Published in "EPL (Europhysics Letters) 120 (5): 57001, 2017" which should be cited to refer to this work.

## Floquet prethermalization in the resonantly driven Hubbard model

ANDREAS HERRMANN<sup>1</sup>, YUTA MURAKAMI<sup>1</sup>, MARTIN ECKSTEIN<sup>2</sup> and PHILIPP WERNER<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, University of Fribourg - 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, University of Erlangen-Nürnberg - 91058 Erlangen, Germany

PACS 71.27.+a – Strongly correlated electron systems; heavy fermions PACS 71.10.Fd – Lattice fermion models (Hubbard model, etc.)

**Abstract** – We demonstrate the existence of long-lived prethermalized states in the Mott insulating Hubbard model driven by periodic electric fields. These states, which also exist in the resonantly driven case with a large density of photo-induced doublons and holons, are characterized by a nonzero current and an effective temperature of the doublons and holons which depends sensitively on the driving condition. Focusing on the specific case of resonantly driven models whose effective time-independent Hamiltonian in the high-frequency driving limit corresponds to noninteracting fermions, we show that the time evolution of the double occupation can be reproduced by the effective Hamiltonian, and that the prethermalization plateaus at finite driving frequency are controlled by the next-to-leading–order correction in the high-frequency expansion of the effective Hamiltonian. We propose a numerical procedure to determine an effective Hubbard interaction that mimics the correlation effects induced by these higher-order terms.

**Introduction.** – The properties of materials can be tuned by chemical substitution, applied pressure, or static external fields. In the theoretical description, these modifications correspond to changes in the Hamiltonian parameters or the addition of extra terms describing the applied fields. In recent years, "Floquet engineering" has emerged as a versatile tool which enables new levels of control [1]. The idea is to apply periodic perturbations to a system which lead to modified parameters or new terms in the effective static Hamiltonian describing the "stroboscopic" evolution from one period to the next. The theoretically predicted phenomena range from hopping renormalizations [2,3] and modified exchange couplings [4-6] to synthetic gauge fields [1,7] and topological phase transitions [8–10]. Some of these effects have been demonstrated in cold atom systems [11–14] and laser-irradiated topological insulators [15].

Since the Hamiltonian of a periodically driven system satisfies H(t + T) = H(t), where T is the period, the time evolution operator  $U(t_2, t_1)$  can be written as  $U(t_2, t_1) = e^{-iK_{\rm eff}(t_2)}e^{-iH_{\rm eff}(t_2-t_1)}e^{iK_{\rm eff}(t_1)}$ , where  $H_{\rm eff}$  is a time-independent static Hamiltonian and  $K_{\rm eff}(t)$  the so-called kick operator [1]. In the regime of large driving frequency  $\Omega = \frac{2\pi}{T}$  one can derive  $H_{\rm eff}$  by a highfrequency expansion, which is truncated at a given order in  $\frac{1}{\Omega}$  [1,16,17]. While the resulting effective model may contain new terms associated with interesting physical effects or novel phases, low-energy properties of this model can only be realized if heating effects are small, and the driven system is stuck in a long-lived quasi-steady state exhibiting the desired properties. When the driving frequency is large enough compared to the characteristic energy scales of the system, the effective Hamiltonian evaluated with the high-frequency expansion describes the system for exponentially long times [16,18]. However, for nonintegrable systems and not too large driving frequency, the validity of these assumptions is not *a priori* clear. Such systems are expected to heat up in the presence of periodic driving, and an interesting question is whether, and for how long, a quasi-steady "Floquet prethermalized state" (FPS) different from the trivial infinite temperature state can be established.

Several recent theoretical works have demonstrated the existence of long-lived FPSs in interacting models [16,19–26], or questioned the general belief that heating to infinite temperature occurs in such systems. In this work we consider the Mott insulating single-band Hubbard model in time-periodic electric fields. We will show that FPSs exist under various driving conditions, even when the driving frequency is smaller than the Hubbard interaction and comparable to the bandwidth. Focusing specifically on the case of resonant driving, where the local interaction is a multiple of the driving frequency and a violent heating might be naively expected,



Fig. 1: (Colour online) Half-filled Hubbard model with U = 6 and initial inverse temperature  $\beta = 2$ . Panels (a)–(h): time evolution of the current j, double occupation d, and kinetic energy  $E_{kin}$  after the switch-on of an electric field with indicated amplitude  $E_0$  and frequency  $\Omega$ . Panels (i)–(l): time-averaged nonequilibrium spectral function  $\bar{A}(\omega)$  and nonequilibrium distribution function  $\bar{f}(\omega)$  for the indicated values of  $E_0$  and  $\Omega$ .

we demonstrate that the system can be trapped in longlived states with a suppressed number of double occupations and/or a nonzero current and kinetic energy. In the driving regime where the leading-order term in  $H_{\rm eff}$  describes free fermions, we can interpret the FPS as a state resulting from a quench to a weakly interacting effective Hubbard model.

Model. - The Hamiltonian of the driven half-filled Hubbard model is  $H(t) = -v \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \sigma} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{j} (n_{j\uparrow} - v) c_{j\uparrow} c_{j\uparrow} + U \sum_{j} (n_{j\uparrow} - v) c_{j\downarrow} c_{j\downarrow} + U \sum_{j} (n_{j\downarrow} - v) c_{j\downarrow} + U \sum$  $\frac{1}{2}(n_{j\downarrow}-\frac{1}{2})-qE(t)\sum_{i\sigma}(\hat{e}\cdot\vec{r_i})n_{i\sigma}$  with  $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}$  the creation operator for an electron of spin  $\sigma$  at site  $i, n = c^{\dagger}c$  the number operator, v the nearest-neighbor hopping, U the on-site interaction, q the electron charge (which is set to 1), and  $E\hat{e}$  the electric field with polarization  $\hat{e}$ . To solve the model we use the nonequilibrium dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) [27] in combination with a strong coupling perturbative impurity solver (noncrossing approximation (NCA)) [28,29]. We consider an infinitedimensional hybercubic lattice with a Gaussian density of states  $\rho(\epsilon) = \exp(-\epsilon^2/W^2)/\sqrt{\pi}W$  and apply the electric field along the body diagonal,  $E(t)\hat{e} = (E(t), E(t), \ldots)$ . In a gauge with pure vector potential A(t), the electric field  $E(t) = -\partial_t A(t)$  enters the calculation as a time-dependent shift of the noninteracting dispersion,  $\epsilon_k \rightarrow \epsilon_{k-qA(t)}$ . The implementation and NCA treatment of this problem has been discussed in refs. [27,30]. We start at t = 0in the equilibrium state at inverse temperature  $\beta$  and switch on the electric field as  $E(t) = E_0 \sin(\Omega t)$ , where  $E_0$  is the field amplitude and  $\Omega$  the driving frequency. Energy is measured in units of W = 1 and time in units of  $W^{-1}$ .

**Results.** – Figure 1 shows the time dependence of the current  $j = \vec{j} \cdot \hat{e} = \frac{1}{N} \langle \sum_{k\sigma} \partial_k \epsilon_{k-qA(t)} n_{k\sigma} \rangle \cdot \hat{e}$ , the double occupation  $d = \langle n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} \rangle$  and the kinetic energy  $E_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{N} \langle \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_{k-qA(t)} n_{k\sigma} \rangle$  in a model with U = 6 for indicated values of the field amplitude and driving frequency. (For the DMFT measurement of these quantities in an infinite-dimensional hypercubic lattice, see ref. [27].) All these results correspond to resonant driving  $(U = n\Omega,$ with n integer). In the non-resonant case, the time evolution is slow, and we cannot reach the timescales needed to observe a saturation in a prethermalized state, or a heating to infinite temperature. In the following, we will thus focus on resonantly driven systems, where the doublonholon production is strong and a (quasi-)steady FPS is rapidly reached.

Panels (a) and (e) show that for small driving frequency and large field amplitude, the system quickly approaches the infinite temperature state characterized by j = 0, d = 0.25 and  $E_{\rm kin} = 0$ . Especially for strong fields, the main mechanism for doublon-holon production in this low-frequency driving regime is field-induced tunneling, which creates an almost flat (infinite temperature) energy distribution of the photo carriers [31,32]. This is consistent with the observed rapid heating to infinite temperature in panel (e). On the other hand, for  $\Omega = 2$ and 3, the system can be trapped in a long-lived quasisteady state with a suppressed double occupation and a strongly oscillating current and kinetic energy (panels (b), (f), (c) and (g)). Note that the drift of the double occupation and kinetic energy, and hence the heating rate in the quasi-steady state, depends in a nontrivial way on the field amplitude and driving frequency. There are also examples of FPSs with  $d \approx 0.25$ , but  $E_{\rm kin} \approx {\rm const} < 0$  (panels (d) and (h)). In general, the time scale on which the steady state (either infinite temperature state or FPS) is reached becomes longer as we decrease the field strength<sup>1</sup>.

The effective temperature of the doublons and holons in the trapped state can be estimated by calculating the time-dependent spectral functions from the lesser and retarded local Green's function G as  $\begin{aligned} A^{<}(\omega,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Im} \int_{t}^{t_{\text{max}}} dt' e^{i\omega(t'-t)} G^{<}(t',t) \text{ and } A(\omega,t) = \\ &- \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \int_{t}^{t_{\text{max}}} dt' e^{i\omega(t'-t)} G^{\text{ret}}(t',t). \text{ Averaging these spec-} \end{aligned}$ tral functions over one period yields  $\bar{A}^{<}(\omega)$  and  $\bar{A}(\omega)$ , which can be used to define the "nonequilibrium distribution function"  $\bar{f}(\omega) = \bar{A}^{<}(\omega)/\bar{A}(\omega)$ . Panels (i)–(1) of fig. 1 show  $\bar{A}(\omega)$  and  $\bar{f}(\omega)$  obtained by averaging the Fourier transforms starting from t = 25. The almost flat distribution function in panel (i) confirms the expectation from the quasi-static picture. For panels (j)-(l), one can estimate the effective temperature of the excited doublons and holons by fitting the slopes of  $\bar{f}(\omega)$  with a Fermi function  $1/(1 + \exp(\beta_{\text{eff}}(\omega - \mu_{\text{eff}})))$  in the energy range of the Hubbard bands. This yields  $\beta_{\text{eff}} = 5.53$ ,  $\mu_{\text{eff}} = \pm 3.00 \text{ for } E_0 = 4, \ \Omega = 2, \ \beta_{\text{eff}} = 2.61, \ \mu_{\text{eff}} = \pm 3.00$ for  $E_0 = 6$ ,  $\Omega = 3$ , and  $\beta_{\text{eff}} = 0.495$ ,  $\mu_{\text{eff}} = \pm 3.00$  for  $E_0 = 4, \ \Omega = 6.$  Hence, the effective temperature of the long-lived trapped state can be lower than the temperature of the initial equilibrium state ( $\beta = 2$ ). For driving frequencies  $\Omega$  somewhat above the resonant value U/n we furthermore find negative effective temperatures, *i.e.*, inverted populations of doublons and holons in the Hubbard bands.

We also note that  $\bar{f}(\omega)$  satisfies  $\bar{f}(\omega + n\Omega) = \bar{f}(\omega)$ . This property can be explained by analyzing the Green's functions in terms of the kick operator and the effective Hamiltonian assuming that the system has reached a thermal state of the effective Hamiltonian at  $\beta_{\text{eff}}$  and that the characteristic energy scale of the effective Hamiltonian is smaller than  $\Omega$ . These assumptions imply that  $\bar{f}(\omega)$  around  $\omega = n\Omega$  behaves like the Fermi distribution function  $f(\omega - n\Omega)$  at  $\beta_{\text{eff}}$ , see Supplemental Material Supplementarymaterial.pdf (SM) for details. Hence under these assumptions, which are likely fulfilled in the present cases (see also the discussion below), the temperature of doublons and holons estimated by the above fitting corresponds to the effective temperature of the system described by the effective Hamiltonian. While the response of the Mott insulating Hubbard model to the electric field driving is complex and depends sensitively on the parameters of the driving field, our DMFT results clearly demonstrate the existence of long-lived FPSs, even for resonant driving, moderate frequencies ( $\Omega \gtrsim 2W$ ) and for large field amplitudes. An interesting question is how well the FPSs and the relaxation into these states are described by the time-independent effective Hamiltonian.

To shed some light on this issue, we consider the case of resonant driving  $U = n\Omega$  with even n, where the effective Hamiltonian becomes simple. As shown by Bukov, Kolodrubetz and Polkovnikov [7], the leadingorder effective Hamiltonian  $H_{\rm eff}^{(0)}$  has two terms, describing doublon/holon hopping, and doublon-holon production/recombination. For even n and suitably chosen driving amplitude,  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$  corresponds to free fermions. In the specific case of a hypercubic lattice with hopping v, the leading term in the high-frequency expansion becomes  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} = -v \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \sigma} [\mathcal{J}_0(\xi) g_{ij\sigma} + (\mathcal{J}_n(\xi)(-\eta_{ij})^n h_{ij\sigma}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.})],$ with  $\xi = |Z_{ij}|, \eta_{ij} = \text{sign}(Z_{ij})$  and  $Z_{ij} = \frac{-qE_0}{\Omega} \hat{e} \cdot \vec{r}_{i-j}$ (q = 1). Here,  $\mathcal{J}_n$  denotes the *n*-th-order Bessel function,  $g_{ij\sigma} = (1 - n_{i\bar{\sigma}})c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{j\sigma}(1 - n_{j\bar{\sigma}}) + n_{i\bar{\sigma}}c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{j\sigma}n_{j\bar{\sigma}}$ the operator describing the hopping of doublons and holons, and  $h_{ij\sigma}^{\dagger} = n_{i\bar{\sigma}}c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{j\sigma}(1-n_{j\bar{\sigma}})$  the operator for doublon-holon production<sup>2</sup>. If  $\frac{E_0}{\Omega}$  is chosen such that the two amplitudes are equal, *i.e.*,  $\mathcal{J}_0(\xi) = \mathcal{J}_n(\xi)$ , and n is even so that  $(-\eta_{ij})^n = 1$ , then the driven system (in the high-frequency limit) is expected to behave like a noninteracting model with hopping amplitude  $\mathcal{J}_0(\xi)v$ .

We demonstrate this behavior in fig. 2, where we compare the time evolution of the double occupation of the driven system with interaction U to the double occupation in a Hubbard model after a quench from  $U_{\text{initial}} = U$ to  $U_{\text{final}} = 0$  and a simultaneous reduction in the hopping amplitude. We choose  $\Omega = U/2$  and  $E_0/\Omega = 1.841$ , so that  $\mathcal{J}_0(E_0/\Omega) = \mathcal{J}_2(E_0/\Omega) = 0.316$ , and we quench the hopping amplitude from  $v_{\text{initial}} = v$  to  $v_{\text{final}} = 0.316v$ . For U = 18 (panel (a)) we are in the high frequency driving regime, where the leading-order effective Hamiltonian of Bukov et al. should be valid. Indeed, the time dependence of the double occupation shows the behavior expected for a quench from the undriven H to  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$  and the double occupation increases to a value close to d = 0.25. As the interaction (and hence the driving frequency) is reduced (panels (c) and (e)), larger deviations between the driven system and the effective static description appear. While the quench to the noninteracting  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$  inevitably leads to a saturation of the double occupation at d = 0.25, the dynamics of the driven system shows a trapping of d at a value below 0.25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Similar FPSs in the Mott phase have recently been studied with another excitation protocol (U-modulation) in ref. [26].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>To be precise, this effective Hamiltonian is for  $E(t) = E_0 \cos(\Omega t)$ . In our case of  $E(t) = E_0 \sin(\Omega t)$ , the leading-order effective Hamiltonian from the van Vleck high-frequency expansion is identical to the free Hamiltonian after a further unitary transformation, which can be absorbed into a redefinition of the kick operator.



Fig. 2: (Colour online) Resonant driving with n = 2. Panels (a), (c) and (e) compare the time evolution of the driven Hubbard model (blue) to the quench dynamics for a quench to the leading-order (noninteracting) static model  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$ . Here, the driving amplitude is chosen such that  $\mathcal{J}_0(E_0/\Omega) = \mathcal{J}_2(E_0/\Omega)$  corresponds to the first crossing of the Bessel functions, and the final hopping is  $v_f = v\mathcal{J}_0(E_0/\Omega)$ . Panels (b), (d), (f): zoom of the plateau region and comparison with the *U*-quench to  $|\delta U^*|$  and simultaneous hopping quench to  $v_f$  (black line), as well as the prediction from the equilibrium interacting model with  $U = |\delta U^*|$  and inverse temperature identical to  $\beta_{\text{eff}}$  of the driven state (red line).

The observed deviations from the effective model description must be due to the higher-order corrections in  $H_{\rm eff}.$  The explicit expression for  $H_{\rm eff}^{(1)}$  (see SM and also refs. [7,33]) contains a large number of terms involving up to three different sites. One can see that  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$  induces correlations and modifications of the bandwidth, which represent the  $O(\frac{1}{\Omega})$  corrections to the leading-order noninteracting Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$ . For example, some of these terms describe the hopping of a pair of electrons from (to) the same site. This acts like a local interaction whose strength is determined by some average kinetic energy squared times a prefactor  $\sim \frac{1}{\Omega}$ . Another term describes a three body interaction  $n_i \uparrow n_i \downarrow \bar{n}_j$ , which may also act as a local interaction whose strength is determined by the average of the occupancy on neighbouring sites. In addition there are correlated hopping terms which cannot be reduced to an effective Hubbard interaction, but which may change the effective bandwidth. It is thus an interesting question to what extent the effect of the additional terms in  $H_{\text{eff}}$  can be captured by a simple Hubbard Hamiltonian with modified interaction and bandwidth.

First of all, we note that a significant change of the effective bandwidth would manifest itself in a change of the timescale on which the double occupation grows after



Fig. 3: (Colour online) Resonantly driven Hubbard model with interaction  $U + \delta U$ , driving frequency  $\Omega = U/2$  and amplitude  $E_0/\Omega = 1.841$  (initial inverse temperature  $\beta = 2$ ). Panel (a): double occupation in the FPS for different values of U. The arrows indicate the value  $\delta U^*$ , where the double occupation reaches a maximum close to 0.25. Panel (b): double occupation as a function of  $\delta U - \delta U^*$  with dashed lines at  $|\delta U^*|$ . Panel (c): scaling of  $|\delta U^*|$  with inverse driving frequency.

the electric field quench. However, fig. 2 shows that the quench  $v \to 0.316v$  correctly reproduces this growth rate not only in the high-frequency regime (U = 18), but also for U = 9 and 6. This implies that the band widening effect of  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$  is not significant.

Instead, our numerical analysis shows that the effects of  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$  are, to a large extent, mimicked by a local Hubbard interaction  $U_{\text{eff}}$ . To determine  $U_{\text{eff}}$ , we drive the system with  $\Omega = U/2$ , choose  $E_0/\Omega = 1.841$  corresponding to the noninteracting condition for  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$ , and vary the interaction of the driven system as  $U' = U + \delta U$ . In the high-frequency driving regime, this model should behave like a static Hubbard model with interaction  $\delta U$  and a rescaled hopping parameter. At finite driving frequency,  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$  produces additional interaction effects, so that the effective static model is  $H_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} + \sum_i \delta U(n_{j\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2})(n_{j\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2}) + H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)} + O(\frac{1}{\Omega^2})$ . The measured time-averaged double occupation in the trapped state is plotted as a function of  $\delta U$  in the top panel of fig. 3. The double occupation reaches a maximum very close to the noninteracting value d = 0.25 at some negative value  $\delta U^*$  of  $\delta U$ . There is apparently a cancellation between the interaction coming

from  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$  and the local interaction  $\delta U$  at this particular point. Conversely, the resonantly driven system with  $\delta U = 0$  has an effective Hubbard interaction of strength  $U_{\text{eff}} = |\delta U^*|$ , which originates from  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$ . Indeed  $|\delta U^*|$ scales with  $1/\Omega$  (see panel (c)), which is consistent with the interpretation of an effective interaction coming from the next leading order. In panel (b) of fig. 3, we plot the double occupation

In panel (b) of hg. 5, we plot the double occupation in the FPS as a function of  $\delta U - \delta U^*$ . If the effective Hubbard interaction  $|\delta U^*|$  would perfectly capture the effect of higher-order terms in  $H_{\text{eff}}$ , and the absorbed energy were independent of  $\Omega$  and the kick operator, we would expect a collapse of the curves for different U. The shifted data show a rather good agreement for U = 18, 9 and 6, but small deviations remain. These deviations indicate that some of the correlations induced by  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$  cannot be captured by an effective Hubbard interaction, and they provide a rough estimate of these beyond-Hubbard effects on d.

An interesting question is why the double occupation shows a parabolic maximum near d = 0.25 as the interaction is varied near the resonance condition (fig. 3(a)). In fact, the expression for  $H_{\text{eff}}$  suggests that below the effective noninteracting point  $\delta U^*$ , the driven system should behave like an attractive Hubbard model, which usually yields d > 0.25. The observed suppression for  $\delta U < \delta U^*$ occurs because in this regime, the driven system exhibits an *inverted population*. In panel (a) of fig. 4 we plot the effective inverse temperature of the model with U = 9 as a function of  $\delta U$ . This figure shows that the effectively noninteracting driven system has an infinite temperature distribution, while the effectively attractive system has a negative effective temperature. The nonequilibrium distribution functions  $\bar{f}(\omega)$  for  $\delta U = +0.4, 0, -0.4$  are illustrated in panel (b). As discussed in ref. [3], the Hubbard model with interaction  $\delta U - \delta U^*$  and negative effective temperature can be mapped onto a Hubbard model with interaction  $-(\delta U - \delta U^*)$  and positive temperature. This explains why the doublon occupation does not exceed 0.25 even when the effective model shows an attractive interaction. On the other hand, the resonantly driven model  $(\delta U = 0)$  with effective interaction  $U_{\text{eff}} = |\delta U^*|$  coming from  $H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$  has a positive temperature distribution and an effective inverse temperature comparable to the initial equilibrium state ( $\beta = 2$ ). For driving frequencies below the resonance  $(\delta U > 0)$ , the driven system can be effectively colder than the initial state.

We also note that the parabolic dependence of d on  $\delta U$ and the  $|\delta U^*| \sim \frac{1}{\Omega}$  scaling imply that the deviation of the double occupation in the FPS from 0.25 is proportional to  $\frac{1}{\Omega^2}$ . This at-first-sight surprising scaling is the result of an effective interaction proportional to  $1/\Omega$  and the fact that the system approaches an infinite temperature state in the high-frequency limit as  $\beta_{\text{eff}} \propto 1/\Omega$ , see fig. 4(e).

It is interesting to check how well the effective Hubbard model explains the observed values of the double



Fig. 4: (Colour online) Resonantly driven Hubbard model with interaction  $U + \delta U$ , driving frequency  $\Omega = U/2$  and amplitude  $E_0/\Omega = 1.841$  (U = 9, initial inverse temperature  $\beta = 2$ ). Panel (a): effective inverse temperature  $\beta_{\text{eff}}$  of the doublons and holons. Panels (b)–(d): time-averaged spectral functions  $\bar{A}, \bar{A}^{<}$  and energy distribution functions  $\bar{f}(\omega)$  of the driven system for  $\delta U = +0.4, 0, -0.4$ . Panel (e):  $\beta_{\text{eff}}$  for  $\delta U = 0$  as a function of inverse driving frequency (U = 6, 9, 18).

occupation in the FPSs of the resonantly driven system (fig. 2). An interaction quench to  $|\delta U^*|$  does not reproduce the plateau value very well (black dashed line). This is because the absorbed energy, and hence the effective temperature of the trapped or quenched state, depends sensitively on the details of the transient evolution, *i.e.*, the kick operator. It is thus more meaningful to extract the effective inverse temperature  $\beta_{\text{eff}}$  of the driven system from a Fermi function fit of  $\bar{f}(\omega)$  and to compare the double occupation of a Hubbard model with interaction  $|\delta U^*|$ and inverse temperature  $\beta_{\text{eff}}$  to the double occupation in the FPS. The corresponding results are indicated by the red dashed lines in the right-hand panels of fig. 2, and they are in rather good agreement with the time-averaged double occupation. The remaining deviation to the FPS is comparable to the deviations evident in fig. 3(b), and may be attributed to "beyond-Hubbard" interaction effects.

We finally comment on the question whether the FPS observed here is a thermal or prethermal state in terms of  $H_{\text{eff}}$ . Due to the vicinity to the integrable noninteracting limit, the FPS might be expected to be a long-lived *prethermalized state* [34], where the properties of nonlocal observables are different from those of the thermalized

system described by  $H_{\text{eff}}$ . Though the direct simulation of  $H_{\text{eff}}$  and the analysis of nonlocal observables are beyond the scope of this study, we confirmed that the quench to the effective Hubbard model with  $U_{\text{eff}} = |\delta U|$  shows a fast thermalization of local observables such as the double occupation, kinetic energy, and distribution function  $f(\omega)$ .

**Summary.** – In this study, we have analyzed the properties of the resonantly driven Mott insulating Hubbard model. Contrary to naive expectations, and despite an efficient doublon-holon production in the resonant regime, this nonintegrable system can be trapped in long-lived Floquet prethermal states characterized by a suppressed double occupation and a nonzero current. While such trapping phenomena are found under various driving conditions, we have focused on the case  $U = n\Omega$ , with n even, where the leading-order effective Hamiltonian reduces to a noninteracting fermion model. In this driving regime, the long-lived trapped states can be understood as states resulting from a quench to a weakly interacting effective Hamiltonian. While these interactions originate from higher-order terms in the high-frequency expansion, *i.e.*, multi-site correlated hopping terms, their effect on local observables can to a large extent be captured by an effective Hubbard repulsion  $U_{\text{eff}} = |\delta U^*|$ . We have demonstrated a numerical procedure for evaluating  $|\delta U^*|$  and showed that it scales with  $1/\Omega$ , as expected for an interaction resulting from the next-leading order.

We have also demonstrated that driving below the resonance can lead to a cooling of the doublons and holons, and that for driving above the resonance, the driven Mott insulators can exhibit inverted doublon and holon populations. In the latter case, a sign change in the interaction terms of the effective Hamiltonian is required to correctly describe the properties of the Floquet prethermalized states by means of the effective static model with a positive temperature.

\* \* \*

The calculations have been performed on the Beo04 cluster at the University of Fribourg. This work was supported by the European Research Council through ERC Starting Grant 278023 and Consolidator Grant 724103, and by the Swiss National Science Foundation through NCCR Marvel.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BUKOV M., D'ALESSIO L. and POLKOVNIKOV A., *Adv. Phys.*, **64** (2015) 139.
- [2] ECKARDT A., WEISS C. and HOLTHAUS M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 95 (2005) 260404.
- [3] TSUJI N., OKA T., WERNER P. and AOKI H., Phys. Rev. Lett., 106 (2011) 236401.
- [4] MENTINK J., BALZER K. and ECKSTEIN M., Nat. Commun., 6 (2015) 6708.

- [5] KITAMURA S. and AOKI H., Phys. Rev. B, 94 (2016) 174503.
- [6] Eckstein M., Mentink J. and Werner P., arXiv:1703.03269.
- [7] BUKOV M., KOLODRUBETZ M. and POLKOVNIKOV A., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **116** (2016) 125301.
- [8] OKA T. and AOKI H., Phys. Rev. B, 79 (2009) 081406.
- [9] LINDNER N., REFAEL G. and GALITSKI V., Nat. Phys., 7 (2011) 490.
- [10] KITAGAWA T., OKA T., BRATAAS A., FU L. and DEMLER E., Phys. Rev. B, 84 (2011) 235108.
- [11] STRUCK J., ÖLSCHLÄGER C., WEINBERG M., HAUKE P., SIMONET J., ECKARDT A., LEWENSTEIN M., SENGSTOCK K. and WINDPASSINGER P., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **108** (2012) 225304.
- [12] AIDELSBURGER M., ATALA M., LOHSE M., BARREIRO J. T., PAREDES B. and BLOCH I., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **111** (2013) 185301.
- [13] JOTZU G., MESSER M., DESBUQUOIS R., LEBRAT M., UEHLINGER T., GREIF D. and ESSLINGER T., Nature, 515 (2014) 237.
- [14] GÖRG F., MESSER M., SANDHOLZER K., JOTZU G., DESBUQUOIS R. and ESSLINGER T., *Nature*, **553** (2018) 481 (arXiv:1708.06751).
- [15] WANG Y. H., STEINBERG H., JARILLO-HERRERO P. and GEDIK N., Science, 342 (2013) 453.
- [16] MORI T., KUWAHARA T. and SAITO K., Phys. Rev. Lett., 116 (2016) 120401.
- [17] MIKAMI T., KITAMURA S., YASUDA K., TSUJI N., OKA T. and AOKI H., *Phys. Rev. B*, **93** (2016) 144307.
- [18] KUWAHARA T., MORI T. and SAITO K., Ann. Phys., 367 (2016) 96.
- [19] OKA T. and AOKI H., Phys. Rev. B, 78 (2008) 241104.
- [20] POLETTI D. and KOLLATH C., Phys. Rev. A, 84 (2011) 013615.
- [21] D'ALESSIO L. and POLKOVNIKOV A., Ann. Phys., 333 (2013) 19.
- [22] CITRO R., DALLA TORRE E. G., D'ALESSIO L., POLKOVNIKOV A., BABADI M., OKA T. and DEMLER E., Ann. Phys., 360 (2015) 694.
- [23] BUKOV M., GOPALAKRISHNAN S., KNAP M. and DEMLER E., Phys. Rev. Lett., **115** (2015) 205301.
- [24] CANOVI E., KOLLAR M. and ECKSTEIN M., Phys. Rev. E, 93 (2016) 012130.
- [25] WEIDINGER S. and KNAP M., Sci. Rep., 7 (2017) 45382.
- [26] PERONACI F., SCHIRO M. and PARCOLLET O., arXiv:1711.07889 (2017).
- [27] AOKI H., TSUJI N., ECKSTEIN M., KOLLAR M., OKA T. and WERNER P., *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 86 (2014) 779.
- [28] KEITER H. and KIMBALL J. C., Int. J. Magn., 1 (1971) 233.
- [29] ECKSTEIN M. and WERNER P., Phys. Rev. B, 82 (2010) 115115.
- [30] ECKSTEIN M. and WERNER P., Phys. Rev. B, 84 (2011) 035122.
- [31] OKA T., Phys. Rev. B, 86 (2012) 075148.
- [32] ECKSTEIN M. and WERNER P., J. Phys.: Conf. Ser., 427 (2013) 012005.
- [33] CLAASSEN M., JIANG H.-C., MORITZ B. and DEVEREAUX T., Nat. Commun., 8 (2017) 1192.
- [34] MOECKEL M. and KEHREIN S., Phys. Rev. Lett., 100 (2008) 175702.