

pubs.acs.org/OrgLett

# <sup>1</sup> Chemically Diverse Helix-Constrained Peptides Using Selenocysteine <sup>2</sup> Crosslinking

3 Aline Dantas de Araujo,\* Samuel R. Perry, and David P. Fairlie®

4 Division of Chemistry and Structural Biology, ARC Centre of Excellence in Advanced Molecular Imaging, Institute for Molecular 5 Bioscience, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

6 **Supporting Information** 

7 ABSTRACT: The use of selenocysteines and various crosslinkers to induce helicity in a bioactive peptide is described. The 8 higher reactivity of selenocysteine, relative to cysteine, facilitates 9 rapid cross-linking within unprotected linear peptides under 10 mild aqueous conditions. Alkylating agents of variable topology 11 and electrophilicity were used to link pairs of selenocysteines 12 within a p53 peptide. Facile selenoether formation enables 13 14 diverse tailoring of the helical peptide structure.

C tapled peptides are a fast-growing class of bioactive 15 Deptides that reproduce an  $\alpha$ -helix from a protein-protein 16 17 interaction (PPI). Their ability to bind tightly at shallow PPI 18 interfaces has enabled functional modulation of PPIs 19 considered difficult to target with conventional drugs.<sup>1</sup> Rapid 20 synthetic access to peptide helices with diverse components 21 could accelerate the discovery of PPI inhibitors. Here, we 22 describe a new strategy to induce peptide helicity that expands 23 the scope of peptide stapling by cross-linking pairs of 24 selenocysteine (Sec) residues.<sup>2</sup> The higher side-chain acidity 25 of Sec vs cysteine (pK<sub>a</sub> Sec: 5.2–5.6; pK<sub>a</sub> vs Cys: 8.2; pK<sub>a</sub> Sec 26 within some peptides: 3-4)<sup>2c-e</sup> enables more facile reactions 27 with electrophilic alkanes of varying length and reactivity (see 28 Figure 1).

Many different side-chain to side-chain cross-linking so strategies have been reported to induce helical structure in peptides, <sup>1,3-5</sup> including C–C bond formation via Ru-catalyzed zo lefin metathesis, <sup>1c,6</sup> lactam-bridging between Lys and Asp,<sup>7</sup>



**Figure 1.** Proposed selenoether stapling of p53 mimicking peptide using different dihaloalkanes to cross-link two Sec, leading to  $\alpha$ -helical peptide structures. (B = L-cyclobutylalanine; R = linker.)



Cu-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition,<sup>8,9</sup> and bis-thiolation of 33 peptides containing two cysteines.<sup>10</sup> Olefin metathesis and 34 lactam stapling directly couple side-chains of two amino acids, 35 limiting variations to the cross-link.<sup>5,11,12</sup> Changing staple 36 composition or flexibility often requires elaborate synthesis 37 and/or optimization of on-resin cross-linking reactions.<sup>6,8</sup> In a 38 two-component approach, such as cysteine bis-alkylation (most 39 popular)<sup>10,13-15</sup> or click-bridging with dialkynyl spacers,<sup>9</sup> a 40 bifunctional linker is inserted between the two side-chains and 41 permits chemical diversity in the staple.<sup>3</sup>

However, while connecting cysteine side-chains is simple, it 43 is only practical with highly electrophilic linkers; for example, Salkylation with bis-bromomethyl<sup>13,14</sup> or bis-haloacetamide<sup>16</sup> 45 aromatic reagents, or S<sub>N</sub>-arylation with reactive arylhalides such 46 as perfluoroaryl,<sup>15</sup> palladium-arylation with reactive arylhalides such 46 or diiodoalkanes fail to alkylate cysteines at pH 8 and 20 °C or 49 give low yields<sup>13a,19</sup> and require harsher cyclization conditions 50 that limit utility. Alternatively, cysteines can be modified with 51 bis-diene linkers via photochemical thiol-ene reactions, but such 52 reagents are less accessible than their electrophilic counter- 53 parts.<sup>20</sup> 54

Here, we demonstrate facile alkylation under mild conditions 55 of two Sec inserted at (i, i + 4); (i, i + 7); or (i, i + 11) positions 56 in an unstructured peptide analogue of the tumor suppressor 57 p53 (see Figure 1). The sequence is based on a known peptide 58 inhibitor (ATSP-7041) of the p53-MDM2 interaction in cancer 59 cells.<sup>21,22</sup> We investigate the potential of linkers of differing size, 60 hydrophobicity, and rigidity to cross-link two Sec residues and 61 induce 1–3 helical turns in the peptide. 62

Conditions for selenoether formation were investigated by 63 preparing a linear peptide Ac-LTF<u>U</u>EYWAQB<u>U</u>SAA-NH<sub>2</sub> 64

Received: January 22, 2018

f1

f2

f3

65 (where B = cyclobutylalanine), containing two Sec residues (U) 66 positioned at (i, i + 7) positions, using standard Fmoc-based 67 solid-phase peptide synthesis protocols and Fmoc-Sec(Trt)– 68 OH<sup>2.3</sup> as the Sec building block (see Figure 2A). After cleaving



**Figure 2.** (A) Synthesis of Se-cross-linked peptide **2** via diselenide precursor **1**. Asterisks denote standard side-chain protecting groups. (B) HPLC analysis of Se-alkylation of **1** (100  $\mu$ M) with 1,8-dibromooctane (800  $\mu$ M) in the presence of DTT (2 mM) in DMF/ 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) (pH 6, 7, or 8) or DMF/0.1 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (1:1) for 1 h (5 h in pH 6) at 22 °C. The percent conversion of **1** to **2** is shown. Arrows indicate the retention time of **1** and **2**. (C) UPLC analysis showing lack of cross-linking of LTF<u>C</u>EYWAQB<u>C</u>SAA (1 equiv) with 1,8-dibromooctane (2 equiv) in 0.1 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (pH 8.5):DMF (1:1) for 18 h at 22 °C. No bis-S-alkylation and only traces of monoalkylated material (peak b) were detected. Since the linear peptide did not significantly oxidize (<8% S–S formation after 18 h, peak a), no reducing reagent was necessary (i.e., DTT or TCEP).

69 from the resin via acidolysis, the selenide moieties were 70 liberated but, instead of two selenols, they formed an 71 intramolecular diselenide bond, in addition to other diselenide 72 oligomers.<sup>2a,24</sup> Adding reducing reagent dithiothreitol (DTT) 73 to crude mixtures increased diselenide monomer 1 that was 74 isolated by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatog-75 raphy (RP-HPLC) (see Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting 76 Information). To investigate the scope of Se-alkylation with 77 less-electrophilic aliphatic linkers, diselenide 1 was combined 78 with 1,8-dibromooctane (8 equiv) in the presence of DTT (20 79 equiv) in a mixture of DMF and 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 6-80 8 or NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. DMF was added to increase the solubility of the 81 precursor peptide. In all cases, 1 was converted to 82 diselenoether-tethered peptide 2 in excellent yield (Figure 83 2B). Although cross-linker was present in excess, it was only 84 added once to the peptide, suggesting rapid ring closure with 85 the second Sec residue. Cross-linking of corresponding 86 cysteines in Ac-LTFCEYWAQBCSAA-NH2 with 1,8-dibro-87 mooctane gave no or only traces of bis-thioether cross-linked 88 peptide at pH 8.5 (Figure 2C) or under heating<sup>25</sup> (see Figure 89 S3 in the Supporting Information).

90 Next, diselenide 1 was reacted with other bis-alkylators under 91 similar neutral conditions (see Figure 3, as well as Figure S4



**Figure 3.** Alkylation of precursor 1 (100  $\mu$ M) with 1,7-dibromoheptane (800  $\mu$ M), 1,9-dibromononane (800  $\mu$ M), 1,2-bis(2-iodoethoxy)ethane (200  $\mu$ M), or 4,4'-bis(bromomethyl)-biphenyl (200  $\mu$ M) in the presence of DTT (2 mM) in DMF/0.1 M PB pH 7 (1:1) for 1 h at 22 °C.

and Table S1 in the Supporting Information). Compounds 1,7- 92 dibromoheptane and 1,9-dibromononane efficiently reacted 93 with 1 to give cyclic peptides 3 and 4. The more-reactive 1,2- 94 bis(2-iodoethoxy)ethane and 4,4'-bis(bromomethyl)-biphenyl 95 similarly produced 5 and 6, but excess electrophile (beyond 2 96 equiv) simultaneously alkylated both Sec residues. 97

Encouraged by the high efficiency of Sec-cross-linking, we 98 also investigated cross-linking of Sec residues at (i, i + 4) and (i, 99 i + 11) positions. For these series, commercially available 100 Fmoc-Sec(Mob)–OH was employed as Sec building blocks 101 and subsequent Sec-deprotection occurred with 2,2'-dithiobis-102 (5-nitropyridine) (DTNP) in TFA during resin detachment, as 103 previously described.<sup>26</sup> To simplify the synthetic protocol and 104 improve yields, alkylating reagents were directly reacted with 105 crude peptides after cleavage from the resin (see Figure S5 in 106 the Supporting Information).<sup>2a</sup> A range of dichloroalkyl, 107 dibromoalkyl, or diiodoalkyl or aryl linkers of different length 108 and flexibility were used to cross-link Sec residues to form 109 macrocyclic peptides 7–17 (see Figure 4, as well as Tables S2 110 f4 and S3 in the Supporting Information).



**Figure 4.** Examples of (i, i + 4) and (i, i + 11) selenoether cross-linked peptides 7–17. Solid black circles represent Se atoms.

Letter

## **Organic Letters**

f5

112 The  $\alpha$ -helicity of diselencether peptides in phosphate buffer 113 pH 7.2 was assessed by circular dichroism spectroscopy (see 114 Figure 5). The peptides displayed varying degrees of  $\alpha$ -helicity,



**Figure 5.** (A)  $\alpha$ -Helicity (%) of selenoether-cross-linked peptides 2– 17 (50  $\mu$ M) in 10 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.2 (PB) or 10 mM PB: trifluoroethanol (TFE) (1:1) determined by CD spectroscopy. (B–D) CD spectra of PDI and the two most helical peptides in each series: (*i*, *i* + 7) (panel (B)), (*i*, *i* + 4) (panel (C)), and (*i*, *i* + 11) (panel (D)) in 10 mM PB (panels (B) amd (C)) or in 10 mM PB:TFE (1:1) (panel (D)) at 22 °C.

115 but are significantly higher (2–4 fold), compared to the parent 116 linear sequence Ac-LTFEHYWAQLTS-NH<sub>2</sub> (PDI)<sup>22</sup> (Figure 117 5A). The longer alkane linkers showed the highest helix 118 induction for (*i*, *i* + 7) constructs (2 and 4; see Figure 5B). For 119 the (*i*, *i* + 4) series, helical stabilization was less prominent 120 using alkene- or alkyne-constrained tethers than their aliphatic 121 counterparts; whereas an *o*-xylene linkage (14) was the most  $\alpha$ -122 helix-inducing aromatic connector of positions Sec8-Sec12, 123 although with accompanying 3<sub>10</sub>-helix formation (Figure 5C). 124 Among (*i*, *i* + 11) stapled peptides, the 10-atom-long aliphatic 125 cross-linker in **16** displayed the strongest  $\alpha$ -helical stabilization 126 (Figure 5D).

MDM2 binding of selenoether peptides with various linkers 127 was evaluated by competition fluorescence polarization assay, 128 129 where 2-17 competed against MDM2 complexed with a 130 fluorescein-labeled PDI (FITC-PDI). Most cross-linked pep-131 tides showed enhanced affinity, compared to PDI (1.2–2.5-fold 132 increase for 11 of the 16 stapled peptides; see Figure 6A, as well 133 as Table S4 in the Supporting Information). Next, we examined 134 the ability of the selenoether-stapled peptides to affect viability 135 of MCF-7 breast cancer cells, determined by XTT assay after 136 incubating peptides at 3, 10, and 30  $\mu$ M concentrations (Figure 137 6B). The control linear peptide PDI showed no activity in this 138 assay. From the (i, i + 7) series, peptides 2 and 4 were the most 139 active and reduced cell viability in a dose-dependent manner. 140 Interestingly, polyethylene glycol (PEG)-stapled peptide 5



**Figure 6.** (A) Inhibitory activity of peptides PDI (IC<sub>50</sub> = 57.7 ± 4.9 nM), **2** (IC<sub>50</sub> = 31.2 ± 0.5 nM), **8** (IC<sub>50</sub> = 22.8 ± 0.8 nM), and **15** (IC<sub>50</sub> = 37.3 ± 0.9 nM) against a FITC-PDI/MDM2 complex. (B) Cell viability of MCF-7 cells after incubation at 37 °C with peptides **2–5** and **17** for five days. Remaining live cells after peptide incubation were colorimetrically determined by reducing the dye XTT (2,3-bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide) by cellular enzymes of damaged cells. (C) Hemolysis of human red blood cells after incubation with peptides **2**, **4**, **5**, and **17** in PBS for 1 h at 37 °C.

showed moderate activity at 30  $\mu$ M, indicating that PEGylated 141 cross-linked peptides can also penetrate cells and act as PPI 142 inhibitors. Compounds of the (*i*, *i* + 4) series did not reduce 143 cell viability. Peptides **15** and **16** of the (*i*, *i* + 11) series showed 144 poor solubility in an assay buffer and were not tested, while **17** 145 was soluble but had no significant activity (Figure 6B). 146

To establish that the reduced cancer cell viability was not a 147 consequence of nonspecific membrane disruption by the 148 peptides, we tested the peptides for lysis of healthy human 149 red blood cells (RBC). As shown in Figure 6C, octane-cross- 150 linked peptide 2 and PEGylated peptides 5 and 17 exhibited no 151 significant lytic activity in these cells, while the more- 152 hydrophobic peptide nonane-cross-linked 4 induced moderate 153 RBC lysis at higher  $\mu$ M concentrations. 154

We have demonstrated a simple synthetic strategy to rapidly 155 construct structurally diverse helix-constrained peptides by 156 purposely varying both the positions of two selenocysteine 157 residues along the sequence and the composition of the 158 aliphatic linker between them. Two-component selenoether 159 stapling occurred under mild conditions, in neutral aqueous 160 media at room temperature, with unprotected peptide 161 precursors that are readily prepared by standard solid-phase 162 peptide synthesis. Se-alkylation can be performed under a wider 163 pH range than Cys-alkylation and is remarkably effective using 164 less-reactive electrophiles such as dichlorobutane and dibro- 165 mooctane. At neutral pH, DTT was an effective reductant for 166 Sec without significantly reacting with electrophilic linkers 167 (except for stronger alkylating reagent 1,2-bis(2-iodoethoxy)- 168 ethane). Alternatively, Se-alkylation may be performed in the 169 presence of ascorbate instead of DTT.<sup>27</sup> In this study, we found 170 that a modified peptide epitope constrained at positions 4 and 171 11 via a 12-atom aliphatic cross-linker (2) was the most 172 promising derivative from a diverse series of bis(selenoether) 173 peptides. Considering the abundance of commercially available 174

Letter

#### **Organic Letters**

175 bifunctional electrophilic cr so inkers, the selenoether stapling 176 approach demonstrated here permits facile access to peptile 177 marcan be explored to fine-tune biophysical proposition 178 mar can be explored to fine-tune biophysical proposition 179 peptide inhibitors of different PPIs. Such peptides 180 promise to target yet-undruggable marcan cancer progres-181 proteins, triggering apoptosis and metanate cancer progres-182 sion.<sup>1</sup> Finally, the Se-stapling approach adds to the growing 183 repertoire of strategic applications of Sec in peptides and 184 proteins, including advances in peptide folding, metabolic 185 stability, intramolecular cyclization, and protein synthesis.<sup>2,2,4,28</sup>

## 186 **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

#### 187 **Supporting Information**

188 The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the 189 ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-190 glett.8b00233.

191	Experimental procedures for preparing selenoethe	er
192	stapled peptides, characterization of compounds b	yу
193	HPLC and MS analysis, and biochemical assays (PDF)	)

### 194 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

#### 195 Corresponding Author

- 196 \*E-mail: a.dantasdearaujo@imb.uq.edu.au.
- 197 ORCID 💿
- 198 David P. Fairlie: 0000-0002-7856-8566
- **199 Author Contributions**

200 A.D.A. designed the chemistry and synthesized and charac-201 terized peptides. S.R.P. performed cell-based assays. D.P.F. 202 directed the research. A.D.A. and D.P.F. wrote the paper.

203 Notes

204 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### 205 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

206 We thank the National Health and Medical Research Council 207 of Australia (NHMRC) for Senior Principal Research Fellow-208 ships to D.P.F. (Nos. 1027369, 1117017) and the Australian 209 Research Council for grant support (Nos. CE140100011, 210 DP160104442) to D.P.F.

#### 211 **REFERENCES**

- (1) (a) Rezaei Araghi, R.; Keating, A. E. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2016, 212 213 39, 27-38. (b) Hill, T. A.; Shepherd, N. E.; Diness, F.; Fairlie, D. P. 214 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13020-13041. (c) Walensky, L. D.; 215 Bird, G. H. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 6275-6288. (d) Cromm, P. M.; 216 Spiegel, J.; Grossmann, T. N. ACS Chem. Biol. 2015, 10, 1362-1375. 217 (2) (a) Dantas de Araujo, A.; Mobli, M.; King, G. F.; Alewood, P. F. 218 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10298-10302. (b) Dantas de Araujo, 219 A.; Mobli, M.; Castro, J.; Harrington, A. M.; Vetter, I.; Dekan, Z.; 220 Muttenthaler, M.; Wan, J.; Lewis, R. J.; King, G. F.; Brierley, S. M.; 221 Alewood, P. F. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 3165. (c) Huber, R. E.; Criddle, 222 R. S. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1967, 122, 164-173. (d) Muttenthaler, 223 M.; Alewood, P. F. J. Pept. Sci. 2008, 14, 1223-1239. (e) Mobli, M.; 224 Morgenstern, D.; King, G. F.; Alewood, P. F.; Muttenthaler, M. Angew. 225 Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11952-11955.
- (3) Lau, Y. H.; de Andrade, P.; Wu, Y.; Spring, D. R. Chem. Soc. Rev.
  227 2015, 44, 91–102.
- 228 (4) He, Y.; Chen, D.; Zheng, W. Oncogene 2015, 34, 5685-5698.
- 229 (5) de Araujo, A. D.; Hoang, H. N.; Kok, W. M.; Diness, F.; Gupta, 230 G.; Hill, T. A.; Driver, R. W.; Price, D. A.; Liras, S.; Fairlie, D. P.
- 231 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6965–6969.

(6) Schafmeister, C. E.; Po, J.; Verdine, G. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 232 122, 5891–5892. 233

Letter

(7) Harrison, R. S.; Shepherd, N. E.; Hoang, H. N.; Ruiz-Gomez, G.; 234 Hill, T. A.; Driver, R. W.; Desai, V. S.; Young, P. R.; Abbenante, G.; 235

Fairlie, D. P. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **2010**, 107, 11686–11691. 236 (8) Kawamoto, S. A.; Coleska, A.; Ran, X.; Yi, H.; Yang, C.-Y.; Wang, 237

- S. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 1137–1146. 238 (9) Lau, Y. H.; Wu, Y.; Rossmann, M.; Tan, B. X.; de Andrade, P.; 239 Tan, Y. S.; Verma, C.; McKenzie, G. J.; Venkitaraman, A. R.; Hyvönen, 240 M.; Spring, D. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15410–15413. 241 (10) Fairlie, D. P.; Dantas de Araujo, A. Biopolymers 2016, 106, 843– 242
- 852. 243 (11) Bird, G. H.; Gavathiotis, E.; LaBelle, J. L.; Katz, S. G.; Walensky, 244

L. D. ACS Chem. Biol. **2014**, *9*, 831–837. 245

(12) Philippe, G.; Huang, Y.-H.; Cheneval, O.; Lawrence, N.; Zhang, 246 Z.; Fairlie, D. P.; Craik, D. J.; Dantas de Araujo, A.; Henriques, S. T. 247 *Biopolymers* **2016**, *106*, 853–863. 248

(13) (a) Jo, H.; Meinhardt, N.; Wu, Y.; Kulkarni, S.; Hu, X.; Low, K. 249 E.; Davies, P. L.; DeGrado, W. F.; Greenbaum, D. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 250 **2012**, *134*, 17704–17713. (b) Timmerman, P.; Beld, J.; Puijk, W. C.; 251 Meloen, R. H. *ChemBioChem* **2005**, *6*, 821–824. (c) Diderich, P.; 252 Bertoldo, D.; Dessen, P.; Khan, M. M.; Pizzitola, I.; Held, W.; 253 Huelsken, J.; Heinis, C. ACS Chem. Biol. **2016**, *11*, 1422–1427. 254

(14) (a) Muppidi, A.; Wang, Z.; Li, X.; Chen, J.; Lin, Q. Chem. 255
Commun. 2011, 47, 9396–9398. (b) Muppidi, A.; Doi, K.; Edwardraja, 256
S.; Drake, E. J.; Gulick, A. M.; Wang, H.-G.; Lin, Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 257
2012, 134, 14734–14737. (c) Muppidi, A.; Doi, K.; Ramil, C. P.; 258
Wang, H.-G.; Lin, Q. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 7740–7745. 259

(15) (a) Spokoyny, A. M.; Zou, Y.; Ling, J. J.; Yu, H.; Lin, Y.-S.; 260 Pentelute, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 5946–5949. (b) Zou, Y.; 261 Spokoyny, A. M.; Zhang, C.; Simon, M. D.; Yu, H.; Lin, Y.-S.; 262 Pentelute, B. L. Org. Biomol. Chem. **2014**, 12, 566–573. 263

- (16) Zhang, F.; Sadovski, O.; Xin, S. J.; Woolley, G. A. J. Am. Chem. 264 Soc. 2007, 129, 14154–14155. 265
- (17) Vinogradova, E. V.; Zhang, C.; Spokoyny, A. M.; Pentelute, B. 266 L.; Buchwald, S. L. *Nature* **2015**, *526*, 687–691. 267
- (18) Brown, S. P.; Smith, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2015**, 137, 4034–268 4037. 269
- (19) Micewicz, E. D.; Sharma, S.; Waring, A. J.; Luong, H. T.; 270

McBride, W. H.; Ruchala, P. Int. J. Pept. Res. Ther. **2016**, 22, 67–81. 271 (20) Wang, Y.; Chou, D. H.-C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2015**, 54, 272 10931–10934.

(21) Chang, Y. S.; Graves, B.; Guerlavais, V.; Tovar, C.; Packman, K.; 274 To, K. H.; Olson, K. A.; Kesavan, K.; Gangurde, P.; Mukherjee, A.; 275

- et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **2013**, 110, E3445–3454. 276 (22) Hu, B.; Gilkes, D. M.; Chen, J. Cancer Res. **2007**, 67, 8810–277 8817. 278
- (23) Flemer, S. J. Pept. Sci. 2015, 21, 53-59.

(24) Dantas de Araujo, A.; Callaghan, B.; Nevin, S. T.; Daly, N. L.; 280 Craik, D. J.; Moretta, M.; Hopping, G.; Christie, M. J.; Adams, D. J.; 281

Alewood, P. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6527–6529. 282 (25) (a) Yang, C. C.; Marlowe, C. K.; Kania, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 283 1991, 113, 3177–3178. (b) Guerlavais, V.; Skander, M. Int. Patent No. 284

WO2012021874A1, Feb. 16, 2012. 285 (26) Harris, K. M.; Flemer, S.; Hondal, R. J. J. Pept. Sci. **200**7, 13, 81–286

93. 287 (27) Ste. Marie, E. J.; Ruggles, E. L.; Hondal, R. J. J. Pept. Sci. 2016, 288

22, 571–576. 289

(28) Mousa, R.; Notis Dardashti, R.; Metanis, N. Angew. Chem., Int. 290 Ed. 2017, 56, 15818–15827. 291

279