

Statement of refereeing for I. Kral book chapter published in 2013:

KRAL, I.

‘The acquisition of media as cultural practice: Remote Indigenous youth and new digital technologies’, in L. Ormond-Parker, A. Corn, C. Fforde, K. Obata and S. O’Sullivan, *Information Technology and Indigenous Communities*, AIATSIS Research Publications , Canberra, pp. 53–74.

From: Cressida Fforde

Sent: Monday, 4 November 2013 2:09 PM

To: Lyndon Ormond-Parker; Pauline McGuire; Inge Kral

Subject: RE: Inge publications 2013

Hi Inge,

Yes indeed. All the books (and their chapters) were peer-reviewed.

Hope you’re well – it would be good to catch up!

Best

Cressida

p.s. your email went to my old aiatsis address which no longer works

From: Lyndon Ormond-Parker [<mailto:lyndonop@unimelb.edu.au>]

Sent: Monday, 4 November 2013 11:13 AM

To: Pauline McGuire; Cressida Fforde

Subject: Fwd: Inge publications 2013

Dear Cress and Pauline

Could aiatsis confirm the ITIC book as peer reviewed. please see below

Many thanks Lyndon

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Inge Kral <inge.kral@anu.edu.au>

Date: 4 November 2013 10:56:32 am AEDT

To: "Lyndon Ormond-Parker (lyndonop@unimelb.edu.au)" <lyndonop@unimelb.edu.au>

Cc: "CressidaFforde@aiatsis.gov.au" <Cressida.Fforde@aiatsis.gov.au>

Subject: FW: Inge publications 2013

Hi Lyndon or Cressida

Could you please read the email below and provide a quick oneliner to say that yes the ITIC book chapters were refereed – grrrr!

Many thanks

Inge

From: Hilary Bek

Sent: Monday, 4 November 2013 10:39 AM

To: Inge Kral

Subject: RE: Inge publications 2013

Dear Inge

Regarding the book chapter, in order to qualify for HERDC points you will have to be able to verify that the paper was peer reviewed.

It can be included either as a book chapter (and the publisher, AIATSIS Research Publications is not a commercial publisher, so peer review will have to be shown); OR as conference proceedings (same 'points'), in which case have to verify that the full conference paper was refereed.

This proof can be a statements from the editors, a statement in the book (I can't see one, but perhaps I missed it?), or evidence of the refereeing process itself.

Will this be OK? (apart from the primeval scream)
hilary

Hilary Bek, CAEPR
4 November 2013