- 1 Title: Taste preference, food neophobia and nutritional intake in children consuming a cows'
- 2 milk exclusion diet: a prospective study
- 3 Authors: Kate Maslin, Kate Grimshaw, Erin Oliver, Graham Roberts, Syed Hasan Arshad,
- 4 Taraneh Dean, Jane Grundy, Gillian Glasbey, & Carina Venter.
- 5
- 6 Key words: taste preference, cows' milk allergy, dietary intake, food neophobia
- 7 Author details:
- Kate Maslin 1. School of Health Sciences and Social Work, University of Portsmouth, James
 Watson West, 2 King Richard 1st Road, Portsmouth, PO1 2FR.
- 10 2. David Hide Asthma and Allergy Research Centre, St. Mary's Hospital, Isle of Wight, PO30
- 11 5TG. kate.maslin@port.ac.uk
- 12 Kate Grimshaw 1 Clinical and Experimental Sciences and Human Development in Health
- 13 Academic Unit, University of Southampton, Faculty of Medicine, Southampton SO16 6YD.
- Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Southampton Children's Hospital, Southampton
 SO16 6YD. kecg@soton.ac.uk
- 16
- 17 Erin Oliver Clinical and Experimental Sciences and Human Development in Health
- 18 Academic Unit, University of Southampton, Faculty of Medicine, Southampton SO16 6YD.
- 19 erinoliver686@hotmail.com
- Jane Grundy, David Hide Asthma and Allergy Research Centre, St. Mary's Hospital, Isle of
 Wight, PO30 5TG. jane.grundy@iow.nhs.uk
- 22 Gillian Glasbey, David Hide Asthma and Allergy Research Centre, St. Mary's Hospital, Isle
- 23 of Wight, PO30 5TG. gill.glasbey@iow.nhs.uk
- Taraneh Dean, Faculty of Science, University of Portsmouth, James Watson West, 2 King
 Richard 1st Road, Portsmouth, PO1 2FR. tara.dean@port.ac.uk
- 26 Syed Hasan Arshad: 1.Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Southampton, UK.
- 27 2. David Hide Asthma and Allergy Research Centre, St. Mary's Hospital, Isle of Wight, PO30
- 28 5TG. sha@soton.ac.uk

Graham Roberts: 1. David Hide Asthma and Allergy Research Centre, St. Mary's Hospital,
Isle of Wight, PO30 5TG. 2. Clinical and Experimental Sciences and Human Development in
Health Academic Unit, University of Southampton, Faculty of Medicine, Southampton SO16
6YD. g.c.roberts@soton.ac.uk

Carina Venter1. School of Health Sciences and Social Work, University of Portsmouth, James
 Watson West, 2 King Richard 1st Road, Portsmouth, PO1 2FR.

2. David Hide Asthma and Allergy Research Centre, St. Mary's Hospital, Isle of Wight, PO30
5TG. carina.venter@port.ac.uk

37

38 Author contributions: KM designed the study, collected and analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. KG was study co-ordinator of the PIFA study, Co-PI of the PIFA birth study 39 and iFAAM follow-up study and assisted with recruitment and design of the follow up study. 40 EO was the study coordinator for the follow up study. GR was the PI for the PIFA study and 41 lead PI of the follow up study. TD was the PI for the FAIR birth cohort study and contributed 42 to study design of the follow up study. SHA was involved in the design of the FAIR birth 43 cohort study and supervised the design of the follow up study. JG and GG were involved in 44 recruitment of participants and organisation of data collection for the FAIR birth cohort and 45 follow up study. CV co designed this study, supervised the operation of the study and 46 contributed to manuscript writing. All authors critically reviewed and approved the final paper. 47

48

49 Acknowledgements

50 The authors would like to thank the families involved in the FAIR and PIFA studies.

51 Funding

52 Supported by the UK Food Standards Agency (Project TO7046) as part of the EU

53 EuroPrevall Project (contract no. FOOD-CT-2005-514000).

54 The authors declare there are no conflicts of interest.

55

56

58 Abstract

59 **Background:** Taste exposure in infancy is known to predict food preferences later in 60 childhood. This is particularly relevant in children with cows' milk allergy, who consume a 61 substitute formula and/or cows' milk exclusion (CME) diet early in life. This prospective study 62 aimed to show whether there is a long term effect of consuming a substitute formula and CME 63 diet on taste preferences and dietary intake.

Methodology: Children were predominantly recruited from two large birth cohort studies in the UK. Two groups were recruited: an experimental group of children who had consumed a CME diet during infancy and a control group, who had consumed an unrestricted diet during infancy. Parents completed a food neophobia questionnaire and an estimated prospective food diary. Children completed a taste preference test and their growth was assessed.

69

Results: 101 children of mean age 11.5 years were recruited (28 CME and 73 controls).
Children in the CME group had a significantly higher preference for bitter taste than those in
the control group (p < 0.05). There were significant differences between groups for intake of
some micronutrients including riboflavin, iodine, sodium and selenium. Food neophobia did
not differ between groups. 28% of the CME group were overweight/obese compared to 15%
of the control group, however this difference was not statistically different.

Conclusion: Consuming a substitute formula and/or CME diet in infancy has a long term effect on preference for bitter taste. Differences exist for intake of some micronutrients but not for macronutrients. There was a non-significant trend towards overweight and obesity in children in the CME group.

81 Introduction

Cows' milk allergy (CMA) affects nearly 3% of young children in the UK ^(1–3). Its management 82 requires a strict cows' milk exclusion (CME) diet, usually in combination with a substitute 83 infant formula, with or without breastfeeding ^(4,5). Substitute infant formula used in CMA are 84 composed of extensively hydrolysed peptides, amino acids or occasionally soya protein and 85 are known for their bitter taste ^(6–8). Milk, whether formula or breast milk, is the first infant 86 food and becomes the standard against which all other new flavours are evaluated ⁽⁹⁾. This is 87 particularly salient when the milk has an altered or unusual flavour. In the majority of children, 88 CMA will resolve by the age of two years, when cows' milk products can successfully be 89 tolerated ^(1,3). The natural history of CMA therefore provides an opportunity to explore the 90 effect of dietary exclusion in infancy on later dietary outcomes. 91

92 New-born infants are responsive to different taste stimuli. Generally, a sweet taste evokes a positive reaction, whereas both sour and bitter tastes provoke negative reactions⁽¹⁰⁾. 93 Despite the fact that these preferences are inbuilt, they can be modified through exposure in 94 utero, during early infancy, in childhood and in adolescence⁽¹¹⁾. A systematic review assessing 95 the effect of infant taste experiences on later acceptance concluded there is a clear programming 96 effect for bitter but studies on sweet and salty were equivocal⁽¹²⁾. The altered taste of substitute 97 formula used in CMA have been shown to affect preference for savoury, sour and bitter foods 98 in infancy⁽¹³⁾ and up to of 4-5 years of age⁽¹⁴⁾. It is said that the characteristic flavour of a 99 formula is "imprinted" from an early age⁽¹⁵⁾. However, in other conditions that use substitute 100 formula from infancy, such as phenylketonuria (PKU), there has been disagreement ^(15,16). 101

In addition to theoretical changes to taste preferences caused by substitute formula, the 102 dietary exclusion of foods or food groups in early life, in combination with adverse symptoms 103 can cause changes in food behaviour and preferences ^(17–20). Food neophobia, meaning "a fear 104 of new food", often presents in normally developing children as a reluctance to eat unfamiliar 105 foods, peaking between the ages of two to six years ⁽²¹⁾. Heightened levels of fussy eating have 106 been demonstrated in CMA⁽²²⁾, with higher levels of neophobia reported in PKU ⁽¹⁶⁾, however 107 it remains unclear if there is a long term effect of CMA on neophobia or whether there are 108 109 nutritional implications.

110 Several studies have demonstrated differences in nutritional intake and growth in 111 children consuming exclusion diets, mostly reporting lower micronutrient intake and poorer 112 growth^(23–27). Although milk allergy is usually outgrown, it is known that a proportion of food allergic children never fully reintroduce the culprit food into their diet once the allergy has resolved, possibly due to anxiety ^(28,29). This has potential to influence dietary intake if the food/food group is ubiquitous and nutrient dense. This study will therefore aim to investigate if there is a long-term impact of consuming substitute infant formula and excluding cows' milk in early infancy on taste preferences, food neophobia, nutritional intake and growth.

118 Methodology

119 Study design and participants

120 This was a cross sectional study of 7-13 year old children from the Isle of Wight and Winchester area, UK. Figure 1 summarises the study design. Children were eligible for 121 122 inclusion in the CME group if they had consumed a substitute formula and/or a CME diet in the first year of life for \geq 3 months. Children excluding other food allergens (e.g. egg) in 123 addition to cows' milk were also eligible for inclusion. Participants were primarily recruited 124 from two birth cohort studies; the Food Allergy and Intolerance Research (FAIR)⁽¹⁾ and 125 Prevalence of Infant Food Allergy (PIFA)⁽³⁰⁾ studies, born in 2001-2002 and 2006-2008 126 respectively. For both of these studies, detailed prospective information was obtained about 127 feeding practices in infancy. A small number of participants (n = 5) were recruited from NHS 128 allergy clinics from the Isle of Wight to increase the sample size. Children with current food 129 allergy or any condition requiring a special diet were excluded. The study was approved by 130 Berkshire NHS ethics committee (reference 13/SC/0194). Written informed consent was 131 obtained from both parent and child. 132



150 completed questionnaire. In the current study the Cronbach alpha correlation was 0.921,151 indicating good internal consistency.

152 Taste preference

Preference was assessed for the five main tastes: sweet, salty, bitter, savoury and sour, based on the methodology of Knof et al.⁽³²⁾ and Liem & Mennella⁽¹⁴⁾. Participants were asked to taste and rate five different flavoured waters using a child-orientated rating scale⁽³³⁾. A sixth sample consisted of plain water. Samples were prepared in advance using bottled water and kept refridgerated until immediately before the test. The dilution of each substrate is shown in supplementary file 1. Samples were identical in appearance and presented individually in opaque cups in a counterbalanced order.

160 Nutritional intake

Parents and children were asked to jointly complete an estimated food diary, adapted from the National Diet and Nutrition Survey (NDNS), UK ⁽³⁴⁾ for four consecutive days, including one weekend day. Clear instructions of how to complete the diary were given orally and in writing, including estimating portion sizes, detailing cooking method, wastage, snacks and condiments consumed both at home and outside the home. Parents were provided with a stamped envelope to return the diary. If the diary was completed in insufficient detail, contact was made to clarify details.

168 Food diary coding and analysis

All diaries were coded by the researcher (KM) using a predetermined protocol. Portion sizes 169 were estimated using published age-appropriate portion sizes^(35,36). Information about 170 supermarket foods was obtained from manufacturers' websites. Composite items were 171 analysed by dividing the item into separate components. Food diaries were analysed using 172 nutritional analysis software Dietplan 6 (Forestfield Software Limited, Horsham, UK). Details 173 of dietary supplements and foods not in the database were obtained from the manufacturers' 174 websites. Intake was compared to Estimated Average Requirements (EAR) and Recommended 175 Nutrients Intakes (RNI) for macro and micronutrients ⁽³⁷⁾. 176

177 Food groups

Frequency of intake of dairy products, dairy substitutes (i.e. soya milk), fruit, vegetables,
chocolate and non-chocolate confectionary were calculated from the diaries, using published
age appropriate portion sizes ⁽³⁶⁾.

181 Growth

Weight was measured using an electronic scale in kg to one decimal place. Height was measured using a stadiometer in cm to one decimal place. Weight for age percentile was calculated using a UK growth chart ⁽³⁸⁾. Body Mass Index percentile (BMI%) was calculated and plotted on a standard UK chart. Overweight and obesity were defined as BMI% > 91st and > 98th respectively⁽³⁹⁾. Waist circumference was measured in cm to one decimal place and plotted on a UK centile chart. It was measured as the "narrowest waist", which is the most frequently recommended site⁽⁴⁰⁾. All measurements were conducted by the same researcher.

189 Statistical analyses

Data was analysed using SPSS software (IBM, version 20). Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables. Differences between the CME and control groups were compared using an independent t-test, Mann Whitney or X^2 test. A two way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test was undertaken to compare intake of micronutrient between groups whilst controlling for gender. The significance level was set at 0.05 for all analyses.

195

Sample size was calculated on the basis of a detecting a 20% difference in food neophobia
scores with a ratio of 1:2 CME group: control group. Using a two tailed outcome, at 80% power

and significance level of 0.05 indicated that 37 CME and 74 control children were required.

199

This study and the preparation of the manuscript complies with STROBE guidelines for transparent and accurate reporting of observational studies.

202 **Results**

101 participants were recruited, 28 in the CME and 73 in the control group. Participant 204 demographic characteristics are detailed in table 1. No significant difference was found 205 between the CME and control groups for age, gender, ethnicity, number of siblings, parental 206 education or paternal food allergy history. Significant differences were found for maternal and 207 sibling food allergy history (p < 0.05), with those in the CME group having higher rates of 208 both.

209

210

212	Table 1 Demographic	characteristics of	participants
-----	---------------------	--------------------	--------------

	All	CME group	Control group
	(<i>N</i> =101)	(<i>n</i> =28)	(<i>n</i> = 73)
Median age in years	11.5	11.33	11.58
(minimum-maximum)	(7.04 – 13.83)	(7.25 – 13.83)	(7.04 – 12.44)
Male (%)	53 (52.5)	12 (42.9)	41 (56.2)
Median number of siblings	1 (0-5)	1 (0-4)	1 (0.5)
(minimum-maximum)			
Ethnicity			
White British (%)	98 (97)	28 (100)	70 (95.9)
Median maternal age in years	42.5 (29-53)	43 (32-51)	42 (29-53)
(minimum-maximum)			
Maternal education			
None (%)	2 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (2.7)
GCSE /A-level or equivalent (%)	62 (62.0)	20 (74.0)	42 (57.5)
Graduate / Postgraduate (%)	36 (36.0)	7 (25.9)	29 (39.8)
Family history of food allergy			
Maternal (%)*	23 (22.5)	10 (35.7)*	13 (17.8)*
Paternal (%)	16 (15.6)	7 (25.9)	9 (12.3)
Sibling (%)*	18 (17.6)	10 (35.7)*	8 (11.0)*

*p < 0.05

214

215 Infant feeding and dietary exclusion

Detailed infant feeding data has previously been published ⁽¹⁷⁾. In brief, substitute formula was 216 217 initiated at a median age of 11.5 weeks (range 2-40) in the CME group, with a median duration of usage of 67.5 weeks (range 16-205). The majority of the CME group were fed soya formula 218 (50%), followed by extensively hydrolysed casein formula (21.4%), extensively hydrolysed 219 whey formula (17.8%) and amino acid formula (10.7%). Within the CME group, 50% excluded 220 only cows' milk during infancy, 39.3% excluded two foods during infancy and 10.7% excluded 221 three foods during infancy. All participants were consuming unrestricted diets at the time of 222 the study. 223

224 Taste preference

Results of the taste preference test are shown in figure 2. The most preferred taste overall was 225 plain water, followed by sweet. Boys rated sweet, umami and salty tastes significantly worse 226 than girls (p < 0.05). The CME group rated bitter taste significantly better than the control 227 group (p < 0.05), but there was no difference between groups for other tastes. Within the CME 228 group, bitter taste preference was not significantly correlated with age of introduction of 229 230 substitute formula, duration of substitute formula usage, age of introduction of solids, duration 231 of breastfeeding or number of foods excluded. Bitter taste preference did not differ per type of 232 substitute formula used. There was no association found between taste preference and any 233 growth measurement.



234

- Figure 2. Taste preference results. *significant difference between groups < 0.05. Higher scores
 indicate a better perceived taste and vice versa.
- 237 Nutritional Intake

Food diaries were returned for 64 participants (63.3%); 17 from the CME group (60.7%) and 47 (74.6%). from the control group. There was no difference between those who did and did not return the diary for age, gender, parental education, maternal age, food exclusion history,

241 family history of food allergy, growth or food neophobia. A summary of nutritional intake is

242 shown in table 2. Using the 7-10 year old age bracket as a guide, overall participants met the Estimated Average Requirement (EAR) for all nutrients. Looking at energy intake, there was 243 no significant difference in % EAR consumed between groups. However, when examining 244 proportions of participants meeting the DRV for energy, 41% of participants in the CME group 245 (n = 7) consumed >100% of the EAR, compared to 14.9% of participants in the control group 246 (n = 7) (p = 0.032). Intakes of some minerals appeared suboptimal (iron 72% of RNI, zinc and 247 magnesium both 74% of RNI), however they were above the EAR. Boys had significantly 248 higher intakes than girls for protein, sodium, iron, zinc, magnesium, iodine and phosphate (p < 249 250 0.05 for all).

Looking at dietary exclusion groups separately, the CME group's intake of zinc and iodine was below the EAR, but above the Lower Reference Nutrient Intakes (LRNI). The control group met the EAR for all nutrients. Both groups had remarkably similar intakes of energy, protein, fat, saturated fat and vitamin D. The control group had significantly higher intakes of iodine (p < 0.01) and riboflavin (p < 0.05). The CME group had significantly higher intakes of sodium (p < 0.05) and selenium (p < 0.05).

As the intake of some nutrients was found to be significantly different between boys 257 and girls, a two way between groups ANOVA was conducted to compare sodium and iodine 258 intakes between groups, controlling for gender. After adjusting for the gender, a significant 259 difference between groups persisted for iodine intake (p < 0.01). Gender was not found to be 260 significantly related to iodine intake whilst controlling for dietary exclusion group (p = 0.068, 261 partial eta squared = 0.057). In terms of sodium intake, the same trend emerged. After adjusting 262 263 for the gender, a significant difference between the CME and control groups persisted (p < 264 0.01).

266	Table 2. Median	intakes of selected	nutrients from	food diary analys	sis
200	1 abic 2. Miculan	makes of science	numents nom	1000 ulary allary.	01

	All	CME group	Control group
	(<i>N</i> = 64)	(<i>n</i> = 17)	(<i>n</i> = 47)
Energy (kcal)	1687 (82%)	1668 (85%)	1688 (82%)
Protein (g)	62.1 (156%)	62.4 (152%)	62.05 (156%)
Fat (g)	63.8 (84%)	63.9 (83.0%)	63.8 (87.0%)
Saturated fat (g)	24.85 (107%)	24.9 (107%)	24.8 (104.5%)
Fibre (g)	14.3 (N/A)	15.4 (N/A)	13.9 (N/A)
Sodium (mg)*	2252 (155%)	2819 (176%)*	2166 (144.0%)*
Calcium (mg)	704.5 (84%)	587 (74%)	717 (88.5%)
Iron (mg)	9.1 (72%)	8.2 (61%)	9.31 (75.5%)
Zinc (mg)	6.39 (74%)	5.3 (66%)	6.5 (75%)
Selenium (mcg)*	34.85 (80%)	42.4 (98%)*	34.2 (78%)*
Magnesium(mg)	194 (74%)	188.0 (74%)	194.0 (75%)
Iodine (mcg)*	108 (86.5%)	67.1 (55.0%)*	118.4 (93%)*
Phosphorous (mg)	1077 (164%)	986.5 (158.5%)	1082 (165%)
Vitamin A (mcg)	517 (103%)	538 (107%)	479 (95.8%)
Thiamin (mg)	1.37 (175%)	1.29 (175%)	1.40 (175%)
Riboflavin (mg)*	1.28 (116%)	1.09 (93%)*	1.42 (124%)*
Niacin(mg)	15.2 (114%)	15.9 (136%)	15.19 (107.5%)
Vitamin B6 (mg)	1.54 (248%)	1.58 (248%)	1.52 (252%)
Vitamin B12 (mcg)	3.0 (273%)	2.1 (187%)	3.04 (291.5%)
Folate (mcg)	192 (104%)	185 (101%)	195 (104%)
Vitamin C (mg)	84.0 (244%)	114 (325%)	78.0 (236%)
Vitamin D (mcg)	1.83 (NO DRV)	1.92 (NO DRV)	1.83 (NO DRV)
Vitamin E (mg)	6.32 (NO DRV)	7.97 (NO DRV)	6.31 (NO DRV)

267 %Reference nutrient intake is shown in brackets. *significant difference between groups using

268 a Mann Whitney test p < 0.05. Analysis includes nutritional supplements.

272 Dietary supplements

In total 21 (20.7%) participants took dietary supplements, 7 (25%) from the CME group and 14 (19.2%) from the control group. Two of the CME group took calcium/vitamin D supplements, with the remainder taking multivitamin/mineral combinations. All 14 of the control group took multivitamin/mineral supplements.

277 Food group intake

Intakes of selected food groups are shown in table 3. Two participants in the CME group 278 consumed dairy substitutes (soya milk and yoghurt), in addition to dairy products. The CME 279 group consumed significantly less dairy products and chocolate than the control group (p < p280 281 0.01), but significantly more dairy substitute products (p < 0.05). There was no difference in 282 consumption of fruit, vegetables or non-chocolate confectionary between groups. Consumption of food groups was not associated with neophobia, infant feeding variables or any growth 283 284 measure. There was an inverse correlation between bitter taste preference and dairy intake (rho = -0.382, p < 0.01) and also between chocolate intake and sour taste preference (rho = -0.331, 285 286 p < 0.05).

	All food	CME group	Control	p value
	diaries $(n = 63)$	(<i>n</i> = 16)	group ($n =$	
			47)	
Dairy products	6 (0-15)	3 (0-11)	7 (0-15)	0.000*
Dairy substitute products	0 (0-8)	0 (0-8)	0 (0-0)	0.015*
Fruit	5 (0-17)	6 (0-11)	5 (0-17)	0.697
Vegetables	6 (0-15)	6 (0-15)	6 (0-10)	0.956
Chocolate	2 (0-7)	0.5 (0-6)	3 (0-7)	0.008*
Non-chocolate confectionary	3 (0-6)	4 (0-6)	3 (0-6)	0.425

Table 3 Consumption of selected food categories over a 4 day period.

288 Median number of portions consumed. Minimum-maximum values in brackets.*Mann

289 Whitney test p value significant < 0.05.

290

291 Growth

Anthropometric measurements are shown in table 4. There was no difference between dietary exclusion groups for any of the measurements. Overall participants had very high waist circumference centiles (median of 98.8%). Twenty participants were classified as overweight

- or obese, with no difference observed for age, gender, number of siblings or parental education.
- 296 There was no difference between healthy weight and overweight/obese children for food
- neophobia, nutritional intake or taste preference. Comparing dietary exclusion groups, 28.6%
- 298 (n = 8) of the CME group compared to 15% (n = 11) of the control group were classified as
- 299 overweight/obese, however this difference was not statistically significant.

	All	CME group	Control group
	(<i>N</i> = 101)	(<i>n</i> = 28)	(<i>n</i> = 73)
Weight (kg)	38.8 (20.1 - 74.5)	38.9 (22.2 - 74.5)	38.7 (20.1 - 69.9)
Height (cm)	147.7 (118.8 – 165.5)	143.3 (120.6 – 163.1)	148.0 (118.8 – 165.5)
Weight for age	106.7 (72.5 – 201.3)	103.8 (77.8 – 201.3)	107.4 (72.5 – 174.75)
percentile			
BMI percentile	58.15 (2.0 -99.9)	56.1 (15.9 - 99.8)	59.8 (2.0 - 99.9)
Waist (cm)	58.95 (46.2 - 90.3)	58.95 (48.3 - 79.0)	58.95 (46.2 - 90.3)
Waist percentile	98.8 (84.2 - 145.0)	97.85 (87.2 - 135.0)	99.1 (84.2 - 145.0)
% Normal weight	80.2	67.9	84.9
participants			
% Overweight	8.9	14.3	6.8
participants			
% Obese participants	10.9	17.9	8.2

300 Table 4 Anthropometric measurements of participants

301 Minimum – maximum values shown in brackets.

302

303 Food neophobia

The median food neophobia score was 34 (ranging from 10-70). The minimum and maximum 304 possible scores on this questionnaire are 10 and 70 respectively. There was no difference for 305 food neophobia score by gender or family history of food allergy and no association between 306 food neophobia score and participant age, parental education/occupation status, maternal age 307 or any infant feeding factors. There was no difference between CME and control groups, with 308 309 the CME group scoring a median of 36 (12-60) and the control group scoring a median of 34 (10-70). There was no association found for number of foods excluded. Food neophobia was 310 not correlated with any macro or micronutrient intake or growth measurement. 311

313 Discussion

This study is the first to investigate the long term effect of consuming a substitute infant 314 formula and CME diet in infancy on taste preference, food neophobia, nutritional intake and 315 growth. We have demonstrated significant differences in bitter taste preference between 316 groups, in addition to differences in intakes of some micronutrients (iodine, riboflavin, 317 selenium and sodium) and some foods/food groups (dairy products, dairy substitute products 318 319 and chocolate). This demonstrates that consuming a substitute formula and exclusion diet for CMA in infancy has a persistent effect, even once cows' milk has been reintroduced into the 320 diet several years previously. There is also a trend that a higher proportion of children in the 321 322 CME group are now overweight or obese compared to the control group, which although not 323 statistically significant, is both novel and concerning.

The significant difference in bitter taste preference between groups is an important 324 finding. It is supported by previous studies in young children^(14,41). It concurs with the 325 hypothesis that feeding infants altered tasting hydrolysed or soya formulae during a period of 326 327 developmental plasticity in the first few months of life can manipulate preferences to like innately disliked sour and bitter tastes associated with fruit and vegetables^(14,42). Although a 328 329 genetic tendency to reject bitter tastes and possibly prefer sweet taste exists, it is thought to only have limited influence on weight status and food preferences in daily life^(43,44). Therefore 330 the early origins of chronic diseases such as obesity may derive from taste and food preferences 331 that are "imprinted" from infancy $^{(9,10,45)}$. This is relevant from a public health perspective as 332 excess intake of salty and sweet foods is related to many long-term conditions. The lack of 333 correlation between any taste preference and any growth measurement, infant feeding variable 334 or number of foods excluded is not surprising given the sample size. 335

Only one study was identified in the literature that assessed taste preference in children 336 older than seven years previously fed substitute formula⁽⁸⁾. This study (n = 833) found a 337 positive association between feeding hydrolysed formula in infancy and the acceptance of 338 extensively hydrolysed casein formula at age ten; although the data distribution was extremely 339 skewed as all children rated the taste of the formula very negatively⁽⁸⁾. Due to the timing of the 340 FAIR and PIFA studies, the majority of children in the CME group were fed soya formula, 341 which is not currently indicated as first line treatment of CMA in infant under six months old 342 ^(4,5). However as we did not detect any difference between formula groups, it is not possible to 343 say whether being fed an extensively hydrolysed, amino acid or soya formula has any greater 344

effect on bitter taste preference. Additionally amongst the CME group, because bitter taste
preference was not found to be significantly correlated with age of introduction/duration of
substitute formula, age of introduction of solids, duration of breastfeeding or number of foods
excluded, it is difficult to draw any firm conclusions.

The results of the food neophobia questionnaire demonstrated no difference between 349 dietary exclusion groups. This could be due to the age of the participants, as neophobia is 350 thought to peak between 2-6 years old⁽²¹⁾ or the sample size. Existing research on food 351 neophobia and previous dietary exclusion is sparse, with only one study identified. Rigal et 352 al.⁽⁴⁶⁾ compared food neophobia in children of mean age 7-9 years who had outgrown their food 353 allergy to a sibling, concluding that previously food allergic children are more reluctant to try 354 new foods than their non-allergic sibling. It is not possible to directly compare our 355 questionnaire scores to that study as different questionnaires were used. We did not find any 356 association between neophobia and nutritional or food group intake, which is in contrast to 357 other literature ^(47,48). This could be because all participants in the CME group received 358 nutritional advice and dietetic input is known to improve nutritional outcomes in food allergy 359 or because the study was underpowered (24,49). 360

The food diary response rate in this study was good, being similar to other food allergy 361 studies^(23,24) and superior to the NDNS response rate of 56%⁽³⁴⁾. Because UK nutritional 362 requirements are grouped into two age brackets that did not precisely match this study, the 7-363 10 year age bracket was used⁽³⁷⁾. Overall, participants met the EAR for all nutrients. Intakes of 364 some minerals appeared suboptimal, however all exceeded the LRNI. This is very similar the 365 most recent NDNS which reported that in children under 11 years old intakes of all minerals 366 were at or above the RNI⁽³⁴⁾. Median vitamin D intakes were low in all participants (1.83 367 mcg/day). Likewise the NDNS reported mean daily intake for children and adolescents of 2.7 368 mcg and 2.4 mcg respectively, with 20% of children having low serum vitamin $D^{(34)}$. Although 369 370 there is no DRV in the UK for vitamin D for children over five years old, using the arbitrary value of $10 \text{ mcg/day}^{(50)}$; it can be concluded that intake in all participants is insufficient. 371

Calcium has been identified as the key at-risk nutrient in children consuming exclusion diets⁽²⁶⁾, although more recent research highlights that other micronutrients are at risk of deficiency and excess, with under and over supplementation a concern^(50,51). The results of food category analysis show that the CME group consumed significantly less dairy products over a four day period. As there was no difference in calcium intake between groups, it is 377 possible that the CME group take dietary supplements to compensate for the possible deficit of calcium incurred, however this is only speculation. Dairy products are an important dietary 378 source of calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, zinc, iodine, potassium, vitamin A, vitamin D, 379 vitamin B12, and riboflavin. In this study, the significantly lower intakes for iodine and 380 riboflavin in the CME group could be attributed to a lower intake of dairy products. In the 381 NDNS, the major contributor to riboflavin intake was 'milk and milk products', accounting for 382 41% of daily intake in children aged 4-10 years. Similarly 'milk and milk products' was the 383 largest contributor to iodine, providing 51% of intake⁽³⁴⁾. 384

Conversely, the significantly higher intakes in the CME group for sodium and selenium 385 386 could be explained by proportionately higher intakes of non-dairy foods, specifically soya products are a good source of selenium. NDNS data indicates that approximately one third of 387 both sodium and selenium intakes in 4-10 year olds is derived from cereal products, followed 388 by meat/meat products⁽³⁴⁾. We showed that the CME group consume slightly more fruit than 389 the control group over a 4 day period, however this difference was not significant. The trend 390 of higher intakes of fibre, vitamin A and vitamin C in the CME group, would concur with this 391 hypothesis as these are nutrients that are typically found in fruit. Indeed it has previously been 392 suggested that children with a food allergy history have a tendency to establish "healthier" 393 eating habits⁽⁵²⁾. Overall it is unlikely that the differences between groups would have a 394 meaningful health significance as both groups met the EAR for all nutrients. However, the 395 suboptimal vitamin D content across all participants is of concern. 396

Growth of children with CMA and other food allergens has been thoroughly 397 investigated across many countries^(23,53–57). The only study comparing long term growth of 398 children fed substitute formula for CMA did not show any difference in growth at age 10 years 399 ⁽⁵⁸⁾. A Japanese study of 7-15 year olds $(n = 14669)^{(52)}$ reported that those with a history of 400 consuming an exclusion diet had lower weight z scores, with an overall lower incidence of 401 402 overweight and obesity; however the data on food avoidance was collected retrospectively. The lack of significant difference detected between dietary exclusion groups in the present study 403 could be expected given the sample size, the multitude of factors that influence growth and 404 because most macro and micro nutrient intakes did not differ significantly between groups. The 405 finding that a higher percentage of participants in the CME group consumed >100% of the 406 407 EAR for energy, is a novel finding and is worth further exploration.

408 The high median waist circumference centile observed is possibly a reflection of the rising rate of central obesity and that waist circumference charts rely on data collected in 1990 409 ⁽⁵⁹⁾. The overall percentage of children classified as overweight or obese (19%) is lower than 410 national statistics, with the most recent data indicating 19.1% of children aged 10-11 are obese 411 and a further 14.4% are overweight⁽⁶⁰⁾. However it is particularly interesting that 412 proportionately nearly double the amount of children in the CME group were overweight/obese 413 compared to the control group, although this difference was not statistically significant. Meyer 414 et al.⁽⁵⁵⁾ has previously identified that obesity is an increasing concern in children with food 415 allergy and that the emphasis should not always be on under nutrition. As we did not measure 416 body composition or account for physical activity, it is not possible to determine the reason for 417 the larger proportion of overweight and obese children in the CME category. However, it is 418 clearly an area that requires further examination. 419

There are both limitations and strengths to this study. The taste preference methodology 420 421 used, although basic and simple in approach and exploratory in nature, used validated scales and dilution of taste substrates that have previously been identified as appropriate in this age 422 423 group^(32,61). Perhaps using food rather than flavoured water would have provided more meaningful implications, however sensory research in children is complex and labour 424 intensive⁽³³⁾. We did not measure genetic perception of bitter taste. As with any dietary 425 assessment method, food diary recording and analysis are subject to error and bias and there 426 are difficulties using proxy respondents for children^{(62–64).} Use of electronic tools may yield 427 improved accuracy and response rates. However, all analyses and measurements were 428 conducted by the same researcher to minimise error. Unfortunately the study was less well 429 powered than planned, particularly the CME group, which was composed of participants with 430 a history of consuming both single and multiple exclusion diets. Due to the small sample size 431 432 of this group (n = 28), there may be limitations with the analyses when looking at the CME group alone or in comparison to the control group, particularly when comparing different 433 substitute formulas consumed. Although the study took place in the South of England, infant 434 feeding and dietary intake data were extremely similar to national data, suggesting the 435 participants habits are representative of the rest of the country. The unique strengths of the 436 study are the availability of prospectively collected infant feeding data, long term follow up 437 and a well matched control group. 438

In conclusion, this study provides preliminary evidence that use of a substitute formulaand exclusion diet for CMA has a long term effect on bitter taste preference and dairy product

intake persisting into early adolescence, with potential to track into adulthood. Nutritional
intake may be affected, particularly the intake of some less obvious micronutrients, but not
calcium as may be expected. There may also be a long term effect on the risk of overweight
and obesity, although this topic requires more in depth research with a larger sample size.

References

448 449	1.	Venter C, Pereira B, Voigt K, et al. (2008) Prevalence and cumulative incidence of food hypersensitivity in the first 3 years of life. Allergy. 63, 354–9.
450 451 452	2.	Grimshaw KEC, Bryant T, Oliver EM et al. (2016) Incidence and risk factors for food hypersensitivity in UK infants : results from a birth cohort study. Clin Transl Allergy 1.
453 454 455 456	3.	Schoemaker A. A., Sprikkelman A. B, Grimshaw KE, et al.(2015) Incidence and natural history of challenge-proven cow's milk allergy in European children - EuroPrevall birth cohort. Allerg, Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/all.12630
457 458	4.	Luyt D, Ball H, Makwana N et al. (2014) BSACI guideline for the diagnosis and management of cow's milk allergy. Clin Exp Allergy 44, 642–72.
459 460 461	5.	Venter C, Brown T, Shah N et al. (2013) Diagnosis and management of non-IgE- mediated cow's milk allergy in infancy - a UK primary care practical guide. Clin Transl Allergy 3, 23.
462 463 464 465	6.	Pedrosa Delgado M, Pascual CY, Larco JI et al. (2006) Palatability of hydrolysates and other substitution formulas for cow's milk-allergic children: A comparative study of taste, smell, and texture evaluated by healthy volunteers. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 16, 351–6.
466 467 468	7.	Miraglia Del Giudice M, D'Auria E, Peroni D et al. (2015) Flavor, relative palatability and components of cow's milk hydrolysed formulas and amino acid-based formula. Ital J Pediatr 41, 42.
469 470 471	8.	Sausenthaler S, Koletzko S, Koletzko B et al. (2010) Effect of hydrolysed formula feeding on taste preferences at 10 years. Data from the German Infant Nutritional Intervention Program Plus Study. Clin Nutr 29,304–6.
472 473	9.	Birch LL & Doub AE (2014) Learning to eat : birth to age 2 y. Am J Clin Nutr 99, 723–8.
474 475	10.	Beauchamp GK & Mennella JA (2009) Early flavor learning and its impact on later feeding behavior. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 48, S25–30.

476	11.	Birch LL (1999) Development of food preferences. Annu Rev Nutr 19,41-62.
477 478 479	12.	Nehring I, Kostka T, von Kries R et al. (2015) Impacts of In Utero and Early Infant Taste Experiences on Later Taste Acceptance: A Systematic Review. J Nutr 145, 1271–9.
480 481	13.	Mennella JA & Beauchamp GK (2005) Understanding the origin of flavor preferences. Chem Senses 30, 242–3.
482 483	14.	Liem DG & Mennella JA (2002) Sweet and sour preferences during childhood: Role of early experiences. Dev Psychobiol 41, 388–95.
484 485	15.	Owada M, Aoki K & Kitagawa T (2000) Taste preferences and feeding behaviour in children with phenylketonuria on a semisynthetic diet. Eur J Pediatr 159, 846–50.
486 487	16.	Evans S, Daly A, Chahal S et al. (2015) Food acceptance and neophobia in children with phenylketonuria: A prospective controlled study. J Hum Nutr Diet 1–7.
488 489 490	17.	Maslin K, Grundy J, Glasbey G et al. (2015) Cows' milk exclusion diet during infancy: Is there a long term effect on children's eating behaviour and food preferences? Paediatr Allergy Immunol 27, 141-146.
491 492 493	18.	Meyer R, Rommel N, Van Oudenhove L et al. (2014) Feeding difficulties in children with food protein induced gastrointestinal allergies. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1–21. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24720353
494 495 496	19.	Wu YP, Franciosi JP, Rothenberg ME et al. (2012) Behavioral feeding problems and parenting stress in eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders in children. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 23,730–5.
497 498	20.	Mathisen B, Worrall L, Masel J et al. (1999) Feeding problems in infants with gastro- oesophageal reflux disease: A controlled study. J Paediatr Child Health 35,163–9.
499 500	21.	Addessi E, Galloway AT, Visalberghi E et al. (2005) Specific social influences on the acceptance of novel foods in 2-5-year-old children. Appetite 45, 264–71.
501 502 503	22.	Maslin K, Dean T, Arshad SH et al. (2015) Fussy eating and feeding difficulties in infants and toddlers consuming a cows' milk exclusion diet. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 26, 503-508.
504	23.	Flammarion S, Santos C, Guimber D et al. (2011) Diet and nutritional status of

505		children with food allergies. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 22, 161–5.
506 507	24.	Christie L, Hine RJ, Parker JG et al. (2002) Food allergies in children affect nutrient intake and growth. J Am Diet Assoc 102, 1648–51.
508 509 510	25.	Berry MJ, Adams J, Voutilainen H et al. (2015) Impact of elimination diets on growth and nutritional status in children with multiple food allergies. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 26, 133-138.
511 512	26.	Henriksen C, Eggesbø M, Halvorsen R et al. (2000) Nutrient intake among two-year- old children on cows' milk-restricted diets. Acta Paediatr 89, 272–8.
513 514	27.	Meyer R, De Koker C, Dziubak R et al. (2015) A practical approach to vitamin and mineral supplementation in food allergic children. Clin Transl Allergy 5, 11.
515 516	28.	Eigenmann PA, Caubet JC & Zamora SA (2006) Continuing food-avoidance diets after negative food challenges. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 17, 601–5.
517 518	29.	Kim JS, Nowak-Wgrzyn A, Sicherer SH et al. (2011) Dietary baked milk accelerates the resolution of cow's milk allergy in children. J Allergy Clin Immunol 128, 125–31.
519 520 521	30.	Grimshaw KEC, Maskell J, Oliver EM et al. (2014) Diet and food allergy development during infancy: Birth cohort study findings using prospective food diary data. J Allergy Clin Immunol 133, 511–9.
522 523	31.	Pliner P (1994) Development of measures of food neophobia in children. Appetite 23, 147-63.
524 525	32.	Knof K, Lanfer A, Bildstein MO et al. (2011) Development of a method to measure sensory perception in children at the European level. Int J Obes 35, S131–6.
526 527	33.	Popper R & Kroll JJ (2005) Issues and Viewpoints Conducting Sensory Research With Children.20, 75–87.
528 529	34.	Smithers MG, Smithers G, Gregory JR et al. (2000) The National Diet and Nutrition Survey: young people aged 4–18 years. Nutr Bull 25, 105–11.
530 531	35.	Wrieden WL, Longbottom PJ, Adamson AJ et al. (2008) Estimation of typical food portion sizes for children of different ages in Great Britain. Br J Nutr 99, 1344–53.
532 533	36.	Patel S, Vyas A, Custovic A et al. (2012) Estimated portion sizes in a school-aged population. Public Health Nutrition 15, 2193-2201.

534 535	37.	Department of Health (1991) Dietary Reference Values for Food Energy and Nutrients for the United Kingdom. The Stationary Office. United Kingdom
536 537	38.	Child Growth Foundation. (2009) 4-18 years growth and BMI chart. London. Harlow Printing Ltd.
538 539	39.	Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM et al. (2000) Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. BMJ 320,1240–3.
540 541	40.	Wang J, Thornton JC, Bari S et al. (2003) Comparisons of waist circumferences measured at 4 sites. Am J Clin Nutr 77, 379–84.
542 543	41.	Mennella JA, Forestell CA, Morgan LK et al. (2009) Early milk feeding influences taste acceptance and liking during infancy. Am J Clin Nutr 09, 780S-788S.
544 545	42.	Mennella JA & Beauchamp GK (2002) Flavor experiences during formula feeding are related to preferences during childhood. Early Hum Dev 68,71–82.
546 547 548	43.	Golding J, Steer C, Emmett P et al. (2009) Associations between the ability to detect a bitter taste, dietary behavior, and growth: A preliminary report. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1170,553–7.
549 550	44.	Wardle J & Cooke L. (2008)Genetic and environmental determinants of children's food preferences. Brit J Nutr 99, S15–21.
551 552	45.	Scaglioni S, Arrizza C, Vecchi F et al. (2011) Determinants of children's eating behavior. Am J Clin Nutr 94, 2006S-2011S.
553 554 555	46.	Rigal N, Reiter F, Morice C et al. (2005)Impact du régime d'éviction sur la néophobie dans le cadre d'une allergie alimentaire chez l'enfant: Étude exploratoire. Arch Pediatr. 12, 1714–20.
556 557	47.	Galloway AT, Lee Y & Birch LL (2003) Predictors and consequences of food neophobia and pickiness in young girls. J Am Diet Assoc 103, 692–8.
558 559	48.	Cooke L, Carnell S & Wardle J (2006) Food neophobia and mealtime food consumption in 4-5 year old children. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act, 3:14.
560 561 562	49.	Berni Canani R, Leone L, D'Auria E et al. (2014) The Effects of Dietary Counseling on Children with Food Allergy: A Prospective, Multicenter Intervention Study. J Acad Nutr Diet 114, 1432–9.

563 564	50.	Meyer R, De Koker C, Dziubak R et al. (2015) A practical approach to vitamin and mineral supplementation in food allergic children. Clin Transl Allergy 5, 5–11.
565 566 567	51.	Robbins KA, Guerrerio A, Hauck S et al. (2015) Growth and nutrition in children with food allergy requiring amino acid-based nutritional formulas. J Allergy Clin Immunol 134,1463–6.
568 569 570	52.	Mukaida K, Kusunoki T, Morimoto T et al. (2010) The effect of past food avoidance due to allergic symptoms on the growth of children at school age. Allergol Int 59, 369–74.
571 572 573	53.	Agostoni C, Fiocchi A, Riva E et al. (2007) Growth of infants with IgE-mediated cow's milk allergy fed different formulas in the complementary feeding period. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 18, 599–606.
574 575	54.	Isolauri E, Sutas Y, Salo MK et al. (1998) Elimination diet in cow's milk allergy: Risk for impaired growth in young children. J Pediatr 132, 1004–9.
576 577	55.	Meyer R, De Koker C, Dziubak R et al. (2014) Malnutrition in children with food allergies in the UK. J Hum Nutr Diet 27, 227–35.
578 579	56.	Mehta H, Groetch M & Wang J (2013) Growth and nutritional concerns in children with food allergy. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol 13, 275–9.
580 581 582	57.	Seppo L, Korpela R, Lönnerdal B et al. (2005) A follow-up study of nutrient intake, nutritional status, and growth in infants with cow milk allergy fed either a soy formula or an extensively hydrolyzed whey formula. Am J Clin Nutr 82,140–5.
583 584 585	58.	Rzehak P, Sausenthaler S, Koletzko S et al. (2009) Short- and long-term effects of feeding hydrolyzed protein infant formulas on growth at ≤6 y of age: Results from the German Infant Nutritional Intervention Study. Am J Clin Nutr 89, 1846–56.
586 587 588	59.	Science S, McCarthy HD, Jarrett KV et al. (2001) Original Communication The development of waist circumference percentiles in British children aged 5.0 – 16.9 y. Eur J Clin Nutr 55, 902–7.
589 590 591	60.	Health and Social Care Information Centre. (2014) National Child Measurement Programme: England, 2013/2014 school year. http://www.hscic.gov.uk/ncmp. Accessed August 2015.

592	61.	Kroll B (1990) Evaluating rating scales for sensory testing with children. Food
593		Technol 44, 78–80.
594	62.	Livingstone MBE, Robson PJ, Wallace JMW et al. (2004) Issues in dietary intake
595		assessment of children and adolescents. Br J Nutr 92, S213.
596	63.	Livingstone MB & Robson PJ (2000) Measurement of dietary intake in children. Proc
597		Nutr Soc 59, 279–93.
598	64.	Moore GF, Tapper K, Moore L et al. (2008) Cognitive, Behavioral, and Social Factors
599		Are Associated with Bias in Dietary Questionnaire Self-Reports by Schoolchildren
600		Aged 9 to 11 Years. J Am Diet Assoc 108, 1865–73.
601		