



Title	Spectral Area Estimates For Norms Of Commutators
Author(s)	Cho, Muneo; Nakazi, Takahiko
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 771, 1-10
Issue Date	2006
DOI	10.14943/83921
Doc URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/69579">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/69579</a>
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	pre771.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

# Spectral Area Estimates For Norms Of Commutators

By

Muneo Chō \* And Takahiko Nakazi \*\*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 47 A 20

Key words and phrases : subnormal,  $p$ -hyponormal, Putnam inequality

\* This research is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid Scientific Research No.17540139

\*\* This research is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid Scientific Research No.17540176

**Abstract.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be commuting bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space. In this paper, we study spectral area estimates for norms of  $A^*B - BA^*$  when  $A$  is subnormal or  $p$ -hyponormal.

## §1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the set of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $T$  is a hyponormal operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  then C.R.Putnam [7] proved that  $\|T^*T - TT^*\| \leq \text{Area}(\sigma(T))/\pi$  where  $\sigma(T)$  is the spectrum of  $T$ . The second named author [5] has proved that if  $T$  is a hyponormal operator and  $K$  is in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $KT = TK$  then

$$\|T^*K - KT^*\| \leq 2\{\text{Area}(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{1/2}\|K\|.$$

We don't know whether the constant 2 in the inequality is best possible for a hyponormal operator. In §2, we show that the constant is not best possible for a subnormal operator.

When  $T$  is a  $p$ -hyponormal operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , A.Uchiyama [10] generalized the Putnam inequality, that is,

$$\|T^*T - TT^*\| \leq \phi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \|T\|^{2(1-p)}\{\text{Area}(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^p.$$

This inequality gives the Putnam inequality when  $p = 1$ . In §3, we generalize the above inequality for the spectral area estimate of  $\|T^*K - KT^*\|$  when  $TK = KT$ . H.Alexander [1] proved the following inequality for a uniform algebra  $A$ . If  $f$  is in  $A$  then

$$\text{dist}(\bar{f}, A) \leq \{\text{Area}(\sigma(f))/\pi\}^{1/2}.$$

The second named author [5] gave an operator version for the Alexander inequality. This was used in order to estimate  $\|T^*K - KT^*\|$  when  $T$  is a hyponormal operator and  $KT = TK$ . We also give an Alexander inequality for a  $p$ -hyponormal and we use it to estimate  $\|T^*K - KT^*\|$ .

In §4, we try to estimate  $\|T^*K - KT^*\|$  for arbitrary contraction. In §5, we show a few results about area estimates for  $p$ -quasihyponormal operators, restricted shifts and analytic Toeplitz operators.

For  $0 < p \leq 1$ ,  $T$  is said to be  $p$ -hyponormal if  $(T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p \geq 0$ . A 1-hyponormal operator is hyponormal. For an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , let  $\text{lat}\mathcal{A}$  be the lattice of all  $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant projections. For a compact subset  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\text{rat}(X)$  denotes the set of all rational functions on  $X$ .

## §2. Subnormal operator

In order to prove Theorem 1, we use the original Alexander inequality.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $T$  be a subnormal operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $f$  a rational function on  $\sigma(T)$  whose poles are not on it. Then*

$$\|T^*f(T) - f(T)T^*\| \leq \{\text{Area}(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{1/2}\{\text{Area}(\sigma(f(T)))/\pi\}^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Suppose that  $N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$  is a normal extension of  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $P$  is an orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{K}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $T = PN|_{\mathcal{H}}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned}
& T^*f(T) - f(T)T^* \\
&= PN^*Pf(N)P - Pf(N)PN^*P \\
&= PN^*f(N)P - Pf(N)PN^*P \\
&= Pf(N)N^*P - Pf(N)PN^*P \\
&= Pf(N)(1 - P)N^*P \\
&= Pf(N)(1 - P) \cdot (1 - P)N^*P
\end{aligned}$$

because  $f(N)P = Pf(N)P$  and  $f(N)N^* = N^*f(N)$ .

Let  $F$  be a rational function in  $rat(\sigma(T))$ . Put  $\mathcal{B}_F =$  the norm closure of  $\{g(F(N)) ; g \in rat(\sigma(F(N)))\}$  then  $P$  belongs to  $lat\mathcal{B}_F$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (1 - P)F(N)^*P \| \\
&\leq dist(F(N)^*, \mathcal{B}_F) \leq dist(\bar{z}, rat(\sigma(F(N)))) \\
&\leq \{Area(\sigma(F(N)))/\pi\}^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

by the Alexander's theorem [1]. Hence, applying  $F$  to  $F = z$  or  $F = f$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| T^*f(T) - f(T)T^* \| \\
&\leq \| (1 - P)f(N)^*P \| \cdot \| (1 - P)N^*P \| \\
&\leq \{Area(\sigma(f(N)))/\pi\}^{1/2} \{Area(\sigma(N))/\pi\}^{1/2} \\
&\leq \{Area(\sigma(f(T)))/\pi\}^{1/2} \{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $T$  is a cyclic subnormal operator and  $KT = TK$  then using a theorem of T.Yoshino [12] we can prove that

$$\|T^*K - KT^*\| \leq \{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{1/2} \{Area(\sigma(K))/\pi\}^{1/2}.$$

The proof is almost same to one of Theorem 1.

### §3. $p$ -hyponormal

In order to prove Theorem 2, we use an operator version of the Alexander inequality for a  $p$ -hyponormal operator. Unfortunately Lemma 3 is not best possible for  $p = 1$  (see [5]). Lemma 1 is due to W.Arveson [2, Lemma 2] and Lemma 2 is due to A.Uchiyama [11, Theorem 3].

We need the following notation to give Theorem 2 and Proposition 1. Let  $\phi$  be a positive function on  $(0, \infty)$  such that

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \text{ is an integer} \\ t + 2 & \text{if } t \text{ is not an integer.} \end{cases}$$

We write  $\ell^2 \otimes \mathcal{H}$  for the Hilbert space direct sum  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots$ , and  $1 \otimes T$  denotes the operator  $T \oplus T \oplus \cdots \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 \otimes \mathcal{H})$  for each operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arbitrary ultra-weakly closed subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  containing 1, and let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{A}) = \sup\{\|(1 - P)(1 \otimes T)P\| ; P \in \text{lat}(1 \otimes \mathcal{A})\}.$$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $T$  is a  $p$ -hyponormal operator, then*

$$\|T^*T - TT^*\| \leq \phi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \|T\|^{2(1-p)} \{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^p.$$

**Lemma 3.** *If  $T$  is a  $p$ -hyponormal operator then*

$$\text{dist}(T^*, \mathcal{A}) \leq \sqrt{2\phi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \|T\|^{1-p} \{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{p/2}}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the strong closure of  $\{f(T) ; f \in \text{rat}(\sigma(T))\}$ .

Proof. Let  $S = 1 \otimes T$ . Then  $S$  is  $p$ -hyponormal. In order to prove the lemma, by Lemma 1 it is enough to estimate  $\sup\{\|(1 - P)SP\| ; P \in \text{lat}(1 \otimes \mathcal{A})\}$ . If  $P \in \text{lat}(1 \otimes \mathcal{A})$  then  $SP = PSP$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(1 - P)SP\|^2 \\ &= \|PSS^*P - PS^*SP\|^2 \\ &= \|PSS^*P - PS^*SP + PS^*SP - PS^*SP\|^2 \\ &\leq \|P(S^*S - SS^*)P\| + \|(PSP)^*(PSP) - (PSP)(PSP)^*\| \\ &\leq \|S^*S - SS^*\| + \|(PSP)^*(PSP) - (PSP)(PSP)^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

By [11, Lemma 4],  $PSP$  is  $p$ -hyponormal and so by Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|PSS^*P - PS^*SP\|^2 \\ &\leq \phi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \|T\|^{2(1-p)} \{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^p + \phi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \|PSP\|^{2(1-p)} \{Area(\sigma(PSP))/\pi\}^p \\ &\leq 2\phi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \|T\|^{2(1-p)} \{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^p \end{aligned}$$

because  $\|PSP\| \leq \|S\| = \|T\|$  and  $\sigma(PSP) \subset \sigma(S) = \sigma(T)$ . By Lemma 1,

$$\text{dist}(T^*, \mathcal{A}) \leq \sqrt{2\phi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)}\|T\|^{1-p}\{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{p/2}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *If  $T$  is a  $p$ -hyponormal operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and if  $K$  is in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $KT = TK$ , then*

$$\|T^*K - KT^*\| \leq 2\sqrt{2\phi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)}\|T\|^{1-p}\{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{p/2}\|K\|.$$

Proof. When  $\mathcal{A}$  is the strong closure of  $\{f(T) ; f \in \text{rat}(\sigma(T))\}$ , for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\|T^*K - KT^*\| = \|(T^* - A)K + AK - KT^*\| \leq 2\|T^* - A\|\|K\|.$$

Now Lemma 3 implies the theorem.

In Theorem 2, if  $p = 1$ , that is,  $T$  is hyponormal then  $\|T^*K - KT^*\| \leq 2\sqrt{2}\{Area(\sigma(T))/2\}^{1/2}\|K\|$ . The constant  $2\sqrt{2}$  is not best because the second author [5] proved that  $\|T^*K - KT^*\| \leq 2\{Area(\sigma(T))/2\}^{1/2}\|K\|$ . If  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ , that is,  $T$  is semi-hyponormal then  $\|T^*K - KT^*\| \leq 4\|T\|^{1/2}\{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{1/4}\|K\|$ .

#### §4. Norm estimates

In general, it is easy to see that  $\|T^*T - TT^*\| \leq \|T\|^2$ . By Theorem 1, if  $T$  is subnormal and  $f$  is an analytic polynomial then

$$\|T^*f(T) - f(T)T^*\| \leq \|T\|\|f(T)\|.$$

In this section, we will prove that  $\|T^*T^n - T^nT^*\| \leq \|T\|^{n+1}$  for arbitrary  $T$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $T$  is a contraction on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $f$  is an analytic function on the closed unit disc  $\bar{D}$  then  $\|T^*f(T) - f(T)T^*\| \leq \sup_{z \in \bar{D}} |f(z)|$ .*

Proof. By a theorem of Sz.-Nagy [6], there exists a unitary operator  $U$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a Hilbert space with  $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$  and  $T^n = PU^n|_{\mathcal{K}}$  for  $n \geq 0$  where  $P$  is an orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{K}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then it is known that  $U^*P = PU^*P$  and  $f(T) = Pf(U)|_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & T^*f(T) - f(T)T^* \\ &= PU^*Pf(U)P - Pf(U)PU^*P \\ &= PU^*Pf(U)P - Pf(U)U^*P \\ &= PU^*(I - P)f(U)P \end{aligned}$$

because  $U^*P = PU^*P$  and  $f(U)U^* = U^*f(U)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \| T^*f(T) - f(T)T^* \| \\ &= \| PU^*(I - P)f(U)P \| \leq \sup_{z \in D} |f(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 1.** *If  $T$  is in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  then for any  $n \geq 1$   $\| T^*T^n - T^nT^* \| \leq \| T \|^{n+1}$ .*

Proof. Put  $A = T/\|T\|$  then  $A$  is a contraction and so by Theorem 2  $\|A^*A^n - A^nA^*\| \leq 1$  and so  $\|T^*T^n - T^nT^*\| \leq \|T\|^{n+1}$ .

## §5. Remarks

In this section, we give spectral area estimates for  $p$ -quasihyponormal operators, restricted shifts and analytic Toeplitz operators.

For  $0 < p \leq 1$ ,  $T$  is said to be  $p$ -quasihyponormal if  $T^*\{(T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p\}T \geq 0$ . A 1-quasihyponormal operator is called quasihyponormal.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $T$  be  $p$ -quasihyponormal and  $P$  be a projection such that  $TP = PTP$ . Then  $PTP$  is also  $p$ -quasihyponormal.*

Proof. Since  $T$  is  $p$ -quasihyponormal,  $T^*(T^*T)^pT \geq T^*(TT^*)^pT$ . Hence, we have

$$PT^*(T^*T)^pTP \geq PT^*(TT^*)^pTP.$$

Since by the Hansen's inequality [4]

$$\begin{aligned} PT^*(T^*T)^pTP &= (PTP)^*P(T^*T)^pP(PTP) \\ &\leq (PTP)^*(PT^*TP)^p(PTP) \\ &= (PTP)^*\{(PTP)^*(PTP)\}^p(PTP) \end{aligned}$$

and by  $0 < p < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} PT^*(TT^*)^pTP &\geq (PT^*P)(TPT^*)^p(PTP) \\ &= (PTP)^*\{(PTP)(PTP)^*\}^p(PTP), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(PTP)^*\{(PTP)^*(PTP)\}^p \geq (PTP)^*\{(PTP)(PTP)^*\}^p(PTP).$$

Hence,  $PTP$  is  $p$ -quasihyponormal.

**Proposition 1.** *If  $T$  is a  $p$ -quasihyponormal operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and if  $K$  is in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $KT = TK$ , then*

$$\|T^*K - KT^*\| \leq 4 \left[ \phi \left( \frac{1}{p} \right) \right]^{1/4} \|T\|^{1-p/2} \{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{p/4} \|K\|.$$



In particular, if  $T$  is quasihyponormal then

$$\|T^*K - KT^*\| \leq 4\|T\|^{1/2}\{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{1/4}\|K\|.$$

Proof. We can prove it as in the proof of Theorem 2. By [11, Theorem 6],  $\|T^*T - TT^*\| \leq 2\|T\|^{2-p}\sqrt{\phi(\frac{1}{p})}\{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{p/2}$ . Hence by Lemma 4

$$dist(T^*, \mathcal{A}) \leq 2\|T\|^{1-\frac{p}{2}}\phi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\{Area(\sigma(T))/\pi\}^{p/4}.$$

This implies the proposition.

Let  $H^2$  and  $H^\infty$  be the usual Hardy spaces on the unit circle and  $z$  the coordinate function.  $M$  denotes an invariant subspace of  $H^2$  under the multiplication by  $z$ . By the well known Beurling theorem,  $M = qH^2$  for some inner function. Suppose  $N$  is the orthogonal complement of  $M$  in  $H^2$ . For a function  $\phi$  in  $H^\infty$ ,  $S_\phi$  is an operator on  $N$  such that  $S_\phi f = P(\phi f)$  ( $f \in N$ ) where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection from  $H^2$  to  $N$ . For a symbol  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty$ ,  $T_\phi$  denotes the usual Toeplitz operator on  $H^2$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Suppose  $\Phi = q\bar{\phi}$  belongs to  $H^\infty$ . Then*

- (1)  $\|S_\phi^*S_\phi - S_\phi S_\phi^*\| \leq Area(\overline{\Phi(D)})/\pi$  ;
- (2)  $\|S_\phi^*S_\phi^n - S_\phi^n S_\phi^*\| \leq \{Area(\overline{\Phi(D)})/\pi\}^{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

Proof. By a well known theorem of Sarason [8],

$$\|S_\phi\| = \|\phi + qH^\infty\| = \|\bar{q}\phi + H^\infty\| = \|\bar{\Phi} + H^\infty\|.$$

By Nehari's theorem [6],  $\|\bar{\Phi} + H^\infty\| = \|H_{\bar{\Phi}}\|$  where  $H_{\bar{\Phi}}$  denotes a Hankel operator from  $H^2$  to  $\bar{z}H^2$ . Since  $\|H_{\bar{\Phi}}\|^2 = \|T_\Phi^*T_\Phi - T_\Phi T_\Phi^*\|$  where  $T_\Phi$  denotes a Toeplitz operator on  $H^2$ , by the Putnam inequality

$$\|T_\Phi^*T_\Phi - T_\Phi T_\Phi^*\| \leq Area(\sigma(T_\Phi))/\pi = Area(\overline{\Phi(D)})/\pi.$$

Now since  $\|S_\phi^*S_\phi - S_\phi S_\phi^*\| \leq \|S_\phi\|^2$ , (1) follows. (2) is also clear by the proof above and Corollary 1.

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $H^\infty$ . Then*

$$\|T_f^*T_g - T_g T_f^*\| \leq \{Area(\overline{f(D)})/\pi\}^{1/2}\{Area(\overline{g(D)})/\pi\}^{1/2}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that  $T_f^*T_g - T_g T_f^* = H_g^*H_{\bar{f}}$ . Hence

$$\|T_f^*T_g - T_g T_f^*\| \leq \|H_g\| \cdot \|H_{\bar{f}}\|.$$

Since  $H_{\bar{f}}^*H_{\bar{f}} = T_f^*T_f - T_fT_f^*$ , by the Putnam inequality

$$\|T_f^*T_g - T_gT_f^*\| \leq \{Area(\overline{f(D)})/\pi\}^{1/2}\{Area(\overline{g(D)})/\pi\}^{1/2}.$$

### References

1. H. Alexander, Projections of polynomial hulls, J. Funct. Anal. 13(1973), 13-19.
2. W. Arveson, Interpolation problems in nest algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 20(1975), 208-233.
3. M. Chō and M. Itoh, Putnam inequality for  $p$ -hyponormal operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123(1995), 2435-2440.
4. F. Hansen, An operator inequality, Math. Ann. 246(1980), 249-250.
5. T. Nakazi, Complete spectral area estimates and self-commutators, Michigan Math. J. 35(1988), 435-441.
6. Z. Nehari, On bounded bilinear forms, Ann. of Math. (2) 65(1957), 153-162.
7. C. R. Putnam, An inequality for the area of hyponormal spectra, Math. Z. 116(1970), 323-330.
8. D. Sarason, Generalized interpolation in  $H^\infty$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 127(1967), 179-203.
9. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias, Harmonic Analysis Of Operators On Hilbert Space. American Elsevier, New York, 1970.
10. A. Uchiyama, Berger-Shaw's theorem for  $p$ -hyponormal operators, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 33(1999), 221-230.
11. A. Uchiyama, Inequalities of Putnam and Berger-Shaw for  $p$ -quasihyponormal operators, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 34(1999), 91-106.
12. T. Yoshino, Subnormal operators with a cyclic vector, Tohoku Math. 21(1969), 47-55.

M. Chō  
Department of Mathematics  
Kanagawa University  
Japan  
chiyom01@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

T. Nakazi  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Hokkaido University  
Sapporo 060-0810, Japan  
nakazi@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp