1	ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT JOURNAL		
2			
3	Type of paper: original research paper (regular paper)		
4 5	DETERMINATION OF ¹⁵ N STABLE ISOTOPE NATURAL ABUNDANCES FOR ASSESSING THE		
6	USE OF SALINE RECLAIMED WATER IN GRAPEFRUIT		
-			
/ 0	Authors names and addresses:		
q	Cristina Romero-Trigueros* Pedro A Nortes Juan I Alarcón, Emilio Nicolás.		
10	the authors mutually agree that it should be submitted to FEMI)		
10	(An the authors mutually agree that it should be submitted to ELMS)		
12	Departamento de Riego, Centro de Edafología y Biología Aplicada del Segura, CSIC, P.O. Box 164, 30100,		
13	Espinardo (Murcia), Spain		
14			
15			
16	Corresponding author: Cristina Romero-Trigueros		
17	Departamento de Riego		
18	Centro de Edafología y Biología Aplicada del Segura, CEBAS-CSIC.		
19	Campus Espinardo P.O. Box 164, 30100, Espinardo (Murcia), Spain		
20	Phone: +34 968 396200 (Ext. 6223)		
21	Fax: +34 968 396 213		
22	E-mail: cromero@cebas.csic.es		
23	Number of tables: 1		
24	Number of figures: 2		
25	Page count: 15 (including this one)		
26 27	Research highlight . The increase in leaf δ^{15} N value is a powerful indicator of long-term inefficient N usage		
28	and past N management in the terrestrial environment in grapefruit irrigated with reclaimed water.		
29	r		
30			
31			
32 33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38	First Version		

39 40

DETERMINATION OF ¹⁵N STABLE ISOTOPE NATURAL ABUNDANCES FOR ASSESSING THE USE OF SALINE RECLAIMED WATER IN GRAPEFRUIT

41

Cristina Romero-Trigueros*, Pedro A. Nortes, Juan J. Alarcón, Emilio Nicolás

42

43 *Departament of Irrigation, Centro de Edafología y Biología Aplicada del Segura (CEBAS-CSIC).

44 Campus Universitario de Espinardo, Murcia.

45 *<u>cromero@cebas.csic.es</u>

46 ABSTRACT

We reported the results of an isotopic study aimed at evaluating the medium to long-term effects of 47 48 different water qualities and deficit irrigation strategies on the ecophysiology of grapefruit in a 7year-old plantation in SE Spain. For a better understanding of the interaction between nitrogen and 49 salts from reclaimed water, RW, an experiment using natural abundance (δ) of ¹⁵N was conducted. 50 This study showed that in grapefruit crop irrigated with RW leaf δ^{15} N value increased. We 51 concluded that: (i) causal links exist between leaf δ^{15} N isotope and salt stress: positive correlation 52 between values of this isotope and leaf salt content was showed; (ii) excess of nitrates provided by 53 the reclaimed irrigation water were lost in the ecosystem through leaching, denitrification, etc., 54 enriching the medium with $\delta^{15}N$ and increasing $\delta^{15}N$ values in plants. Therefore, the results of this 55 study highlight the key role that salt content from RW can play in N uptake by plants and, hence, 56 isotopic discrimination of leaf N. Consequently, it has been demonstrated the usefulness of isotopic 57 discrimination measure to predict crop sustainability in the medium to long term when using water 58 sources of different quality combined with deficit irrigation strategies. 59

- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

66 **Keywords:** enrichment of δ^{15} N; gas exchange parameters; isotopic measurement; nitrogen use 67 efficiency; saline reclaimed water.

68 INTRODUCTION

69 Increasing agricultural productivity in a sustainable way, conserving water and preventing soil pollution by nitrates, are currently the main challenges in agricultural reserch at the ecosystem level. 70 It is well known that water is the most limiting factor for crop production, especially in areas where 71 agriculture relies heavily on irrigation. Therefore it is necessary to evaluate alternative water 72 sources for our irrigation systems. In this regard, reclaimed water, RW, reuse has been integrated 73 into water resources management; it is considered as an integral part of the environmental pollution 74 control and water management strategy. The volume of treated used for irrigation of crops in Spain 75 RW is increasing due to the progressive implementation of the European Waste Water Directive 76 (91/271/EEC). Moreover, frequent water-shortage periods are even forcing farmers to combine RW 77 78 with deficit irrigation strategies in order to reduce water use in agriculture, such as regulated-deficit irrigation (RDI), based on the application of lower amounts of irrigation water than those needed by 79 the crop to compensate for evapotranspiration losses (Rana et al., 2005). Murcia, as a semi-arid 80 Mediterranean agronomic region, uses 100 hm³ of RW per year, however 93% of this water has an 81 electrical conductivity, EC, above 2 dS·m⁻¹ and 37% has EC values above 3 dS·m⁻¹ (ESAMUR, 82 2013). Salinity is among the most significant environmental factors responsible for substantial 83 84 losses in agricultural production worldwide, and it is one of the serious problems confronting the long-term feasibility of agriculture in production systems irrigated with RW in these semiarid 85 86 regions (Ravindran et al., 2007). This is a critical problem, especially in Citrus, since they are one of the most globally important horticultural crops considered salt sensitive (Al-Yassin, 2005). 87 Studies have shown that citrus are strongly affected by chloride and sodium (Grattan et al., 2013) 88 which can be toxic to the plant. On the other hand, RW irrigation is generally considered beneficial 89 90 for the crop, as a result of its macronutrients (N, K, P), and in helping to reduce the requirements for commercial fertilizer, making important savings. Therefore, RW can efficiently substitute for 91 92 potable water for irrigation and simultaneously save nitrates, according to Ferreira da Fonseca et al. (2007), but requires careful management of N to obtain an optimal level of N use efficiency. 93 Relatively little is known about the effects of saline RW irrigation on N cycling in agroecosystems. 94 In this regard, stable isotope methods have emerged as one of the more powerful tools for 95 advancing understanding of relationships between plants and their environment. The stable isotope 96 composition of bulk leaf material is mostly determined by the environmental conditions prevailing 97 during leaf formation. Leaf nitrogen isotope enrichment, $\delta^{15}N$, is determined by the isotope ratio of 98 the external N source and physiological mechanisms within the plant (Evans, 2001), as ¹⁵N/¹⁴N 99 fractionations during N assimilation, N transport within plants and N loss from the plant. An 100 improved understanding of major factors controlling leaf δ^{15} N can advance our knowledge of plant 101 N acquisition and allocation in grapefruit crops. Some studies have evaluated the effect of water and 102

salt stress and/or nitrogen inputs on soil/plant nitrogen isotope composition in field crops (Khelil et al., 2013ab) or pine seedlings (Marañon-Jiménez et al., 2013). However, because of the cost and time required to research on the leaf δ^{15} N value in woody crops irrigated over extend periods (i.e. multiple years) are scarce.

Our experiment is the first to evaluate the sustainability after five years of combined use of saline 107 RW with RDI in grapefruit trees crop under field conditions by isotopic measurements in order to 108 elucidate the relationships between salinity and ¹⁵N natural abundance. The purpose here is to 109 assess the utility of δ^{15} N as a physiological integrator and indicator of N use efficiency in grapefruit 110 tree crops irrigated with RW combined with regulated deficit, after 5 years. Specifically, the aims 111 are to (1) measure the variations in leaf $\delta^{15}N$ in relation to different water source and irrigation 112 strategies (2) correlate these measurements with phytotoxic ion accumulation; and (3) assess the 113 potential usefulness of δ^{15} N as an indicator of sustainability in the medium to long term. 114

115 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty leaves per tree were sampled through the growth season in 2012, DOY from 72 to 345, in 116 the early morning and transported in refrigerated plastic bags to determine the leaf area using an 117 area meter (LI-3100 Leaf Area Meter, Li-cor, EEUU). Nitrogen total content (g·100g⁻¹) was 118 measured too (Flash EA 112 Series, England and Leco TruSpec, Saint Joseph, USA) and this value 119 relative to the total leaf area (N_{area} , $g_N \cdot m^{-2}$) were reported. The concentration of sodium and boron 120 were determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP- ICAP 6500 DUO Thermo, England). 121 Chloride ion was analyzed by ion chromatography with a Chromatograph Metrohm (Switzerland) 122 after using a standard leaf to distilled-water ratio of 1:2.5 (w:w). 123

Two grapefruit leaves from ten selected trees per treatment were collected on day of year (DOY) 124 145, 234 and 345 for nitrogen and stable isotope determinations. Leaf δ^{15} N analysis was conducted 125 at the University of California (Davis, EE.UU.) Stable Isotope Facility using a continuous flow, 126 127 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (CF-IRMS, Europa Scientific, Crewe, UK) (http://stableisotopefacility.ucdavis.edu/). The measurements of stable nitrogen isotope ratios is 128 expressed in thousandths (‰) following classical delta notation (δ), where $\delta^{15}N = [(R_{sample} - \delta^{15}N)]$ 129 $R_{reference}$ / $R_{reference}$]·1000, where $R = {}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$. $\delta^{15}N$ data are reported using differential notation. 130 relative to an internationally accepted standard. The standard was atmospheric N_2 (‰). Replicate 131 analysis of 10 plant matter samples for treatment and season showed that the precision for $\delta^{15}N$ 132 measurements was $\leq 0.18\%$. 133

135 Statistical design and analysis

136 Statistical analysis was performed as a weighted analysis of variance (ANOVA; statistical software 137 IBM SPSS Statistics v. 21 for Windows. Chicago, USA). Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05) was used for 138 mean separation.

139 **EXPERIMENTAL**

140 Experimental conditions and plant material

The experiment was conducted at a commercial citrus orchard, located in the northeast of the 141 Region of Murcia in Campotéjar, 7 km north of Molina de Segura (38°07'18"N, 1°13'15"'W) in 142 2012. The experimental plot of 0.5 has was cultivated with 7 year-old 'Star Ruby' grapefruit trees 143 (Citrus paradisi Macf) grafted on Macrophylla rootstock [Citrus Macrophylla] planted at 6 x 4 144 metres. The irrigation was scheduled on the basis of daily evapotranspiration of the crop "ETc" 145 accumulated during the previous week. ETc values were estimated as reference evapotranspiration 146 (ETo), calculated with the Penman-Monteith methodology and a monthly local crop factor (Allen et 147 al., 1998). All trees received the same amount of fertilizers which were applied through the drip 148 irrigation system: 263 kg N, 105 kg P₂O₅ and 155 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. A total of 192 trees were used 149 in this study. 150

151

The experimental design of each irrigation treatment was 4 standard experimental plots and distributed following a completely randomized design. Each replica was made up of 12 trees, organized in 3 adjacent rows. Central trees of the middle row were used for 1 measurements and the rest were guard trees.

156

157 Irrigation treatments and water quality

The irrigation head was equiped and supplied with two water sources. The first was pumped from 158 the Tagus-Segura canal, transfer water (TW) and the second water source was pumped from the 159 North of "Molina de Segura" tertiary wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), reclaimed water (RW), 160 characterized by generating a highly saline effluent and higher nutrient levels. The water quality 161 was different between each source of irrigation water (Table 1). Reclaimed irrigation water showed 162 the highest values in salinity, with EC –an indicator of the salt content- values close to 3 dS \cdot m⁻¹, 163 while transfer irrigation water had an average electrical conductivity near unity (1 dS \cdot m⁻¹). The high 164 level of salinity observed in the RW treatment was mainly due to the high concentration of Cl⁻ 165 $(>600 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})$ and Na $(>500 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})$. Moreover, in the reclaimed irrigation water there was also a 166 higher concentration of N (NO₃⁻), P and K than in the transfer irrigation water. No differences in the 167

168 concentration of heavy metals were found between the different irrigation water sources (data not169 shown).

Two irrigation treatments were established for each water source. In the first treatment, irrigation was applied throughout the growing season according to water requirements (100% ETc), full irrigation, FI, treatment. The second treatment was regulated deficit irrigation (RDI) irrigated similarly to the FI treatment, except during the second stage of fruit growth when it received \approx 50% the water amount applied to the FI treatment. The amount of water applied to full irrigation treatments was 6066 m³·ha⁻¹, while the water applied in RDI treatments was 4980 m³·ha⁻¹.

176

Table 1. Average value of chemical parameters of irrigation water in each water source:
reclaimed water (RW) and Tajo-Segura transfer water (TW).

	Water sources	
	RW	TW
рН	7.49±0.02	8.79±0.41
$EC (dS \cdot m^{-1})$	3.95±0.04	0.97 ± 0.00
NO^{-3} (mg·L ⁻¹)	16.45±9.91	2.52±0.77
$PO_{3}^{-4} (mg \cdot L^{-1})$	2.26±0.20	<1.0
$K (mg \cdot L^{-1})$	42.65±4.29	4.80±1.26
Ca (mg·L ⁻¹)	179.00±22.22	112.36±7.25
$Mg (mg \cdot L^{-1})$	134.67±24.30	53.02±5.92
$\mathbf{B} (\mathbf{mg} \cdot \mathbf{L}^{-1})$	0.83 ± 0.07	0.11 ± 0.02
Na (mg·L ⁻¹)	550.93±42.93	65.76±12.98
$Cl^{-}(mg \cdot L^{-1})$	679.55±8.55	66.63±1.83

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

187 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of leaf δ^{15} N was measured on DOY 145 (24/05/2012), 234 (22/08/2012) and 345 (11/12/2012). Because of the integrative response of plant isotopic composition to multiple ecophysiological constraints through time, leaf δ^{15} N can be used to evaluate environmental conditions prevailing during leaf formation and the form (source) of N most used by plants (Querejeta et al., 2008). The growth season was divided into 3 phenological periods: Stage I (DOY 72-145), Stage II (DOY 152-234) and Stage III (DOY 247-345).

194 Seasonal change in δ^{15} N values

In the first analysis (DOY 145), the δ^{15} N values of the treatment TW-FI ranged from 1.81 to 1.96 195 ‰, with an average of 1.91±0.02 ‰. The δ^{15} N values of the treatment RW-FI were more enriched. 196 197 ranging from 3.05 to 3.47 ‰ and an average of 3.23±0.05 ‰. Therefore, trees irrigated with RW resulted in a significant increase of 69.11% in the natural abundance of the isotope ¹⁵N. The second 198 analysis (DOY 234-RDI period) showed the same behavior: the treatments irrigated with TW were 199 200 less enriched, with corresponding values of 1.21±0.14 and 1.16±0.13 ‰, for TW-FI and TW-RDI, respectively, and the treatment irrigated with RW reflected an increase of ¹⁵N isotope, 2.68±0.06 201 and 2.19±0.13 ‰ for RW-FI and RW-RDI, respectively, so in this Stage II of rapid fruit growth the 202 increase between TW-FI and RW-FI was 121.94%. As expected, the isotopic composition of leaf 203 nitrogen of the third analysis (DOY 346) exhibited the same trend: 1.46±0.067, 1.10±0.16, 204 2.94±0.12 and 2.60±0.18 ‰ for TW-FI, TW-RDI, RW-FI and RW-RDI, respectively, with an 205 increase of the abundance of ¹⁵N of 101.23% in RW-FI treatment, compared to TW-FI. However, 206 neither average leaf nitrogen total concentration nor the area-based leaf nitrogen content measured 207 208 on the same leaves was statistically significant between treatments in any of the three analysis evaluated (Figure 1B and 1C). Nitrate uptake depends on internal factors related to N demand of the 209 plant, rather than on nitrate availability in the soil volume (Cerezo et al., 2007). In this regard, 210 greater N rates from RW did not improve total N plant uptake, in the three dates analyzed, 211 according to recent work by Khelil et al. (2013b). Moreover, area-based leaf nitrogen content, Narea, 212 had a tendency to increase with the growth season, the values measured in the first analysis (DOY 213 145) being significantly lower than the values of the other two analyses, mainly because all 214 treatments reached their maximum leaf area at this stage, in accordance with the results reported by 215 216 Albrigo et al. (2005).

On the one hand, the most likely explanation for the pattern in leaf ¹⁵N abundance of trees irrigated with TW might be that: for plants growing under moderate nitrate-N concentration, there is negligible fractionation between ¹⁵N and ¹⁴N during the root uptake of nitrate and its incorporation into plant tissues (Shearer and Kohl, 1986). Under natural conditions (i.e., normal substrate nitrate concentrations) plants do not discriminate between ^{15}N and ^{14}N in the uptake and assimilation of nitrate (Mariotti et al. 1982).

On the other hand, the increase of the abundance of leaf ¹⁵N in RW treatments could be argued, a 223 *priori*, by the close relation between the availability of inorganic nitrogen in soil and leaf ¹⁵N 224 values. A general pattern is that the discrimination increases with external NO₃⁻ concentration 225 (Evan, 2001). I.e., the plant becomes more ^{15}N enriched as the availability of source NO₃⁻ increases 226 (Robinson, 2001). In our cause, this may be because RW has a higher concentration of nitrates, as 227 cited in Table 1, and these treatments with a surplus of N inputs over outputs (excess N) might 228 either be stored in soils or lost to the environment by leaching, denitrification, etc. (Bedard-Haughn 229 et al., 2003; Craine et al., 2009; Dawson et al., 2002; Marañon-Jimenez et al., 2013; Steven et al., 230 2005; Watzka et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2003). All these N transformations in any ecosystem lead to N 231 isotope fractionation and as the lighter ¹⁴N isotope reacts more rapidly than the heavier ¹⁵N, the 232 residual N-source (soil) becomes enriched in ¹⁵N. The close relationship between soil and plant ¹⁵N 233 observed by several authors confirms that the higher the concentration of ¹⁵N in the plant, the more 234 inefficient the system (Kriszan et al., 2009). Moreover, increased denitrification in the saline soil, 235 possibly related to drainage (Sutherland et al., 1993), would result in enrichment with δ^{15} N in soil 236 and plant. In summary, the increase in δ^{15} N value is a powerful indicator of long-term inefficient N 237 usage and past N management in the terrestrial environment (Destain et al., 2010) and higher 238 efficient N could be achieved with low N applications rates if the crop is irrigated with RW 239 240 containing nitrogen.

Seasonal trends of leaf δ^{15} N for the four treatments tested are reported in Figure 1A. As shown, the natural abundance of δ^{15} N increased significantly for all trees in Stage I, regardless of the treatment, coinciding with the highest values of leaf salts content (data not shown). By contrast, the lowest ¹⁵N values for the four treatments were registered in Stage II, also coinciding with the lowest average values of leaf salts (data not shown) in the fruit growth. TW-FI treatment reduced δ^{15} N levels by 36% (from 1.91‰ in Stage I to 1.21‰ in Stage II) and RW-FI treatment declined 19% (from 3.32‰ in Stage I to 2.60‰ in Stage II).

Differential translocation of nitrogen isotopes within the tree is a second alternative explanation for temporal variation in leaf ¹⁵N abundance, besides the variation in leaf salts content. Studies of nutrient translocation in trees showed that nitrogen stored in woody tissues was a major source of leaf nitrogen in the spring (Luxmoore et al. 1981, Martínez-Alcántara et al., 2012). At the plant level the δ^{15} N abundance is affected, not only by the water source, but also by physiological transformation within the plant. Reallocation of N during growth should result in products with

lower δ^{15} N than the original source (Evans, 2001). So during sprouting of new leaves, the plant 254 releases a high amount of N recycled from old leaves and woody tissues to young leaves. We might 255 expect that, compared with the older leaf tissues, the more physiologically active tissues (new 256 leaves which are the destinations of the recycled N) have lower δ^{15} N. This explains why we 257 observed a general decrease in the abundance of leaf $\delta^{15}N$ in all treatments in Stage II: because $\delta^{15}N$ 258 enrichment on DOY 234 was measured in mature leaves which sprouted new in spring. 259 Accordingly, TW-FI treatment showed a higher percentage of decrease in leaf $\delta^{15}N$ value from 260 Stage I to Stage II due to a greater mobilization of reserves, as these trees were not subjected to salt 261 stress. 262

263 Effect of salinity on leaf δ^{15} N values

Leaf $\delta^{15}N$ was positively correlated with leaf salt level. On the one hand, the value of $\delta^{15}N$ 264 increased with increase of Cl⁻ ion (Figure 2A). Considering the linear regression obtained, the 265 increase in leaf Cl⁻ content of 0.3 to 0.8 g·100g⁻¹ would lead to an increase of 5.37 times in the 266 natural abundance of δ^{15} N. On the other hand, the presence of sodium in leaf samples also enhanced 267 isotopic fractionation (Figure 2B). Increasing Na content of 0.02 to 0.25 g·100g⁻¹ in leaf tissue 268 would cause the leaf δ^{15} N value multiply by 3.71, according to linear regression of Figure 2B. The 269 slope that correlated sodium with δ^{15} N was greater than the slope that correlated chlorine ion with 270 δ^{15} N (Figure 2A and 2B). Otherwise, RW-FI treatment showed a significant increase respect to 271 RW-RDI during Stage II. This was probably caused by the significant increase of leaf sodium 272 content in RW-FI (Stage II: 0.108 and 0.070 g·100g⁻¹ for RW-FI and RW-RDI, respectively). Our 273 274 study showed that the salinity pattern found in leaves, and therefore in soil, was strongly present in the δ^{15} N of the leaf. 275

276

277



280

Figure 1. Seasonal change in (A) leaf δ^{15} N values, (B) leaf nitrogen total content and (C) area-based leaf nitrogen content for TW-FI (Transfer water-Full Irrigation), TW-RDI (Transfer water-Regulated Deficit Irrigation), RW-FI (Reclaimed water-Full Irrigation) and RW-RDI (Reclaimed water-Regulated Deficit Irrigation). Each value is the mean of 10 individual measurements. The values of each column followed by different letters are significantly different by Tukey's Test (P<0.05). The error bars denote the standard error of the mean.

285

286



Figure 2. Relationship between leaf $\delta^{15}N$ average values (‰) with (A) average value of chlorine ion content during the previous three months of measurements of isotope for all treatments and fruit growth stages (g·100g⁻¹) and (B) average value of sodium content during the previous three months of measurements the isotope for all treatments and stages. Each point is the average of 10 individual measurements for TW treatments and RW treatments and for the three Stages evaluated. Linear regression for (A): $\delta^{15}N=6.001 \cdot CI \cdot 1.115$ $(r^2=0.67^{**})$ (P<0.01) and linear regression for (B): $\delta^{15}N=13.509 \cdot Na+0.691$ $(r^2=0.79^{***})$ (P<0.001).

- . -

309 CONCLUSIONS

To assess the sustainability in the medium to long term in grapefruit crops, which were irrigated with RW, combined with regulated deficit irrigation, both nutritional and structural traits measurements at leaf level and isotopic measurements were used. Our study showed that in a grapefruit crop irrigated with RW the leaf δ^{15} N value increased, most notably in RW-FI. Accordingly, we hypothesize that (i) the positive correlation between leaf $\delta^{15}N$ content and leaf salt content suggested that causal links exist between δ^{15} N and salt stress; (ii) excess of nitrates provided by the reclaimed irrigation water were lost in the ecosystem through leaching, denitrification, etc., enriching the medium with $\delta^{15}N$ and increasing $\delta^{15}N$ value in plants. Therefore, the usefulness of isotopic discrimination measure as an indicator of sustainability in the medium to long term in grapefruit irrigated with saline reclaimed water it has been demonstrated.

335 **REFERENCES**

- Al-Yassin A., (2005), Review: Adverse effects of salinity on *Citrus*, *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering*, 7, 668-680.
- Albrigo G., Syvertsen J.P., Dunlop J.M., (2005), Growth conditions, crop load and fruit
- 339 size affect sheepnosing in grapefruit, Proceedings of The Florida State Horticultural
- 340 *Society*, **118**, 28-34.
- Allen R.G., Pereira L.S., Raes D., Smith M., (1998), Crop evapotranspiration-guidelines
 for computing crop water requirements, *FAO* Rome, Italy, *Irrigation and Drainage*, 56,
 15-27.
- Bedard-Haughn A., Groenigen J.W., Kessel C., (2003), Tracing ¹⁵N through landscapes:
- potential uses and precautions, *Journal of Hydrology*, **272**, 175-190.
- Dawson T.E., Mambelli S., Plamboeck A.H., Templer P.H., Tu K.P., (2002), Stable
 isotopes in plant ecology, *Annuary Review Ecological System*, 33, 507-559.
- Destain J.P., Fonder N., Xanthoulis D., Reuter V., (2010), Stable N-15 isotope and
 lysimeter, complementary tools in order to study the nitrogen leaching in agricultural
 soils, *Biotechnologie Agronmie Societe et Environment*, 14, 91-96.
- European Council Directive 91/271/EEC of 21 May 1991, concerning urban wastewater treatment. On line at:
- http://www.magrama.gob.es/es/agua/publicaciones/03_manual_directiva_91_271_cee_t
 <u>cm7-28959.pdf</u> [January 2014].
- ESAMUR, (2013). Entidad Regional de Saneamiento y Depuración de Aguas
 Residuales. On line at: <u>http://www.esamur.com [January 2014]</u>.
- Evans D.R., (2001), Physiological mechanisms influencing plant nitrogen isotope
 composition, *Plant Science*, vol. 6, 3.
- Fonseca A.F., Melfi A.J., Monteiro F.A., Montes C.R., Almeida V.V., Herpin U.,
 (2007), Treated sewage effluent as a source of water and nitrogen for Tifton 85
 bermudagrass, *Agricultural Water Management*, 87, 328-336.

- Grattan S., (2013), Evaluation of the impact of boron on *Citrus* orchards in riverside
 county, Crop-Salinity Consultant.
- Khelil M.N., Rejeb S., Henchi. B., Destain J.P., (2013a), Effect of fertilizer rate and
 water irrigation quality on the recovery of ¹⁵N-labeled fertilizer applied to *Sudangrass*,
 Agronomy Sustainable Development, 25, 137-143.
- 367 Khelil M.N., Rejeb S., Henchi. B., Destain J.P., (2013b), Effects of irrigation water
- guality and nitrogen rate on the recovery of δ^{15} N fertilizer by *sorghum* in field study,
- 369 *Soils Science and Plant Analysis*, **44**, 2647-2655.
- 370 Kriszan M., Amelung W. Schellberg J., Gebbing T., Kuhbauch W., (2009), Long-term
- 371 changes of the delta N-15 natural abundance of plants and soil in a temperate grassland,
- 372 *Plant and Soil*, **325**, 157-169.
- Luxmoore R.J., Grizzard T., Patterson M.R., (1981), Hidraulic properties of Fullerton
 cherty silt sloam, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 45, 692-698.
- Marañón-Jiménez S., Castro J., Querejeta J.I., Fernández-Ondoño., Allen C.D., (2013),
 Post-fire Wood management alters water stress, growth, and performance of *pine*regeneration in a Mediterranean ecosystem, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **306**, 231239.
- Mariotti A., Mariotti F., Champigny M.L., Amarger N., Moyse A., (1982), Nitrogen
 isotope fractionation associated with nitrate reductase activity and uptake of nitrate by
 pearl millet *Pennisetum* spp., *Plant Physiology*, **69**, 880-884.
- Martínez-Alcántara B., Quiñones A., Primo-Millo E., Legaz F., (2012), Seasonal
 changes in nitrate uptake efficiency in young potted *Citrus, Trees Journal of Agricultural Science*, vol. 4, 8.
- 385
- Querejeta J.I., Barberá G.G., Granados A., Castillo V.M., (2008), Afforestation method
 affects the isotopic composition of planted *Pinus halepensis* in a semiarid region of
 Spain, *Forest Ecology and Management*, 254, 56-64.

- Rana G., Katerji N., de Lorenzi F., (2005), Measurement and modelling of
 evapotranspiration of irrigated *Citrus* orchard under Mediterranean conditions, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **128**, Issue: 3-4, 199-209.
- Ravindran K.C., Venkatesa K., Balakrishan V., Chellappan K.P., Balasubramanian T.,
 (2007), Restoration of saline land by halophytes for Indian soils, *Soil Biologist Biochem*, **39**, 2661-2664.
- Robinson D., (2001), δ^{15} N as an integrator of the nitrogen cycle, *Ecology&Evolution*, vol. **16**, 3.
- Shearer G., Kohl D.H, (1986), N₂-fixation in field settings: estimations based on natural
 ¹⁵N-abundance, *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*, **13**, 699-756.
- Steven W.B., Hoeft R.G., Mulvaney R.L., (2005), Fate of Nitrogen-15 in long-term
 nitrogen rate study: I. Interactions with soil nitrogen, *Agronomy Journal*, vol. 97, 4.
- Sutherland R.A., Kessel C., Farrell R.E., Pennock D.J., (1993), Landscape-scale
 variations in plant and soil Nitrogen-15 natural abundance, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 57, 169-178.
- Watzka M., Buchgraber K., Wanek W., (2006), Natural ¹⁵N abundance of plants and
 soils under different management practices in montane grassland, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **38**, 1564–1576.