

**Investigating the synthesis and regulation of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan
biosynthesis**

Submitted by

George Dimitroff, B.Sc. (Hons)

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

School of Agriculture, Food and Wine

University of Adelaide, Waite Campus,

Glen Osmond, SA 5064, Australia

February 2016

Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	i
Table of Figures.....	vi
List of Tables.....	ix
Abstract.....	x
Declaration.....	xii
Acknowledgements.....	xiv
Abbreviations.....	xvi
1. General Introduction.....	1
1.0 Introduction.....	2
1.1 Plant Cell Walls and (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan.....	2
1.2 The Distribution, Structure and Function of (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucans.....	5
1.3 Human Health and Industrial Applications.....	7
1.4 (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Biosynthesis.....	8
1.4.1 The Cellulose Synthase Superfamily.....	8
1.4.2 <i>CsIF</i> Genes in Barley.....	11
1.4.3 <i>CsIF</i> Genes and Proteins.....	12
1.4.4 Synthesis of (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan.....	18
2. (1,3;1,4)-β-Glucan Biosynthesis by the CSLF6 Enzyme in the Poaceae: Determinants of Specific Activity and Product Fine Structure.....	21
2.0 Abstract.....	22
2.1 Introduction.....	22
2.2 Results.....	26

2.2.1 CSLF6 Orthologs Produce Different Amounts of (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan with Different Fine Structures	26
2.2.2 Managing Variability in the Heterologous Expression System	29
2.2.3 The NH ₂ -Terminal Region Influences (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Levels but not DP3:DP4 Ratios.....	32
2.2.4 The Cytoplasmic Region Influences Both (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Amounts and DP3:DP4 Ratios.....	36
2.2.5 Amino Acid Substitutions Affect (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Amounts and DP3:DP4 Ratios.....	37
2.2.6 Three-dimensional Dispositions of Amino Acid Residues That Affect (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Amounts and Fine Structure	39
2.3 Discussion	42
2.4 Methods.....	46
2.4.1 TEM Immunocytochemistry	46
2.4.2 RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and Q-PCR	46
2.4.3 Total Protein Quantification.....	47
2.4.4 DNA Constructs	47
2.4.5 Transient Transformation of <i>Nicotiana benthamiana</i>	48
2.4.6 Transient transformation of <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> leaves	48
2.4.7 Stable rice callus transformation.....	48
2.4.8 (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Assay.....	49
2.4.9 Measurement of Polysaccharide DP3:DP4 Ratios	50
2.4.10 CSLF6 Homology Model.....	50
2.5 Acknowledgements	50
3. CSLF6 Synthesises (1,3;1,4)-β-Glucan in Complexes Mediated by the Class-Specific and NH₂-Terminal Regions.....	51
3.0 Abstract	52

3.1 Introduction	52
3.2 Results	56
3.2.1 (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Production by Full-length CSLF6 Increases when Co-expressed with the CSLF6 NH ₂ -Terminal Region.....	56
3.2.2 The NH ₂ -Terminal Region of CSLF6 is Required for Enzyme Function.	60
3.2.3 A P463T Mutated <i>Hv</i> CSLF6 Enzyme Reduces (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Biosynthesis.....	63
3.2.4 The CSLF6 Class Specific Region is not Required for Enzyme Function.	65
3.2.5 The CSLF6 Extra and Class Specific Regions do not Enhance (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Production when Inserted into CSLJ, CSLH or Other CSLF Members.	68
3.2.6 The Cysteine-rich Motif in the NH ₂ -Terminal Region of CSLF6 is not Required for (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Biosynthesis or Complex Formation in <i>N. benthamiana</i>	69
3.2.7 The CSLF6 CSR may be Involved in Complex Formation.....	72
3.2.8 A Thirty Amino Acid Stretch of the CSLF6 NH ₂ -Terminal Region May Regulate CSLF6.	74
3.2.9 Point Mutations in the CSLF6 PIIZ Motif Alter (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Production and DP3:DP4 Ratio.	78
3.3 Discussion.....	82
3.4 Materials and Methods.....	89
3.4.1 Construct Development.....	89
3.4.2 Transient Transformation of <i>Nicotiana benthamiana</i>	89
3.4.3 (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Assay	90
3.4.4 High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Analysis	90
4. Analysis of the <i>HvCsIF6</i> Promoter.	91
4.0 Introduction	92
4.1 Results	95
4.1.1 The Putative <i>HvCsIF6</i> Promoter is not active in <i>Nicotiana benthamiana</i> Leaves.....	95

4.1.2 Transient <i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated Expression can be Performed in Barley Tissues ...	98
4.1.3 A Repressor Element in the <i>HvCsIF6</i> Promoter Inhibits Transient Luciferase Expression.	100
4.1.4 Numerous regulatory elements are predicted to interact with the <i>HvCsIF6</i> promoter..	102
4.2 Discussion and Future Directions	103
4.3 Methods.....	106
4.3.1 Vector Construction	106
4.3.2 Promoter Fragment Isolation	106
4.3.3 Transient <i>N. benthamiana</i> Expression.....	106
4.3.4 Preparation of Barley Tissues for Transient Expression	107
4.3.5 Transient Barley Tissue Expression	107
4.3.6 Dual Luciferase Reporter (DLR) Assay	107
4.3.7 <i>CsIF6</i> Promoter Comparisons.....	108
5. <i>SbCSLF6</i> Expression in Transgenic Barley Plants Alters Grain (1,3;1,4)-β-Glucan Properties.	109
5.0 Introduction.....	110
5.1 Results.....	112
5.1.1 <i>SbCSLF6</i> Driven by the <i>AsGLO</i> Promoter Alters Grain (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Properties.	112
5.2 Discussion	116
5.3 Methods.....	118
5.3.1 Stable Barley Transformation and Plant Growth	118
5.3.2 (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan Analysis	118
5.3.3 Starch Content Determination.....	118
6. Summary and Future Directions.....	119

6.0 Thesis Summary and Key Findings	120
6.1 Future Directions.....	124
6.2 Summary.....	126
6.2.1 Key Findings.....	126
6.2.2 More Work is Required to Substantiate the Following	126
7. Appendices (Chapter 2).....	127
8. Appendices (Chapter 3).....	137
9. Appendices (Chapter 4).....	143
10. References Cited.....	149

Table of Figures

Figure 1-1. Architecture of plant cell walls.	4
Figure 1-2. (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan fine structures.	6
Figure 1-3. Phylogenetic tree of the <i>CELLULOSE SYNTHASE</i> superfamily in higher plants.	10
Figure 1-4. CSLF gene structure and protein alignment.	15
Figure 1-5. Model of <i>BdCSLF6</i> membrane topology.	20
Figure 2-1: Gold immunolabelling of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan in <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves.	27
Figure 2-2. (1,3;1,4)- β -Glucan amounts and fine structure produced by the heterologous expression of CSLF6 orthologs.	28
Figure 2-3. Differences in (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan amounts and fine structure produced by CSLF6 orthologs across four individual experiments.	31
Figure 2-4. Chimeric CSLF6 constructs, amounts of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan synthesised in <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves and fine structures of the (1,3;1,4)- β -glucans produced.	35
Figure 2-5. Amounts and fine structures of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan synthesised in <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves by specific amino acid mutation constructs in the 'catalytic region' of CSLF6.	38
Figure 2-6. An <i>in silico</i> protein threaded model of <i>SbCSLF6</i>	41
Figure 3-1. CSLF6 primary structure and locations of conserved motifs.	56
Figure 3-2. Chimeric, truncated and mutated CSLF6 proteins and the amounts of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan produced after individual and combined expression in <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves.	59

Figure 3-3. Model of CSLF6 complex formation and interactions with truncated constructs.....	62
Figure 3-4. Model of CSLF6 complex formation and interactions with a P463T mutated construct.....	64
Figure 3-5. Internally deleted and chimeric CSLF6 proteins with their associated amounts and DP3:DP4 ratios of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan produced in <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves.....	66
Figure 3-6. An <i>in silico</i> <i>Sb</i> CSLF6 protein threaded model.....	67
Figure 3-7. Internally deleted, truncated and mutated CSLF6 proteins and the amounts of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan produced after individual and combined expression in <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves.	71
Figure 3-8. Model of <i>Sb</i> : Δ CSR complex formation and interactions with truncated and mutated constructs.....	73
Figure 3-9. The influence of separate sections of the CSLF6 NH ₂ -Terminal region on (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan synthesis in <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves.	77
Figure 3-10. The PilZ motifs of CSLF6 orthologs and BCSA, and the amount and structure of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan produced by specific <i>Sb</i> CSLF6 mutant constructs in <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves.	81
Figure 3-11. Model of CSLF6 regulation and the effect of co-expressing internally deleted, truncated and mutated constructs.....	84
Figure 4-1. Expression vectors used to transiently transform <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves and barley tissues for the Dual Luciferase Assay.....	94
Figure 4-2. Deletion series of the putative <i>HvCsIF6</i> promoter.....	96
Figure 4-3. Firefly and <i>Renilla</i> luciferase activity post-transient infiltration of promoter fragments in <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves.	97

Figure 4-4. Firefly and <i>Renilla</i> luciferase activity in barley tissues.....	99
Figure 4-5. Firefly and <i>Renilla</i> luciferase activity in barley first leaf bases.	101
Figure 5-1. The influence of <i>SbCSLF6</i> on grain (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan properties in T ₀ and T ₁ transgenic barley plants when expressed using the grain-specific (<i>AsGLO</i>) promoter.....	113
Figure 5-2. The influence of <i>SbCSLF6</i> on grain phenotype in T ₂ transgenic barley plants.....	114
Figure 5-3. The influence of <i>SbCSLF6</i> on starch levels in T ₁ and T ₂ transgenic barley grain when expressed using the grain-specific (<i>AsGLO</i>) promoter.	114
Figure 5-4. The influence of <i>SbCSLF6</i> expression on (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan properties in transgenic barley grain.....	115
Supplementary Figure 7-1. Amino acid alignment of <i>HvCSLF6</i> and <i>SbCSLF6</i>	128
Supplementary Figure 7-2. mRNA abundances of <i>CsIF6</i> constructs and respective (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan amounts produced when expressed in <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves.	131
Supplementary Figure 7-3. Differences in total protein levels and (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan amounts produced by <i>CSLF6</i> orthologs from four separate experiments.	131
Supplementary Figure 7-4. Amino acid alignment of <i>CSLF6</i> orthologs.	132

List of Tables

Supplementary Table 7-1. Primers used for <i>CsIF6</i> cDNA cloning and targeted recombination.	133
Supplementary Table 7-2. Primers used for <i>CsIF6</i> targeted recombination.	134
Supplementary Table 7-3. Primers used to build <i>CsIF6</i> point mutation constructs.	135
Supplementary Table 7-4. Q-PCR primers and conditions	136
Supplementary Table 8-1. The activity of unmodified, internally deleted and chimeric CSL constructs after transient expression in <i>N. benthamiana</i> leaves.	138
Supplementary Table 8-2. Constructs with sections of the NH ₂ -terminal region interchanged between <i>HvCsIF6</i> and <i>SbCsIF6</i> , and their respective activities in <i>N. benthamiana</i> . Red lettering highlights the sections, A, B or C, that have been interchanged between <i>HvCsIF6</i> and <i>SbCsIF6</i>	139
Supplementary Table 8-3. Primers used to generate truncated, mutated and chimeric <i>CsIF6</i> constructs.....	140
Supplementary Table 8-4. Primers used to generate internally deleted and chimeric <i>CsIF6</i> constructs.....	141
Supplementary Table 8-5. Primers used to generate chimeric constructs.	142
Supplementary Table 9-1. Cis-acting regulatory elements predicted to bind in regions conserved between barley, sorghum and rice <i>CsIF6</i> promoters.....	144
Supplementary Table 9-2. Cis-acting regulatory elements predicted to bind between 1750bp and 2500bp upstream of the <i>HvCsIF6</i> start codon.	146
Supplementary Table 9-3. Primers used to generate promoter constructs.....	148

Abstract

Cereals such as rice (*Oryza sativa* (*Os*)), barley (*Hordeum vulgare* (*Hv*)) and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (*Sb*)) provide a considerable portion of our daily energy requirements. Their cell wall constituents, such as (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan, survive relatively intact through much of the upper human digestive system to reach the colon, where they are fermented by a range of commensal microorganisms. The products of this fermentation help reduce blood cholesterol levels and ameliorate diseases including coronary heart disease, type II diabetes and colorectal cancer. Efforts have therefore been directed toward understanding the regulation and mechanism of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan biosynthesis to enhance the human health potential and industrial utility of cereal grain.

Numerous reports suggest that the *CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-LIKE F6* (*CsIF6*) gene encodes the synthase responsible for producing the majority of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan in cereals. These synthase genes contain species-specific polymorphisms that have been shown to influence the amount and structure of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan produced when they are expressed heterologously in *Nicotiana benthamiana* and barley grain. Here, a chimeric approach exchanged sections of the barley (*Hv*) and sorghum (*Sb*) CSLF6 synthases to identify regions influencing (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan production and structure. Using this approach an 80 amino acid stretch, which contains the conserved TED and QxxRW motifs, was shown to be responsible for much of the difference in (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan production and structure between the barley and sorghum synthases. Of the six amino acid polymorphisms contained within this section, one affected polysaccharide structure whilst another dictated the amount of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan.

Co-expression in *N. benthamiana* was used to investigate CSLF6 modulation and complex formation. Results from a variety of chimeric, truncated and mutated constructs suggest that a highly variable section of unknown function, termed the class-specific region (CSR), and the NH₂-terminal region of CSLF6 are separately able to mediate complex formation and increase (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan production. Expression of a construct missing the CSR indicated that the region was not structurally or functionally required for (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan

synthesis in *N. benthamiana*. A PilZ domain responsible for cofactor binding and cellulose synthase activation in bacteria was also identified at the COOH-terminal end of the NH₂-terminal region of CSLF6, and was shown to influence (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan production. Overall, the results presented here have furthered our understanding of the action of the CSLF6 isoform of the (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan synthase enzyme. This brings us closer to having the capacity to precisely control the synthase's function, and allowing the prospect of manipulating cereal tissues to contain the optimal amount of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan with a defined structure for specific human health and industrial applications.

Declaration

I, George Dimitroff certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I acknowledge that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Chapter 2 has been presented as a publication in preparation. **Figure 2-1** and **Figure 2-2** contain work presented in my honours thesis (Dimitroff, 2011) and has been included here because it is a necessary contribution to the first publication in preparation.

George Dimitroff

February 2016

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the following organisations and people for their roles in assisting me through my PhD project.

Thanks to the Australian Government, Department of Education and Training for providing an Australian Postgraduate Award, to the Grains Research and Development Corporation for providing a Grains Industry Research Scholarship, and to the ARC Centre of Excellence in Plant Cell Walls, University of Adelaide for providing an ARC COE Plant Cell Walls Scholarship and the facilities required to conduct the research.

To my supervisors Associate Professor Rachel Burton, Dr Alan Little and Professor Geoffrey Fincher, my most sincere gratitude for their guidance, mentorship and support throughout my studies. I would like to thank Professor Vincent Bulone, Professor Alison Roberts, Associate Professor Eric Roberts, Dr Matthew Tucker, Dr Natalie Betts, Dr Marilyn Hendersen, Dr Neil Shirley, Dr Yves Hsieh, Dr Rohan Singh, Mr Jelle Lahnstein, Mr Julian Schwerdt and Ms Shi Fang Khor for their technical knowledge, guidance and friendships. I would also like to thank all current and former members of the Plant Cell Wall group for their friendships and assistance; in particular Kuok Yap, Grace Ang, Ali Saleh Hassan, Christopher Hakachite, Ilaria Marcotuli, and Wai Li Lim for their endless laughter.

Thanks to all of my friends and family for their continued support, particularly my wife Sophia, mother Matoula and father Lio for his 'positive' criticism and weekly fishing trips.

Abbreviations

AsGLO	<i>Avena sativa</i> globulin (oat, grain specific) promoter
BCSA	BACTERIAL CELLULOSE SYNTHASE SUBUNIT A
<i>CesA</i>	CELLULOSE SYNTHASE
CR	catalytic region
<i>Csl</i>	CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-LIKE
CSR	class specific region
DAP	days after pollination
DPI	days post infiltration
ExR	The CSLF6 'extra region'
F:R	firefly: <i>Renilla</i>
GFP	green-fluorescent protein from <i>Aequorea victoria</i>
GUS	beta-glucuronidase gene from <i>Escherichia coli</i>
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
<i>Hv</i>	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>
Kb	kilobase
LarII	luciferase assay reagent II
LB	luria broth
OD ₆₀₀	optical density at 600nm wavelength
<i>Os</i>	<i>Oryza sativa</i>

P-CR	plant-conserved region
Q-PCR	quantitative polymerase chain reaction
QTL	quantitative trait loci
<i>Sb</i>	<i>Sorghum bicolor</i>
S&GR	stop & glo reagent
TSS	transcriptional start site
35S	CamV35S constitutive promoter