



# Systematic identification of functionally relevant risk alleles to stratify aggressive versus indolent prostate cancer

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation	Nowinski, Salpie, Aida Santaolalla, Ben O'Leary, Massimo Loda, Ayesha Mirchandani, Mark Emberton, Mieke Van Hemelrijck, and Anita Grigoriadis. 2018. "Systematic identification of functionally relevant risk alleles to stratify aggressive versus indolent prostate cancer." Oncotarget 9 (16): 12812-12824. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.24400.
Published Version	doi:10.18632/oncotarget.24400
Citable link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:35982107
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

**Research Paper** 

## Systematic identification of functionally relevant risk alleles to stratify aggressive versus indolent prostate cancer

Salpie Nowinski<sup>1</sup>, Aida Santaolalla<sup>2</sup>, Ben O'Leary<sup>3</sup>, Massimo Loda<sup>4</sup>, Ayesha Mirchandani<sup>1</sup>, Mark Emberton<sup>5</sup>, Mieke Van Hemelrijck<sup>2</sup> and Anita Grigoriadis<sup>1</sup>

Correspondence to: Anita Grigoriadis, email: anita.grigoriadis@kcl.ac.uk

Keywords: prostate cancer; active surveillance; GWAS; functional risk alleles; somatic copy number aberrations

Received: November 30, 2017 Accepted: January 25, 2018 Published: February 05, 2018

**Copyright:** Nowinski et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Novel approaches for classification, including molecular features, are needed to direct therapy for men with low-grade prostate cancer (PCa), especially men on active surveillance. Risk alleles identified from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) could improve prognostication. Those risk alleles that coincided with genes and somatic copy number aberrations associated with progression of PCa were selected as the most relevant for prognostication.

In a systematic literature review, a total of 698 studies were collated. Fifty-three unique SNPs residing in 29 genomic regions, including 8q24, 10q11 and 19q13, were associated with PCa progression. Functional studies implicated 21 of these single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) as modulating the expression of genes in the androgen receptor pathway and several other oncogenes. In particular, 8q24, encompassing MYC, harbours a high density of SNPs conferring unfavourable pathological characteristics in low-grade PCa, while a copy number gain of MYC in low-grade PCa was associated with prostate-specific antigen recurrence after radical prostatectomy.

By combining GWAS data with gene expression and structural rearrangements, risk alleles were identified that could provide a new basis for developing a prognostication tool to guide therapy for men with early prostate cancer.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most common cancer in men [1], with around 160,000 new cases each year in the USA alone [2]. Severity is conventionally assessed using Gleason score, prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels and tumour volume [3]. Approximately 80% of men in the US with PCa are diagnosed with stage I localised disease many of whom also have a low to intermediate

risk of progression [4]. Men who present with low-risk PCa as defined by a Gleason score of 6 (3+3) and whose life expectancy is thought to be at least 10 years, are usually managed through active surveillance (AS) [5, 6]. AS aims to reduce over-treatment through monitoring the disease, with the requirement for radical intervention assessed with regular PSA-tests and biopsies rather than intervening at diagnosis [7]. The patient on AS can then be redirected to curative treatment in the event of short

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cancer Bioinformatics, Innovation Hub, Guy's Cancer Centre, King's College London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Translational Oncology & Urology Research, King's College London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Breast Cancer NOW Centre, The Institute of Cancer Research, The Royal Marsden Hospital, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Oncologic Pathology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Division of Surgery and Interventional Science, University College London, London, UK

PSA doubling time [8], deteriorating histopathological factors on repeat biopsies or other factors, such as anxiety from living with an untreated cancer. Approximately 33% of men with low-grade tumours managed on AS upgrade to a higher Gleason score within 5 years [9]. At present, there are no means of identifying which patient will progress; therefore, there is an urgent need to improve risk stratification. Molecular markers may help to discriminate indolent and aggressive clinical phenotypes, and inform patient stratification for men with low-risk PCa on AS.

## Gene expression-based companion diagnostics for low-grade PCas

Gene expression profiling of PCa may help to stratify patients into high and low risk for disease progression [10]. Three commercially available tests, namely Prolaris<sup>®</sup> by Myriad Genetics (Salt Lake City, UT, USA) [11], Oncotype DX<sup>®</sup>, by Genomic Health (Redwood City, CA, USA) [12] and Decipher Biopsy<sup>™</sup> by GenomeDx Biosciences (San Diego, CA, USA) [13, 14] are primarily focused on measuring the expression levels of genes involved in proliferation [14]. As in many cancers, expression of such genes can be used as a proxy indication of aggressive tumour cells [15].

## Germline single nucleotide polymorphisms as risk factors

A further potential, non-invasive, avenue of identifying progression in men with low-grade PCa, could be the investigation of susceptibility single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), obtained from a simple blood test. Several genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have reported positive family histories [16] with an associated 2-to 4-fold increased risk of developing PCa [17]. The Stockholm 3 Model (STHLM3) can predict if a patient has a Gleason score ≥7 [18] and has been shown to have an increased sensitivity of 20% over current clinical markers in a Swedish population [19].

#### The PCa genome

Even in localised, non-indolent PCa, a striking intertumoural heterogeneity exists at the molecular level [20], including tumours with complex structural rearrangements such chromothripsis and chromoplexy [21]. The PCa genome is thought to evolve in abrupt, periodic bursts, resulting in complex, large-scale reshuffling of the genome, known as punctuated evolution. Most of these complex rearrangements are thought to occur as early events [21]. It follows that genomic alterations present in localised low-grade PCa may provide indicators of future progression, perhaps increasing the functional effects of germline SNPs which could be regarded as "hard-coded"

markers for the stratification of men on AS with low-risk PCas [21, 22].

These "hard-coded" markers could help circumvent the principal challenge of molecularly characterising PCa – multiple, heterogeneous tumour foci. Biopsies have a 23–46% likelihood of sampling errors with an increased chance of missing a higher grade or higher-stage tumour [23]. If a higher-grade carcinoma is detected through repeat biopsies in a man on AS, it is unknown whether this is the biological progression of the original low-grade PCa or a pre-existing clone which has been missed in the initial biopsy.

Here, we systematically collected diverse molecular data associated with aggressiveness and progression of PCa to highlight potential risk alleles. Due to the paucity of published low-grade GWAS studies, high-grade PCa GWAS studies were also included. We initially assessed germline SNPs with known associations for disease progression and aggressiveness in PCa, to identify potential genomic loci. Since somatic aberrations can influence germline changes, and vice versa [24], we then integrated available data on the functional roles of these SNPs, as well as copy number and gene expression studies to assess the potential relevance of these loci for the aggressive progression of low-grade PCa.

#### RESULTS

A total of 22 PCa GWAS, and GWAS validation studies across different populations were used to identify SNPs potentially associated with aggressiveness, progression, biochemical recurrence, and metastasis. Five of the 22 studies focused on both identifying novel risk variants and validating previous findings (>1,500 SNPs) [16, 25–28]. Two studies were GWAS meta-analyses [29, 30], and 17 studies primarily sought to validate previously identified SNPs (<100 SNPs) [31–45].

Fifty-three unique SNPs were determined from these studies, residing in 29 unique loci across the genome (Supplementary Table 1). Nine of these SNPs were significantly associated with aggressive disease or unfavorable characteristics in several population studies, e.g. rs10993994 [16, 44, 36, 40, 41] was significantly associated with aggressiveness in Asian Indian, Ashkenazi, Taiwanese, European, African American, Australian, Canadian and US populations (Supplementary Table 1). Three SNPs were reported in three or more studies (Supplementary Table 1), rs10993994, rs1447295, and rs2735839. All three SNPs were at cytobands with other SNPs also found to be associated with aggressive PCa: rs10993994 at 10q11.23 harbouring rs7920517, rs1447295 at 8q24.21 located along with seven other SNPs, and rs2735839 located along with three other SNPs (Supplementary Table 1) No SNPs in high linkage disequilibrium with rs2735839 were found to be associated with PCa [46].

A total of three low-grade and low-volume-tumour studies [5, 37, 43] were initially selected from the search criteria. Only two of these were finally selected through the inclusion criteria [37, 43] (Supplementary Figure 1). The first study was carried out on radical prostatectomies of AS patients where unfavourable pathological characteristics were measured [37] and corroborated a risk allele rs1447295, which was also found to be associated with aggressive PCa by three other studies [45, 44, 41]. The second study involved a comparison between men who upgraded from Gleason 6 with those who remained stable [43]. Together, these studies, reported 5 SNPs, where 2 were found in the same loci as SNPs identified in more aggressive studies, rs1447295 on 8q24 and rs11228565 on 11q13.3. The third low-grade study by Goh et al, [5] conducted a prospective study of 412 men on AS where 56 patients histologically upgraded upon repeat biopsies. All 39 SNPs, including rs1447295, 10993994, and rs2735839, analysed in this study failed to be significantly associated with upgrading and thus the study was excluded (Supplementary Figure 1). Other studies similarly failed to find a significant association between these SNPs and aggressive PCa [47]. Study design or sample size may play a factor in this as these SNPs have well documented functional effects on tumourigenesis in PCa [48-50].

## Functional involvement of germline SNPs in disease progression

Post-GWAS functional studies aim to show that SNPs affect particular genes that may have a direct role in disease progression. Among the identified SNPs, 24 were located in intergenic regions, while 29 resided in either long noncoding RNAs or protein coding genes. Rs7652331 and rs1058205 were located in exons of genes (Table 1). Functional studies conducted on 21 (Table 1) of these 53 SNPs (Supplementary Table 1) were used to provide further evidence of their role in PCa progression. These 21 SNPs may drive aggressive PCa by acting through the oncogene *MYC*, the androgen receptor (AR) pathway, or through modulating known PCa biomarkers such as *MSMB* or *KLK3*, and genes involved in invasion, proliferation, suppression or metastasis.

#### **MYC** pathway

Of the three SNPs reported in three or more studies in this review (rs1447295, rs10993994, and rs2735839), rs1447295 was found to be significantly associated with unfavourable outcomes in men with Gleason 6 (3+3), PSA recurrence [44] and aggressive PCa [45, 51, 41] (Table 1). This SNP is located within noncoding RNA LOC727677 and next to *POU5F1B*, which in turn has the oncogene *MYC* as its neighbouring gene [48]. Cai *et al*, 2016 [50] showed that rs1447295, as well as other PCa risk alleles on

8q24, interfere with both MYC and POU5F1B's activities, through cell proliferation studies in LNCaP and C4-2B PCa cell lines. MYC is an established oncogene [33, 52], while *POU5F1B* has been shown to play an important role in PCa progression [50]. POU5F1B is expressed in normal prostate tissue and is overexpressed in prostatic carcinoma, compared to normal prostatic tissue surrounding the carcinoma [49]. Furthermore, PCa cell lines that ectopically overexpress POU5F1B form fewer cell-cell junctions and exhibit significantly increased invasiveness in vitro [49]. Given the evidence of rs1447295 functionally altering expression of MYC and POU5F1B [50], its influence on prostate tumor progression and metastasis can be asserted. Adding to the involvement of risk alleles on MYC, our analysis also highlighted rs6983267, which has been shown in colorectal cancer to interact with MYC [48] and rs4242382, affecting the expression of MYC and POU5F1B [50].

#### Androgen receptor pathway

There is evidence supporting a role for 4 SNPs in modulating the genes of the AR pathway. The first, rs17021918, shows an association with BMPR1B via eQTL, a gene regulated by AR [53]. The second risk allele implicated in this pathway is rs10486567, which is located in an intron of JAZF1 found to affect both NKX3-1 and FOXA-AR motifs in the JAZF1 gene. This risk allele results in a 39% increase in basal activity and a 28% foldincrease in androgen stimulated enhancer activity [54] (Table 1). The third risk allele, rs7679673, was found to significantly reduce TET2 expression [55], which normally binds to the androgen receptor. This results in the capability of predicting disease progression and poor overall survival. Lastly, rs2939244 results in the variation of the androgen receptor-binding site gene ARRDC3 which affects prostate cancer specific mortality [56].

#### PCa biomarkers

Risk alleles that interact with other genes known to be PCa biomarkers were also identified. Rs10993994 on 10q11 is proximal to the promoter region of *MSMB*, a urinary biomarker that outperforms urinary PSA [57] at differentiating men with prostate cancer at all Gleason grades. An association between rs10993994's and levels of MSMB in prostate tissue was demonstrated, with levels of MSMB lowest in men homozygous for the high-risk allele (TT) and highest in men homozygous for CC [57].

Rs2735839 is located 600bp downstream of the PSA encoding KLK3 gene, and was found to modulate PSA levels [39]. The clinical relevance of this risk allele is supported by the observation that rs2735839 is associated with biopsy-proven aggressive PCa (Gleason  $\geq$ 8) and could stratify Gleason 7 patients [39]. Three additional

Table 1: Functional information of 21 risk alleles identified to be associated with aggressive PCa

SNP	Location	Location of SNP – gene/Intergenic	Nearest coding gene/gene effected	Potential SNP function
rs13385191	2p24.1	intron <i>LDAH</i>	<i>LDAH</i>	down-regulates expression of <i>LDAH</i> – which is down-regulated in PCa and further reduced in metastatic prostate tumors versus primary prostate tumors [61]
rs2660753	3p12.1	Intergenic	VGLL3/CHMP2B/POU1F1	SNP associated with the expression of <i>VGLL3/CHMP2B/POU1F1</i> [58]
rs17021918	4q22	intron PDLIM5	BMPR1B	eQTL shows associated with (or fine mapped significantly with expression of) BMPR1B which is AR regulated [53]
rs7679673	4q24	Intergenic	TET2	significantly reduced <i>TET2</i> expression a predictor of disease progression and poor overall survival as binds to the androgen receptor [42]
rs2939244	5q14.3	Intergenic	ARRDC3/LUCAT1	variation in androgen receptor-binding site gene <i>ARRDC3</i> affects prostate cancer specific mortality [56]
rs9364554	6q25.3	intron SLC22A3	SLC22A3	functional studies associate this risk allele with decreased <i>SLC22A3</i> transcript abundance [59]
rs10486567	7p15.2	intron JAZF1	JAZF1	affects both NKX3-1 and FOXA-AR motifs in <i>JAZF1</i> . SNP results in a 39% increase in basal activity and a 28% fold-increase in androgen stimulated enhancer activity [59]
rs6465657	7q21.3	intron LMTK2	LMTK2	effects $LMTK2$ expression between benign (n = 39) and malignant tissues ( = 21) (P = 0.002) [60]
rs6983267	8q24.21	intron LOC727677/ CASC8	POU5F1B/MYC	long-range interaction with MYC in colorectal cancer [48]
rs1447295	8q24.21	intron CASC8/ LOC727677	POU5F1B/MYC	interferes with both <i>MYC</i> and <i>POU5F1B</i> 's activities through cell proliferation studies in LNCaP and C4 2B PCa cell lines [50]
rs4242382	8q24.21	intergenic	POU5F1B/MYC	implicated in the expression of MYC and POU5F1B [50]
rs1571801	9q33.2	intron DAP2IP	DAB2IP	*decrease in <i>DAB2IP</i> expression induce metastatic prostate cancer in a tumour mouse model [67] and chemoresistanc in human prostate cancer cell lines [68]
rs10993994	10q11.23	intron <i>PARG/ TIMM23B</i>	MSMB	associated with MSMB [57]
rs10896449	11q13.3	intergenic	MYEOV/DUSP6	interacts with <i>DUSP6</i> [62], which promotes invasion and proliferation [63] ( <i>Continue</i>

SNP	Location	Location of SNP – gene/Intergenic	Nearest coding gene/gene effected	Potential SNP function
rs11568818	11q22.2	intergenic	MMP-7	associated with increased <i>MMP7</i> expression [65], which mediates IL-17's function in promoting prostate carcinogenesis in mice [66]
rs9508016	13q12.2	intron FLT1	FLT1	*increased <i>FLT1</i> expression in prostate cancer [69]
rs11649743	17q12	intron HNF1B	HNF1B	potentially increases <i>HNF1B</i> gene expression [64]
rs62113212	19q13.33	intron KLK3	KLK3/KLK2	*gene encodes PSA
rs266870	19q13.33	intron LOC105372441	KLK3	*gene encodes PSA
rs1058205	19q13.33	exon KLK3	KLK3	*gene encodes PSA
rs2735839	19q13.33	intergenic	KLK3	modulates PSA levels [39]

List of risk alleles associated with aggressive PCa, their respective chromosome, cytoband, and the location of each within either a gene or in an intergenic region as well as the gene they affect. Previously reported functional studies have been included to support these risk alleles in the role they play in aggressive PCa.

\*not shown to directly affect gene expression.

SNPs were identified in either the intron (rs62113212 and rs266870) or exon (rs2735839) of *KLK3*.

#### **Tumourigenic genes**

Nine risk alleles were found to modulate other tumourigenic genes. Genetic and functional analysis conducted by Grisanzio et al, [58] elucidated the functional relevance of both rs2660753 and rs9364554. Rs2660753 was found to be associated with the expression of VGLL3/CHMP2B/POU1F1. While rs9364554 was found to be associated with a decreased SLC22A3 transcript abundance [58], and found to be down regulated in familial esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [59]. Other risk alleles have been shown to affect gene expression, such as rs6465657 on *LMTK2* expression [60], a gene implicated in the development of prostate cancer [60]. Rs13385191, which is suggested to be a cis-acting expressed quantitative locus (eQTL) that down-regulates the expression of LDAH [61], a gene that is frequently down-regulated in PCa and is even further reduced in metastatic prostate tumors as compared to primary prostate tumors [61]. Rs10896449 likely interacts with DUSP6 [62], a gene that when knocked down promotes the invasion and proliferation of LNCap human prostate adenocarcinoma cells [63]. Rs11649743 potentially increases gene expression of HNF1B [64] and rs11568818, which is associated with increased MMP7 expression [65], a gene which mediates IL-17's function in promoting prostate carcinogenesis in mice [66]. Two SNPs, reported as being significantly associated with aggressive PCa, reside in introns of genes, with their functional effect not yet determined, such as Rs1571801 located in the intron of *DAP2IP*. This gene is instrumental in tumourigenesis as it's loss induces metastatic prostate cancer in an orthotopic mouse tumour model [67] and chemoresistance in human prostate cancer cell lines [68]. Rs9508016 is located within the intron of *FLT1*, a gene which has been shown to promote angiogenesis and metastasis [69].

#### Somatic copy number aberrations in PCa

Next, we hypothesised that the functional importance of these risk allele hotspots might be further elucidated if their somatic copy number aberrations (SCNAs) were altered in the genome. We evaluated the copy number data collected from different populations of low-grade and more aggressive PCa to see if this correlated with the SNPs we had identified. Seventeen of 53 SNPs were found in regions with SCNAs, such as a copy number gain on 8q24 encompassing rs1447295, rs10090154, rs6983267, rs4242382, rs16901966, rs6983561, rs6470517, and rs6999921 and gain on 11q13 where rs10896449 and rs11228565 reside (Table 2).

### Recurrent SCNAs indicative of aggression and metastases

Since PCa is predominately driven by DNA copy number loss and recurrent gains [70, 71], we hypothesise that SCNAs in low-grade PCa could be indicative for eventual metastasis or progression. SCNAs that predict PSA recurrence after radical prostatectomy include: a loss of *PTEN* [72], a simultaneous loss of *PTEN*, *FAS* 

Table 2: SNPs found in recurrent somatic copy number aberrations or within 5 gene signatures predicting PCa aggressiveness

Location	SNP	Somatic copy number aberration (SCNA)	Gene from gene signature
<b>3</b> p	rs2660753, rs7652331, rs1545985,	gain 3p	-
5q14.3	rs35148638, rs2939244	loss 5q	-
6q25.3	rs9364554	loss 6q	-
8p21.2	rs1512268	loss 8p22	-
8q24.21	rs6983267, rs1447295, rs4242382, rs10090154	gain 8q24	-
11q13.3	rs10896449, rs11228565	gain 11q13	TMEM132A [83]
13q12.2	rs9508016	loss 13q	ZIC2, ZIC5 [87]
17q	rs11649743, rs6504145, rs1859962	loss 17q	-
19q13	rs11672691, rs62113212, rs266870, rs1058205, rs2735839, rs103294	-	KEAP1 [86], UPK1A [85], APOC1 [83]
20q13.33	rs2427345	-	MYBL2, UBE2C [84]

Table containing a subset of genes from 5 gene signatures predicting PCa aggressiveness, Wu et al [84], Ross et al [86], Bibikova et al [88], and Sahabi et al [85]. The respective chromosome arm and when applicable, cytoband for each gene along with recurrent SCNAs associated with PCa [21] within the same locus. A subset of SNPs associated with aggressive PCa within the same locus are also shown.

(10q23.31) and *PAPSS2* (10q23.2–10q23.31) [73], a gain on 11q13.1 [74], a loss within 6q, a gain within 7q, a loss of 13q [75], a loss of 16q with or without a loss of *PTEN* [76], a gain of *MYC* [77], and a concurrent loss of 8p22 and gain of 8q24 [70]. SCNAs in certain genomic locations increase in frequency with Gleason score. For example, a loss of 8p22 was detected in ~30% Gleason score 7 [78], 69% in high-grade clinically organ-confined PCa (Gleason >8) and increased to 100% in metastatic patients (Gleason score 10) [79–81].

## SCNAs indicative of aggressiveness present in low-grade PCa

As the majority of SCNA studies have been conducted on high-grade PCa we sought to identify whether or not these were present and associated with an aggressive phenotype in low-grade PCa. A pooled low-grade PCa study found such SCNAs to be present, but at lower frequencies (>20%) than their highergrade counterparts. These included a loss within 6q (location of rs9364554), 8p, 10q23, 13q, and 18q and a gain within 8q [71]. Another low-grade SCNA study conducted by Fraser et al, [20] also observed these SCNAs at similar frequencies in ~25% of Gleason 6 PCa samples, suggesting that men with PCa displaying those aberrations may have an aggressive form of the disease, and that these events occur early on in the development of cancer providing further evidence of punctuated evolution.

Of the SCNAs associated with aggressive PCa in high-grade PCa, evidence of a gain within 8q, loss of PTEN and 8p were found to also be associated with an aggressive phenotype in low-grade PCa [78]. In this study, differences in PTEN loss, a gain of chromosome 8q (encompassing MYC) and/or 8p loss (encompassing LPL on 8p22) were found in patients with only a Gleason pattern 3 versus those who had both Gleason pattern 3 and 4. Similar SCNAs, such as a loss of 8p, were associated with high-grade PCa and biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy [82]. In addition, Trock et al, (2016) [78] discovered that a gain on MYC/8q was a feature of undersampling a higher Gleason score tumour and was shown to be predictive of systematic disease progression, earlier PCa-specific death and PSA recurrence after radical prostatectomy in other studies [82]. Moreover, this MYC/8q SCNA encompasses eight SNPs reported in this review (Supplementary Table 1). Furthermore, a loss of PTEN was not only significantly associated with undersampling (p=0.03, conditional logistic regression) by Trock et al, (2016) but was also predictive of PSA recurrence after radical prostatectomy [72].

## Gene expression reflective of copy number aberrations

We next assessed whether genes from published gene signatures are encoded in genomic regions in which a) the identified germline SNPs reside; or b) SCNAs predictive of aggressive PCa were located. We collected data from five recently published PCa gene expression studies investigating progression, and recurrence along with the location of each gene (Table 2). These gene signatures were developed either from recurrent cohorts [83–85], by comparing high to low-grade PCas [86], or to predict upgrading upon repeat biopsies of Gleason 6 cohorts [87]. From a total of 95 genes across all five signatures, only 2 genes ABCC11 and HOXC6 were found in common. ABCC11 expression was part of a gene signature predictive of recurrence [84] and between low to high grade PCas [86]. HOXC6, was found in both a signature indicative of recurrence [85] and a signature delineating low and high grade PCas [86]. Despite the limited number of overlapping genes between the signatures, we observed that the genomic position of risk alleles frequently coincides with genes from these signatures (Table 2). Ten of the 53 SNPs were in regions where the expression of genes was used in gene signatures of aggression. For example, CCNE2 located on 8q22 is upstream of three identified SNPs, and UPK1A and APOC1 reside on 19q13 where rs11672691, rs62113212, rs266870, rs1058205, rs2735839, rs103294, can be found (Table 2). In addition, several genes were found in regions of SCNAs that predict aggressive progression of PCa, such as TMEM132A on 11q12, which is next to a common SCNA on 11q13, and ZIC2, ZIC5, F10 are located on 13q, a frequently lost SCNA region.

#### DISCUSSION

This review collated GWAS studies that associated risk alleles with aggressive PCa, and included studies with patients who upgraded from Gleason 6 and patients with Gleason 6 and unfavourable histopathology. Twentynine potential germline GWAS 'hotspots' indicative of aggressive disease progression were identified containing 53 SNPs. These were then further assessed for their potential functional relevance. Of these, 17/53 were found in SCNAs associated with aggressive PCa and present to a lesser extent in low-grade PCa. Ten out of the 53 were found in gene expression signatures associated with PCa progression, further implicating the role these SNPs may have in aggressive tumourogenesis.

While SNPs are important for risk predictions in cancer, a singular SNP found to be associated to a disease through GWAS rarely has a sole effect on the disease. Most likely there is a complex mechanism underlying its effect. The use of functional studies conducted on 21 of the 53 risk alleles in combination with somatic genomic perturbations such as copy number status and gene expression levels provides further evidence that these regions play integral roles in the aggressive progression of PCa. Our work has implications in more than one population. Nine of the 53 identified SNPs identified were significantly associated with aggressive disease in more than one population.

We successfully identified SNPs within 29 loci. Rs1447295 was among 8 SNPs in the 8q24 hotspot and was found to be significantly associated with aggressive PCa in three studies [45, 44, 41], and adverse pathology in one low-grade GWAS study [37]. The effect of rs1447295 modulating MYC could be heightened by a gain or amplification at this locus, indicating the selective susceptibility of this hotspot to aggressive progression of the disease. Similar genomic hotspots of germline and somatic aberration alignments have been noted in breast cancer where tandem duplications were found to peak where two germline susceptibility loci were present on MYC [88]. Such regions are more prone to double stranded breaksand rely on faulty repair mechanisms that generate large tandem duplications [88]. We postulate that these hotspots and SCNAs, which are present in ~25% of patients with low-grade PCas [20], could be used to select patients whose disease might progress towards a more aggressive phenotype, deeming them worthy for further investigation in a clinical setting.

Limitations of this review include the GWAS and SCNA studies lack of consistent methodological reporting between studies examining low-grade PCa. Patients with a lower Gleason scoring PCa (<either Gleason score 6 or Gleason score 7) were compared to patients with more aggressive PCas in six case-case GWAS studies. However, only one study [42] in which the follow-up years of nonaggressive PCa remaining indolent was available. Another limitation stems from uncertainty around the significance of gene expression signatures. Gene expression fluctuates rapidly, rendering these gene expression patterns plastic throughout the life of any cancer. Therefore, combining low-grade and aggressive PCa expression signatures has its weaknesses. Acknowledging the limitations inherent in the available data, cross-referencing the different domains of risk alleles, SCNA and gene expression might help to overcome such uncertainties and identify key features for disease progression in PCa.

More than one in five men eligible for AS will present evidence of more aggressive disease upon prostatectomy [89]. Conversely, some men who do not meet conventional AS criteria may in fact harbour indolent cancers that will not reduce their length or quality of life. Furthermore, the current AS monitoring paradigm relies heavily on invasive and costly re-biopsy, with its concomitant risks and side effects. As such, there is a powerful argument for further investigation into noninvasive tests. In recent years, multiparametric MRI (mpMRI) has emerged as a potential non-invasive tool to detect clinical significant disease in men with low or intermediate risk of PCa [90]. Multi-platform non-invasive testing that integrates imaging and genomics, such as the 53 SNPs identified in this study with mpMRI, could conceivably present an effective method of determining candidacy for AS, and subsequent risk.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

We focused on three specific types of data to predict PCa progression: germline GWAS risk alleles, SCNAs, and tumour gene expression data. Germline risk alleles of interest were identified. Manually curated functional risk alleles, SCNA and gene expression studies were used to investigate the potential relevance of these loci.

For GWAS data, we searched Embase and Pubmed using the following MeSH search terms: PCa, prostatic neoplasm, GWAS, genome-wide, inherited, aggressive, progression, active surveillance, death, and outcome for the period 2009-2017. We included GWAS studies, which either validated SNPs previously associated with PCa or compiled information regarding recurrence or progression of the disease. For each study, we assessed risk markers in their role of developing aggressive PCas, which may result in metastasis, biochemical recurrence, and death. Of 698 articles assessed, 24 were carried forward (Supplementary Figure 1) using the PRISMA statement [91].

To corroborate GWAS hotspots, research on SCNAs and gene expression profiles were identified in PubMed, until January 2017, using the search terms listed above as well as copy number and gene expression. We specifically included those studies with somatic copy number data derived from microarrays or DNA sequencing analysis, gene expression profiles from expression microarrays and RNA sequencing, and clinical information, including recurrence or progression of disease.

#### **Abbreviations**

AS: Active surveillance; PCa: Prostate cancer; PSA: Prostate specific antigen; GWAS: Genome-wide association study; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism; SCNA: Somatic copy number aberration.

#### **Author contributions**

Conception and design – Nowinski SE, Grigoriadis A, Van Hemelrijck M

Acquisition of data – Nowinski SE, Mirchandani A Analysis and interpretation of data – Nowinski SE Drafting of the manuscript – Nowinski SE, Grigoriadis A, Santa Olalla A, O'Leary B, Van Hemelrijck M

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content – Nowinski SE, Santaolalla A, O'Leary B, Olalla A, Emberton M, Loda M, Grigoriadis A.

Statistical analysis – NA

Obtaining funding – Grigoriadis A, Van Hemelrijck M Administrative, technical, or material support – Grigoriadis A, Van Hemelrijck M

Supervision – Grigoriadis A, Van Hemelrijck M.

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### **GRANT SUPPORT**

This work was supported by Prostate Cancer UK through a Studentship (S14-001) and by Cancer Research UK King's Health Partners Centre at King's College London.

#### REFERENCES

- Cancer Research UK. Prostate cancer incidence statistics. 2015. Available from: http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/ health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/ prostate-cancer/incidence#heading-Zero.
- National Cancer Institute. Cancer Stat Facts: Prostate Cancer. 2017 [cited 2017]. Available from: https://seer. cancer.gov/statfacts/html/prost.html.
- Wyatt AW, Mo F, Wang K, McConeghy B, Brahmbhatt S, Jong L, Mitchell DM, Johnston RL, Haegert A, Li E, Liew J, Yeung J, Shrestha R, et al. Heterogeneity in the inter-tumor transcriptome of high risk prostate cancer. Genome Biol. 2014; 15:426. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0426-y.
- 4. Loma Linda University Cancer Center. Diagnosis of Prostate Cancer. [cited 2017]. Available from: https://protons.com/proton-treatments/prostate-cancer/diagnosis-prostate-cancer.
- Goh CL, Saunders EJ, Leongamornlert DA, Tymrakiewicz M, Thomas K, Selvadurai ED, Woode-Amissah R, Dadaev T, Mahmud N, Castro E, Olmos D, Guy M, Govindasami K, et al. Clinical implications of family history of prostate cancer and genetic risk single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) profiles in an active surveillance cohort. BJU Int. 2013; 112:666–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2012.11648.x.
- Selvadurai ED, Singhera M, Thomas K, Mohammed K, Woode-Amissah R, Horwich A, Huddart RA, Dearnaley DP, Parker CC. Medium-term outcomes of active surveillance for localised prostate cancer. Eur Urol. 2013; 64:981–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2013.02.020.
- Parker C. Active surveillance of early prostate cancer: rationale, initial results and future developments. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 2004; 7:184–87. https://doi. org/10.1038/sj.pcan.4500720.
- 8. Werahera PN, Glode LM, La Rosa FG, Lucia MS, Crawford ED, Easterday K, Sullivan HT, Sidhu RS, Genova E, Hedlund T. Proliferative tumor doubling times of prostatic carcinoma. Prostate Cancer. 2011; 2011:301850. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/301850.
- Bosco C, Cozzi G, Kinsella J, Bianchi R, Acher P, Challacombe B, Popert R, Brown C, George G, Van Hemelrijck M, Cahill D. Confirmatory biopsy for the assessment of prostate cancer in men considering active surveillance: reference centre experience. Ecancermedicalscience. 2016; 10:633. https://doi. org/10.3332/ecancer.2016.633.

- Canfield SE, Kibel AS, Kemeter MJ, Febbo PG, Lawrence HJ, Moul JW. A guide for clinicians in the evaluation of emerging molecular diagnostics for newly diagnosed prostate cancer. Rev Urol. 2014; 16:172–80.
- Cuzick J, Swanson GP, Fisher G, Brothman AR, Berney DM, Reid JE, Mesher D, Speights VO, Stankiewicz E, Foster CS, Møller H, Scardino P, Warren JD, et al, and Transatlantic Prostate Group. Prognostic value of an RNA expression signature derived from cell cycle proliferation genes in patients with prostate cancer: a retrospective study. Lancet Oncol. 2011; 12:245–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70295-3.
- Knezevic D, Goddard AD, Natraj N, Cherbavaz DB, Clark-Langone KM, Snable J, Watson D, Falzarano SM, Magi-Galluzzi C, Klein EA, Quale C. Analytical validation of the Oncotype DX prostate cancer assay - a clinical RT-PCR assay optimized for prostate needle biopsies. BMC Genomics. 2013; 14:690. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-690.
- Klein EA, Haddad Z, Yousefi K, Lam LL, Wang Q, Choeurng V, Palmer-Aronsten B, Buerki C, Davicioni E, Li J, Kattan MW, Stephenson AJ, Magi-Galluzzi C. Decipher Genomic Classifier Measured on Prostate Biopsy Predicts Metastasis Risk. Urology. 2016; 90:148–52. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.urology.2016.01.012.
- Moschini M, Spahn M, Mattei A, Cheville J, Karnes RJ. Incorporation of tissue-based genomic biomarkers into localized prostate cancer clinics. BMC Med. 2016; 14:67. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-016-0613-7.
- Quinn CM, Wright NA. The clinical assessment of proliferation and growth in human tumours: evaluation of methods and applications as prognostic variables. J Pathol. 1990; 160:93–102. https://doi.org/10.1002/ path.1711600202.
- 16. Agalliu I, Wang Z, Wang T, Dunn A, Parikh H, Myers T, Burk RD, Amundadottir L. Characterization of SNPs associated with prostate cancer in men of Ashkenazic descent from the set of GWAS identified SNPs: impact of cancer family history and cumulative SNP risk prediction. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e60083. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060083.
- Hjelmborg JB, Scheike T, Holst K, Skytthe A, Penney KL, Graff RE, Pukkala E, Christensen K, Adami HO, Holm NV, Nuttall E, Hansen S, Hartman M, et al. The heritability of prostate cancer in the Nordic Twin Study of Cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2014; 23:2303–10. https://doi. org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0568.
- Grönberg H, Adolfsson J, Aly M, Nordström T, Wiklund P, Brandberg Y, Thompson J, Wiklund F, Lindberg J, Clements M, Egevad L, Eklund M. Prostate cancer screening in men aged 50-69 years (STHLM3): a prospective populationbased diagnostic study. Lancet Oncol. 2015; 16:1667–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00361-7.
- Eklund M, Nordström T, Aly M, Adolfsson J, Wiklund P, Brandberg Y, Thompson J, Wiklund F, Lindberg J, Presti JC, StLezin M, Clements M, Egevad L, et al. The Stockholm-3

- (STHLM3) Model can Improve Prostate Cancer Diagnostics in Men Aged 50–69 yr Compared with Current Prostate Cancer Testing. Eur Urol Focus. 2016 Nov 23. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EUF.2016.10.009. [Epub ahead of print].
- Fraser M, Sabelnykova VY, Yamaguchi TN, Heisler LE, Livingstone J, Huang V, Shiah YJ, Yousif F, Lin X, Masella AP, Fox NS, Xie M, Prokopec SD, et al. Genomic hallmarks of localized, non-indolent prostate cancer. Nature. 2017; 541:359–64. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20788.
- Baca SC, Prandi D, Lawrence MS, Mosquera JM, Romanel A, Drier Y, Park K, Kitabayashi N, MacDonald TY, Ghandi M, Van Allen E, Kryukov GV, Sboner A, et al. Punctuated evolution of prostate cancer genomes. Cell. 2013; 153:666– 77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.021.
- Gao R, Davis A, McDonald TO, Sei E, Shi X, Wang Y, Tsai PC, Casasent A, Waters J, Zhang H, Meric-Bernstam F, Michor F, Navin NE. Punctuated copy number evolution and clonal stasis in triple-negative breast cancer. Nat Genet. 2016; 48:1119–30. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3641.
- Conti SL, Dall'era M, Fradet V, Cowan JE, Simko J, Carroll PR. Pathological outcomes of candidates for active surveillance of prostate cancer. J Urol. 2009; 181:1628–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2008.11.107.
- 24. Ju YS, Martincorena I, Gerstung M, Petljak M, Alexandrov LB, Rahbari R, Wedge DC, Davies HR, Ramakrishna M, Fullam A, Martin S, Alder C, Patel N, et al. Somatic mutations reveal asymmetric cellular dynamics in the early human embryo. Nature. 2017; 543:714–18. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21703.
- 25. Nam RK, Zhang W, Siminovitch K, Shlien A, Kattan MW, Klotz LH, Trachtenberg J, Lu Y, Zhang J, Yu C, Toi A, Loblaw DA, Venkateswaran V, et al. New variants at 10q26 and 15q21 are associated with aggressive prostate cancer in a genome-wide association study from a prostate biopsy screening cohort. Cancer Biol Ther. 2011; 12:997–1004. https://doi.org/10.4161/cbt.12.11.18366.
- Bensen JT, Xu Z, Smith GJ, Mohler JL, Fontham ET, Taylor JA. Genetic polymorphism and prostate cancer aggressiveness: a case-only study of 1,536 GWAS and candidate SNPs in African-Americans and European-Americans. Prostate. 2013; 73:11–22. https://doi. org/10.1002/pros.22532.
- 27. Berndt SI, Wang Z, Yeager M, Alavanja MC, Albanes D, Amundadottir L, Andriole G, Beane Freeman L, Campa D, Cancel-Tassin G, Canzian F, Cornu JN, Cussenot O, et al, and African Ancestry Prostate Cancer GWAS Consortium. Two susceptibility loci identified for prostate cancer aggressiveness. Nat Commun. 2015; 6:6889. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7889.
- 28. FitzGerald LM, Kwon EM, Conomos MP, Kolb S, Holt SK, Levine D, Feng Z, Ostrander EA, Stanford JL. Genome-wide association study identifies a genetic variant associated with risk for more aggressive prostate cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2011; 20:1196–203. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-1299.

- Sun J, Zheng SL, Wiklund F, Isaacs SD, Li G, Wiley KE, Kim ST, Zhu Y, Zhang Z, Hsu FC, Turner AR, Stattin P, Liu W, et al. Sequence variants at 22q13 are associated with prostate cancer risk. Cancer Res. 2009; 69:10–15. https:// doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-3464.
- 30. Amin Al Olama A, Kote-Jarai Z, Schumacher FR, Wiklund F, Berndt SI, Benlloch S, Giles GG, Severi G, Neal DE, Hamdy FC, Donovan JL, Hunter DJ, Henderson BE, et al, and UK Genetic Prostate Cancer Study Collaborators/British Association of Urological Surgeons' Section of Oncology, and UK ProtecT Study Collaborators, and Australian Prostate Cancer Bioresource, and PRACTICAL Consortium. A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies to identify prostate cancer susceptibility loci associated with aggressive and non-aggressive disease. Hum Mol Genet. 2013; 22:408–15. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/dds425.
- Huang CN, Huang SP, Pao JB, Chang TY, Lan YH, Lu TL, Lee HZ, Juang SH, Wu PP, Pu YS, Hsieh CJ, Bao BY. Genetic polymorphisms in androgen receptor-binding sites predict survival in prostate cancer patients receiving androgen-deprivation therapy. Ann Oncol. 2012; 23:707–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdr264.
- 32. Chen M, Huang YC, Yang S, Hsu JM, Chang YH, Huang WJ, Chen YM. Common variants at 8q24 are associated with prostate cancer risk in Taiwanese men. Prostate. 2010; 70:502–07. https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.21084.
- 33. Pal P, Xi H, Guha S, Sun G, Helfand BT, Meeks JJ, Suarez BK, Catalona WJ, Deka R. Common variants in 8q24 are associated with risk for prostate cancer and tumor aggressiveness in men of European ancestry. Prostate. 2009; 69:1548–56. https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.20999.
- 34. Cheng I, Plummer SJ, Neslund-Dudas C, Klein EA, Casey G, Rybicki BA, Witte JS. Prostate cancer susceptibility variants confer increased risk of disease progression. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2010; 19:2124–32. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0268.
- Xu J, Zheng SL, Isaacs SD, Wiley KE, Wiklund F, Sun J, Kader AK, Li G, Purcell LD, Kim ST, Hsu FC, Stattin P, Hugosson J, et al. Inherited genetic variant predisposes to aggressive but not indolent prostate cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:2136–40. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0914061107.
- Ahn J, Kibel AS, Park JY, Rebbeck TR, Rennert H, Stanford JL, Ostrander EA, Chanock S, Wang MH, Mittal RD, Isaacs WB, Platz EA, Hayes RB. Prostate cancer predisposition loci and risk of metastatic disease and prostate cancer recurrence. Clin Cancer Res. 2011; 17:1075–81. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-0881.
- McGuire BB, Helfand BT, Kundu S, Hu Q, Banks JA, Cooper P, Catalona WJ. Association of prostate cancer risk alleles with unfavourable pathological characteristics in potential candidates for active surveillance. BJU Int. 2012; 110:338–43. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2011.10750.x.

- Liu M, Wang J, Xu Y, Wei D, Shi X, Yang Z. Risk loci on chromosome 8q24 are associated with prostate cancer in northern Chinese men. J Urol. 2012; 187:315–21. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2011.09.011.
- He Y, Gu J, Strom S, Logothetis CJ, Kim J, Wu X. The prostate cancer susceptibility variant rs2735839 near KLK3 gene is associated with aggressive prostate cancer and can stratify gleason score 7 patients. Clin Cancer Res. 2014; 20:5133–39. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432. CCR-14-0661.
- 40. Shui IM, Lindström S, Kibel AS, Berndt SI, Campa D, Gerke T, Penney KL, Albanes D, Berg C, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Chanock S, Crawford ED, Diver WR, et al. Prostate cancer (PCa) risk variants and risk of fatal PCa in the National Cancer Institute Breast and Prostate Cancer Cohort Consortium. Eur Urol. 2014; 65:1069–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2013.12.058.
- 41. Teerlink CC, Thibodeau SN, McDonnell SK, Schaid DJ, Rinckleb A, Maier C, Vogel W, Cancel-Tassin G, Egrot C, Cussenot O, Foulkes WD, Giles GG, Hopper JL, et al, and International Consortium for Prostate Cancer Genetics. Association analysis of 9,560 prostate cancer cases from the International Consortium of Prostate Cancer Genetics confirms the role of reported prostate cancer associated SNPs for familial disease. Hum Genet. 2014; 133:347–56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-013-1384-2.
- 42. Helfand BT, Roehl KA, Cooper PR, McGuire BB, Fitzgerald LM, Cancel-Tassin G, Cornu JN, Bauer S, Van Blarigan EL, Chen X, Duggan D, Ostrander EA, Gwo-Shu M, et al. Associations of prostate cancer risk variants with disease aggressiveness: results of the NCI-SPORE Genetics Working Group analysis of 18,343 cases. Hum Genet. 2015; 134:439–50. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-015-1534-9.
- 43. Kearns JT, Lapin B, Wang E, Roehl KA, Cooper P, Catalona WJ, Helfand BT. Associations Between iCOGS Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms and Upgrading in Both Surgical and Active Surveillance Cohorts of Men with Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol. 2016; 69:223–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2015.09.004.
- 44. Huang SP, Huang LC, Ting WC, Chen LM, Chang TY, Lu TL, Lan YH, Liu CC, Yang WH, Lee HZ, Hsieh CJ, Bao BY. Prognostic significance of prostate cancer susceptibility variants on prostate-specific antigen recurrence after radical prostatectomy. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009; 18:3068–74. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965. EPI-09-0665.
- 45. Terada N, Tsuchiya N, Ma Z, Shimizu Y, Kobayashi T, Nakamura E, Kamoto T, Habuchi T, Ogawa O. Association of genetic polymorphisms at 8q24 with the risk of prostate cancer in a Japanese population. Prostate. 2008; 68:1689–95. https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.20831.
- 46. Ahn J, Berndt SI, Wacholder S, Kraft P, Kibel AS, Yeager M, Albanes D, Giovannucci E, Stampfer MJ, Virtamo J, Thun MJ, Feigelson HS, Cancel-Tassin G, et al. Variation in KLK genes, prostate-specific antigen and risk of

- prostate cancer. Nat Genet. 2008; 40:1032–34. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng0908-1032.
- Goh CL, Schumacher FR, Easton D, Muir K, Henderson B, Kote-Jarai Z, Eeles RA. Genetic variants associated with predisposition to prostate cancer and potential clinical implications. J Intern Med. 2012; 271:353–65. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2012.02511.x.
- Pomerantz MM, Ahmadiyeh N, Jia L, Herman P, Verzi MP, Doddapaneni H, Beckwith CA, Chan JA, Hills A, Davis M, Yao K, Kehoe SM, Lenz HJ, et al. The 8q24 cancer risk variant rs6983267 shows long-range interaction with MYC in colorectal cancer. Nat Genet. 2009; 41:882–84. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ng.403.
- Jiang H, Wang MT, Nie D. Abstract 4970: the role of POU5F1B in prostate cancer. Cancer Res. 2014 (Suppl 19); 74:4970. https://doi.org/10.1158/1538-7445.AM2014-4970.
- 50. Cai M, Kim S, Wang K, Farnham PJ, Coetzee GA, Lu W. 4C-seq revealed long-range interactions of a functional enhancer at the 8q24 prostate cancer risk locus. Sci Rep. 2016; 6:22462. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22462.
- Breyer JP, McReynolds KM, Yaspan BL, Bradley KM, Dupont WD, Smith JR. Genetic variants and prostate cancer risk: candidate replication and exploration of viral restriction genes. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009; 18:2137–44. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-1223.
- Bianchi-Frias D, Hernandez SA, Coleman R, Wu H, Nelson PS. The landscape of somatic chromosomal copy number aberrations in GEM models of prostate carcinoma. Mol Cancer Res. 2015; 13:339–47. https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-14-0262.
- 53. Asangani IA, Dommeti VL, Wang X, Malik R, Cieslik M, Yang R, Escara-Wilke J, Wilder-Romans K, Dhanireddy S, Engelke C, Iyer MK, Jing X, Wu YM, et al. Therapeutic targeting of BET bromodomain proteins in castration-resistant prostate cancer. Nature. 2014; 510:278–82. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13229.
- 54. Hazelett DJ, Rhie SK, Gaddis M, Yan C, Lakeland DL, Coetzee SG, Henderson BE, Noushmehr H, Cozen W, Kote-Jarai Z, Eeles RA, Easton DF, Haiman CA, et al, and Ellipse/GAME-ON consortium, and Practical consortium. Comprehensive functional annotation of 77 prostate cancer risk loci. PLoS Genet. 2014; 10:e1004102. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004102.
- Nickerson ML, Das S, Im KM, Turan S, Berndt SI, Li H, Lou H, Brodie SA, Billaud JN, Zhang T, Bouk AJ, Butcher D, Wang Z, et al. TET2 binds the androgen receptor and loss is associated with prostate cancer. Oncogene. 2017; 36:2172–83. https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2016.376.
- Kohli M, Qin R, Jimenez R, Dehm SM. Biomarker-based targeting of the androgen-androgen receptor axis in advanced prostate cancer. Adv Urol. 2012; 2012:781459. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/781459.
- 57. Whitaker HC, Kote-Jarai Z, Ross-Adams H, Warren AY, Burge J, George A, Bancroft E, Jhavar S, Leongamornlert D, Tymrakiewicz M, Saunders E, Page E, Mitra A, et al,

- and IMPACT Study Steering Committee, and IMPACT Study Collaborators, and UK GPCS Collaborators. The rs10993994 risk allele for prostate cancer results in clinically relevant changes in microseminoprotein-beta expression in tissue and urine. PLoS One. 2010; 5:e13363. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013363.
- 58. Grisanzio C, Werner L, Takeda D, Awoyemi BC, Pomerantz MM, Yamada H, Sooriakumaran P, Robinson BD, Leung R, Schinzel AC, Mills I, Ross-Adams H, Neal DE, et al. Genetic and functional analyses implicate the NUDT11, HNF1B, and SLC22A3 genes in prostate cancer pathogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012; 109:11252–57. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1200853109.
- 59. Fu L, Qin YR, Ming XY, Zuo XB, Diao YW, Zhang LY, Ai J, Liu BL, Huang TX, Cao TT, Tan BB, Xiang D, Zeng CM, et al. RNA editing of SLC22A3 drives early tumor invasion and metastasis in familial esophageal cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017; 114:E4631–40. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1703178114.
- Harries LW, Perry JR, McCullagh P, Crundwell M. Alterations in LMTK2, MSMB and HNF1B gene expression are associated with the development of prostate cancer. BMC Cancer. 2010; 10:315. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2407-10-315.
- Currall BB, Wong KE, Robertson NG, Lunardi A, Reschke M, Pandolfi PP, Morton CC. Convergent Genomics Validates C2orf43 Role in Prostate Cancer. ASHG 2014 [cited 2017]. Available from: http://www.ashg.org/2014meeting/abstracts/fulltext/f140122744.htm.
- Tao S, Wang Z, Feng J, Hsu FC, Jin G, Kim ST, Zhang Z, Gronberg H, Zheng LS, Isaacs WB, Xu J, Sun J. A genomewide search for loci interacting with known prostate cancer risk-associated genetic variants. Carcinogenesis. 2012; 33:598–603. https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgr316.
- 63. Zhai X, Han Q, Shan Z, Qu X, Guo L, Zhou Y. Dual specificity phosphatase 6 suppresses the growth and metastasis of prostate cancer cells. Mol Med Rep. 2014; 10:3052–58. https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2014.2575.
- 64. Ross-Adams H, Ball S, Lawrenson K, Halim S, Russell R, Wells C, Strand SH, Ørntoft TF, Larson M, Armasu S, Massie CE, Asim M, Mortensen MM, et al. HNF1B variants associate with promoter methylation and regulate gene networks activated in prostate and ovarian cancer. Oncotarget. 2016; 7:74734–46. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.12543.
- Kazantseva MG, Hung NA, Highton J, Hessian PA. MMP expression in rheumatoid inflammation: the rs11568818 polymorphism is associated with MMP-7 expression at an extra-articular site. Genes Immun. 2013; 14:162–69. https:// doi.org/10.1038/gene.2012.65.
- 66. Zhang Q, Liu S, Parajuli KR, Zhang W, Zhang K, Mo Z, Liu J, Chen Z, Yang S, Wang AR, Myers L, You Z. Interleukin-17 promotes prostate cancer via MMP7-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Oncogene. 2017; 36:687–99. https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2016.240.

- 67. Min J, Zaslavsky A, Fedele G, McLaughlin SK, Reczek EE, De Raedt T, Guney I, Strochlic DE, Macconaill LE, Beroukhim R, Bronson RT, Ryeom S, Hahn WC, et al. An oncogene-tumor suppressor cascade drives metastatic prostate cancer by coordinately activating Ras and nuclear factor-kappaB. Nat Med. 2010; 16:286–94. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2100.
- Wu K, Xie D, Zou Y, Zhang T, Pong RC, Xiao G, Fazli L, Gleave M, He D, Boothman DA, Hsieh JT. The mechanism of DAB2IP in chemoresistance of prostate cancer cells. Clin Cancer Res. 2013; 19:4740–49. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-0954.
- Fischer C, Mazzone M, Jonckx B, Carmeliet P. FLT1 and its ligands VEGFB and PIGF: drug targets for anti-angiogenic therapy? Nat Rev Cancer. 2008; 8:942–56. https://doi. org/10.1038/nrc2524.
- Tsuchiya N, Slezak JM, Lieber MM, Bergstralh EJ, Jenkins RB. Clinical significance of alterations of chromosome 8 detected by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analysis in pathologic organ-confined prostate cancer. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2002; 34:363–71. https://doi.org/10.1002/gcc.10064.
- Williams JL, Greer PA, Squire JA. Recurrent copy number alterations in prostate cancer: an in silico metaanalysis of publicly available genomic data. Cancer Genet. 2014; 207:474–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cancergen.2014.09.003.
- 72. Yoshimoto M, Cunha IW, Coudry RA, Fonseca FP, Torres CH, Soares FA, Squire JA. FISH analysis of 107 prostate cancers shows that PTEN genomic deletion is associated with poor clinical outcome. Br J Cancer. 2007; 97:678–85. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6603924.
- 73. Ibeawuchi C, Schmidt H, Voss R, Titze U, Abbas M, Neumann J, Eltze E, Hoogland AM, Jenster G, Brandt B, Semjonow A. Exploring prostate cancer genome reveals simultaneous losses of PTEN, FAS and PAPSS2 in patients with PSA recurrence after radical prostatectomy. Int J Mol Sci. 2015; 16:3856–69. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms16023856.
- 74. Paris PL, Andaya A, Fridlyand J, Jain AN, Weinberg V, Kowbel D, Brebner JH, Simko J, Watson JE, Volik S, Albertson DG, Pinkel D, Alers JC, et al. Whole genome scanning identifies genotypes associated with recurrence and metastasis in prostate tumors. Hum Mol Genet. 2004; 13:1303–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddh155.
- 75. Strohmeyer DM, Berger AP, Moore DH 2nd, Bartsch G, Klocker H, Carroll PR, Loening SA, Jensen RH. Genetic aberrations in prostate carcinoma detected by comparative genomic hybridization and microsatellite analysis: association with progression and angiogenesis. Prostate. 2004; 59:43–58. https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.20028.
- Kluth M, Runte F, Barow P, Omari J, Abdelaziz ZM, Paustian L, Steurer S, Tsourlakis MC, Fisch M, Graefen M, Tennstedt P, Huland H, Michl U, et al. Concurrent deletion

- of 16q23 and PTEN is an independent prognostic feature in prostate cancer. Int J Cancer. 2015; 137:2354–63. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29613.
- 77. Beltran H, Yelensky R, Frampton GM, Park K, Downing SR, MacDonald TY, Jarosz M, Lipson D, Tagawa ST, Nanus DM, Stephens PJ, Mosquera JM, Cronin MT, Rubin MA. Targeted next-generation sequencing of advanced prostate cancer identifies potential therapeutic targets and disease heterogeneity. Eur Urol. 2013; 63:920–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2012.08.053.
- 78. Trock BJ, Fedor H, Gurel B, Jenkins RB, Knudsen BS, Fine SW, Said JW, Carter HB, Lotan TL, De Marzo AM. PTEN loss and chromosome 8 alterations in Gleason grade 3 prostate cancer cores predicts the presence of un-sampled grade 4 tumor: implications for active surveillance. Mod Pathol. 2016; 29:764–71. https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2016.63.
- Bova GS, Carter BS, Bussemakers MJ, Emi M, Fujiwara Y, Kyprianou N, Jacobs SC, Robinson JC, Epstein JI, Walsh PC, Isaacs WB. Homozygous deletion and frequent allelic loss of chromosome 8p22 loci in human prostate cancer. Cancer Res. 1993; 53:3869–73.
- 80. Kagan J, Stein J, Babaian RJ, Joe YS, Pisters LL, Glassman AB, von Eschenbach AC, Troncoso P. Homozygous deletions at 8p22 and 8p21 in prostate cancer implicate these regions as the sites for candidate tumor suppressor genes. Oncogene. 1995; 11:2121–26.
- Vocke CD, Pozzatti RO, Bostwick DG, Florence CD, Jennings SB, Strup SE, Duray PH, Liotta LA, Emmert-Buck MR, Linehan WM. Analysis of 99 microdissected prostate carcinomas reveals a high frequency of allelic loss on chromosome 8p12-21. Cancer Res. 1996; 56:2411–16.
- 82. Liu W. DNA alterations in the tumor genome and their associations with clinical outcome in prostate cancer. Asian J Androl. 2016; 18:533–42. https://doi.org/10.4103/1008-682X.177120.
- 83. Wu CL, Schroeder BE, Ma XJ, Cutie CJ, Wu S, Salunga R, Zhang Y, Kattan MW, Schnabel CA, Erlander MG, McDougal WS. Development and validation of a 32-gene prognostic index for prostate cancer progression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110:6121–26. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1215870110.
- 84. Shahabi A, Lewinger JP, Ren J, April C, Sherrod AE, Hacia JG, Daneshmand S, Gill I, Pinski JK, Fan JB, Stern MC. Novel gene expression signature predictive of clinical recurrence after radical prostatectomy in early stage prostate cancer patients. Prostate. 2016; 76:1239–56. https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.23211.
- 85. Bibikova M, Chudin E, Arsanjani A, Zhou L, Garcia EW, Modder J, Kostelec M, Barker D, Downs T, Fan JB, Wang-Rodriguez J. Expression signatures that correlated with Gleason score and relapse in prostate cancer. Genomics. 2007; 89:666–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ygeno.2007.02.005.

- Ross AE, Marchionni L, Vuica-Ross M, Cheadle C, Fan J, Berman DM, Schaeffer EM. Gene expression pathways of high grade localized prostate cancer. Prostate. 2011; 71:1568–77. https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.21373.
- 87. Hoogland AM, Böttcher R, Verhoef E, Jenster G, van Leenders GJ. Gene-expression analysis of gleason grade 3 tumor glands embedded in low- and high-risk prostate cancer. Oncotarget. 2016; 7:37846–56. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.9344.
- 88. Glodzik D, Morganella S, Davies H, Simpson PT, Li Y, Zou X, Diez-Perez J, Staaf J, Alexandrov LB, Smid M, Brinkman AB, Rye IH, Russnes H, et al. A somatic-mutational process recurrently duplicates germline susceptibility loci and tissue-specific super-enhancers in breast cancers. Nat Genet. 2017; 49:341–48. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3771.
- Tosoian JJ, JohnBull E, Trock BJ, Landis P, Epstein JI, Partin AW, Walsh PC, Carter HB. Pathological outcomes in men with low risk and very low risk prostate cancer: implications on the practice of active surveillance. J Urol. 2013; 190:1218–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. juro.2013.04.071.
- 90. De Visschere PJ, Briganti A, Fütterer JJ, Ghadjar P, Isbarn H, Massard C, Ost P, Sooriakumaran P, Surcel CI, Valerio M, van den Bergh RC, Ploussard G, Giannarini G, Villeirs GM. Role of multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging in early detection of prostate cancer. Insights Imaging. 2016; 7:205–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13244-016-0466-9.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, and PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Int J Surg. 2010; 8:336–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2010.02.007.