

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The nutrition and growth of lambs reared artificially with or without meal

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Animal Science

at Massey University, Manawatu, New Zealand.

Amber Celeste Myles Jensen

Abstract

Jensen, A. (2017). The nutrition and growth of lambs reared artificially with or without meal. A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Animal Science at Massey University, Manawatu, New Zealand.

Artificial rearing is routinely used in large-scale dairy sheep farms. One approach is to offer milk replacer (MR) and meal *ad libitum* to lambs. The aim was to evaluate the growth of female lambs in the first 12 weeks of rearing with (M) and without (NM) grain-based meal access (n=30/group) during four feeding periods. In period 1 (week 0-3), lambs were offered MR and meal *ad libitum*, and in period 2 (week 4-5) were transitioned outdoors onto pasture with continued access to MR and meal. Lambs were weaned off MR in period 3 (week 6-10), and meal in period 4 (week 10-12). The NM lambs received identical management, but meal was excluded. A treatment-by-time interaction was found whereby NM lambs had lower average daily gain (ADG) (P<0.05) in periods 1 (376±6 vs. 414±8 g/d) and 3 (146±7 vs. 241±7 g/d), no difference in period 2 (P>0.05), and higher ADG in period 4 (157±18 vs. -55±18 g/d, P<0.05) than M lambs. These results indicate that when lambs fed MR *ad libitum* are offered unrestricted access to good-quality pasture before weaning, meal may not be required to achieve a similar live weight at 12 weeks of 2 weeks of 2000.

Data from the aforementioned experiment were further investigated by week to allow investigations of the relationship between nutrient intake and growth, describe variation in ADG in relation to environmental and feeding transitions, and to estimate pasture intakes, which were not measured. The different feeding transitions, nutrient intakes, and feeds were most likely causing the differences in ADG that occurred between treatment groups and weeks. The greatest variation in ADG of lambs occurred in the M lambs after meal

i

weaning, which was likely due to a poor adaption to a pasture-only diet. Pasture intakes were estimated by calculating lamb requirements for maintenance and growth from actual ADG and live-weight measurements, assuming that pasture intake made up the difference between actual intakes and theoretical intakes. It was found there were significant differences in estimated pasture intakes between M and NM lambs (P<0.0001) and intakes changed over weeks. In weeks seven, eight, and nine, M lambs were estimated to not consume any pasture, due to a high intake of meal, to achieve the observed growth rates. However, NM lambs consumed pasture over these weeks as pasture was their only feed source. These results allow speculation that pasture intake was very low in M lambs before meal was removed. It has been previously reported that high meal intakes when combined with low roughage intake can negatively impact rumen health and development, and transitioning from high meal to high roughage diets requires alterations in the ruminal microbe population and fermentation. The estimated low pasture intake before meal weaning, combined with the high meal intake recorded, may have contributed to the growth check that occurred once meal was removed, as lambs required a period to adapt to the pasture diet, as their rumen underwent the changes associated with transitioning between these diets. Further investigation into differences in pasture intake between lambs reared with and without meal, and more evidence as to what caused the growth check after meal weaning may allow further optimisation of different lamb-rearing systems.

ii

Acknowledgements

I would like to sincerely thank my supervisors Sam Peterson and Patrick Morel (Massey University), and Sue McCoard (AgResearch) for their tireless help and guidance. I would like to thank the AgResearch-led Ministry of Business, Innovation, and Employment "Boosting exports of the emerging NZ dairy sheep industry" program for funding this research, in partnership with Kingsmeade Artisan Cheese, Maui Milk, and Spring Sheep Milk Co. I would also like to thank the Animal Nutrition & Physiology Team and Ulyatt-Reid Large Animal Facility staff from AgResearch Limited Grasslands for their assistance with animal care and data collection.

A great many thanks to Ajmal Khan, Frederik Knol, Catherine McKenzie, and David Stevens for their feedback and assistance in the writing of this thesis and analysis of results. I would also like to acknowledge the Lois Turnbull Postgraduate scholarship for personal funding.

Table of contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of contents	iv
List of tables	vi
List of figures	vii
List of abbreviations	ix

Cha	pter	1: A r	eview of some of the factors affecting lamb growth in artificial-rearing systems2
1.1	1.1 Introduction2		
1.2	A	rtifici	al rearing3
1	.3	Milk	source and composition5
1	.4	Nut	rient requirements
1	.5	Soli	d feeding, digestion, and growth9
	1.5.	1	Onset of solid intake
	1.5.	2	Different types of solid feed and composition11
	1.5.	3	Digestive tract development
	1.5.	4	Pre-ruminant and ruminant digestion14
	1.5.	4.1 C	arbohydrates15
	1.5.	5	Rumen development
	1.5.	6	Transitions between solid feeds
1	.6	Volu	ime of milk replacer fed26
1	.7	Con	pensatory growth
1	.8	Gro	wth check
1.8.1 Volume of milk intake		Volume of milk intake	
	1.8.	2	Type of weaning
	1.8.	3	Post-weaning diet
	1.8.	.4	Weaning weight
	1.8.	5	Age at weaning
	1.8.	6	Habitat
1	.9	The	effects of litter size and birth weight on growth
1	.10	Sex	effects on growth43
1	1.11 Breed		ed
1	.12	Post	-weaning growth45

1.13	Con	clusion4	8
1.13	.1	Objectives	8

Chapter 2: H	How does feeding meal affect growth of artificially reared East Friesian-cross	dairy lambs? 50
2.1 In	ntroduction	50
2.2 M	Naterials and methods	51
2.2.1	Experimental design	51
2.2.2	Animal and feed measurements	53
2.2.3	Statistical analysis	55
2.3 Re	esults	56
2.3.1	Lamb average daily gain and live weight	56
2.3.2	Intake	58
2.4 Di	liscussion	59

Chapter 3: Further investigation into nutrient intake and lamb growth, and estimation of pasture

intake		
3.1 Introduction		
3.2 Methods6		
3.2.1 Calculations		
3.2.2 Statistical analysis65		
3.3 Results		
3.3.1 Average daily gain66		
3.3.2 Milk replacer and meal intake70		
3.3.3 Nutrient intakes72		
3.4 Discussion77		
3.4.1 Average daily gain and nutrient intake77		
3.4.2 Individual variation in average daily gain86		
3.5 Conclusions		
Chapter 4: General discussion		
5 References		

List of tables

Table 1.1 Some published representative examples of the composition of ewes' milk and cows' milk
Table 1.2 Average daily gain (ADG) of lambs fed restricted milk replacer (MR) or ad libitum
Table 1.3 Post-weaning average daily gain (ADG) of lambs that were fed different levels ofmilk replacer (MR) before weaning
Table 2.1 Composition of pasture grazed by lambs in two treatment groups (meal feeding(M) and no meal feeding (NM)) over three periods of milk and meal (M lambs) or milkfeeding (NM lambs) (period 2), pasture (NM) or pasture and meal feeding (M) (period 3),and pasture feeding only in both groups (period 4)
Table 2.2 Average daily milk replacer (MR) and meal intake per lamb (mean±SEM) and intake (mean±SEM) of dry matter, metabolisable energy, and crude protein from MR and meal sources for M (fed meal) and NM (not fed meal). In period 1 (P1; week 0-3), MR was provided to both treatment groups and meal offered to M lambs. In period 2 (P2; week 4-5), all lambs were offered unrestricted pasture and MR <i>ad libitum</i> , and meal offered to M lambs <i>ad libitum</i> . In period 3 (P3; week 6-10), no MR was offered, and M lambs had access to meal <i>ad libitum</i>
Table 3.1 Average weekly theoretical metabolisable energy intake (MEI) and theoretical crude protein intake (CPI) for M (fed meal) and NM (not fed meal) lambs to achieve the

List of figures

Figure 1.2. Change in number, width, and length of papillae in the rumen of lambs fed a milk-only diet to 84 days of age. Source: Lane et al., 2000......24

Figure 3.1 Weekly average daily gain (mean±SEM) of lambs fed meal (M;) or no meal (NM;). In weeks one to three, lambs were indoors and milk replacer (MR) was provided to all and M lambs were fed meal in addition to MR. In weeks four and five, lambs were outdoors grazing unrestricted pasture and continued to receive MR *ad libitum* and M lambs were still offered meal *ad libitum*. From week six to ten, no MR was fed, but M lambs had continued access to meal *ad libitum*, until it was gradually weaned over ten days in weeks nine and ten. From halfway through week ten to week 12, lambs' only source of feed was

Figure 3.3 Average daily milk replacer (MR) intake (mean±SEM) for M (dotted line) and NM lambs (solid line) and meal intake (mean±SEM) for M lambs (dashed line) on secondary axis. In weeks one to three lambs were housed indoors and fed MR *ad libitum*. In weeks four and five, lambs were kept on unrestricted pasture and continued to have access to MR *ad libitum*. Lambs were abruptly weaned from MR at the end of week five. Until week eight, meal was fed to M lambs *ad libitum*, and was then gradually weaned over ten days in weeks nine and ten. * indicates a significant difference (P<0.001) between treatment groups.....70

List of abbreviations

а	Metabolisable energy requirement for maintenance
ADF	Acid detergent fibre
ADG	Average daily gain
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
АТР	Adenosine triphosphate
b	Metabolisable energy required for growth
С	Crude protein
CMR	Milk replacer designed to be fed to calves
СР	Crude protein requirement for maintenance
СРІ	Crude protein intake
CPgrowth	Crude protein that was required for growth (calculated for this trial)
CPmaintenance	Crude protein required for maintenance (calculated for this trial)
CPtheoretical	Calculated crude protein required to meet calculated maintenance and growth requirements
d	Crude protein required for growth
DM	Dry matter
DMI	Dry matter intake
g/d	grams per day
GE	Gross energy
Kg	Efficiency of utilisation of metabolisable energy for growth
Kg	kilograms
LMR	Milk replacer designed to be fed to lambs
LWT	Live weight
LWTend	Live weight at the end of the week
LWTstart	Live weight at the start of the week
ME	Metabolisable energy
MEI	Metabolisable energy intake

MEgrowth	Metabolisable energy that was required for growth (calculated for this trial)
MEmaintenance	Metabolisable energy required for maintenance (calculated for this trial)
MEtheoretical	Calculated metabolisable energy required to meet calculated maintenance and growth requirements
MJ	Megajoules
MR	Milk replacer
Μ	Lambs allowed meal
NDF	Neutral detergent fibre
NM	Lambs not fed any meal
OMD	Organic matter digestibility
Р	Period
REML	Repeated-measure mixed-effects model
TCA cycle	Tricarboxylic acid cycle
VFAs	Volatile fatty acids