

Classification of large partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ and related combinatorial objects

Thomas Honold* Michael Kiermaier† Sascha Kurz‡

May 2, 2018

The partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ of maximum possible size 17 and of size 16 are classified. Based on this result, we obtain the classification of the following closely related combinatorial objects: Vector space partitions of $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ of type $(3^{16}4^1)$, binary 3×4 MRD codes of minimum rank distance 3, and subspace codes with the optimal parameters $(7, 17, 6)_2$ and $(7, 34, 5)_2$.

MSC Classification

05B25; 15A21; 20B25; 51E14; 51E20; 94B60

1 Introduction

A partial spread in the projective geometry $\text{PG}(v-1, q) = \text{PG}(\mathbb{F}_q^v/\mathbb{F}_q)$ is a set of mutually disjoint subspaces of equal dimension. In Finite Geometry, partial spreads arise as natural generalizations of spreads, i.e., partitions of the point set of $\text{PG}(v-1, q)$ into subspaces of equal dimension. In the recently established field of Subspace Coding, which refers to the construction and analysis of error-correcting codes for noncoherent Random Linear Network Coding [38, 51, 13], partial spreads arise as constant-dimension

*Department of Information and Electronic Engineering, Zhejiang University, 38 Zheda Road, 310027 Hangzhou, China
email: honold@zju.edu.cn

†Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany
email: michael.kiermaier@uni-bayreuth.de
homepage: <http://www.mathe2.uni-bayreuth.de/michaelk/>

‡Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany
email: sascha.kurz@uni-bayreuth.de
homepage: http://www.wm.uni-bayreuth.de/de/team/Kurz_Sascha/

codes with the largest possible minimum distance (equal to twice the dimension of the codewords). In both areas, the determination of the maximum size of partial spreads with fixed parameters q , v and k (algebraic dimension of the subspaces), and the corresponding classification of extremal types are of great importance.

Specifically, in Subspace Coding partial spreads arise in two different settings: (1) as “local” (or “derived”) subcodes of general $(v, M, 2\delta; k)$ constant-dimension codes, formed by the codewords through a fixed subspace of dimension $k - \delta$ (maximum dimension of the intersection of two distinct codewords); (2) as constant-dimension layers of unrestricted (“mixed-dimension”) subspace codes. Our present work, which classifies the partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ of maximum size 17 and of size 16 and uses this for the classification of optimal $(7, 17, 6)_2$ and $(7, 34, 5)_2$ subspace codes, may serve as an example for (2). The classification of $(7, 17, 6)_2$ and $(7, 34, 5)_2$ codes has been announced without proof in our paper on mixed-dimension subspace codes [30]: The number of isomorphism types of $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace codes is 928, and the number of isomorphism types of $(7, 34, 5)_2$ subspace codes is 20. The details of these classifications are provided in this article. As an example for (1) we mention the classification of optimal binary $(6, 77, 4; 3)$ subspace codes [30], which used the classification of maximal partial line spreads in $\text{PG}(4, 2)$ as essential ingredient. Quite recently, the classification result of this article has been used in a similar way to determine the maximum possible size of $(8, ?, 6; 4)_2$ subspace codes: In [25], $A(8, 6; 4)_2 \leq 272$ has been shown. Finally in [22], the exact value is determined as $A(8, 6; 4)_2 = 257$, and moreover the corresponding $(8, 257, 6; 2)_2$ subspace codes are classified into two isomorphism classes.

The classification of partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ of maximum size 17 and of size 16 forms the main part of the present work. While the full classification is inevitably based on computational methods, we point out that for both sizes the feasible hole structures are classified purely by theoretical arguments. From these results it is comparatively cheap to derive the classification of the above-mentioned subspace codes. Moreover, the classification of further, related combinatorial objects is carried out, namely of the vector space partitions of $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ of type $(3^{16}4^1)$ and of the (not necessarily linear) binary 3×4 MRD codes of minimum rank distance 3.

Our work can also be seen as a continuation of [18] and earlier work of Shaw [49], where partial line spreads in $\text{PG}(4, 2)$ have been classified. Both cases are instances of $v \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$, for which the maximal size of a partial spread has been known since the work of Beutelspacher [1]. The present classification problem, however, is considerably more complex than that in [18] and can be solved only for sizes close to the maximum size 17. Due to the large number of isomorphism classes, only the most important ones can be given in explicit form in this article. The full data is provided at the online tables of subspace codes <http://subspacecodes.uni-bayreuth.de>.

The remaining part of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 the necessary theoretical background is provided. In particular, partial spreads and the related combinatorial notions of vector space partitions, subspace codes and MRD codes are introduced, together with pointers to the literature. The classification of partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ of maximum size 17 is carried out in Section 3. The classification of partial plane spreads of the second largest size 16 in Section 4 is considerably more

involved, but still feasible. It is based on the classification of the possible hole configurations of such a partial spread in Section 4.1. Section 5 contains the implications of these classifications on MRD codes, and Section 6 those on optimal subspace codes.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The subspace lattice

Throughout this article, V is a vector space over \mathbb{F}_q of finite dimension v . Subspaces of dimension k will be called k -subspaces of V , or $(k-1)$ -flats of the projective geometry $\text{PG}(V) \cong \text{PG}(v-1, q)$. The set of all k -subspaces of V is called the *Graßmannian* and denoted by $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$. Adopting the projective geometry point-of-view, the 1-subspaces of V (0-flats) are also called *points*, the 2-subspaces *lines*, the 3-subspaces *planes*, the 4-subspaces *solids* and the $(v-1)$ -subspaces *hyperplanes*.¹ As usual, subspaces of V are identified with the set of points they contain. The number of all k -subspaces of V is given by the Gaussian binomial coefficient

$$\# \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} v \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q = \begin{cases} \frac{(q^v-1)(q^{v-1}-1)\dots(q^{v-k+1}-1)}{(q^k-1)(q^{k-1}-1)\dots(q-1)} & \text{if } k \in \{0, \dots, v\}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The set $\mathcal{L}(V)$ of all subspaces of V together with the operations $X \cap Y$ (meet) and $X + Y$ (join) forms the *subspace lattice* of V .

After a choice of a basis, we can identify V with \mathbb{F}_q^v . Now for any $U \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ there is a unique matrix A in reduced row echelon form such that $U = \langle A \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the row space. Our focus lies on the case $q = 2$, where the 1-subspaces $\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_2} \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_2$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the nonzero vectors $\mathbf{x} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

By the fundamental theorem of projective geometry, for $v \neq 2$ the automorphism group of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is given by the natural action of $\text{PFL}(V)$ on $\mathcal{L}(V)$. The automorphisms contained in $\text{PGL}(V)$ will be called *linear*. The action of these groups provides a notion of (linear) automorphisms and (linear) equivalence on subsets of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ and in particular on partial spreads, and vector space partitions, which will be introduced below.

If q is prime, the group $\text{PFL}(V)$ reduces to $\text{PGL}(V)$, and for $q = 2$ further to $\text{GL}(V)$. After a choice of a basis of V , its elements are represented by the invertible $v \times v$ matrices A , and the action on $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is given by the vector-matrix-multiplication $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{v}A$.

Sending a subspace U of V to its orthogonal complement $U^\perp = \{w \in V; b(U, w) = 0\}$ with respect to any fixed non-degenerate bilinear form b on V constitutes an anti-automorphism of $\mathcal{L}(V)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is isomorphic to its dual.

A *projective basis* of $\text{PG}(v-1, q)$ is a set of $v+1$ points of $\text{PG}(v-1, q)$, no v of which lie in a common hyperplane. In $\text{PG}(\mathbb{F}_q^v)$, a particular projective basis is formed by the points generated by the unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_v$ together with the all-one vector $\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_v$. It can be shown that the group $\text{PGL}(v, q)$ acts regularly on the set of

¹Caution: “ k -subspace” refers to the algebraic (i.e., vector space) dimension, while “point”, “line”, “plane”, etc., are used in the geometric sense.

all ordered projective bases of $\text{PG}(\mathbb{F}_q^v)$. Therefore, $\text{PG}(v-1, q)$ has a projective basis, which is unique up to collineations.

2.2 Partial spreads

A k -spread of V , or of the corresponding projective geometry $\text{PG}(V)$, is a partition of the point set $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$ into k -subspaces.² As is well-known, a k -spread in V exists if and only if $k \mid v$; cf. [48, §VI] or [26, Ch. 4.1]. Weakening the above definition, a set \mathcal{S} of k -subspaces of V is called a *partial k -spread* if the intersection of any two elements (*blocks*) of \mathcal{S} is the trivial subspace $\{0\}$. The primary problem in the theory of partial spreads is to determine the maximum possible size of a partial k -spread in V for all parameter tuples q, v, k . Following standard terminology in Finite Geometry, partial spreads attaining the maximum size are called *maximal partial spreads*, while inclusion-maximal partial spreads are called *complete partial spreads*.³

Contributions to the problem of determining the maximum sizes of partial spreads have been made in [28, 1, 10] and more recently [40, 44, 41, 31]. For surveys on this topic, see [8, 31].

The related problem of classifying maximal partial spreads into isomorphism types with respect to the action of the collineation group of $\text{PG}(V)$ (isomorphic to the projective semilinear group $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(v, q)$) is generally much more difficult. For the few known classifications in the spread case, we refer to the references mentioned in [30, Th. 3.1]. The myriads of line spreads in $\text{PG}(5, 2)$ have been classified in [43].

Points in $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$ not covered by the blocks of a partial spread \mathcal{S} are called *holes* of \mathcal{S} . Considering \mathcal{S} as fixed, we will denote the set of holes of \mathcal{S} by N and refer to the \mathbb{F}_q -span $\langle N \rangle$ as the *hole space* (*Hohlraum* in German) of \mathcal{S} .

As it has turned out, a reasonable approach to the investigation of partial plane spreads is provided by the analysis of the possible dimensions of the hole space and the subsequent classification of the possible hole configurations. For any point set $X \subseteq \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$, the number of holes in X is denoted by $h(X)$ and called the (*hole*) *multiplicity* of X . Obviously, $h(X) \leq h(Y)$ for all $X \subseteq Y \subseteq \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$, and $h(X) = h(N) = h(V)$ for any subset X containing N . The map $X \mapsto h(X)$ coincides with the extension of the (multi-)set $P \mapsto h(P)$ to the power set of $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$ in the sense of [7, Def. 11] and [32, Eq. (18)].

In the following, the number of hyperplanes in $\text{PG}(V)$ containing precisely i blocks is denoted by a_i . The sequence $(a_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is called the *spectrum* of \mathcal{S} . Often, it is convenient to give spectra in exponential notation $(1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} \dots)$, where expressions i^{a_i} with $a_i = 0$ may be skipped.

²Thus, e.g., “3-spread” and “plane spread” (spread consisting of planes) are synonymous.

³Thus a partial k -spread \mathcal{S} is complete if and only if it is not *extendible* to a partial spread \mathcal{S}' properly containing \mathcal{S} ; equivalently, no k -subspace of V is disjoint from all members of \mathcal{S} .

2.3 Subspace codes

The *subspace distance* on $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is defined as

$$d(U_1, U_2) = \dim(U_1) + \dim(U_2) - 2 \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) = 2 \dim(U_1 + U_2) - \dim(U_1) - \dim(U_2)$$

The subspace distance is just the graph-theoretic distance of U_1 and U_2 in the subspace lattice $\mathcal{L}(V)$. Any set \mathcal{C} of subspaces of V is called a *subspace code*. The dimension v of its ambient space V is called the *length* of \mathcal{C} , and the elements of \mathcal{C} are called *codewords*. Its *minimum distance* is $d(\mathcal{C}) = \min(\{d(B_1, B_2) \mid B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}, B_1 \neq B_2\})$. We denote the parameters of \mathcal{C} by $(v, \#\mathcal{C}, d(\mathcal{C}))_q$. The maximum size of a $(v, ?, d)_q$ subspace code is denoted by $A_q(v, d)$. See [12] for an overview on what is known about these numbers and [30] for several recent results.

An important class of subspace codes are the *constant dimension (subspace) codes*, where all codewords are subspaces of the same dimension k . In this case, we add the parameter k to the parameters and say that \mathcal{C} is a $(v, \#\mathcal{C}, d(\mathcal{C}); k)_q$ constant dimension code. The maximum size of a $(v, ?, d; k)_q$ constant dimension code is denoted by $A_q(v, d; k)$. The subspace distance of two k -subspaces U_1, U_2 can be stated as $d(U_1, U_2) = 2(k - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2)) = 2(\dim(U_1 + U_2) - k)$. In particular, the minimum distance of a constant dimension code is of the form $d = 2\delta \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Setting $t = k - \delta + 1$, we get the alternative characterization of a $(v, ?, 2\delta; k)_q$ constant dimension code \mathcal{C} as a set of k -subspaces of V such that each t -subspace is contained in at most one codeword of \mathcal{C} . This gives a connection to the notion of a t - $(v, k, \lambda)_q$ subspace design (q -analog of a combinatorial design), which is defined as a subset \mathcal{D} of $\binom{V}{k}_q$ such that each t -subspace is contained in exactly λ elements of \mathcal{D} . For example, in this way a k -spread in $\text{PG}(v - 1, q)$ is the same as a 1 - $(v, k, 1)_q$ design. For our analysis of the hole set of partial spreads, we will start with the spectrum and look at the set of blocks contained in a fixed hyperplane. For (subspace) designs, such a set of blocks is called the *residual design* [36]. A survey on subspace designs can be found in [4] and, with a focus on computational methods, in [3].

The isometry group of $(\mathcal{L}(V), d)$ is given by all automorphisms and antiautomorphisms of $\mathcal{L}(V)$. For $v \geq 3$ it is of type $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(v, q) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, where the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -part is generated by an anti-automorphism of $\mathcal{L}(V)$. The action of this group provides the natural notion of isomorphisms and automorphisms of subspace codes. If the action is restricted to $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(v, q)$, we will use the terms *inner* isomorphisms and *inner* automorphisms. Inner automorphisms are precisely the lattice automorphisms of $\mathcal{L}(V)$, while the remaining isomorphisms reverse the order of the lattice. A subspace code which is isomorphic to its dual by an inner isomorphism will be called *iso-dual*.

For the most recent numeric lower and upper bounds on $A_q(v, d)$ and $A_q(v, 2\delta; k)$, we refer to the online tables of subspace codes at <http://subspacecodes.uni-bayreuth.de>. See [23] for a brief manual and description of the implemented methods.

2.4 MRD codes

Let m, n be positive integers. The *rank distance* of $m \times n$ matrices A and B over \mathbb{F}_q is defined as $d_{\text{rk}}(A, B) = \text{rk}(A - B)$. The rank distance provides a metric on $\mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}$. Any subset \mathcal{C} of the metric space $(\mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}, d_{\text{rk}})$ is called *rank metric code*. Its *minimum distance* is $d_{\text{rk}}(\mathcal{C}) = \min(\{d_{\text{rk}}(A, B) \mid \{A, B\} \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{2}\})$. If \mathcal{C} is a subspace of the \mathbb{F}_q -vector space $\mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}$, \mathcal{C} is called *linear*.

If $m \leq n$ (otherwise transpose), $\#\mathcal{C} \leq q^{(m-d+1)n}$ by [6, Th. 5.4]. Codes achieving this bound are called *maximum rank distance (MRD) codes*. In fact, MRD codes do always exist. A suitable construction has independently been found in [6, 17, 46]. Today, these codes are known as *Gabidulin codes*. In the square case $m = n$, after the choice of a \mathbb{F}_q -basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} the Gabidulin code is given by the matrices representing the \mathbb{F}_q -linear maps given by the q -polynomials $a_0x^{q^0} + a_1x^{q^1} + \dots + a_{n-d}x^{q^{n-d}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$. Recently, some progress on the study of MRD codes has been made: The algebraic structure of MRD codes has been analyzed in [5]. New examples of MRD codes have been constructed in [39, 33, 50, 42].

The automorphisms of the metric space $(\mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}, d_{\text{rk}})$ are given by the mappings $A \mapsto P\sigma(A)Q + R$ with $P \in \text{GL}(m, q)$, $Q \in \text{GL}(n, q)$, $R \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and in the square case $m = n$ additionally $A \mapsto P\sigma(A^\top)Q + R$, see [34], [52] and [53, Th.3.4]. The automorphisms of the first type will be called *inner* and denoted by $\text{Inn}(m, n, q)$. The action of these groups provides a notion of (inner) automorphisms and equivalence of rank metric codes. In the non-square case $m \neq n$, any automorphism is inner.

An (inner) isomorphism class X of rank metric codes will be called *linear* if it contains a linear representative. Otherwise, X is called *non-linear*. In a linear isomorphism class, the linear representatives are exactly those containing the zero matrix. Hence, we can check X for linearity by picking some representative \mathcal{C} and some $B \in \mathcal{C}$ and then testing the translated representative $\{A - B \mid A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ of X (which contains the zero matrix) for linearity.

The *lifting* map $\Lambda : \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_q^{m+n})$ maps an $(m \times n)$ -matrix A to the row space $\langle (I_m \mid A) \rangle$, where I_m denotes the $m \times m$ identity matrix. In fact, the lifting map is an isometry $(\mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}, 2d_{\text{rk}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_q^{m+n}), d)$. Thus, for any $m \times n$ rank metric code \mathcal{C} of size M and minimum distance δ , the *lifted code* $\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ is a $(m+n, M, 2\delta; m)_q$ constant dimension code. Of particular interest are the lifted MRD codes, which are constant dimension codes of fairly large, though not maximum size.

Fact 2.1 (a) *Let \mathcal{C} be an $m \times n$ MRD code of minimum distance δ . Then $\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ is an $(m+n, q^{(m-\delta+1)n}, 2\delta; m)_q$ constant dimension code. Denoting the span of the unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m+n}$ in \mathbb{F}_q^{m+n} by S , we have $\dim(S) = n$ and each codeword of $\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ has trivial intersection with S . Moreover, setting $t = m - \delta + 1$, each t -subspace of V having trivial intersection with S is contained in a unique codeword of \mathcal{C} .*

(b) *Let \mathcal{D} be an $(m+n, q^{(m-\delta+1)n}, 2\delta; m)_q$ subspace code such that there exists an n -subspace S having trivial intersection with all codewords of \mathcal{D} . Then \mathcal{D} is equiv-*

alent⁴ to $\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ with an $m \times n$ MRD code \mathcal{C} of minimum distance δ .

By the above fact, a lifted MRD code in some sense optimally packs $V \setminus S$. However, by considering additional codewords intersecting S nontrivially, the lifted code usually can be extended without destroying the minimum distance. In this article, we focus on the case of binary 3×4 MRD codes of minimum rank distance 3, where only a single codeword can be added.⁵ The question for the largest possible extension of a general lifted MRD code is an open problem. Upper bounds have been published in [11, Th. 10, Th. 11] and generalized in [21]. Lower bounds and constructions are found in [11, 24, 21].

2.5 Vector space partitions

A *vector space partition* of V is a set \mathcal{P} of subspaces of V partitioning the point set of $\text{PG}(V)$. The *type* of \mathcal{P} is $(1^{n_1} 2^{n_2} \dots)$, where n_i denotes the number of elements of \mathcal{P} of dimension i . An important problem is the characterization of the realizable types of vector space partitions, see e.g. [19, ?, 20, 47].

A partial k -spread is the same as a vector space partition of type $(1^{n_1} k^{n_k})$. In Lemma 5.2, we will show that $m \times n$ MRD codes with $m \leq n$ of minimum rank distance m over \mathbb{F}_q are essentially the same as vector space partitions of \mathbb{F}_q^{m+n} of the type $(m^q n^1)$.

2.6 Partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$

From now on, we investigate partial plane spreads \mathcal{S} in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$, so we specialize to $q = 2, v = 7$ and $k = 3$. It is known that the maximum partial plane spreads are of size $A_2(7, 6; 3) = A_2(7, 6) = 17$ [28, 1, 30].

In the following, we prepare some arguments needed later for the classification of the hole structure in the cases $\#\mathcal{S} \in \{16, 17\}$. Of course, the reasoning can easily be translated to general partial spreads.

Lemma 2.2 *Let H be a hyperplane in $\text{PG}(V)$ containing i blocks of \mathcal{S} . Then $i \leq \lfloor \frac{63-3\#\mathcal{S}}{4} \rfloor$ and $h(H) = 63 - 3\#\mathcal{S} - 4i$.*

Proof. The i blocks cover $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_2 \cdot i = 7i$ points of H . The intersection of any of the remaining $\#\mathcal{S} - i$ blocks with H is a line, so their intersections cover $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_2 \cdot (\#\mathcal{S} - i) = 3(\#\mathcal{S} - i)$ further points of H . The remaining points of H must be holes, so

$$h(H) = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_2 - 7i - 3(\#\mathcal{S} - i) = 63 - 3\#\mathcal{S} - 4i.$$

The upper bound on i follows since $h(H)$ cannot be negative. □

⁴The requirement for the new basis $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m+n}\}$ is that $S = \langle \mathbf{b}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{m+n} \rangle$.

⁵For the additional codeword, any plane contained in the solid S of Fact 2.1 can be taken.

Lemma 2.3 *The spectrum $(a_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of \mathcal{S} satisfies the standard equations*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i a_i &= 127, \\ \sum_i i a_i &= 15 \# \mathcal{S}, \\ \sum_i \binom{i}{2} a_i &= \binom{\# \mathcal{S}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equation is simply the observation that each of the $\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}_2 = 127$ hyperplanes is counted exactly once by the a_i . The second equation arises from double counting the pairs $(H, K) \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}_2 \times \mathcal{S}$ with $K \leq H$ and the fact that each block is contained in exactly $\begin{bmatrix} 7-3 \\ 6-3 \end{bmatrix}_2 = 15$ hyperplanes. The third equation arises from double counting the pairs $(H, \{K_1, K_2\}) \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}_2 \times \binom{\mathcal{S}}{2}$ with $K_1 \leq H$ and $K_2 \leq H$ and the fact that any pair of distinct blocks of \mathcal{S} is disjoint and therefore contained in a unique hyperplane in $\text{PG}(V)$. \square

Furthermore, we will make use of the *hole spectrum* $(b_i)_{i \geq 0}$, where b_i is the number of hyperplanes in $\text{PG}(\langle N \rangle)$ containing i holes. The following lemma shows that the hole spectrum is determined by the spectrum and $\dim \langle N \rangle$.

Lemma 2.4 *For all $j \geq 0$,*

$$b_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{7-\dim \langle N \rangle}} \cdot a_{(63-3\#\mathcal{S}-j)/4} & \text{if } j < h(\langle N \rangle) \text{ and } j \equiv \#\mathcal{S} - 1 \pmod{4}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have $b_{h(\langle N \rangle)} = 0$, since otherwise there exists a hyperplane T of $\langle N \rangle$ containing all the holes contradicting the definition of the span.

For any hyperplane H in $\text{PG}(V)$ not containing $\langle N \rangle$, the subspace $T = H \cap \langle N \rangle$ is the only hyperplane of $\langle N \rangle$ contained in H . We have that $h(H) = h(T)$.

On the other hand given a hyperplane T in $\text{PG}(\langle N \rangle)$, the number of hyperplanes in $\text{PG}(V)$ containing T but not $\langle N \rangle$, is

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{array}{c} \dim(V) - \dim(T) \\ (\dim(V) - 1) - \dim(T) \end{array} \right]_2 &- \left[\begin{array}{c} \dim(V) - \dim \langle N \rangle \\ (\dim(V) - 1) - \dim \langle N \rangle \end{array} \right]_2 \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c} 8 - \dim \langle N \rangle \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_2 - \left[\begin{array}{c} 7 - \dim \langle N \rangle \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_2 = 2^{7-\dim \langle N \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for all $j < h(N)$,

$$\# \left\{ H \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}_2 \mid h(H) = j \right\} = 2^{7-\dim \langle N \rangle} \cdot \# \left\{ T \in \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle N \rangle \\ \dim \langle N \rangle - 1 \end{array} \right]_2 \mid h(T) = j \right\}.$$

The application of Lemma 2.2 concludes the proof. \square

2.7 Scientific software

In the computational parts of our work, the following software packages have been used:

- Computations in vector spaces and matrix groups: magma [2].
- Enumeration of maximum cliques: cliquer [45].
- Enumeration of solutions of exact cover problems: libexact [35], based on the “dancing links” algorithm [27, 37].
- Computation of canonical forms and automorphism groups of sets of subspaces: The algorithm in [15] (based on [14], see also [16]).

3 Maximum partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$

In this section, \mathcal{S} is a maximum partial plane spread in $\text{PG}(V) \cong \text{PG}(6, 2)$, i.e. a partial plane spread of size 17. The number of holes is $h(V) = 127 - 17 \cdot 7 = 8$. We are going to prove the following

Theorem 1 *There are 715 isomorphism types of maximum partial plane spreads \mathcal{S} in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$. In all cases, the hole set N is an affine solid.*

The intersections of the blocks of \mathcal{S} with the plane $E = \langle N \rangle \setminus N$ yield a vector space partition of E whose dimension distribution will be called the type of \mathcal{S} . The 715 isomorphism types fall into 150 of type (3^1) , 180 of type $(2^1 1^4)$ and 385 of type (1^7) .

We remark that the partial plane spreads of type (3^1) correspond to the lifted 3×4 MRD codes of minimum distance 3, extended by a single codeword.

Lemma 3.1 *The spectrum of \mathcal{S} is given by $(1^7 2^{112} 3^8)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, for a hyperplane H containing i blocks of \mathcal{S} we have $i \leq 3$ and $h(H) = 12 - 4i$. Since $h(H)$ cannot exceed the total number $h(V) = 8$ of holes, additionally we get $i \geq 1$. Now Lemma 2.3 yields the linear system of equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 127 \\ 255 \\ 136 \end{pmatrix}$$

with the unique solution

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (7, 112, 8). \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.2 *The 8 holes form an affine solid in V .*

Proof. By $h(H) = 12 - 4i$ and Lemma 3.1, there are $a_1 = 7$ hyperplanes of V containing all 8 holes of \mathcal{S} . Since this number equals the number of hyperplanes containing N , we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 - \dim\langle N \rangle \\ 6 - \dim\langle N \rangle \end{bmatrix}_2 = 7$$

with the unique solution $\dim\langle N \rangle = 4$. By Lemma 2.4, the spectrum given in Lemma 3.1 translates to the hole spectrum $(0^1 4^{14})$. Thus, there is a single plane E in $\langle N \rangle$ without any holes. So the affine solid $\langle N \rangle \setminus E$ consists of the 8 holes. \square

Remark 3.3 Lemma 3.2 generalizes to maximal partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(v - 1, 2)$ with $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $v \geq 7$. It is known that any such maximal partial plane spread has size $(2^v - 9)/7$ and hence 8 holes[1], and these form an affine solid as well. This follows from a more general (but entirely straightforward) analysis along the lines of Section 2.6 and the preceding two lemmas.

To reduce the search space to a feasible size, good substructures are needed as starting configurations. For the classification of $(6, 77, 4; 3)_2$ constant dimension codes in [29], “17-configurations” have proven to provide suitable starting configurations. Modifying this approach for the present situation, we call a set \mathcal{T} of 5 pairwise disjoint planes in $\text{PG}(V)$ a *5-configuration* if there are two hyperplanes $H_1 \neq H_2$ both containing 3 elements of \mathcal{T} . Since $\dim(H_1 \cap H_2) = 5$, in this situation $H_1 \cap H_2$ contains exactly one element of \mathcal{T} .

Lemma 3.4 *The partial spread \mathcal{S} contains a 5-configuration.*

Proof. By the spectrum, there are 8 hyperplanes containing three blocks. From $3 \cdot 8 = 24 > \#\mathcal{S}$, the 8 sets of blocks covered by these hyperplanes cannot be pairwise disjoint. \square

The above lemma allows us to classify the maximum partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ by first generating all 5-configurations up to isomorphisms and then to enumerate all extensions of a 5-configuration to a maximum partial spread. For the 5-configurations, we fixed a block B and two hyperplanes $H_1 \neq H_2$ passing through B , which is unique up to isomorphisms. Then, we enumerated all extensions to a 5-configuration by adding two blocks in H_1 and two blocks in H_2 up to isomorphism. We ended up with six types of 5-configurations.

Formulating the extension problem as a maximum clique problem, we computed the number of possible extensions as 2449, 2648, 3516, 3544, 3762 and 25840. Filtering out isomorphic copies, we end up with 715 isomorphism types of partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$. Their type was determined computationally.

4 Partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ of size 16

Now $\#\mathcal{S} = 16$. We are going to prove the following result.

Theorem 2 *There are 14445 isomorphism types of partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ of size 16. Among them, 3988 are complete and 10457 are extendible to size 17.*

- (a) *The hole set N of the extendible partial plane spreads is the disjoint union of a plane E and an affine solid A .*
- (i) *In 37 cases, $\dim(\langle N \rangle) = 4$ and $\dim(E \cap \langle A \rangle) = 3$. In other words, N is a solid.*
 - (ii) *In 69 cases, $\dim(\langle N \rangle) = 5$ and $\dim(E \cap \langle A \rangle) = 2$. In other words, N is the union of three planes E_1, E_2, E_3 passing through a common line L such that $E_1/L, E_2/L, E_3/L$ are in general position in the factor geometry $\text{PG}(V/L) \cong \text{PG}(4, 2)$.*
 - (iii) *In 3293 cases, $\dim(\langle N \rangle) = 6$ and $\dim(E \cap \langle A \rangle) = 1$.*
 - (iv) *In 7058 cases, $\dim(\langle N \rangle) = 7$ and $\dim(E \cap \langle A \rangle) = 0$.*
- (b) *The hole set N of the complete partial plane spreads is the union of 7 lines L_1, \dots, L_7 passing through a common point P , such that $\{L_1/P, \dots, L_7/P\}$ is a projective basis of the factor geometry $\text{PG}(V/P) \cong \text{PG}(5, 2)$. In particular, $\dim(\langle N \rangle) = 7$.*

Remark 4.1 In the cases where spreads exist, that is $k \mid v$, it is known that partial spreads of a size close to the size of a spread always can be extended to a spread, see for example [8, Sect. 4]. In the case of partial line spreads in $\text{PG}(4, 2)$, the same is true: All 9 types of partial spreads of size 8 are extendible to a partial spread of maximum possible size 9 [18]. However, the existence of complete partial plane spreads of size 16 in Theorem 2 suggests that for $k \nmid v$ the answer may be entirely different from that for $k \mid v$.

Remark 4.2 The 5 possibilities for the structure of the hole set in Theorem 2 are unique up to isomorphism. Again the structure remains the same for partial plane spreads of size $(2^v - 16)/7$ (one less than the maximum size) in $\text{PG}(v - 1, 2)$, $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $v \geq 7$. The key step in the proof of this result is the observation that $n = \dim(\langle N \rangle) \leq 7$ for any such v , since the 15 holes determine a doubly-even binary linear $[15, n]$ code. For details about the links between partial spreads and divisible linear codes we refer to [31].

For $\#\mathcal{S} = 16$, the number of holes is $\#N = 15$. By Lemma 2.2, any hyperplane H in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ contains $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ blocks and $15 - 4i$ holes of \mathcal{S} . So a_0 is the number of hyperplanes containing all the holes of \mathcal{S} . Since this equals the number of hyperplanes containing $\langle N \rangle$, a_0 is of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 7 - \dim \langle N \rangle \\ 6 - \dim \langle N \rangle \end{bmatrix}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 7 - \dim \langle N \rangle \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_2$. Since $\langle N \rangle$ contains the $15 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_2$ holes, necessarily $\dim \langle N \rangle \geq 4$ and therefore $a_0 \in \{0, 1, 3, 7\}$.

Lemma 2.3 yields the following linear system of equations for the spectrum of \mathcal{S} :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 127 \\ 240 \\ 120 \end{pmatrix}$$

Parameterizing by a_0 , we get the solution

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 21 - 3a_0 \\ a_2 &= 99 + 3a_0 \\ a_3 &= 7 - a_0 \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in the four possible values $a_0 \in \{0, 1, 3, 7\}$ and applying Lemma 2.4 leads to the following four possibilities.

$\dim\langle N \rangle$	spectrum	hole spectrum
7	$(1^{21}2^{99}3^7)$	$(3^77^{99}11^{21})$
6	$(0^11^{18}2^{102}3^6)$	$(3^37^{51}11^9)$
5	$(0^31^{12}2^{108}3^4)$	$(3^17^{27}11^3)$
4	(0^72^{120})	(7^{15})

4.1 Hole configuration

The first step for the proof of Theorem 2 is the classification of the hole configuration from the above spectra. The classification will be done entirely by theory, without the need to use a computer. For $n := \dim\langle N \rangle = 4$ the statement immediately follows from $\#\langle N \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q = 15 = \#N$. In the following, we will deal with the cases $n \in \{5, 6, 7\}$, which get increasingly involved.

Proof of Theorem 2, hole structure for $n = 5$. Let $\dim(\langle N \rangle) = 5$. Then the hole spectrum is $(3^17^{27}11^3)$. Let S_1, S_2 and S_3 be the three solids containing 11 holes.

We show that the three planes $E_1 = S_1 \cap S_2$, $E_2 = S_2 \cap S_3$ and $E_3 = S_3 \cap S_1$ together with $L = S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3$ have the claimed properties.

For any two solids S_i and S_j with $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1)\}$, $\dim(S_i \cap S_j) = 3$, so $h(E_i) = h(S_i \cap S_j) \leq \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q = 7$. On the other hand by the sieve formula,

$$h(E_i) = h(S_i) + h(S_j) - h(S_i \cup S_j) \geq 11 + 11 - 15 = 7.$$

So $h(E_i) = 7$, meaning that the plane E_i consists of holes only.

In the dual geometry of $\text{PG}(N)$, the solids S_i are either collinear or they form a triangle. In the first case, $L = E_1 = E_2 = E_3$ consists of holes only and therefore

$$h(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3) = h(S_1 \setminus L) + h(S_2 \setminus L) + h(S_3 \setminus L) + h(L) = 3 \cdot 4 + 7 > 15,$$

a contradiction. So we are in the second case. Here $\dim(L) = 2$, $E_1 + E_2 + E_3 = N$, and the $3 \cdot (7 - 3) + 3 = 15$ points contained in $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$ are the 15 holes. \square

The following counting method is a direct consequence of the sieve formula and will be used several times.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $W \leq Y \leq V$ with $\dim(Y/W) = 2$. Let X_1, X_2, X_3 be the three intermediate spaces of $W \leq Y$ with $\dim(Y/X_i) = 1$. Then*

$$2h(W) = h(X_1) + h(X_2) + h(X_3) - h(Y).$$

Table 1: Codimension 2 hole distributions in $\langle N \rangle$

$h(X_1)$	$h(X_2)$	$h(X_3)$	$h(W)$
11	11	11	9
11	11	7	7
11	7	7	5
11	7	3	3
7	7	7	3
11	3	3	1
7	7	3	1

We are going to apply Lemma 4.3 to $Y = \langle N \rangle$. Then $h(\langle N \rangle) = 15$ and $h(X_i) \in \{3, 7, 11\}$.

Lemma 4.4 For each $W \in \left[\binom{\langle N \rangle}{n-2} \right]_2$, $h(W)$ is odd.

Proof. Denoting the three codimension 1 intermediate spaces of $W \leq \langle N \rangle$ by X_1, X_2, X_3 , Lemma 4.3 yields

$$2h(W) = h(X_1) + h(X_2) + h(X_3) - h(\langle N \rangle) \equiv -1 - 1 - 1 + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \quad \square$$

As a more detailed analysis, all the possibilities for $(h(X_1), h(X_2), h(X_3))$ (up to permutations) allowed by Lemma 4.3 are listed in Table 1. In particular, the distributions $(7, 3, 3)$ and $(3, 3, 3)$ are not possible as we get the negative numbers $h(W) = -1$ and $h(W) = -3$, respectively. Moreover, $(11, 11, 3)$ is not possible as the resulting $h(W) = 5$ contradicts $W \leq X_3$. Table 1 also shows that in the cases $h(W) \in \{5, 7, 9\}$ the numerical hole distributions are unique.

Proof of Theorem 2, hole structure for $n = 6$. By the hole spectrum $(3^3 7^{51} 11^9)$, $\langle N \rangle$ contains three 4-flats of multiplicity 3. We denote their intersection by E . By Table 1, $\dim(E) \neq 4$. So $\dim(E) = 3$ and the factor geometry $\langle N \rangle / E$ carries the structure of a Fano plane. Therefore, we may label the seven intermediate solids of $E < \langle N \rangle$ by $S_{\mathbf{x}}$ and the seven intermediate 4-flats by $F_{\mathbf{x}}$ with $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, such that $S_{\mathbf{x}} \leq F_{\mathbf{y}}$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{y}$. Furthermore, we may assume that $h(F_{100}) = h(F_{010}) = h(F_{001}) = 3$. Applying Table 1 to $W = F_{010} \cap F_{001} = S_{001}$ and $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\} = \{F_{010}, F_{001}, F_{011}\}$, we get $h(S_{001}) = 1$ and $h(F_{011}) = 11$.

By Table 1, $h(S_{100}) = h(S_{010}) = h(S_{001}) = 1$, $h(F_{011}) = h(F_{101}) = h(F_{110}) = 11$ and $h(S_{111}) = 9$.⁶ From $S_{011} \leq F_{100}$, we get $h(S_{011}) \leq h(F_{100}) = 3$ and therefore $h(S_{011}) \in \{1, 3\}$. The application of Lemma 4.3 to $E \leq F_{100}$ gives $h(S_{011}) = 2h(E) + 1$, so $h(E) \in \{0, 1\}$. Doing the same for S_{101} and S_{110} , we arrive at

$$h(S_{011}) = h(S_{101}) = h(S_{110}) = 2h(E) + 1.$$

⁶ Example: $W = S_{001}$ is of codimension 2 in $\langle N \rangle$. The three intermediate subspaces of $W < \langle N \rangle$ of codimension 1 are given by $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\} = \{F_{010}, F_{001}, F_{011}\}$. As $h(F_{010}) = h(F_{001}) = 3$, the second last line of Table 1 is the only possibility. Therefore, $h(F_{001}) = 11$ and $h(S_{001}) = 1$.

If $h(E) = 0$, the three solids in F_{111} containing E are of multiplicity 1 and therefore by Table 1, $h(F_{111}) = 3$. This contradicts the hole spectrum, as F_{111} would be a fourth 4-flat of multiplicity 3. So $h(E) = 1$, $h(S_{011}) = h(S_{101}) = h(S_{110}) = 3$ and $h(F_{111}) = 7$. We denote the single hole in E by P .

Let A be the affine solid $S_{111} \setminus E$. From $h(A) = h(S_{111}) - h(E) = 8$, we see that all the points in A are holes. We look at the chain $A \leq S_{111} \leq F_{100}$. Out of the 11 holes in F_{100} , 8 are contained in A , the single hole P is contained in $S_{111} \setminus A = E$ and 2 further holes are contained in $F_{100} \setminus S_{111}$. The three holes in $F_{100} \setminus A$ must be collinear: Otherwise there is a solid S of F_{100} containing 2 of these 3 holes, and as $S \cap A$ is an affine plane, $h(S) = 4 + 2 = 6$ which is not possible by Lemma 4.4. Repeating the argument for F_{010} and F_{001} , we get that $N \setminus A = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$, where the L_i are lines passing through the common point P . Now from

$$\begin{aligned} 6 &= \dim \langle N \rangle = \dim(\langle A \rangle + \langle N \setminus A \rangle) \\ &= \dim S_{111} + \dim \langle N \setminus A \rangle - \underbrace{\dim(S_{111} \cap \langle N \setminus A \rangle)}_{=P} = 4 + \dim \langle N \setminus A \rangle - 1 \end{aligned}$$

we get $\dim \langle N \setminus A \rangle = 3$. So $N \setminus A$ is a plane and all its 7 points are holes. \square

Now we partially extend the analysis of Table 1 to $\dim(\langle N \rangle / W) = 3$, characterizing the hole distribution to the intermediate lattice of $W \leq \langle N \rangle$ for “heavy” subspaces W . While this information is only needed for the last case $n = 7$, the proof works for any value of n .

Lemma 4.5 *Let $W \leq \langle N \rangle$ of codimension 3 and $h(W) \geq 6$. Denoting the set of the seven intermediate spaces of codimension 1 by \mathcal{X} and of the seven intermediate spaces of codimension 2 by \mathcal{Y} , one of the following two cases arises:*

- (i) $h(W) = 8$, $h(X) = 9$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $h(Y) = 11$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.
- (ii) $h(W) = 7$. There is a single $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ of multiplicity 7, and the six remaining subspaces in \mathcal{Y} are of multiplicity 11. The three $X \in \mathcal{X}$ contained in Y are of multiplicity 7, the other 4 subspaces in \mathcal{X} are of multiplicity 9.

In particular, $h(W) = 6$ is not possible.

Proof. For all $X \in \mathcal{X}$, $W \leq X$, so $h(X) \geq h(W) \geq 6$. So only the first two lines in Table 1 are possible, and in particular $h(X) \in \{7, 9\}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $h(Y) \in \{7, 11\}$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

The intermediate lattice of $W \leq \langle N \rangle$ carries the structure of a Fano plane. If there are two distinct $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$ of multiplicity 7, Table 1 shows that $Y_1 \cap Y_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ is of multiplicity at most 5, which is a contradiction. So the number of $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ of multiplicity 7 is either 0 or 1. After several applications of Lemma 4.3 and Table 1, these two possibilities are completed to the stated cases. \square

Lemma 4.6 *Let \mathcal{L} be a set of lines in some projective geometry such that no pair of lines in \mathcal{L} is skew. Then at least one of the following statements is true:*

(i) All the lines in \mathcal{L} pass through a common point.

(ii) The lines in \mathcal{L} are contained in a common plane.

Proof. Assume that there is no common point of the lines in \mathcal{L} . Then there exist three lines $L_1, L_2, L_3 \in \mathcal{L}$ forming a triangle. Let E be the plane spanned by L_1, L_2 and L_3 . Let $L' \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$. Then $P_i := L' \cap L_i \in E$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and as the three lines L_1, L_2 and L_3 do not pass through a common point, $\#\{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \geq 2$. This implies $L' \leq E$. \square

The main step to the classification is the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7 *For $n = 7$, the hole set N contains exactly 7 lines. No pair of these lines is skew.*

Proof. Let ℓ be the number of lines contained in N . We count the set X of pairs $(H, \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}) \in \binom{V}{6}_2 \times \binom{N}{3}$ with $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \subseteq H$ in two ways. By the hole spectrum $(3^7 7^{99} 11^{21})$,

$$\#X = 7 \cdot \binom{3}{3} + 99 \cdot \binom{7}{3} + 21 \cdot \binom{11}{3} = 6937.$$

On the other hand, each of the ℓ collinear triples of holes generates a 2-subspace, and each of the $\binom{15}{3} - \ell$ non-collinear triples of holes generates a 3-subspace, showing that

$$\#X = \ell \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 7-2 \\ 6-2 \end{bmatrix}_2 + \left(\binom{15}{3} - \ell \right) \begin{bmatrix} 7-3 \\ 6-3 \end{bmatrix}_2 = 16\ell + 6825.$$

Thus $16\ell + 6825 = 6937$ and hence N contains exactly $\ell = 7$ lines. Let \mathcal{L} be the set of these lines.

Assume that $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ are skew. Then $S := \langle L_1, L_2 \rangle$ is a solid. By Lemma 4.5, there are two possible cases.

Case 1 $h(S) = 7$ and S is contained in a hyperplane H of multiplicity 7. Let P be the hole of S which is not covered by the lines L_1 and L_2 . Let E be a plane of S passing through L_1 and not containing P . As E intersects L_2 in a point, $h(E) = 3 + 1 = 4$. Since there is no hole in $H \setminus S$, any 4-flat $F \leq H$ with $F \cap S = E$ is of multiplicity $h(F) = h(E) = 4$. This is a contradiction to Lemma 4.4.

Case 2 $h(S) = 8$. So apart from the points on L_1 and L_2 , S contains two further holes P_1 and P_2 . Each of the points P_i ($i \in \{1, 2\}$) is contained in a single line of $N \cap S$, which is given by the line passing through P_i and the intersection point of L_2 and the plane spanned by P_i and L_1 . So in total, S contains 4 lines of \mathcal{L} .

By $\#\mathcal{L} = 7$ there exists a line $L_3 \in \mathcal{L}$ not contained in S , implying that L_3 contains at least 2 holes not contained in S . Let $H = \langle L_1, L_2, L_3 \rangle$. Then $h(H) \geq h(S) + 2 = 10$.

By Table 1, any 4-flat contains at most 9 holes, so $\dim(H) = 6$, $h(H) = 11$ and by the dimension formula

$$\dim(S \cap L_3) = \dim(S) + \dim(L_3) - \dim(S + L_3) = 4 + 2 - \dim(H) = 0.$$

Therefore, any two of the three lines L_1 , L_2 and L_3 are skew.

By the already excluded Case 1, the solids $S' = \langle L_1, L_3 \rangle$ and $S'' = \langle L_2, L_3 \rangle$ are of multiplicity $h(S') = h(S'') = 8$. So each of the solids S , S' and S'' contains two extra holes which are not contained in L_1 , L_2 or L_3 . As the 11 holes in H are already given by P_1 , P_2 and the points on L_1 , L_2 and L_3 , these two extra holes must be P_1 , P_2 for all three solids S , S' and S'' . Now $S \cap S'$ contains at least the five holes given by P_1 , P_2 and the holes on L_1 . Therefore, $\dim(S \cap S') \geq 3$. However, the dimension formula yields

$$\dim(S \cap S') = \dim(S) + \dim(S') - \dim(S + S') = 4 + 4 - \dim(H) = 2.$$

Contradiction. □

Proof of Theorem 2, hole structure for $n = 7$. By Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.6, we are in one of the following cases:

- (i) The lines in \mathcal{L} pass through a common point P . Thus, \mathcal{L} covers all the $1 + 7 \cdot 2 = 15$ holes, showing that $N = \bigcup \mathcal{L}$. In particular, $\dim\langle \bigcup \mathcal{L} \rangle = n = 7$. So for any set \mathcal{L}' of 6 lines in \mathcal{L} , $\dim\langle \bigcup \mathcal{L}' \rangle \in \{6, 7\}$. Indeed, $\dim\langle \bigcup \mathcal{L}' \rangle = 7$, since otherwise $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{L}' \rangle$ is a hyperplane containing at least 13 holes which contradicts the hole spectrum. So $\{L/P \mid L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ is a projective basis of the factor geometry $\text{PG}(V/P)$.
- (ii) There is a plane $E \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}_2$ such that $\mathcal{L} = \begin{bmatrix} E \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_2$. By $E \subseteq N$, $\mathcal{S} \cup \{E\}$ is a partial plane spread of maximum size 17. By Lemma 3.2, its hole set $N \setminus E$ is an affine solid, showing that N is the disjoint union of a plane and an affine solid. □

4.2 Extendible partial plane spreads of size 16

For the classification of the extendible partial plane spreads of size 16, we make use of the classification of the maximum partial plane spreads of Section 3.

A partial plane spread \mathcal{S} of size 16 is extendible to size 17 if and only if its hole set contains a plane E . In this case, $N = E \cup A$ where A is an affine solid, $\hat{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S} \cup \{E\}$ is a maximum partial plane spread and its hole set is A . By the dimension formula, $\dim(E \cap \langle A \rangle) = 7 - n$, showing that $7 - n$ must appear in the type of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$.

The remaining possibilities are:

- (i) If $n = 4$, the hole set N contains 15 planes. Extending \mathcal{S} by any of these planes E leads to a maximum partial plane spread $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of type (3^1) , and E is the unique block contained in the hole space of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$.
- (ii) If $n = 5$, the hole set N contains 3 planes. Extending \mathcal{S} by any of these planes E leads to a maximum partial plane spread $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of type $(2^1 1^4)$, and E is the unique block intersecting the hole space of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ in a line.

Table 2: Types of extendible partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ of size 16

	$\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of type (3^1)	$\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of type $(2^1 1^4)$	$\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of type (1^7)	Σ
$n = 4$	37	0	0	37
$n = 5$	0	69	0	69
$n = 6$	0	604	2689	3293
$n = 7$	1324	1890	3844	7058
Σ	1361	2563	6533	10457

(iii) For $n = 6$, the hole set N contains a single plane. The resulting maximum partial plane spread $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is of type $(2^1 1^4)$ or (1^7) .

(iv) For $n = 7$, the hole set N contains a single plane. For the resulting maximum partial plane spread $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, all three types (3^1) , $(2^1 1^4)$ and (1^7) are possible.

Remark 4.8 In the cases $n \in \{4, 5\}$, the block E of a maximum partial plane spread $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is called *moving* as it can be exchanged for any of the 15 or 3 other planes preserving the property of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ being a maximum partial spread.

Now for the generation of partial plane spreads of size 16 with $n = 4$, we go through the 150 maximum partial plane spreads $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of type (3^1) and remove the block in the hole space. It is possible that non-isomorphic maximum partial plane spreads yield isomorphic reductions. More precisely, as the hole set of the reduction contains 15 planes, an isomorphism type might be generated up to 15 times in this way. The actual distribution of numbers of reductions falling together is

$$(1^{12} 9^3 8^4 6^5 6^2 7^2 9^3).$$

So in total, there are 37 isomorphism types of partial plane spreads with $n = 4$. In Theorem 3 and Section 6.2, we will see that these partial plane spreads correspond to vector space partitions of $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ of type $(3^{16} 4^1)$, to binary 3×4 MRD codes of minimum rank distance 3 (which are given explicitly in Theorem 3) and to $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace codes of dimension distribution $(3^{16} 4^1)$.

Similarly, for the generation of partial plane spreads of size 16 with $n = 5$, we go through the 180 maximum partial plane spreads $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of type $(2^1 1^4)$ and remove the block intersecting the hole space in dimension 2. Since the hole set of the reduction contains 3 planes, an isomorphism type may be generated up to three times. The 180 starting spreads produced the distribution $(1^{10} 2^7 3^{52})$ of reductions falling together.

For $n \in \{6, 7\}$, we proceed in a similar manner, starting with the maximum partial plane spreads of types $(2^1 1^4)$ and (1^7) , or of all three types, respectively. The resulting numbers of isomorphism types of extendible partial plane spreads of size 16 are summarized in Table 2.

Table 3: The complete partial plane spread \mathcal{S}_1 of size 16

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Table 4: The complete partial plane spread \mathcal{S}_2 of size 16

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

4.3 Complete partial plane spreads of size 16

For the remaining classification of the complete partial plane spreads, we fix the hole set N as determined above and let \mathcal{S} be a partial plane spread of size 16 having hole set N . Furthermore, we fix a hyperplane H containing 3 holes and compute the stabilizer G of $N \cup \{H\}$ in $\text{GL}(7, 2)$ as a group of order 46080.

By Lemma 2.2, H contains 3 blocks of \mathcal{S} . Under the action of G , we find 3 possibilities to add a single plane in H , 18 possibilities to add two disjoint planes and 275 possibilities to add three pairwise disjoint planes in H . For each of these 275 configurations, we enumerate all possibilities for the extension to a partial plane spread of size 16 attaining the hole set N . Stating this problem as an exact cover problem, the running time per starting configuration is only a few seconds. The number of extensions per starting configuration ranges between 88 and 2704. In total, we get 66490 extensions. Filtering out isomorphic copies, we end up with 3988 isomorphism types.

Example 4.9 We give the most symmetric complete partial plane spreads \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 as lists of generator matrices in Tables 3 and 4, both having an automorphism group of order 24 isomorphic to the symmetric group S_4 . In both cases, the holes are given by the seven lines passing through a unit vector and the all-one vector. The orbit structure of \mathcal{S}_1 is $\{1\}\{2\}\{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}\{9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16\}$, where 1 denotes the first matrix in the list, 2 denotes the second matrix in the list and so on. The orbit structure of \mathcal{S}_2 is $\{1\}\{2, 3, 4\}\{5, 6, 7, 8\}\{9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16\}$.

5 MRD codes

In this section, we fix the following notation: Let m, n be positive integers. We define $\Phi : \text{Inn}(m, n, q) \rightarrow \text{PGL}(m+n, q)$, mapping an inner automorphism $\phi : A \mapsto P\sigma(A)Q+R$ to

$$\Phi(\phi) : \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \mapsto \left\langle \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1} & P^{-1}R \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

It is easily checked that Φ is an injective group homomorphism. The image of Φ consists of all automorphisms of $\text{PG}(m+n-1, q)$ fixing the span S of the last n unit vectors.

For all $\phi \in \text{Inn}(m, n, q)$ and all $A \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(\phi) \circ \Lambda)(A) &= \Phi(\phi)(\langle (I_m \mid A) \rangle) \\ &= \left\langle \sigma(I_m \mid A) \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1} & P^{-1}R \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle (I_m \mid \sigma(A)) \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1} & P^{-1}R \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle (P^{-1} \mid P^{-1}R + \sigma(A)Q) \rangle \\ &= \langle (I_m \mid R + P\sigma(A)Q) \rangle \\ &= (\Lambda \circ \phi)(A). \end{aligned}$$

So $\Lambda \circ \phi = \Phi(\phi) \circ \Lambda$.

Lemma 5.1 (a) *Let \mathcal{C} be an $m \times n$ MRD code over \mathbb{F}_q . Then the inner automorphism group of \mathcal{C} is given by $\Phi^{-1}(\text{Aut}(\Lambda(\mathcal{C})))$.*

(b) *Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' be two $m \times n$ MRD codes over \mathbb{F}_q . Then \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are isomorphic as rank metric codes under an inner isomorphism if and only if $\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ and $\Lambda(\mathcal{C}')$ are isomorphic as subspace codes.*

Proof. By Fact 2.1, for any lifted MRD code $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, the span of the last n unit vectors is the unique subspace S of \mathbb{F}_q^{m+n} of dimension n such that $B \cap S$ is trivial for all $B \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$. Therefore, any $\psi \in \text{PGL}(m+n, q)$ mapping a lifted MRD code to another one (or the same) has the form $\Phi(\phi)$ with $\phi \in \text{Inn}(m, n, q)$. The proof is finished using the above statements about Φ . \square

By the above lemma, instead of classifying lifted $m \times n$ MRD codes with $m \leq n$ of minimum rank distance d up to inner automorphisms, we can classify constant dimension codes of length $m+n$, dimension m , minimum rank distance $2d$ and size $q^{(m-d+1)n}$ such that each block is disjoint to S .

For $d = m$ these subspace codes are vector space partitions, so we get:

Lemma 5.2 *Let $m \leq n$. Via the lifting map Λ , the inner isomorphism classes of $m \times n$ MRD codes of minimum rank distance m correspond to the isomorphism classes of vector space partitions of type $(m^q n^1)$ of \mathbb{F}_q^{m+n} . The latter are the same as partial $(m-1)$ -spreads of size m^q in $\text{PG}(m+n-1, q)$ whose hole space is of projective dimension $n-1$.*

Via the map Φ , the inner automorphism group of any of these MRD codes is isomorphic to the automorphism group of the corresponding vector space partition.

In the particular case of $(m, n) = (3, 4)$, the relevant vector space partitions are given by the partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$ of size 16 having a hole space of dimension 4, whose number has been determined in Theorem 2. As we are in the non-square case $m < n$, any rank metric isomorphism is inner. We get:

Theorem 3 (a) *There are 37 isomorphism types of vector space partitions of type $(3^{16}4^1)$ in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$.*

(b) *There are 37 isomorphism types of binary 3×4 MRD codes of minimum rank distance 3.*

Given the recent research activity on the isomorphism types of MRD codes, it is worth to give a closer analysis:

Theorem 4 *The 37 classes of binary 3×4 MRD codes of minimum distance 3 fall into 7 linear and 30 non-linear ones. The orders of the automorphism groups of the 7 linear ones are 2688, 960, 384, 288, 112, 96 and 64.⁷ Representatives of the 7 linear MRD codes in descending order of the automorphism group are shown in Table 5. The orders of the automorphism groups of the 30 nonlinear ones are given by the distribution $(48^3 42^1 36^1 24^4 20^1 18^1 16^1 12^2 9^1 8^2 6^6 4^2 3^2 2^3)$. Representatives of the 30 nonlinear MRD codes in descending order of the automorphism group are shown in Tables 6, 7 and 8.*

6 Subspace codes

We have $A_2(7, 6) = 17$ and $A_2(7, 5) = 34$ [30]. Based on the classification results of Sections 3 and 4 we are now ready to classify the corresponding optimal $(7, 17, 6)_2$ and $(7, 34, 5)_2$ subspace codes. This completes the work started in [30], where their numbers have already been announced.

Up to dualization the possible dimension distributions for a $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace code are (3^{17}) , $(3^{16}4^1)$, and $(3^{16}5^1)$ [30, Theorem 3.2(ii)]. The only possible dimension distribution for a $(7, 34, 5)_2$ subspace code is $(3^{17}4^{17})$ [30, Remark 4].

We are going to prove the following theorems:

Theorem 5 *There are 928 isomorphism types of $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace codes. Of these codes, 715 are constant dimension codes of dimension distribution (3^{17}) , 37 have dimension distribution $(3^{16}4^1)$ and 176 have dimension distribution $(3^{16}5^1)$.*

Theorem 6 *There are 20 isomorphism types of $(7, 34, 5)_2$ subspace codes, all of the dimension distribution $(3^{17}4^{17})$. Among the 20 types of codes, there is a single iso-dual one. A representative of this code is given by the row spaces of the matrices in Table 9.*

⁷By linearity, these automorphisms groups contain the translation subgroup $\{A \mapsto A + B \mid B \in \mathcal{C}\} \cong (\mathbb{F}_2^4, +)$ of order 16.

Table 9: The unique iso-dual $(7, 34, 5)_2$ subspace code

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.1 According to the definition in Section 2.3, the acting group in Theorems 5 and 6 includes dualization. The number of inner isomorphism classes can easily be derived though.

The codes in the context of Theorem 5 are not iso-dual by the asymmetric dimension distribution. So the number of inner isomorphism classes is twice the stated number of isomorphism classes. For Theorem 6, the number of inner isomorphism classes of $(7, 34, 5)_2$ subspace codes is $1 + 2 \cdot (20 - 1) = 39$, consisting of the single iso-dual type and 19 dual pairs of types.

6.1 $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace codes, dimension distribution (3^{17})

These are exactly the maximum partial plane spreads in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$, whose number of isomorphism types has been determined as 715 in Theorem 1.

6.2 $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace codes, dimension distribution $(3^{16}4^1)$

The 16 blocks of dimension 3 form a partial plane spread \mathcal{S}_{16} in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$. The block of dimension 4 has subspace distance at least 6 to all the blocks in \mathcal{S}_{16} , implying that its intersection with each block in \mathcal{S}_{16} is trivial. In other words, the block of dimension 4 is the set N of holes of \mathcal{S}_{16} . This means that the codes with the given dimension distribution are exactly the vector space partitions of V of type $3^{16}4^1$.

Thus, we have yet another characterization of the 37 objects counted in Theorem 2(i) and Theorem 3 as the types of $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace codes of dimension distribution $(3^{16}4^1)$.

6.3 $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace codes, dimension distribution $(3^{16}5^1)$

Lemma 6.2 *The $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace codes of dimension distribution $(3^{16}5^1)$ are exactly the sets of the form $\mathcal{S}_{16} \cup \{F\}$, where \mathcal{S}_{16} is a partial plane spread of size 16 and F is a 5-space containing all the holes of \mathcal{S}_{16} .*

Proof. Let C be a $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace code. Then, by the subspace distance 6, we have $C = \mathcal{S}_{16} \cup \{F\}$ with a partial plane spread \mathcal{S}_{16} and a 5-subspace F such that for any block $B \in \mathcal{S}_{16}$, the intersection $B \cap F$ is at most a point. So there remain at least $31 - 16 = 15$ points of F , which are holes of \mathcal{S}_{16} . Since the total number of holes is only 15, all the holes have to be contained in F . \square

By the above lemma, we can enumerate all subspace codes of dimension distribution $(3^{16}5^1)$ by extending all partial plane spreads \mathcal{S}_{16} of hole space dimension $n = \dim\langle N \rangle \leq 5$ by a 5-flat $F \supseteq N$. Based on the classification of the partial plane spreads \mathcal{S}_{16} in Theorem 2, there are the following two cases:

(i) $n = 5$.

Here $F = \langle N \rangle$ is uniquely determined, so the 69 types of partial plane spreads \mathcal{S}_{16} with $n = 5$ yield 69 types of subspace codes.

(ii) $n = 4$.

Here, F may be taken as any of the seven 5-spaces in $\text{PG}(V)$ passing through $\langle N \rangle$. For each of the 37 partial plane spreads \mathcal{S}_{16} with $n = 4$, we check computationally if there arise equivalences among the 7 produced subspace codes. The resulting pattern is

$$(7^1)^3(6^1 1^1)^1(4^1 3^1)^6(4^1 2^1 1^1)^9(4^1 1^3)^1(3^2 1^1)^{12}(2^3 1^1)^2(2^2 1^3)^3$$

For example, the part $(3^2 1^1)^{12}$ means that among the 37 partial plane spreads there are 12, where out of the 7 possibilities for F , 3 lead to the same isomorphism type of a $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace code, 3 others lead to a second isomorphism type and a single possibility for F leads to a third isomorphism type. In other words, for 12 of the 37 partial plane spreads, the automorphism group partitions the set of seven 5-flats through N into 2 orbits of length 3 and 1 orbit of length 1. Together, we arrive at 107 types of subspace codes.

In this way, we get:

Theorem 7 *There are 176 isomorphism types of $(7, 17, 6)_2$ subspace codes of dimension distribution $(3^{16}5^1)$. The 16 codewords of dimension 3 form a partial plane spread in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$. In 107 cases the hole space dimension is 4, and in 69 cases the hole space dimension is 5.*

6.4 $(7, 34, 5)_2$ subspace codes

As stated above, the dimension distribution is $(3^{17}4^{17})$. Thus, any $(7, 34, 5)_2$ subspace code is the union of a maximal partial plane spread and the dual of a maximal partial plane spread in $\text{PG}(6, 2)$.

For their construction, we computationally checked the 715 types of maximal partial plane spreads from Theorem 1 for the extendibility to a $(7, 34, 5)_2$ subspace code by a maximal clique search. It turned out that this is possible only in 9 cases, with the number of extensions being 16, 768, 192, 192, 2824, 12, 64, 13, and 6. Filtering out PGL-isomorphic copies, the number of extensions is 1, 2, 6, 6, 2, 2, 4, 13 and 4, comprising 39 types in total. Adding dualization to the group of isomorphisms, we remain with 20 codes. This implies that there is a single iso-dual code.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support provided by COST – *European Cooperation in Science and Technology*. The first author was also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61571006. The second and the third author are members of the Action IC1104 *Random Network Coding and Designs over $GF(q)$* . The third author was supported in part by the grant KU 2430/3-1 – Integer Linear Programming Models for Subspace Codes and Finite Geometry from the German Research Foundation.

References

- [1] Albrecht Beutelspacher. Partial spreads in finite projective spaces and partial designs. *Math. Z.*, 145(3):211–229, 1975.
- [2] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. the user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3–4):235–265, 1997.
- [3] Michael Braun, Michael Kiermaier, and Alfred Wassermann. Computational methods in subspace designs. In Marcus Greferath, Mario Osvin Pavčević, Natalia Silberstein, and María Ángeles Vázquez-Castro, editors, *Network Coding and Subspace Designs*, Signals and Communication Theory, pages 213–244. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [4] Michael Braun, Michael Kiermaier, and Alfred Wassermann. q -analogs of designs: Subspace designs. In Marcus Greferath, Mario Osvin Pavčević, Natalia Silberstein, and María Ángeles Vázquez-Castro, editors, *Network Coding and Subspace Designs*, Signals and Communication Theory, pages 171–211. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [5] Javier de la Cruz, Michael Kiermaier, Alfred Wassermann, and Wolfgang Willems. Algebraic structures of MRD codes. *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 10(3):499–510, 2016.

- [6] Philippe Delsarte. Bilinear forms over a finite field, with applications to coding theory. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 25(3):226–241, 1978.
- [7] Stefan Dodunekov and Juriaan Simonis. Codes and projective multisets. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 5:#R37, 1998.
- [8] Jörg Eisfeld and Leo Storme. (Partial) t -spreads and minimal t -covers in finite projective spaces. Lecture notes, Ghent University, 2000.
- [9] Saad El-Zanati, Olof Heden, George Seelinger, Papa Sissokho, Lawrence Spence, and Charles Vanden Eynden. Partitions of the 8-dimensional vector space over $\text{GF}(2)$. *J. Combin. Des.*, 18(6):462–474, 2010.
- [10] Saad El-Zanati, Heather Jordon, George Seelinger, Papa Sissokho, and Lawrence Spence. The maximum size of a partial 3-spread in a finite vector space over $\text{GF}(2)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 54(2):101–107, 2010.
- [11] Tuvı Etzion and Natalia Silberstein. Codes and designs related to lifted MRD codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 59(2):1004–1017, 2013.
- [12] Tuvı Etzion and Leo Storme. Galois geometries and coding theory. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 78(1):311–350, 2016.
- [13] Tuvı Etzion and Alexander Vardy. Error-correcting codes in projective spaces. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 57(2):1165–1173, 2011.
- [14] Thomas Feulner. The automorphism groups of linear codes and canonical representatives of their semilinear isometry classes. *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 3(4):363–383, 2009.
- [15] Thomas Feulner. Canonical forms and automorphisms in the projective space. arXiv:1305.1193, 2013.
- [16] Thomas Feulner. *Eine kanonische Form zur Darstellung äquivalenter Codes – Computergestützte Berechnung und ihre Anwendung in der Codierungstheorie, Kryptographie und Geometrie*. PhD thesis, Universität Bayreuth, 2013.
- [17] Ernst M. Gabidulin. Theory of codes with maximum rank distance. *Problems Inform. Transmission*, 21(1):1–12, 1985.
- [18] Neil A. Gordon, Ron Shaw, and Leonard H. Soicher. Classification of partial spreads in $\text{PG}(4, 2)$. www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~leonard/partialspreads/PG42new.pdf, 2004.
- [19] Olof Heden. On the length of the tail of a vector space partition. *Discrete Math.*, 309(21):6169–6180, 2009.
- [20] Olof Heden. A survey of the different types of vector space partitions. *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.*, 4(1):1250001, 2012.

- [21] Daniel Heinlein. New LMRD bounds for constant dimension codes and improved constructions. arXiv:1801.04803, 2018.
- [22] Daniel Heinlein, Thomas Honold, Michael Kiermaier, Sascha Kurz, and Alfred Wassermann. Classifying optimal binary subspace codes of length 8, constant dimension 4 and minimum distance 6. arXiv:1711.06624, 2017.
- [23] Daniel Heinlein, Michael Kiermaier, Sascha Kurz, and Alfred Wassermann. Tables of subspace codes. arXiv:1601.02864, 2016.
- [24] Daniel Heinlein and Sascha Kurz. Coset construction for subspace codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 63(12):7651–7660, 2017.
- [25] Daniel Heinlein and Sascha Kurz. An upper bound for binary subspace codes of length 8, constant dimension 4 and minimum distance 6. Accepted paper at The Tenth International Workshop on Coding and Cryptography, September 18–22 2017, Saint-Petersburg, Russia., 2017.
- [26] James W. P. Hirschfeld. *Projective geometries over finite fields*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1998.
- [27] Hiroshi Hitotumatu and Kohei Noshita. A technique for implementing backtrack algorithms and its application. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 8(4):174–175, 1979.
- [28] Se June Hong and Arvind M. Patel. A general class of maximal codes for computer applications. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, C-21(12):1322–1331, 1972.
- [29] Thomas Honold, Michael Kiermaier, and Sascha Kurz. Optimal binary subspace codes of length 6, constant dimension 3 and minimum subspace distance 4. In Gohar Kyureghyan, Gary L. Mullen, and Alexander Pott, editors, *Topics in Finite Fields*, number 632 in Contemporary Mathematics, pages 157–176. American Mathematical Society, 2015.
- [30] Thomas Honold, Michael Kiermaier, and Sascha Kurz. Constructions and bounds for mixed-dimension subspace codes. *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 10(3):649–682, 2016.
- [31] Thomas Honold, Michael Kiermaier, and Sascha Kurz. Partial spreads and vector space partitions. In Marcus Greferath, Mario Osvin Pavčević, Natalia Silberstein, and María Ángeles Vázquez-Castro, editors, *Network Coding and Subspace Designs*, Signals and Communication Theory, pages 131–170. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [32] Thomas Honold and Ivan Landjev. Linear codes over finite chain rings. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 7:#R11, 2000.
- [33] Anna-Lena Horlemann-Trautmann and Kyle Marshall. New criteria for MRD and Gabidulin codes and some rank-metric code constructions. *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 11(3):533–548, 2017.

- [34] Loo-Keng Hua. A theorem on matrices over a sfield and its applications. *Acta Math. Sinica*, 1:109–163, 1951.
- [35] Petteri Kaski and Olli Pottonen. libexact user’s guide version 1.0. technical report 2008-1, Helsinki University of Technology, 2008.
- [36] Michael Kiermaier and Reinhard Laue. Derived and residual subspace designs. *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 9(1):105–115, 2015.
- [37] Donald E. Knuth. Dancing links. In A. W. Roscoe, J. Davies, and J. Woodcock, editors, *Millennial perspectives in computer science*, Cornerstones of computing, pages 187–214. Palgrave, 2000.
- [38] Ralf Kötter and Frank R. Kschischang. Coding for errors and erasures in random network coding. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 54(8):3579–3591, 2008.
- [39] Alexander Kshevetskiy and Ernst Gabidulin. The new construction of rank codes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international symposium on information theory (ISIT) 2005*, pages 2105–2108, 2005.
- [40] Sascha Kurz. Improved upper bounds for partial spreads. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 85(1):97–106, 2017.
- [41] Sascha Kurz. Packing vector spaces into vector spaces. *Australas. J. Combin.*, 68(1):122–130, 2017.
- [42] Dirk Liebhönd and Gabriele Nebe. Automorphism groups of Gabidulin-like codes. *Arch. Math.*, 107(4):355–366, 2016.
- [43] Zlatka T. Mateva and Svetlana T. Topalova. Line spreads of $PG(5, 2)$. *J. Combin. Des.*, 17(1):90–102, 2009.
- [44] Esmeralda Năstase and Papa Sissokho. The maximum size of a partial spread in a finite projective space. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 152:353–362, 2017.
- [45] Sampo Niskanen and Patric R. J. Östergård. Cliquer user’s guide, version 1.0. technical report T48, Helsinki University of Technology, 2003.
- [46] Ron M. Roth. Maximum-rank array codes and their application to crisscross error correction. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 37(2):328–336, 1991.
- [47] George Seelinger, Papa Sissokho, Lawrence Spence, and Charles Vanden Eynden. Partitions of finite vector spaces over $GF(2)$ into subspaces of dimensions 2 and s . *Finite Fields Appl.*, 18(6):1114–1132, 2012.
- [48] Beniamino Segre. Teoria di Galois, fibrazioni proiettive e geometrie non desarguesiane. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 64(1):1–76, 1964.

- [49] Ron Shaw. Subsets of $PG(n, 2)$ and maximal partial spreads in $PG(4, 2)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 21(1–3):209–222, 2000.
- [50] John Sheekey. A new family of linear maximum rank distance codes. *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 10(3):475–488, 2016.
- [51] Danilo Silva, Frank R. Kschischang, and Ralf Kötter. A rank-metric approach to error control in random network coding. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 54(9):3951–3967, 2008.
- [52] Zhe-Xian Wan. A proof of the automorphisms of linear groups over a sfield of characteristic 2. *Sci. Sinica*, 11:1183–1194, 1962.
- [53] Zhe-Xian Wan. *Geometry of matrices*. World Scientific, 1996.