RESEARCH

Malaria Journal





Modelling and observing the role of wind in *Anopheles* population dynamics around a reservoir

Noriko Endo^{*} and Elfatih A. B. Eltahir

Abstract

Background: Wind conditions, as well as other environmental conditions, are likely to influence malaria transmission through the behaviours of *Anopheles* mosquitoes, especially around water-resource reservoirs. Wind-induced waves in a reservoir impose mortality on aquatic-stage mosquitoes. Mosquitoes' host-seeking activity is also influenced by wind through dispersion of *CO*₂. However, no malaria transmission model exists to date that simulated those impacts of wind mechanistically.

Methods: A modelling framework for simulating the three important effects of wind on the behaviours of mosquito is developed: attraction of adult mosquitoes through dispersion of CO_2 (CO_2 attraction), advection of adult mosquitoes (*advection*), and aquatic-stage mortality due to wind-induced surface waves (*waves*). The framework was incorporated in a mechanistic malaria transmission simulator, HYDREMATS. The performance of the extended simulator was compared with the observed population dynamics of the *Anopheles* mosquitoes at a village adjacent to the Koka Reservoir in Ethiopia.

Results: The observed population dynamics of the *Anopheles* mosquitoes were reproduced with some reasonable accuracy in HYDREMATS that includes the representation of the wind effects. HYDREMATS without the wind model failed to do so. Offshore wind explained the increase in *Anopheles* population that cannot be expected from other environmental conditions alone.

Conclusions: Around large water bodies such as reservoirs, the role of wind in the dynamics of *Anopheles* population, hence in malaria transmission, can be significant. Modelling the impacts of wind on the behaviours of *Anopheles* mosquitoes aids in reproducing the seasonality of malaria transmission and in estimation of the risk of malaria around reservoirs.

Keywords: Malaria transmission, Water-resource reservoirs, Environmental conditions

Background

Malaria transmission is an intricate function of environment. Alternation in environment may exacerbate malaria risks, with global warming being an example [1-4], and the construction of dam-related reservoirs and irrigated fields being another [5-13]. Understanding the environmental determinants of malaria transmission helps in predicting the seasonality and the future risks

*Correspondence: enori@mit.edu

of transmission, and hence in designing efficient control programs.

Wind conditions, as well as many other environmental conditions, are likely to influence malaria transmission through the behaviours of *Anopheles* mosquitoes—the vectors of malaria. The responses of adult mosquitoes to wind have been poorly understood. Controversy over field observations exists regarding mosquitoes' flight responses; some suggest mosquitoes fly upwind [14, 15], and others downwind [16, 17]. Results from laboratory experiments support upwind flights, especially in the presence of odour and heat, but also in the absence of



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Ralph M. Parsons Laboratory, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 15 Vassar Street, Cambridge, USA

them [18–20]. Within some tens of meters from human bait, mosquitoes are generally believed to fly upwind guided by CO_2 plume originating from the humans (upwind flight leads mosquitoes towards higher concentration of CO_2) [21–24]. Downwind flight behavior may be prominent for long-distance migration [16, 25].

The influence of wind on the population of *Anopheles* mosquitoes may be especially significant around reservoirs [26]. Aquatic-stage mosquitoes that breed at reservoir shorelines face additional mortality through surface waves in reservoirs. Like turbulence during rainstorms, high waves at a reservoir shoreline provide an unfavourable condition for aquatic-stage mosquitoes [5, 27, 28]. Because surface waves become higher in larger and deeper bodies, the mortality from waves is often unique to large water bodies such as reservoirs, but not to small rain-fed puddles.

This paper aims (1) to model the role of wind in the behaviours of *Anopheles* mosquitoes based on physics and physiology known to date, and (2) to quantify the role of wind using observations. To the best of the authors' knowledge, no mechanistic model exists that incorporates the effect of wind on malaria transmission, except for site-to-site deductive correlation-based models. The *Anopheles* population data come from a village adjacent to the Koka Reservoir in Ethiopia [26]. The impacts of wind on mosquitoes behaviours are incorporated in a malaria transmission model, HYDREMATS— one of the most detailed mechanistic malaria models to date [29]. The role of wind in *Anopheles* population was analysed combining simulations and observations.

Methods

Field observations

Multi-year extensive field surveys were conducted near a village adjacent to Koka Reservoir (N8° 25'; E39° 05') in Ethiopia. The village, named Ejersa, is located north-west of the Koka Reservoir. Its elevation is around 1600 m, and its mean annual temperature is about 21.1 °C. The annual malaria incidence rate in this village was 55 [cases/1000 persons/year] between 2009 and 2014 (personal communication). Of the cases, approximately two-thirds are caused by Plasmodium falciparum (P. falciparum) and one third by *Plasmodium vivax* (P. vivax). The major Anopheles (An.) vectors present at Ejersa are An. arabiensis, An. pharoensis, An. funestus, and An. coustani [9, 26]. Malaria in this area is classified as hypo-endemic, and the incidence rate is declining owing to control measures in place (free diagnosis and medicines, distribution of insecticide-treated bed nets, occasional use of indoor residual spraying).

The field campaigns span from Jul. 2012 to Apr. 2015, monitoring environmental and entomological

conditions [26]. Detailed information on local wind profile (wind speed and wind direction) were obtained from an *in situ* weather station at 30-min resolution, as well as other climatological data (Fig. 1a–d). The daily water levels of the Koka Reservoir were obtained from the Ethiopian Electric Power Corporation (EEPCo) (Fig. 1e). *Anopheles* population dynamics were monitored through weekly or bi-weekly adult sampling surveys using six CDC miniature light traps deployed in Ejersa (Fig. 1f).

This area experiences three climatological seasons: a main rainy season from June to September, locally known as *Kiremt*; a dry season from October to February, *Bega*; and a secondary rainy season from March to May, Belg (Fig. 1b). During the main rainy season, the temperature becomes lower than the other two seasons (Fig. 1a). Wind profile also shifts between the rainy seasons and the dry season (Fig. 1c, d). The reservoir water levels have the lowest and highest peaks around the beginning and the end of the main rainy seasons (Fig. 1e). The Anopheles population peaks once or twice a year (Fig. 1f). A large increase in population occurs during Sep.-Dec. (hereafter the *major mosquito season*). A small increase may or may not occur during May.-June (hereafter the minor *mosquito season*). How the *Anopheles* population is influenced by the environmental conditions in Ejersa is described in Endo and Eltahir [26].

Modelling mosquitoes' flight behaviours

The locomotion of adult mosquitoes (\vec{v}) is modelled as a combination of active dispersal $(\overrightarrow{v_{active}})$ and passive dispersal $(\overrightarrow{v_{passive}})$. Active dispersal $(\overrightarrow{v_{active}})$ is also called appetential dispersal, where mosquitoes fly around by themselves in search of blood sources and oviposition sites, for example. Passive dispersal $(\overrightarrow{v_{passive}})$, on the other hand is called non-appetential dispersal, where mosquitoes move with wind through advection.

$$\overrightarrow{\nu} = \overrightarrow{\nu_{active}} + \overrightarrow{\nu_{passive}}$$
(1)

Modelling the role of wind

Three important effects of wind on the behaviours of mosquitoes are modelled: attraction of adult mosquitoes through dispersion of CO_2 (hereafter, CO_2 attraction), advection of adult mosquitoes (hereafter, *advection*), and aquatic-stage mortality due to wind-induced surface waves (hereafter, *waves*).

CO₂ attraction

Mosquitoes active flight component $(\overrightarrow{v_{active}})$ can be modelled as a summation of random flight $(\overrightarrow{v_{random}})$ and directed flight $(\overrightarrow{v_{directed}})$.

$$\overrightarrow{v_{active}} = \overrightarrow{v_{random}} + \overrightarrow{v_{directed}}$$
(2)



Mosquitoes use various olfactory sensors, visual sensors, and thermal sensors to identify the locations of hosts; the signal that travels the farthest is CO_2 . A CO_2 plume moves downwind with turbulent diffusion [22, 30]. When mosquitoes sense elevated CO_2 concentration (activation), they become activated and generally fly upwind, towards the source of CO_2 [18–24]. In the absence of the clues of hosts, mosquitoes fly randomly.

The relative importance of $\overrightarrow{v_{random}}$ and $\overrightarrow{v_{directed}}$ is determined by the CO_2 concentration and the concentration gradient. Studies show that mosquitoes can sense the fluctuation of CO_2 concentration by as little as 40 ppm [31] or 100 ppm [20]. In the experiment by Healy and Copland [20], approximately 60% of mosquitoes were

activated and flew upwind when they encountered pulses of 100 ppm or more CO_2 above the background level (350–370 ppm). It can be assumed that mosquitoes' active dispersal is fully random, unless mosquitoes sense at least 40 ppm higher CO_2 concentration than the background; with the concentration difference of 40 ppm, 60% of their flight is directed towards the higher concentration of CO_2 , while the other 40% remaining as the random-direction flight. The weight of the directed flight component was assumed to increase linearly with the concentration gradient, such that mosquitoes located 10 m downwind from a source of CO_2 fly directly to the source (i.e., the directed component is 100%), assuming a typical house in Ejersa with five inhabitants and one cow. Using the weight of the directed flight component (*a*, $0 \le a \le 1$) and the average flight velocity of mosquitoes *v*, the magnitude of $\overrightarrow{v_{random}}$ and $\overrightarrow{v_{directed}}$ are modelled as:

$$|\overrightarrow{v_{random}}| = (1-a)v \tag{3}$$

$$|\overrightarrow{v_{directed}}| = av. \tag{4}$$

The direction of $\overrightarrow{v_{directed}}$ is toward the steepest CO_2 concentration gradient, and that of $\overrightarrow{v_{random}}$ is random.

The concentration of CO_2 is simulated for timeaveraged mean values using the Gaussian dispersion equation [32]:

$$c(x, y, z) = \frac{Q}{2\pi\sigma_y\sigma_z u} exp\left(\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right) \\ \left(exp\left(\frac{-(z-h)^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right) + exp\left(\frac{-(z+h)^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right)^{(5)}\right),$$

where: *c* is the concentration of CO_2 [g m⁻³] at any position *x* meters downwind of the source, *y* meters crosswind of the source, and *z* meters above the ground level, *Q* is the carbon dioxide exhalation rate [g s⁻¹], *u* is the horizontal wind velocity along the plume centerline [g s⁻¹], *h* is the height of the emission plume centerline above the ground [m], σ_z is the vertical standard deviation of the emission distribution [m], and σ_y is the horizontal standard deviation of the emission distribution [m].

The horizontal and vertical dispersion is a function of atmospheric stability conditions and the downwind distance (x) [30]. During the nighttime periods, when mosquitoes are active, atmospheric conditions are stable due to radiative cooling at the land surface under clear skies. From Smith [30], the horizontal and vertical dispersions for stable conditions are given by:

$$\sigma_y = 0.24 x^{0.71} \tag{6}$$

$$\sigma_z = 0.06x^{0.71}.$$
 (7)

The height of the emission plume (*h*) was set at 1 m, roughly the level of beds, and the height at which mosquitoes sense the plume (*z*) at the same height (1 m). The source emission of CO_2 exhaled is set at 275 ml min⁻¹ per human and 3925 ml min⁻¹ per cow [33]. Based on field surveys, it is assumed every household compound contains five humans and one cow. The concentration of CO_2 at each time step in the model domain is calculated as the sum of the contributions of all exhaling members of the community.

The Gaussian model is a well-established time-averaged model of plume dispersion; however, mosquitoes are known to respond to the instantaneous high concentration of CO_2 that is maintained in a pocket of air due to turbulence, rather than the mean concentrations of CO_2 [21, 22]. Because of turbulence, a CO_2 plume is unevenly distributed in the air with some small eddies containing high concentrations of CO_2 . Studies with highresolution measurements observed many-fold higher than mean concentration of CO_2 at a frequency of 0.1 to a few seconds [21, 22]. Simulating this small-scale structure of CO_2 plumes is computationally expensive. Instead, it is assumed that there exist pockets of CO_2 plume with concentration as high as 10 times the concentration simulated in the Gaussian model, and that mosquitoes can respond to the instantaneous burst of CO_2 .

As the Gaussian dispersion equation demonstrates, the concentration of CO_2 depends on the source load of CO_2 , distance to the source, wind speed, and wind direction. Assuming that *Anopheles* can sense elevated levels of CO_2 above 40 ppm (simulated mean concentration of 4 ppm), the maximum range over which *Anopheles* is activated was simulated to be about 100, 50, 30 and 15 m downwind of a house (with five inhabitants and one cow) under 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 m/s of wind, respectively. The effective range of CO_2 activation is believed to be within some tens of meters around a host [21, 34–36]. Considering that the ranges simulated are for a house with multiple hosts, simulated ranges agree with literature values.

Advection

In addition to mosquitoes' active flight behaviours, mosquitoes are assumed to move downwind with the wind's advective effect. This mechanism plays an important role in mosquitoes' long-distance migration [16, 17]; however, its role is assumed to be negligible if hosts are found near the breeding habitats. In this simulation, mosquitoes were assumed to move downwind, but only at a small fraction (0.01%) of the wind speed:

$$\overrightarrow{v_{passive}} = 0.0001 \, \overrightarrow{u} \,, \tag{8}$$

where \vec{u} is a wind vector pointing downwind.

Waves

High waves exhaust larvae and cause higher mortality [5, 27, 28]. High waves are not likely to occur in small and shallow water bodies, such as rain-fed pools, but could be significant in large and deep water bodies, such as reservoirs. Waves seen at reservoirs are called surface waves and are created by the shear stress generated by wind. The surface waves are larger with higher wind speed, larger fetch, and deeper water. The height of the surface wave $(H_w \text{ [m]})$ can be estimated through the shallow-water forecasting model [37] (Fig. 2). The model is based on theoretical assumptions and successive approximations



in which wave energy is added due to wind stress and subtracted due to bottom friction and percolation:

$$\frac{gH_{w}}{u^{2}} = 0.283 \, \tanh\left(0.530\left(\frac{gd}{u^{2}}\right)^{3/4}\right) \\ \tanh\left(\frac{0.00565\left(\frac{gF}{u^{2}}\right)^{1/2}}{\tanh\left(0.530\left(\frac{gd}{u^{2}}\right)^{3/4}\right)}\right), \tag{9}$$

where: *u* is the wind speed $[m s^{-1}]$, *d* is the depth of water [m], *F* is the fetch, the length of water over which the wind blows in a single direction [m], and *g* is the gravitational constant $[m^3 kg^{-1} s^{-2}]$.

For simplicity, the average water depth of a reservoir is used as *d*. The fetch, *F*, is calculated assuming that a reservoir is circular.

 H_w was then converted into mortality (m_{wave} [h⁻¹]), assuming the mortality linearly increases with wave height:

$$m_{wave} = f \times H_w, \tag{10}$$

where *f* is the conversion factor $[h^{-1} m^{-1}]$. *f* was set at 0.1 as a result of calibration using observational data.

Expected wave height at shorelines of the Koka Reservoir is shown in Fig. 2 for u = 0.5 (blue), 1 (red), and 2 m/s (green) and various angles of wind (x-axis). The in situ wind sentry recorded that the daily wind speed in Ejersa varied between 0.5 and 2 m/s in a year. The

observed wave heights at the centimetres, which is in good agreement with the predicted results.

Malaria transmission simulator

The role of wind in shaping the behaviours of *Anopheles* mosquitoes was incorporated in HYDREMATS [26, 32] to test the accuracy of the model, comparing with observations. HYDREMATS is a village-scale malaria transmission model that features explicit representation of evironmental conditions and behaviours of *Anopheles* mosquitoes in space and time. Its agent-based approach is suitable for employing the role of wind described above. HYDREMATS was tailored for Ejersa (hereafter, *Ejersa model*) [26].

In order to examine the role of wind in the dynamics of *Anopheles* population, the Ejersa model was also forced with fixed wind speed (*fixed wspd model*) or with random wind direction (*random wdir model*) instead of respective observational values. In the fixed *wspd* model, the observed mean wind speed (0.884 m/s) was employed for every timestep (1 h) throughout the simulation period. The impact of wind speed and direction can be understood by the deviation between the Ejersa model and the respective simulation.

Results

Observation of environment and *Anopheles* population dynamics

Temperature and rainfall are often described as the primary determinants of Anopheles population dynamics [3, 38, 39]; however, in Ejersa, reservoir water levels and wind profile are likely to be more important [26] (Fig. 1). Around the temperature range in Ejersa (19–24 °C), the expected longevity of Anopheles is not sensitive to temperature [40, 41]. Thus, the influence of temperature on the Anopheles population dynamics is limited. Field observations found a handful of rain-fed puddles in Ejersa, but only a few of them were positive breeding sites. This is because rain-fed puddles rarely persist long enough to support the completion of Anopheles' aquaticstage development, which takes around 15-20 days at the cold range of temperature in the field. [26]. The lag in observed Anopheles population dynamics was too large to be explained by the rainfall (Fig. 1b, f). On the other hand, the primary determinant of the Anopheles population was analysed to be the reservoir water levels (Fig. 1e, f), which determine the location of the reservoir shoreline [26]. The shoreline-the main breeding habitat for Anopheles mosquitoes—becomes closer to the village as the reservoir water levels increase, making reproduction more likely.

The observed *Anopheles* population dynamics during the minor mosquito season (around May, more precisely)

were distinctive between 2013 and 2014 (Fig. 1f). The mosquito population increased in 2013 but not in 2014. Neither temperature, rainfall, nor reservoir water level is likely to explain the difference, because the observed data during the same season in the two years were similar. The noticeable differences between the two periods were found only in wind speed and wind direction (Fig. 1c, d, respectively). Whether or not this observational differences in wind profile can explain the observed *Anopheles* population dynamics during the minor mosquito season are examined mechanistically in the next section.

Simulation of Anopheles population dynamics

Simulated and observed *Anopheles* population are presented in Fig. 3. The observed dynamics of *Anopheles* population (orange asterisks) were reproduced in the Ejersa model (solid black line), both during the major and minor mosquito seasons, with some reasonable accuracy [26]; however, without the incorporation of wind impacts, models (solid green line and solid blue line) failed to reproduce the observed seasonality of *Anopheles* population. The result from the fixed *wspd* model (solid green line) was somewhat similar to that of the Ejersa model, but the performance of the model declined. In the random *wdir* model (solid blue line), the performance of the model declined even more significantly, and the observed dynamics of the *Anopheles* population was not reproduced with this model. The random *wdir* model failed to simulate the timing of the onset of the major mosquito season. In addition, it failed to simulate the observed difference of the *Anopheles* population dynamics during the minor mosquito season.

The observed *Anopheles* population increased in May in 2013, but not in 2014 (Fig. 4a, b). This difference was simulated in the Ejersa model, and the simulation results suggest that it is accounted for mostly by the wind direction (Fig. 3). Figure 4 demonstrates that the observed wind direction in the minor mosquito seasons in 2013 worked to enhance mosquito population (c, black) slightly, as compared to an assumed condition with random wind direction (c, blue). The observed wind direction in the minor mosquito season in 2014, on the other hand, worked to suppress mosquito population (d, black), as compared to an assumed condition with random wind direction (d, blue).

Discussion

The role of wind in mosquito behaviours was modelled based on the physics of CO_2 dispersion and surface waves and on the physiology of mosquitoes. The mosquitoes' behavioural responses to wind are still not fully understood; however, the model incorporating some of the known effects of wind speed and wind direction was demonstrated to be able to reproduce the observed dynamics of *Anopheles* population in Ejersa, which was not possible without those wind effects. Thus, the impacts of wind on





season in 2013 (**a**, **c**) and in 2014 (**b**, **d**). An increase in *Anopheles* population was observed in May 2013, but not in May 2014 (**a**, **b**). This difference was simulated with reasonable accuracy in HYDREMATS (**c**, **d**, black line), which can be attributable to different profiles of wind direction. The observed wind direction in 2013 (**c**, black) worked to enhance mosquito population slightly, as compared to an assumed condition with random wind direction in 2014, on the other hand, worked to suppress the mosquito population (**d**, black), as compared to an assumed condition with random wind direction (**d**, black), as compared to an assumed condition with random wind direction (**d**, blue)

the mosquitoes' behavioural responses are believed to be credibly represented in this analysis. Some of the model parameters may still benefit from further calibration. To the best of the authors' knowledge, HYDREMATS is the only malaria transmission model that mechanistically incorporates the effect of wind.

The effect of wind on the *Anopheles* population dynamics has received limited attention in the scientific community [20, 21, 23, 24], yet it was shown to have a significant contribution around a reservoir. The importance of wind is expected to be particularly significant around reservoirs for two reasons. The first reason is that the waves created by the wind can become fatal to aquatic-stage mosquitoes at large water bodies. The height of the wave increases with the depth and the fetch (~ surface area) of the reservoir. Thus, waves are more likely to influence *Anopheles* mosquitoes' breeding at reservoirs than at small water bodies such as rain-fed pools. The second reason is the heterogeneity in the surrounding environment around reservoirs, where human settlements are located only at one side of the shoreline. Under

such environment, the population dynamics of *Anopheles* mosquitoes are likely to be influenced by the wind direction.

As compared to offshore wind, onshore wind creates larger waves because the fetch is large. Thus, aquaticstage mosquitoes experience larger mortality, leading to smaller *Anopheles* populations. In addition, under the onshore wind, a large part of CO_2 plume emanated from the village moves away from the reservoir, which makes mosquitoes emerging at reservoir shorelines less efficient in identifying the direction of the village for host-seeking. Thus, under onshore wind, *Anopheles* population can further decrease due to limited CO_2 attraction and less efficient host-seeking activity. These two factors conclude that *Anopheles* populations are generally low under the onshore wind condition.

Wind direction in Ejersa shifts from about 90° north in the dry season to about 220° north in the main rainy season. A gradual shift of wind direction is experienced during the secondary rainy season. The wind from 90° and 220° north corresponds to onshore wind and near-offshore wind for Ejersa. As a results, part of the increase in the *Anopheles* population during the main rainy season (beginning of the main mosquito season, more specifically) can be explained by the wind blowing from near-offshore (Fig. 3). The difference in the *Anopheles* population dynamics during the minor rainy season (which almost corresponds to the secondary mosquito season) between 2013 and 2014 can also be explained by the wind direction. In 2013, the shift in wind direction during the minor rainy seasons occurred earlier than in 2014 (Fig. 1), explaining the observed and simulated difference in the *Anopheles* population dynamics.

The model that replaced the observed wind speed with the averaged wind speed (fixed wind model) consistently simulated smaller Anopheles population than the model with observed wind speed (Ejersa model) throughout a year. This unexpected result can be explained by the fact that Anopheles mosquitoes are modelled to be active only during the night time, and the "average" wind speed averages the observed profile not only over the seasons but also over day and night. The day-time wind speed (~ 1.0 m/s) was larger than the night-time wind speed (~ 0.65 m/s). Thus, the average wind speed was larger than the night-time wind speed. Larger wind speed enhances waves and does not deliver high concentration of CO2 plume far enough-both mechanisms contribute to decrease the Anopheles population. Thus, the fixed wspd model resulted in consistently smaller Anopheles population than the Ejersa model throughout the simulation period.

Conclusion

Around large water bodies such as reservoirs, the role of wind in *Anopheles* population dynamics, hence in malaria transmission, can be significant. This paper provided a framework to model the effects of wind in the behaviours of *Anopheles* mosquitoes. The effects important for *Anopheles* behaviours include: attraction of adult mosquitoes through dispersion of *CO*₂, advection of adult mosquitoes, and aquatic-stage mortality due to windinduced surface waves. Combining simulation studies and observational data of *Anopheles* population dynamics collected around the Koka Reservoir in Ethiopia, this study demonstrates a substantial role of wind in *Anopheles* population dynamics—hence the dynamics of malaria transmission. It is suggested that malaria is generally suppressed when wind blows from a reservoir to a village.

Authors' contributions

NE conceived and conducted the study. EABE supervised the research. NE wrote the manuscript. NE and EABE edited the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation and by the Cooperative Agreement between the Masdar Institute of Science and Technology (Masdar Institute), Abu Dhabi, UAE and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, MA.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

All the data used in this study are available upon request.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Funding

This work was funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation grant EAR-0946280 and by the Cooperative Agreement between the Masdar Institute of Science and Technology (Masdar Institute), Abu Dhabi, UAE and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, MA, USA—Reference 02/ MI/MI/CP/11/07633/GEN/G/00.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 14 September 2017 Accepted: 19 January 2018 Published online: 25 January 2018

References

- 1. Patz JA, Campbell-Lendrum D, Holloway T, Foley JA. Impact of regional climate change on human health. Nature. 2005;438:311–7.
- Patz JA, Hulme M, Rosenzweig C, Mitchell TD, Goldberg RA, Githeko AK, et al. Climate change: regional warming and malaria resurgence. Nature. 2002;420:627–8.
- 3. Yamana TK, Bomblies A, Eltahir EAB. Climate change unlikely to increase malaria burden in West Africa. Nat Clim Chang. 2016;6:1009–13.
- Zhou G, Minakawa N, Githeko AK, Yan G. Association between climate variability and malaria epidemics in the East African highlands. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004;101:2375–80.
- 5. U.S. Public Health Service Tennessee Valley Authority. Malaria control on impounded waters. Hawaii: University Press of the Pacific; 1949.
- Jobin W. Dams and diseases: ecological design and health impacts of large dams, canals and irrigation system. London: E & FN Spoon Press; 1999.
- Sow S, de Vlas SJ, Engels D, Gryseels B. Water-related disease patterns before and after the construction of the Diama dam in northern Senegal. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2002;96:575–86.
- Keiser J, de Castro MC, Maltese MF, Bos R, Tanner M, Singer BH, Utzinger J. Effect of irrigation and large dams on the burden of malaria on a global and regional scale. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2005;72:392–406.
- Kibret S, McCartney M, Lautze J, Jayasinghe G. Malaria transmission in the vicinity of impounded water: evidence from the Koka reservoir, Ethiopia. IWMI Research Report 132; 2009.
- Yewhalaw D, Legesse W, van Bortel W, Gebre-Selassie S, Kloos H, Duchateau L, Speybroeck N. Malaria and water resource development: the case of Gilgel-Gibe hydroelectric dam in Ethiopia. Malar J. 2009;8:21.
- Kibret S, Lautze J, McCartney M, Wilson GG, Nhamo L. Malaria impact of large dams in sub-Saharan Africa: maps, estimates and predictions. Malar J. 2015;14:339.
- Castellanos A, Chaparro-Narvàez P, Morales-Plaza CD, Alzate A, Padilla J, Arèvalo M, Herrera S. Malaria in gold-mining areas in Colombia. Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz. 2016;111:59–66.

- Pommier de Santi V, Girod R, Mura M, Dia A, Briolant S, Djossou F, et al. Epidemiological and entomological studies of a malaria outbreak among French armed forces deployed at illegal gold mining sites reveal new aspects of the disease's transmission in French Guiana. Malar J. 2016;15:35.
- Midega JT, Smith DL, Olotu A, Mwangangi JM, Nzovu JG, Wambua J, et al. Wind direction and proximity to larval sites determines malaria risk in Kilifi District in Kenya. Nat Commun. 2012;3:674.
- Lindsay SW, Armstrong Schellenberg JRM, Zeiler HA, Daly RJ, Salum FM, Wilkins HA. Exposure of Gambian children to *Anopheles gambiae* malaria vectors in an irrigated rice production area. Med Vet Entomol. 1995;9:50–8.
- Gillies MT, Wilkers TJ. Evidence for downwind flights by host-seeking mosquitoes. Nature. 1972;252:388–9.
- Service MW. Mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) dispersal—the long and short of it. J Med Entomol. 1997;34:579–88.
- Spitzen J, Spoor CW, Grieco F, ter Braak C, Beeuwkes J, van Brugge SP, et al. A 3D analysis of flight behavior of *Anopheles gambiae* sensu stricto malaria mosquitoes in response to human odor and heat. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e62995. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062995.
- Dekker T, Carde RT. Moment-to-moment flight manoeuvres of the female yellow fever mosquito (*Aedes aegypti* L.) in response to plumes of carbon dioxide and human skin odour. J Exp Biol. 2011;214:3480–94.
- 20. Healy TP, Copland MJ. Activation of *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes by carbon dioxide and human breath. Med Vet Entomol. 1955;9:331–6.
- 21. Cardé RT, Willis MA. Navigational strategies used by insects to find distant, wind-borne sources of odor. J Chem Ecol. 2008;34:854–66.
- 22. Murlis J, Elkinton JS, Cardé RT, et al. Odor plumes and how insects use them. Ann Rev Entomol. 1992;37:505–32.
- Sutcliffe JF. Distance orientation of biting ies to their hosts. Insect Sci Its Appl. 1987;8:611–6.
- Takken W, Knols BGJ. Odor-mediated behavior of afrotropical malaria mosquitoes. Ann Rev Entomol. 1999;44:131–57.
- Lehmann T, Dao A, Yaro AS, Huestis DL, Diallo M, Timbiné S, et al. Phenotypic divergence among the members of the African malaria mosquitoes and strategies of persistence throughout the dry season. Malar J. 2014;13:2.
- Endo N. Malaria transmission around the Koka Reservoir in Ethiopia: field observations, model predictions, and strategies for prevention. Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. 2017.
- Russell PF, West LS, Manwell RD, Maxwell RD RD, et al. Practical malariology. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1946.
- Paaijmans KP, Wandago MO, Githeko AK, Takken W. Unexpected high losses of *Anopheles gambiae* larvae due to rainfall. PLoS ONE. 2007;2:e1146. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001146.

- 29. Reiner RC, Perkins TA, Barker CM, Niu T, Chaves LF, Ellis AM, et al. A systematic review of mathematical models of mosquito-borne pathogen transmission: 1970–2010. Interface J R Soc. 2013;10(81):20120921.
- Smith M. Recommended guide for the prediction of the dispersion of airborne effluents. New York City: American Society for Mechanical Engineers; 1968.
- Grant AJ, Aghajanian JG, O'Connell RJ, Wigton BE. Electrophysiological responses of receptor neurons in mosquito maxillary palp sensilla to carbon dioxide. J Comp Physiol A. 1995;177:389–96.
- Bomblies A, Duchemin J-B, Eltahir EAB. Hydrology of malaria: model development and application to a Sahelian village. Water Resour Res. 2008;44:12445.
- Kinsman R, Sauer FD, Jackson HA, Wolynetz MS. Methane and carbon dioxide emissions from dairy cows in full lactation monitored over a sixmonth period. J Dairy Sci. 1995;78:2760–6.
- Cardé RT, Gibson G. Host finding by female mosquitoes: mechanisms of orientation to host odours and other cues. In: Takken W, Knols BGJ, editors. Olfaction in vector–host interactions. Ecology and control of vector-borne diseases. Wageningen: Wageningen Academic Publishers; 2010. p. 115–41.
- 35. van Breugel F, Riffell J, Fairhall A, Dickinson MH. Mosquitoes use vision to associate odor plumes with thermal targets. Curr Biol. 2015;25:2123–9.
- 36. Gillies MT. The role of carbon dioxide in host-finding by mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae): a review. Bull Entomol Res. 1980;70:525–32.
- 37. US Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center. Shore protection manual: volume I. Technical report. 1984.
- Craig M, Le Sueur D, Snow B. A climate-based distribution model of malaria transmission in sub-Saharan Africa. Parasitol Today. 1999;15:105–11.
- Ermert V, Fink AH, Jones AE, Morse AP. Development of a new version of the Liverpool Malaria Model. I. Refining the parameter settings and mathematical formulation of basic processes based on a literature review. Malar J. 2011;10:35.
- Martens WJ. Health impacts of climate change and ozone depletion: an ecoepidemiologic modeling approach. Ph.D. thesis, Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherland. 1997.
- Parham PE, Pople D, Christiansen-Jucht C, Lindsay S, Hinsley W, Michael E. Modeling the role of environmental variables on the population dynamics of the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae* sensu stricto. Malar J. 2012;11:271.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research
 Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

