Trial Designs

Rationale and Design of the SENECA (StEm cell iNjECtion in cAncer survivors) Trial

RCT# NCT01774097

Roberto Bolli, MD, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, Joshua M. Hare, MD, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, Timothy D. Henry, MD, Cedars-Sinai Heart Institute, Los Angeles, California, Carrie G. Lenneman, MD, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, Keith March, MD, PhD, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, Kathy Miller, MD, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, Carl J. Pepine, MD, University of Florida School of Medicine, Gainesville, Florida, Emerson C. Perin, MD, PhD, Texas Heart Institute, CHI St. Luke's Health, Houston, Texas, Jay H. Traverse, MD, Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation at Abbott Northwestern Hospital, Minneapolis, Minnesota, James T. Willerson, MD, Texas Heart Institute, CHI St. Luke's Health, Houston, Texas, Phillip C. Yang, MD, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California, Adrian P. Gee, PhD, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, João A. Lima, MD, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, Lem Moyé, MD, PhD, UT Health School of Public Health, Houston, Texas, Rachel W. Vojvodic, MPH, UT Health School of Public Health, Houston, Texas, Shelly L. Sayre, MPH, UT Health School of Public Health, Houston, Texas, Judy Bettencourt, MPH, UT Health School of Public Health, Houston, Texas, Michelle Cohen, MPH, UT Health School of Public Health, Houston, Texas, Ray F. Ebert, PhD, NIH, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, and Robert Simari, MD, University of Kansas School of Medicine, Kansas City, Kansas for the Cardiovascular Cell Therapy Research Network (CCTRN)

Address for correspondence:

Lem Moyé, MD, PhD

1200 Pressler, Suite 1008-E, Houston, TX 77030

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Bolli, R., Hare, J. M., Henry, T. D., Lenneman, C. G., March, K., Miller, K., ... Simari, R. (n.d.). Rationale and Design of the SENECA (StEm cell iNjECtion in cAncer survivors) Trial. American Heart Journal. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2018.02.009

Phone: 713-500-9513; Fax: 713-500-6518

lemmoye@msn.com

Stranger

ABSTRACT

Objectives: SENECA (<u>StEm cell iNjEC</u>tion in c<u>Ancer survivors</u>) is a phase I, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to evaluate the safety and feasibility of delivering allogeneic mesenchymal stromal cells (allo-MSCs) transendocardially in subjects with anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy (AIC).

Background: AIC is an incurable and often fatal syndrome, with a prognosis worse than that of ischemic or nonischemic cardiomyopathy. Recently, cell therapy with MSCs has emerged as a promising new approach to repair damaged myocardium.

Methods: The study population is 36 cancer survivors with a diagnosis of AIC, left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction \leq 40%, and symptoms of heart failure (NYHA class II-III) on optimally-tolerated medical therapy. Subjects must be clinically free of cancer for at least two years with a \leq 30% estimated five-year risk of recurrence. The first six subjects participated in an open-label, lead-in phase and received 100 million allo-MSCs; the remaining 30 will be randomized 1:1 to receive allo-MSCs or vehicle via 20 transendocardial injections. Efficacy measures (obtained at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months) include MRI evaluation of LV function, LV volumes, fibrosis, and scar burden; assessment of exercise tolerance (six-minute walk test) and quality of life (Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire); clinical outcomes (MACE and cumulative days alive and out of hospital); and biomarkers of heart failure (NT-proBNP).

Conclusions: This is the first clinical trial using direct cardiac injection of cells for the treatment of AIC. If administration of allo-MSCs is found feasible and safe, SENECA will pave the way for larger phase II/III studies with therapeutic efficacy as the primary outcome.

Keywords: cell therapy, anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy, trial design, mesenchymal stromal cells, allogeneic

3

INTRODUCTION

Anthracycline-based chemotherapies remain common and effective treatments for breast cancer, lymphomas, leukemias, and sarcomas. Unfortunately, use of anthracyclines is limited by cardiotoxicity, which may manifest as late as 20 years after initial exposure¹. The risk of anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy (AIC) is primarily related to the lifetime cumulative dose of anthracyclines, but even at low cumulative doses (such as standard-dose therapy for breast cancer), some patients will have evidence of AIC as demonstrated by endomyocardial biopsy or clinically-significant decline in left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (EF)²⁻⁴. Thus, every exposure to an anthracycline carries some risk of inducing cardiac dysfunction. AIC often is irreversible and carries a prognosis that is worse than that of ischemic or nonischemic cardiomyopathy, with a ~3.5 higher relative risk of dying within five years⁵. Many patients with AIC are relatively young, and ~70% are women⁶. Several strategies have been tested to decrease the incidence of AIC, with little or no success⁷. Other than heart transplant or mechanical support device, current therapies are palliative, and AIC remains an incurable and often fatal disease for which no effective treatment is available.

Given the limited availability of donor hearts and the bleak prognosis of AIC, new treatment strategies are sorely needed; this is an important unmet need in contemporary cardiovascular medicine. Recently, cell-based therapies with bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) have emerged as a novel approach to regenerate and/or repair damaged myocardium^{8,9}. Since cell therapy appears to be a safe and promising treatment for regenerating/repairing myocardium and improving cardiac function in both ischemic cardiomyopathy⁸⁻¹¹ and dilated nonischemic cardiomyopathy^{12,13}, we postulated that it may be beneficial in AIC as well; in all of these conditions, the underlying cause of heart failure is the loss of viable myocytes with replacement fibrosis. We selected allogeneic MSCs (allo-MSCs) to obviate the risk of disseminating the original malignancy and because mounting evidence supports the potential therapeutic utility of these cells in augmenting LV function both in a preclinical model of AIC¹⁴ and in patients with ischemic or nonischemic cardiomyopathy¹¹⁻¹³. Accordingly, we designed the SENECA trial

(<u>StEm cell iNjEC</u>tion in c<u>Ancer survivors</u>) to test the safety and feasibility of administering allo-MSCs in subjects with AIC.

METHODS

Study Design. SENECA is a phase I, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to evaluate the safety and feasibility of delivering allo-MSCs by the transendocardial route in subjects with LV dysfunction secondary to AIC (clinicaltrials.gov Identifier: NCT02509156). This first-in-human trial, funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) and sponsored by the Cardiovascular Cell Therapy Research Network (CCTRN), will also collect preliminary evidence for the therapeutic efficacy of allo-MSCs in this population. The primary hypotheses are: (i) that allo-MSCs are welltolerated (safety) and (ii) that they can be delivered as planned and the outcome measures can be collected (feasibility). The secondary hypothesis is that allo-MSCs promote myocardial repair, thereby reducing scar burden and improving cardiac performance, functional capacity, and quality of life (efficacy). The design of the study was determined in consultation with the Food and Drug Administration, while the drafting and editing of this paper was the sole responsibility of the authors. While the independent safety profiles of both allogeneic MSCs and transendocardial injection are well known, introduction of the study product for the first time in subjects with AIC warranted an additional safety assessment prior to initiating a placebo arm. The lead-in evaluation assuaged any concerns of acute complications related to the performance of 20 transendocardial injections of 0.4 ml each throughout the left ventricle in a diffusely fibrotic heart.

Study Population. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Candidates for enrollment include men and women, aged 18 to 79, who are cancer survivors with a diagnosis of AIC. Subjects must have LV systolic dysfunction (LVEF≤40%) and symptoms of heart failure (NYHA class II-III), and must be receiving stable, optimally-tolerated heart failure therapy (e.g., beta blockers, ACE inhibitors/ARBs, and/or aldosterone antagonists), unless contraindicated. Subjects

must be clinically free of cancer (except carcinoma *in situ* and fully resected basal and squamous cell cancer of the skin) for at least two years and must have an estimated five-year risk of recurrence \leq 30%. Ongoing antiestrogen, HER2, or rituximab maintenance are not considered chemotherapy for the purposes of this definition, and therefore are permissible during study participation. Following preliminary review of their medical records to determine eligibility, potential subjects will meet with the research staff to review the protocol, the informed consent document, and possible risks of participation. To exclude heart failure secondary to ischemic cardiomyopathy, available imaging obtained within five years of enrollment will be reviewed. Acceptable imaging for detection of CAD includes a coronary arteriogram, a rest/stress SPECT/PET/CT scan, a stress echocardiogram, a stress MRI, or cardiac computed tomography angiography (CCTA). Any of these tests is acceptable provided there have been no symptoms or evidence of CAD since the test. If none of these tests is available within the past five years, the subject will have one of them performed before entry into the study to confirm absence of obstructive CAD or ischemia.

A total of 36 subjects will be enrolled. The first six subjects participated in an open-label, lead-in phase; all of them received active treatment (100 million allo-MSCs via transendocardial injection). After a 1-month safety review of these first six subjects by an NHLBI-sponsored Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB), approval was granted to enroll the remaining 30 subjects, who will be randomized 1:1 to receive either 100 million allo-MSCs (n=15) or cell-free Buminate solution (n=15) via transendocardial injections.

Baseline Testing and Randomization. Following informed consent, subjects begin baseline testing. The period from consent to treatment will not exceed 45 days (Figure 1). After successful completion of the baseline evaluation (Table 3), subjects are randomized via an online access database created and maintained by the Data Coordinating Center (DCC).

Cell Manufacturing. Allo-MSCs were manufactured by the Center for Cell and Gene Therapy (CAGT; Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX) using donor marrow from a healthy, young female volunteer meeting federal eligibility requirements for donors of human cell and tissue products (including infectious disease testing, risk behavior assessment, and clinical examination)¹⁵. The cell product was grown and expanded using a functionally-closed computer-controlled bioreactor (Terumo Quantum Cell Expansion System). Cells are cryopreserved at the CAGT and transported to each clinical site, via validated liquid nitrogen dry shipper, within one week prior to scheduled injection. Placebo product consists of cell-free Buminate solution. Local cell processors receive and prepare all products for administration. Both study products (allo-MSCs and placebo) are provided to treatment teams in packaging with identical appearance.

Study Product Delivery. Each subject receives 20 transendocardial, electromechanically-guided injections performed with the NOGA MyoStar injection catheter (Biologic Delivery Systems) (Figure 2). The intervention group receives a total of 100 million allo-MSCs in 8 ml of Buminate solution; the placebo group receives 8 ml of cell-free Buminate solution. Electromechanical mapping is used to identify target areas for injection based on viability according to unipolar voltage. Priority for injection is given to areas of relative fibrosis demonstrating intermediate levels of unipolar voltage (4-8 mV); however, areas with unipolar voltage up to 12 mV can be included to treat the entire left ventricle. In addition, injection sites should satisfy the following two criteria: (i) perpendicular position of the catheter to the LV wall and (ii) loop stability <4 mm. After proper placement of the catheter is confirmed, subjects in the treatment group receive transendocardial injections of 0.4 ml of solution (approximately 5 million allo-MSCs per injection). Those in the placebo group receive vehicle (0.4 ml of Buminate solution per injection). All subjects are monitored overnight. After the procedure, a transthoracic 2-D echo without contrast is performed to evaluate subjects for pericardial effusion, and serial blood samples for troponin measurements are collected for 24 hours.

Outcomes. The primary endpoints are safety and feasibility. All adverse events grade 2 and higher, based on the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), are captured. These events include major adverse cardiac events (MACE) and other significant clinical events (Table 4). The feasibility of preparing and delivering the study product, as well as collecting cardiac MRI variables in subjects with cardiac devices, is assessed.

Efficacy measures of interest are obtained at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months, permitting an assessment of their trajectory over time and whether that trajectory is modified by therapy. MRI evaluations of LV function, structure (including LV volumes), fibrosis, and scar burden provide a comprehensive assessment of myocardial performance and morphology. All MRI analyses are performed in a core laboratory by investigators masked to treatment assignment and other subject data. Functional capacity encompasses exercise tolerance (six-minute walk test)¹⁶ and quality of life assessment (Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire). We also assess clinical outcomes (measured by MACE and cumulative days alive and out of the hospital) and biomarkers of heart failure (NT-proBNP).

Statistical methods. The principal analysis will be based on "intention-to-treat". The reasons for nontreatment of the anticipated small number of subjects who are randomized but do not receive treatment will be tabulated. All analyses will be performed on two cohorts: first, on the 30-subject randomized cohort and then on the total cohort (randomized plus the six open-label therapy subjects). Descriptive statistics for baseline characteristics, known or suspected to be associated with outcomes, will be prepared for the treatment groups, including, but not limited to, demographic characteristics, medical history, physical examination, laboratory data, and quality of life and psychosocial data. Exact testing for categorical variables and Wilcoxon two-sample rank sum test for continuous variables will be used to evaluate the differences in baseline variables between treatment groups. There are two prospectively declared follow-up durations: 6 months and 12 months after injection. For each of these periods, the change in every efficacy measure of interest will be computed. The difference in these changes between the two treatment groups will be described and evaluated using Wilcoxon two-sample procedures, with

regression modeling to adjust for a small number of treatment confounders. No adjustment for multiplicity is anticipated for this feasibility trial.

Follow-up visits and surveillance. In both the lead-in and the main trial, subjects are followed for 12months for safety and efficacy. Follow-up initiates on the day of injection and subsequent visits occur at day 1, 1 week, 1 month, 6 months (primary endpoint assessment), and 12 months (primary endpoint assessment). All available standard-of-care medical records for cancer surveillance are reviewed for cancer recurrence at 12 months. A telephone contact takes place at 24 months to assess the subject's current medications, as well as morbidity and mortality.

Safety monitoring. The DCC employs a comprehensive and data driven safety monitoring program that provides ongoing capture and analysis of safety data, and generates timely notifications and reports of safety issues to appropriate study personnel (for local institutional review board reporting) to the NHLBI DSMB and to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). All SENECA subjects are closely monitored for adverse events with transmission of information to these relevant oversight groups. The DSMB meets at least twice annually to review performance of participating centers, assess recruitment, evaluate study progress, and report recommendations to the NHLBI. The DCC monitors regulatory and safety compliance at each clinical center and conducts regular visits to each site to ensure protocol adherence.

Biorepository. A central biorepository is utilized. The goals are to provide: i) storage of critical biomaterials (i.e., subject peripheral blood and donor allo-MSC product), ii) long-term integrity (up to 10 years) of these biospecimens and products, and iii) management of immunologic, immunohistochemical, cellular, and molecular analyses of donor allo-MSC product and subject serum samples, as well as phenotypic and functional analyses of cells and plasma samples. The overall aim of this central biorepository is to gain insights into the diagnostic accuracy of disease progression and prognostic information into successful intervention.

DISCUSSION

SENECA is the first clinical trial to utilize direct cardiac injection of cells for the treatment of AIC – an incurable and often fatal syndrome that disproportionately affects women and young adults, and for which no effective treatment currently exists^{5,7}. This first-in-human study has the potential to herald a novel approach to the management of AIC.

Despite attempts at improving therapy, the prognosis of AIC is grim, worse than that of ischemic or idiopathic cardiomyopathy^{5,7}. Anthracyclines induce cell death and fibrosis via free radical production, DNA damage, and altered intracellular signaling¹⁷. The biological hypothesis underlying SENECA is that allo-MSCs may promote replacement of lost cardiomyocytes, repair of injured cardiomyocytes, decrease in interstitial myocardial fibrosis, and/or reduction of chronic inflammation, all of which may promote restoration of cardiac tissue and function. As noted earlier, the primary endpoints are safety and feasibility. If administration of allo-MSCs is found to be feasible and safe, SENECA will pave the way for larger phase II/III studies whose primary endpoint will be therapeutic efficacy. Clearly, the results of SENECA (e.g., changes in LV function and functional status) will be crucial to inform the design of such studies and ensure that they are adequately powered.

Preclinical studies of cell therapy in AIC. The concept that cell therapy is beneficial in AIC is supported by preclinical observations. De Angelis *et al.* induced AIC in rats with a three-week doxorubicin treatment; subsequent treatment with syngeneic c-kit+ cardiac stem cells resulted in a 66% decrease in mortality at 6 weeks and a significant improvement in multiple parameters of LV function compared with control rats treated with vehicle¹⁸.

Psaltis *et al.* examined the safety and utility of allo-MSCs delivered transendocardially in a sheep model of AIC¹⁴. In this study, allo-MSCs were prepared from ovine bone marrow by immunoselection using the tissue nonspecific alkaline phosphatase, or STRO-3, monoclonal antibody. Fifteen sheep with AIC were assigned to catheter-based, transendocardial injections of ovine allo-MSCs (n=7) or placebo (n=8) under

electromechanical mapping guidance. Ten weeks after the first exposure to doxorubicin, animals received 20 injections of placebo or allo-MSCs distributed throughout the left ventricle. At 8 weeks after treatment, LV end-diastolic volume (LVEDV) increased similarly in both cohorts; however, allo-MSC therapy attenuated the increase in LV end-systolic volume (LVESV) compared with the control group (P< 0.01). LV ejection fraction (LVEF) increased from $37.3 \pm 2.8\%$ before cell therapy to $39.2 \pm 1.4\%$ at 8 weeks after cell therapy (P=NS) in treated sheep, whereas in control animals it decreased from $38.8 \pm 4.4\%$ to $32.5 \pm 4.9\%$ (P<0.05) at corresponding time points. Histological analysis showed that allo-MSC therapy was associated with less fibrosis than in the control group and increased density of karyokinetic cardiomyocytes and arterioles (P< 0.05 for each), although the engraftment of cells after transplantation was modest. This study, conducted in a large animal model of AIC, provides evidence for the therapeutic utility of allo-MSCs in the treatment of AIC, and thus supports the rationale for SENECA.

Rationale for performing SENECA in the CCTRN. Because the prevalence of AIC is lower than that of ischemic or idiopathic cardiomyopathy,^{5,19-21} conducting a phase I trial of AIC in one center would be difficult, if not impossible. On the other hand, by virtue of its multicenter structure, the CCTRN is an ideal resource for such a study. The lead-in phase (with six subjects) began on September 22, 2016 and its 1-month follow-up ended on January 24, 2017. The main trial began on March 2, 2017; based on a conservative projection, we expect that the infrastructure of the CCTRN (consisting of seven clinical centers) will enable us to enroll 30 subjects within 12 months, highlighting the advantages of a network infrastructure for clinical trials of cell therapy. .

SENECA is an example of the benefits that accrue from an interdisciplinary cooperation. Indeed, this trial requires a close partnership among cardiologists, oncologists, and primary care physicians in addressing a common problem that represents a major cause of morbidity and mortality in this specific patient population. Several aspects of SENECA were designed in collaboration with oncologists and cancer researchers (Kathy Miller, Indiana University; Tan Ince, University of Miami; Carrie Lenneman, University of Louisville).

Selection of endpoints. Because the effects of allo-MSCs in AIC are unknown, SENECA is designed as a phase I, hypothesis-generating study. We will assess not only changes in LV volumes, LV function, and myocardial structure (e.g., fibrosis), but also changes in functional capacity (measured by the six-minute walk test and the MLHF questionnaire), clinical outcomes (measured by MACE and cumulative days alive and out of the hospital), and biomarkers of heart failure (NT-proBNP). This broad set of endpoints will ensure that beneficial actions of allo-MSCs are not overlooked and will provide information essential for selecting the most appropriate endpoint and sample size for a subsequent phase II trial.²² As outlined in Table 4, cMRI will be used to evaluate myocardial function and structure. cMRI was selected over other imaging modalities, such as cardiac CT and echocardiography, because it enables accurate, highresolution assessment of myocardial injury (e.g., fibrosis, inflammation, edema)²³⁻²⁵, is considered to be more reproducible for measuring LV function and volumes^{26,27}, and does not require additional radiation exposure. In addition, cMRI enables assessment of myocardial strain, which may have greater sensitivity than LVEF in predicting clinical outcome²⁸, particularly in chemotherapy-induced cardiomyopathy²⁹. Accordingly, use of cMRI may allow for an improved assessment of the benefits of cell therapy. While not all subjects will require a cardiac device, it is anticipated that 60-65% of participants in the study population will have one. The presence of most pacemakers or implanted defibrillator devices is not a contraindication to MRI scanning in SENECA^{30,31} (Table 2).

Safety of allo-MSCs in cancer patients. The experience obtained thus far with administration of adult progenitor cells for heart disease indicates that this therapy is safe; in particular, there has been no evidence of tumor formation.³² Nevertheless, based on evidence from cell lines, case reports, and clinical studies, concerns have been raised that some cell-based therapies, particularly those involving cells that augment angiogenesis and repress apoptosis, may stimulate growth of active, high-grade tumors³³⁻³⁸; in contrast, cancer in remission does not appear to be activated by cell therapy³⁹. To address such concerns, subjects enrolled in SENECA must have firmly established cancer remission (i.e., be in remission for at least two years) and low risk of recurrence (i.e., estimated five-year risk of recurrence $\leq 30\%$) to be

eligible for the study. Clearly, the outcome of this trial will be of utmost importance in elucidating whether administration of MSCs is safe in this population.

Rationale for using allo-MSCs. The choice of MSCs is motivated by the fact that this is a particularly promising cell type for cardiac regenerative therapy, due to availability, immunologic properties, and record of safety and efficacy⁴⁰⁻⁴². Studies in rodent and swine models of myocardial infarction have shown that MSC administration results in improved recovery of LV function after MI, neo-angiogenesis at the infarct site, and decreased collagen deposition in the scar region^{43,44}. Differentiation of MSCs into mature cardiomyocytes has not been observed^{43,44}, although MSC-derived cells expressing contractile and sarcomeric proteins but lacking true sarcomeric functional organization have been reported^{45,46}. A potentially important mechanism of action of MSCs is stimulation of endogenous cardiac progenitor cells⁴⁷. Complementary mechanisms that have been shown to play key, perhaps dominant, roles in the salutary cardiac effects of MSCs involve the secretion of paracrine factors^{41,48}. With respect to AIC in particular, recent work has shown that the cell-free secretome produced by human bone marrow- or iPSC-derived MSCs as well as by human MSCs cultured from amniotic fluid is sufficient to attenuate anthracycline toxicity in cardiomyocytes *in vitro* and AIC *in vivo*^{49,50}.

MSCs are thought to be ideal candidate cells for allogeneic transplantation because they show minimal expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II antigens and intercellular adhesion molecules (ICAM), and they lack B-7 co-stimulatory molecules necessary to trigger T-cell-mediated immune response^{51,52}. In addition, they exert anti-proliferative and anti-inflammatory effects, exhibit immunosuppressive properties, and suppress proliferation of T cells activated by allogeneic cells or mitogens⁵³. In this regard, human MSCs fail to induce proliferation of allogeneic lymphocytes *in vitro*⁵⁴. Finally, recent trials suggest safety and efficacy of allo-MSCs in patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy¹¹⁻¹³. Taken together, these considerations support the concept that MSCs represent a promising cell type for allogeneic cell therapy⁴¹.

The rationale for administering allo-MSCs (rather than autologous MSCs) in SENECA is multifarious. Use of allogeneic cells obviates any concern that autologous cells may lead to dissemination of the original malignancy for which patients were treated with anthracyclines. Clearly, recurrence or dissemination of the original neoplasm would be a catastrophic outcome that prohibits use of autologous cell therapy. Administration of allogeneic cells also avoids the challenge of harvesting potentially compromised progenitor cells from a subject with severe co-morbidities or advanced age, both of which are known to alter the phenotype of autologous MSCs⁵⁵. Allo-MSCs have been used in several recent FDA-approved trials with encouraging results^{11,13}, and one trial suggests that they may be more effective than autologous MSCs¹². The cumulative experience from these studies has demonstrated not only an outstanding safety margin but also a considerable potential for allo-MSCs to exert beneficial effects in heart failure patients¹¹⁻¹³.

Rationale for using the dosage of 100 million allo-MSCs. Our dosing strategy is based upon three major considerations: i) safety data, ii) cell concentration, and iii) total number of injections. By dividing 100 million MSCs into 20 injections of 0.4 ml each, the treatment regimen balances the desire for broad distribution of cells throughout the ventricle with the maintenance of an optimally low concentration of cells (12.5 million/ml). The experience accumulated with clinical trials of MSCs^{11-13,56,57} provides substantial evidence of safety with doses up to 200 million MSCs, as well as preliminary evidence of efficacy. In SENECA, subjects receive a total of 100 million allo-MSCs, divided into 20 injections of 5 million cells each. A dose of 100 million MSCs, delivered transendocardially, has been used in several clinical trials, such as POSEIDON¹¹, TAC-HFT⁵⁶, POSEIDON-DCM¹², and a study by Perin *et al.*¹³, and has proven to be safe. The rationale for selecting 100 million cells, rather than a greater dose, is that in the POSEIDON trial¹¹, there was an inverse dose-response relationship, leading the authors to speculate that higher doses may be less efficacious due to deleterious effects on cell retention, survival, or performance. The 100-million dose appeared to give an efficacy signal in POSEIDON-DCM¹².

Data from preclinical investigations also are consistent with an inverse dose-response relationship. In an ovine model of acute MI treated with transendocardial injection of 25, 75, 225, or 450 x 10^6 STRO-3 MSCs, Hamamoto *et al.* demonstrated that, compared with control animals, low doses (25 and 75 × 10^6 cells) of MSCs significantly attenuated the increase in LVEDV and LVESV; the 225 x 10^6 dose improved only the LVESV over control, whereas the 450 x 10^6 dose did not produce any salutary remodeling effect relative to controls⁵⁸. In addition, infarct expansion was attenuated only in the 25, 75, and to some extent the 225 x 10^6 groups, although LVEF improved at all cell doses. CD31 and smooth muscle actin (SMA) immunohistochemical staining demonstrated increased vascular density in the border zone only at the two lower cell doses⁵⁸. Another ovine study used a protocol and allo-MSC doses similar to the above study and arrived at similar conclusions: the LVEDV increase was significantly attenuated only in the 25 and 75 x 10^6 groups compared with controls, although LVEF improved with all doses of allo-MSCs in comparison with the control animals (P<0.05)⁵⁹. In summary, both preclinical and clinical data suggest that the beneficial effects of transendocardially administered MSCs may decrease as the dose approaches 200-225 x 10^6 .

Rationale for using the transendocardial route of administration. In AIC patients, myocardial fibrosis is diffuse, with a unique cardiac MRI profile^{20,60}. Injections of study product in SENECA targets areas of diffuse fibrosis using the NOGA technology, which can assess in real time and 3-D space underlying myocardial viability based on unipolar voltage. The choice of transendocardial delivery is based on both preclinical and clinical data. The aforementioned study by Psaltis *et al.* in an ovine model demonstrated that MSC therapy delivered by the NOGA device is safe and effective in the treatment of doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy¹⁴. Several studies in porcine models of ischemic cardiomyopathy have shown therapeutic efficacy of transendocardial delivery of autologous bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells as well as autologous MSCs and allo-MSCs in the setting of chronic LV dysfunction. Many studies^{11,13,56,57,64-67} confirm the safety of NOGA-mediated injections in patients with cardiomyopathy,

including studies that have used up to 20 transendocardial injections^{11,14,67}. In addition, lower cell concentrations have been reported to be associated with greater efficacy^{13,59}. Based on these considerations, we have chosen a volume of 0.4 ml, which is in keeping with previous trials demonstrating safety of volumes of 0.2-0.5 ml (FOCUS, TAC-HFT, RENEW, POSEIDON DCM, etc.)^{11,56,64,65,68,69}. On the other hand, intracoronary delivery of MSCs is thought to be potentially hazardous because of the possibility of producing microvascular obstruction with resultant dose-dependent myocardial damage, as suggested by preclinical studies^{70,71}. In addition, no published clinical trial supports the efficacy of intracoronary MSC delivery, in contrast to copious literature supporting the efficacy of transendocardial MSC delivery, as discussed above. Finally, unlike in ischemic cardiomyopathy in which cell injections are provided in a relatively small area of myocardium surrounding a scar, the diffuse nature of the disease requires that in the SENECA protocol the injections be dispersed through the entire left ventricle.

In summary, SENECA is the first clinical trial using direct cardiac injection of a cell product for the treatment of anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy. This phase I trial will evaluate the safety and feasibility of delivering allo-MSCs transendocardially in a patient population that has limited therapeutic options and a grim prognosis. If the results are encouraging, SENECA will inform future larger studies of this new therapeutic modality.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the NHLBI-sponsored Protocol Review Committee and Gene and Cell Therapy DSMB for helpful guidance. Dr. Ebert is a staff member of the NHLBI, the source of funding for the SENECA Trial. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, or the United States Department of Health and Human Services.

SOURCES OF FUNDING

This work is supported by National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD: 5 UM1 HL087318

DISCLOSURES

All investigators received funding from the NIH National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) for conduct of the Cardiovascular Cell Therapy Research Network (CCTRN). Additional disclosures: Hare has a relationship with Vestion Inc that includes equity, board membership, and consulting.

A CERTING

REFERENCES

 Mulrooney DA, Yeazel MW, Kawashima T, Mertens AC, Mitby P, Stovall M, Donaldson SS, Green DM, Sklar CA, Robison LL, Leisenring WM. Cardiac outcomes in a cohort of adult survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer: retrospective analysis of the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort. *BMJ*. 2009; 339: b4606.

2. Billingham ME, Mason JW, Bristow MR, Daniels JR. Anthracycline cardiomyopathy monitored by morphologic changes. *Cancer Treat Rep.* 1978; 62: 865-872.

 Bristow MR, Mason JW, Billingham ME, Daniels JR. Doxorubicin cardiomyopathy: evaluation by phonocardiography, endomyocardial biopsy, and cardiac catheterization. *Ann Intern Med.* 1978; 88: 168-175.

4. Swain SM, Whaley FS, Ewer MS. Congestive heart failure in patients treated with doxorubicin: a retrospective analysis of three trials. *Cancer*. 2003; 97: 2869-2879.

5. Felker GM, Thompson RE, Hare JM, Hruban RH, Clemetson DE, Howard DL, Baughman KL, Kasper EK. Underlying causes and long-term survival in patients with initially unexplained cardiomyopathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2000; 342: 1077-1084.

6. Lipshultz SE, Lipsitz SR, Mone SM, Goorin AM, Sallan SE, Sanders SP, Orav EJ, Gelber RD, Colan SD. Female sex and drug dose as risk factors for late cardiotoxic effects of doxorubicin therapy for childhood cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 1995; 332: 1738-1743.

7. Swain SM, Vici P. The current and future role of dexrazoxane as a cardioprotectant in anthracycline treatment: expert panel review. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2004; 130: 1-7.

8. Sanganalmath SK, Bolli R. Cell therapy for heart failure: a comprehensive overview of experimental and clinical studies, current challenges, and future directions. *Circ Res.* 2013; 113: 810-834.

9. Keith MC, Bolli R. "String theory" of c-kit(pos) cardiac cells: a new paradigm regarding the nature of these cells that may reconcile apparently discrepant results. *Circ Res.* 2015; 116: 1216-1230.

10. Bolli R, Chugh AR, D'Amario D, Loughran JH, Stoddard MF, Ikram S, Beache GM, Wagner SG, Leri A, Hosoda T, Sanada F, Elmore JB, Goichberg P, Cappetta D, Solankhi NK, Fahsah I, Rokosh DG, Slaughter MS, Kajstura J, Anversa P. Cardiac stem cells in patients with ischaemic cardiomyopathy (SCIPIO): initial results of a randomised phase 1 trial. *Lancet*. 2011; 378: 1847-1857.

11. Hare JM, Fishman JE, Gerstenblith G, Difede Velazquez DL, Zambrano JP, Suncion VY, Tracy M, Ghersin E, Johnston PV, Brinker JA, Breton E, Davis-Sproul J, Schulman IH, Byrnes J, Mendizabal AM, Lowery MH, Rouy D, Altman P, Wong Po Foo C, Ruiz P, Amador A, Da Silva J, McNiece IK, Heldman AW. Comparison of Allogeneic vs Autologous Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Delivered by Transendocardial Injection in Patients With Ischemic Cardiomyopathy: The POSEIDON Randomized Trial. *JAMA*. 2012; 308: 2369-2379.

12. Hare JM, DiFede DL, Rieger AC, Florea V, Landin AM, El-Khorazaty J, Khan A, Mushtaq M, Lowery MH, Byrnes JJ, Hendel RC, Cohen MG, Alfonso CE, Valasaki K, Pujol MV, Golpanian S, Ghersin E, Fishman JE, Pattany P, Gomes SA, Delgado C, Miki R, Abuzeid F, Vidro-Casiano M, Premer C, Medina A, Porras V, Hatzistergos KE, Anderson E, Mendizabal A, Mitrani R, Heldman AW. Randomized Comparison of Allogeneic Versus Autologous Mesenchymal Stem Cells for Nonischemic Dilated Cardiomyopathy: POSEIDON-DCM Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2017; 69: 526-537.

Perin EC, Borow KM, Silva GV, DeMaria AN, Marroquin OC, Huang PP, Traverse JH, Krum H,
 Skerrett D, Zheng Y, Willerson JT, Itescu S, Henry TD. A Phase II Dose-Escalation Study of Allogeneic
 Mesenchymal Precursor Cells in Patients With Ischemic or Nonischemic Heart Failure. *Circ Res.* 2015;
 117: 576-584.

14. Psaltis PJ, Carbone A, Nelson AJ, Lau DH, Jantzen T, Manavis J, Williams K, Itescu S, Sanders P,
Gronthos S, Zannettino AC, Worthley SG. Reparative effects of allogeneic mesenchymal precursor cells
delivered transendocardially in experimental nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*.
2010; 3: 974-983.

15. Donor Eligibility 21CFR.1271. 2004; .

16. Brooks D, Solway S, Gibbons WJ. ATS statement on six-minute walk test. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2003; 167: 1287.

17. Chen B, Peng X, Pentassuglia L, Lim CC, Sawyer DB. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of anthracycline cardiotoxicity. *Cardiovasc Toxicol.* 2007; 7: 114-121.

18. De Angelis A, Piegari E, Cappetta D, Marino L, Filippelli A, Berrino L, Ferreira-Martins J, Zheng H, Hosoda T, Rota M, Urbanek K, Kajstura J, Leri A, Rossi F, Anversa P. Anthracycline cardiomyopathy is mediated by depletion of the cardiac stem cell pool and is rescued by restoration of progenitor cell function. *Circulation*. 2010; 121: 276-292.

Moran AE, Forouzanfar MH, Roth GA, Mensah GA, Ezzati M, Flaxman A, Murray CJ, Naghavi M.
 The global burden of ischemic heart disease in 1990 and 2010: the Global Burden of Disease 2010 study.
 Circulation. 2014; 129: 1493-1501.

20. Steinherz LJ, Steinherz PG, Tan CT, Heller G, Murphy ML. Cardiac toxicity 4 to 20 years after completing anthracycline therapy. *JAMA*. 1991; 266: 1672-1677.

21. Cardinale D, Colombo A, Lamantia G, Colombo N, Civelli M, De Giacomi G, Rubino M, Veglia F, Fiorentini C, Cipolla CM. Anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy: clinical relevance and response to pharmacologic therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010; 55: 213-220.

22. Hare JM, Bolli R, Cooke JP, Gordon DJ, Henry TD, Perin EC, March KL, Murphy MP, Pepine CJ, Simari RD, Skarlatos SI, Traverse JH, Willerson JT, Szady AD, Taylor DA, Vojvodic RW, Yang PC, Moye LA, Cardiovascular Cell Therapy Research Network (CCTRN). Phase II Clinical Research Design in Cardiology: Learning the Right Lessons Too Well: Observations and Recommendations From the Cardiovascular Cell Therapy Research Network (CCTRN). *Circulation*. 2013; 127: 1630-1635.

23. Kim RJ, Albert TS, Wible JH, Elliott MD, Allen JC, Lee JC, Parker M, Napoli A, Judd RM, Gadoversetamide Myocardial Infarction Imaging Investigators. Performance of delayed-enhancement magnetic resonance imaging with gadoversetamide contrast for the detection and assessment of myocardial infarction: an international, multicenter, double-blinded, randomized trial. *Circulation*. 2008; 117: 629-637.

24. Amado LC, Gerber BL, Gupta SN, Rettmann DW, Szarf G, Schock R, Nasir K, Kraitchman DL, Lima JA. Accurate and objective infarct sizing by contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in a canine myocardial infarction model. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004; 44: 2383-2389.

25. Ambale-Venkatesh B, Lima JA. Cardiac MRI: a central prognostic tool in myocardial fibrosis. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* 2015; 12: 18-29.

26. Grothues F, Smith GC, Moon JC, Bellenger NG, Collins P, Klein HU, Pennell DJ. Comparison of interstudy reproducibility of cardiovascular magnetic resonance with two-dimensional echocardiography in normal subjects and in patients with heart failure or left ventricular hypertrophy. *Am J Cardiol.* 2002; 90: 29-34.

27. Schulz-Menger J, Bluemke DA, Bremerich J, Flamm SD, Fogel MA, Friedrich MG, Kim RJ, von Knobelsdorff-Brenkenhoff F, Kramer CM, Pennell DJ, Plein S, Nagel E. Standardized image interpretation and post processing in cardiovascular magnetic resonance: Society for Cardiovascular

Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) board of trustees task force on standardized post processing. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson.* 2013; 15: 35-429X-15-35.

28. Mignot A, Donal E, Zaroui A, Reant P, Salem A, Hamon C, Monzy S, Roudaut R, Habib G, Lafitte S. Global longitudinal strain as a major predictor of cardiac events in patients with depressed left ventricular function: a multicenter study. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2010; 23: 1019-1024.

29. Sawaya H, Sebag IA, Plana JC, Januzzi JL, Ky B, Cohen V, Gosavi S, Carver JR, Wiegers SE, Martin RP, Picard MH, Gerszten RE, Halpern EF, Passeri J, Kuter I, Scherrer-Crosbie M. Early detection and prediction of cardiotoxicity in chemotherapy-treated patients. *Am J Cardiol.* 2011; 107: 1375-1380.

30. Levine GN, Gomes AS, Arai AE, Bluemke DA, Flamm SD, Kanal E, Manning WJ, Martin ET, Smith JM, Wilke N, Shellock FS, American Heart Association Committee on Diagnostic and Interventional Cardiac Catheterization, American Heart Association Council on Clinical Cardiology, American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention. Safety of magnetic resonance imaging in patients with cardiovascular devices: an American Heart Association scientific statement from the Committee on Diagnostic and Interventional Cardiac Catheterization, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and the Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention: endorsed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation, the North American Society for Cardiac Imaging, and the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. *Circulation*. 2007; 116: 2878-2891.

31. Junttila MJ, Fishman JE, Lopera GA, Pattany PM, Velazquez DL, Williams AR, Trachtenberg BH, Sanina C, Mather J, Hare JM. Safety of serial MRI in patients with implantable cardioverter defibrillators. *Heart.* 2011; 97: 1852-1856.

32. Afzal MR, Samanta A, Shah ZI, Jeevanantham V, Abdel-Latif A, Zuba-Surma EK, Dawn B. Adult Bone Marrow Cell Therapy for Ischemic Heart Disease: Evidence and Insights From Randomized Controlled Trials. *Circ Res.* 2015; 117: 558-575.

33. Khakoo AY, Pati S, Anderson SA, Reid W, Elshal MF, Rovira II, Nguyen AT, Malide D, Combs CA, Hall G, Zhang J, Raffeld M, Rogers TB, Stetler-Stevenson W, Frank JA, Reitz M, Finkel T. Human mesenchymal stem cells exert potent antitumorigenic effects in a model of Kaposi's sarcoma. *J Exp Med.* 2006; 203: 1235-1247.

34. Qiao L, Xu Z, Zhao T, Zhao Z, Shi M, Zhao RC, Ye L, Zhang X. Suppression of tumorigenesis by human mesenchymal stem cells in a hepatoma model. *Cell Res.* 2008; 18: 500-507.

35. Chanda D, Isayeva T, Kumar S, Hensel JA, Sawant A, Ramaswamy G, Siegal GP, Beatty MS, Ponnazhagan S. Therapeutic potential of adult bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in prostate cancer bone metastasis. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009; 15: 7175-7185.

36. Lu YR, Yuan Y, Wang XJ, Wei LL, Chen YN, Cong C, Li SF, Long D, Tan WD, Mao YQ, Zhang J, Li YP, Cheng JQ. The growth inhibitory effect of mesenchymal stem cells on tumor cells in vitro and in vivo. *Cancer Biol Ther.* 2008; 7: 245-251.

37. Cousin B, Ravet E, Poglio S, De Toni F, Bertuzzi M, Lulka H, Touil I, Andre M, Grolleau JL, Peron JM, Chavoin JP, Bourin P, Penicaud L, Casteilla L, Buscail L, Cordelier P. Adult stromal cells derived from human adipose tissue provoke pancreatic cancer cell death both in vitro and in vivo. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4: e6278.

38. Quesenberry PJ, Dooner MS, Aliotta JM. Stem cell plasticity revisited: the continuum marrow model and phenotypic changes mediated by microvesicles. *Exp Hematol.* 2010; 38: 581-592.

39. Hatzistergos KE, Blum A, Ince T, Grichnik JM, Hare JM. What is the oncologic risk of stem cell treatment for heart disease? *Circ Res.* 2011; 108: 1300-1303.

40. Kanelidis A, Premer C, Lopez JG, Balkan W, Hare JM. Route of Delivery Modulates the Efficacy of Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy for Myocardial Infarction: A Meta-Analysis of Preclinical Studies and Clinical Trials. *Circ Res.* 2016; .

41. Golpanian S, Wolf A, Hatzistergos KE, Hare JM. Rebuilding the Damaged Heart: Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Cell-Based Therapy, and Engineered Heart Tissue. *Physiol Rev.* 2016; 96: 1127-1168.

42. Westerdahl DE, Chang DH, Hamilton MA, Nakamura M, Henry TD. Allogeneic mesenchymal precursor cells (MPCs): an innovative approach to treating advanced heart failure. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2016; 16: 1163-1169.

43. Quevedo HC, Hatzistergos KE, Oskouei BN, Feigenbaum GS, Rodriguez JE, Valdes D, Pattany PM, Zambrano JP, Hu Q, McNiece I, Heldman AW, Hare JM. Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells restore cardiac function in chronic ischemic cardiomyopathy via trilineage differentiating capacity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; 106: 14022-14027.

44. Silva GV, Litovsky S, Assad JA, Sousa AL, Martin BJ, Vela D, Coulter SC, Lin J, Ober J, Vaughn WK, Branco RV, Oliveira EM, He R, Geng YJ, Willerson JT, Perin EC. Mesenchymal stem cells differentiate into an endothelial phenotype, enhance vascular density, and improve heart function in a canine chronic ischemia model. *Circulation*. 2005; 111: 150-156.

45. Mangi AA, Noiseux N, Kong D, He H, Rezvani M, Ingwall JS, Dzau VJ. Mesenchymal stem cells modified with Akt prevent remodeling and restore performance of infarcted hearts. *Nat Med.* 2003; 9: 1195-1201.

46. Shake JG, Gruber PJ, Baumgartner WA, Senechal G, Meyers J, Redmond JM, Pittenger MF, Martin BJ. Mesenchymal stem cell implantation in a swine myocardial infarct model: engraftment and functional effects. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002; 73: 1919-25; discussion 1926.

47. Hatzistergos KE, Quevedo H, Oskouei BN, Hu Q, Feigenbaum GS, Margitich IS, Mazhari R, Boyle AJ, Zambrano JP, Rodriguez JE, Dulce R, Pattany PM, Valdes D, Revilla C, Heldman AW, McNiece I, Hare JM. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells stimulate cardiac stem cell proliferation and differentiation. *Circ Res.* 2010; 107: 913-922.

48. Prockop DJ. Repair of tissues by adult stem/progenitor cells (MSCs): controversies, myths, and changing paradigms. *Mol Ther*. 2009; 17: 939-946.

49. Zhang Y, Liang X, Liao S, Wang W, Wang J, Li X, Ding Y, Liang Y, Gao F, Yang M, Fu Q, Xu A, Chai YH, He J, Tse HF, Lian Q. Potent Paracrine Effects of human induced Pluripotent Stem Cellderived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Attenuate Doxorubicin-induced Cardiomyopathy. *Sci Rep.* 2015; 5: 11235.

50. Lazzarini E, Balbi C, Altieri P, Pfeffer U, Gambini E, Canepa M, Varesio L, Bosco MC, Coviello D, Pompilio G, Brunelli C, Cancedda R, Ameri P, Bollini S. The human amniotic fluid stem cell secretome effectively counteracts doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. *Sci Rep.* 2016; 6: 29994.

51. Zimmet JM, Hare JM. Emerging role for bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells in myocardial regenerative therapy. *Basic Res Cardiol.* 2005; 100: 471-481.

52. Klyushnenkova E, Shustova V, Mosca J, Moseley A, McIntosh K. Human mesenchymal stem cells induce unresponsiveness in preactivated but not naive alloantigen specific T cells. *Exp Hematol.* 1999; 27: 122.

53. Le Blanc K, Tammik L, Sundberg B, Haynesworth SE, Ringden O. Mesenchymal stem cells inhibit and stimulate mixed lymphocyte cultures and mitogenic responses independently of the major histocompatibility complex. *Scand J Immunol.* 2003; 57: 11-20.

54. Klyushnenkova E, Mosca JD, Zernetkina V, Majumdar MK, Beggs KJ, Simonetti DW, Deans RJ, McIntosh KR. T cell responses to allogeneic human mesenchymal stem cells: immunogenicity, tolerance, and suppression. *J Biomed Sci.* 2005; 12: 47-57.

55. Dimmeler S, Leri A. Aging and disease as modifiers of efficacy of cell therapy. *Circ Res.* 2008; 102: 1319-1330.

56. Heldman AW, DiFede DL, Fishman JE, Zambrano JP, Trachtenberg BH, Karantalis V, Mushtaq M, Williams AR, Suncion VY, McNiece IK, Ghersin E, Soto V, Lopera G, Miki R, Willens H, Hendel R, Mitrani R, Pattany P, Feigenbaum G, Oskouei B, Byrnes J, Lowery MH, Sierra J, Pujol MV, Delgado C, Gonzalez PJ, Rodriguez JE, Bagno LL, Rouy D, Altman P, Foo CW, da Silva J, Anderson E, Schwarz R, Mendizabal A, Hare JM. Transendocardial mesenchymal stem cells and mononuclear bone marrow cells for ischemic cardiomyopathy: the TAC-HFT randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2014; 311: 62-73.

57. Mathiasen AB, Qayyum AA, Jorgensen E, Helqvist S, Fischer-Nielsen A, Kofoed KF, Haack-Sorensen M, Ekblond A, Kastrup J. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cell treatment in patients with severe ischaemic heart failure: a randomized placebo-controlled trial (MSC-HF trial). *Eur Heart J*. 2015; 36: 1744-1753.

58. Hamamoto H, Gorman JH,3rd, Ryan LP, Hinmon R, Martens TP, Schuster MD, Plappert T, Kiupel M, St John-Sutton MG, Itescu S, Gorman RC. Allogeneic mesenchymal precursor cell therapy to limit remodeling after myocardial infarction: the effect of cell dosage. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2009; 87: 794-801.

59. Dixon JA, Gorman RC, Stroud RE, Bouges S, Hirotsugu H, Gorman JH,3rd, Martens TP, Itescu S, Schuster MD, Plappert T, St John-Sutton MG, Spinale FG. Mesenchymal cell transplantation and myocardial remodeling after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2009; 120: S220-9.

60. Tham EB, Haykowsky MJ, Chow K, Spavor M, Kaneko S, Khoo NS, Pagano JJ, Mackie AS, Thompson RB. Diffuse myocardial fibrosis by T1-mapping in children with subclinical anthracycline cardiotoxicity: relationship to exercise capacity, cumulative dose and remodeling. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson.* 2013; 15: 48-429X-15-48.

61. Williams AR, Suncion VY, McCall F, Guerra D, Mather J, Zambrano JP, Heldman AW, Hare JM. Durable scar size reduction due to allogeneic mesenchymal stem cell therapy regulates whole-chamber remodeling. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2013; 2: e000140.

62. Williams AR, Hatzistergos KE, Addicott B, McCall F, Carvalho D, Suncion V, Morales AR, Da Silva J, Sussman MA, Heldman AW, Hare JM. Enhanced Effect of Human Cardiac Stem Cells and Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells to Reduce Infarct Size and Restore Cardiac Function after Myocardial Infarction. *Circulation*. 2013; 127: 213-223.

63. Karantalis V, Suncion-Loescher VY, Bagno L, Golpanian S, Wolf A, Sanina C, Premer C, Kanelidis AJ, McCall F, Wang B, Balkan W, Rodriguez J, Rosado M, Morales A, Hatzistergos K, Natsumeda M, Margitich I, Schulman IH, Gomes SA, Mushtaq M, DiFede DL, Fishman JE, Pattany P, Zambrano JP, Heldman AW, Hare JM. Synergistic Effects of Combined Cell Therapy for Chronic Ischemic Cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015; 66: 1990-1999.

64. Perin EC, Silva GV, Henry TD, Cabreira-Hansen MG, Moore WH, Coulter SA, Herlihy JP, Fernandes MR, Cheong BY, Flamm SD, Traverse JH, Zheng Y, Smith D, Shaw S, Westbrook L, Olson R, Patel D, Gahremanpour A, Canales J, Vaughn WK, Willerson JT. A randomized study of transendocardial injection of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells and cell function analysis in ischemic heart failure (FOCUS-HF). *Am Heart J*. 2011; 161: 1078-87.e3.

65. Perin EC, Willerson JT, Pepine CJ, Henry TD, Ellis SG, Zhao DX, Silva GV, Lai D, Thomas JD, Kronenberg MW, Martin AD, Anderson RD, Traverse JH, Penn MS, Anwaruddin S, Hatzopoulos AK,

Gee AP, Taylor DA, Cogle CR, Smith D, Westbrook L, Chen J, Handberg E, Olson RE, Geither C, Bowman S, Francescon J, Baraniuk S, Piller LB, Simpson LM, Loghin C, Aguilar D, Richman S, Zierold C, Bettencourt J, Sayre SL, Vojvodic RW, Skarlatos SI, Gordon DJ, Ebert RF, Kwak M, Moye LA, Simari RD, Cardiovascular Cell Therapy Research Network (CCTRN). Effect of transendocardial delivery of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells on functional capacity, left ventricular function, and perfusion in chronic heart failure: the FOCUS-CCTRN trial. *JAMA*. 2012; 307: 1717-1726.

66. Povsic TJ, Henry TD, Traverse JH, Fortuin FD, Schaer GL, Kereiakes DJ, Schatz RA, Zeiher AM, White CJ, Stewart DJ, Jolicoeur EM, Bass T, Henderson DA, Dignacco P, Gu Z, Al-Khalidi HR, Junge C, Nada A, Hunt AS, Losordo DW, RENEW Investigators. The RENEW Trial: Efficacy and Safety of Intramyocardial Autologous CD34(+) Cell Administration in Patients With Refractory Angina. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2016; 9: 1576-1585.

67. Vrtovec B, Poglajen G, Lezaic L, Sever M, Socan A, Domanovic D, Cernelc P, Torre-Amione G, Haddad F, Wu JC. Comparison of transendocardial and intracoronary CD34+ cell transplantation in patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*. 2013; 128: S42-9.

68. Suncion VY, Ghersin E, Fishman JE, Zambrano JP, Karantalis V, Mandel N, Nelson KH, Gerstenblith G, DiFede Velazquez DL, Breton E, Sitammagari K, Schulman IH, Taldone SN, Williams AR, Sanina C, Johnston PV, Brinker J, Altman P, Mushtaq M, Trachtenberg B, Mendizabal AM, Tracy M, Da Silva J, McNiece IK, Lardo AC, George RT, Hare JM, Heldman AW. Does transendocardial injection of mesenchymal stem cells improve myocardial function locally or globally?: An analysis from the Percutaneous Stem Cell Injection Delivery Effects on Neomyogenesis (POSEIDON) randomized trial. *Circ Res.* 2014; 114: 1292-1301.

69. Povsic TJ, Losordo DW, Story K, Junge CE, Schatz RA, Harrington RA, Henry TD. Incidence and clinical significance of cardiac biomarker elevation during stem cell mobilization, apheresis, and intramyocardial delivery: an analysis from ACT34-CMI. *Am Heart J*. 2012; 164: 689-697.e3.

70. Grieve SM, Bhindi R, Seow J, Doyle A, Turner AJ, Tomka J, Lay W, Gill A, Hunyor SN, Figtree GA. Microvascular obstruction by intracoronary delivery of mesenchymal stem cells and quantification of resulting myocardial infarction by cardiac magnetic resonance. *Circ Heart Fail*, 2010; 3: e5-6.

71. Vulliet PR, Greeley M, Halloran SM, MacDonald KA, Kittleson MD. Intra-coronary arterial injection of mesenchymal stromal cells and microinfarction in dogs. *Lancet*. 2004; 363: 783-784.



