

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Human brain-derived A oligomers bind to synapses and disrupt synaptic activity in a manner that requires APP

Citation for published version:

Wang, Z, Jackson, R, Hong, W, Taylor, WM, Corbett, GT, Moreno, A, Liu, W, Li, S, Frosch, MP, Slutsky, I, Young-Pearse, T, Spires-Jones, T & Walsh, DM 2017, 'Human brain-derived A oligomers bind to synapses and disrupt synaptic activity in a manner that requires APP' Journal of Neuroscience. DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2009-17.2017

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2009-17.2017

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Journal of Neuroscience

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



The Journal of Neuroscience

https://jneurosci.msubmit.net

JN-RM-2009-17R1

Human brain-derived A β oligomers bind to synapses and disrupt synaptic activity in a manner that requires APP

Dominic Walsh, Harvard Institutes of Medicine Zemin Wang, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School Rosemary Jackson, University of Edinburgh Wei Hong, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School Walter Taylor, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School Grant Corbett, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School Arturo Moreno, UCSF Wen Liu, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School Shaomin Li, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School Matthew Frosch, Massachusetts General Hospital Tracy Young-Pearse, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School and Ann Romney Center for Neurologic Diseases Inna Slutsky, Tel Aviv University Tara Spires-Jones, University of Edinburgh

Commercial Interest:

This is a confidential document and must not be discussed with others, forwarded in any form, or posted on websites without the express written consent of The Journal for Neuroscience.

Human brain-derived Aβ oligomers bind to synapses and disrupt synaptic activity in a manner that requires APP

3

Zemin Wang¹, Rosemary J. Jackson², Wei Hong¹, Walter M. Taylor¹, Grant T. Corbett¹,
Arturo Moreno¹, Wen Liu¹, Shaomin Li¹, Matthew P. Frosch³, Inna Slutsky⁴, Tracy
Young-Pearse¹, Tara L. Spires-Jones², and Dominic M. Walsh^{1*}

7

⁸ ¹Laboratory for Neurodegenerative Research, Ann Romney Center for Neurologic Diseases, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA; ²The University of Edinburgh, UK Dementia Research Institute, 1 George Square, Edinburgh, UK; ³Massachusetts General Institute for Neurodegenerative Disease, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, MA 02129, USA; and ⁴Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University; 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel.

15

16 *Correspondence to <u>dwalsh3@bwh.harvard.edu</u>

17

18 Running title: Aβ-mediated disruption of synaptic activity requires APP.

19

20 Number of Pages: 63

21 Number of Figures: 11

- 22 Number of Tables: 1
- 23 Number of words for Abstract: 161
- 24 Number of words for Introduction: 652
- 25 Number of words for Discussion: 1387

27 Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Tiernan T. O'Malley for useful discussions and technical advice. This 28 work was supported by grants to DMW from the National Institutes of Health 29 30 (AG046275), Bright Focus, and the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (2013244, DMW and IS); grants to TSJ from Alzheimer's Research UK and the Scottish 31 Government (ARUK-SPG2013-1), Wellcome Trust-University of Edinburgh Institutional 32 Strategic Support funds, and the H2020 European Research Council (ALZSYN); and to 33 the Massachusetts Alzheimer's Disease Research Center (AG05134). TSJ is a 34 member of the FENS Kavli Network of Excellence. 35

37 Abstract

Compelling genetic evidence links the amyloid precursor protein (APP) to Alzheimer's 38 disease (AD), and several theories have been advanced to explain the involvement of 39 APP in AD. A leading hypothesis proposes that a small amphipathic fragment of APP, 40 the amyloid β -protein (A β), self-associates to form soluble aggregates which impair 41 synaptic and network activity. Here, we employed the most disease-relevant form of $A\beta$, 42 protein isolated from AD brain. Using this material, we show that the synaptotoxic 43 effects of Aβ depend on expression of APP and that the Aβ-mediated impairment of 44 synaptic plasticity is accompanied by pre-synaptic effects which disrupt the 45 excitatory/inhibitory (E/I) balance. The net increase in the E/I ratio, and inhibition of 46 plasticity are associated with AB localizing to synapses and binding of soluble AB 47 aggregates to synapses requires the expression of APP. Taken together, our findings 48 indicate a role for APP in AD pathogenesis beyond the generation of A β and suggest 49 modulation of APP expression as a therapy for AD. 50

52 Significance Statement

Here, we report on the plasticity-disrupting effects of A_β isolated from AD brain and the 53 requirement of APP for these effects. We show that $A\beta$ -containing AD brain extracts 54 block hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP), augment glutamate release probability 55 56 and disrupt the excitation/inhibition balance. Notably, these effects are associated with Aβ localizing to synapses, and genetic ablation of APP prevents both Aβ binding and 57 Aβ-mediated synaptic dysfunctions. Our results emphasize the importance of APP in 58 AD and should stimulate new studies to elucidate APP-related targets suitable for 59 pharmacological manipulation. 60

62 Introduction

Mutation, over-expression or altered processing of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) 63 underlie all known monogenic cases of familial Alzheimer's disease (fAD) (Tanzi, 2012; 64 Guerreiro and Hardy, 2014). Although the physiological roles of APP are not fully 65 understood, a myriad of studies indicate that APP plays a role in synaptic plasticity, 66 dendritic morphogenesis, and neuroprotection (Muller and Zheng, 2012). Membrane-67 tethered APP can act as a cell-adhesion molecule linking the pre-and post-synapse 68 (Soba et al., 2005) and APP has been shown to regulate synaptic vesicle proteins, 69 synaptic transmission and plasticity (Dawson et al., 1999; Lassek et al., 2013; Fanutza 70 et al., 2015; Lassek et al., 2016). In the rat dentate gyrus (DG), APP expression is 71 known to change during memory consolidation (Conboy et al., 2005) and 72 intraventricular administration of anti-APP antibodies or antisense oligonucleotides 73 74 results in profound amnesia (Doyle et al., 1990; Huber et al., 1993; Mileusnic et al., 2000). Notably, APP is a component of the presynaptic GABA-B1a receptor (GABA_{B1a}-75 R) complex (Bai et al., 2008; Schwenk et al., 2016) and neuron-type specific knock-out 76 of APP indicates an important role for APP in GABAergic transmission and maintenance 77 of the excitatory-inhibitory balance (Wang et al., 2014). 78

APP is a complex molecule that undergoes substantial post-translational modification and processing as more than 10 different proteolytic fragments of APP have been identified. Several of these are suggested to be pathogenic (Neve and McPhie, 2007; Yankner and Lu, 2009; Tamayev et al., 2012; Welzel et al., 2014; Willem et al., 2015), whereas others are neuroprotective (Mockett et al., 2017). The fragment from which the

precursor protein derives its name, the amyloid β -protein (A β), is found in the tell-tale amyloid plaques which populate brains of individuals who die with AD. A β comprises a family of APP-derived peptides that share a common core of ~30 amino acids (Walsh and Teplow, 2012) which are produced by the concerted action of two aspartyl proteases, β -secretase and γ -secretase (De Strooper, 2010). A β peptides are prone to self-associate and multiple studies indicate that certain forms of A β adversely affect synaptic form and function (Li et al., 2009).

The synaptotoxic activity of A β and the involvement of APP in synapse formation and 91 activity are particularly relevant to AD since *in vivo* and postmortem studies indicate that 92 synapse dysfunction and loss are prominent early features of AD (Scheff et al., 2006; 93 Scheff et al., 2007; Johnson et al., 2012). Acute studies in wild-type rodents show that 94 non-fibrillar, water-soluble Aß from a variety of sources are potent synaptotoxins 95 (Lambert et al., 1998; Walsh et al., 2002; Cleary et al., 2005; Lesne et al., 2006; Klyubin 96 97 et al., 2008; Minkeviciene et al., 2009; Kurudenkandy et al., 2014). Furthermore, in vitro 98 and *in vivo* studies demonstrate that the most disease-relevant form of non-fibrillar A β , 99 Aβ extracted from the water-soluble phase of AD brain, inhibits long-term potentiation 100 (LTP), facilitates long-term depression (LTD), reduces synaptic remodeling, and impairs 101 memory consolidation (Shankar et al., 2008; Barry et al., 2011; Freir et al., 2011; 102 Borlikova et al., 2013; Jo et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2017). Here, we show that the block 103 of LTP mediated by A β -containing AD brain extracts is accompanied by opposing changes in excitatory and inhibitory pre-synaptic release probabilities and consequent 104 disruption of the excitation/inhibition (E/I) balance. The net increase in the E/I ratio and 105 106 inhibition of LTP require expression of APP and are associated with Aβ localizing to

107 synapses. These findings suggest a link between A β toxicity and perturbation of the 108 normal regulatory role of APP, and are consistent with prior studies which have imputed 109 a role for APP in A β toxicity (White et al., 1998; Lorenzo et al., 2000; Shaked et al., 100 2006; Sola Vigo et al., 2009; Fogel et al., 2014; Kirouac et al., 2017). In light of these 111 results we suggest that down-regulation of APP expression or modulation of its 112 interaction with synaptotoxic A β species should be investigated as an approach to treat 113 AD.

115 Materials and Methods

116 **Reagents**

117 All chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise noted. Synthetic A\beta1-42 was synthesized and purified using reverse-phase HPLC by Dr. 118 James I. Elliott at the ERI Amyloid laboratory (Oxford, CT, USA). Peptide mass and 119 purity (>99%) were confirmed by reverse-phase HPLC and electrospray/ion trap mass 120 spectrometry. N-terminally extended (NTE) -31Aβ-40 was prepared and purified as 121 described previously (Mc Donald et al., 2015) and recombinant Aeta-alpha (An- α , 122 APP₅₀₅₋₆₁₁) was a gift from Drs. Willem and Haass (Ludwig-Maximillian University, 123 Munich). 124

125

126 Antibodies

127 The antibodies used and their source are described in Table 1.

128

129 **Preparation of human brain extracts**

All human specimens were obtained and used in accordance with the Partner's Institutional Review Board (Protocol: Walsh BWH 2011). Brain tissue was obtained from 2 of individuals (referred to as AD1 and AD2) who died with AD and one individual who died free of AD (designated NC). AD1 was an 87-year-old man who 9 months prior to death had scored 23 on the MMSE and designated Braak stage 4 at postmortem had

pathological changes consistent with mild AD. AD2 was a 68-year-old female with end-135 stage AD. Three years prior to death AD2 scored 23 on the MMSE, but in her last 136 weeks she was unable to answer questions other than to provide her first name. Upon 137 postmortem examination there was evidence of fulminant amyloid and neurofibrillary 138 tangle pathology which was designated Braak stage V/VI. Neither AD1 nor AD2 had a 139 family history of AD. NC was a 58-year-old female who died free of AD symptoms and 140 pathology. AD1 and NC has post-mortem intervals (PMI) of 18 hours, and AD had a 141 PMI of 12 hours. Aqueous extracts of brain were prepared by homogenizing cortical 142 tissue in a buffer which we refer to as artificial cerebrospinal fluid base buffer (aCSF-B) 143 (124 mM NaCl, 2.8 mM KCl, 1.25 mM NaH₂PO₄, 26 mM NaHCO₃, pH 7.4). aCSF-B is 144 the core buffer used in subsequent electrophysiology experiments. Whole frozen 145 temporal cortex was left at 4°C until the tissue was sufficiently soft to cut. Meninges 146 and large blood vessels were removed and gray matter dissected from white matter. 147 The total amount of gray matter obtained was between 12-14 g. Two gram lots of tissue 148 were diced using a razor blade and then homogenized in 10 ml of ice-cold aCSF-B 149 (containing 5 mM Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 1 mM Ethyleneglycoltetraacetic acid, 150 5 µg/ml Leupeptin, 5 µg/ml Aprotinin, 2 µg/ml Pepstatin, 120 µg/ml Pefabloc and 5 mM 151 NaF) with 25 strokes of a Dounce homogenizer (Fisher, Ottawa, Canada). 152 Homogenates from 6, 2 g lots were pooled and centrifuged at 198,000 g and 4°C for 153 154 110 minutes in a SW 41-Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA). The upper 90% of supernatant was dialyzed (using Slide-A-Lyzer[™] G2 Dialysis Cassettes, 2K MWCO, 155 Fisher Scientific) against fresh aCSF-B to remove bioactive small molecules and drugs. 156 Dialysis was performed at 4°C against a 100-fold excess of buffer with buffer changed 3 157

times over a 36 hour period. Thereafter, extracts were divided into 2 parts: 1 portion was immunodepleted (ID) of A β by 3 rounds of 12 hour incubations with the anti-A β antibody, AW7, plus Protein A sepharose (PAS) beads at 4 °C (Freir et al., 2011). The second portion was treated in an identical manner, but this time incubated with preimmune serum plus PAS beads. Samples were cleared of beads and 0.5 ml aliquots stored at -80°C until used for biochemical or electrophysiological experiments. Samples were thawed only once prior to use.

165

166 **Preparation of amyloid-derived diffusible ligands (ADDLs)**

Amyloid-derived diffusible ligands (ADDLs) were prepared essentially as described 167 previously (Freir et al., 2011). Hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP; 222 µl) was added to 1 mg 168 of $A\beta(1-42)$ in a 2 ml low-binding microcentrifuge tube to produce a peptide 169 concentration of 1 mM. The solution was split into two tubes, incubated at 37°C for 1 170 hour and mixed by vortexing every 10 minutes. The HFIP was gently evaporated under 171 a nitrogen stream with rotation of the tube to ensure formation of an even film of peptide 172 on the lower walls of the tube. Dried peptide films were stored over desiccant at 20°C 173 174 for a minimum of 14 hours. The peptide film from each tube was then dissolved in 20 μ l of anhydrous DMSO (Life Technologies, Woburn, MA) and 5 µl lots of the DMSO 175 mixture was added stepwise to 980 µl of F-12 medium (Life Technologies), with 176 vortexing between each addition. The resulting solution was incubated at 4°C for 48 177 hours and then centrifuged at $16,000 \ q$ for 10 minutes. The upper 95% of the 178 supernatant was transferred to a new microcentrifuge tube and the protein 179

concentration determined using the extinction coefficient, $\epsilon_{275} = 1361 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (O'Malley et al., 2014). Aliquots were then immediately frozen on dry ice and stored at -80°C.

182

183 Characterization of ADDLs

The size and morphology of structures present in the ADDLs preparation were 184 185 investigated using negative contrast electron microscopy and analytical size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Samples were stained and visualized essentially as described 186 previously (Betts et al., 2008). An aliquot of the ADDL preparation (50 µl) was diluted 187 1:1 with F12 media and then adsorbed (10 µl) onto formvar-coated copper grids 188 (Electron Microscopy Sciences). After 1 minute, 10 µl 0.25% glutaraldehyde was added 189 190 and incubated for 1 minute. Thereafter, grids were wicked dry using filter paper, washed twice with MilliQ water and then stained with 1% uranyl-acetate for 2 minutes. 191 Grids were allowed to air dry for at least 10 minutes, stored at room temperature and 192 then examined using a 1200EX microscope (JEOL). 193

A separate aliquot of ADDLs (50 μl) was thawed and loaded on to a Superdex 75
3.2/300 PE column (GE Healthcare) eluted in PBS pH 7.4 at 0.8 ml/min using a
Shimadzu HPLC system.

197

198 **Preparation of synthetic peptides used for Western blotting**

199 A_{$\eta\alpha$} peptide was dissolved in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.5, diluted to 10 200 ng/µl, aliquoted and stored frozen at -80°C. A β 1-42 and -31A β 40 which are prone to 201 aggregate were treated to depolymerize any pre-existing aggregates. Briefly, peptides 202 were dissolved in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, containing 7 M guanidium-HCl (GuHCl) and 5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) at a concentration of 1 mg/ml and incubated at room temperature (RT) overnight. Samples were then centrifuged for 30 minutes at 16,000 *g* and chromatographed on a Superdex 75 10/300 column eluted at 0.5 ml/min with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.5. The concentration of the peak fraction for each sample was determined by absorbance at 275 nm. The peptide was then diluted to 10 ng/µl, aliquoted and stored frozen at -80°C.

209

210 Immunoprecipitation/Western blotting (IP/WB) of Aβ in brain extracts

Extracts were first pre-cleared with PAS beads to minimize non-specific interactions in 211 the subsequent IP. One ml aliquots of extracts were incubated with 15 µl PAS beads 212 for 1 hour at 4°C with gentle shaking. PAS beads were removed by centrifugation 213 214 (4000 g for 5 minutes) and the supernatant divided into 0.5 ml aliquots. Each aliquot was incubated with 10 µl of AW7 and 15 µl PAS beads overnight at 4°C with gentle 215 216 shaking. Aβ-antibody-PAS complexes were collected by centrifugation and washed as 217 previously described (Shankar et al., 2011). The immunoprecipitated (IP'd) Aβ was eluted by boiling in 18 µl of 1 × sample buffer (50 mM Tris, 2% w/v SDS, 12% v/v 218 glycerol with 0.01% phenol red) and electrophoresed on hand poured, 15 well 16% 219 polyacrylamide tris-tricine gels. An- α , A β 1-42 and -31A β 40 were run as loading 220 controls and protein transferred onto 0.2 µM nitrocellulose at 400 mA and 4°C for 2 221 hour. Blots were microwaved in PBS and Aß detected using the anti-Aß40 and anti-222 Aβ42 antibodies, 2G3 and 21F12, and bands visualized using a Li-COR Odyssey 223 224 infrared imaging system (Li-COR, Lincoln, NE). To determine if AW7 IP'd other APP

225 metabolites (e.g. APPs α , N-terminally extended A β or A η peptides) from AD brain 226 extracts, certain blots were developed with 6E10 (Table 1).

227

228 **MSD Aβ immunoassays**

Samples were analyzed for A β content using 2 distinct assay formats: the A β x-42 assay 229 that preferentially detects A β 42 monomers and the oAssay that preferentially detects A β 230 oligomers and aggregates (Mably et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015). Immunoassays were 231 performed using the Meso Scale Discovery (MSD) platform and reagents from Meso 232 Scale (Rockville, MD). The Aβx-42 assay uses mAb m266 (3 µg/ml) for capture and 233 biotinylated 21F12 (1 µg/ml) for detection, and the oAssay uses mAb 1C22 (3 µg/ml) for 234 capture and biotinylated 3D6 (1 µg/ml) for detection. Samples, standards and blanks 235 were loaded in duplicate and analyzed as described previously (Mably et al., 2015). 236

Since Guanidine Hydrochloride (GuHCI) effectively disaggregates high molecular weight 237 Aß species (Mably et al., 2015), samples were analyzed both with and without 238 incubation in 5 M GuHCI. Analysis of samples in the absence of GuHCI allows the 239 measurement of native A β 42 monomer using the A β x-42 assay, and native A β 240 aggregates using the oAssay. Analysis of samples treated with GuHCl allows detection 241 of disassembled aggregates with A\betax-42 assay. To dissociate aggregates, 20 µl of 242 extract was incubated overnight with 50 µl of 7 M GuHCl at 4°C. Thereafter samples 243 were diluted 1:10 with assay diluents so that the final GuHCl concentration was 0.5 M. 244 Aβ standards were prepared in tris-buffered saline (TBS), pH 7.4 containing 0.5 M 245

GuHCl, 0.05% Tween 20 and 1% Blocker A so that both standards and samples contained the same final concentration of GuHCl.

248

249 **Mice**

All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of 250 Health Policy on the Use of Animals in Research and were approved by the Harvard 251 Medical School Standing Committee on Animals. Wild-type (WT) C57BL/6 mice were 252 purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). APP KO mice on a C57BL/6 253 background and littermate WT controls were obtained from the Young-Pearse lab 254 (Callahan et al., 2017). A second line of APP KO mice were purchased from the 255 Jackson Laboratory (APP^{tm1Dbo}/J, The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) (Zheng et 256 al., 1995) and for certain experiments these animals were bred with WT C57BL/6 mice 257 to generate APP (+/-) hemizygotes. Animals were housed in a room with a 12 hour 258 light/dark circadian cycle with ad libitum access to food and water. Mice were 259 genotyped by PCR prior to use, and both male and female mice were used. In certain 260 experiments, upon completion of electrophysiological recordings, brain slices were used 261 for Western blotting or array tomography. 262

263

264 Brain slice preparation

Two to three months old male and female animals were anaesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated. Brains were rapidly removed and immediately immersed in ice-cold

267 (0-4°C) artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF). The aCSF contained (in mM): 124 NaCl, 3 268 KCl, 2.4 CaCl₂, 2 MgSO₄·7H₂O, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 26 NaHCO₃ and 10 D-glucose, and was 269 equilibrated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂, pH 7.4, 310 mOsm. Coronal brain slices (350 270 μ m), including hippocampus (Wang et al., 2008), were prepared using a Leica VT1000 271 S vibratome (Leica Biosystems Inc, Buffalo Grove, IL), transferred to an interface 272 chamber and incubated at 34 ± 5°C for 20 minutes and then kept at room temperature 273 for 1 hour before recording.

274

275 Long-term potentiation (LTP) recording

Brain slices were transferred to a submerged recording chamber and perfused (10 276 ml/min) with oxygenated (95% O₂ and 5% CO₂) aCSF 10 minutes before 277 electrophysiological recordings. Brian slices were visualized using an infrared and 278 differential interference contrast camera (IR-DIC camera, Hitachi, Japan) mounted on 279 an upright Olympus microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Recording electrodes were 280 pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA) using a 281 micropipette puller (Model P-97; Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA) with resistance ~2 MΩ 282 283 when filled with aCSF. To induce field excitatory post-synaptic potentials (fEPSPs) in the hippocampal CA1, a tungsten wire stimulating electrode (FHC, Inc., Bowdoin, ME) 284 was placed on the Schaffer collaterals of the CA3 and a recording electrode was placed 285 at least 300 μ m away on the striatum radiatum of the CA1. The initial 10-40 % slope of 286 fEPSPs were calculated. Test stimuli were delivered once every 20 seconds (0.05 Hz) 287 and the stimulus intensity was adjusted to produce a baseline fEPSP of 30-40% of the 288 maximal response. A stable baseline was recorded for at least 10 minutes prior to 289

addition of sample. Thirty minutes following application of sample, LTP was induced by
theta burst stimulation (TBS). This involved 3 trains, each of 4 pulses delivered at 100
Hz, 10 times, with an interburst interval of 200 milli-second with a 20 second interval
between each train. Field potentials were recorded using a Multiclamp amplifier
(Multiclamp 700B; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) coupled to a Digidata 1440A
digitizer. Signal was sampled at 10 kHz and filtered at 2 kHz and data were analyzed
using Clampex 10 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

297

298 Whole-cell patch clamp recording

299 Brain slices were prepared from male and female WT and APP KO mice (1-2 months old) as described above for LTP experiments but using a cutting solution that contained 300 sucrose (in mM: 72 sucrose, 83 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1 NaH₂PO₄, 3.3 MgSO4·7H2O, 26.2 301 302 NaHCO₃, 22 dextrose, and 0.5 CaCl₂) saturated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂, pH 7.4, 310 mOsm (Wang et al., 2015). Slices were incubated in oxygenated slicing solution for 20 303 minute, and held at room temperature for a further 40 minute prior to recording. Slices 304 were transferred to a submerged recording chamber and perfused (10 ml/min) with 305 oxygenated (95% O₂ and 5% CO₂) aCSF for 30 minute at room temperature. Whole-306 cell recordings were made from the somata of CA1 pyramidal neurons visualized using 307 an IR-DIC camera mounted on an upright Olympus microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, 308 Japan). Patch pipettes $(4-7M\Omega)$ were filled with an internal solution containing (in mM): 309 120 CsGluconate, 5 MgCl₂, 0.6 EGTA, 30 HEPES, 4 MgATP, 0.4 Na₂GTP, 10 310 phosphocreatine-Tris, 5 N-(2,6-Dimethylphenylcarbamoylmethyl)triethylammonium 311 bromide (QX-314); 290 mOsm; pH was adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH. Signal was 312 16

acquired using a Multiclamp amplifier (Multiclamp 700B; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, 313 CA) with Clampex 10 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), sampled at 10 kHz 314 and filtered at 2 kHz. Data were stored on a PC after digitization by an A/D converter 315 (Digidata 1440A, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) for offline analysis. Membrane 316 potential was corrected for the liquid junction potential of 13.7 mV. Neurons with 317 318 negative resting membrane potential less than -60 mV were not analyzed. Input resistance and patching access resistance were continuously monitored during the 319 experiment and cells which exhibited more than 15-20% changes in these parameters 320 321 were excluded from analysis.

322 In order to preserve a relatively intact neuronal circuit, no receptor antagonists were used. Spontaneous excitatory post-synaptic currents (sEPSCs) were collected at a 323 membrane holding potential of -70 mV, which is close to the calculated reverse potential 324 325 of GABA. In order to measure the excitatory and inhibitory input on the same neuron, the spontaneous inhibitory post-synaptic currents (sIPSCs) were also measured on the 326 same neuron but this time the holding potential was increased to 5-10 mV, a potential 327 close to the reverse potential of excitatory input, without visual negative deflection. 328 Recorded neuronal activities were detected as described previously (Lillis et al., 2015) 329 by custom software (DClamp: available at www.ieeg.org/?q=node/34). 330 Integrated excitatory conductance (sEPSCs, G_E) and integrated inhibitory conductance (sIPSCs, 331 G_I) were calculated as previously reported $G_E = \int_0^t \frac{sEPSCs}{t(V_M - V_{Errow})}$ and $G_I = \int_0^t \frac{sIPSCs}{t(V_M - V_{Errow})}$ 332

333 (Slomowitz et al., 2015).

334 Preparation of mouse brain homogenates and detection of APP

Certain brain slices from wild-type and APP knock-out mice were frozen immediately 335 after completion of electrophysiological recording (Figures 4, 5 and 6) and stored at -336 80°C until analyzed. Tissue (~0.1 mg) was homogenized in 5 volumes (w/v) of ice-cold 337 20 mM Tris-HCl, containing 150 mM NaCl and 1%TX-100 (TBS-Tx), pH 7.4 containing 338 protease inhibitors and centrifuged at 100,000 g and 4°C for 78 minutes in a TLA-55 339 rotor (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA). The upper 90% of the supernatant was 340 removed, aliquoted and stored at -80°C pending analysis. Ten µg of total protein was 341 boiled in 1 x sample buffer (62.5 mM Tris, 1% w/v SDS, 10% v/v glycerol, 0.01% phenol 342 red and $2\% \beta$ -mercaptoethanol) for 5 minutes and electrophoresed on hand poured, 15 343 well 10% polyacrylamide tris-glycine gels. Gels were rinsed in transfer buffer (10% 344 methanol, 192 mM Glycine and 25 mM Tris) and proteins electroblotted onto 0.2 µM 345 nitrocellulose membranes at 400 mA and 4°C for 2.5 hours. 346 Membranes were 347 developed using the anti-APP antibody, 22C11, and bands visualized using a Li-COR Odyssey infrared imaging system (Li-COR, Lincoln, NE). 348

349

350 Array tomography (AT) imaging of mouse brain slices

Upon completion of electrophysiology recordings certain brain slices from wild-type and APP knock mice (Figures 4, 5 and 6) were processed for array tomography (Koffie et al., 2009; Pickett et al., 2016). Slices were fixed in PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde and 2.5% sucrose at 4°C overnight. Samples were then washed three times (10

minutes each) in cold wash buffer (PBS containing 3.5% sucrose and 50 mM glycine), 355 and the hippocampus dissected out under a Leica Wild M3Z Stereozoom Microscope 356 (Heerbrugg, Swizerland). Thereafter hippocampi were dehydrated using an ethanol 357 gradient of: 50%, 70%, 95% and 100%. Tissue was then placed into a solution of 1:1 358 ethanol: LR White resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 5minute and then washed 3 359 times with LR White. Tissue was incubated overnight at 4°C in LR White, embedded in 360 a gelatin capsule and polymerized overnight at 53°C. Three embedded blocks per 361 condition were cut into ribbons of 70 nm sections on an ultracut microtome (Leica) using 362 a Jumbo Histo Diamond Knife (Diatome). Ribbons were collected on gelatin-coated 363 glass coverslips, stained with antibodies and imaged along the ribbon. Two ribbons per 364 slice were collected and one was stained for PSD95 and 1C22 and the other for 365 synapsin-1 and 1C22. Primary antibodies were 1C22 (1:50), rabbit anti-PSD95 (3450P, 366 Cell Signaling, at 1:50), and rabbit anti-synapsin-1 (AB1543P, Millipore, at 1:100). 367 Secondary antibodies donkey anti-mouse 488 (A21202) and donkey anti-rabbit 594 368 (A21207) were from Invitrogen and used at 1:50. 369

370 Two image stacks per ribbon were collected from the stratum radiatum using a Zeiss axio Imager Z2 epifluorescent microscope with a 63X 1.4NA Plan Apochromat objective. 371 Images were acquired with a CoolSnap digital camera and AxioImager software with 372 array tomography macros (Carl Zeiss, Ltd, Cambridge UK). Images from each set of 373 serial sections were compiled to create a 3D stack and aligned using ImageJ 374 multistackreg macros (Kay et al., 2013). Regions of interest (10 µm x 10 µm) were 375 selected, cropped and thresholded in Image J (Schindelin et al., 2012; Ollion et al., 376 2013) (Figure 1). Custom MATLAB macros were used to remove single slice punctuate, 377

count synaptic punctuate and assess co-localization with 1C22 (a minimum of 50% 378 overlap between 1C22 and synaptic punctuate was required to be designated as co-379 All localization). analysis will freely available 380 custom macros be on http://datashare.is.ed.ac.uk after publication. 381

382

383 Data analysis and Statistical test

Figures showing IP/WB and MSD Aβ immunoassay data are representative of at least 2 384 independent experiments. For electrophysiological experiments, the AD, ID-AD and 385 aCSF samples were coded and tested in an interleaved manner to avoid variances in 386 animals or slice quality influencing results. Slices in each group came from different 387 388 animals unless otherwise noted. Electrophysiological data were analyzed offline by pclamp 10.2 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and tested with One-way or Two-way 389 analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni post-hoc tests or student's t-tests (# 390 p<0.05, ## p< 0.01, and ### p< 0.001). A Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) test was used to 391 compute differences in distributions of sEPSCs and sIPSCs. Array tomography was 392 analyzed using SPSS Version 22. A single percent co-localization for each parameter 393 was calculated for each slice from approximately 41 regions of interest and ≈7,500 394 synapses (~3,500 pre-synapses and ~3,500 post-synapses) were analyzed per slice 395 and tested with a Kruskal-Wallis with Dunns post-hoc test. Electrophysiology data are 396 shown as means ± SEM. Array tomography data is shown as medians ± the 397 interguartile range, each point representing all synapses measured within 1 slice. 398

- 399 Analyses of the same sample using different slices are considered technical replicates
- and analysis of extracts from different AD brains are considered biological replicates.

402 **Results**

We previously reported that aqueous extracts of certain end-stage AD brains block hippocampal LTP *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Shankar et al., 2008; Barry et al., 2011; Freir et al., 2011; Jo et al., 2014). Here we further investigated the mechanism of this effect and the requirement of endogenous APP.

407

The water-soluble extract from AD brain contains both Aβ monomers and oligomers and blocks LTP in a manner dependent on Aβ

Brain extracts were prepared as described and a portion was immunodepleted (ID) of 410 411 Aβ or mock-ID with pre-immune rabbit serum. Here, the mock-ID extract is referred to as the AD sample, and the material depleted of A β as ID-AD. ID and AD samples from 412 AD1 were analyzed using IP/WB, and MSD immunoassays that preferentially recognize 413 414 either Aβ oligomers (oAssay) or Aβ42 monomers (Mc Donald et al., 2015). IP/WB analysis allows the capture of A^β structures under native conditions and their detection 415 following denaturing SDS-PAGE. We were careful to also determine if AW7 altered 416 APP metabolites present in AD brain extracts that contained all or part of the AB 417 sequence i.e. APPs α , N-terminally extended A β (-31A β 40), or so-called A η peptides. 418 To this end AW7 IPs were used for Western blotting with (i) the C-terminal anti-Aß 419 antibodies, 2G3 and 21F12, and (ii) the N-terminal anti-A β antibody, 6E10. The latter, 420 but not the former, reacts with APPs α and An- α peptides (Portelius et al., 2013; Welzel 421 et al., 2014; Willem et al., 2015). 6E10 readily detected ~4 kDa Aβ, but it did not detect 422

any bands consistent with APPs α or A η - α . Furthermore, direct Western blot analysis of 423 AD brain extract demonstrated highly similar levels of APPs in both AW7 and mock 424 immunodepleted extracts. Thus, it appears that AW7 does not deplete AD extracts of 425 426 non-A β APP metabolites that contain the N-terminal portion of A β (Figure 2A). The ~7 427 kDa Aβ species detected with 2G3 and 21F12 was not detected with 6E10, consistent with our prior observation that most \sim 7 kDa A β is N-terminally truncated - a pattern we 428 429 have seen in aqueous extracts of more than 100 AD brains analyzed in our laboratory 430 (Mc Donald et al., 2015). Since SDS-PAGE is highly denaturing, the ~4 and ~7 kDa 431 species do not necessarily reflect native A β species. Rather, these simply indicate that at least two different Aβ species are present. The same samples were treated with or 432 433 without 5 M GuHCl and then analyzed using MSD assays. In prior studies we found 434 that GuHCl effectively disaggregates high molecular weight Aβ species such that the signal detected by our oAssay is greatly decreased, whereas the signal detected by the 435 monomer-preferring A\betax-42 immunoassay is proportionately increased (Mably et al., 436 2015). A similar outcome was evident when the extract of AD1 was treated with GuHCI 437 (Figure 2B). Specifically, GuHCI treatment caused a ~70% decrease in the oligomer 438 signal and a more than 8-fold increase in the monomer signal. Together these 439 immunoassay and IP/WB results indicate that the majority of A^β in the AD1 extract exist 440 441 as labile aggregates made up of ~4 kDa A\beta and ~7 kDa A\beta. Importantly, AW7 ID effectively removed the large majority of the various Aß species detected (Figure 2A 442 and B). For instance, AW7 ID reduced the oligomer signal from 5.1 ± 0.03 ng/ml to 0.32 443 \pm 0.12 ng/ml (Figure 2B, left panel) and monomer from 3.42 \pm 0.03 ng/ml to 0.12 \pm 0.04 444 ng/ml (Figure 2B, right panel). 445

For slices that received vehicle aCSF-B (Control), TBS induced strong potentiation 446 which lasted the whole recording period (Figure 2C, black circles, 181.1 \pm 10.7 %, n =447 17), and ID-AD1 allowed a similar response (green downward triangles, $173.6 \pm 8.7 \%$, 448 n = 11, p=0.12, One-Way ANOVA) (Figure 2C and D). Consistent with prior reports 449 (Shankar et al., 2008; Freir et al., 2011), application of the AD1 (magenta diamonds) 450 extract significantly decreased LTP compared to both the control and ID-AD1 treatment 451 $(136 \pm 4.2 \%, n = 18, F=4.26, p=6.98E-9 AD1 vs. Control; F=4.14, p=3.56E-12 AD1 vs.$ 452 ID-AD1, One-Way ANOVA). The fact that the ID-AD1 and AD1 samples are identical 453 except that the latter contains more AB than the former, is evidence that some form of 454 Aβ is responsible for the block of LTP induced by the AD1 extract. To further test the 455 Aß dependency of the block of LTP mediated by AD1, we examined whether an extract 456 from a non-AD brain (NC), could impair LTP. As anticipated, the NC extract lacked 457 appreciable levels of A β (not shown) and did not impair LTP (Figure 2E and F, 159.54 ± 458 10.6 % in NC, n = 8; 160.36 ± 6.26 % in Control, n = 8; F=4.6, p=0.95 One-Way 459 460 ANOVA).

461

462 **A**β-containing AD brain extract affects pre-synaptic release probabilities

Accumulating evidence indicates that soluble Aβ species may interact with excitatory
and inhibitory pre-synaptic terminals, modulate neurotransmitter release and cause
synaptic dysfunction in the very early stages of AD (Nimmrich et al., 2008; Abramov et
al., 2009; Kabogo et al., 2010; Parodi et al., 2010; Russell et al., 2012; Sokolow et al.,
2012; Huang et al., 2013; Ripoli et al., 2013; Kurudenkandy et al., 2014). Although the

effects of Aβ on LTP are well established (Klyubin et al., 2012), little is known about 468 whether and how Aβ-containing AD extracts affect pre- and post-synaptic elements. To 469 investigate effects on pre-synaptic release, we measured short-term synaptic facilitation 470 (Zucker and Regehr, 2002) in slices before and 30 minutes after treatment with AD1 471 extract. As synapse release probability is inversely correlated to synaptic facilitation 472 (Zucker and Regehr, 2002), we employed high-frequency burst stimulation (5 pulses 473 with 20 millisecond intra-burst stimulus interval). Application of AD1 extract induced a 474 reduction in the short-term facilitation during burst stimulation (Figure 3A and B). When 475 responses were normalized based on the ratio of each fEPSP to the first response, we 476 found that treatment with AD1 extract had no effect on the 2nd response, but significantly 477 decreased the 3rd, 4th, and 5th response (magenta circles, *p*=0.02 at 3rd stimulation, 478 p=0.004 at 4th stimulation and p=0.004 at 5th stimulation, n = 6, student's *t*-test, and also 479 by group and time with Two-way ANOVA, $F_{(4,7)}$ =6.39, p=0.006) (Figure 3B). In contrast, 480 the slices treated with ID-AD1 yielded a pattern highly similar to that obtained with 481 aCSF-B control (n = 7, F=5.32, p=0.91, Two-Way ANOVA, Figure 3C and D). Thus, A β 482 in the AD extract caused a reduction in short-term synaptic plasticity associated with 483 increased pre-synaptic glutamate release. 484

485

486 **Aβ-containing AD brain extract disrupts the excitation-to-inhibition balance**

To estimate the effect of Aβ on the total synaptic input at the single-neuron level, we
used whole-cell voltage clamp recordings to measure spontaneous excitatory
postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs) on CA1 pyramidal neurons before and 30 minutes after

addition of AD extract. The holding potential was kept constant at -70 mV and sEPSCs 490 measured before and 30 minutes after addition of AD1 extract – this 30 minutes interval 491 was chosen to match the pre-incubation time used in our LTP and short-term facilitation 492 experiments. Application of the AD1 extract significantly decreased the inter-event 493 interval (p=1.65E-6, K-S test) and increased the mean frequency of sEPSCs (from 1.8 ± 494 0.2 Hz to 2.7 \pm 0.3 Hz, p=0.02, n = 7, student's *t*-test) (Figure 4A and B), but did not 495 alter the sEPSCs amplitude (mean amplitude from 11.7 ± 1.8 pA to 10.1 ± 1.6 pA, 496 p=0.65, n = 7, student's *t*-test) (Figure 4A and C). In contrast, the ID-AD1 sample had 497 no effect on the frequency or the amplitude of sEPSCs (mean frequency: from 2.2 ± 0.5 498 Hz to 2.3 \pm 0.7 Hz, mean amplitude: from 9.7 \pm 1.7 pA to 10.2 \pm 1.4 pA, p=0.45, n = 6, 499 student's *t*-test) (Figure 4D–F). These results indicate that the AD brain-derived AB 500 significantly augments excitatory synaptic input on CA1 pyramidal neurons. 501

502 Pyramidal neurons receive both excitatory (sEPSCs) and inhibitory (sIPSCs) inputs, GABAergic axon terminals more easily form synapses with perisomtatic regions of 503 pyramidal cells and strongly influence the output of neurons (DeFelipe, 2002; Garcia-504 Marin et al., 2009). To record sIPSCs on the same neurons, we adjusted the holding 505 potential to 5 mV, a voltage close to the calculated sEPSCs reverse potential. As 506 shown in Figure 4G–I, the AD1 sample significantly increased inter-event intervals 507 (p=6.19E-6, K-S test) and decreased the frequency of sIPSCs (from 4.7 ± 0.7 Hz to 3.1 508 \pm 0.7 Hz, p=0.008, n = 7, student's *t*-test), without altering sIPSCs amplitude (from 14.8) 509 510 \pm 1.4 pA to 14.2 \pm 0.9 pA, p=0.75, n = 7, student's *t*-test). In contrast, the ID-AD1 sample had no effect on sIPSCs (frequency: from 5.3 \pm 0.4 Hz to 4.8 \pm 0.7 Hz, 511 amplitude: from 13.6 \pm 1.6 pA to 13.2 \pm 2.1 pA, p=0.21, n = 6, student's *t*-test) (Figure 512 26

4J-L). These results revealed that brain-derived Aβ significantly reduces GABAergic
input on CA1 pyramidal cells.

To assess whether the changes of excitatory input (E) and inhibitory input (I) to the 515 same neuron affect the E/I balance of that neuron, we calculated the integrated 516 conductance of sEPSCs and sIPSCs over a 5 minutes period (Figure 4M). Comparison 517 of the integrated conductance before and 30 minutes after AD1 sample application 518 revealed E was increased ~3 fold and I was decreased ~50%, consequently, the E/I 519 balance was increased ~6 fold (n = 7) (Figure 4N). These results show that AD brain-520 derived A_β oppositely affects excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmission, causing an 521 522 increase in the E/I ratio. These changes, especially the reduction of GABAergic tone on individual neurons, may contribute to neuronal hyperactivity and disturb network 523 homeostasis, thereby perturbing LTP (Wang et al., 2014; Gillespie et al., 2016). 524

525

526 Genetic ablation of APP occludes the effects of Aβ on LTP and pre-synaptic 527 activity and normalizes the E/I balance

528 Multiple lines of evidence suggest that the APP may play a role in both GABAergic and 529 glutamatergic neurotransmission (Bai et al., 2008; Kabogo et al., 2010; Pliassova et al., 530 2016; Schwenk et al., 2016) and separate studies impute a link between A β and APP 531 (Lorenzo et al., 2000; Fogel et al., 2014; Kirouac et al., 2017). Thus, having found that 532 brain-derived A β acts on pre-synapses and modulates both GABA and glutamate 533 transmission, we investigated if APP was required for these effects. For this, we 534 employed mice null for APP (Figure 5A). In agreement with prior reports, brain slices

from APP KO and WT littermate mice exhibited similar levels of basal activity (p=0.19, 535 One-Way ANOVA) and LTP (Figure 5B and C) (Dawson et al., 1999; Jedlicka et al., 536 2012). In both WT and APP KO slices treated with the aCSF-B control, TBS induced 537 strong potentiation which lasted the whole recording period (158.1 \pm 6.3 % in WT, n =538 11, black circles; 151.2 \pm 8.5 % in APP KO, n = 9, gray hexagons; F=4.4, p=0.79, 539 comparison of the last 10 minutes of recording using One-Way ANOVA) (Figure 5C and 540 D). In agreement with experiments shown in Figure 2, addition of AD1 extract to WT 541 slices significantly decreased LTP compared to addition of aCSF-B (121.8 ± 5.4 % in 542 WT + AD1, magenta diamonds, n = 7, F=4.5, p=0.0005, WT Ctr vs. WT + AD1, One-543 Way ANOVA). However, application of the same extract to slices from APP KO mice 544 had no effect on LTP, with the level of LTP in APP KOs indistinguishable from that of 545 WT or APP KO treated with aCSF-B control (145.4 ± 4.2 % in APP KO + AD1, pink 546 upward triangles, F=4.5, p=0.41, APP KO Ctr vs. APP KO + AD1; One-Way ANOVA). 547 Similarly, when applied to APP KO brain slices, AD1 extract had no effect on short-term 548 facilitation (Figure 5E and F). 549

550 To assess the generalizability of the rescue of LTP by APP ablation, we tested the 551 effect of an extract from a second AD brain (AD2) on another APP KO mouse line (Zheng et al., 1995). As with the AD1 extract (Figure 2), the AD2 and ID-AD2 extracts 552 were characterized by IP/WB and ELISA. The profiles obtained for AD2 (Figure 6A) 553 were similar to those of AD1 (Figure 2A), except AD2 contained relatively more ~7 kDa 554 species than AD1 (Figure 6 A and B). As seen with the first APP KO line tested (Figure 555 5), brain slices from the second APP KO line (which we refer to as Zheng APP KOs) 556 (Zheng et al., 1995) exhibited similar levels of basal activity as slices from wild type 557 28

mice (F=4.6, *p*=0.91, One-Way ANOVA) (Figure 6E and F). When AD2 extract was applied to slices from WT mice it blocked LTP in an A β -dependent fashion (184.1 ± 7.7 % in WT Ctr, black circles, *n* = 12; 137.1 ± 7.2 % in WT + AD2, magenta diamonds, *n* = 12; F=4.96, *p*=0.0001, One-Way ANOVA), but had no effect on LTP elicited from APP KO mice (175.8 ± 9 % in APP KO Ctr, gray hexagons, *n* = 11; 169.9 ± 4 % in APP KO + AD2, pink upward triangles, *n* = 12; F=5.12, *p*=0.56, One-Way ANOVA) (Figure 7A and B).

To further examine whether the APP-dependent block of LTP by AD brain extracts was 565 indeed mediated by A β and not some other AW7-reactive material, we tested if the well-566 established block of LTP mediated by ADDLs (Lambert et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2002; 567 Lauren et al., 2009; Freir et al., 2011) also required expression of APP. ADDLs were 568 prepared as described previously and then assessed using SEC and EM (Figure 6C 569 570 and D). The ADDL preparation contained a mixture of A β aggregates and a small amount of monomer (Figure 6C and D). When applied to brain slices from WT mice, 571 ADDLs (200 nM) blocked LTP (188.9 \pm 11.5 % in WT Ctr, black circles, n = 8; 123.8 \pm 6 % 572 in WT + ADDLs, magenta diamonds, n = 6; F=4.75, p=0.0007, One-Way ANOVA), but 573 had no effect on LTP elicited from APP KO slices (181.5 ± 15 % in APP KO Ctr, gray 574 hexagons, n = 7; 168.1 ± 10 % in APP KO + ADDLs, pink upward triangles, n = 7; 575 F=4.85, p=0.07, One-Way ANOVA) (Figure 7C and D). Thus, it appears that the well-576 documented plasticity-disrupting activity of both Aß extracted from AD brains (Klyubin et 577 al., 2008; Shankar et al., 2008; Barry et al., 2011; Freir et al., 2011; Klyubin et al., 2012) 578

and synthetic Aβ (Lambert et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2002; Lauren et al., 2009; Freir et
al., 2011) require expression of APP.

To investigate whether APP is necessary for the effect of A β on the E/I balance (Figure 581 3), we studied the effects of A β on sEPSCs and sIPSCs in brains of Zheng APP KO and 582 WT littermate mice (Figure 8). When applied to WT slices, AD1 extract again increased 583 mean sEPSC frequency (from 2.2 \pm 0.1 Hz to 3.4 \pm 0.2 Hz, p=0.003, n = 5, student's t-584 test) and decreased inter-event intervals (p=6.34E-15, K-S test), without altering the 585 amplitude of sEPSCs (mean amplitude: 17.8 ± 0.4 pA vs. 18 ± 1.5 pA, p=0.32, n = 5, 586 student's t-test) (Figure 8A-C); and on the same neuron decreased mean sIPSCs 587 frequency (from 4.2 \pm 0.8 Hz to 2.7 \pm 0.4 Hz, p=0.006, n = 5, student's t-test) and 588 increased inter-event intervals (p=9.44E-20, K-S test), but not amplitude (mean 589 amplitude from 20 \pm 3 pA to 19.3 \pm 1.3 pA, p=0.34, n = 5, student's *t*-test) (Figure 8D-F). 590 591 These results, which were obtained with WT mice from an entirely different colony as those used in Figure 2, nicely demonstrate the robustness of the Aβ effect (See Figure 592 4 vs. Figure 8). Most importantly, when AD1 extract was applied to Zheng APP KO 593 slices there was no change in the frequency or amplitude of sEPSCs (mean frequency: 594 from 2.6 \pm 0.1 Hz to 2.7 \pm 0.4 Hz, mean amplitude: from 15 \pm 1.4 pA to 14.6 \pm 0.5 pA, 595 p=0.14, K-S test; p=0.26, n = 6, student's *t*-test) (Figure 8G-I). Similarly, sIPSCs were 596 also unchanged (mean frequency: from 3.5 ± 0.5 Hz to 3.5 ± 0.3 Hz, mean amplitude: 597 from 16.7 ± 1 pA to 16.4 ± 1.6 pA, p=0.58, K-S test; p=0.25, n = 6, student's *t*-test 598 (Figure 8J-L). Thus, as with our LTP experiments (Figures 5 and 7), ablation of APP 599 completely rescued the effects of Aβ on excitatory and inhibitory input on CA1 pyramidal 600 neurons. Further, since APP KO occluded A_β alterations on the E and I input at 601 30

individual neurons, it also prevented Aβ-mediated changes in the integrated 602 conductance of sEPSCs and sIPSCs (Figure 8M). When AD1 extract was applied to 603 WT slices, E increased ~3-fold and I decreased ~44%, resulting in ~5.8-fold increase in 604 the E/I ratio. However, APP KO significantly prevented those E/I ratio changes 605 (p=0.001, E/I in WT vs. E/I in APP KO, One-Way ANOVA) (Figure 8M). These results 606 indicate that APP plays an important role in regulating the acute effects of AB on 607 excitatory and inhibitory pre-synaptic release, and consequent maintenance of network 608 homeostasis. 609

610

611 **Aβ binding to synapses requires APP**

To further investigate the targeting of synaptic elements by A β and how this might be 612 613 influenced by APP we used a powerful high-resolution microscopic technique, array tomography (AT), to search for evidence of A β binding to synapses in the same brain 614 slices used in our electrophysiology experiments. Upon completion of LTP recording, 615 certain slices from the treatment groups used in Figures 2C and 5C were immediately 616 fixed, processed and used for AT. Sections were stained with 1C22 - the same 617 aggregate-preferring antibody (Mably et al., 2015; Pickett et al., 2016) used in our 618 oAssay, anti-synapsin-1 (for pre-synapses) and anti-PSD95 (for post-synapses). 619 Approximately 7,000 synapses (~3,500 pre-synapses and ~3,500 post-synapses) per 620 slice were analyzed for a total of 100,359 pre-synapses and 99,075 post-synapses. AT 621 revealed, significant anti-Aß staining at synapse of slices incubated with AD1 extract, 622 with only background staining in samples incubated with aCSF controls and ID-AD1 623

(Figure 9A-C; Kruskal Wallis test for synapsin-1 ($\chi^2_{(4)}$ =10.844, *p*=0.028), Kruskal Wallis 624 test for PSD95 ($\chi^2_{(4)}$ =11.583, p=0.021)). In slices incubated with AD1 extract 1.27 ± 625 0.47% of pre-synapses and 0.58 ± 0.19% of post-synapses stained with 1C22, whereas 626 in slices that had been incubated with aCSF, only 0.0076 ± 0.013% of pre-synapses 627 and 0.0184 ± 0.087% of post-synapses were 1C22 positive (Dunns post-hoc between 628 AD and control for pre-synapses p=0.024 and for post-synapses p=0.010). Slices 629 incubated with extracts immunodepeleted of A^β exhibited similar background staining 630 with 1C22 as the aCSF control (Figure 9A-C). Thus, the same treatment with AD1 631 extract that disrupts synaptic plasticity in an A β -dependent fashion (Figures 2 and 4) 632 also leads to A_β binding to synapses (Figure 9A-C). Moreover, the finding that A_β is 633 present at more pre-synapses than post-synapses (Mann-Whitney U between AD pre-634 synapses and AD post-synapses U=0, p=0.004) is consistent with our results that 635 suggest a pre-synaptic effect of A β (Figures 4 and 8), and with preliminary experiments 636 using an antibody to another pre-synaptic marker, synaptophysin. 637

The number of Aβ positive synapses detected here is much lower than the amount of 638 Aß observed at synapses when synthetic oligomers are applied to cultured hippocampal 639 neurons (Lacor et al., 2004; Lacor et al., 2007). However, the current paradigm, of 640 applying soluble AD brain extract to intact mouse brain slices is more relevant to the in 641 *vivo* situation than model systems in which A β is applied directly and at high 642 concentrations to dissociated neurons (Lacor et al., 2004; Lacor et al., 2007). Indeed, it 643 is noteworthy that the percentage of synapses positive for AB in the current study are 644 consistent with our findings in APP transgenic mouse brain where we observed 645 approximately 1% of postsynaptic densities (PSDs) positive for A^β distant from plagues 646 32

(Koffie et al., 2009). Similarly, in human AD brain at sites distant from plaques, we
detected Aβ at 0.6% of PSDs and 0.5% of pre-synaptic terminals (Koffie et al., 2012).
Thus, at disease relevant concentrations sufficient to disrupt plasticity, synaptic Aβ
binding occurs at levels similar to that observed in human AD brain.

Importantly, when brain slices from APP KO mice were incubated with AD1 extract, little 651 or no synaptic 1C22 staining was detected (Figure 9A-C). These results are notable 652 since expression of APP was found to be required for Aβ-mediated disruption of both 653 long-term plasticity (Figures 5 and 7) and neurotransmitter release (Figure 8). In sum, 654 our AT data are completely congruent with the results of our electrophysiological 655 experiments and indicate that expression of APP is required for the binding and 656 subsequent plasticity-disrupting effects of AB, and that these effects are largely 657 mediated on the pre-synapse. 658

659

660 APP mediates binding of synaptotoxic Aβ to brain cells

We reasoned that if synaptotoxic forms of Aβ bound to APP or to an APP containing complex then it should be possible to pre-treat bioactive extracts with a source of APP to deplete the extract of activity. One possible approach would be to add exogenous recombinant APP, but APP is a transmembrane protein and its expression outside of a membrane environment in the absence of proper post-translational modifications precludes its use. Instead, we pre-incubated AD2 extract with either APP-containing (WT) or APP lacking (KO) brain slices (Figure 10A). When AD2 was pre-incubated with

WT brain slices and then applied to a fresh WT brain slice it was no longer capable of 668 blocking LTP (216.4 \pm 26 % in WT slices + AD2, green upward triangles, n = 6; F=4.96, 669 p=0.82, One-Way ANOVA) (Figure 10B and C). In contrast, when AD2 extract was pre-670 incubated with APP KO slices and then applied to a fresh WT brain slice, the AD2 671 extract retained its ability to block LTP (210.3 \pm 15 % in WT Ctr, black circles, n = 6; 672 146.8 ± 5.4 % in APP KO +AD2, magenta diamonds, n = 6; F=4.96, p=0.003, One-Way 673 ANOVA, Figure 10B and C). These results are entirely consistent with our array 674 tomography experiments and provide further evidence that APP enables synaptotoxic 675 forms of A β to bind to and perturb neurons. 676

677

678 Aβ-containing AD brain extract partially blocks LTP in APP hemizygous brain 679 slices

To further investigate the requirement of APP for A^β synaptotoxicity, we tested the 680 effect of AD2 extract on brain slices from APP hemizygous mice. APP expressing wild 681 type C57BL/6 were bred with Zheng APP KO mice and the hemizygous progeny used 682 for LTP experiments. Hemizygous mice express 50% as much APP as WT mice 683 (Figure 11A) and exhibit similar levels of basal activity relative to slices from wild type 684 mice (F=4.6, p=0.75, One-Way ANOVA) (Figure 11B). The control level of LTP was 685 also similar in WT and hemizygous brain slices (187.85 ± 5.63 % in WT Ctr, black 686 circles, n = 9; 189.69 ± 7.19 % in hemizygous brain slices, gray hexagons, n = 9; 687 F=4.45, p=0.84, One-Way ANOVA) (Figure 11C and D). When AD2 extract was 688 applied to slices from WT mice it blocked LTP to an extent comparable to that seen in 689
previous experiments (compare Figure 11C and D versus Figure 7A and B) (187.85 ± 690 5.63 % in WT Ctr, black circles, n = 9; 136.93 ± 3.14 % in WT + AD2, magenta 691 diamonds, n = 10; F=4.5, p=2.67E-007, One-Way ANOVA). Similarly, AD2 extract 692 impaired LTP in APP hemizygous mice (189.69 ± 7.19 % in APP +/- Ctr, gray hexagons, 693 n = 9; 154.83 ± 6 % in APP +/- AD2, pink upward triangles, n = 10; F=4.49, p=0.003, 694 One-Way ANOVA) (Figure 11C and D). Although the extent of the block in hemizygous 695 slices was somewhat reduced compared WT slices (F=4.45, p=0.84, Control in WT 696 mice vs. Control in APP +/- mice; F=4.5, p=2.67E-007, Control vs. AD2 in WT mice; 697 F=4.49, p=0.003, Control vs. AD2 in WT mice; One-Way ANOVA), the effect of AD2 698 extract on hemizygous brain slices stands in contrast to its lack of effect on APP KO 699 The partial attenuation of A β synaptotoxicity in APP 700 slices (Figure 7A and B). 701 hemizygous brain indicates a gene-dose effect, such that 50% of the normal level of APP is sufficient to mediate some block of LTP, but not the full block of LTP seen in 702 APP WT mice. Further studies will be required to determine the minimal reduction in 703 APP levels that allows full protection against the plasticity disrupting effects of Aβ. 704

707 To better understand how A^β disrupts synaptic plasticity we combined the use of the most disease relevant form of AB, material extracted from human AD brain, with 708 electrophysiological approaches and high-resolution microscopy. Consistent with prior 709 studies, we show that extracts from the brains of individuals who died with AD block 710 LTP (Shankar et al., 2008; Barry et al., 2011; Freir et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2017). We 711 further show that, concomitant with the block of LTP, there is an increase in pre-712 synaptic release and disruption of E/I balance. In accord with these synaptic effects of 713 A β , we demonstrate that exogenously applied AD brain-derived A β binds to synapses, 714 715 with more A β oligomers detected on pre-synapses than on the post-synapses. Our finding that treatment with brain-derived A^β enhances excitatory drive agrees well with 716 studies showing that aggregated forms of synthetic Aß increase EPSPs, action 717 718 potentials, and membrane depolarizations (Hartley et al., 1999; Minkeviciene et al., 2009; Kurudenkandy et al., 2014). Our study is unique in that we employed brain-719 derived AB, and that the concentration of this material was much lower than the 720 721 synthetic $A\beta$ used in prior studies. In support of the strength of this experimental paradigm, the levels of synaptic A β we observe in this study are very similar to those 722 observed with array tomography in our studies of human AD brain (Koffie et al., 2012). 723

The apparent paradox that ectopic application of A β causes a net increase in excitation, yet impairs LTP may result because of glutamate spillover and activation of extra- or perisynaptic NR2B-enriched NMDARs, which play a major role in LTD induction (Li et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2016). In such a scenario, synaptic depression may result from an initial increase in synaptic activation of NMDARs by glutamate, followed by synaptic 36 NMDAR desensitization, NMDAR/AMPAR internalization, and activation of
extrasynaptic NMDARs and mGluRs (Born et al., 2014). However, it is unclear why
ablation of APP could recover such effects.

An alternative explanation that accounts for a role for APP in the impairment of post-732 synaptic efficacy is that exogenous AD brain-derived soluble aggregates and 733 734 endogenously produced monomer have differential effects. A β is known to be released in an activity-dependent manner (Kamenetz et al., 2003; Cirrito et al., 2005), whereas 735 elevated A_β levels result in depressed glutamatergic synaptic transmission and 736 glutamate receptor endocytosis (Kamenetz et al., 2003; Hsieh et al., 2006). Thus, it is 737 plausible that the increase in glutamate release induced by soluble A β aggregates may 738 also lead to an increase in *de novo* A^β monomer production and this in turn may 739 depress post-synaptic activity. Such a scenario would necessarily require expression of 740 endogenous APP and explain why ablation of APP can obviate the block of LTP caused 741 by brain-derived soluble A β aggregates. The fact that A β treated APP hemizygous 742 slices exhibited an attenuated block is consistent with a partial reduction in the amount 743 of endogenous A β . With regard to the protection of LTP upon ablation of APP, it is 744 745 important to emphasize the robust nature and generalizability of this phenomenon. We observed the same protection using two different APP KO mouse lines (Zheng et al., 746 1995; Callahan et al., 2017), extracts from 2 different AD brains, and synthetic AB 747 748 oligometric solution AD extracts blocked LTP in an A β -dependent manner when applied to wild type mouse brain slices, but the same AD extracts had no effect on LTP elicited 749 from APP KO slices. Moreover, the extent of A β binding to synapses was similar in two 750

different sources of wild type mice (Figure 7B and C), and the pattern observed was
reminiscent of that seen in AD brain (Pickett et al., 2016).

There is evidence that APP can act as a receptor for Aβ (Melchor and Van Nostrand, 753 2000; Van Nostrand et al., 2002; Yankner and Lu, 2009; Fogel et al., 2014; Kirouac et 754 al., 2017) and that APP may mediate increased excitatory drive (Fogel et al., 2014). 755 Specifically, AB was unable to promote aberrant neurotransmitter release in the 756 absence of APP (Fogel et al., 2014). Our findings that binding of soluble A β aggregates 757 to synapses requires expression of APP and that synaptotoxic A β can be bound by APP 758 expressing, but not APP lacking brain tissue, are consistent, but not proof, that APP 759 may act as a receptor for A β . In this regard, it is worth noting that APP is known to both 760 regulate L-type calcium channels in GABAergic neurons, interact with the pore-forming 761 subunit Cav1.2 (Yang et al., 2009), and is a member of the GABA_B-R receptor complex 762 (Schwenk et al., 2016). In addition, there is evidence from proteomic studies indicating 763 that APP interacts with more than 30 different proteins including proteins key to synaptic 764 vesicle turnover (Kohli et al., 2012; Del Prete et al., 2014; Lassek et al., 2014; Wilhelm 765 et al., 2014), and proteins (such as the prion protein) implicated in binding A β (Bai et al., 766 2008; Lauren et al., 2009). Thus, A β could exert an APP-dependent effect either by 767 directly binding to APP or binding to protein complexes of which APP is a component 768 and stabilizing member. The APP gene-dose dependent response to $A\beta$ that we 769 770 observed is equally compatible with direct or indirect binding to APP.

So far we have considered the effects of $A\beta$ on synapses and a single hippocampal pathway (the *Schaffer Collateral*), but $A\beta$ is also thought to have network-wide effects (Palop and Mucke, 2010). For instance, $A\beta$ -induced increases in excitatory network

774 activity could lead to synaptic depression through homeostatic mechanisms. It is well established that acute treatment of primary neurons with bicuculline (a GABAA 775 antagonist) increases overall neuronal activity and firing rates (Vertkin et al., 2015). 776 However, after a few days, neuronal activity returns to control levels. By analogy, it is 777 reasonable that the disruption of E/I balance seen with our acute Aβ treatment may also 778 779 cause both short-term local and long-lasting network effects. Given the fact that AB treatment increases excitatory drive and decreases inhibitory drive, and that GABA-780 ergic interneurons express high levels of APP in DG (Wang et al., 2014; Del Turco et al., 781 2016) it is tempting to speculate that Aβ-mediated disruption of GABA-ergic 782 interneurons may play a critical role in the cognitive impairment that occurs early in AD 783 (Gillespie et al., 2016). Clearly, further studies will be required to delineate the influence 784 of APP on both network regulation and other forms of synaptic plasticity, such as LTD. 785

Considerable data from the study of APP transgenics implicate impairment of 786 GABAergic interneurons as central to the network disturbances evident in these models 787 (Busche and Konnerth, 2015; Palop and Mucke, 2016). However, the unphysiological 788 expression of high levels of APP and the concomitant release of Aβ from the expressed 789 transgene make it difficult to differentiate between effects mediated by $A\beta$ versus APP, 790 or non-Aβ APP metabolites (Melnikova et al., 2013; Born et al., 2014; Fowler et al., 791 2014). Nonetheless, growing evidence suggests that GABAergic interneurons play a 792 793 prominent role in homeostatic regulation of hippocampal networks, and there is compelling proteomic and physiological data that link APP and GABA_{B1a}-R (Wang et al., 794 2014; Gillespie et al., 2016; Schwenk et al., 2016). Consequently, further investigations 795 on how AB effects GABAB-R expression, GABAB-R-APP interactions and whether 796

797 GABA_B-R KOs are resistant to A β are merited and may lead to a pharmacological means to attenuate Aβ synaptotoxicity. Similarly, modulation of APP expression may 798 also offer therapeutic potential. However, while our results demonstrate that ablation of 799 APP in brain slices from young (2-3 months) mice protects against the acute 800 synaptotoxicity of Aβ, widespread knock-out of APP is not recommended. APP appears 801 to be involved in many physiological processes (Yang et al., 2009; Muller and Zheng, 802 2012; Del Prete et al., 2014; Lassek et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014) and aged APP null 803 mice exhibit hypersensitivity to kainate-induced seizures (Steinbach et al., 1998), 804 altered exploratory behavior, deficits in spatial memory, and impairment of LTP 805 (Dawson et al., 1999; Seabrook et al., 1999; Ring et al., 2007). No such deficits have 806 been reported in APP hemizygous mice. Thus, it may be possible to down regulate APP 807 expression so as to maintain normal function, yet attenuate AB synaptotoxicity. 808 However, hemizygous reduction of APP allows only partial protection against the 809 plasticity disrupting effects of AB, and further studies will be required to determine the 810 minimal reduction in APP levels that allows a more fulsome protection. 811

813 Figure Legends

814

Figure 1. Processing of array tomography images.

Fields of 10 μ m by 10 μ m are cropped from an image stack, these are then made into binary stacks in image J and processed in MATLAB to remove objects not found in serial slices. Scale bar is 2 μ m. Figure 2. The water-soluble extract of AD brain, but not normal control,
 contains both Aβ monomers and oligomers and perturbs long-term synaptic
 plasticity.

(A) Aqueous extract of AD1 was treated with either pre-immune rabbit serum or with 822 AW7 antiserum. Portions of the mock immunodepleted sample (AD1, magenta) and the 823 AW7 immunodepleted sample (ID-AD1, green) were then analyzed by IP/WB, using 824 AW7 for IP and a combination of 2G3 and 21F12 (left panel), or 6E10 (right panel) for 825 WB. **M** denotes A β monomer and * indicates a broad smear ~7–8 kDa. Synthetic A β 1-826 42, -31A β 40 and A η - α each at 2 ng/lane were used as controls. As expected 6E10 827 detected all 3 synthetic peptides, whereas 2G3/21F12 detected A_β1-42, -31A_β40 but 828 not A_{$\eta-\alpha$}. Only non-specific (NS) bands were detected above 16kDa marker. (B) AD1 829 (magenta) and ID-AD1 (green) samples were incubated +/- 5 M GuHCl and analyzed 830 using immunoassays that preferentially recognize A β oligomers (1C22-3D6b, left panel) 831 832 or A β 42 monomer (266-21F12b, right panel). Values shown are the mean ± SEM of duplicate measurements and are representative of 2 separate experiments. (C) Time 833 834 course plots show that the AD sample but not the ID-AD sample blocked hippocampal 835 LTP. The gray horizontal bar indicates the time period when sample was present in the 1, 2, indicate example traces from time points just prior to the theta burst 836 bath. stimulation (^^^ TBS) (1) and 60 minutes after TBS (2), respectively. The aCSF control 837 is shown in black circles; AD treatment is shown in magenta diamonds and ID-AD with 838 green downward triangles. Each slice used for each treatment was from a different 839 Scale bar 0.2 mV, 10 milliseconds. (D) Histogram plots of the average 840 animal.

potentiation for the last 10 minutes of traces shown in C. Treatment of slices with AD1 841 sample significantly inhibited LTP compared to the aCSF vehicle control (F=4.26, 842 p=6.98E-9) and ID-AD1 treatment (F=4.14, p=3.56E-12); in contrast ID-AD1 had no 843 effect on LTP relative to the vehicle control (F=4.23, p=0.12, One-Way ANOVA). 844 Symbols are the same as in panel C. (E) Time course plots show that the brain extract 845 from a cognitively intact non-AD control (NC) did not blocked hippocampal LTP. The 846 gray horizontal bar indicates the time period when sample was present in the bath. 1, 2, 847 indicate example traces from time points just prior to the theta burst stimulation ($\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$ 848 TBS) (1) and 60 minutes after TBS (2), respectively. The aCSF control is shown in 849 black circles; NC treatment is shown in gray hexagons. Each slice used for each 850 treatment was from a different animal. Scale bar 0.5 mV, 10 milliseconds. 851 **(F)** Histogram plots of the average potentiation for the last 10 minutes of traces shown in E 852 and average of last 10 minutes from individual experiment in every group. Treatment of 853 slices with NC sample did not inhibited LTP compared to the aCSF vehicle control 854 (F=4.6, p=0.95, One-Way ANOVA). Symbols are the same as in panel E. Values 855 shown are the mean \pm SEM. ### p<0.001 856

Figure 3. The Aβ-containing water-soluble extract of AD1 perturbs short-term facilitation.

(A) Representative traces of averaged field recordings were collected after 5 stimulation 860 bursts (inter-stimulation interval 20 milliseconds, inter-burst interval 30 seconds) before 861 862 (black, aCSF) and 30 minutes after perfusion with the AD1 sample (magenta). The trace shown for the AD1 samples are scaled so that the first response matches that of the 863 864 aCSF control. Scale bars: 0.5 mV, 10 milliseconds. (B) fEPSPs amplitude after 2 to 5 stimulations were normalized to the value obtained after the first stimulation. Compared 865 to vehicle control, AD1 treatment induced a small but significant decrease in short-term 866 synaptic facilitation, (p=0.02) after the 3rd, (p=0.004) the 4th stimulation and 5th 867 stimulation (p=0.004); n = 6, student's *t*-test. Values shown are the mean \pm SEM. # p < 1868 0.05; ## p<0.01. (C) Representative traces of averaged field recordings were collected 869 after 5 stimulation bursts (inter-stimulation interval 20 milliseconds, inter-burst interval 870 30 seconds) before (black, aCSF) and 30 minutes after perfusion with the ID-AD1 871 sample (green). Scale bars: 0.4 mV, 10 milliseconds. ID-AD1 treatment did not affect 872 short-term synaptic facilitation (n = 5, F=5.32, p=0.91, One-Way ANOVA). 873

Figure 4. AD brain-derived Aβ affects both excitatory and inhibitory synaptic
 inputs, causing disruption of the excitatory/inhibitory ratio at individual CA1
 neurons.

(A, D) Example traces of spontaneous excitatory post-synaptic currents (sEPSCs) 877 before (aCSF, black) and 30 minutes after addition of sample (AD1, magenta; ID-AD1, 878 green) recorded from individual pyramidal neurons in the hippocampal CA1 area of 879 brain slices with the holding potential fixed at -70 mV. Scale bars: 20 pA, 700 880 millisecond. (B) 30 minutes of AD1 treatment decreased cumulative distributions of 881 inter-event intervals and increased mean frequency (insert) (p=1.65E-6, K-S test; p<882 0.02, student's *t*-test; n = 7), but (**C**) did not change the cumulative distributions or the 883 mean value (insert) of the amplitude of sEPSCs (n = 7). (**E**, **F**) The ID-AD1 sample had 884 no effect on either frequency or amplitude of sEPSCs (n = 6). (**G**, **J**) Example traces of 885 886 spontaneous inhibitory post-synaptic currents (sIPSCs) before (aCSF, black) and 30 minutes after treatment (AD1, magenta; ID-AD1, green) were recorded on the same 887 individual pyramidal neurons upon increasing the holding potential to 5 mV. Scale bars: 888 20 pA, 700 milliseconds. (H) 30 minutes of treatment with the AD1 sample increased 889 inter-event intervals and decreased mean frequency (insert) of sIPSCs (magenta) 890 versus aCSF (black) (p=6.19E-6, K-S test; p=0.008, student's *t*-test; n = 7). 891 **(I)** Treatment with the AD1 sample did not affect the amplitude of sIPSCs (n = 7) and the 892 ID-AD1 sample had no effect on frequency (K) or the amplitude (L) of sIPSCs versus 893 aCSF control (n = 7). (M) Representative traces of sIPSCs and sEPSCs from the same 894 pyramidal neuron show charge transfer measured as the area of events above 895 threshold in the aCSF control. Scale bars: 10 pA, 200 milliseconds. (N) Integrated 896 45

conductances measured between 30 - 35 minutes after addition of AD1 application were normalized to the value of 5 minutes before addition of AD1. Mean excitatory integrated conductance increased and mean inhibitory integrated conductance decreased upon treatment of AD1 (E: excitatory input/sEPSCs; I: inhibitory input/sIPSCs). Each slice used for each treatment was from a different animal. # p< 0.05, ## p< 0.01.

Figure 5. Expression of APP is required for the plasticity-disrupting activity of Aβ-containing AD brain extract.

(A) Detergent extracts of mouse brain slices used for electrophysiology were analyzed 906 for APP by Western blotting with 22C11. Full-length APP was readily detected in 907 extracts from wild type littermate mice (WT) but not APP knockout mice (APP KO). 908 Slices from 2 APP KO mice (KO1 and KO2) and 2 WT mice (WT1 and WT2) are shown. 909 (B) Input/output curves recorded in the hippocampal CA1 area are highly similar for 910 both WT and APP KO mouse brain slices (p=0.19, One-Way ANOVA). Values are 911 mean ± SEM. (C) LTP recorded in hippocampal CA1 was similar in brain slices from 912 WT and APP KO mice (WT Ctr. black circles vs. APP KO Ctr. grav hexagons, p=0.79, 913 comparison of the last 10 minutes recording using One-Way ANOVA). However, the 914 extract from AD1 brain blocked LTP in WT but not in APP KO mice brain slices. 915 916 Horizontal gray bar indicates the duration in which sample was present. 1 and 2 indicate example traces from time points just prior to the theta burst stimulation ($\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$ 917 TBS) (1) and 60 minutes after TBS (2), respectively. The aCSF control in WT mice is 918 shown with black circles; AD1 treatment in WT mice is shown in magenta diamonds; the 919 aCSF control in APP KO mice is shown in gray hexagons and AD1 treatment in APP 920 KO mice is shown using pink upward triangles. WT slices for each treatment came from 921 different animals; the APP KO slices came from a total of 4 APP KO mice. Scale bars: 922 0.5 mV, 15 milliseconds. (D) Comparison of average potentiation from last 10 minutes 923 of LTP recording (F=4.5, p=0.0005, Control vs. AD1 in WT mice; F=4.5, p=0.41, Control 924 vs. AD1 in APP KO mice; One-Way ANOVA). Symbols correspond to those in panel C. 925 (E) Representative traces of averaged field recordings were collected after 5 stimulation 926

bursts (inter-stimulation interval 20 millisecond, inter-burst interval 30 seconds) before (gray, aCSF) and 30 minutes after perfusion with the AD1 sample (pink) on brain slices from APP KO mouse. Scale bars: 0.5 mV, 10 millisecond. (**F**) fEPSPs amplitude after 2 to 5 stimulations were normalized to the value obtained after the first stimulation. There is no significant difference between aCSF control and the presence of AD1 brain extract application (n = 5, F=5.32, p=0.7, One-Way ANOVA). Values are mean ± SEM. Each slice used for each treatment was from a different animal. ### p<0.001.

Figure 6. Characterization of the aqueous extract from AD2 brain, synthetic $A\beta$ 935 oligomers, and second APP KO mouse line. (A) Aqueous extract of AD2 was 936 treated with either pre-immune serum or with AW7 antiserum. Portions of the mock 937 immunodepleted sample (AD2, magenta) and the AW7 immunodepleted sample (ID-938 AD2, green) were then analyzed by IP/WB, using AW7 for IP and a combination of 2G3 939 and 21F12 (left panel), or 6E10 (right panel) for WB. As expected, recombinant An- α 940 was detected by 6E10, but not 2G3/21F12. M denotes Aβ monomer and * indicates a 941 broad smear ~7-8 kDa. Only non-specific (NS) bands were detected above 16 kDa 942 marker. (B) AD2 (magenta) and ID-AD2 (green) samples were incubated +/- 5 M 943 GuHCl and analyzed using an immunoassay that preferentially recognizes AB42 944 monomer (266-21F12b). AW7 ID reduced monomer from 6.65 ± 0.01 ng/ml to 945 946 undetectable level without GuHCl treatment. Upon treatment with GuHCl, the amount of A β 42 increased to 46.94 ± 0.2 ng/ml in AD2 and this was reduced to 8.62 ± 0.1 ng/ml by 947 immunodepletion. (C) Size-exclusion chromatography of ADDLs revealed a prominent 948 high molecular weight peak, a trail of intermediate molecular weight species and a small 949 Aβ monomer peak. (D) Negative contrast electron micrograph shows mostly protofibril-950 like structures. Scale bar is 50 nm. (E) Brain slices from wild type (WT) and a second 951 line of APP knock-outs (KO) were analyzed for APP by Western blotting with 22C11. 952 Full-length APP was readily detected in extracts from WT but not APP KO. Slices from 953 2 KO (KO1 and KO2) and 2 WT (WT1 and WT2) mice are shown. (F) Input/output 954 curves recorded in the hippocampal CA1 area are highly similar for WT and APP KO 955 mouse brain slices (F=4.6, p=0.91, One-Way ANOVA). Values are mean ± SEM. Each 956 957 slice used for each treatment was from a different animal.

Figure 7. A second APP KO mouse line confirms that APP is required for the synaptic-disrupting activity of both AD brain and synthetic Aβ oligomers.

(A) LTP recorded in hippocampal CA1 was similar in brain slices from WT and Zheng 960 APP KO mice. Notably, the extract from AD2 blocked LTP in brain slices from WT but 961 not APP KO mice. Horizontal gray bar indicates the duration during when sample was 962 present. 1 and 2 indicate example traces from time points just prior to the theta burst 963 stimulation (^^^ TBS) (1) and 60 minutes after TBS (2), respectively. The aCSF control 964 in WT mice is shown with black circles; AD2 treatment in WT mice is shown in magenta 965 diamonds; ID-AD2 treatment in WT slices in green downward triangles. The aCSF 966 control in APP KO mice is shown in gray hexagons and AD2 treatment in APP KO mice 967 is shown using pink upward triangles. WT slices for each treatment came from different 968 animals; the APP KO slices came from a total of 6 APP KO mice. Scale bars: 0.5 mV, 969 15 millisecond. (B) Comparison of average potentiation from last 10 minutes of LTP 970 971 recording (F=4.96, p=0.0001, Control vs. AD2 in WT mice; F=5.12, p=0.56, Control vs. AD2 in APP KO mice; One-Way ANOVA). Symbols correspond to those in panel A. (C) 972 ADDLs blocked LTP in WT, but not in APP KO, brain slices. Horizontal gray bar 973 974 indicates the duration during when sample was present. 1 and 2 indicate example traces from time points just prior to the theta burst stimulation ($\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$ TBS) (1) and 60 975 minutes after TBS (2), respectively. The aCSF WT slices control is shown with black 976 circles; WT slices treated with ADDLs is in magenta diamonds; and vehicle in green 977 downward triangles. The aCSF control in APP KO mice is shown in gray hexagons and 978 ADDLs treatment in APP KO mice is shown using pink upward triangles. WT slices for 979

each treatment came from different animals; the APP KO slices came from a total of 6 APP KO mice. Scale bars: 0.7 mV, 15 millisecond. **(D)** Comparison of average potentiation from last 10 minutes of LTP recording (F=4.75, p=0.0006, Control vs. ADDLs in WT mice; F=4.75, p=0.93, Control vs. vehicle in WT mice; F=4.84, p=0.07, Control vs. ADDLs in APP KO mice; One-Way ANOVA). Symbols correspond to those in panel **C**. Each slice used for each treatment was from a different animal. ### p< 0.001.

Figure 8. APP knock out occludes the effects of Aβ-containing AD brain extract
 on both excitatory and inhibitory post-synaptic currents and rescues the
 disruption of E/I balance.

(A, D) Example traces of sEPSCs (A) and sIPSCs (D) before (aCSF, black) and 30 991 minutes after addition of AD1 extract (magenta) on WT hippocampal brain slices. Scale 992 bars: 20 pA, 700 millisecond. (B) Treatment with AD1 extract decreased inter-event 993 intervals and increased mean frequency (insert) of sEPSCs (p=6.34E-15, K-S test; 994 p=0.003, student's t-test; n = 5), but (C) did not significantly change the cumulative 995 distributions or the mean value (insert) of the amplitude of sEPSCs (n = 5) on WT slices. 996 (E) 30 minutes of AD1 treatment increased inter-event intervals and decreased mean 997 frequency (insert) of sIPSCs (p=9.44E-20, K-S test; p=0.006, student's t-test; n = 5), but 998 (F) did not affect the cumulative distributions or the mean value (insert) of the amplitude 999 1000 of sIPSCs (n = 5) on WT slices. (**G**, **J**) Example traces of spontaneous post-synaptic currents (sEPSCs, G; sIPSCs, J) before (aCSF, gray) and 30-40 minutes following 1001 addition of AD1 extract (pink) on APP KO mice hippocampal brain slices. Scale bars: 1002 20 pA, 700 millisecond. (H) Treatment with AD1 sample affected neither frequency nor 1003 amplitude (I) of sEPSCs (p=0.14, K-S test; p=0.26, student's *t*-test; n = 6) on APP KO 1004 mice. Similarly, treatment of APP KO neurons with AD1 did not change frequency (K) 1005 or the amplitude (L, p=0.58, K-S test; p=0.25 student's *t*-test; n = 6) of sIPSCs. (M) 1006 Application of Aβ-containing AD brain extract significantly changed the integrated 1007 conductance of both excitatory (E) and inhibitory (I) input to neurons and disrupted the 1008 E/I balance in WT animals, but not in APP KO mice (p=0.001, E/I in WT vs. E/I in APP 1009 KO, One-Way ANOVA). 1010

1011 Figure 9: Aβ binding to synaptic terminals requires expression of APP.

1012 (A) Array tomography of hippocampi stained for synapsin-1 (pre-synapses), A β (1C22), and PSD95 (post-synapses) reveal co-localization of A^β at synapses in slices incubated 1013 with AD1 brain extract. Images have been processed for analysis as described in the 1014 1015 methods and Figure 1. (**B** and **C**) The amount of synaptic 1C22 staining was significantly greater in slices incubated with AD1 extract than in slices incubated with 1016 aCSF or ID-AD1 extract based on (B) co-localization of 1C22 and synapsin 1 staining 1017 (Kruskal Wallis test ($\chi^2_{(4)}$ =10.844, p=0.028) Dunns post-hoc vs. control p=0.021), and (**C**) 1018 1C22 and PSD95 co-localization (Kruskal Wallis test for PSD95 ($\chi^2_{(4)}$ =11.583, p=0.021; 1019 Dunns *post-hoc* vs. control, *p*=0.01). Importantly, when slices from APP KO mice were 1020 1021 incubated with AD1 extract there was no significant co-localization of 1C22 staining with either synapsin 1 (B) (Dunns post-hoc vs. control, p=1.000) or PSD-95 (C) (Dunns post-1022 1023 *hoc* vs. control, p=1.000). Graphs represent the medians ± the interguartile range per treatment. Each data point is derived from the analysis of ~3,500 synapses imaged per 1024 brain slice. Within each treatment group the 3 slices used were from 3 different mice (B 1025 1026 and **C**). Arrows indicate specific examples of 1C22 staining co-localizing with pre- or post-synapses. Scale bar is 2 μ m in (**A**). # *p*< 0.05. 1027

Figure 10. APP expressing, but not APP lacking, brain slices bind synaptotoxic
 Aβ.

(A) AD2 brain extract was pre-incubated with either 4 WT or 4 APP KO brain slices for 1030 2 hours and the resultant solutions were used to perfuse WT brain slices. (B) Time 1031 course plots of LTP recorded in WT brain hippocampal CA1 show that AD2 brain extract 1032 pre-incubated with APP KO brains slices blocked LTP, whereas AD2 pre-incubated with 1033 WT brain slices allow normal LTP. Horizontal gray bar indicates the duration during 1034 when sample was present. 1 and 2 indicate example traces from time points just prior to 1035 the theta burst stimulation ($\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$ TBS) (1) and 60 minutes after TBS (2), respectively. 1036 The aCSF control in WT mice is shown with black circles; AD2 incubated with APP KO 1037 brain slices in WT mice is shown in magenta diamonds; AD2 pre-incubated with WT 1038 brain slices is in green downward triangles. Scale bars: 0.5 mV, 15 millisecond. (C) 1039 Comparison of average potentiation from last 10 minutes of LTP recording (F=4.96, 1040 1041 p=0.003, Control vs. APP KO slices with AD2; F=4.96, p=0.82, Control vs. WT slices 1042 with AD2; One-Way ANOVA). Symbols correspond to those in panel **B**. Each slice 1043 used for recording for each treatment was from a different animal. #p < 0.005.

Figure 11. The level of APP expression influences the plasticity-disrupting activity of Aβ-containing AD brain extract.

(A) Detergent extracts of from WT, APP +/- and APP -/- mouse brain slices used for 1046 electrophysiology were analyzed for APP by Western blotting with 22C11. Full-length 1047 APP was readily detected in extracts from wild type mice (WT) and APP +/- mice, but 1048 not APP -/- mice. Ten µg total protein from APP +/- slices contained a similar amount of 1049 APP as 5 µg total protein from WT slices. (B) Input/output curves recorded in the 1050 1051 hippocampal CA1 area are highly similar for both WT and APP +/- mouse brain slices (F=4.6, p=0.75, One-Way ANOVA). (C) LTP recorded in hippocampal CA1 was similar 1052 in brain slices from WT and APP +/- mice. However, AD2 caused a stronger block of 1053 LTP in WT slices compared with APP +/- slices. Horizontal grav bar indicates the 1054 duration during when sample was present. 1 and 2 indicate example traces from time 1055 points just prior to the theta burst stimulation ($\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$ TBS) (1) and 60 minutes after TBS 1056 (2), respectively. The aCSF control in WT mice is shown with black circles; AD2 1057 treatment in WT mice is shown in magenta diamonds; the aCSF control in APP +/- mice 1058 1059 is shown in gray hexagons and AD2 treatment in APP +/- mice is shown using pink upward triangles. Scale bars: 0.5 mV, 15 milliseconds. Each slice used for each 1060 treatment was from a different animal. (D) Comparison of average potentiation from the 1061 last 10 minutes of LTP recording (F=4.45, p=0.84, Control in WT mice vs. Control in 1062 APP +/- mice; F=4.5, p=2.67E-007, Control vs. AD2 in WT mice; F=4.49, p=0.003, 1063 Control vs. AD2 in WT mice; One-Way ANOVA). Symbols correspond to those in panel 1064

C. Values are mean \pm SEM. Each slice used for each treatment was from a different 1066 animal. ## *p*<0.005, ### *p*<0.0001.

1068 **References**

- 1069
- 1070Abramov E, Dolev I, Fogel H, Ciccotosto GD, Ruff E, Slutsky I (2009) Amyloid-beta as a positive1071endogenous regulator of release probability at hippocampal synapses. Nat Neurosci 12:1567-10721576.
- Bai Y, Markham K, Chen F, Weerasekera R, Watts J, Horne P, Wakutani Y, Bagshaw R, Mathews PM,
 Fraser PE, Westaway D, St George-Hyslop P, Schmitt-Ulms G (2008) The in vivo brain
 interactome of the amyloid precursor protein. Mol Cell Proteomics 7:15-34.
- Barry AE, Klyubin I, Mc Donald JM, Mably AJ, Farrell MA, Scott M, Walsh DM, Rowan MJ (2011)
 Alzheimer's disease brain-derived amyloid-beta-mediated inhibition of LTP in vivo is prevented
 by immunotargeting cellular prion protein. J Neurosci 31:7259-7263.
- Betts V, Leissring MA, Dolios G, Wang R, Selkoe DJ, Walsh DM (2008) Aggregation and catabolism of
 disease-associated intra-Abeta mutations: reduced proteolysis of AbetaA21G by neprilysin.
 Neurobiol Dis 31:442-450.
- Borlikova GG, Trejo M, Mably AJ, Mc Donald JM, Sala Frigerio C, Regan CM, Murphy KJ, Masliah E, Walsh
 DM (2013) Alzheimer brain-derived amyloid beta-protein impairs synaptic remodeling and
 memory consolidation. Neurobiol Aging 34:1315-1327.
- 1085Born HA, Kim JY, Savjani RR, Das P, Dabaghian YA, Guo Q, Yoo JW, Schuler DR, Cirrito JR, Zheng H, Golde1086TE, Noebels JL, Jankowsky JL (2014) Genetic suppression of transgenic APP rescues1087Hypersynchronous network activity in a mouse model of Alzeimer's disease. J Neurosci 34:3826-10883840.
- Busche MA, Konnerth A (2015) Neuronal hyperactivity--A key defect in Alzheimer's disease? Bioessays
 37:624-632.
- Callahan DG, Taylor WM, Tilearcio M, Cavanaugh T, Selkoe DJ, Young-Pearse TL (2017) Embryonic
 mosaic deletion of APP results in displaced Reelin-expressing cells in the cerebral cortex. Dev
 Biol 424:138-146.
- 1094 Cirrito JR, Yamada KA, Finn MB, Sloviter RS, Bales KR, May PC, Schoepp DD, Paul SM, Mennerick S,
 1095 Holtzman DM (2005) Synaptic activity regulates interstitial fluid amyloid-beta levels in vivo.
 1096 Neuron 48:913-922.
- 1097 Cleary JP, Walsh DM, Hofmeister JJ, Shankar GM, Kuskowski MA, Selkoe DJ, Ashe KH (2005) Natural
 1098 oligomers of the amyloid-beta protein specifically disrupt cognitive function. Nat Neurosci 8:79 1099 84.
- Conboy L, Murphy KJ, Regan CM (2005) Amyloid precursor protein expression in the rat hippocampal
 dentate gyrus modulates during memory consolidation. J Neurochem 95:1677-1688.
- Dawson GR, Seabrook GR, Zheng H, Smith DW, Graham S, O'Dowd G, Bowery BJ, Boyce S, Trumbauer
 ME, Chen HY, Van der Ploeg LH, Sirinathsinghji DJ (1999) Age-related cognitive deficits, impaired
 long-term potentiation and reduction in synaptic marker density in mice lacking the beta amyloid precursor protein. Neuroscience 90:1-13.
- 1106De Strooper B (2010) Proteases and proteolysis in Alzheimer disease: a multifactorial view on the1107disease process. Physiol Rev 90:465-494.
- 1108 DeFelipe J (2002) Cortical interneurons: from Cajal to 2001. Prog Brain Res 136:215-238.
- Del Prete D, Lombino F, Liu X, D'Adamio L (2014) APP is cleaved by Bace1 in pre-synaptic vesicles and
 establishes a pre-synaptic interactome, via its intracellular domain, with molecular complexes
 that regulate pre-synaptic vesicles functions. PLoS One 9:e108576.
- 1112Del Turco D, Paul MH, Schlaudraff J, Hick M, Endres K, Muller UC, Deller T (2016) Region-Specific1113Differences in Amyloid Precursor Protein Expression in the Mouse Hippocampus. Front Mol1114Neurosci 9:134.

- Doyle E, Bruce MT, Breen KC, Smith DC, Anderton B, Regan CM (1990) Intraventricular infusions of
 antibodies to amyloid-beta-protein precursor impair the acquisition of a passive avoidance
 response in the rat. Neurosci Lett 115:97-102.
- 1118Fanutza T, Del Prete D, Ford MJ, Castillo PE, D'Adamio L (2015) APP and APLP2 interact with the synaptic1119release machinery and facilitate transmitter release at hippocampal synapses. Elife 4:e09743.
- Fogel H, Frere S, Segev O, Bharill S, Shapira I, Gazit N, O'Malley T, Slomowitz E, Berdichevsky Y, Walsh
 DM, Isacoff EY, Hirsch JA, Slutsky I (2014) APP homodimers transduce an amyloid-beta-mediated
 increase in release probability at excitatory synapses. Cell Rep 7:1560-1576.
- Fowler SW, Chiang AC, Savjani RR, Larson ME, Sherman MA, Schuler DR, Cirrito JR, Lesne SE, Jankowsky
 JL (2014) Genetic modulation of soluble Abeta rescues cognitive and synaptic impairment in a
 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. J Neurosci 34:7871-7885.
- 1126Freir DB, Nicoll AJ, Klyubin I, Panico S, Mc Donald JM, Risse E, Asante EA, Farrow MA, Sessions RB, Saibil1127HR, Clarke AR, Rowan MJ, Walsh DM, Collinge J (2011) Interaction between prion protein and1128toxic amyloid beta assemblies can be therapeutically targeted at multiple sites. Nat Commun11292:336.
- Garcia-Marin V, Blazquez-Llorca L, Rodriguez JR, Boluda S, Muntane G, Ferrer I, Defelipe J (2009)
 Diminished perisomatic GABAergic terminals on cortical neurons adjacent to amyloid plaques.
 Front Neuroanat 3:28.
- Gillespie AK, Jones EA, Lin YH, Karlsson MP, Kay K, Yoon SY, Tong LM, Nova P, Carr JS, Frank LM, Huang Y
 (2016) Apolipoprotein E4 Causes Age-Dependent Disruption of Slow Gamma Oscillations during
 Hippocampal Sharp-Wave Ripples. Neuron 90:740-751.
- 1136 Guerreiro R, Hardy J (2014) Genetics of Alzheimer's disease. Neurotherapeutics 11:732-737.
- Hartley DM, Walsh DM, Ye CP, Diehl T, Vasquez S, Vassilev PM, Teplow DB, Selkoe DJ (1999)
 Protofibrillar intermediates of amyloid beta-protein induce acute electrophysiological changes
 and progressive neurotoxicity in cortical neurons. J Neurosci 19:8876-8884.
- Hsieh H, Boehm J, Sato C, Iwatsubo T, Tomita T, Sisodia S, Malinow R (2006) AMPAR removal underlies
 Abeta-induced synaptic depression and dendritic spine loss. Neuron 52:831-843.
- 1142 Huang JK, Ma PL, Ji SY, Zhao XL, Tan JX, Sun XJ, Huang FD (2013) Age-dependent alterations in the 1143 presynaptic active zone in a Drosophila model of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Dis 51:161-167.
- 1144Huber G, Martin JR, Loffler J, Moreau JL (1993) Involvement of amyloid precursor protein in memory1145formation in the rat: an indirect antibody approach. Brain Res 603:348-352.
- 1146Jedlicka P, Owen M, Vnencak M, Tschape JA, Hick M, Muller UC, Deller T (2012) Functional1147consequences of the lack of amyloid precursor protein in the mouse dentate gyrus in vivo. Exp1148Brain Res 217:441-447.
- 1149Jo S et al. (2014) GABA from reactive astrocytes impairs memory in mouse models of Alzheimer's1150disease. Nat Med 20:886-896.
- 1151Johnson KA, Fox NC, Sperling RA, Klunk WE (2012) Brain imaging in Alzheimer disease. Cold Spring Harb1152Perspect Med 2:a006213.
- 1153 Kabogo D, Rauw G, Amritraj A, Baker G, Kar S (2010) ss-amyloid-related peptides potentiate K+-evoked
 1154 glutamate release from adult rat hippocampal slices. Neurobiol Aging 31:1164-1172.
- Kamenetz F, Tomita T, Hsieh H, Seabrook G, Borchelt D, Iwatsubo T, Sisodia S, Malinow R (2003) APP
 processing and synaptic function. Neuron 37:925-937.
- Kay KR, Smith C, Wright AK, Serrano-Pozo A, Pooler AM, Koffie R, Bastin ME, Bak TH, Abrahams S,
 Kopeikina KJ, McGuone D, Frosch MP, Gillingwater TH, Hyman BT, Spires-Jones TL (2013)
 Studying synapses in human brain with array tomography and electron microscopy. Nat Protoc
 8:1366-1380.

- Kirouac L, Rajic AJ, Cribbs DH, Padmanabhan J (2017) Activation of Ras-ERK Signaling and GSK-3 by
 Amyloid Precursor Protein and Amyloid Beta Facilitates Neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's
 Disease. eNeuro 4.
- 1164 Klyubin I, Cullen WK, Hu NW, Rowan MJ (2012) Alzheimer's disease Abeta assemblies mediating rapid 1165 disruption of synaptic plasticity and memory. Mol Brain 5:25.
- Klyubin I, Betts V, Welzel AT, Blennow K, Zetterberg H, Wallin A, Lemere CA, Cullen WK, Peng Y,
 Wisniewski T, Selkoe DJ, Anwyl R, Walsh DM, Rowan MJ (2008) Amyloid beta protein dimercontaining human CSF disrupts synaptic plasticity: prevention by systemic passive immunization.
 J Neurosci 28:4231-4237.
- 1170 Koffie RM, Meyer-Luehmann M, Hashimoto T, Adams KW, Mielke ML, Garcia-Alloza M, Micheva KD,
 1171 Smith SJ, Kim ML, Lee VM, Hyman BT, Spires-Jones TL (2009) Oligomeric amyloid beta associates
 1172 with postsynaptic densities and correlates with excitatory synapse loss near senile plaques. Proc
 1173 Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:4012-4017.
- 1174 Koffie RM, Hashimoto T, Tai HC, Kay KR, Serrano-Pozo A, Joyner D, Hou S, Kopeikina KJ, Frosch MP, Lee
 1175 VM, Holtzman DM, Hyman BT, Spires-Jones TL (2012) Apolipoprotein E4 effects in Alzheimer's
 1176 disease are mediated by synaptotoxic oligomeric amyloid-beta. Brain 135:2155-2168.
- 1177 Kohli BM, Pflieger D, Mueller LN, Carbonetti G, Aebersold R, Nitsch RM, Konietzko U (2012) Interactome
 1178 of the amyloid precursor protein APP in brain reveals a protein network involved in synaptic
 1179 vesicle turnover and a close association with Synaptotagmin-1. J Proteome Res 11:4075-4090.
- 1180 Kurudenkandy FR, Zilberter M, Biverstal H, Presto J, Honcharenko D, Stromberg R, Johansson J, Winblad
 1181 B, Fisahn A (2014) Amyloid-beta-induced action potential desynchronization and degradation of
 1182 hippocampal gamma oscillations is prevented by interference with peptide conformation
 1183 change and aggregation. J Neurosci 34:11416-11425.
- Lacor PN, Buniel MC, Furlow PW, Clemente AS, Velasco PT, Wood M, Viola KL, Klein WL (2007) Abeta
 oligomer-induced aberrations in synapse composition, shape, and density provide a molecular
 basis for loss of connectivity in Alzheimer's disease. J Neurosci 27:796-807.
- Lacor PN, Buniel MC, Chang L, Fernandez SJ, Gong Y, Viola KL, Lambert MP, Velasco PT, Bigio EH, Finch
 CE, Krafft GA, Klein WL (2004) Synaptic targeting by Alzheimer's-related amyloid beta oligomers.
 J Neurosci 24:10191-10200.
- Lambert MP, Barlow AK, Chromy BA, Edwards C, Freed R, Liosatos M, Morgan TE, Rozovsky I, Trommer B,
 Viola KL, Wals P, Zhang C, Finch CE, Krafft GA, Klein WL (1998) Diffusible, nonfibrillar ligands
 derived from Abeta1-42 are potent central nervous system neurotoxins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
 95:6448-6453.
- 1194Lassek M, Weingarten J, Einsfelder U, Brendel P, Muller U, Volknandt W (2013) Amyloid precursor1195proteins are constituents of the presynaptic active zone. J Neurochem 127:48-56.
- Lassek M, Weingarten J, Acker-Palmer A, Bajjalieh SM, Muller U, Volknandt W (2014) Amyloid precursor
 protein knockout diminishes synaptic vesicle proteins at the presynaptic active zone in mouse
 brain. Curr Alzheimer Res 11:971-980.
- Lassek M, Weingarten J, Wegner M, Mueller BF, Rohmer M, Baeumlisberger D, Arrey TN, Hick M,
 Ackermann J, Acker-Palmer A, Koch I, Muller U, Karas M, Volknandt W (2016) APP Is a Context Sensitive Regulator of the Hippocampal Presynaptic Active Zone. PLoS Comput Biol 12:e1004832.
- Lauren J, Gimbel DA, Nygaard HB, Gilbert JW, Strittmatter SM (2009) Cellular prion protein mediates
 impairment of synaptic plasticity by amyloid-beta oligomers. Nature 457:1128-1132.
- Lesne S, Koh MT, Kotilinek L, Kayed R, Glabe CG, Yang A, Gallagher M, Ashe KH (2006) A specific amyloid beta protein assembly in the brain impairs memory. Nature 440:352-357.

- Li S, Hong S, Shepardson NE, Walsh DM, Shankar GM, Selkoe D (2009) Soluble oligomers of amyloid Beta
 protein facilitate hippocampal long-term depression by disrupting neuronal glutamate uptake.
 Neuron 62:788-801.
- Li S, Jin M, Koeglsperger T, Shepardson NE, Shankar GM, Selkoe DJ (2011) Soluble Abeta oligomers
 inhibit long-term potentiation through a mechanism involving excessive activation of
 extrasynaptic NR2B-containing NMDA receptors. J Neurosci 31:6627-6638.
- Lillis KP, Wang Z, Mail M, Zhao GQ, Berdichevsky Y, Bacskai B, Staley KJ (2015) Evolution of Network
 Synchronization during Early Epileptogenesis Parallels Synaptic Circuit Alterations. J Neurosci
 35:9920-9934.
- Lorenzo A, Yuan M, Zhang Z, Paganetti PA, Sturchler-Pierrat C, Staufenbiel M, Mautino J, Vigo FS,
 Sommer B, Yankner BA (2000) Amyloid beta interacts with the amyloid precursor protein: a
 potential toxic mechanism in Alzheimer's disease. Nat Neurosci 3:460-464.
- Mably AJ, Kanmert D, Mc Donald JM, Liu W, Caldarone BJ, Lemere CA, O'Nuallain B, Kosik KS, Walsh DM
 (2015) Tau immunization: a cautionary tale? Neurobiol Aging 36:1316-1332.
- 1220Mc Donald JM, O'Malley TT, Liu W, Mably AJ, Brinkmalm G, Portelius E, Wittbold WM, 3rd, Frosch MP,1221Walsh DM (2015) The aqueous phase of Alzheimer's disease brain contains assemblies built1222from approximately 4 and approximately 7 kDa Abeta species. Alzheimers Dement 11:1286-12231305.
- Melchor JP, Van Nostrand WE (2000) Fibrillar amyloid beta-protein mediates the pathologic
 accumulation of its secreted precursor in human cerebrovascular smooth muscle cells. J Biol
 Chem 275:9782-9791.
- Melnikova T, Fromholt S, Kim H, Lee D, Xu G, Price A, Moore BD, Golde TE, Felsenstein KM, Savonenko A,
 Borchelt DR (2013) Reversible pathologic and cognitive phenotypes in an inducible model of
 Alzheimer-amyloidosis. J Neurosci 33:3765-3779.
- 1230 Mileusnic R, Lancashire CL, Johnston AN, Rose SP (2000) APP is required during an early phase of 1231 memory formation. Eur J Neurosci 12:4487-4495.
- Minkeviciene R, Rheims S, Dobszay MB, Zilberter M, Hartikainen J, Fulop L, Penke B, Zilberter Y, Harkany
 T, Pitkanen A, Tanila H (2009) Amyloid beta-induced neuronal hyperexcitability triggers
 progressive epilepsy. J Neurosci 29:3453-3462.
- Mockett BG, Richter M, Abraham WC, Muller UC (2017) Therapeutic Potential of Secreted Amyloid
 Precursor Protein APPsalpha. Front Mol Neurosci 10:30.
- Muller UC, Zheng H (2012) Physiological functions of APP family proteins. Cold Spring Harb Perspect
 Med 2:a006288.
- Neve RL, McPhie DL (2007) Dysfunction of amyloid precursor protein signaling in neurons leads to DNA
 synthesis and apoptosis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1772:430-437.
- Nimmrich V, Grimm C, Draguhn A, Barghorn S, Lehmann A, Schoemaker H, Hillen H, Gross G, Ebert U,
 Bruehl C (2008) Amyloid beta oligomers (A beta(1-42) globulomer) suppress spontaneous
 synaptic activity by inhibition of P/Q-type calcium currents. J Neurosci 28:788-797.
- 1244 Ollion J, Cochennec J, Loll F, Escude C, Boudier T (2013) TANGO: a generic tool for high-throughput 3D 1245 image analysis for studying nuclear organization. Bioinformatics 29:1840-1841.
- 1246Palop JJ, Mucke L (2010) Amyloid-beta-induced neuronal dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease: from1247synapses toward neural networks. Nat Neurosci 13:812-818.
- 1248Palop JJ, Mucke L (2016) Network abnormalities and interneuron dysfunction in Alzheimer disease. Nat1249Rev Neurosci 17:777-792.
- Parodi J, Sepulveda FJ, Roa J, Opazo C, Inestrosa NC, Aguayo LG (2010) Beta-amyloid causes depletion of
 synaptic vesicles leading to neurotransmission failure. J Biol Chem 285:2506-2514.

- Pickett EK, Koffie RM, Wegmann S, Henstridge CM, Herrmann AG, Colom-Cadena M, Lleo A, Kay KR,
 Vaught M, Soberman R, Walsh DM, Hyman BT, Spires-Jones TL (2016) Non-Fibrillar Oligomeric
 Amyloid-beta within Synapses. J Alzheimers Dis 53:787-800.
- Pliassova A, Lopes JP, Lemos C, Oliveira CR, Cunha RA, Agostinho P (2016) The Association of Amyloid beta Protein Precursor With alpha- and beta-Secretases in Mouse Cerebral Cortex Synapses Is
 Altered in Early Alzheimer's Disease. Mol Neurobiol 53:5710-5721.
- Portelius E, Olsson M, Brinkmalm G, Ruetschi U, Mattsson N, Andreasson U, Gobom J, Brinkmalm A,
 Holtta M, Blennow K, Zetterberg H (2013) Mass spectrometric characterization of amyloid-beta
 species in the 7PA2 cell model of Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 33:85-93.
- Ring S, Weyer SW, Kilian SB, Waldron E, Pietrzik CU, Filippov MA, Herms J, Buchholz C, Eckman CB, Korte
 M, Wolfer DP, Muller UC (2007) The secreted beta-amyloid precursor protein ectodomain APPs
 alpha is sufficient to rescue the anatomical, behavioral, and electrophysiological abnormalities
 of APP-deficient mice. J Neurosci 27:7817-7826.
- 1265Ripoli C, Piacentini R, Riccardi E, Leone L, Li Puma DD, Bitan G, Grassi C (2013) Effects of different1266amyloid beta-protein analogues on synaptic function. Neurobiol Aging 34:1032-1044.
- Russell CL, Semerdjieva S, Empson RM, Austen BM, Beesley PW, Alifragis P (2012) Amyloid-beta acts as a
 regulator of neurotransmitter release disrupting the interaction between synaptophysin and
 VAMP2. PLoS One 7:e43201.
- Scheff SW, Price DA, Schmitt FA, Mufson EJ (2006) Hippocampal synaptic loss in early Alzheimer's
 disease and mild cognitive impairment. Neurobiol Aging 27:1372-1384.
- Scheff SW, Price DA, Schmitt FA, DeKosky ST, Mufson EJ (2007) Synaptic alterations in CA1 in mild
 Alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment. Neurology 68:1501-1508.
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S,
 Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A (2012) Fiji: an
 open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9:676-682.
- Schwenk J, Perez-Garci E, Schneider A, Kollewe A, Gauthier-Kemper A, Fritzius T, Raveh A, Dinamarca MC,
 Hanuschkin A, Bildl W, Klingauf J, Gassmann M, Schulte U, Bettler B, Fakler B (2016) Modular
 composition and dynamics of native GABAB receptors identified by high-resolution proteomics.
 Nat Neurosci 19:233-242.
- Seabrook GR, Smith DW, Bowery BJ, Easter A, Reynolds T, Fitzjohn SM, Morton RA, Zheng H, Dawson GR,
 Sirinathsinghji DJ, Davies CH, Collingridge GL, Hill RG (1999) Mechanisms contributing to the
 deficits in hippocampal synaptic plasticity in mice lacking amyloid precursor protein.
 Neuropharmacology 38:349-359.
- Shaked GM, Kummer MP, Lu DC, Galvan V, Bredesen DE, Koo EH (2006) Abeta induces cell death by
 direct interaction with its cognate extracellular domain on APP (APP 597-624). FASEB J 20:1254 1287 1256.
- 1288Shankar GM, Welzel AT, McDonald JM, Selkoe DJ, Walsh DM (2011) Isolation of low-n amyloid beta-1289protein oligomers from cultured cells, CSF, and brain. Methods Mol Biol 670:33-44.
- Shankar GM, Li S, Mehta TH, Garcia-Munoz A, Shepardson NE, Smith I, Brett FM, Farrell MA, Rowan MJ,
 Lemere CA, Regan CM, Walsh DM, Sabatini BL, Selkoe DJ (2008) Amyloid-beta protein dimers
 isolated directly from Alzheimer's brains impair synaptic plasticity and memory. Nat Med
 14:837-842.
- Soba P, Eggert S, Wagner K, Zentgraf H, Siehl K, Kreger S, Lower A, Langer A, Merdes G, Paro R, Masters
 CL, Muller U, Kins S, Beyreuther K (2005) Homo- and heterodimerization of APP family members
 promotes intercellular adhesion. EMBO J 24:3624-3634.

- Sokolow S, Luu SH, Nandy K, Miller CA, Vinters HV, Poon WW, Gylys KH (2012) Preferential accumulation
 of amyloid-beta in presynaptic glutamatergic terminals (VGluT1 and VGluT2) in Alzheimer's
 disease cortex. Neurobiol Dis 45:381-387.
- Sola Vigo F, Kedikian G, Heredia L, Heredia F, Anel AD, Rosa AL, Lorenzo A (2009) Amyloid-beta precursor
 protein mediates neuronal toxicity of amyloid beta through Go protein activation. Neurobiol
 Aging 30:1379-1392.
- Steinbach JP, Muller U, Leist M, Li ZW, Nicotera P, Aguzzi A (1998) Hypersensitivity to seizures in beta amyloid precursor protein deficient mice. Cell Death Differ 5:858-866.
- Tamayev R, Matsuda S, Arancio O, D'Adamio L (2012) beta- but not gamma-secretase proteolysis of APP
 causes synaptic and memory deficits in a mouse model of dementia. EMBO Mol Med 4:171-179.
 Tanzi RE (2012) The genetics of Alzheimer disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2.
- 1308 Van Nostrand WE, Melchor JP, Keane DM, Saporito-Irwin SM, Romanov G, Davis J, Xu F (2002)
 1309 Localization of a fibrillar amyloid beta-protein binding domain on its precursor. J Biol Chem
 1310 277:36392-36398.
- 1311 Vertkin I, Styr B, Slomowitz E, Ofir N, Shapira I, Berner D, Fedorova T, Laviv T, Barak-Broner N, Greitzer 1312 Antes D, Gassmann M, Bettler B, Lotan I, Slutsky I (2015) GABAB receptor deficiency causes
 1313 failure of neuronal homeostasis in hippocampal networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:E3291 1314 3299.
- Walsh DM, Teplow DB (2012) Alzheimer's disease and the amyloid beta-protein. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci
 107:101-124.
- Walsh DM, Klyubin I, Fadeeva JV, Cullen WK, Anwyl R, Wolfe MS, Rowan MJ, Selkoe DJ (2002) Naturally
 secreted oligomers of amyloid beta protein potently inhibit hippocampal long-term potentiation
 in vivo. Nature 416:535-539.
- Wang B, Wang Z, Sun L, Yang L, Li H, Cole AL, Rodriguez-Rivera J, Lu HC, Zheng H (2014) The amyloid
 precursor protein controls adult hippocampal neurogenesis through GABAergic interneurons. J
 Neurosci 34:13314-13325.
- Wang HW, Pasternak JF, Kuo H, Ristic H, Lambert MP, Chromy B, Viola KL, Klein WL, Stine WB, Krafft GA,
 Trommer BL (2002) Soluble oligomers of beta amyloid (1-42) inhibit long-term potentiation but
 not long-term depression in rat dentate gyrus. Brain Res 924:133-140.
- Wang ZM, Qi YJ, Wu PY, Zhu Y, Dong YL, Cheng ZX, Zhu YH, Dong Y, Ma L, Zheng P (2008) Neuroactive
 steroid pregnenolone sulphate inhibits long-term potentiation via activation of alpha2adrenoreceptors at excitatory synapses in rat medial prefrontal cortex. Int J
 Neuropsychopharmacol 11:611-624.
- Welzel AT, Maggio JE, Shankar GM, Walker DE, Ostaszewski BL, Li S, Klyubin I, Rowan MJ, Seubert P,
 Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ (2014) Secreted amyloid beta-proteins in a cell culture model include N terminally extended peptides that impair synaptic plasticity. Biochemistry 53:3908-3921.
- White AR, Zheng H, Galatis D, Maher F, Hesse L, Multhaup G, Beyreuther K, Masters CL, Cappai R (1998)
 Survival of cultured neurons from amyloid precursor protein knock-out mice against Alzheimer's
 amyloid-beta toxicity and oxidative stress. J Neurosci 18:6207-6217.
- Wilhelm BG, Mandad S, Truckenbrodt S, Krohnert K, Schafer C, Rammer B, Koo SJ, Classen GA, Krauss
 M, Haucke V, Urlaub H, Rizzoli SO (2014) Composition of isolated synaptic boutons reveals the
 amounts of vesicle trafficking proteins. Science 344:1023-1028.
- 1339 Willem M et al. (2015) eta-Secretase processing of APP inhibits neuronal activity in the hippocampus.1340 Nature 526:443-447.
- Yang L, Wang Z, Wang B, Justice NJ, Zheng H (2009) Amyloid precursor protein regulates Cav1.2 L-type
 calcium channel levels and function to influence GABAergic short-term plasticity. J Neurosci
 29:15660-15668.

- Yang T, Li S, Xu H, Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ (2017) Large Soluble Oligomers of Amyloid beta-Protein from
 Alzheimer Brain Are Far Less Neuroactive Than the Smaller Oligomers to Which They Dissociate.
 J Neurosci 37:152-163.
- Yang T, O'Malley TT, Kanmert D, Jerecic J, Zieske LR, Zetterberg H, Hyman BT, Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ
 (2015) A highly sensitive novel immunoassay specifically detects low levels of soluble Abeta
 oligomers in human cerebrospinal fluid. Alzheimers Res Ther 7:14.
- Yankner BA, Lu T (2009) Amyloid beta-protein toxicity and the pathogenesis of Alzheimer disease. J Biol
 Chem 284:4755-4759.
- Zhang D, Mably AJ, Walsh DM, Rowan MJ (2016) Peripheral Interventions Enhancing Brain Glutamate
 Homeostasis Relieve Amyloid beta- and TNFalpha- Mediated Synaptic Plasticity Disruption in the
 Rat Hippocampus. Cereb Cortex.
- 1355Zheng H, Jiang M, Trumbauer ME, Sirinathsinghji DJ, Hopkins R, Smith DW, Heavens RP, Dawson GR,1356Boyce S, Conner MW, Stevens KA, Slunt HH, Sisoda SS, Chen HY, Van der Ploeg LH (1995) beta-1357Amyloid precursor protein-deficient mice show reactive gliosis and decreased locomotor activity.1358Cell 81:525-531.
- 1359 Zucker RS, Regehr WG (2002) Short-term synaptic plasticity. Annu Rev Physiol 64:355-405.

1360

Table 1. Primary and secondary antibodies.

Antibody	Туре	Antigen/epitope	Dilution	Conc. For	Conc. For	Dilution	Source/Reference
			for IP	WB	ELISA	for AT	
3D6	Monoclonal	Αβ1–5	-	-	1 µg/ml	-	Elan/(Johnson-Wood et al., 1997)
6E10	Monoclonal	Αβ3-8	-	1 µg/ml	-	-	Biolegend/(Kim et al. 1988)
266	Monoclonal	Αβ16-23	-	-	3 µg/ml	-	Elan/(Seubert et al., 1992)
2G3	Monoclonal	Aβ terminating at Val40	-	1 µg/ml	-	-	Elan/(Johnson-Wood et al., 1997)
21F12	Monoclonal	Aβ terminating at Ile42	-	1 µg/ml	1 µg/ml	-	Elan/(Johnson-Wood et al., 1997)
1C22	Monoclonal	Aβ aggregates	-	-	3 µg/ml	1:50	Walsh lab/(Mably et al., 2015)
AW7	Polyclonal	Pan anti-Aβ	1:80	-	-	-	Walsh lab/(Mc Donald et al., 2012)
22C11	Monoclonal	APP66-81	-	1 µg/ml	-	1:50	Millipore/(Austin et al., 2009)
AB1543P	Polyclonal	Rabbit anti-synapsin-1	-	-	-	1:100	Millipore/(Kay et al., 2013)
3450P	Polyclonal	Rabbit anti-PSD95	-	-	-	1:50	Cell Signaling/(Kay et al., 2013)
A21202	Polyclonal	Donkey anti-mouse 488	-	-	-	1:50	Invitrogen
A21207	Polyclonal	Donkey anti-rabbit 594	-	-	-	1:50	Invitrogen
T6074	Monoclonal	Anti-α-Tubulin	-	1 µg/ml	-	-	Sigma





Figure 2: The water-soluble extract of AD brain, but not normal control, contains both Aβ monomers and oligomers and perturbs long-term synaptic plasticity.





Figure 3: The Aβ-containing water-soluble extract of AD1 perturbs short-term facilitation.

Figure 4: AD brain-derived Aβ affects both excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs, causing disruption of the excitatory/inhibitory ratio at individual CA1 neurons.



Figure 5: Expression of APP is required for the plasticity-disrupting activity of A β -containing

AD brain extract.



Figure 6: Characterization of the aqueous extract from AD2 brain, synthetic A β oligomers and



a second APP KO mouse line.
Figure 7: A second APP KO mouse line confirms that APP is required for the synaptic-disrupting activity of both AD brain and sythetic A β oligomers.



Figure 8: APP knock out occludes the effects of A β -containing AD brain extract on both excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic currents and rescues the disruption of E/I balance.



Wang et al., Figure 9

Figure 9: $A\beta$ binding to synaptic terminals requires expension of APP.



В





2.0



Figure 10: APP expressing, but not APP lacking brain slices bind synaptotoxic A β .



Figure 11: The level of APP expression influences the plasticity-disrupting activity of A β containing AD brain extract.

