



Title	Comment on 'Efficacy and toxicity of treatment with the anti-CTLA-4 antibody ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma after prior anti-PD-1 therapy'
Author(s)	Imafuku, Keisuke; Yoshino, Koji; Yamaguchi, Kei; Tsuboi, Satoshi; Ohara, Kuniaki; Hata, Hiroo
Citation	British Journal of Cancer, 116(8), e14 https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2017.58
Issue Date	2017-04-11
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/68542
Rights(URL)	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/
Type	article
File Information	bjc201758.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

Comment on 'Efficacy and toxicity of treatment with the anti-CTLA-4 antibody ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma after prior anti-PD-1 therapy'

Keisuke Imafuku^{*1,2}, Koji Yoshino¹, Kei Yamaguchi¹, Satoshi Tsuboi¹, Kuniaki Ohara¹ and Hiroo Hata²

¹Department of Dermatology, Tokyo Metropolitan Cancer and Infectious Diseases Center, Komagome Hospital, Tokyo 113-8677, Japan and

²Department of Dermatology, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Sapporo 060-8638, Japan

Sir,

We carefully read the manuscript by Bowyer *et al* (2016) recently published in the *British Journal of Cancer*. They have retrospectively identified a cohort of 40 patients with metastatic melanomas who received anti-PD-1 monotherapy with pembrolizumab or nivolumab and who, after progression, were treated with ipilimumab.

The toxicity of ipilimumab was also evaluated in the report. Fourteen of the 40 patients demonstrated severe adverse events (over grade 3/4): nine with colitis, three with pneumonitis, two with hepatitis and one with encephalitis. Bowyer *et al* reported that two of the 40 patients simultaneously developed two severe immune-related adverse events (irAEs) (pneumonitis and hepatitis, diarrhoea and hepatitis). The median interval between the final dose of PD-1 inhibitor and the initial administration of ipilimumab was 53 days (range 2–683 days).

At our institution (see Table 1), we administered ipilimumab as a second-line treatment for malignant melanoma to the 10 patients whose first-line nivolumab therapy had been unsuccessful. Six of the 10 patients had a short interval of less than 30 days (group A). Four of 10 patients had a long interval of more than 30 days (group B). All group A patients demonstrated severe adverse events (grade 3/4), with high fever of up to 40 °C. In addition, four patients simultaneously demonstrated two or more irAEs (one presented rash and colitis, colitis and hepatitis, rash and colitis, and rash and hepatitis). These four patients were unable to complete the course of ipilimumab because of the adverse events. In contrast, although the group B patients demonstrated irAEs without high fever, these were comparatively mild (not shown).

A similar report was published on PD-1 usage. Vemurafenib, a BRAF inhibitor for the treatment of malignant melanoma, is known to induce severe rash when it follows the administration of a PD-1 inhibitor. When the interval between PD-1 and vemurafenib is less than 30 days, the adverse events tend to be more severe (Harding *et al*, 2012; Johnson *et al*, 2013; Imafuku *et al*, 2015).

We speculate that the difference is associated with the half-life in blood of nivolumab. The serum half-life of anti-PD-1 is 17–25 days. Therefore, it might be risky to administer ipilimumab within 30 days after nivolumab because of the high nivolumab concentration remaining in the serum.

The notable CheckMate 067 therapeutic trial of combined nivolumab and ipilimumab showed severe adverse events. Although the rate of monotherapy of nivolumab or ipilimumab induces, respectively, 5.1% and 13.2% adverse events over grade 3/4, combined therapy causes 29.4% (Larkin *et al*, 2015). In addition, in the notable CheckMate 064 clinical trial of sequential administration of nivolumab and ipilimumab, the interval was 2 weeks. Adverse events of grade 3/4 tended to occur after the medication was switched (Weber *et al*, 2016).

According to these facts, in this report, we insist the risk of irAE is due to sequential usage of nivolumab and ipilimumab. When the interval is less than 30 days, two or more severe irAEs with high fever are likely to co-exist, as we experienced. Therefore, we have to correctly manage any irAEs that occur in the patients.

Since the efficacy of the combination or sequential therapy of nivolumab and ipilimumab is established, overcoming severe irAE is very essential when using immunotherapy. When the tumour activity is very high, we should start the next treatment. It is not always possible to leave a sufficiently long interval between the last dosage of nivolumab and the first of ipilimumab. It is important for physicians to understand the risks and benefits of sequential therapy and to keep quality of life in mind.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Table 1. Details of the patients

Group	Case	Primary	Metastasis	Nivolumab	Fever	irAE by nivolumab (any grade)	Interval between nivolumab and ipilimumab	irAE by ipilimumab (grade 3/4)
A	1	Nasal cavity	Lymph nodes	9	+	-	14 days	Rash, colitis
	2	Shoulder	Lungs	19	+	-	14 days	Colitis, hepatitis
	3	Urinary tract	Lungs, adrenal	5	+	-	14 days	Rash, colitis
	4	Abdomen	Lungs	5	+	-	14 days	Rash, hepatitis
	5	Vulvar	Brain, lungs	5	+	-	14 days	Hepatitis
	6	Thigh	Lymph nodes	5	+	-	30 days	Hepatitis
B	7	Finger	Lungs, bones	7	-	-	-	-
	8	Chest	Lungs, liver	10	-	-	-	-
	9	Thigh	Lymph nodes	9	-	-	-	-
	10	Thigh	Lungs	7	-	-	-	-

Abbreviation: irAE = immune-related adverse event.

REFERENCES

- Bowyer S, Prithviraj P, Lorigan P, Larkin J, McArthur G, Atkinson V, Millward M, Khou M, Diem S, Ramanujam S, Kong B, Liniker E, Guminski A, Parente P, Andrews MC, Parakh S, Cebon J, Long GV, Carlino MS, Klein O (2016) Efficacy and toxicity of treatment with the anti-CTLA-4 antibody ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma after prior anti-PD-1 therapy. *Br J Cancer* **114**(10): 1084–1089.
- Harding JJ, Pulitzer M, Chapman PB (2012) Vemurafenib sensitivity skin reaction after ipilimumab. *N Engl J Med* **366**(9): 866–868.
- Imafuku K, Yoshino K, Ishiwata K, Otobe S, Tsuboi S, Ohara K, Hata H (2015) Severe rash associated with vemurafenib administration following nivolumab therapy. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* **30**(10): e84–e86.
- Johnson DB, Wallender EK, Cohen DN, Likhari SS, Zwerner JP, Powers JG, Shinn L, Kelley MC, Joseph RW, Sosman JA (2013) Severe cutaneous and neurologic toxicity in melanoma patients during vemurafenib administration following anti-PD-1 therapy. *Cancer Immunol Res* **1**(6): 373–377.
- Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, Lao CD, Schadendorf D, Dummer R, Smylie M, Rutkowski P, Ferrucci PF, Hill A, Wagstaff J, Carlino MS, Haanen JB, Maio M, Marquez-Rodas I, McArthur GA, Ascierto PA, Long GV, Callahan MK, Postow MA, Grossmann K, Sznol M, Dreno B, Bastholt L, Yang A, Rollin LM, Horak C, Hodi FS, Wolchok JD (2015) Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab or monotherapy in untreated melanoma. *N Engl J Med* **373**(1): 23–34.
- Weber JS, Gibney G, Sullivan RJ, Sosman JA, Slingluff CL, Lawrence DP, Logan TF, Schuchter LM, Nair S, Fecher L, Buchbinder EI, Berghorn E, Ruisi M, Kong G, Jiang J, Horak C, Hodi FS (2016) Sequential administration of nivolumab and ipilimumab with a planned switch in patients with advanced melanoma (CheckMate 064): an open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* **17**(7): 943–955.

This work is published under the BJC's standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the license terms will change to a Creative Commons AttributionNonCommercial-Share Alike 4.0 Unported License.

*Correspondence: Dr K Imafuku; E-mail: imafukukeisuke@gmail.com

Published online 21 March 2017

© 2017 Cancer Research UK. All rights reserved 0007–0920/17