BOREAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH 13: 31–43 ISSN 1239-6095 (print) ISSN 1797-2469 (online) © 2008 Helsinki 26 February 2008

Timing of plant phenophases in Finnish Lapland in 1997–2006

Eeva Pudas¹), Anne Tolvanen¹)*, Jarmo Poikolainen¹), Timo Sukuvaara²) and Eero Kubin¹)

- ¹⁾ Finnish Forest Research Institute, Muhos Research Unit, Kirkkosaarentie 7, FI-91500 Muhos, Finland (*corresponding author's e-mail: anne.tolvanen @metla.fi)
- ²⁾ Finnish Meteorological Institute, Arctic Research Centre, Tähteläntie 62, FI-99600 Sodankylä, Finland

Received 18 Dec. 2006, accepted 31 May 2007 (Editor in charge of this article: Jaana Bäck)

Pudas, E., Tolvanen, A., Poikolainen, J., Sukuvaara, T. & Kubin, E. 2008: Timing of plant phenophases in Finnish Lapland in 1997–2006. *Boreal Env. Res.* 13: 31–43.

We investigated whether there were consistent changes in plant phenophases in 1997–2006 at 13 observation sites in Finnish Lapland, and whether such changes could be explained by measured climatic conditions. The phenological data were collected within the Finnish National Phenological Network organised by the Finnish Forest Research Institute (Metla). During the observation period, the effective temperature sum (ETS) increased on average by 17.7 day degrees/year, while the maximum snow depth decreased by 3.5 cm/year and the timing of snow melt advanced by 1.4 days/year. The spring phenophases advanced on average by 1–2 days/year in the case of most of the species studied, which resulted in a lengthening of their growth period. The autumn phenophases did not show any trends or relationships with respect to the studied climatic conditions, however. The mean May temperature and the date of snow melt explained best of all the onset of spring in the studied species.

Introduction

The warming of global climate is predicted to be most crucial at northern latitudes. During the 20th century, the average surface temperature has globally risen by 0.6 °C and this increase is predicted to be even more rapid during the coming decades (IPCC 2001). In Finland, the latest predictions concerning temperature elevation vary from 2 °C to 7 °C by the year 2080 (Jylhä *et al.* 2004, Ruosteenoja *et al.* 2005), and in the arctic regions the winter temperatures are predicted to elevate by 12 °C by 2050 (Mitchell *et al.* 1990, Maxwell 1992, Houghton *et al.* 1996). Thus, the impacts of climate warming may become evident in arctic ecosystems sooner than elsewhere (e.g. Walker *et al.* 1995, Overpeck *et al.* 1997, Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (ACIA) 2005, Aerts *et al.* 2006).

Northern plants have developed a growth rhythm that recurs annually due to the seasonal changes in their environment. Plants can, therefore, be used as biological indicators of changing environmental conditions (Menzel 2002, Dose and Menzel 2004). Especially long observation series help to predict the timing of phenophases (Häkkinen 1999, Menzel 2002) and, consequently, environmental monitoring is becoming an increasingly important issue when predicting the impacts of climate change on the environment. In regions where vascular plants grow near their northern distribution limits, even minor changes in climate can change the timing of plant growth and reproduction.

Phenology is a field of science investigating the timing of biological events (Lieth 1974). The annual cycle of plants includes an active period, autumn dormancy, and winter dormancy. The initiation of the active period is influenced mostly by temperature (e.g. Sarvas 1972, Heikinheimo and Lappalainen 1997). The photoperiod may also have a major influence on spring phenophases (Linkosalo 2000b). At the end of their active period, forest trees begin to prepare for the dormancy (Sarvas 1972, 1974). The factors involved are mainly air temperature and light signal (Häkkinen 1999).

Many recent monitoring studies have demonstrated that the timing of spring phenophases has advanced due to the elevation of spring temperatures (e.g. Menzel 2000, Braslavska *et al.* 2004, Grisule and Malina 2005, Dai *et al.* 2005, Menzel *et al.* 2006b). The same conclusion was drawn in experimental manipulation studies carried out at thirteen research sites located in arctic ecosystems (Arft *et al.* 1999).

We investigated whether consistent changes occurred in plant phenophases in Finnish Lapland during the last ten years. We also studied whether these changes, if they occurred, were connected to environmental factors, such as the effective temperature sum, the mean May temperature, the thickness of the maximum snow cover, and the timing of snow melt. We monitored five tree species and two dwarf shrubs at 13 observation sites during the 10-year period from 1997 to 2006. We hypothesised that especially spring phenophases would have advanced due to increased spring temperatures, thereby leading to the lengthening of the growth period. This is the first study to summarise long-term phenological patterns of several species from data collected within the Finnish National Phenological Network.

Material and methods

The research was carried out at 13 observation sites across Finnish Lapland in 1997–2006. The observation sites belong to the Finnish National Phenological Network launched by the Finnish Forest Research Institute (Metla) in 1995 (Poikolainen et al. 1996) (Fig. 1 and Table 1). At each observation site, five tree individuals in mature (41-80 years) and planted tree stands were randomly selected for long-term observations (Kubin et al. 2007) (Table 2). One criterion was that the selected tree individuals had to be healthy, normal in size, and naturally established. In addition, the selected trees had to be part of a stand growing on a mesic or relatively dry forest, dominated by Vaccinium myrtillus or V. vitis-idaea. Furthermore, the selected trees were required to be representative of the average local environment, meaning that the timing of the phenophases should not to be exceptionally early or late relative to the surroundings. The distance between the selected tree individuals varied from site to site. Two dwarf shrub species were observed on five study plots each 1 m² in size and approximately 10 m apart.

Depending on the species, two to four phenophases were recorded annually while monitoring the same tree individuals or study plots (Table 2). The vegetative phenophases related to foliage growth were recorded in the case of Betula pendula, Betula pubescens and Populus tremula, while the reproductive phenophases related to the flowering and ripening of berries were recorded in Prunus padus, Vaccinium myrtillus and Vaccinium vitis-idaea. In Sorbus aucuparia, both vegetative and reproductive phenophases were recorded. All observers were given uniform instructions (Kubin et al. 2007). The observations were made twice a week applying visual estimations of phenophases. The bud burst phase was determined as the date on which the leaves were still very small but fully extruded from the bud, and when the leaf petiole was not yet visible. The phase when the leaves were full-sized was recorded when the leaves no longer increased in size. The criterion applied in all the phenophases was the threshold value of 50%. For the observation of leaf colouring, for example, this meant that 50% of leaves of a certain species per observation site had turned yellow by the observation date. The variation in the timing of phenophases amongst individual trees or study plots of the dwarf shrubs was not recorded.

Daily data on mean temperatures from the beginning of March to the end of October, maxi-



Fig. 1. Location of observation sites and annual effective temperature sums (ETS) during the period 1997–2006.

Table 1. Pheno ods during 199	ological observation sites 17–2006.	, their locations, a	and mean effectiv	e temperature sum (ETS)	of growth peri-
Number	Observation site	Lat. N	Long. E	Altitude (m a.s.l.)	ETS (d.d.)

Number	Observation site	Lat. N	Long. E	Altitude (m a.s.l.)	ETS (d.d.)
1	Pisavaara	66°16′	25°06′	117	996
2	Oulanka	66°20′	29°08´	160	953
3	Kivalo	66°21′	26°40′	153	1065
4	Pyhätunturi	67°01′	27°11′	215	973
5	Kolari	67°21´	23°50′	150	947
6	Äkäslompolo	67°35′	67°12′	340	895
7	Värriö	67°45′	29°37´	350	744
8	Pallasjärvi	68°01′	24°09´	260	763
9	Saariselkä	68°24′	27°23´	300	723
10	Hetta	68°27′	23°30′	300	713
11	Muddusjärvi	69°07′	27°10′	155	728
12	Kilpisjärvi	69°03′	20°46´	480	541
13	Kevo	69°45′	27°01´	100	732

Table 2. Plant species and their spring and autumn phenophases under observation.

Species	Spring	Autumn
Betula pendula	Bud burst, leaves full sized	Leaf colouring
B. pubescens	Bud burst, leaves full sized	Leaf colouring
Populus tremula	Leaves full sized	Leaf colouring
Sorbus aucuparia	Bud burst, flowering	Leaf colouring, berries ripe
Prunus padus	Flowering	Berries ripe
Vaccinium myrtillus	Flowering	Berries ripe
V. vitis-idaea	Flowering	Berries ripe

mum thickness of snow cover, and melting date of the permanent snow cover were available from 15 weather stations of the Arctic Research Centre of the Finnish Meteorological Institute. These weather stations are located 14.2 kilometres (median value) from the phenological observation sites. We considered the weather stations' data to represent the areal climate of the observed species.

Analysis of data

We calculated the effective temperature sum (ETS) applying a threshold value of +5 °C for the entire thermal growing season, which begins when the daily mean temperature stays above +5 °C for at least five consecutive days and ends when temperature drops permanently below +5 °C (Venäläinen et al. 2005). The threshold of +5 °C is the threshold generally used in Finland. We also tested threshold temperatures between 0 °C and +5 °C. Statistical analysis based on different threshold temperatures showed no consistent differences in phenological trends. We also calculated monthly mean temperatures using the daily mean temperatures. We determined the length of the vegetative growth period for each species as the number of days between bud burst and leaf colouring. Similarly, we defined the length of the reproductive period as the number of days between flowering and the ripening of berries.

We used linear regression models in order to detect consistent trends in climate and in timing of plant phenophases during the observation years. Similarly, we used linear regression model and partial correlation to determine the relationship between the phenological events and environmental factors, i.e. ETS, mean May temperature, maximum snow thickness, and date of snow melt. We also tested mean March and mean April temperatures. As the mean May temperature was the most powerful factor explaining mean monthly temperatures, we excluded the other months from further analyses for simplicity. We calculated the relationship between the timing of phenophases in each species using the Pearson correlation. We used untransformed values in tests, since the data were normally

distributed and homoscedastic. Since only one average value was recorded for each species and phenophase at each observation site, statistical comparison between the observation sites was not possible. We corrected the significance levels in each table by using the sequential Bonferroni technique ($\alpha = 0.05$, Quinn and Keough 2002). All analyses were carried out using SPSS 12 for Windows (SPSS Inc. 2003).

Results

Variation in air temperature was quite similar at all of the observation sites during the study period (Fig. 1). The coldest summer was 1998; then the effective temperature sum (ETS) ranged from 461 at Kilpisjärvi to 839 at Pisavaara. The warmest summer was 2002 when the ETS ranged from 664 at Kilpisjärvi to 1115 at Pisavaara. According to the regression model, the ETS increased by 17.7 day degrees (d.d.) per year (Table 3). Simultaneously, the maximum snow thickness diminished annually by 3.5 cm, and the date of snow melt advanced on average by 1.4 days per year, from 27 May in 1997 to 6 May in 2006. The mean May temperature increased annually by 0.3 degrees. According to the regression model, an increase of one degree in mean May temperature advanced the snow melt by 3.8 days. Likewise, a decrease of one cm in maximum snow thickness advanced the snow melt by 0.3 days (Table 3).

The timing of the earliest vegetative phenophases advanced during the 10-year period 1997-2006 (Fig. 2). The bud burst of Betula pendula and B. pubescens occurred on average 1.7-1.8 days earlier each year, on 5 June in 1997 and on 14 May in 2006, and on 7 June in 1997 and 15 May in 2006, respectively (Fig. 2A and B). The bud burst of S. aucuparia advanced from 4 June in 1998 to 15 May in 2006 (Fig. 2D). However, a later spring phase, the timing of full-sized leaves, did not show any advancement in Betula sp. and P. tremula. The flowering of S. aucuparia and P. padus advanced from 30 June to 22 June and from 22 June to 12 June, respectively. The flowering of Vaccinium myrtillus and V. vitis-idaea advanced from 19 June to 10 June and from 4 July to 25 June, respectively (Fig. 3). All regressions were statistically significant even when the extreme year of 2006 was excluded from the analysis (data not shown).

The spring phenophases advanced in pace with increasing mean May temperatures in all of the species (Fig. 4). For example, an increase of one degree in the mean air temperature in May corresponded to an advancement of bud burst by 4.7-4.8 days in Betula sp. (Fig. 4A). The full-sized leaves of P. tremula and the flowering of S. aucuparia and V. vitis-idaea did not advance significantly in pace with increasing May temperatures (Fig. 4); in the case of these phenophases, the date of snow melt was a better predictor than air temperature ($R^2 = 0.32 - 0.43$, p < 0.001, n = 91-104). The maximum thickness of the snow cover also appeared to be a statistically significant predictor of the timing of flowering. Nevertheless, a partial correlation with the elimination of the effect of snow melt showed that the impact of maximum thickness of snow cover was no longer statistically significant (data not shown). The spring phenophases occurred at stable ETS, which was indicated by an insignificant correlation between the phenophases and ETS (p > 0.05, data not shown).

Despite the advancement of the onset of spring, the earliest vegetative phenophases occurred at higher ETS values each year. *B. pen-dula* started growing annually at 3.0 d.d. and *B. pubescens* at 1.9 d.d. higher ETS values (Table 3). The pattern was similar but statistically insignificant for *P. tremula* and *S. aucuparia* (Table 3). Flowering occurred annually at a relatively stable ETS value (p > 0.05, data not shown).

In contrast to spring phenophases, the vegetative phenophases in the autumn did not show any consistent pattern during the observation years (Fig. 2). Similarly, the ripening of berries did not show any pattern (Fig. 3). The autumn phenophases occurred at stable ETS values (p > 0.05, data not shown).

Due to the advancement of the spring, the vegetative growth period lengthened annually by 1.6–2.3 days in the case of *Betula* sp. and *S. aucuparia* (Table 3). The growth period was not significantly changed for *P. tremula*. The duration of the reproductive period did not show any significant pattern (Table 3). The length of the vegetative growth period increased along with increasing ETS (Fig. 5A and B), whereas the reproductive period was independent on

Table 3. Linear regression coefficients between environmental variables, plant phenological variables, and observation year. Tablewise significance levels were corrected using sequential Bonferroni technique ($\alpha = 0.05$). Values set in boldface indicate significant regressions. b_1 indicates average annual change observed during monitoring period.

Dependent variable	Independent variable	п	R^2	p	<i>b</i> ₁
ETS	Year	119	0.10	0.001	17.72
Maximum snow thickness	Year	110	0.29	< 0.001	-3.46
Date of snow melt	Year	110	0.15	< 0.001	-1.42
May temperature	Year	118	0.24	< 0.001	0.32
Date of snow melt	May temperature	110	0.45	< 0.001	-3.76
Date of snow melt	Max. snow thickness	110	0.32	< 0.001	0.28
ETS at bud burst in <i>B. pendula</i>	Year	55	0.26	< 0.001	3.05
ETS at bud burst in <i>B. pubescens</i>	Year	101	0.12	< 0.001	1.93
ETS at first leaves in P. tremula	Year	86	0.06	0.022	4.74
ETS at bud burst in S. aucuparia	Year	83	0.09	0.006	1.86
Growth period in <i>B. pendula</i>	Year	61	0.16	0.001	1.57
Growth period in <i>B. pubescens</i>	Year	100	0.18	< 0.001	1.65
Growth period in <i>P. tremula</i>	Year	88	0.01	0.330	0.36
Growth period in S. aucuparia	Year	90	0.21	< 0.001	2.31
Reproductive period in S. aucuparia	Year	84	0.00	0.737	0.09
Reproductive period in <i>P.padus</i>	Year	67	0.00	0.739	-0.11
Reproductive period in V. myrtillus	Year	106	0.00	0.512	-0.17
Reproductive period in V. vitis-idaea	Year	101	0.08	0.006	0.82



Fig. 2. Linear regression in vegetative phenophases in (**A**) *Betula pendula*, (**B**) *B. pubescens*, (**C**) *Populus tremula*, and (**D**) *Sorbus aucuparia* in 1997–2006. Tablewise significance levels were corrected using sequential Bonferroni technique ($\alpha = 0.05$). Regression lines and equations fitted to data are only presented if they were significant. n = 61-106 in regression models.

ETS (Fig. 5C and D).

There was no correlation in the timing between the vegetative phenophases of a given species, except between bud burst and full-sized leaves in *B. pubescens* (Table 4). On the other hand, the reproductive phenophases (flowering *vs.* ripening of berries) showed a significant correlation in all species for which reproductive phenophases were recorded. In the case of *S. aucuparia*, flowering and bud burst were closely correlated in spring, whereas in autumn the ripening of berries and the time of leaf colouring showed no correlation (Table 4). The accumulation of ETS between flowering and ripening of berries was constant in *V. myrtillus* and *P. padus* (p > 0.05), whereas it increased annually by 16.4 d.d. in *V. vitis-idaea* ($R^2 = 0.15$, p < 0.001, n = 102), and by 11.9 d.d. in *S. aucuparia* ($R^2 = 0.11$, p = 0.002, n = 88).

Discussion

Our results indicate that the onset of spring occurred 0.8 to 2.0 days earlier each year in Finnish Lapland during the 10-year period 1997–2006. This leads to an overall advancement of 1–2 weeks in spring phenophases during the relatively short observation period. It should be noted that the increase in the mean May



Fig. 3. Linear regressions in reproductive phenophases in (**A**) *Sorbus aucuparia*, (**B**) *Prunus padus*, (**C**) *Vaccinium myrtillus*, and (**D**) *V. vitis-idaea* in 1997–2006. Tablewise significance levels were corrected using sequential Bonferroni technique ($\alpha = 0.05$). Regression lines and equations fitted to data are only presented if they were significant. *n* = 69–104 in regression models.

temperature during the observation period was quite high, 3 °C per decade. If this trend continues, climate warming would be more significant than is currently predicted as the predictions for Finland vary between 2 °C and 7 °C by the year 2080 (Jylhä *et al.* 2004, Ruosteenoja *et al.* 2005). Nevertheless, the short-term advancement was statistically significant even when the extreme year of 2006 was excluded from regression analyses. The earliest phenophases, such as the bud burst of trees, advanced most for all, whereas by the time when the leaves had developed to their full size, the advancement had levelled out. The phenological patterns were almost uniform for the various species regarding comparable phenological phases. This is in accordance with Linkosalo (e.g. 1999, 2000a), who showed that the pattern of spring advancement was similar between the various species from year to year, which indicates a unanimous optimal response to climatic conditions. Bud burst is considered to be the most important phenophase in showing the most powerful response to temperature change (Chuine and Beaubien 2001, Scheifinger *et al.* 2003, Menzel *et al.* 2006a). In our work, each increase of one degree in the mean air temperature in May corresponded to an advancement of bud burst by 4.7–4.8 days and of flowering by 0–3.7 days, depending on the species. Karlsson *et al.* (2003) also detected that the change of



Fig. 4. Regression coefficients between the occurrence of vegetative phenophases and mean May temperature in (**A**) *Betula pendula* and *B. pubescens*, (**B**) *Populus* tremula and *Sorbus aucuparia* in 1997–2006. Regression coefficients between occurrence of reproductive phases and mean May temperature in (**C**) *S. aucuparia* and *Prunus padus*, and (**D**) *Vaccinium* sp. in 1997–2006. Tablewise significance levels were corrected using sequential Bonferroni technique ($\alpha = 0.05$). Regression lines and equations fitted to data are only presented if they were significant. n = 62-106 in the regression models.

May temperature has the strongest influence on the date of budburst in mountain birch at high latitudes.

The advance in the onset of spring was observed in several earlier studies conducted in Europe (Ahas 1999, Menzel 2000, Grisule and Malina 2005, Menzel *et al.* 2006a) and North America (e.g. Schwartz 1998, Beaubien and Freeland 2000, Zhou *et al.* 2001). Nevertheless, studies from northern high mountains and the northernmost continental areas of Europe have shown delayed occurrence of spring due to greater snow thickness in winter, which in turn lead to delayed in snow melt (e.g. Høgda *et al.* 2001, Kozlov and Berlina 2002, Shutova *et al.* 2005, 2006). In cold regions, the time of melting of snow cover in spring is the primary factor launching the growth period (Myneni *et al.* 1997, Suni *et al.* 2003, Wielgolaski and Karlsen 2006), while the thickness of the snow cover is an important factor impacting on the onset of flowering (Høgda *et al.* 2001). In our study, both the mean temperature of May and the timing of snow melt were good predictors of the spring phenophases, whereas the thickness of the snow cover had only an indirect impact by influencing the timing of snow melt.

Our regression models revealed that bud burst occurred at increasingly elevated ETS values each year, while flowering occurred at



Fig. 5. Linear regressions between vegetative growth period in (**A**) *Betula* sp., (**B**) *Populus tremula* and *Sorbus aucuparia* and ETS, and between reproductive period in (**C**) *S. aucuparia*, *Prunus padus*, and (**D**) *Vaccinium* sp. and ETS. Tablewise significance levels were corrected using sequential Bonferroni technique ($\alpha = 0.05$). Regression lines and equations fitted to data are only presented if they were significant. n = 61-106 in the regression models.

relatively stable ETS values. This may indicate that the temperature requirements for greening are higher in the early spring when the risk of night frosts is still high and/or that photoperiodic constraints in the early spring have an influence on the requirement for elevated ETS. Photoperiodic factors are known to influence the springtime development of trees (Partanen et al. 1998, Linkosalo and Lechowicz 2006). Increased frost damage to trees is considered to be a noteworthy consequence of climate warming, especially in boreal ecosystems (e.g. Hänninen 1995, 2006, Saxe et al. 2001). Organs characterised by early phenological development are more susceptible to frost damage than organs characterised by later phenological development (Linkosalo 2000b). The requirement for elevated ETS in early spring would especially protect vegetative organs against frost damage, which would be important for the survival of the plant. Flowering at a stable ETS may be a consequence of the fact that flowering occurs later than bud burst and the probability of frosts is then lower.

Both vegetative and reproductive phenophases in the autumn remained relatively stable throughout the observation period. Earlier results of the monitoring of autumn phenophases vary considerably, which indicates that there are several factors influencing the autumn development of plants. Menzel (2000) showed that leaf colouring had been delayed by about 6 days in 1959–1996 in Europe. Braslavska *et al.* (2004) published results indicating that there has been no clear trend in the leaf colouring of *Betula pen*- dula in central Europe since the 1950s. Kozlov and Berlina (2002) and Shutova et al. (2005) showed a trend of earlier yellowing of birch leaves in the Kola Peninsula. Factors triggering the colour change of leaves include both air temperature and light signal (Häkkinen 1999). According to Partanen (2004), the photoperiod may be the more important of these two factors, whereas in the High Arctic, higher temperatures may postpone the autumn phenophases (Marchand et al. 2004). The ripening of berries seems to be connected with the temperature of the preceding months (Dose and Menzel 2006). Menzel et al. (2006b) showed that fruiting of wild plants correlated negatively with higher temperature and showed an advancement of 2.5 days per decade. Still, wild plants are less closely reliant on temperature than cultivated plants (Menzel et al. 2006b).

The length of the vegetative growth period increased annually on average by 1.6–2.3 days for *Betula* sp. and *S. aucuparia*, in which the earliest phenological phase bud burst was recorded. *P. tremula* did not demonstrate such a pattern as the observations were started only when the leaves had reached their full size. This result emphasises the point that phenological observations should cover the entire growth period of the plants in order to provide a realistic picture of the trends over the years. In most studies, the length of the growth period has been increasing due to advanced spring phenophases (e.g. Menzel and Fabian 1999, Menzel 2000, Chmielewski and Rötzer 2001). However, studies carried out at continental high latitudes and in alpine areas have shown a decrease in the length of the growth period (e.g. Høgda *et al.* 2001, Shutova *et al.* 2006). Strong regionality in the climate of northern Fennoscandia leads to great regional differences in vegetation and in the length of the growing season.

A statistically significant regression with respect to the length of the vegetative growth period and ETS indicates that temperature had a strong impact on the growth rhythms of the studied plants. However, due to the lack of growth measurements related to the observed plants we are not able to indicate what the ecological consequences of the lengthening of the growth period will actually be. A longer growth period may not necessarily be reflected in increased biomass production if there are other constraints that limit plant growth. For example, frost damage in the spring may reduce plant production. Compensation after injury may be retarded in those species whose meristems are produced in the previous season. On the other hand, all of the studied species have abundant bud banks, which ensure a high capacity for compensatory growth following frost injury. Frost risk may also differ between trees and dwarf shrubs, as the latter are adapted to overwintering under a

Species	Phenophases	п	r	p
Betula pendula	Bud burst/full-sized leaves	62	0.29	0.025
	Bud burst/leaf colouring	61	0.08	0.555
	Full-sized leaves/leaf colouring	61	0.10	0.450
Betula pubescens	Bud burst/full-sized leaves	105	0.44	< 0.001
	Bud burst/leaf colouring	100	0.15	0.140
	Full-sized leaves/leaf colouring	100	0.19	0.066
Populus tremula	Full-sized leaves/leaf colouring	88	0.21	0.046
Sorbus aucuparia	Bud burst/leaf colouring	90	0.28	0.009
	Bud burst/flowering	89	0.65	< 0.001
	Flowering/leaf colouring	88	0.28	0.007
	Flowering/ripening of berries	84	0.65	< 0.001
Prunus padus	Flowering/ripening of berries	68	0.72	< 0.001
Vaccinium myrtillus	Flowering/ripening of berries	104	0.64	< 0.001
Vaccinium vitis-idaea	Flowering/ripening of berries	99	0.49	< 0.001

Table 4. Pearson correlation coefficients between timing of plant phenophases. In *S. aucuparia*, only four relevant correlations were calculated. Tablewise significance levels were corrected using sequential Bonferroni technique ($\alpha = 0.05$). Values set in boldface indicate significant correlations.

cover of snow. Recovery from injuries depends on the phenological stage in V. myrtillus (Tolvanen 1997, Tolvanen and Taulavuori 1998). If resources are used for growth due to early snow melt and high temperatures, the recovery ability following frost damage may be low. Especially sexual production may be delayed, since the production of berries may need accumulation of resources over many seasons (Tolvanen 1997). In addition to frost, dry years, the extreme year in this respect being 2006, may limit plant growth despite favourable temperature conditions. Extreme years of drought may also speed up the yellowing of leaves in autumn. Also, indirect impacts, such as diseases, herbivory, and competition, may have negative impacts on the growth potential of plants (Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (ACIA) 2005).

Contrary to the vegetative phenophases, the length of the reproductive period, i.e. the time needed for the ripening of seeds, was independent of ETS. The dates between flowering and the ripening of berries were powerfully correlated, which indicates that the ripening of seeds reflection of the internal rhythm of plants rather than of ambient conditions. Another explanation is that the ETS requirement from flowering to the ripening of the berries is constant, as was the case with V. myrtillus and P. padus. For example, the earlier dates of ripening of berries of S. aucuparia, P. padus and Vaccinium sp. were explained by the earlier date of flowering instead of a higher ETS value by the time of berry ripening. If advanced flowering leads to earlier ripening of berries and seeds, this has crucial impacts on the ability of plant species to spread to colder areas. It is well known that the shortness of the growing season is among the most important limiting factors affecting the growth and reproduction of plants occurring at the limit of their distribution (Walker et al. 1995, Suzuki and Kudo 1997). Under conditions of elevated temperature, plants might be able to produce viable seed more frequently than under the extreme conditions they had to endure previously.

We observed a clear advancement in spring phenology during the last 10 years. However, a longer observation period is needed to determine whether the observed advancement is a consequence of the predicted climate change or of normal climatic variability. Long observation series can be used in phenological models, which help to predict the timing of phenophases and the impact of changing environmental conditions on forest plants.

Acknowledgements: We thank the staff of the Finnish Forest Research Institute (Metla), Metsähallitus, the Finnish Game and Fisheries Research Institute, Agrifood Research Finland, and Subarctic Research Station Kevo of the University of Turku for carrying out the phenological observations. We are grateful to the staff of the Arctic Research Centre of the Finnish Meteorological Institute for providing the weather data. The study was funded by projects 3179 and 3385 conducted at Metla and by the Interreg IIIA Nordkalotten Program.

References

- Aerts R., Cornelissen J.H.C. & Dorrepaal E. 2006. Plant performance in a warmer world: general responses of plants from cold, northern biomes and the importance of winter and spring events. *Plant Ecology* 182: 65–77.
- Ahas R. 1999. Long-term phyto-, orhitho- and ichthyophenological time-series analyses in Estonia. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 42: 119–123.
- Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (ACIA) 2005. Arctic Climate Impact Assessment. Scientific report. Cambridge University Press.
- Arft A.M., Walker M.D., Gurevitch J., Alatalo J.M., Bret-Harte M.S., Dale M., Diemer M., Gugerli F., Henry G.H.R., Jones M.H., Hollister R., Jónsdóttir I.S., Laine K., Lévesque E., Marion G.M., Molau U., Mølgaard P., Nordenhäll U., Raszhivin V., Robinson C.H., Starr G., Stenström A., Stenström M., Totland Ø., Turner L., Walker L., Webber P., Welker J.M. & Wookey P.A. 1999. Response patterns of tundra plant species to experimental warming: a meta-analysis of the International Tundra Experiment. *Ecological Monographs* 69: 491–511.
- Beaubien E.G. & Freeland H.J. 2000. Spring phenology trends in Alberta, Canada: links to ocean temperature. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 44: 53–59.
- Braslavská O., Müller-Westermeier G., Šť astný P., Luknárová V., Tekušová M., Dittmann E., Bissolli P., Kreis A., Bruns E., Bohrendt J., Meier D. & Polte-Rudolf C. 2004. Evaluation of phenological data for climatological purposes. Final Report. *Deutscher Wetterdienst Forschung* und Entwicklung, Arbeitsergebnisse 81: 1–93.
- Chmielewski F.-M. & Rötzer T. 2001. Response of tree phenology to climate change across Europe. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 108: 101–112.
- Chuine I. & Beaubien E.G. 2001. Phenology is a major determinant of tree species range. *Ecology Letters* 4: 500–510.
- Dai J., Ge Q., Zheng J. & Zhong S. 2005. An analysis on the relationship between recent warming and changes of spring plants phenophases in Beijing. *Deutscher Wetterdienst, Annalen der Meteorologie* 41: 543–546.

- Dose V. & Menzel A. 2004. Bayesian analysis of climate change impacts in phenology. *Global Change Biology* 10: 259–272.
- Grisule G. & Malina Z. 2005. Analysis of long-term phenological time-series in the territory of Latvia. *Deutscher Wetterdienst, Annalen der Meteorologie* 41: 549.
- Heikinheimo M. & Lappalainen H. 1997. Dependence of the flower bud burst of some plant taxa in Finland on effective temperature sum: implications for climate warming. *Annales Botanici Fennici* 34: 229–143.
- Høgda K.A., Karlsen S.R. & Solheim I. 2001. Climatic change impact on growing season in Fennoscandia studied by a time series of NOAA AVHRR NDVI data. In: *Proceedings of IGARSS*, 9–13 July 2001, Sydney, Australia, pp. 1–3.
- Houghton J.T., Merio Filho L.G., Callander B.A., Harris N., Kattenburg A. & Maskell K. (eds.) 1996. Climate Change 1995 – the science of climate change. Working Group I, Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Häkkinen R. 1999. Analysis of bud-development theories based on long-term phenological and air temperature time series: application to *Betula* sp. leaves. *Finnish Forest Research Institute, Research Papers* 754: 1–618.
- Hänninen H. 1995. Effects of climatic change on trees from cool and temperate regions. An ecophysiological approach on modeling of bud burst phenology *Canadian Journal of Botany* 73: 183–199.
- Hänninen H. 2006. Climate warming and the risk of frost damage to boreal forest trees: identification of critical ecophysiological traits. *Tree Physiology* 26: 889–898.
- IPCC 2001. Climate Change 2001: The scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Jylhä K., Tuomenvirta H. & Ruosteenoja K. 2004. Climate change projections for Finland during the 21st century. *Boreal Environment Research* 9: 127–152.
- Karlsson P.S., Bylund H., Neuvonen S., Heino S. & Tjus M. 2003. Climatic response of budburst in the mountain birch at two areas in northern Fennoscandia and possible responses to global change. *Ecography* 26: 617–625.
- Kozlov M.V. & Berlina N.G. 2002. Decline in length of the summer season on the Kola peninsula, Russia. *Climatic Change* 54: 387–398.
- Kubin E., Kotilainen E., Poikolainen J., Hokkanen T., Nevalainen S., Pouttu A., Karhu J. & Pasanne J. 2007. Monitoring instructions of the Finnish National Phenological Network. The Finnish Forest Research Institute.
- Lieth H. 1974. Purposes of a phenology book. In: Lieth, H. (ed.), *Phenology and seasonality modelling*, Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 3–19.
- Linkosalo T. 1999. Regularities and patterns in the spring phenology of some boreal trees. *Silva Fennica* 33: 237–245.
- Linkosalo T. 2000a. Mutual regularity of spring phenology of some boreal tree species: predicting with other species and phenological models. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 30: 667–673.

- Linkosalo T. 2000b. Analyses of the spring phenology of boreal trees and its response to climate change. University of Helsinki Department of Forest Ecology Publications 22: 1–55.
- Linkosalo T. & Lechowicz M.J. 2006. Twilight far-red treatment advances leaf bud burst of silver birch (*Betula pendula*). *Tree Physiology* 26: 1249–1256.
- Maxwell B. 1992. Arctic climate: potential for change under global warming. In: Chapin F.S.III, Jeffries R.L., Reynolds J.F., Shaver G.R., Svoboda J. & Chu E.W. (eds.), *Arctic ecosystems in a changing climate. An ecophysiological perspective*, Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 11–34.
- Marchand F.L., Nijs I., Heuer M., Mertens S., Kockelbergh F., Pontailler J.-Y, Impens I. & Beyens L. 2004. Climate warming postpones senescence in high Arctic tundra. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research* 36: 390–394.
- Mitchell J.F.B., Manabe S., Melesheko V. & Tokioka T. 1990. Equilibrium climate change and its implications for the future. In: Houghton J.T., Jenkins G.J. & Ephraums J.J. (eds.), *Climate Change, The IPCC Scientific Assessment*, University Press, Cambridge, pp. 131–172.
- Menzel A. & Fabian P. 1999. Growing season extended in Europe. *Nature* 397: 659.
- Menzel A. 2000. Trends in phenological phases in Europe between 1951 and 1996. *International Journal of Biom*eteorology 44: 76–81.
- Menzel A. 2002. Phenology: its importance to the global change community. *Climatic Change* 54: 379–385.
- Menzel A., Sparks T.H., Estrella N. & Roy D.B. 2006a. Altered geographic and temporal variability in phenology in response to climate change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 15: 498–504.
- Menzel A., Sparks T.H., Estrella N., Koch E., Aasa A., Ahas R., Alm-Kübler K., Bissolli P., Braslavská O., Briede A., Chmielewski F.M., Crepinsek Z., Curnel Y., Dahl Å., Defila C., Donnelly A., Filella Y., Jatczak K., Måge F., Mestre A., Nordli Ø., Peñuelas J., Pirinen P., Remišová V., Scheifinger H., Striz M., Susnik A., van Vliet A.J.H., Wielgolaski F.-E., Zach S. & Zust A. 2006b. European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern. *Global Change Biology* 12: 1969– 1976.
- Myneni R.B., Keeling, C.D., Tucker C.J., Asrar G. & Nemani R.R. 1997. Increased plant growth in the northern high latitudes from 1981 to 1991. *Nature* 386: 698–702.
- Overpeck J., Hughen K., Hardy D., Bradley R., Case R., Douglas M., Finney B., Gajewski K., Jacoby G., Jennings A., Lamoureux S., Lasca A., MacDonald G., Moore J., Retelle M., Smith S., Wolfe A. & Zielinski G. 1997. Arctic environmental change of the last four centuries. *Science* 278: 1251–1256.
- Partanen J., Koski V. & Hänninen H. 1998. Effects of photoperiod and temperature on the timing of bud burst in Norway spruce. *Tree Physiology* 18: 811–816.
- Partanen J. 2004. Regulation of growth onset and cessation in Norway spruce, Scots pine and Silver birch. *Finnish Forest Research Institute, Research Papers* 921: 1–148.
- Poikolainen J., Karhu J. & Kubin E. 1996. Development of a plant-phenological observation network in Finland.

Finnish Forest Research Institute, Research Papers 623: 97–101.

- Quinn G.P. & Keough M.J. 2002. Experimental design and data analysis for biologists. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Ruosteenoja K., Jylhä K. & Tuomenvirta H. 2005. Climate scenarios for FINADAPT studies of climate change adaptation. FINADAPT Working Paper 15. *Finnish Environment Institute Mimeographs* 345: 1–32.
- Sarvas R. 1972. Investigations on the annual cycle of development of forest trees. Active period. *Communicationes Instituti Forestalis Fenniae* 76: 1–110.
- Sarvas R. 1974. Investigations on the annual cycle of development of forest trees II. Autumn dormancy and winter dormancy. *Communicationes Instituti Forestalis Fenniae* 84: 1–101.
- Saxe H., Cannell M.G.R., Johnsen Ø., Ryan M.G. & Vourlitis G. 2001. Tree and forest fundctioning in response to global warming. *New Phytologist* 149: 369–400.
- Scheifinger H., Menzel A., Koch E. & Peter C. 2003. Trends of spring time frost events and phenological dates in Central Europe. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology* 74: 41–51.
- Schwartz M.D. 1998. Green-wave phenology. *Nature* 394: 839–840.
- Shutova E., Wielgolaski F.E., Karlsen S.R., Makarova O, Haraldsson E., Aspholm P.E., Berlina N., Filimonova T., Flø L. & Høgda K.A. 2005. Phenology of nordic mountain birch in relation to climate change at Kola Peninsula and trans-boundary Pasvik-Enare region. *Deutscher Wetterdienst, Annalen der Meteorologie* 41: 524–527.
- Shutova E., Wielgolaski F.E., Karles S.R., Makarova O., Berlina N., Filimonova T., Haraldsson E., Aspholm P.E., Flø L. & Høgda K.A. 2006. Growing seasons of Nordic mountain birch in northernmost Europe as indicated by long-term field studies and analyses of satellite images.

International Journal of Biometeorology 51: 155–166.

- SPSS Inc. 2003. SPSS base 12.0 user's guide. SPSS Inc. Chigago, IL.
- Suni T., Berninger F., Vesala T., Markkanen T., Hari P., Mäkelä A., Ilvesniemi H., Hänninen H., Nikinmaa E., Huttula T., Laurila T., Aurela M., Grelle A., Lindroth A., Arneth A., Shibistova O. & Lloyd J. 2003. Air temperature triggers the recovery of evergreen boreal forest photosyntesis in spring. *Global Change Biology* 9: 1410–1426.
- Suzuki S. & Kudo G. 1997. Short-term effects of simulated environmental change on phenology, leaf traits, and shoot growth of alpine plants on a temperate mountain, northern Japan. *Global Change Biology* 3: 108–115.
- Tolvanen A. 1997. Recovery of the bilberry (Vaccinium myrtillus L.) from artificial spring and summer frost. Plant Ecology 130: 35–39.
- Tolvanen A. & Taulavuori K. 1998. Timing of deacclimation affects the ability to recover from simulated winter herbivory. *Plant Ecology* 135: 9–12.
- Venäläinen A., Tuomenvirta H., Pirinen P. & Drebs A. 2005. A basic Finnish climate data set 1961–2000 — description and illustrations. *Finnish Meteorological Institute*, *Reports* 5: 1–27.
- Walker M.D., Ingersoll R.C. & Webber P.J. 1995. Effects of interannual climate variation on phenology and growth of two alpine forbs. *Ecology* 76: 1067–1083.
- Wielgolaski F.E. & Karlsen S.R. 2006. Some views on plants in polar and alpine regions. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*. DOI 10.1007/s11157-006-0014-z.
- Zhou L.M., Tucker C.J., Kaufmann R.K., Slayback D., Shabanov N.B. & Myneni R.B. 2001. Variations in northern vegetation activity inferred from satellite data of vegetation index during 1981 to 1999. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 106: 20069–20084.