1	Sex-specific transcription and DNA methylation profiles of reproductive and
2	epigenetic associated genes in the gonads and livers of breeding zebrafish.
3	L. V. Laing ^{1,2} *, J. Viana ³ , E. Dempster ³ , T. M. Uren Webster ^{1, 4} , R. van Aerle ⁵ , J. Mill ³ , E. M. Santos ¹ *
4	¹ Biosciences, College of Life & Environmental Sciences, Geoffrey Pope Building, University of Exeter,
5	Exeter, EX4 4QD, United Kingdom
6	² Present address: Environmental Epigenetics Group, Institute of Experimental Genetics, Helmholtz
7	Zentrum München and German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD),85764 Neuherberg, Germany
8	³ University of Exeter Medical School, RILD building, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX2 5DW, United
9	Kingdom
10	⁴ Present address: College of Science, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea, UK, SA2 8PP.
11	⁵ Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Barrack Road, The Nothe, Weymouth,
12	Dorset, DT4 8UB, United Kingdom
13	
14	* Corresponding authors
15	E-mail: II292@exeter.ac.uk, e.santos@exeter.ac.uk

17 Abstract:

18 Reproduction is an essential process for life and is regulated by complex hormone networks and 19 environmental factors. To date, little is known about the contribution of epigenetic mechanisms to 20 the regulation of reproduction, particularly in lower vertebrates. We used the zebrafish (Danio rerio) 21 model to investigate the sex-specific transcription and DNA methylation profiles for genes involved 22 in the regulation of reproduction and in epigenetic signalling in the livers and gonads. We found 23 evidence for associations between DNA promotor methylation and transcription for esr1 (gonads 24 and female livers), amh (gonads) and dnmt1 (livers). In the liver, esr1 was shown to be significantly 25 over-expressed in females compared to males, and its promoter was significantly hypo-methylated 26 in females compared to males. In the gonads, genes involved in epigenetic processes including 27 dnmt1, dnmt3 and hdac1 were over-expressed in the ovary compared to the testis. In addition, 28 dnmt1 and dnmt3 transcription in the testis was found to be strongly correlated with global DNA 29 methylation. These data provide evidence of the sex-specific epigenetic regulation and transcription 30 of genes involved in reproduction and epigenetic signalling in a commonly used vertebrate model.

31 Keywords: LUMA assay, pyrosequencing, teleost, gamete, hepatic, germ cells.

32 **1. Introduction:**

33 Reproduction is essential for species proliferation and a variety of sexual and asexual reproductive 34 strategies have evolved in multicellular organisms. Vertebrates generally reproduce sexually and, for gonochoristic species, undifferentiated gonads differentiate into either ovaries or testis during 35 36 development. Compared to mammals, where sex determination is controlled by a cascade of 37 molecular events associated with the presence or absence of the sex determining region in the Y 38 chromosome (SRY) (Eggers et al., 2014), many fish species, including the zebrafish, display a greater 39 degree of plasticity with regards to sexual determination and differentiation (Martínez et al., 2014; 40 Ribas et al., 2017). Studies in medaka have shown that DMY (the DM-domain gene on the Y chromosome), an SRY homologue, is the key initiator of male masculinisation (Chakraborty et al., 41 42 2016; Matsuda et al., 2007). For zebrafish, evidence for polygenic sex determination mechanisms 43 have been proposed (Liew et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2014). Despite the variability in sex 44 determination mechanisms, the downstream pathways regulating sex differentiation and 45 reproductive function are well conserved across vertebrates (Aron, 1979; Hewitt and Korach, 2003; 46 Piferrer, 2001; Simerly, 2002). At the level of the gonads, large numbers of transcripts were reported 47 to be differentially expressed between ovaries and testis in a range of vertebrate species including the zebrafish (Santos et al., 2007; Wen et al., 2005), rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) (Daniel 48 49 Baron et al., 2005) and mouse (Menke and Page, 2002). Several of these genes have been linked to 50 gonad differentiation including anti-mullerian hormone (amh) and sry (sex determining region Y)-box 51 9a (sox9a), both predominantly expressed in the testis, and aromatase (cyp19a1a), an enzyme 52 responsible for catalyzing the irreversible conversion of androgen to estrogens, predominantly 53 expressed in the ovaries (Rodríguez-Marí et al., 2005; Santos et al., 2007).

Although less pronounced, sexual dimorphism in gene transcription has also been reported in other tissues for both mammals and fish, including in the brain, liver, kidney, muscle and adipose tissue (Ribas et al., 2017; Rinn and Snyder, 2005; Santos et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2006; Zheng et al., 2013).

In the liver of fish (and other oviparous vertebrates), vitellogenin (*vtg*) and zona radiata proteins (*zrp*) are strongly over-expressed in females and their expression are regulated by estrogens (Arukwe and Goksøyr, 2003; Tyler et al., 1999). In addition, estrogen receptor 1 (*esr1*) transcription was found to be greater in the livers of females compared to males in the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*), but in contrast estrogen receptor 2b (*esr2b*) was significantly higher in males (Filby and Tyler, 2005).

63 Besides being regulated by molecular and endocrine factors, sexual differentiation in fish is sensitive 64 to environmental cues, including temperature and oxygen saturation, and can be disturbed when 65 fish are exposed to altered environmental conditions resulting in altered sex ratios in fish populations (Baroiller et al., 2009; Shang et al., 2006). The role of epigenetic processes on both the 66 67 endogenous and environmental regulation of reproduction is still poorly understood (Piferrer, 2013). 68 Several epigenetic mechanisms of gene expression regulation have been described to date, including 69 changes in DNA methylation, histone modifications and ncRNAs (Goldberg et al., 2007; 70 Vandegehuchte and Janssen, 2011) and they all have the potential to contribute to the regulation of 71 expression of reproductive genes. Recent reports have focused principally on DNA methylation and 72 evidence from a range of vertebrates have demonstrated its importance for the regulation of 73 reproductive processes in some circumstances including in animals exposed to environmental 74 chemicals (Laing et al., 2016) and increased temperature (Navarro-Martín et al., 2011; Ribas et al., 75 2017).

In mammalian species the SRY gene is normally epigenetically silenced and activated during specific developmental windows (Nishino et al., 2004), and DNA methylation has been associated with sexlinked differential expression of sex steroid hormone related genes in conjunction with histone modifications in human cells (Martinowich et al., 2003; Yamane et al., 2006). In addition, a sex difference in DNA methylation of the estrogen receptor – alpha (*esr1*) promotor region has been

reported in rats, with males exhibiting a greater proportion of DNA methylation in the preoptic area
of the brain (Kurian et al., 2010).

83 Examples of epigenetic regulation of genes involved in reproduction have also been reported in fish 84 species. In the Japanese Flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus), the expression of doublesex and Mab-3-85 related transcription factor 1 (dmrt1), a transcription factor involved in sex determination and differentiation in fish, was reported to be 70 times higher in the testis compared to the ovary in 86 87 mature fish (Wen et al., 2014). The *dmrt1* promotor was found to be predominantly unmethylated in 88 testis cells, while in the ovary the 13 CpG sites measured were found to be relatively hyper-89 methylated (57.69%). In the same study the expression of *cyp19a1a* was found to be 40 times higher 90 in the ovary than in the testis, with the cyp19a1a promoter being notably hyper-methylated on average across 12 CpG sites in the testis compared to the ovary (Wen et al., 2014). This sex related 91 92 pattern of cyp19a1a transcription and promoter DNA methylation has also been described in the 93 European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) (Navarro-Martín et al., 2011). In this study, elevated 94 temperature resulted in the masculinization of females and was associated with an increase in 95 cyp19a1a promotor DNA methylation and a decrease in gene expression, suggesting that the 96 temperature-dependent masculinization process involves DNA methylation-mediated control of the 97 cyp19a1a gene.

98 The zebrafish is an important model organism frequently used in studies of vertebrate development, 99 disease, behaviour, physiology and as a model for human health research (Wilson et al., 2014). Given 100 its extensive use as a model organism, information about the sex-specific transcription patterns and 101 promoter DNA methylation of reproductive and epigenetic related genes is highly relevant to inform 102 on the design and interpretation of studies investigating how reproduction is regulated and how this 103 process is disrupted by environmental stressors. To date, little is known regarding the primary mode 104 of sex determination, differentiation and maintenance in the zebrafish, and no true conserved sex 105 chromosomes have been determined for lab strains (Kallivretaki et al., 2007; Sreenivasan et al.,

2008; Wilson et al., 2014). Evidence thus far suggests that zebrafish sex determination is polygenic
and may require female-dominant genetic factors, and genes influencing sex determination may
vary depending on the strain or environmental condition (Anderson et al., 2012; Tong et al., 2010).

The present study aimed to investigate the sex-specific transcription and DNA methylation profiles for reproductive and epigenetic genes in the livers and gonads of breeding zebrafish. To achieve this, we quantified the transcription of key genes involved in epigenetic signalling and reproductive function, together with global and locus-specific DNA methylation in the gonads and livers of mature males and females.

115 **2. Materials and Methods:**

116 2.1 Fish husbandry

117 Adult wild-type WIK strain zebrafish (originating from a stock population at the University of Exeter) 118 were maintained according to conditions reported by Paull and colleagues (Paull et al., 2008; 119 Westerfield, 1995). Mains tap water was filtered by reverse osmosis and reconstituted with Analar-120 grade mineral salts. Water was then heated to 28°C in a reservoir and aerated before it was supplied 121 to each aquarium via a flow-through system. Preceding the start of the experiment, fish were 122 allocated randomly into breeding groups (4 males and 4 females). These groups were then kept in 123 individual 15 L tanks and allowed to breed naturally. Tanks were supplied with a flow rate of 48 124 L/day with constant aeration and maintained at 28 \pm 0.5 °C and pH 7-7.5. Fish were kept under a 12h 125 light:dark cycle, including dawn and dusk transition periods of 30 minutes and were fed live Artemia 126 nauplii once daily (ZM Premium Grade Artemia; ZM Ltd.) and TetraMin tropical flake food (Tetra; 127 Melle, Germany) twice daily, to satiation (Laing et al., 2016). All experiments were approved by the 128 University of Exeter Ethics committee and conducted under approved protocols according to the UK 129 Home Office regulations for use of animals in scientific procedures.

130 Initially, reproduction was monitored in several colonies and after a 10-day acclimation period, 131 breeding groups that failed to spawn consistently were removed from the experiment. Reproduction 132 (number of eggs produced per female, % of fertilization) was monitored for a total of 25 days on the two breeding groups selected for this study. At the end of this period fish were sacrificed humanely 133 134 according to UK Home Office regulations, the fork length and weight were measured; the gonads 135 and livers were dissected, weighted, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for 136 molecular analysis. The gonadosomatic index (GSI) = gonad weight (mg)/[total weight (mg)- gonad 137 weight (mg)] x 100, hepatosomatic index (HSI) = liver weight (mg)/[total weight (mg)- liver weight 138 (mg)] x 100 and the condition factor (k) = [weight (g) x 100]/[fork length (cm)]³ were calculated for 139 each fish.

140 2.2 DNA and RNA isolation

141 RNA and DNA were extracted from the livers and gonads of 8 male and 8 female fish using the 142 AllPrep DNA/RNA Micro Qiagen Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's 143 instructions. The extraction of both RNA and DNA was performed from the same tissue sample to 144 allow for comparisons of the DNA methylation and transcription for the same gene within the same 145 individual. In order to assess RNA and DNA purity and concentration, samples were analysed using a 146 NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, USA).

147

148 2.3 Transcript profiling

149 Transcript profiling of genes encoding epigenetic regulatory proteins was performed. Epigenetic 150 marker genes were selected based on their role in DNA methylation maintenance and de novo DNA 151 methylation, including DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 1 (dnmt1) and DNA (cytosine-5)-152 methyltransferase 3 (dnmt3) respectively. Methyl binding domains and binding proteins such as 153 methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (mecp2), methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 2 (mbd2) and methyl-154 CpG-binding domain protein 3a (mbd3a) were also measured, representing further key targets 155 associated with indirect regulation of transcription as a result of promoter methylation. In addition, 156 we explored the differential transcription of histone modifiers, including histone deacetylase 1 157 (hdac1) and histone deacetylase 3 (hdac3), which are known to play a key role in the regulation of 158 eukaryotic gene expression, and therefore hypothesized to play a role in differential transcriptional 159 regulation between male and female gonads and livers.

We also measured the transcription of a number of target genes involved in reproductive processes including, aromatase (*cyp19a1a*), estrogen receptor 1 (*esr1*), estrogen receptor 2a (*esr2a*), estrogen receptor 2b (*esr2b*), androgen receptor (*ar*), anti-Mullerian hormone (*amh*), and vitellogenin (*vtg*)).

163 Transcription profiling was conducted using real-time quantitative PCR (RT-QPCR) as previously 164 described (Laing et al., 2016). Primers for each target gene were designed using Beacon Designer 3.0 165 software (Premier Biosoft International, Paulo Alto, CA) and using zebrafish NCBI RefSeq sequences. 166 Primers were purchased from MWG-Biotech (Ebersburg, Germany). Assays were optimized for each 167 transcript and standard curves were generated as previously described (Uren-Webster et al., 2014). 168 Primer specificity was confirmed by the observation of a single amplification product of the expected 169 melting temperature throughout the range of detection of the assays. The linear correlation (R²) 170 between the mean Ct and the logarithm of the cDNA dilution was > 0.99 in each case, and 171 efficiencies were between 1.86 - 2.24. The primer sequences, annealing temperatures, PCR product 172 sizes and PCR efficiencies for each primer pair were previously described in Laing et al., 2016 and are 173 shown in Supplementary Information Table S1.

174 RNA was treated with DNase I (Qiagen) to remove any potential DNA contamination. 2 μ g of total 175 RNA was then converted to cDNA using random hexamers (MWG-Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany) and 176 M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, USA), according to manufacturer's instructions. 177 cDNA was then diluted 1:2 and RT-QPCR was performed in duplicate using an iCycler iQ Real-time 178 Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) and SYBR Green chemistry as previously 179 described (Laing et al., 2016). A template-minus negative control was run in duplicate to verify the 180 absence of contamination on each plate. Efficiency-corrected relative expression levels were 181 determined using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Data were normalized to the 182 control gene, ribosomal protein I8 (rpl8), shown to have stable expression in the livers and gonads in another cyprinid fish species (Filby and Tyler, 2007, 2005). 183

184

185 2.4 Bisulfite-PCR-Pyrosequencing

186 The sequences of promoter regions of esr1, amh and dnmt1 were obtained from Ensembl (release 187 83; Cunningham et al 2015) (Cunningham et al., 2015) using the Biomart portal (Kinsella et al., 2011). 188 Zebrafish esr1 (ENSDARG00000004111) has three known transcripts (esr1-001 (3449 bp), esr1-201 189 (3502 bp) and esr1-202 (212 bp)) and two TSSs. The dnmt1 gene (ENSDARG00000030756) also has 190 two TSSs and three transcripts (dnmt1-001 (4896 bp), dnmt1-201 (4893 bp) and dnmt1-202 (5031 191 bp)). amh (ENSDARG00000014357) has one transcript (amh-001, 3243 bp) and one TSS (Figure 1). 192 The promoter regions were screened for the presence of putative binding sites for transcription 193 factors known to be involved in reproduction, including estrogen-responsive elements (EREs), 194 Dmrt3 and Sox9 using JASPAR (Sandelin et al., 2004), and the matrix models ESR1 (MA0112), ESR2 195 (MA0258), DMRT3 (MA0610) and SOX9 (MA0077). PCR and Pyrosequencing assays were designed 196 using the PyroMark Assay design software (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Pyrosequencing primers and their corresponding target sequences were previously described in Laing et al., 2016 (Laing et al., 197 198 2016) and are shown in Supplementary Information Table S2.

199 Genomic DNA (500 ng) was treated with sodium bisulfite using the EZ-96 DNA Methylation-Gold Kit 200 (Zymo Research, CA, USA) according to the manufacturers' standard protocol. Template preparation 201 and pyrosequencing in a Qiagen Pyromark Q24 pyrosequencer was carried out as described by Tost 202 and Gut (2007) (Tost and Gut, 2007) on bisulfite-treated DNA from the gonads and liver of 8 203 individual fish per treatment group. In this technique, the degree of methylation at each CpG 204 position in a sequence is determined from the ratio of T and C and the analytical sensitivity is 205 approximately 5%-10% for individual CpG dinucleotides (Mikeska and Craig, 2014). To verify the 206 absence of DNA contamination, negative controls were run in duplicate. Bisulfite-PCR amplification 207 was performed in duplicate using the primers and assay conditions provided in Supplementary 208 Information Table S2. In order to confirm primer specificity for bisulfite-modified DNA, unmodified 209 DNA samples were included during primer optimization. For figures 2-4, data are presented as an 210 average of CpG sites within each amplicon.

212 2.5 Luminometric-Based Assay (LUMA) for Global DNA Methylation

213 The LUMA assay was performed using DNA extracted from gonad samples from 8 individual fish per 214 sex, as described by Karimi and colleagues (Karimi et al., 2006). Analyses of global DNA methylation 215 were conducted only for gonad samples since sufficient quantities of DNA were not available to perform the LUMA assay in liver samples. 250ng of each DNA sample were digested in duplicate with 216 217 both Hpall and Mspl, and data were normalized to the EcoRI peak to account for any technical 218 differences between samples (Head et al., 2014). Hpall and Mspl are restriction endonucleases 219 which are sensitive and insensitive to CpG methylation in the sequence CCGG, respectively, while 220 EcoRI is included in all reactions as a normalization reference. Global DNA methylation values were 221 calculated according to the formula (HpalI(G)/EcoRI(T))/(MspI(G)/EcoRI(T)), where G and T refer to 222 the peak heights for Hpall or Mspl (DNA methylation) and EcoRI (input DNA), respectively.

223

224 2.6 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using R (version 3.0.2) (R Core Team, 2012). Data were tested for equal variance and for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test prior to analysis. Comparisons between male and female groups were performed using the Student's t-Test. P values of ≤ 0.05 were considered to be significant. All data are presented as mean ± SEM.

For transcript profiles, data points classified as outliers (using Chauvenet's criterion) and data points for which the expression was below the assay detection limit were excluded from analysis. Where for both of the sexes amplification was detected in more than 70% of individuals, data were represented as relative expression. Where amplification was detected in less than 70% of the individuals from one or more of the sexes, data were represented as the proportion of individuals for which the target genes were detected.

In order to determine if there were associations between the DNA methylation levels for specific loci
in the promoter regions of genes of interest and their transcription, correlation analysis was
conducted. Pearson correlation was used when data was normally distributed, and where data did
not meet the assumptions of parametric testing, Spearman correlation analysis was performed.
Correlation analyses were also conducted to determine the relationship between global DNA
methylation and transcription for *dnmt1* and *dnmt3*, as above.

All graphs were created using the R packages ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009), gplots (Warnes et al., 2015),
beeswarm (Eklund, 2015) and ggbiplot (Vincent Q. Vu, 2011).

243 **3. Results:**

244 Zebrafish breeding groups of 4 males and 4 females were allowed to breed naturally and 245 reproduction (egg output and proportion of fertilization) was quantified for 25 days. During this 246 period, fish reproduced normally as demonstrated by consistent egg production and fertilization 247 rates (Supplementary Information Figure S1). The gonadosomatic index (GSI; the ratio of gonad 248 weight to body weight) was also significantly lower in male fish compared to female fish (0.92 and 249 6.75 respectively; $P \le 0.001$; Supplementary Information Figure S2A). The mean hepatosomatic index 250 (HSI; the ratio of liver weight to body weight) in males was significantly lower than for females (1.04 251 and 3.87 respectively; $P \le 0.001$; Supplementary Information Figure S2B). There were no significant 252 differences in the condition factors of male and female fish in this study (0.97 and 1.08 respectively; 253 P = 0.093).

254

255 3.1 Sex-Specific Transcription and DNA Methylation Levels in the Gonads

We measured the transcription of target genes of interest using quantitative PCR, locus specific methylation using bisulfite pyrosequencing in selected loci (chosen based on their proximity to the transcription start site (TSS) and binding sites for transcription factors of recognised importance for reproductive function; Figure 1) and global methylation using the LUMA assay.

In the gonads, sex-specific patterns of transcription were identified for estrogen receptor 1 (*esr1*; 4.66 fold; P = 0.031), *esr2b* (14.75 fold; P = < 0.001), *amh* (21.71 fold; P = < 0.001) and *cyp19a1a* (46.47 fold; P = 0.032) but not for estrogen receptor 2a (*esr2a*) or the androgen receptor (*ar*; Figure 2A). *esr1* and *cyp19a1a* were predominantly expressed in the ovary, while *esr2b* and *amh* were found to be predominantly expressed in the testis. For transcripts involved in epigenetic regulation, sex-specific patterns of transcription were observed for *dnmt1* (45.46 fold; P = 0.031), *hdac1* (2.96 fold; P = 0.035), *dnmt3* (30.13 fold; P = 0.022), *mecp2* (7.22 fold; P = 0.006), *mbd2* (4.90 fold; P = 0.028) and *mbd3a* (24.10 fold; P = 0.033), where the relative mRNA transcription level for each of
these gene was found to be greater in the ovary compared to the testis (Figure 2A).

Mean global DNA methylation in the gonads was significantly higher in males (87.76%) compared to females (82.78%; $P \le 0.001$, Figure 3). Furthermore, in the testis *dnmt1* and *dnmt3* transcription were strongly inversely correlated with global DNA methylation (correlation coefficient = -0.919, P = 0.010 and correlation coefficient = - 0.927, P = 0.003 respectively; Table 1).

In the gonads, sex-specific DNA methylation levels were identified in the promoter region of *esr1* (male = 93.13%, female = 18.95%, $P \le 0.001$, Figure 2B) and *amh* (male = 75.14%, female = 50.88%, P = < 0.001, Figure 2B; DNA methylation levels are reported as an average percentage methylation across all CpG sites measured). However, there was no significant difference in the sex-specific DNA methylation levels for *dnmt1* (Figure 2B) despite the differences in *dnmt1* transcription observed between males and females.

The *esr1* gene transcription was significantly inversely correlated with promoter DNA methylation in the ovary (positions 1 and 2; Table 1) and in the testis (CpG position 1; Table 1). For the *amh* gene, transcription levels were strongly inversely correlated with promotor DNA methylation in the ovary (CpG position 1; Table 1) and the testis (CpG positions 2 and 3; Table 1). The *dnmt1* transcription did not correlate with promotor DNA methylation in the ovary or testis.

284

285 3.2 Sex-Specific Transcription and DNA Methylation Levels in the Liver

In the liver, at the transcriptional level, sex-specific differences were identified for a number of transcripts involved in reproductive function including the transcripts encoding vitellogenin (*vtg1*; 1322.96 fold; $P \le 0.001$; Figure 4A), *esr1* (8 fold; $P \le 0.001$; Figure 4B), and *esr2a* (2.8 fold; P = 0.012; Figure 4B), but not for estrogen receptor 2b (*esr2b*; Figure 4A). *vtg1* (Figure 4A) and *esr1* (Figure 4B) were found to be predominantly expressed in female compared to male livers, while *esr2a* was found to be predominantly expressed in males (Figure 4B). For transcripts involved in epigenetic regulation, differences in transcription between males and females were identified only for *hdac1* (P ≤ 0.001 ; over-expressed in males; Figure 4A).

Analysis of DNA methylation in the promoter region for *esr1* revealed consistently higher average DNA methylation at 2 CpG sites in males compared to females (male = 13.17%, female = 9.03%, P = 0.013, Figure 4C), but no significant differences in methylation were detected in the promoter region of *dnmt1*.

The transcription of *esr1* was significantly inversely correlated with its promoter DNA methylation in the liver of female fish (CpG positions 1 and 2; Table 1). In addition, for the *dnmt1* gene, transcription was significantly inversely correlated with promotor DNA methylation both in the livers of females (CpG positions 5, 7 and 10; Table 1) and males (CpG positions 2, 3, 9 and 11; Table 1).

302 **4. Discussion:**

A wealth of information exists about zebrafish reproductive biology at the physiological and molecular levels, but little is known about the role of epigenetic regulation on reproductive function in adult tissues. Here we report for the first time sexually dimorphic DNA methylation profiles, and associations between transcription and DNA methylation in the promoters of *esr1*, *amh* and *dnmt1*, providing a valuable contribution to our understanding of the epigenetic regulation of reproduction in this important model organism.

309

310 4.1 Sex-Specific Transcription and DNA Methylation in the Gonads

311 Comparisons of global DNA methylation between males and females revealed that the DNA in the 312 testes was significantly hyper-methylated compared to that in the ovaries. The relative proportion of 313 germ cells to somatic cells in oviparous animals differs in the male and female gonads, with testis 314 containing a far greater proportion of maturing germ cells, including sperm, compared to ovaries, 315 which contain large oocytes surrounded by many somatic cells (Leal et al., 2009; Schulz et al., 2010; 316 Selman et al., 1993). The differences in global DNA methylation between testes and ovaries could be 317 due to the differences in cell type composition between these two organs and in particular to the 318 high proportion of maturing gametes, including mature sperm which are known to be hypermethylated across vertebrates (Jiang et al., 2013; Potok et al., 2013). 319

In the gonads, *amh* was over-expressed in the testis compared to the ovary, as previously reported in fish (Ribas et al., 2017; Schulz et al., 2007) and other vertebrates (Houmard et al., 2009) where *amh* is known to play an important role in testis development. Studies in zebrafish have associated the upregulation of *amh* with the gonadal transcriptional profile in heat-induced masculinization of female fish (Ribas et al., 2017). In the ovary, *amh* is expressed in granulosa cells and is thought to have multiple functions including the regulation of germ cell proliferation and follicular development

326 (Morinaga et al., 2007), while in males amh is expressed by Sertoli cells, inhibits the development of 327 the Müllerian ducts during development and maintains the differentiation of the gonads in adult fish 328 (Schulz et al., 2007). Comparisons between the mean gonadal DNA methylation levels in the 5' 329 flanking region of *amh* revealed that the transcription of this gene and the level of DNA methylation 330 measured in its promotor region were significantly inversely correlated both in testicular and ovarian 331 tissues. DNA methylation of CpG sites located in gene promotors has previously been associated 332 with the regulation of transcript expression in a number of organisms, suggesting that for amh, 333 transcription may be influenced by DNA methylation in this region of the promotor (Jones, 1999).

334 In addition, the *amh* promotor region analysed was significantly hyper-methylated by 24.26% in 335 testes compared to ovaries, despite the fact that transcription of amh is higher in males. We 336 hypothesise that this may be due to the low proportion of cells expressing amh (Sertoli cells), 337 compared to germ cells in the testis, which would have masked the DNA methylation profiles 338 present in Sertoli cells. This result highlights the issues of conducting epigenetic studies in tissues 339 with multiple cell types, each with unique DNA methylation patterns, where changes in DNA 340 methylation on a specific cell type may not reflect the dynamics of the methylome in other cell 341 types.

342 Comparisons between the relative expression levels for the three estrogen receptor subtypes show 343 that the predominantly expressed receptor in both males and females was *esr2a*, but no differences 344 were observed between the sexes. In contrast, for esr1, there was a sex specific pattern of 345 transcription and DNA methylation; we found hyper-methylation of the 5' flanking region of the esr1 346 gene in the testis (93.13%) compared to the ovary (18.95%). We also observed that esr1 was 347 predominantly expressed in the ovaries, similar to previous reports in adult Oryzias latipes (Chakraborty et al., 2011) and Pimephales promelas (Filby and Tyler, 2005), associated with the 348 349 important role of esr1 in estrogen signalling in females. In addition, for both ovarian and testicular 350 tissues, esr1 expression was found to be significantly inversely correlated with promotor DNA

351 methylation, suggesting relative hypo-methylation of CpG sites in the promoter may be associated352 with elevated transcription for this gene.

It is important to note that the very prominent hyper-methylation of the targeted *esr1* promotor region reported in testicular tissue is potentially associated with the proportion of germ cells, including mature sperm, within the testis in addition to specific regulation within cell types where *esr1* is expressed (Jiang et al., 2013; Potok et al., 2013).

In contrast to that observed for *esr1*, *esr2b* was overexpressed in the testis, similarly to previous reports in the Korean rockfish (*Sebastes schlegeli*) (Mu et al., 2013). Our findings support the hypothesis that *esr2b* may play a role in mediating the effects of estrogen on testicular function and spermatogenesis in fish, as previously proposed for another cyprinid species (Filby and Tyler, 2005).

361 In ovaries, we found significant overexpression of *cyp19a1a*, as previously reported in a number of 362 species including in Perca flavescens and Pimephales promelas (Halm et al., 2002; Lynn et al., 2008). 363 Aromatase is responsible for the irreversible conversion of androgens into estrogens and it is 364 fundamental for female sex differentiation and development, with its inhibition resulting in 365 masculinization of the population (Fenske and Segner, 2004; Navarro-Martín et al., 2011), 366 accounting for its overexpression in ovaries (granulosa cells) compared to testes. For example, a 367 study in zebrafish associated the downregulation of cyp19a1a with the heat-induced masculinization 368 of female fish (Ribas et al., 2017).

For the transcripts involved in epigenetic pathways, in the gonads, sex-specific levels of transcription were observed for six of the seven transcripts measured, and all were significantly overexpressed in ovaries compared to testes. These findings suggest an important role for these transcripts during oogenesis and/or during embryonic development. It is important to note that sperm cells contain very little cytoplasm, and within this, few transcripts are stored and delivered to the embryo during fertilization (Krawetz, 2005; Sauvan et al., 2004). In contrast, oocytes contain large reserves of

375 maternal transcripts that support embryo development prior to the zygotic genome activation 376 (ZGA), with many maternal transcripts playing important roles well beyond this point (Aanes et al., 377 2011; Andersen et al., 2013). In zebrafish, ZGA occurs at approximately the 1,000 cell blastula stage, 378 much later in development than in mammalian species including mice (~2 cell) or humans (~4–8 cell) 379 (Andersen et al., 2012; Braude et al., 1988; Flach et al., 1982; Potok et al., 2013). Therefore, in 380 comparison to mammalian models, zebrafish are likely to be significantly more dependent on 381 maternal transcripts to support the critical early stages of embryo development. We hypothesize 382 that, in addition to their role within somatic and germ cells in the gonads, many of these transcripts 383 involved in epigenetic regulation which we have shown to be overexpressed in ovaries, may be 384 related to their role as maternal transcripts during embryo development, and are potentially 385 involved in the dynamics of demethylation and re-methylation occurring prior to ZGA, as well as 386 histone remodelling, occurring during this period (Jiang et al., 2013; Potok et al., 2013). This 387 hypothesis is supported by the fact that several of these transcripts were reported to be strongly 388 expressed during early embryo development prior to ZGA which begins during the maternal-to-389 zygotic transition as the embryo enters the mid blastula transition (reviewed in (Andersen et al., 390 2013)).

391 In the gonads, there were no significant differences in the DNA methylation patterns for dnmt1, 392 despite the fact that this gene was significantly overexpressed in ovaries at the transcript level. 393 However, the expression of dnmt1 and dnmt3 was significantly inversely correlated with global DNA 394 methylation in the testis, supporting the idea that these enzymes may be important in regulating global DNA methylation levels (Bestor, 2000). For example; the expression of *dnmt1* has been 395 396 associated with changes in global DNA methylation, and inactivation of *dnmt1* has been shown to 397 cause global demethylation of the genome (Bestor, 2000). In addition, it has been demonstrated 398 that *dnmt3* is important in the maintenance of DNA methylation patterns during *de novo* methylation processes (Okano et al., 1999; Potok et al., 2013). The mean promoter DNA 399 400 methylation of the 11 CpG sites measured was very low, 1.52% and 2.62% for males and females,

401 respectively. It is important to note, that while pyrosequencing is considered to be one of the most 402 accurate methods for measuring DNA methylation available, at this level of methylation the 403 detection accuracy of the Pyrosequencer is compromised. In addition, previous studies have 404 reported little correlation between transcription and DNA hypomethylation, suggesting that hypo-405 methylated promoters create a transcriptionally permissive state (Andersen et al., 2013), with no 406 predictive value on gene activation (Emerman et al., 2010). The fact that the promoter of *dnmt1* was 407 found to be hypo-methylated indicates that it was likely available to be regulated by other 408 mechanisms including transcription factors, histone recruitment and modifications (reviewed in 409 (Andersen et al., 2013)). In addition to repression of transcription through DNA hyper-methylation, 410 genes may be blocked indirectly through the recruitment of methyl-binding proteins or methyl-CpG-411 binding domain proteins, and these in turn may recruit co-repressors such as histone deacetylases 412 (Klose and Bird, 2006). Interestingly, in this study *hdac1* was the most highly expressed gene of those 413 we studied in the gonads and was significantly over-expressed in ovarian tissue. Mecp2, mbd2 and 414 mdb3a were also significantly over-expressed in ovarian tissue compared to the testis; therefore, it 415 is possible that the transcription of *dnmt1* in the ovaries may be regulated indirectly through histone 416 modifications or the recruitment of methyl-CpG-binding domain proteins.

417

418 4.2 Sex-Specific Transcriptional and DNA Methylation in the Liver

We found significant over-expression of the transcripts encoding *esr1* and *vtg1* in female livers, likely associated with the role of these genes in vitellogenesis (Filby and Tyler, 2005). In parallel, the 5' flanking region of the *esr1* gene was hyper-methylated in male compared to female livers. The transcription for *esr1* was found to be significantly inversely correlated with *esr1* promotor DNA methylation in the livers of female fish, suggesting that the level of promoter DNA methylation contributes to regulation of transcription for this gene. In contrast, the transcript encoding *esr2a* was over-expressed in male livers compared to females. This estrogen receptor subtype is thought to be responsible for maintaining basal *esr1* levels and to act as an adjustment mechanism for
estrogen function (Griffin et al., 2013; Nelson and Habibi, 2010). The *esr2a* transcript profile levels
are therefore variable and have been reported to be higher either in females (Lynn et al., 2008) or in
males (Filby and Tyler, 2005; Halm et al., 2004).

430 For transcripts involved in epigenetic regulation, one gene (hdac1) was differentially expressed 431 between males and females in the liver and was over-expressed in males. The significance of this 432 finding is unknown, and to our knowledge this is the first time that a sex specific transcription 433 pattern for this gene is reported for hepatic tissue. For *dnmt1*, significant inverse correlations were 434 found between transcription and promotor DNA methylation in both male and female livers, 435 suggesting that the DNA methylation present on the promotor of this gene may play a role in the 436 regulation of its transcription in this tissue, even though the promoter region analysed was strongly 437 hypo-methylated, and therefore likely regulated by other mechanisms of transcriptional regulation. 438 This demonstrates the importance of DNA methylation of the promoter region analysed for the 439 functional regulation of this gene.

Given the functional role of the hepatic tissue in sexually mature females, including the production of vitellogenins and chorion proteins that are incorporated into developing oocytes, the physiology of the liver in females differs from that of males. This is reflected in the higher hepatosomatic index of females compared to males and it is possible that the proportion and volume of hepatocytes compared to other cell types within the liver vary between males and females. If this is the case, this could also contribute to the differences in transcription and promoter DNA methylation observed between the livers of males and females.

There are some limitations to the methodologies used in this study: the locus- specific DNA methylation measurements were conducted only on a small number of CpG sites (2-11), within the regulatory regions of select target genes, hypothesized to be play important roles in the regulation of reproduction. CpG sites were chosen based on their proximity to the TSSs and putative binding

451 sites for transcription factors known to be key regulators of reproduction, including estrogen-452 responsive elements (EREs). This analysis therefore does not provide a comprehensive view of the 453 changes of methylation potentially occurring at other CpG sites, including those within gene bodies 454 and potentially important for the regulation of splicing (Laurent et al., 2010).

455 In the future, we advocate that studies should perform measurements of transcription and 456 methylation at the genome wide level at the various stages of sex development, and ideally on 457 isolated populations of cells to avoid the cellular heterogeneity of complex tissues, to better 458 understand the potential for DNA methylation to play a role in transcriptional regulation and 459 contribute to the establishment and maintenance of sexual dimorphism in zebrafish. In addition, it 460 would have been interesting to expand our studies to other important tissues involved in the 461 regulation of reproduction (brain and pituitary) to build a more complete picture of the epigenetic 462 regulation of reproduction in this species. Further to this, while this study focused on methylation, 463 epigenetic regulation of transcription is not limited to DNA methylation. Our finding that *hdac1* was 464 differentially expressed between males and females in both gonads and livers advocates for the 465 importance of measuring histone acetylation, as well as other histone and DNA modifications, in further studies. 466

468 **5. Conclusions**:

469 We provide evidence for sexual dimorphism in transcription and DNA methylation profiles in the 470 livers and gonads of an important model organism. We report novel evidence for associations 471 between DNA promotor methylation and transcription for esr1, amh and dnmt1, and between 472 dnmt1 and dnmt3 transcription and global DNA methylation in the gonads. In addition, we 473 document for the first time a significant overexpression of a group of genes involved in DNA and 474 histone modifications in ovaries compared to testis, likely associated with their role as maternal 475 transcripts to support embryo development. In addition, our data highlight critical considerations for 476 investigating epigenetics in multicellular tissues, where each cell population is characterized by its 477 own unique transcriptional and epigenetic signature.

479 **6. Acknowledgements:**

We thank the Aquatic Resources Centre technical team for support with zebrafish husbandry. This work was funded by a PhD studentship from the Fisheries Society of the British Isles (http://www.fsbi.org.uk/) and the University of Exeter (http://www.exeter.ac.uk/) to LVL and EMS. TMUW was funded by a Natural Environment Research Council CASE PhD studentship (grant no. NE/I528326/1) and the Salmon & Trout Association (http://www.salmon-trout.org/). RVA was supported by Cefas Seedcorn funding (DP385 & DP371).

487 **7. References:**

- Aanes, H., Winata, C.L., Lin, C.H., Chen, J.P., Srinivasan, K.G., Lee, S.G.P., Lim, A.Y.M., Hajan, H.S.,
 Collas, P., Bourque, G., Gong, Z., Korzh, V., Aleström, P., Mathavan, S., 2011. Zebrafish mRNA
 sequencing deciphers novelties in transcriptome dynamics during maternal to zygotic transition.
 Genome Res. 21, 1328–1338.
- Andersen, I.S., Lindeman, L.C., Reiner, A.H., Østrup, O., Aanes, H., Aleström, P., Collas, P., 2013.
 Epigenetic marking of the zebrafish developmental program. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 104, 85–112.
- 494 Andersen, I.S., Reiner, A.H., Aanes, H., Aleström, P., Collas, P., 2012. Developmental features of DNA
- 495 methylation during activation of the embryonic zebrafish genome. Genome Biol. 13, R65.
- Anderson, J.L., Mari, A., Braasch, I., Amores, A., Hohenlohe, P., Batzel, P., Postlethwait, J.H., 2012.
 Multiple sex-associated regions and a putative sex chromosome in zebrafish revealed by RAD
 mapping and population genomics. PLoS One 7.
- Aron, C., 1979. Mechanisms of Control of the Reproductive Function by Olfactory Stimuli in Female
 Mammals. Physiol. Rev. 52, 229–284.
- Arukwe, A., Goksøyr, A., 2003. Eggshell and egg yolk proteins in fish: hepatic proteins for the next
 generation: oogenetic, population, and evolutionary implications of endocrine disruption. Comp.
 Hepatol. 2, 4.
- 504 Baroiller, J.F., D'Cotta, H., Saillant, E., 2009. Environmental effects on fish sex determination and 505 differentiation. Sex. Dev. 3, 118–135.
- Baron, D., Houlgatte, R., Alexis, F., Guiuen, Y., 2005. Large-scale temporal gene expression profiling
- 507 during gonadal differentiation and early gametogenesis in Rainbow trout. Biol. Reprod. 73, 959–966.
- 508 Bestor, T.H., 2000. The DNA methyltransferases of mammals. Hum. Mol. Genet. 9, 2395–402.

- Braude, P., Bolton, V., Moore, S., 1988. Human gene expression first occurs between the four- and
 eight-cell stages of preimplantation development. Nature 332, 459–461.
- 511 Chakraborty, T., Shibata, Y., Zhou, L.Y., Katsu, Y., Iguchi, T., Nagahama, Y., 2011. Differential 512 expression of three estrogen receptor subtype mRNAs in gonads and liver from embryos to adults of 513 the medaka, *Oryzias latipes*. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 333, 47–54.
- Chakraborty, T., Zhou, L.Y., Chaudhari, A., Iguchi, T., 2016. *Dmy* initiates masculinity by expression in
 medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Nat. Publ. Gr. 1–11.
- 516 Cunningham, F., Amode, M.R., Barrell, D., Beal, K., Billis, K., Brent, S., Carvalho-Silva, D., Clapham, P.,
- 517 Coates, G., Fitzgerald, S., Gil, L., Girón, C.G., Gordon, L., Hourlier, T., Hunt, S.E., Flicek., S., Zerbino,
- 518 D.R., Paul, 2015. Ensembl 2015. Nucleic Acids Res. 43.
- Eggers, S., Ohnesorg, T., Sinclair, A., 2014. Genetic regulation of mammalian gonad development.
 Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 10, 673–683.
- 521 Eklund, A., 2015. Beeswarm: The Bee Swarm Plot, an Alternative to Stripchart. R Packag. version522 0.2.0.
- Emerman, A.B., Zhang, Z.-R., Chakrabarti, O., Hegde, R.S., 2010. Compartment-restricted
 biotinylation reveals novel features of prion protein metabolism *in vivo*. Mol. Biol. Cell 21, 4325–
 4337.
- Fenske, M., Segner, H., 2004. Aromatase modulation alters gonadal differentiation in developing
 zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Aquat. Toxicol. 67, 105–126.
- Filby, A.L., Tyler, C.R., 2007. Appropriate "housekeeping" genes for use in expression profiling the
 effects of environmental estrogens in fish. BMC Mol. Biol. 8, 10.

Filby, A L., Tyler, C.R., 2005. Molecular characterization of estrogen receptors 1, 2a, and 2b and their
tissue and ontogenic expression profiles in fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). Biol. Reprod. 73,
648–62.

Flach, G., Johnson, M.H., Braude, P.R., Taylor, R. a, Bolton, V.N., 1982. The transition from maternal
to embryonic control in the 2-cell mouse embryo. EMBO J. 1, 681–686.

Goldberg, A.D., Allis, C.D., Bernstein, E., 2007. Epigenetics: A landscape takes shape. Cell 128, 635–
638.

- 537 Griffin, L.B., January, K.E., Ho, K.W., Cotter, K. a, Callard, G. V, 2013. Morpholino-mediated 538 knockdown of ER alpha, ER betaa, and E Rbetab mRNAs in Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) embryos reveals 539 differential regulation of estrogen-inducible genes. Endocrinology 154, 4158–4169.
- Halm, S., Martínez-Rodríguez, G., Rodríguez, L., Prat, F., Mylonas, C.C., Carrillo, M., Zanuy, S., 2004.
 Cloning, characterisation, and expression of three oestrogen receptors (ERα, ERβ1 and ERβ2) in the

542 European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 223, 63–75.

Halm, S., Pounds, N., Maddix, S., Rand-Weaver, M., Sumpter, J.P., Hutchinson, T.H., Tyler, C.R., 2002.

544 Exposure to exogenous 17 beta-oestradiol disrupts P450aromB mRNA expression in the brain and

545 gonad of adult fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*). Aquat. Toxicol. 60, 285–299.

- Head, J. a., Mittal, K., Basu, N., 2014. Application of the LUminometric Methylation Assay to
 ecological species: Tissue quality requirements and a survey of DNA methylation levels in animals.
 Mol. Ecol. Resour.
- Hewitt, S.C., Korach, K.S., 2003. Oestrogen receptor knockout mice: roles for oestrogen receptors
 alpha and beta in reproductive tissues. Reproduction 125, 143–149.
- Houmard, B., Small, C., Yang, L., Naluai-Cecchini, T., Cheng, E., Hassold, T., Griswold, M., 2009. Global
 gene expression in the human fetal testis and ovary. Biol Reprod 443, biolreprod.108.075747.

- 553 Jiang, L., Zhang, J., Wang, J.-J., Wang, L., Zhang, L., Li, G., Yang, X., Ma, X., Sun, X., Cai, J., Zhang, J.,
- Huang, X., Yu, M., Wang, X., Liu, F., Wu, C.-I., He, C., Zhang, B., Ci, W., Liu, J., 2013. Sperm, but not
 oocyte, DNA methylome is inherited by zebrafish early embryos. Cell 153, 773–84.
- Jones, P.A., 1999. The DNA methylation paradox. Trends Genet. 15, 34–37.
- 557 Kallivretaki, E., Eggen, R.I.L., Neuhauss, S.C.F., Kah, O., Segner, H., 2007. The zebrafish, brain-specific,
- aromatase *cyp19a2* is neither expressed nor distributed in a sexually dimorphic manner during
 sexual differentiation. Dev. Dyn. 236, 3155–3166.
- Karimi, M., Johansson, S., Ekström, T.J., 2006. Using LUMA: A luminometric-based assay for global
 DNA-methylation. Epigenetics 1, 45–48.
- Kinsella, *et al.*, 2011. Ensembl BioMarts: a hub for data retrieval across taxonomic space. Database
 (Oxford) 2011.
- Klose, R.J., Bird, A.P., 2006. Genomic DNA methylation: the mark and its mediators. Trends Biochem.
 Sci. 31, 89–97.
- Krawetz, S. a, 2005. Paternal contribution: new insights and future challenges. Nat. Rev. Genet. 6,
 633–642.
- Kurian, J.R., Olesen, K.M., Auger, A.P., 2010. Sex differences in epigenetic regulation of the estrogen
 receptor-alpha promoter within the developing preoptic area. Endocrinology 151, 2297–2305.
- Laing, L. V., Viana, J., Dempster, E.L., Trznadel, M., Trunkfield, L. a., Uren Webster, T.M., van Aerle,
 R., Paull, G.C., Wilson, R.J., Mill, J., Santos, E.M., 2016. Bisphenol A causes reproductive toxicity,
 decreases *dnmt1* transcription, and reduces global DNA methylation in breeding zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Epigenetics 11, 526–538.

- Laurent, L., Wong, E., Li, G., Huynh, T., Tsirigos, A., Ong, C.T., Low, H.M., Kin Sung, K.W., Rigoutsos, I.,
 Loring, J., Wei, C.-L., 2010. Dynamic changes in the human methylome during differentiation.
 Genome Res. 20, 320–331.
- Leal, M.C., Cardoso, E.R., Nóbrega, R.H., Batlouni, S.R., Bogerd, J., França, L.R., Schulz, R.W., 2009.
 Histological and stereological evaluation of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) spermatogenesis with an
 emphasis on spermatogonial generations. Biol. Reprod. 81, 177–87.
- Liew, W.C., Bartfai, R., Lim, Z., Sreenivasan, R., Siegfried, K.R., Orban, L., 2012. Polygenic sex
 determination system in zebrafish. PLoS One 7.
- 582 Livak, K.J., Schmittgen, T.D., 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
 583 quantitative PCR. Methods 25, 402–408.
- Lynn, S.G., Birge, W.J., Shepherd, B.S., 2008. Molecular characterization and sex-specific tissue expression of estrogen receptor α (*esr1*), estrogen receptor βa (*esr2a*) and ovarian aromatase (*cyp19a1a*) in yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. - B Biochem. Mol. Biol. 149, 126–147.
- Martínez, P., Viñas, A.M., Sánchez, L., Díaz, N., Ribas, L., Piferrer, F., 2014. Genetic architecture of sex
 determination in fish: Applications to sex ratio control in aquaculture. Front. Genet. 5, 1–13.
- Martinowich, K., Hattori, D., Wu, H., Fouse, S., He, F., Hu, Y., Fan, G., Sun, Y.E., 2003. DNA
 methylation related chromatin remodeling in activity- dependent *Bdnf*. Gene Regulation 302, 890–
 894.
- Matsuda, M., Shinomiya, A., Kinoshita, M., Suzuki, A., Kobayashi, T., Paul-Prasanth, B., Lau, E.,
 Hamaguchi, S., Sakaizumi, M., Nagahama, Y., 2007. DMY gene induces male development in
 genetically female (XX) medaka fish. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104, 3865–3870.

- 596 Menke, D.B., Page, D.C., 2002. Sexually dimorphic gene expression in the developing mouse gonad.
 597 Gene Expr. Patterns 2, 359–367.
- 598 Mikeska, T., Craig, J.M., 2014. DNA methylation biomarkers: Cancer and beyond. Genes (Basel). 5, 599 821–864.
- Morinaga, C., Saito, D., Nakamura, S., Sasaki, T., Asakawa, S., Shimizu, N., Mitani, H., Furutani-Seiki,
 M., Tanaka, M., Kondoh, H., 2007. The hotei mutation of medaka in the anti-Mullerian hormone
 receptor causes the dysregulation of germ cell and sexual development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.
 104, 9691–9696.
- Mu, W.J., Wen, H.S., Shi, D., Yang, Y.P., 2013. Molecular cloning and expression analysis of estrogen
 receptor betas (ERβ1 and ERβ2) during gonad development in the Korean rockfish, *Sebastes schlegeli*. Gene 523, 39–49.
- Navarro-Martín, L., Viñas, J., Ribas, L., Díaz, N., Gutiérrez, A., Di Croce, L., Piferrer, F., 2011. DNA
 methylation of the gonadal aromatase (*cyp19a*) promoter is involved in temperature-dependent sex
 ratio shifts in the European sea bass. PLoS Genet. 7.
- Nelson, E.R., Habibi, H.R., 2010. Functional significance of nuclear estrogen receptor subtypes in the
 liver of goldfish. Endocrinology 151, 1668–1676.
- Nishino, K., Hattori, N., Tanaka, S., Shiota, K., 2004. DNA methylation-mediated control of *Sry* gene
 expression in mouse gonadal development. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 22306–22313.
- Okano, M., Bell, D.W., Haber, D.A., Li, E., 1999. DNA methyltransferases *Dnmt3a* and *Dnmt3b* are
 essential for *de novo* methylation and mammalian development. Cell 99, 247–257.
- Paull, G.C., Van Look, K.J.W., Santos, E.M., Filby, A.L., Gray, D.M., Nash, J.P., Tyler, C.R., 2008.
 Variability in measures of reproductive success in laboratory-kept colonies of zebrafish and

618 implications for studies addressing population-level effects of environmental chemicals. Aquat.
619 Toxicol. 87, 115–26.

620 Piferrer, F., 2013. Epigenetics of sex determination and gonadogenesis. Dev. Dyn. 242, 360–370.

Piferrer, F., 2001. Endocrine sex control strategies for the feminization of teleost fish. Aquaculture
197, 229–281.

Potok, M.E., Nix, D. a, Parnell, T.J., Cairns, B.R., 2013. Reprogramming the maternal zebrafish
genome after fertilization to match the paternal methylation pattern. Cell 153, 759–72 (2013).

R Core Team, 2012. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Found. Stat. Comput.
Vienna, Austria.

Ribas, L., Liew, W.C., Díaz, N., Sreenivasan, R., Orbán, L., Piferrer, F., 2017. Heat-induced
masculinization in domesticated zebrafish is family-specific and yields a set of different gonadal
transcriptomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 201609411.

Rinn, J.L., Snyder, M., 2005. Sexual dimorphism in mammalian gene expression. Trends Genet. 21,
298–305.

Rodríguez-Marí, A., Yan, Y.L., BreMiller, R.A., Wilson, C., Cañestro, C., Postlethwait, J.H., 2005.
Characterization and expression pattern of zebrafish anti-Müllerian hormone (*amh*) relative to *sox9a*, *sox9b*, and *cyp19a1a*, during gonad development. Gene Expr. Patterns 5, 655–667.

Sandelin, A., Alkema, W., Engstrom, P., Wasserman, W., Lenhard, B., 2004. JASPAR: an open-access
database for eukaryotic transcription factor binding profiles. Nucleic Acids Res. 32.

Santos, E.M., Kille, P., Workman, V.L., Paull, G.C., Tyler, C.R., 2008. Sexually dimorphic gene
expression in the brains of mature zebrafish. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. - A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 149,
314–324.

- 640 Santos, E.M., Workman, V.L., Paull, G.C., Filby, a L., Van Look, K.J.W., Kille, P., Tyler, C.R., 2007.
- 641 Molecular basis of sex and reproductive status in breeding zebrafish. Physiol. Genomics 30, 111–22.
- 642 Sauvan, C., Lalanne, P., Hugonin, J.-P Delivering Spermatozoan RNA to the oocyte. Nature 429,643 (2004).
- 644 Schulz, R.W., Bogerd, J., Male, R., Ball, J., Fenske, M., Olsen, L.C., Tyler, C.R., 2007. Estrogen-Induced

Alterations in amh and dmrt1 Expression Signal for Disruption in Male Sexual Development in the

Zebrafish Estrogen-Induced Alterations in amh and dmrt1 Expression Signal for Disruption in Male

- 647 Sexual Development in the Zebrafish. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 6305–6310.

645

- 648 Schulz, R.W., de França, L.R., Lareyre, J.-J., Le Gac, F., LeGac, F., Chiarini-Garcia, H., Nobrega, R.H.,
- 649 Miura, T., 2010. Spermatogenesis in fish. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 165, 390–411.
- Selman, K., Wallace, R., Sarka, A., Qi, X., 1993. Stages of oocyte development in the zebrafish,
 Brachydanio rerio. J. Morphol. 218, 203–224.
- 652 Shang, E.V.A.H.H., Yu, R.M.K., Wu, R.S.S., 2006. Hypoxia affects sex differentiation and development,
- leading to a male-dominated population in Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) 40, 3118–3122.
- Simerly, R.B., 2002. Wired for reproduction: Organization and Development of Sexually Dimorphic
 Circuits in the Mammalian Forebrain. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 25, 507–536.
- Sreenivasan, R., Cai, M., Bartfai, R., Wang, X., Christoffels, A., Orban, L., 2008. Transcriptomic
 analyses reveal novel genes with sexually dimorphic expression in the zebrafish gonad and brain.
 PLoS One 3.
- Tong, S.K., Hsu, H.J., Chung, B.C., 2010. Zebrafish monosex population reveals female dominance in
 sex determination and earliest events of gonad differentiation. Dev. Biol. 344, 849–856.
- Tost, J., Gut, I.G., 2007. DNA methylation analysis by pyrosequencing. Nat. Protoc. 2, 2265–75.

- Tyler, C.R., van Aerle, R., Hutchinson, T.H., Maddix, S., Trip, H., 1999. An *in vivo* testing system for
 endocrine disruptors in fish early life stages using induction of vitellogenin. Environ. Toxicol. Chem.
 18, 337–347.
- 665 Uren-Webster, T.M., Laing, L., Florence, H., Santos, E., 2014. Effects of glyphosate and its
 666 formulation, Roundup[®], on reproduction in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 1271–
 667 1279.
- Vandegehuchte, M.B., Janssen, C.R., 2011. Epigenetics and its implications for ecotoxicology.
 Ecotoxicology 20, 607–24.

670 Vincent Q. Vu, 2011. ggbiplot: A ggplot2 based biplot. R Packag. version 0.55. 2011.

- Warnes, G.R., Bolker, B., Bonebakker, L., Gentleman, R., Liaw, W.H.A., Lumley, T., Maechler, M.,
 Magnusson, A., Moeller, S., Schwartz, M., Venables, B., 2015. gplots: Various R programming tools
 for plotting data. R packag. version 2.17.0. 2015.
- Wen, A.Y., You, F., Sun, P., Li, J., Xu, D.D., Wu, Z.H., Ma, D.Y., Zhang, P.J., 2014. CpG methylation of *dmrt1* and *cyp19a* promoters in relation to their sexual dimorphic expression in the Japanese
 flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus*. J. Fish Biol. 84, 193–205.
- Wen, C., Zhang, Z., Ma, W., Xu, M., Wen, Z., Peng, J., 2005. Genome-wide identification of femaleenriched genes in zebrafish. Dev. Dyn. 232, 171–179.
- 679 Westerfield, M., 1995. The Zebrafish Book. A guide for the laboratory use of Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*),
 680 3rd Edition.
- 681 Wickham, H., 2009. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer New York.
- Wilson, C.A., High, S.K., McCluskey, B.M., Amores, A., Yan, Y. -l., Titus, T.A., Anderson, J.L., Batzel, P.,
- 683 Carvan, M.J., Schartl, M., Postlethwait, J.H., 2014. Wild sex in zebrafish: loss of the natural sex
- determinant in domesticated strains. Genetics 198, 1291–1308.

- Yamane, K., Toumazou, C., Tsukada, Y. ichi, Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., Wong, J., Zhang, Y.,
 2006. JHDM2A, a JmjC-containing H3K9 demethylase, facilitates transcription activation by androgen
 receptor. Cell 125, 483–495.
- 488 Yang, X., Yang, X., Schadt, E.E., Schadt, E.E., Wang, S., Wang, S., Wang, H., Wang, H., Arnold, A.P.,
- Arnold, A.P., Ingram-Drake, L., Ingram-Drake, L., Drake, T. a, Drake, T. a, Lusis, A.J., Lusis, A.J., 2006.
- 690 Tissue-specific expression and regulation of sexually dimorphic genes in mice. Genome Res.
- Cheng, W., Xu, H., Lam, S.H., Luo, H., Karuturi, R.K.M., Gong, Z., 2013. Transcriptomic analyses of
- 692 sexual dimorphism of the Zebrafish Liver and the effect of sex hormones. PLoS One 8, 1–12.

694 8. Figure captions and Tables:

695



702 chr20:26,483,369-26,484,513 (*esr1*).





DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 1 (dnmt1) - GRCz10 3:54,352,519-54,352,819



Estrogen receptor 1 (esr1) - GRCz10 20:26,483,369-26,484,513



703

Figure 2. A) Comparison of the relative transcript profiles (target gene transcription/*rpl8* transcription) between females and males in adult zebrafish gonads (n= 6-8 for each group). **B**) Comparison of gene specific average promoter methylation between females and males in adult zebrafish gonads. Data for individual CpG sites are presented in supplementary figure 3. Asterisks indicate significant differences between males and females (Student's t-Test; *P<0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001)



Figure 3. A) Comparison of the proportion of global DNA methylation (measured using the LUMA assay) between ovaries and testis in control adult zebrafish (n = 6-8 for each group). Asterisks indicate significant differences between males and females (Student's t-Test; ***P<0.001). Example of histological sections of zebrafish testicular (B) and ovarian tissue (C) of mature fish to illustrate the histological structure and proportion of the various cell types in ovaries and testes. The testes section is a normal testes showing different stages of spermatogenesis; SG spermatogonia, SC Spermatocytes, ST Spermatids and SZ spermatozoa. The ovarian section shows a normal ovary with oocytes at different stages of development; POF post ovulatory follicle, CA, Cortical alveoli, VO vitellogenic oocyte and PO primary oocytes. Histological images were obtained from samples analyzed as part of a different experiment but kept under similar husbandry conditions.



720 Figure 4. A and B) Comparison of the relative transcript profiles (target gene transcription/rpl8 721 transcription) between females and males in adult zebrafish livers (n= 6-8 for each group). For each 722 gene of interest, where amplification was detected in more than 70% individuals in both sexes, data 723 are represented as relative expression compared to rpl8. Where amplification was detected in less than 70% of the individuals of one or both sexes, data are presented as the proportion of individuals 724 for which the target genes of interest were detected. C) Comparison of gene specific average 725 726 promoter methylation between females and males in adult zebrafish livers. Data for individual CpG 727 sites are presented in supplementary figure 3. Asterisks indicate significant differences between males and females (Student's t-Test; *P<0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001) 728



- 730 **Table 1.** Relationships between transcription and global methylation for *dnmt1* and *dnmt3* (A) and
- 731 gene transcription and promoter CpG loci methylation for specific target genes (B).Red shading
- 732 indicates significant correlations (P < 0.05).

Table 1A. Correlation analysis between transcript expression and global mothylation for dnmt1 and dnmt3								
Tissue Cons Correlation								
lissue	Gene	-	coefficient	value				
Testis	dnmt1	-	-0.919	0.010				
Ovary	dnmt1	-	-0.225	0.668				
Testis	vary anmt1 - -0.225 estis dnmt3 - -0.927 vary dnmt3 - 0.052		-0.927	0.003				
Ovary dnmt3 - 0.052		0.052	0.934					
Table 1B.	Table 1B. Correlation analysis between transcript expression							
	and	specific CpG loc	i methylation.					
Tierre			Correlation	Р				
lissue	Gene	CpG Position	coefficient	value				
	ocr1	1	-0.765	0.045				
	23/1	2	-0.470	0.029				
		1	-0.271	0.659				
	amh	2	-0.957	0.017				
		3	-0.547	0.341				
		1	-0.584	0.224				
		2	-0.573	0.234				
		3	-0.580	0.227				
Ovary		4	-0.540	0.269				
		5	-0.582	0.225				
	dnm+1	6	-0.552	0.256				
	anmti	7	-0.603	0.206				
		8	-0.625	0.160				
		9	-0.701	0.121				
		10	-0.625	0.185				
		11	-0.461	0.358				
		Mean	-0.148	0.779				
	ocr1	1	-0.875	0.004				
	esri	2	-0.697	0.055				
	amh	1	-0.072	0.878				
		2	-0.818	0.025				
Testis		3	-0.920	0.003				
	dnmt1	1	0.526	0.284				
		2	0.522	0.288				
		3	0.525	0.285				
		4	0.509	0.302				

		5	0.503	0.309
		6	0.447	0.374
		7	0.461	0.358
		8	0.449	0.371
		9	0.532	0.277
		10	0.599	0.401
		11	0.484	0.516
		Mean	0.071	0.893
		1	-0.971	0.006
	esr1	2	-0.906	0.034
		1	-0.761	0.079
		2	-0.686	0.132
		3	-0.751	0.085
		4	-0.268	0.663
Liver		5	-0.912	0.011
Females		6	-0.736	0.993
	dnmt1	7	-0.813	0.049
		8	-0.689	0.199
		9	-0.717	0.173
Liver Females $dnmt1 = \frac{2}{0.000} = \frac{0.000}{0.000}$ $\frac{3}{0.0000} = \frac{0.0000}{0.0000}$ $\frac{4}{0.0000} = \frac{0.0000}{0.0000}$ $6000000000000000000000000000000000000$	-0.836	0.038		
		11	-0.758	0.080
		Mean	-0.843	0.035
		1	-0.065	0.878
	esr1	2	-0.268	0.522
		1	-0.736	0.095
		2	-0.818	0.047
		3	-0.901	0.014
		4	-0.534	0.275
Liver		5	0.431	0.394
Males	dnmt1	6	-0.843	0.352
		7	-0.683	0.135
		8	-0.669	0.146
		9	-0.826	0.043
		10	-0.683	0.135
	-	11	-0.847	0.034
		Mean	-0.872	0.024

Supporting Information

Sex-specific transcription and DNA methylation profiles of reproductive and epigenetic associated genes in the gonads and livers of breeding zebrafish.

L. V. Laing^{1,2*}, J. Viana³, E. Dempster³, T. M. Uren Webster^{1, 4}, R. van Aerle⁵, J. Mill³, E. M. Santos^{1*}

¹ Biosciences, College of Life & Environmental Sciences, Geoffrey Pope Building, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QD, United Kingdom

² Present Address: Environmental Epigenetics Group, Institute of Experimental Genetics, Helmholtz Zentrum München and German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD),85764 Neuherberg, Germany

³ University of Exeter Medical School, RILD building, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX2 5DW, United Kingdom

⁴ Present Address: College of Science, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea, UK, SA2 8PP.

⁵ Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Barrack Road, The Nothe, Weymouth, Dorset, DT4 8UB, United Kingdom

* Corresponding authors

E-mail: <u>ll292@exeter.ac.uk</u>, <u>e.santos@exeter.ac.uk</u>

Supplementary Information Figure S1. Total number of eggs spawned for all females (n=8) during the 25 day period prior to sampling. Proportion of eggs unfertilized and fertilized eggs are shown in grey and white, respectively.



Supplementary Information Figure S2. Morphometric parameters for female (red) and male (yellow) fish (n=8 individuals per group). Individual plots represent the gonadosomatic index (**A**) and hepatosomatic index (**B**). Asterisks indicate significant differences between males and females (Student's t-Test, ***p < 0.001).



Supplementary Information Figure S3. Gene specific DNA methylation profiles for the individual CpG sites (shown in Figure 1 of the main manuscript) in the promoter region of *dnmt1* (A– liver, B - gonad), *esr1* (C – liver, D - gonad) and *amh* (E - gonad) in adult zebrafish. Data are presented as boxplots (n = 6-8 for each group). Asterisks indicate significant differences between males and females (*P<0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001).



Supplementary Information Table 1. Target genes, primer sequences and assay details for the RT-QPCR analysis.

Name	Symbol	Forward Primer (5'-3')	Reverse Primer (5'-3')	Product size (bp)	Ta (°C)	PCR efficiency
Ribosomal protein L8	rpl8	CCGAGACCAAGAAATCCAGAG	CCAGCAACAACACCAACAAC	91	59.5	1.95
Aromatase	cyp19a1a	AGCCGTCCAGCCTCAG	ATCCAAAAGCAGAAGCAGTAG	101	61.5	2.06
Estrogen receptor 1	esr1	TATGACCTGTTGCTGGAGATG	CGCCGTTGGACTGAATGG	130	59.5	2.14
Estrogen receptor 2a	esr2a	AGGAGAAAACCAAGTAAACCAATC	AGGCTGCTAACAAGGCTAATG	173	59.0	1.86
Estrogen receptor 2b	esr2b	ATCTGCTAATGCTGCTCTCAC	CGCTCTGTTGTCTGTCTTCC	131	57.8	2.18
Androgen receptor	ar	ACGAGGGTGTTAGATGAGAC	AAGTATGAGGAAAGCGAGTAAAG	129	58.0	1.97
Anti-Mullerian hormone	amh	TGTCTCAACCATCGTCTTCAG	CAGTCAATCCATCCATCCAAAC	124	61.0	2.24
Vitellogenin	vtg1	AGCAGCAGCAGTCGTAAC	CAATGATGGTGGCAGTCTTAG	148	57.5	1.84
DNA (cytosine-5)- methyltransferase 1	dnmt1	CGCTGTCGTGTTGAGTATGC	тсссттесссттсстттсс	180	58.5	2.06
DNA (cytosine-5)- methyltransferase 3	dnmt3	TGATGCCGTGAAAGTGAGTC	TTGCCGTGTAGTGATAGTGC	172	58.5	2.19
Histone deacetylase 1	hdac1	TGACAAACGCATCTCCATTCG	CTCTTCTCCATCCTTCTTCTTC	157	58.0	2.04
Histone deacetylase 3	hdac3	GAATGTGTGGAGTTTGTGAAGG	CTGGATGAAGTGTGAAGTCTGG	190	57.0	1.98
Methyl CpG binding protein 2	mecp2	GAGGCAGAAACAGGACAG	TGGTGGTGATGATGATGG	176	58.0	2.13
Methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 2	mbd2	AACAGCCTCCATCTTCAAG	CGTCCTCAGCACTTCTTC	166	59.0	2.19
Methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 3a	mbd3a	ACTCTTCTTTCGGCTCTG	TCTTCCTGCTTCCTGATG	164	57.0	1.99

Supplementary Information Table 2. Bisulfite-pyrosequencing primers and assay details for the gene promoters analyzed.

Name	Symbol	Forward Primer (5'-3')	Reverse Primer (5'-3')	Sequence Primer (5'-3')	Sequence analysed (5'-3')	Ta (°C)
Oestrogen receptor 1	esr1	AGAGGAGGTAAATAAATTAAAGAT AGTTAG	Biotin- TACTCCTTTAACATATAATTTCCC ATAACA	GGTAAATAAATTAAAGATAGTTAGG	TYGATATTGAYGGTTATTTTTTAGAGTAGGTTATGGTAAT TAG	58.0
Anti- Mullerian hormone	amh	GTTTTTTATTTTATGGGATGGTA GTTAGG	Biotin- AAACACAACTTAAAAACTTCCACT TATAT	TTGTTTTGAAGTATATTTGGAT	TATAYGTAATGGGGAATGTTTTAGTTTAAGGAAYGGTAT TTGGTATTATTAAYGGGTTATTTATAAAATAATGTTTTTA	58.0
DNA (cytosine-5)- methyltransf erase 1	dnmt1	GGGTATTAATATGTGATAGTGTT AATTGTAG	Biotin - TAAACCCAAATACACTCACAACA C	TTATGAATTGTAGTTAGTAGTTGA	GAAATAYGYGYGGGTYGTTTTTYGYGYGGAAAYGYGGG TGAGTYGGAYGTTATT	58.0