hybrids called BITAs now available in several countries including Nigeria, Uganda, Cameroon, the Ivory Coast and Ghana (Tenkouano & Swennen, 2004; Tenkouano et al., 2011). IITA, in collaboration with NARO-Uganda, has developed several East-African Highland cooking banana hybrids (generally referred to as NARITAs). Some of these NARITA hybrids have been tested in Uganda by IITA and NARO and the most promising ones have already been released to farmers (Ortiz, 2015). EMBRAPA-CNPMF also developed Pome and Silk dessert banana hybrids that are now being evaluated for P. fijiensis resistance in Nigeria and Uganda by IITA (EMBRAPA-CNPMF, unpublished data). If confirmed and accepted, they could be an alternative to the existing P. fijiensis-susceptible dessert banana in East and West Africa. The CIRAD and CARBAP breeding programmes have also developed P. fijiensis-resistant plantain and dessert banana hybrids, respectively. These successes highlight the important role that integration of banana breeding with molecular tools will play in the development of germplasm resistant to the Pseudocercospora banana pathogens. In addition, they emphasize the benefits that accrue when materials are shared among breeding programmes.

The Role of Genetic Transformation in Development of *Pseudocercospora-*resistant Banana Germplasm

As discussed earlier, classical banana breeding faces considerable bottlenecks, especially caused by infertility and the limited variation in resistance in existing germplasm. These challenges have delayed deployment/release of Pseudocercospora-resistant banana germplasm to farmers (Swennen & Vuylsteke, 1993). Therefore, genetic transformation offers a platform where such challenges can be circumvented. A number of studies have generated transgenic plants and evaluated them for resistance to Pseudocercospora in vitro, in the screenhouse and under limited field conditions. For example, Cammue et al. (1993) demonstrated antifungal activity towards P. fijiensis on detached leaves from plants transformed with several genes. In their investigations, Remy et al. (1998) used the antifungal gene Dm-AMP1 from Dahlia merckii under the control of CaMV 35S promoter, a superpromoter or a maize ubiquitin promoter. The CaMV 35S promoter and super-promoter each resulted in an over 6-fold increase in gene expression in the transformed leaves and provided resistance to P. fijiensis under screenhouse conditions. In another study, magainin, an antimicrobial peptide isolated from the skin of the African clawed frog Xenopus laevis, was transformed into banana using two constructs targeting delivery of the peptide into the cytoplasm or the extracellular spaces. The resistance to P. musae was measured as reduced lesion area in detached leaf assays. The study established that the lesion area on transgenic leaves was 40% for those from plants transformed with a construct targeting the intracellular region and ranged from 18% to 58% for those from the construct targeting the extracellular region (Chakrabarti et al., 2003). In another study, Cavendish banana cv. Grand Naine was transformed with a cassette expressing an endochitinase gene (En-42)from Trichoderma harzianum together with the grape stilbene synthase (StSy) gene under the control of the 35S promoter and the inducible PR-10 promoter, respectively (Vishnevetsky et al., 2011). The superoxide dismutase gene Cu, Zn-SOD from tomato, under control of the ubiquitin promoter, was also added to this cassette to improve scavenging of free radicals generated during fungal attack. Results from 4 years of field trials against P. fijiensis showed that, out of the 12 transgenic lines tested, six lines showed reduced disease symptoms while two lines exhibited significantly reduced symptoms with no change in fruit quality or yield. Kosky et al. (2010) simultaneously transformed banana with an antifungal glucanase gene and an antifungal protein, AP24 osmotin. From 25 transformants, only one showed significantly low foliar P. fijiensis symptoms compared to the control under field conditions. Kovács et al. (2013) transformed banana with either of two chitinase genes from rice. The

transgenic lines developed had a transgene copy number of 1–5 copies per line with single transgene copy lines accounting for 25% of all transgenic lines. The group reported a considerable decrease in necrotic leaf area, of 73–94%, at 63 days post-inoculation of detached leaves.

In most studies only a single location, screening technique or isolate is used in germplasm evaluation. This could be limiting as there are numerous isolates of the main Pseudocercospora banana pathogens whose diversity should be included in the screening process. It is also important that the copy numbers of the transgene be known before evaluation, as it has a significant influence on the level of symptom expression (Kovács et al., 2013). With the emergence of increasingly more efficient banana transformation protocols, it is likely that genetic engineering will play an even greater role in banana genetic improvement in the near future (Sagi et al., 1995; Ganapathi et al., 2001; Khanna et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2017). To date, the transgenic studies have focused primarily on gene overexpression, but it is also possible that host-induced gene silencing, also referred to as host-triggered RNA interference (Hamilton & Baulcombe, 1999), may play a significant role in the development of transgenic banana with resistance to Pseudocercospora banana pathogens. This technology has shown utility against various phytopathogenic fungi such as Fusarium verticillioides (Tinoco et al., 2010), F. graminearum (Koch et al., 2013), Puccinia striiformis (Yin et al., 2011), Blumeria graminis (Nowara et al., 2010) and Aspergillus flavus (Masanga et al., 2015; Thakare et al., 2017). RNA interference mechanisms have already been demonstrated in both banana and P. fijiensis albeit in vitro (Mumbanza et al., 2013; Dang et al., 2014; Onyilo et al., 2017). Horizontal gene transfer is also suspected to have occurred between P. fijiensis or P. eumusae and Musa spp. leading to gene expansions (Friesen et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2010; Santana et al., 2012; Czislowski et al., 2017); thus, it may be possible to use such horizontal gene transfer, the mechanism of which is currently unknown, to deliver RNAi molecules into the pathogen from transformed hosts. Although an increase in the development of transgenic banana resistant to the three important Pseudocercospora banana pathogens is envisaged, the acceptability of genetically transformed banana still faces resistance from anti-GMO groups and banana-importing countries. This may affect funding of transgenic research and the rapid development and deployment of transgenic products, especially to small-scale farmers. Nevertheless, countries need to put in place clear legislation and policies on research and adoption of genetically modified crops as a starting point. Finally, with the emerging cisgenic technologies, such as clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) technology (Sander & Joung, 2014), RNA-guided targeted genome editing could be used as an additional platform for the development of Psuedocercospora-resistant banana exhibiting good quality characteristics. The latter has proved to be a stumbling block in newly developed hybrids. Genome editing has an advantage over transgenic approaches as the resulting plants are not subject to the stringent regulations governing genetically engineered crops in many countries.

Conclusions, Way Forward and Future Prospects

In this genomic era a wealth of tools such as sequencing technologies, bioinformatics, transcriptomics and proteomic analysis will continue to play an important role in the multifaceted path of development and testing of high-yielding banana varieties with resistance to Pseudocercospora banana pathogens. Although some breeding programmes have already developed high-yielding P. fijiensis-resistant hybrids, there is still a need to evaluate and identify additional sources with potentially higher levels of resistance from under-used wild Musa spp. The currently available hybrids were developed to provide resistance against *P. fijiensis* but their response to *P. eumu*sae and P. musae and the durability of this resistance in the face of frequently evolving pathotypes have not been evaluated. There is also a need to consider breeding for resistance against P. eumusae and P. musae. Finally, although detached leaf assays, screenhouse artificial inoculations and field evaluations have been used for evaluation of resistance against *Pseudocercospora* banana pathogens, the methods have been selectively applied by different groups. In fact, no study has applied multiple resistance screening approaches to improve efficiency and reliability of selection. This has sometimes led to contradicting results that can mislead a screening programme into discarding a potential cultivar. Even where field evaluations have been conducted, the results could be suspect if only a single location has been used. Refining the procedures on how to apply these evaluation tools may lead to better understanding of the host x pathogen x environmental interaction that will ultimately result in development of resistant and durable cultivars.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge USAID for the project AID-BFS-G-II-00002-11 'Reviving the plantain breeding programme' at IITA. The authors would also like to thank all donors who supported this work through their contributions to the CGIAR Fund (http://www.cgiar.org/who-we-are/cgiar-fund/fund-donors-2/), and in particular to the CGIAR Research Program on Roots, Tubers and Bananas. The authors declare no conflict of interest. Views expressed in this work are solely those of the authors and not of the donor or affiliate organizations.

References

Arango-Isaza R, Diaz-Trujillo C, Dhillon B *et al.*, 2016. Combating a global threat to a clonal crop: banana black Sigatoka pathogen *Pseudocercospora fijiensis* (synonym *Mycosphaerella fijiensis*) genomes reveal clues for disease control. *PLoS Genetics* 12, e1005876.

- Arzanlou M, Abeln ECA, Kema GHJ et al., 2007. Molecular diagnostics for the Sigatoka disease complex of banana. Phytopathology 97, 1112–8.
- Arzanlou M, Groenewald JZ, Fullerton RA et al., 2008. Multiple gene genealogies phenotypic characters differentiate several novel species of Mycosphaerella related anamorphs on banana. Persoonia 20, 19–37.
- Balint-Kurti PJ, May GD, Churchill ACL, 2001. Development of a transformation system for Mycosphaerella pathogens of banana: a tool for the study of host/pathogen interactions. FEMS Microbiology Letters 195, 9–15.
- de Bellaire LL, Fouré E, Abadie C, Carlier J, 2010. Black Leaf Streak Disease is challenging the banana industry. *Fruits* 65, 327–42.
- Browder LE, Eversmeyer MG, 1986. Interactions of temperature and time with some *Puccinia recondita*: *Triticum* corresponding gene pairs. *Phytopathology* 76, 1286–8.
- Brown A, Tumuhimbise R, Amah D *et al.*, 2017. The genetic improvement of bananas and plantains (*Musa* spp.). In: Campos H, Caligari PDS, eds. *Genetic Improvement of Tropical Crops*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing Ag, 219–40.
- Buhariwalla HK, Jarret RL, Jayashree B, Crouch JH, Ortiz R, 2005. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers from *Musa balbisiana*. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 5, 327–30.
- Calberto GG, Staver C, Siles P, 2015. An assessment of global banana production and suitability under climate change scenarios. In: Elbehri A, ed. Climate Change and Food Systems: Global Assessments and Implications for Food Security and Trade. Rome, Italy: Food Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 266–91.
- Cammue BPA, De BM, Terras FRG et al., 1993. Fungal disease control in Musa: application of new antifungal proteins. In: Ganry J, ed. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Genetic Improvement of Bananas for Resistance to Diseases and Pests, 1992. Montpellier, France: CIRAD, 221–5.
- Carlier J, Mourichon X, Jones DR, 2000a. Black leaf streak: the causal agent. In: Jones DR, ed. *Diseases of Banana, Abacá and Enset*. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing, 48–56.
- Carlier J, Mourichon X, Jones DR, 2000b. Sigatoka-like leaf spots: septoria leaf spot. In: Jones DR, ed. *Diseases of Banana*, *Abacá and Enset*. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing, 93–6.
- Castelana FP, Saraiva LA, Lange F et al., 2012. Effects of black leaf streak disease and Sigatoka disease on fruit quality and maturation process of bananas produced in the subtropical conditions of southern Brazil. Crop Protection 35, 127e131.
- Cavalcante MJB, Escoute J, Madeira JP et al., 2011. Reactive oxygen species and cellular interactions between Mycosphaerella fijiensis banana. Tropical Plant Biology 4, 134–43.
- Chaiker-Scott L, 1999. Environmental significance of anthocyanins in plant stress response. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* 70, 1–9.
- Chakrabarti A, Ganapathi TR, Mukherjee PK, Bapat VA, 2003. MSI-99, a magainin analogue, imparts enhanced disease resistance in transgenic tobacco and banana. *Planta* 216, 587–96.
- Chakraborty S, 2013. Migrate or evolve: options for plant pathogens under climate change. *Global Change Biology* **19**, 1985–2000.
- Chakraborty S, Newton AC, 2011. Climate change, plant diseases and food security: an overview. Plant Pathology 60, 2–14.
- Chakraborty S, Tiedemann AV, Teng PS, 2000. Climate change: potential impact on plant diseases. *Environmental Pollution* 108, 317–26.
- Chang TC, Salvucci A, Crous PW, Stergiopoulos I, 2016. Comparative genomics of the Sigatoka disease complex on banana suggests a link between parallel evolutionary changes in *Pseudocercospora fijiensis* and *Pseudocercospora eumusae* and increased virulence on the banana host. *PLoS Genetics* 12, e1005904.
- Chillet M, Castelan FP, Abadie C, Hubert O, Chilin-Charles Y, de Bellaire LL, 2014. Effect of different levels of Sigatoka disease severity on banana pulp colour and early ripening. *Canadian Journal* of *Plant Pathology* 36, 48–53.

- Cho Y, Hou S, Zhong S, 2008. Analysis of expressed sequence tags from the fungal banana pathogen Mycosphaerella fijiensis. Open Mycology Journal 2, 61–73.
- Christelová P, De Langhe E, Hřibová E *et al.*, 2016. Molecular and cytological characterization of the global *Musa* germplasm collection provides insights into the treasure of banana diversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 26, 801–24.
- Churchill ACL, 2011. Mycosphaerella fijiensis, the black leaf streak pathogen of banana: progress towards understanding pathogen biology detection, disease development, the challenges of control. Molecular Plant Pathology 12, 307–28.
- Čížková J, Hřibová E, Christelová P et al., 2015. Molecular cytogenetic characterization of wild Musa species. PLoS ONE 10, e0134096.
- Conde-Ferráez L, Waalwijk C, Canto-Canché BB *et al.*, 2007. Isolation and characterization of the mating type locus of *Mycosphaerella fijiensis*, the causal agent of black leaf streak disease of banana. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 8, 111–20.
- Craenen K, Ortiz R, 1997. Effect of the bs1 gene in plantain-banana hybrids on response to black Sigatoka. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 95, 497–505.
- Crous PW, Mourichon X, 2002. Mycosphaerella eumusae and its anamorph Pseudocercospora eumusae sp. nov.: causal agent of eumusae leaf spot disease of banana. Sydowia 54, 23–34.
- Cruz-Cruz AC, Ramírez-Tec G, García-Sosa K et al., 2010.
 Phytoanticipins from banana (Musa acuminata cv. Grande Naine) plants, with antifungal activity against Mycosphaerella fijiensis, the causal agent of black Sigatoka. European Journal of Plant Pathology 126, 459–63.
- Czislowski E, Fraser-Smith S, Zander M et al., 2017. Investigation of the diversity of effector genes in the banana pathogen, Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense, reveals evidence of horizontal gene transfer. Molecular Plant Pathology. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12594.
- Dang TTV, Windelinckx S, Henry I et al., 2014. Assessment of RNAi-induced silencing in banana (Musa spp.). BMC Research Notes 7, 655
- Davey MW, Gudimella R, Harikrishna JA, Sin LW, Khalid N, Keulemans J, 2013. Draft Musa balbisiana genome sequence for molecular genetics in polyploid, inter- and intra-specific Musa hybrids. BMC Genomics 14, 683.
- De Wit PJGM, Mehrabi R, van den Burg HA, Stergiopoulos I, 2009. Fungal effector proteins: past, present and future. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 10, 735–47.
- Dhakshinamoorthy S, Mariama K, Elsen A, Waele DD, 2014. Phenols and lignin are involved in the defence response of banana (*Musa*) plants to *Radopholus similis* infection. *Nematology* 16, 565–76.
- D'Hont A, Paget-Goy A, Escoute J, 2000. The interspecific genome structure of cultivated banana, *Musa* spp. revealed by genomic DNA *in situ* hybridization. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 100, 177–83.
- D'Hont A, Denoeud F, Aury J et al., 2012. The banana (Musa acuminata) genome and the evolution of monocotyledonous plants. Nature 488, 213–7.
- Dixon RA, Harrison MJ, Lamb CJ, 1994. Early events in the activation of plant defense responses. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **32**, 479–501.
- Donzelli BGG, Churchill ACL, 2003. Agrobacterium tumefaciens mediated transformation of Mycosphaerella fijiensis, the black Sigatoka pathogen of bananas. Fungal Genetics Newsletter 50 (Suppl). Abstract No. 35.
- Elad Y, Pertot I, 2014. Climate change impacts on plant pathogens and plant diseases. *Journal of Crop Improvement* 28, 99–139.
- Escobar-Tovar L, Magaña-Ortíz D, Fernández F et al., 2015. Efficient transformation of Mycosphaerella fijiensis by underwater shock waves. Journal of Microbiological Methods 119, 98–105.
- Ferreira CF, Silva SO, Sobrihno NP et al., 2004. Molecular characterization of banana (AA) diploids with contrasting levels of black and yellow Sigatoka resistance. American Journal of Applied Sciences 1, 276–8.

- Ferreira CF, Van dLT, Zapater MF et al., 2009. A genetic linkage map of Mycosphaerella fijiensis, using SSR DArT markers. Fungal Genetics Reports 56, 214.
- Flor HH, 1946. Genetics of pathogenicity in Melampsora lini. Journal of Agricultural Research 73, 335–57.
- Food Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2014. Banana market review and banana statistics 2012–2013. [http://www.fao.org/docrep/019/i3627e/i3627e.pdf]. Accessed 12 July 2017.
- Friesen TL, 2016. Combating the Sigatoka disease complex on banana. *PLoS Genetics* **12**, e1006234.
- Friesen TL, Stukenbrock EH, Liu Z et al., 2006. Emergence of a new disease as a result of interspecific virulence gene transfer. Nature Genetics 38, 953–6.
- Fullerton RA, Olsen TL, 1995. Pathogenic variability in Mycosphaerella fijiensis Morelet, cause of black Sigatoka in banana plantain. New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science 23, 39–48.
- Gagné S, Cluzet S, Merillon JM, Geny L, 2011. ABA initiates anthocyanin production in grape cell cultures. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 30, 1–10.
- Ganapathi TR, Higgs NS, Balint-Kurti PJ, Arntzen CJ, May GD, Van Eck JM, 2001. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of the embryogenic cell suspensions of the banana cultivar Rasthali (AAB). Plant Cell Reports 20, 157–62.
- Garcia SAL, Van der Lee TAJ, Ferreira CF et al., 2010. Variable number of tandem repeat markers in the genome sequence of Mycosphaerella fijiensis, the causal agent of black leaf streak disease of banana (Musa spp). Genetics and Molecular Research 9, 2207–12.
- Garrett KA, Dendy SP, Frank EE, Rouse MN, Travers SE, 2006. Climate change effects on plant disease: genomes to ecosystems. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 44, 489–509.
- Garrett KA, Forbes GA, Savary S et al., 2011. Complexity in climatechange impacts: an analytical framework for effects mediated by plant disease. Plant Pathology 60, 15–30.
- Gauhl F, Pasberg-Gauhl C, Jones DR, 2000. Black leaf streak: disease cycle epidemiology. In: Jones DR, ed. *Diseases of Banana, Abacá and Enset*. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing, 56–62.
- Ghini R, Hamada E, Gonçalves RRV et al., 2007. Análise de risco das mudanças climáticas globais sobre a Sigatoka-negra da bananeira no Brasil. Fitopatologia Brasileira 32, 197–204.
- Gimenez C, Palacios G, Colmenares M, 2006. Musa methylated DNA sequences associated with tolerance to Mycosphaerella fijiensis toxins. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 24, 33–43.
- Goodwin SB, Waalwijk C, Kema GHJ, 2004. Genetics and genomics of Mycosphaerella graminicola: a model for the Dothideales. Applied Mycology and Biotechnology 4, 315–30.
- Hamilton AJ, Baulcombe DC, 1999. A species of small antisense RNA in posttranscriptional gene silencing in plants. *Science* **286**, 950–2.
- Heslop-Harrison JS, Schwarzacher T, 2007. Domestication, genomics and the future for banana. *Annals of Botany* 100, 1073–84.
- Hidalgo W, Chandran JN, Menezes RC, Otálvaro F, Schneider B, 2016. Phenylphenalenones protect banana plants from infection by Mycosphaerella fijiensis and are deactivated by metabolic conversion. Plant, Cell and Environment 39, 492–513.
- Hölscher D, Dhakshinamoorthy S, Alexandrov T et al., 2014. Phenalenone-type phytoalexins mediate resistance of banana plants (Musa spp.) to the burrowing nematode Radopholus similis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 111, 105–10.
- Hoss R, Helbig J, Bochow H, 2000. Function of host fungal metabolites in resistance response of banana and plantain in the black Sigatoka disease pathosystem (Musa spp.) (Mycosphaerella fijiensis). Journal of Phytopathology 148, 387–94.
- Hulme PE, 2017. Climate change and biological invasions: evidence, expectations, and response options. *Biological Reviews* 92, 1297–313.
- Janssens SB, Vandelook F, De Langhe E *et al.*, 2016. Evolutionary dynamics and biogeography of Musaceae reveal a correlation between the diversification of the banana family and the

- geological and climatic history of Southeast Asia. New Phytologist 210, 1453-65.
- Jimenez MI, Van der Veken L, Neirynck H, Rodriguez H, Ruiz O, Swennen R, 2007. Organic banana production in Ecuador: its implications on black Sigatoka development and plant-soil nutritional status. Renewable Agriculture & Food Systems 22, 297–306.
- Jones DR, 2000. Diseases of Banana, Abacá and Enset. Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- Jones DR, 2009. Diseases and pest constraints to banana production. Acta Horticulturae 828, 21–36.
- Jones R, Barbetti M, 2012. Influence of climate change on plant disease infections and epidemics caused by viruses and bacteria. CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources 7, 1–31.
- Juroszek P, Von Tiedemann A, 2013. Plant pathogens, insect pests and weeds in a changing global climate: a review of approaches, challenges, research gaps, key studies and concepts. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* 151, 163–88.
- Khanna H, Becker D, Kleidon J, Dale J, 2004. Centrifugation-assisted Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation (CAAT) of embryogenic cell suspensions of banana (Musa spp. Cavendish AAA and Ladyfinger AAB). Molecular Breeding 14, 239–52.
- Koch A, Kumar N, Weber L et al., 2013. Host-induced gene silencing of cytochrome P450 lanosterol C₁₄ α-demethylase-encoding genes confers strong resistance to Fusarium species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 110, 19324–9.
- Kosky RG, Chong-Perez B, Lopez-Torres J et al., 2010. Plantain (Musa spp. cv. 'Navolean' AAB) transgenic plants from Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation of embryogenic cell suspensions. Biotecnología Vegetal 10, 209–18.
- Kovács G, Sági L, Jacon G et al., 2013. Expression of a rice chitinase gene in transgenic banana ('Gros Michel', AAA genome group) confers resistance to black leaf streak disease. Transgenic Research 22, 117–30.
- Legler SE, Caffi T, Rossi V, 2012. A nonlinear model for temperature dependent development of *Erysiphe necator* chasmothecia on grapevine leaves. *Plant Pathology* 61, 96–105.
- Lin YH, Chang JY, Liu ET, Souza TJ, Kema GHJ, 2010. Development of a molecular marker for specific detection of Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. cubense race 4. European Journal of Plant Pathology 123, 353–65.
- Liu J, Gao P, Sun X et al., 2017. Efficient regeneration and genetic transformation platform applicable to five Musa varieties. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology 25, 33–8.
- Luck J, Spackman M, Freeman A et al., 2011. Climate change and diseases of food crops. Plant Pathology 60, 113–21.
- Ma LJ, van der Does HC, Borkovich KA et al., 2010. Comparative genomics reveals mobile pathogenicity chromosomes in Fusarium. Nature 464, 367–73.
- Marín DH, Romero RA, Guzmán M, Sutton TB, 2003. Black Sigatoka: an increasing threat to banana cultivation. *Plant Disease* 87, 208–22.
- Masanga JO, Matheka JM, Omer RA, Ommeh SC, Monda EO, Alakonya AE, 2015. Down-regulation of transcription factor aflR in Aspergillus flavus confers reduction to aflatoxin accumulation in transgenic maize with alteration of host plant architecture. Plant Cell Reports 15, 1794–9.
- Meredith DS, Lawrence JS, 1970. Black leaf streak of bananas (*Mycosphaerella fijiensis*): susceptibility of cultivars. *Tropical Agriculture* 47, 275–87.
- Möller M, Stukenbrock EH, 2017. Evolution and genome architecture in fungal plant pathogens. Nature Reviews Microbiology 15, 756–71.
- Mumbanza FM, Kiggundu A, Tusiime G, Tushemereirwe WK, Niblett C, Bailey A, 2013. In vitro antifungal activity of synthetic dsRNA molecules against two pathogens of banana, Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense and Mycosphaerella fijiensis. Pest Management Science 69, 1155–62.
- Newton AC, Young IM, 1996. Temporary partial breakdown of *mlo*-resistance in spring barley by the sudden relief of soil water stress. *Plant Patholology* **45**, 973–7.

- Noar RD, Daub ME, 2016a. Transcriptome sequencing of Mycosphaerella fijiensis during association with Musa acuminata reveals candidate pathogenicity genes. BMC Genomics 17, 690.
- Noar RD, Daub ME, 2016b. Bioinformatics prediction of polyketide synthase gene clusters from Mycosphaerella fijiensis. PLoS ONE 11, e0158471.
- Nowara D, Gay A, Lacomme C *et al.*, 2010. HIGS: host-induced gene silencing in the obligate biotrophic fungal pathogen *Blumeria graminis*. *The Plant Cell* **22**, 3130–41.
- Nwakanma D, Pillay M, Okoli B, Tenkouano A, 2003. PCR-RFLP of the ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacers (ITS) provides markers for the A and B genomes in *Musa L. Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 108, 154–9.
- Nyine M, Uwimana B, Swennen R *et al.*, 2017. Trait variation and genetic diversity in a banana genomic selection training population. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0178734.
- Ohm RA, Feau N, Henrissat B et al., 2012. Diverse lifestyles and strategies of plant pathogenesis encoded in the genomes of eighteen Dothideomycetes fungi. PLoS Pathogens 8, e1003037.
- Olson AJ, Pataky JK, D'Arcy CJ, Ford RE, 1990. Effects of drought stress and infection by maize dwarf mosaic virus in sweet corn. *Plant Disease* 74, 147–51.
- Onyilo F, Tusiime G, Chen LH et al., 2017. Agrobacterium tumefaciensmediated transformation of *Pseudocercospora fijiensis* to determine the role of *PfHog1* in osmotic stress regulation virulence modulation. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 8, 830.
- Ortiz RR, 2015. *Plant Breeding in the Omics Era*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Ortiz R, Vuylsteke D, 1994. Inheritance of black Sigatoka resistance in plantain and banana (Musa spp.) hybrids. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 89, 146–52.
- Ortiz R, Vuylsteke D, 1995. Factors influencing seed set in triploid *Musa* spp. L. and production of euploid hybrids. *Annals of Botany* 75, 151–5.
- Otálvaro FE, Juliana N, Luz V et al., 2007. Phenalenone-type compounds from Musa acuminata var. 'Yangambi km 5' (AAA) and their activity against Mycosphaerella fijiensis. Journal of Natural Products 70, 887–90.
- Passos MA, de Cruz VO, Emediato FL et al., 2013. Analysis of the leaf transcriptome of Musa acuminata during interaction with Mycosphaerella musicola: gene assembly, annotation and marker development. BMC Genomics 14, 78.
- Pérez-Vicente L, 2012. A holistic integrated management approach to control black Sigatoka disease of banana caused by Mycosphaerella fijiensis. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. [http://www.fao.org/3/a-as177e.pdf]. Accessed 12 July 2017.
- Portal O, Acosta-Suárez M, Ocaña B et al., 2012. A green fluorescent protein-transformed Mycosphaerella fijiensis strain shows increased aggressiveness on banana. Australasian Plant Pathology 41, 645–7.
- Ravishankar KV, Raghavendra KP, Athani V et al., 2013. Development and characterisation of microsatellite markers for wild banana (Musa balbisiana). Journal of Horticultural Sciences and Biotechnology 88, 605. 9
- Remy S, Buyens A, Cammue BPA *et al.*, 1998. Production of transgenic banana expressing antifungal proteins. *Acta Horticulturae* 490, 433–6.
- Rodriguez HA, Rodriguez-Arango E, Morales G et al., 2016. Defense gene expression associated with biotrophic phase of Mycosphaerella fijiensis M. Morelet infection in banana. Plant Disease 100, 1170–5.
- Sagi L, Panis B, Remy S, Swennen R, Sagi L, 1995. Genetic transformation of banana and plantain (*Musa* spp.) via particle bombardment. *Biotechnology* 13, 481–5.
- Sanchez-García C, Alvarado-Capó Y, Acosta-Suárez M et al., 2013. Quantification of phenols in lesions caused by Mycosphaerella fijiensis Morelet in 'Cavendish Naine'. Revista de Protección Vegetal 28, 149–52.
- Sander JD, Joung JK, 2014. CRISPR-Cas systems for editing, regulating and targeting genomes. *Nature Biotechnology* **32**, 347–55.

- Santana MF, José CF, Silva JCF et al., 2012. Abundance, distribution and potential impact of transposable elements in the genome of Mycosphaerella fijiensis. BMC Genomics 13, 720.
- Saraswathi M, Uma S, Prasanya-Selvam K et al., 2011. Assessing the robustness of IRAP RAPD marker systems to study intra-group diversity among Cavendish (AAA) clones of banana. *Journal of Horticultural Sciences and Biotechnology* 86, 7–12.
- Saravanakumar AS, Subbaraya UMA, Thangavelu R, Backiyaranis S, Saraswathi MS, Sriram V, 2016. Preliminary analysis on the transcripts involved in resistance responses to eumusae leaf spot disease of banana caused by Mycosphaerella eumusae, a recent add-on of the Sigatoka disease complex. Turkish Journal of Botany 40, 461–71.
- Savary S, Nelson A, Sparks AH et al., 2011. International agricultural research tackling the effects of global and climate changes on plant diseases in the developing world. Plant Disease 95, 1204–16.
- Simmonds NW, 1962. *The Evolution of the Bananas*. Tropical Science Series. London, UK: Longman Publishers.
- Stergiopoulos I, van den Burg HA, Ökmen B et al., 2010. Tomato Cf resistance proteins mediate recognition of cognate homologous effectors from fungi pathogenic on dicots and monocots. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107, 7610–5.
- Stergiopoulos I, Cordovez V, Ökmen O, Beenen HG, Kema GH, de Wit PJ, 2014. Positive selection and intragenic recombination contribute to high allelic diversity in effector genes of Mycosphaerella fijiensis, causal agent of the black leaf streak disease of banana. Molecular Plant Pathology 15, 447–60.
- Stover RH, 1980. Sigatoka leaf spots of bananas and plantains. *Plant Disease* **64**, 750–6.
- Stover RH, Dickson JD, 1976. Banana leaf spot caused by Mycosphaerella musicola and M. fijiensis var. difformis: a comparison of the first Central American epidemics. FAO Plant Protection Bulletin 24, 36–42.
- Stover RH, Simmonds NW, 1987. Bananas. Harlow, UK: Longman Group UK Ltd.
- Swennen R, Vuylsteke D, 1993. Breeding black Sigatoka resistant plantains with a wild banana. *Tropical Agriculture* 70, 74–7.
- Tenkouano A, Swennen RL, 2004. Progress in breeding and delivering improved plantain and banana to African farmers. *Chronica Horticulturae* 44, 9–15.
- Tenkouano A, Pillay M, Coulibaly O, 2011. Hybrid distribution to farmers and adoption and challenges. In: Pillay M, Tenkouano A, eds. *Banana Breeding: Constraints and Progress.* Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, 305–19.
- Teo C, Tan S, Ho C et al., 2005. Genome constitution classification using retrotransposon-based markers in the orphan crop banana. *Journal of Plant Biology* **48**, 96–105.
- Thakare D, Zhang J, Rod A, Cotty PJ, Schmidt MA, 2017. Aflatoxin-free transgenic maize using host-induced gene silencing. *Science Advances* 3, e1602382.
- Timm ES, Pardo HL, Coello RP, Navarrete TC, Villegas ON, Ordóñez ES, 2016. Identification of differentially-expressed genes in response to *Mycosphaerella fijiensis* in the resistant *Musa* accession 'Calcutta-4' using suppression subtractive hybridization. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0160083.
- Tinoco ML, Dias BB, Astta RC, Pamphile JA, Aragão FJ, 2010. In vivo trans-specific gene silencing in fungal cells by in planta expression of a double-stranded RNA. BMC Biology 31, 27.
- Torres JM, Calderón H, Arango ER, Morales JG, Arango R, 2012. Differential induction of pathogenesis-related proteins in banana in response to *Mycosphaerella fijiensis* infection. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 133, 887–98.
- Tushemereirwe WK, Gold CS, Speijer PR, Holderness M, 1993. Foliar diseases of banana in Uganda: results from a diagnostic survey. African Crop Science Journal 1, 145–9.
- Ude G, Pillay M, Nwakanma D, Tenkouano A, 2002. Analysis of genetic diversity sectional relationships in *Musa* using AFLP markers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 104, 1239–45.

- Vaganan MM, Ravi I, Nandakumar A, Sarumathi S, Sundararaju P, Mustaffa MM, 2014. Phenylpropanoid enzymes, phenolic polymers and metabolites as chemical defenses to infection of *Pratylenchus* coffeae in roots of resistant and susceptible bananas (*Musa* spp.). Indian Journal of Experimental Biology 52, 252–60.
- Vishnevetsky J, Thomas L, White JR *et al.*, 2011. Improved tolerance towards fungal diseases in transgenic Cavendish banana (*Musa* spp. AAA group) cv. Grand Nain. *Transgenic Research* 20, 61–72.
- Vleeshouwers VG, Oliver RP, 2014. Effectors as tools in disease resistance breeding against biotrophic, hemibiotrophic, and necrotrophic plant pathogens. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 27, 196–206.
- Wang Z, Jia CH, Li JY, Xu B, Jin Z, 2015. Activation of salicylic acid metabolism and signal transduction can enhance resistance to Fusarium wilt in banana (Musa acuminata L. AAA group, cv. Cavendish). Functional and Integrative Genomics 15, 47–62.

- Weiberg A, Wang M, Lin FM et al., 2013. Fungal small RNAs suppress plant immunity by hijacking host RNA interference pathways. Science 342, 118–23.
- Yang BJ, Zhong SB, 2008. Fourteen polymorphic microsatellite markers for the fungal banana pathogen Mycosphaerella fijiensis. Molecular Ecology Resources 8, 910–2.
- Yin C, Jurgenson JE, Hulbert SH, 2011. Development of a host-induced RNAi system in the wheat stripe rust fungus *Puccinia striiformis*. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* **24**, 554–61.
- Zandjanakou-Tachin M, Vroh-Bi I, Ojiambo PS, Gumedzoe YM, Bandyopadhyay R, 2009. Identification of genetic diversity of Mycosphaerella species on banana and plantain in Nigeria. Plant Pathology 58, 536–46.
- Zhang J, Jia W, Yang J, Ismail AM, 2006. Role of ABA in integrating plant response to drought and salt stresses. *Field Crops Research* 97, 111–9.