

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**ISOLATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF
HOST DEFENCE PEPTIDES OSTRICACINS
FROM OSTRICH HETEROPHILS**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Masterate of Technology in Biotechnology
at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Haryadi Sugiarto

2006

ABSTRACT

Host defence peptides are ubiquitous components of innate immunity within all living organisms. These peptides are small, positively charged and amphiphilic molecules. The biological roles of these peptides are direct antimicrobial activity against pathogens and to induce the innate and adaptive immune response within the host.

The research presented in this thesis was focused on isolating host defence peptides from ostrich blood and characterising their antimicrobial properties. Four ostrich β -defensins, named ostricacins-1-4 (Osp-1-4), were successfully purified from ostrich blood. These peptides contained 36-42 amino acid residues, with the main residues including: arginines, lysines, glycines and cysteines. The molecular weight of these four ostricacins ranged between 4-5 kDa. They displayed antimicrobial activity against Gram-negative bacteria and Gram-positive bacteria with minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), ranging between 1-12 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. In addition, Osp-2 displayed antimicrobial activity against yeast, with MIC of 6.2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Osp-1 and Osp-2 were further characterised with the investigation of the effects of cationic ions and temperature changes on their antimicrobial activity against Gram-negative bacteria and Gram-positive bacteria. The antimicrobial activity of both peptides significantly declined with the presence of cationic ions. Both peptides were relatively stable when heated to temperatures between 30-70°C. Finally, an investigation of the mode of action of Osp-1 and Osp-2 against Gram-negative bacteria was carried out. Both peptides were compared with a sheep cathelicidin, SMAP-29, and a human α -defensin, HNP-1. SMAP-29 showed the strongest affinity to LPS and it was the most potent peptide to cause disruption of the outer and cytoplasmic membrane. The two ostricacins showed stronger affinity than HNP-1 and they also indicated partial permeabilisation of the outer membrane and a slight depolarisation of the cytoplasmic membrane. HNP-1 did not indicate disruption of the outer membranes or depolarisation of the cytoplasmic membrane. Further investigation indicated that the partial disruption allowed the ostricacins to pass through the membranes and interact with the intracellular components. However, these peptides could not inhibit the bacterial colony forming potential, and therefore, they were considered bacteriostatic. It is recommended that further research be carried out to investigate the feasibility of ostricacins in adding value to existing topical products.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Dr Pak-Lam Yu for providing knowledge, guidance, advice and motivation throughout this research project. I would also like to thank Dr Jon-Paul Powers at the University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. His advice and assistance in the fluorescence assays via email and telephone correspondence was extremely helpful. In addition, I would like to thank Ms Diana Carne and her team at the Protein Microchemistry Facility, University of Otago, Dunedin, which provided the service of mass spectrometry and N-terminal sequencing.

This study was made possible with funding by C. Alma Baker Trust and Massey University Research Fund (MURF). I am also grateful for the staff at Feilding Meat Packers who assist me in collecting the ostrich blood used for these experiments.

Furthermore, I would like to thank the administration and the technical staff of Institute Technology and Engineering (ITE), especially Ms Ann-Marie Jackson, Mr Michael Sahayam, Mr John Edwards, Mr John Sykes, Mr Michael Stevens, Mr Martin Peak, Ms Dannie van Der Linden, Ms Joan Brooks and Ms Gayle Leader. These wonderful people have assisted me in dealing with paper work, ordering media, finding equipment and technical difficulties in the laboratory. I would also like to acknowledge Dr Andy Shilton for lending me the spectrofluorophotometer.

Many thanks to all the other postgraduate students and visiting students who have shared the same or worse problem during my time, including: Antje, Craig, Hans, Jeremy, Nick, Preyas, Rachel, Robert W., Steven.

Finally, I would like to express gratitude for my friends. Christopher, Donny, Fernando, Findy, Herry, Liony, Villy & Kandy, Christian and Lucia are a few names whom I am indebted for their friendship and support. Especially for Felicia, thank you for your patience, companionship and understanding. Last but not least, I would like to dedicate this thesis to my parents. Mum and Dad thank you for your love, support and encouragement through all my life.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgement	v
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	xi
List of Table	xiii
Chapter 1 Literature Review	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Animal and Human Immune Systems.....	2
1.2.1 Innate Immunity	3
1.2.2 Adaptive Immunity.....	6
1.3 Antimicrobial Peptides	9
1.3.1 Classes of Host Defence Peptides	10
1.3.2 Host Defence Peptides Roles in Immunity	12
1.3.2.1 Roles in Innate Immunity.....	12
1.3.2.2 Roles in Adaptive Immunity	15
1.4 Mode of Actions.....	17
1.4.1 Membrane Disruptive Mechanisms.....	18
1.4.2 Non-Membrane Disruptive Mechanisms.....	22
1.5 Defensins	23
1.5.1 Animal and Human Defensins	24
1.5.2 Biological roles.....	27
1.6 Purification and Characterisation of Avian β -Defensins.....	29
1.6.1 Avian Heterophil β -Defensins.....	30
1.6.2 Avian Non-Heterophil β -Defensins.....	32
1.6.3 Antimicrobial Activity of Avian β -Defensins.....	34
1.6.4 Purification and Characterisation Techniques of Avian Heterophil β -Defensins	36
1.7 Evolutionary of β -defensins.....	38

1.8	Applications of Host Defence Peptides	41
1.8.1	Current Developments of Host Defence Peptides into Therapeutic Applications.....	42
1.8.2	Potential Applications for Ostrich Host Defence Peptides	44
1.9	Conclusion	45
1.10	Objectives of Research	47
Chapter 2	Materials and Methods.....	49
2.1	Materials, Chemicals and Media.....	49
2.2	Peptide Extraction and Purification.....	51
2.2.1	Crude Extraction.....	51
2.2.2	Cation-exchange Chromatography	52
2.2.3	RP-HPLC (Analytical Column).....	52
2.2.4	Mass Spectrometry.....	53
2.2.5	N-Terminal Sequencing	53
2.2.6	Radial Diffusion Plate Assay.....	54
2.2.7	Protein Concentration Determination	54
2.2.8	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)	55
2.3	Assessment of Peptide Activity in Variety of Conditions	55
2.3.1	RP-HPLC (Semi-prep column)	55
2.3.2	MIC using Micro Broth Dilution.....	56
2.3.3	Monovalent cation Effects.....	57
2.3.4	Divalent Cation Effects.....	57
2.3.5	Temperature Effects.....	58
2.3.6	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	58
2.4	Study of Antimicrobial Peptide Mechanisms of Action.....	59
2.4.1	LPS Binding Assay	59
2.4.2	Outer Membrane Permeabilisation Assay	60
2.4.3	Cytoplasmic Membrane Depolarisation Assay	61
2.4.4	Optical Density and Colony Forming Unit Measurements.....	62
2.4.5	DNA Gel Electrophoresis.....	62

Chapter 3 Extraction and Purification of Host Defence Peptides from Ostrich Heterophils.....	65
3.1 Introduction.....	65
3.2 Results and Discussion.....	67
3.2.1 Peptide Extraction.....	67
3.2.2 Peptide Purification with Cationic Exchange.....	69
3.2.3 Peptide Purification with RP-HPLC (Analytical Column).....	70
3.2.4 Mass Spectrometry and N-terminal sequence Analysis.....	72
3.2.5 Antimicrobial activity of OSP-1-4.....	74
3.2.6 Amino Acid Composition Analysis.....	75
3.2.7 Evolutionary Analysis of the Ostricacins.....	77
3.3 Conclusion.....	81
Chapter 4 Investigation of Antagonist Factors Affecting Antimicrobial Activity of Ostricacins-1 and 2 on <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 and <i>S. aureus</i> 1056MRSA.....	83
4.1 Introduction.....	83
4.2 Results and Discussion.....	85
4.2.1 Effect of Monovalent Cation on <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7.....	85
4.2.2 Effect of Monovalent Cation on <i>S. aureus</i> 1056MRSA.....	86
4.2.3 Effect of Divalent Cation on <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7.....	89
4.2.4 Effect of Divalent Cation on <i>S. aureus</i> 1056MRSA.....	90
4.2.5 Effect of Temperature.....	92
4.3 Conclusion.....	96
Chapter 5 Investigation of Mechanisms of Action of Ostricacins against Gram-Negative Bacteria.....	99
5.1 Introduction.....	99
5.2 Results and Discussion.....	101
5.2.1 LPS Binding Assay.....	101
5.2.2 Outer Membrane Permeabilisation Assay.....	102
5.2.3 Cytoplasmic Membrane Depolarisation Assay.....	105
5.2.4 Kill curve.....	108
5.2.5 DNA Gel Electrophoresis.....	109

5.3	Conclusion	113
Chapter 6 Conclusions and Recommendations.....		115
6.1	Overall Conclusions	115
6.2	Recommendations for Future Work	118
References		121
Appendix 1 Raw Data and Calculations from Investigation of Antagonist Factors Affecting Osp-1 and 2		133
1.1	Example Calculations of MIC.....	133
1.2	Calculation of the Average and Confidence Intervals of MIC from the Raw Data.....	137
1.3	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of MIC Data from Different Conditions ...	139
Appendix 2 Replicates Results of the Investigation of Mechanisms of Actions of Ostricacins.....		141
2.1	Raw Data of LPS Binding Assay and Example Calculations of Fraction Fluorescence Inhibited.....	141
2.2	Results of LPS Binding Assay	142
2.3	Results of Outer Membrane Permeabilisation Assay	144
2.4	Results of Cytoplasmic Membrane Depolarisation Assay	146
2.5	Results of Kill Curves on <i>E. coli</i> O111	148
Appendix 3 List of Publications.....		151

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Molecular Components of Innate Immune System.....	4
Figure 1.2 The Phagocytosis Mechanisms of a Phagocyte on a Microbe or a Foreign Particle.....	5
Figure 1.3 Molecular Components of Adaptive Immune System.....	7
Figure 1.4 Adaptive Immune Responses Upon Recognition of Antigens.....	8
Figure 1.5 Structural Representations of The Four-Classes Host Defence Peptides.....	11
Figure 1.6 Host Defence Peptides Roles in Innate and Adaptive Immunity.....	14
Figure 1.7 The three models of host defence peptides membrane disruptive mechanisms on Gram-negative membrane.....	20
Figure 1.8 Micellar-aggregate on Gram-negative bacteria.....	21
Figure 1.9 Intracellular Targets of Host Defence Peptides in <i>E. coli</i>	22
Figure 1.10 Schematic processing of two nine-amino-acid segments of mutated α -defensins precursor into θ -defensins.....	26
Figure 1.11 Sequence and Structure of Human Neutrophil Peptide-3 (HNP-3) and Human β -Defensins 2 (HBD-2).....	27
Figure 1.12 Interaction of Defensins with Immune System.....	28
Figure 1.13 Evolutionary tree of existing β -defensins.....	40
Figure 3.1 Microscopic Examination (400x) of Blood Cells Stained with Diff-Quick..	68
Figure 3.2 Ion-Exchange Chromatograph of the Ostrich Crude Extract.....	69
Figure 3.3 RP-HPLC chromatograph of Fraction 2 of the Cationic Exchange Column.	71
Figure 3.4 Phylogenetic tree illustrating the homology of Osp-1-4 with other avian and mammalian β -defensins.....	78
Figure 4.1 The effect of monovalent cations, Na^+ (A) and K^+ (B), on the MIC of Osp-1 and 2 against <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7.....	86
Figure 4.2 The effect of monovalent cations, Na^+ (A) and K^+ (B), on the MIC of Osp-1 and 2 against <i>S. aureus</i> 1056MRSA.....	87
Figure 4.3 The effect of divalent cations, Mg^{2+} (A) and Ca^{2+} (B), on the MIC of Osp-1 and 2 against <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7.....	90
Figure 4.4 The effect of divalent cations, Mg^{2+} (A) and Ca^{2+} (B), on the MIC of Osp-1 and 2 against <i>S. aureus</i> 1056MRSA.....	91
Figure 4.5 The effect of temperatures on the MIC of Osp-1 and 2 against <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 (A) and <i>S. aureus</i> 1056MRSA (B).....	93

Figure 5.1 DPX/LPS binding assay of SMAP-29, HNP-1, OSP-1 and 2 indicating the affinity of the peptides to LPS.	101
Figure 5.2 Kinetics of NPN uptake assay using <i>E. coli</i> UB 1005 that was treated with SMAP-29, HNP-1, OSP-1, OSP-2 and Polymyxin B (PXB).	103
Figure 5.3 Cytoplasmic membrane depolarisation that induced by SMAP-29, HNP-1, OSP-1, OSP-2 and Gramicidin.	106
Figure 5.4 Measurements of OD _{600nm} taken in parallel with the colonics counting of OSP-1 and 2 against <i>E. coli</i> O111.	108
Figure 5.5 Interactions between different ratios of bacterial λDNA and the host defence peptide using DNA Gel Electrophoresis.	110
Figure 5.6 Model illustrating the mechanism of ostricacins on Gram-negative bacteria	112

LIST OF TABLE

Table 1.1 Comparison of Innate and Adaptive Immune Response.	3
Table 1.2 Comparison of Vertebrate Defensins.	25
Table 1.3 Amino acid sequences of existing avian and mammalian β -defensins.	30
Table 1.4 Antimicrobial activity of avian β -defensins.	35
Table 2.1 Sequence and Molecular Mass of The Ostrich Antimicrobial Peptide, Osp-1 and 2.	56
Table 2.2 Ingredients for Peptide-DNA Binding Gel Electrophoresis.	63
Table 3.1 Plate Assay of Ion Exchange Column Fractions and Crude Extract.....	70
Table 3.2 Plate Assay of RP-HPLC Peaks.....	71
Table 3.3 Mass Spectrometry and N-terminal Sequencing of Osp-1-4. Using FASTA, these Ostricacins were aligned with chicken gallinacins and turkey THPs.....	73
Table 3.4 Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) of Ostricacins-1-4.	75
Table 3.5 Amino Acid Composition of Ostricacins-1-4 (mole percent).....	76