Observation of new $h_{9/2}$ and $h_{11/2}$ bands in ¹⁸⁷TI

A. B. F. Lee^{1,a}, G. J. Lane¹, G. D. Dracoulis¹, A. O. Macchiavelli², P. Fallon², R. M. Clark², F. R. Xu³, and D. X. Dong³

¹ Department of Nuclear Physics, The Australian National University, ACT 0200, Australia

² Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

³ School of Physics, Peking University, 100871, China

Abstract. The unfavoured signature of the rotation-aligned band associated with the prolate $h_{9/2}$ structure in ¹⁸⁷Tl has been identified. The deformation-aligned 11/2⁻[505] band is also confirmed and extended. While the alignment properties of the 11/2⁻[505] band seem to indicate that it has a similar magnitude of deformation as the prolate ¹⁸⁶Hg core, the signature splitting at low spin, taken together with new self-consistent calculations, suggest that it may actually be triaxial with $\gamma \approx -18^{0}$ near the bandhead.

1 Introduction and Experimental Information

Neutron-deficient nuclei near the Z=82 closed shell exhibit the phenomenon of shape coexistence, in which the nucleus can take on a variety of shapes; oblate, prolate, and even spherical, at low excitation energy [1]. Previous studies of ¹⁸⁷Tl deduced that coexisting prolate and oblate shapes were present on the basis of characteristic level structures [2,3]. These shapes were also assigned from direct quadrupole moment measurements [4]. Long-lived states with microsecond lifetimes were also observed in ¹⁸⁷Tl [5], but their shape and configuration was uncertain.

A new study of ¹⁸⁷Tl was undertaken at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, using a heavy-ion fusionevaporation reaction involving a beam of 154 MeV ³²S ions incident on a 1.2 mg/cm² ¹⁵⁹Tb target, backed with 4.5 mg/cm² of ¹⁹⁷Au. The beam from the 88-inch cyclotron was pulsed at 60 ns intervals and the emitted gamma-rays were detected by the Gammasphere array. The structure of ¹⁸⁷Tl was studied using the techniques of gamma-ray spectroscopy, yielding a comprehensive level scheme.

This paper reports only on the observation of the unfavoured signature of the prolate $h_{9/2}$ band and the extensions of the (now confirmed) $h_{11/2}$ structure. Full results will be presented in a later publication [6].

2 Results

Figure 1 shows a partial level scheme for ¹⁸⁷Tl, in which a new band was observed to feed the known [3] " $h_{9/2}$ " band in ¹⁸⁷Tl. The transitions in this band are evident in the γ -ray coincidence spectrum shown in Figure 2. The angular distribution of the strongest interband transition at 564.1 keV suggests a dipole character, while the in-band transitions appear to be quadrupoles. This is consistent with its interpretation as a $\Delta J = 2$ band with M1 transitions to the main " $h_{9/2}$ " band. The assignment of this structure as the unfavoured signature of the " $h_{9/2}$ " band will be discussed in section 3.1.

A regular rotational band feeding the oblate $9/2^{-}[505]$ rotational band was also identified (see Figure 3). Most of the transitions in this band are seen in the γ -ray coincidence spectrum in Figure 4.

The angular distributions of the 223.1 and 617.1 keV γ rays deexciting the 952 keV state were measured, and a χ^2 minimisation was performed to compare with theoretical values. Figure 5 shows the reduced χ^2 values (χ^2/ν) as a



Fig. 1. Partial level scheme of ¹⁸⁷Tl showing both signatures of the prolate $h_{9/2}$ structure decaying into the oblate $9/2^{-}[505]$ rotational band. (The $9/2^{-}$ state is not the ground state, but β -decays to ¹⁸⁷Hg with a half-life of 15.6(1) s [7].)

^a e-mail: albert.lee@anu.edu.au

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 2.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Fig. 2. Coincidence spectrum double-gated on the 394.3 and 831.8 keV γ -rays, showing transitions in the unfavoured signature of the prolate $h_{9/2}$ structure.



Fig. 3. Partial level scheme of 187 Tl showing the $11/2^{-}[505]$ band and its decay to the $9/2^{-}[505]$ band.

function of the transition mixing ratio δ , assuming spins of 11/2 (left panel) and 13/2 (right panel) for the 952 keV state. The measured lifetime limit for the 952 keV state from $\gamma - \gamma$ time differences is $\tau < 3$ ns.

For a spin of 13/2, minima at $\delta \to \pm \infty$ are seen for the 617.1 keV transition. This would imply it was either a pure *M*3 or *E*3 transition, with unphysical transition strengths of > 5.4(4) × 10⁵ or > 6.3(4) × 10³ W. u. respectively. Looking at the other solutions for δ gives the limits on the transition strengths shown in Table 1. From the values for the *M*2 components, the 952 keV state cannot have $J^{\pi} = 11/2^+$ or $13/2^+$.

In order to decide between the $J^{\pi} = 11/2^{-}$ and $13/2^{-}$ possibilities, expected values of the intensity ratio between



Fig. 4. Coincidence spectrum double-gated on the 617.1 and 607.7 keV γ -rays, showing transitions in the 11/2⁻[505] band.



Fig. 5. Angular distribution χ^2 analysis for the 223.1 and 617.1 keV transitions.

Table 1. Transition strengths of the 223.1 and 617.1 keV γ -rays for various spins and parities of the 952 keV state.

| J^{π} | E_{γ} (keV) | Xλ | I_{γ} | α_T | Trans. Strength (W. u.) |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $\frac{11}{2}^{-}$ $\frac{11}{2}^{+}$ | 223.1 223.1 617.1 617.1 223.1 223.1 617.1 617.1 | M1 E2 M1 E2 E1 M2 E1 M2 | $24(7)^{a}$ $2.0(6)^{a}$ $184(57)^{b}$ $3(1)^{b}$ $24(7)^{a}$ $2.0(6)^{a}$ $184(57)^{b}$ $3(1)^{b}$ $25(1)^{c}$ | 0.887 0.282 0.0572 0.0173 0.0581 4.01 0.0060 0.156 | $> 9(4) \times 10^{-5} > 6(2) \times 10^{-2} > 3(1) \times 10^{-5} > 6(3) \times 10^{-4} > 10(4) \times 10^{-7} > 7(3) > 4(2) \times 10^{-7} > 7(3) \times 10^{-2} 10(1) = 10^{-4}$ |
| $\frac{13}{2}$ $\frac{13}{2}^+$ | 223.1 617.1 223.1 617.1 | M1 E2 E1 M2 | $26(1)^{c}$ $187(9)^{c}$ $26(1)^{c}$ $187(9)^{c}$ | 0.887 0.0173 0.0581 0.156 | > $1.0(1) \times 10^{-4}$ > $3.7(2) \times 10^{-2}$ > $9.6(6) \times 10^{-7}$ > $3.9(3)$ |
| | | | | | |

^{*a*} I_{γ} deduced using $\delta = -0.23(7)$ from the angular distribution.

^b I'_{γ} deduced using $\delta = 0.13(4)$ from the angular distribution.

^c I'_{ν} deduced using $\delta \approx 0$ from the angular distribution.

the 223.1 and 617.1 keV γ -rays have been calculated assuming that the transitions are pure M1 (11/2⁻) or M1 and E2 (13/2⁻) with all the strengths being 1 W.u. These values are compared to the measured branching ratio (see Table 2). The expected branching ratio for the $J^{\pi} = 11/2^{-}$ possibility agrees with the measured value, but for the $J^{\pi} = 13/2^{-}$ case, the expected ratio is more than ~ 350 times

Table 2. Ratio of observed γ -ray intensities for the 223.1 and 617.1 keV transitions compared with the expected values for alternative spin assumptions for the 952 keV state (see text for further details).

| J^{π} | $I_{\gamma}(617.1)/I_{\gamma}(223.1)$ [measured] | $I_{\gamma}(617.1)/I_{\gamma}(223.1)$ [expected] |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 11/2 ⁻ | 7.2(5) | 20(13) |
| 13/2 ⁻ | 7.2(5) | 0.020(2) |

less than the measured value. Hence, the 952 keV level is assigned the spin of $11/2^-$, consistent with it being the $11/2^-$ [505] bandhead that is expected at low excitation energy.

3 Discussion

3.1 The unfavoured signature of the $h_{9/2}$ band

The alignment of the single-particle angular momentum to the rotation axis, i_x , can be obtained by subtracting the (parametrised) rotational angular momentum of the collective core. Figure 6 plots the alignments for the $h_{9/2}$ bands in ¹⁸⁷Tl, ¹⁸³Au and ¹⁸⁵Au as a function of the rotational frequency $\hbar\omega$. The reference parameters that are used, $I_0 =$ 27 $MeV^{-1}\hbar^2$ and $I_1 = 190 MeV^{-3}\hbar^4$, are the same as those used in Ref. [12], where they were chosen to produce $i_x \approx 0$ for the prolate cores of even-even mercury nuclei around N=104 (see, for example, Fig. 2 in Ref [12] and Fig. 7 below).

In an odd-mass nucleus, a difference of ~ 1 \hbar is expected between the alignments of the favoured and unfavoured signatures of a rotational band when the Fermi level is close to the $\Omega = 1/2$ orbital of a high-*j* particle, so that the odd particle is fully aligned to the rotation axis [8]. Hence, the rotation-aligned $h_{9/2}$ proton in ¹⁸⁷Tl, which mainly occupies the $\pi 1/2^{-}$ [541] and $\pi 3/2^{-}$ [532] orbitals that are close to the Fermi level, should result in two rotational sequences with $\Delta i_x \approx 1\hbar$.

The alignments of the two negative-parity bands in ¹⁸⁷Tl in Figure 1, one of them being the known " $h_{9/2}$ " band, are in the top panel, and they display a similar behaviour to the $h_{9/2}$ bands in ¹⁸³Au and ¹⁸⁵Au that are shown in the lower panels. Therefore, the new structure feeding the known " $h_{9/2}$ " band is deduced to be the unfavoured signature.

3.2 Deformation of the prolate $h_{11/2}$ structure

Ref. [12] discusses how differences in the slopes and magnitude of alignments can be used to investigate relative deformations. For example, ¹⁸⁸Pb appears to have a slightly lower deformation compared to ^{180,182,184}Hg based upon its lower alignment (see Figure 2 in Ref. [12] and Figure 7 here). Similarly, the alignment of ¹⁸⁶Hg is less than ¹⁸⁴Hg at low spin. Also plotted is the alignment of the 11/2⁻[505] band in ¹⁸⁷Tl, which seems to have a similar deformation to the prolate ¹⁸⁶Hg core (bottom panel), despite the previous calculation that predicted the 11/2⁻[505] state in ¹⁸⁷Tl should have a lower deformation [3].



Fig. 6. Comparison of alignments for the prolate $h_{9/2}$ bands in ¹⁸⁷Tl, ¹⁸³Au [9,10], and ¹⁸⁵Au [11]. Solid triangles correspond to the favoured signature, while open triangles are used for the unfavoured signature. The moment-of-inertia parameters are $I_0 = 27 \ MeV^{-1}\hbar^2$ and $I_1 = 190 \ MeV^{-3}\hbar^4$.

Upon closer examination, signature splitting can be seen in the $11/2^{-}[505]$ band at low spin, with the magnitude of the splitting decreasing at higher spin. Ref. [16] describes triaxial $11/2^{-}[505]$ bands in N = 88 - 90 nuclei that display such behaviour with $\gamma \sim -20^{0}$ (Lund convention [17]). The loss of signature splitting at high spin can be explained as a change towards axial prolate shape caused by the alignment of a pair of $i_{13/2}$ neutrons. We have performed potential energy surface calculations for this work (see Ref. [18] for the methodology) that predict a similar value of $\gamma \approx -18^{0}$ for the $11/2^{-}[505]$ state in ¹⁸⁷Tl.

An example of a calculation assuming a coupling between the $11/2^{-}[505]$ proton and a triaxial even-even core can be found in early studies on odd-mass Ir nuclei [19– 21]. Their calculations approximately reproduce the experimentally observed states, providing strong evidence for the triaxiality of the $11/2^{-}[505]$ state in ^{185,187,189,191}Ir. Calculations for the present case of ¹⁸⁷Tl are in progress.

The presence of signature splitting in the oblate $9/2^{-}[505]$ and $13/2^{+}[606]$ states has been interpreted in Ref. [3] as possibly being due to triaxiality, although the present potential energy surface calculations predict both of these states arise from oblate, axially symmetric shapes with $\gamma = -60^{0}$.



Fig. 7. Top panel: Alignment for the lowest prolate bands in the isotones of ¹⁸⁷Tl, ¹⁸⁶Hg [13] and ¹⁸⁸Pb [14], compared with their counterpart in the lighter even-even neighbour ¹⁸⁴Hg [15]. Bottom panel: Alignment for the lowest prolate band in ¹⁸⁶Hg compared with the alignment of the prolate $\frac{11}{2}$ [505] band in ¹⁸⁷Tl. The moment-of-inertia parameters are the same as those used in Ref. [12], $I_0 = 27 \ MeV^{-1}\hbar^2$ and $I_1 = 190 \ MeV^{-3}\hbar^4$.

4 Conclusion

This paper reports on selected results from a study of ¹⁸⁷Tl, in particular, new information obtained for rotational structures built upon the $h_{9/2}$ and $h_{11/2}$ proton states. Evidence for the unfavoured signature of the prolate $h_{9/2}$ band is presented, based on alignment comparisons with $h_{9/2}$ bands in ¹⁸³Au and ¹⁸⁵Au where both signatures are known. In addition, the presence of the $11/2^{-}[505]$ band was confirmed, with the previously known states [3] being rearranged and the band greatly extended. The $11/2^{-}[505]$ state appears to have a larger deformation than was predicted by earlier calculations, and new self-consistent calculations performed for this work predict that the $11/2^{-}[505]$ state has $\gamma = -18^{0}$, consistent with the observation of signature splitting at low spin.

References

- 1. K. Heyde and J. L. Wood, Review of Modern Physics **83**, (2011) 1467
- 2. W. Reviol et al., Phys. Rev. C 49, (1994) R587
- 3. G. J. Lane *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **586**, (1995) 316
- 4. S. K. Chamoli et al., Phys. Rev. C 71, (2005) 054324
- 5. A. P. Byrne et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 7, (2000) 41
- 6. A. B. F. Lee et al., to be published
- 7. E. Browne and R. B. Firestone, *Table of Radioactive Isotopes*, (John Wiley & Sons, 1986)

- 8. F. S. Stephens, Review of Modern Physics 47, (1975) 43
- 9. W. F. Mueller et al., Phys. Rev. C 59, (1999) 2009
- 10. L. T. Song et al., Phys. Rev. C 71, (2005) 017302
- 11. A. J. Larabee et al., Phys. Lett. B 169, (1986) 21
- 12. G. D. Dracoulis, Phys. Rev. C 49, (1994) 3324
- 13. W. C. Ma et al., Phys. Rev. C 47, (1993) R5
- 14. G. D. Dracoulis et. al, Phys. Rev. C 69, (2004) 054318
- 15. J. K. Deng et. al., Phys. Rev. C 52, (1995) 595-603
- 16. S. Frauendorf and F. R. May, Phys. Lett. B **125**, (1983) 245
- 17. G. Anderson et al., Nucl. Phys. A 268, (1976) 205
- 18. F. R. Xu et al., Phys. Lett. B 435, (1998) 257
- 19. P. Kemnitz et al., Nucl. Phys. A 245, (1975) 221
- 20. J. Łukasiak et al., Nucl. Phys. A 313, (1979) 191
- 21. C. Schück et al., Nucl. Phys. A 325, (1979) 421