

1 μm EXCESS SOURCES IN THE UKIDSS. I. THREE T DWARFS IN THE SLOAN DIGITAL SKY SURVEY SOUTHERN EQUATORIAL STRIPE*

Y. MATSUOKA¹, B. A. PETERSON², K. L. MURATA¹, M. FUJIWARA¹, T. NAGAYAMA¹, T. SUENAGA³, K. FURUSAWA⁴,
N. MIYAKE⁴, K. OMORI⁴, D. SUZUKI⁵, AND K. WADA⁵

¹ Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan; matsuoka@phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp

² Mount Stromlo Observatory, Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Weston Creek P.O., ACT 2611, Australia

³ Department of Astronomical Sciences, Graduate University for Advanced Studies (Sokendai), 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

⁴ Solar-Terrestrial Environment Laboratory, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

⁵ Department of Earth and Space Science, Osaka University, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

Received 2011 May 20; accepted 2011 June 20; published 2011 July 19

ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of two field brown dwarfs, ULAS J0128–0041 and ULAS J0321+0051, and the rediscovery of ULAS J0226+0051 (IfA 0230-Z1), in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) southern equatorial stripe. They are found in the course of our follow-up observation program of 1 μm excess sources in the United Kingdom Infrared Telescope Infrared Deep Sky Survey. The Gemini Multi-Object Spectrographs spectra at red optical wavelengths (6500–10500 Å) are presented, which reveal that they are early-T dwarfs. The classification is also supported by their optical to near-infrared colors. It is noted that ULAS J0321+0051 is one of the faintest currently known T dwarfs. The estimated distances to the three objects are 50–110 pc, thus they are among the most distant field T dwarfs known. The dense temporal coverage of the target fields achieved by the SDSS-II Supernova Survey allows us to perform a simple time-series analysis of the dwarfs. We create stacked images of each year from 2002–2007 and find significant proper motions of 150–290 mas yr^{−1} or transverse velocities of 40–100 km s^{−1} for ULAS J0128–0041 and ULAS J0226+0051. We also find that there are no detectable, long-term (a-few-year) brightness variations above a few times 0.1 mag for the two brown dwarfs.

Key words: brown dwarfs – stars: individual (ULAS J0128–0041, ULAS J0226+0051, ULAS J0321+0051) – stars: low-mass – surveys

Online-only material: color figure

1. INTRODUCTION

Brown dwarfs are low-mass substellar objects that do not ignite hydrogen fusion in their collapsing phases. They occupy the transition zone between stars and planets in physical parameters such as mass and temperature, and hence their studies provide vital information on the formation of both populations. However, their dim nature has prevented the discovery of brown dwarfs despite the great efforts made by early observers (see Kirkpatrick 2005, for a review). The presence of the population was first confirmed observationally by the discovery of GD 165B (Becklin & Zuckerman 1988) and Gl 229B (Nakajima et al. 1995), which are now classified as spectral types L and T, respectively.

Thanks to the advent of wide-field surveys such as the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000), the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006), the Deep Near Infrared Survey of the Southern Sky (DENIS; Epchtein et al. 1999), the United Kingdom Infrared Telescope (UKIRT) Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS; Lawrence et al. 2007), and the Canada–France Brown Dwarf Survey (Delorme et al. 2008), brown dwarfs are now continuously being found. About 600 L dwarfs and 200 T dwarfs are known today (compiled in

<http://DwarfArchive.org>⁶), and the focus of the latest surveys tends to be on the discovery of the latest T and even cooler Y dwarfs. On the other hand, the number of known early-T dwarfs is still small, only 10–20 objects in a spectral subclass.

Nonetheless, early-T dwarfs represent some key phenomena necessary for understanding the whole brown dwarf population. One such phenomenon is the so-called *J*-band brightening (Dahn et al. 2002; Knapp et al. 2004; Vrba et al. 2004), wherein early-T dwarfs have higher *J*-band luminosity than earlier type objects which should have hotter temperatures. It is interesting to note that a higher binary frequency of the L/T transition objects relative to early/mid-L and mid/late-T subclasses are suggested (Burgasser et al. 2005), which may be related to the cause of *J*-band brightening (Liu et al. 2006). While the construction of models of their atmospheres is actively attempted in order to parameterize their observational behaviors, the small number of known objects has hampered conclusive arguments about the statistical properties of the population.

In this paper, we report the discovery of two field early-T dwarfs, as well as the rediscovery of one, in the SDSS southern equatorial stripe. The dense temporal coverage achieved by the SDSS-II Supernova Survey allows us to constrain their transverse velocities and variability. This is the first paper from our follow-up observation program of 1 μm excess sources in the UKIDSS. We give a minimum description of the observation strategy in the following section, while the full description is given in a companion paper (Y. Matsuoka et al. 2011, in preparation). Throughout this paper, magnitudes are given in

* Based on observations obtained at the Gemini Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under a cooperative agreement with the NSF on behalf of the Gemini partnership: the National Science Foundation (United States), the Science and Technology Facilities Council (United Kingdom), the National Research Council (Canada), CONICYT (Chile), the Australian Research Council (Australia), Ministério da Ciência e Tecnologia (Brazil), and Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Productiva (Argentina).

⁶ <http://spider.ipac.caltech.edu/staff/davy/ARCHIVE/index.shtml>

Table 1
Red-optical and Near-IR Magnitudes

Object	i_{AB} (mag)	z_{AB} (mag)	Y_{Vega} (mag)	J_{Vega} (mag)	H_{Vega} (mag)	K_{Vega} (mag)
ULAS J0128–0041	>25.64	20.57 (0.04)	18.48 (0.07)	17.62 (0.05)	16.91 (0.04)	16.52 (0.06)
ULAS J0226+0051 ^a	>25.88	21.32 (0.08)	19.16 (0.11)	18.33 (0.08)	17.83 (0.12)	17.69 (0.16)
ULAS J0321+0051	>25.84	22.23 (0.17)	20.06 (0.18)	19.19 (0.10)	18.76 (0.20)	18.74 (0.26)

Notes. Given above are the PSF magnitudes for the SDSS i and z bands and the $2''.8$ aperture magnitudes for the UKIDSS Y , J , H , and K bands. Values in the parentheses represent 1σ errors, while 2σ upper limits are given for the non-detected sources. The magnitudes are extracted from the SDSS DR 7 and the UKIDSS DR 3.

^a This object is identical to Ifa 0230-Z1 ($J = 18.17 \pm 0.03$ mag and $H = 17.83 \pm 0.04$ in the MKO system) discovered by Liu et al. (2002).

the AB system for the SDSS optical bands and in the Vega system for the UKIDSS near-IR bands.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Target Selection

The three T dwarfs, ULAS J0128–0041 (R.A. $01^{\text{h}}28^{\text{m}}14^{\text{s}}.41$, decl. $-00^{\circ}41^{\text{m}}53^{\text{s}}.5$), ULAS J0226+0051 (R.A. $02^{\text{h}}26^{\text{m}}37^{\text{s}}.55$, decl. $+00^{\circ}51^{\text{m}}54^{\text{s}}.4$), and ULAS J0321+0051 (R.A. $03^{\text{h}}21^{\text{m}}22^{\text{s}}.98$, decl. $+00^{\circ}51^{\text{m}}05^{\text{s}}.2$), are found on the UKIDSS Large Area Survey (LAS) images of the SDSS⁷ southern equatorial stripe, also known as stripe 82, where the SDSS-II Supernova Survey has been carried out (Frieman et al. 2008). The SDSS i , z and the UKIDSS Y -, J -, H -, and K -band magnitudes of the targets are summarized in Table 1.⁸ Note that the UKIDSS $2''.8$ aperture is larger enough than the typical seeing of $0''.8$ (Warren et al. 2007), so that the aperture losses have little influence on this work. The targets have been selected in the course of our follow-up observation program of $1 \mu\text{m}$ excess sources in the UKIDSS (*Y. Matsuoka et al. 2011, in preparation*). Their extremely red $i - Y$ ($i_{AB} - Y_{Vega} > 5$) and blue $Y - J$ ($Y_{Vega} - J_{Vega} < 1$) colors, along with their stellar appearances, suggested that they can be either of the two rare populations, i.e., either highest-redshift ($z > 6.5$) quasars or brown dwarfs (e.g., Venemans et al. 2007). The discovery of the former objects is our ultimate goal, which has not been achieved in previous projects such as the SDSS quasar survey (Fan et al. 2006 and references therein), the Canada–France High- z Quasar Survey (Willott et al. 2010 and references therein), and the Tokyo–Stromlo Photometry Survey (Matsuoka et al. 2008).

⁷ Funding for the SDSS and SDSS-II has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, the Max Planck Society, and the Higher Education Funding Council for England. The SDSS Web site is <http://www.sdss.org/>. The SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions. The Participating Institutions are the American Museum of Natural History, Astrophysical Institute Potsdam, University of Basel, University of Cambridge, Case Western Reserve University, University of Chicago, Drexel University, Fermilab, the Institute for Advanced Study, the Japan Participation Group, Johns Hopkins University, the Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics, the Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, the Korean Scientist Group, the Chinese Academy of Sciences (LAMOST), Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy (MPIA), the Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics (MPA), New Mexico State University, Ohio State University, University of Pittsburgh, University of Portsmouth, Princeton University, the United States Naval Observatory, and the University of Washington.

⁸ The UKIDSS magnitudes are taken from Data Release (DR) 3 for consistency with the target selection strategy based on the DR3. While the updated magnitudes are now available, they are not significantly different from the values presented here.

Table 2
Observation Journal of Gemini/GMOS Spectroscopy

Object	Date	Exp. Time (s)	Type
ULAS J0128–0041	2010 Aug 30	1800	Science target
ULAS J0226+0051	2010 Sep 19	3600	Science target
EG 131	2010 Sep 20	120	Standard star
ULAS J0321+0051	2010 Sep 22	10800	Science target

We carried out the follow-up photometry of a few dozen $1 \mu\text{m}$ excess sources, including the present three objects, before the final spectroscopy. The optical imaging observations were carried out during 2009 August–September, with a special i -band filter with the blueward transmission extending to 6300 \AA (at half of the maximum transmission) installed to the Imager mounted on the Australian National University (ANU) 2.3 m Advanced Technology Telescope at the Siding Spring Observatory. At near-IR wavelengths, the SIRIUS camera (Nagashima et al. 1999; Nagayama et al. 2003) of the Infrared Survey Facility (IRSF) 1.4 m telescope at Sutherland, South African Astronomical Observatory was used for the follow-up observations. They were conducted in two periods, 2009 June–July and September–October. The magnitudes listed in Table 1 are found to be robust in these follow-up photometry. The 1.8 m Microlensing Observations in Astrophysics (MOA; Bond et al. 2001; Sumi et al. 2003) II telescope is also used for our project, although the present three targets are not covered by the MOA observations.

2.2. Spectroscopy

Based on the revised photometry, we selected 15 objects with the strongest $1 \mu\text{m}$ excesses and obtained their spectra. The three T dwarfs found in the above targets are the subject of this paper, while the rest of them appear to be other classes of objects. The results of the entire observations will be presented in a subsequent paper. The spectroscopy was carried out using the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrographs (GMOS; Hook et al. 2004) mounted on the Gemini North telescope (Program ID: GN-2010B-Q-102). The observation journal is given in Table 2. The R400-G5305 grating with the central wavelength set to 8500 \AA was used with the blocking filter RG610-G0307, so that the wavelength range from 6500 \AA to 10500 \AA was covered. Since the targets are too faint to view on the acquisition images, we adopt either of the following acquisition techniques. For ULAS J0226+0051, the “blind offset” acquisition was performed using a nearby bright star as a reference source. For the other two targets without suitable nearby stars, we acquired




Figure 1. Gemini/GMOS spectra of the three T dwarfs (black). The horizontal lines with the arrows at $\lambda < 8000 \text{ \AA}$ represent the broadband 2σ upper limits of the observed fluxes. The template spectra of the L8 (blue), T2 (green), T5 (orange), and T8 (red) dwarfs, normalized at $\lambda = 9000 \text{ \AA}$, are also plotted for comparison.

the bright stars within a few arcminutes at the slit center and configured the instrument position angles so that the targets fall off-center from the slit. In order to avoid a large flux loss due to misalignment of the slit, which may be caused by the blind acquisitions, we adopted the relatively wide slit of $1''.5$ in width. It resulted in the moderate wavelength resolution of $R \sim 600$, which is still enough for identifying the objects. The single exposure time was 900 s for all the science targets. The targets were offset by $10''$ along the spatial axis of the slit between the exposures, which enables good subtraction of the sky background from the prominent fringe patterns at the red part of the spectra.

The data were reduced in a standard manner using the Gemini IRAF⁹ package, version 1.10. First, the bias subtraction and flat fielding were performed, then the CuAr spectra were used to rectify and give the wavelength solutions to the images. The fringe patterns were successfully eliminated by subtracting the adjacent exposures. Then, the residual sky background was estimated from the fluxes of the nearby pixels in the spatial direction and removed. The instrument sensitivity was calibrated with the observed spectrum of the spectroscopic standard star EG 131. We did not observe a telluric standard star on each night,

hence an accurate correction for the atmospheric absorption is not possible.

We show the reduced spectra in Figure 1. They are not detected above 3σ significance at the wavelengths $\lambda < 8000 \text{ \AA}$, where we give the broadband 2σ upper limits calculated in the wavelength intervals $\lambda = 6500\text{--}6800$, $6800\text{--}7100$, $7100\text{--}7400$, $7400\text{--}7700$, and $7700\text{--}8000 \text{ \AA}$. Note that the H_2O absorption bands at $\lambda \sim 9300 \text{ \AA}$ are contaminated with the telluric absorptions.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Spectral Type

Since the signal-to-noise ratios of our spectra are not very high, we determine the spectral types of the targets with their broadband spectral energy distributions (SEDs) rather than with the individual absorption features. The extremely red SEDs suggest that they are brown dwarf members with spectral types later than L. In Figure 1 we compare the observed spectra with those of the L8, T2, T5, and T8 dwarfs which are commonly used as reference objects (anchor points) for classification at the red optical wavelengths (Kirkpatrick et al. 1999; Burgasser et al. 2003), namely, 2MASSW J1632291+190441 (L8), SDSSp J125453.90-012247.4 (T2), 2MASSI J0559191-140448 (T5), and 2MASSI J0415195-093506 (T8). The three targets are clearly redder than the L8 dwarf and show overall agreements

⁹ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.




Figure 2. $z - J$ vs. $J - K$ two-color diagram of stars and brown dwarfs. The small circles represent O–M stars while the crosses and the diamonds represent L and T dwarfs, respectively, taken from Hewett et al. (2006). The empirical mean relation between the colors of M5–T8 dwarfs (Kakazu et al. 2010) are also plotted (green line) with the plus marks placed at the positions of M5, L0, L8, T0, T1, T2, T3, T5, and T8. Our targets are shown by the red circles with error bars (ULAS J0128–0041, ULAS J0226+0051, and ULAS J0321+0051, from top to bottom).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

with the T-dwarf spectra, while the spectral slopes at the longest wavelengths ($>9800 \text{ \AA}$) indicate that they are not in late-T subclasses.

Their classification as early-T type is also supported by their optical to near-IR colors. We show the $z - J$ versus $J - K$ two-color diagram of stars and brown dwarfs in Figure 2. The colors of O–M stars and L, T dwarfs are obtained from the compilation by Hewett et al. (2006), while the empirical mean relation between the colors of M–T dwarfs is taken from Kakazu et al. (2010). Note that all the near-IR magnitudes are consistently based on the Mauna Kea Observatories (MKO) system (Tokunaga et al. 2002), which is important because different photometric systems can lead to different near-IR magnitudes, up to 0.4 mag for T dwarfs (Stephens & Leggett 2004). The three targets are located in the region occupied by the early-T dwarfs, and the most plausible classification would be T1 for ULAS J0128–0041, T2 for ULAS J0226+0051, and T3 for ULAS J0321+0051 (summarized in Table 3). However, we emphasize that the above typing is not meant to be conclusive: the uncertainty of ± 1 subclass seems plausible. We note that ULAS J0321+0051 is one of the faintest T dwarfs known.

While ULAS J0128–0041 and ULAS J0321+0051 are newly discovered T dwarfs, ULAS J0226+0051 has previously been

reported by Liu et al. (2002). The object, named IfA 0230–Z1 in the discovery paper, was identified in the Institute for Astronomy (IfA) Deep Survey conducted at the University of Hawai‘i. Liu et al. (2002) present an H -band spectrum as well as I -, z -, J -, and H -band magnitudes of the object, from which they estimate a spectral type of T3–T4. Later, the updated near-IR classification of T3 is given by Burgasser et al. (2006). Our spectral typing is consistent with these near-IR classifications considering the typical uncertainty of one spectral subclass.

3.2. Distance, Proper Motion, and Variability

We estimate the distances to the three T dwarfs by using the luminosity–spectral type relation provided by Liu et al. (2006). The H -band magnitudes are used for this purpose, since the H -band luminosity of early-T dwarfs is relatively independent of the spectral subclasses, which are not precisely known in the present case. Assuming the H -band absolute magnitude of 13.5 ± 0.5 mag (Liu et al. 2006) for T1–3 spectral types, the distance estimates to ULAS J0128–0041, ULAS J0226+0051, and ULAS J0321+0051 are 50 ± 10 pc, 70 ± 20 pc, and 110 ± 30 pc, respectively. The lower limit of the estimate for ULAS J0226+0051 is consistent with 49 ± 9 pc presented by Liu et al. (2002). As compiled by Kakazu et al. (2010), there are only a handful of spectroscopically confirmed T dwarfs known today at distances beyond 60 pc and only a few beyond 100 pc. Therefore, the objects presented here are among the most distant T dwarfs.

The three dwarfs are found in the SDSS southern equatorial stripe, where the intensive repeat scans were carried out in the SDSS-II Supernova Survey. The dense temporal coverage over several years allows us a simple time-series analysis of the objects. We retrieve all the z -band images of the target fields from the SDSS DR 7 archive (Abazajian et al. 2009) and create stacked images of each year. Stacking is not performed when less than five images are available in a year. It resulted in deep images of the years 2002, 2005, 2006, 2007 for ULAS J0128–0041, years 2002, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2007 for ULAS J0226+0051, and years 2002, 2005, 2006, 2007 for ULAS J0321+0051. We measure the coordinates and magnitudes of the objects with the *Source Extractor*, version 2.5 (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), if the targets are detected on the stacked images (more than four adjacent pixels above 1.5σ of the local background are defined as detections). The results for the detected sources are summarized in Table 4. Unfortunately, ULAS J0321+0051 is too faint to be detected on any of the year-based stacked images. The coordinates are shown as the relative offsets (milliarcsecond; mas) from the UKIDSS positions. The magnitudes are measured in $3''$ apertures and are corrected so that the mean measured magnitudes match the point-spread function (PSF) magnitudes listed in Table 1, whose differences represent the mean aperture losses (-0.25 and -0.20 mag are added to the measured

Table 3
Estimated Properties

Object	Spectral Type	Distance (pc)	Proper Motion (mas yr ⁻¹)	Transverse Velocity (km s ⁻¹)
ULAS J0128–0041	Early-T (T1)	50 ± 10	150 ± 30	40 ± 10
ULAS J0226+0051	Early-T (T2)	70 ± 20	290 ± 140	100 ± 50
ULAS J0321+0051	Early-T (T3)	110 ± 30

Note. Spectral subclasses given in the parenthesis are not conclusive. See the text.

Table 4
Time-series Analysis

Object	Year	Δ R.A. (mas)	Δ decl. (mas)	z_{AB} (mag)
ULAS J0128–0041	2002	+320	+170	20.57 (0.15)
	2005	+20	–40	20.55 (0.09)
	2006	–60	–30	20.59 (0.09)
	2007	–350	–210	20.55 (0.08)
ULAS J0226+0051	2006	+190	–200	21.32 (0.14)
	2007	+350	+40	21.32 (0.15)

Notes. Coordinates are given as the relative offsets from the UKIDSS positions. Values in the parentheses represent 1σ photometry errors.

magnitudes of ULAS J0128–0041 and ULAS J0226+0051, respectively).

The positional information of ULAS J0226+0051 is also available from Liu et al. (2002), who report the coordinate obtained on the epoch of 2001 October with the Suprime-Cam mounted on the Subaru telescope. Its relative offset from the UKIDSS position is Δ R.A. = +0–+1300 mas and Δ decl. = +300 mas (since Liu et al. 2002 round the R.A. to first decimal place in the paper, we assume it to be $02^{\text{h}}26^{\text{m}}37^{\text{s}}.55\text{--}37^{\text{s}}.64$). The derived Δ decl. value, on the year 2001, is clearly inconsistent with the increasing declination of this object indicated in Table 4. The major reason for this inconsistency (though within an arcsecond) is likely the different astrometric calibrations adopted, therefore we do not use the Suprime-Cam coordinate in the following arguments.

Considering the typical SDSS astrometric uncertainty of 100 mas at the limiting depth (Pier et al. 2003), significant spatial movements are detected for the two dwarfs in Table 4. We derive the proper motions from the two measurements with the longest baselines, i.e., 2002–2007 for ULAS J0128–0041 and 2006–2007 for ULAS J0226+0051. The transverse velocities are then calculated using the distances estimated above. We list the results in Table 3. These kinematic properties provide vital information not only on the structure of the Galaxy but also on the ages of the substellar systems when combined with the appropriate models. The derived transverse velocity of ULAS J0128–0041 is in agreement with the median value ~ 30 km s $^{-1}$ of early-T dwarfs found by Faherty et al. (2009), suggesting that the object is a member of typical field T dwarfs. On the other hand, ULAS J0226+0051 may have a higher velocity than typical objects, suggesting that this dwarf may be an older member of the Galactic thick disk or halo population (e.g., Nordström et al. 2004).

As for the source brightness, we find no detectable long-term variations in the z band. The Suprime-Cam z -band photometry gives $z_{AB} = 21.44 \pm 0.15$ mag for ULAS J0226+0051 (Liu et al. 2002) when the Vega-to-AB magnitude conversion of +0.533 mag (Hewett et al. 2006) is used, which is also in agreement with those listed in Table 4. Note that the Suprime-Cam z -band filter is very similar to that of the SDSS. There have been several observational searches for short-term (minutes to weeks) variability in brown dwarfs at the L/T transition (e.g., Enoch et al. 2003; Clarke et al. 2008; Artigau et al. 2009), which could give a stringent constraint on the models of their atmospheres. Here, our inquiry into the long-term (years) variations is not based on a model prediction, but rather motivated by a need for *empirical* constraints on the stability of brown dwarf colors, which are essential in

defining the selection strategies of high-redshift quasars or brown dwarfs from photometry data obtained on different dates (see Section 2.1). The lack of significant variations over a few times 0.1 mag may indicate that the variability of early-T dwarfs do not cause significant uncertainty in the selection strategies, although more samples, including other subclasses of brown dwarfs and time-series near-IR data, are needed for a firm conclusion.

4. SUMMARY

This is the first paper from our follow-up observation program of 1 μ m excess sources in the UKIDSS. In this paper, we present the two newly discovered brown dwarfs, ULAS J0128–0041 and ULAS J0321+0051, as well as the re-discovered ULAS J0226+0051 (IfA 0230-Z1), in the SDSS southern equatorial stripe. The follow-up imaging observations were carried out with the optical Imager on the ANU 2.3 m telescope and the near-IR SIRIUS camera on the IRSF telescope. Then we obtained their red optical (6500–10500 Å) spectra with the GMOS on the Gemini North telescope in order to identify the targets. The spectra reveal that the objects belong to early-T dwarf subclasses, which is also supported by their optical to near-IR colors. ULAS J0321+0051 turns out to be one of the faintest currently known T dwarfs.

We estimate the distances to the dwarfs to be 50–110 pc from the empirical luminosity–spectral type relation of brown dwarfs. By taking advantage of the dense temporal coverage of the target fields achieved by the SDSS-II Supernova Survey, we create stacked images of each year from 2002–2007 and conduct a simple time-series analysis. As a result, we find the significant proper motions of 150 and 290 mas yr $^{-1}$, which are converted to the transverse velocities of 40 and 100 km s $^{-1}$, for ULAS J0128–0041 and ULAS J0226+0051, respectively. It suggests that ULAS J0128–0041 is a member of typical field T dwarfs, while ULAS J0226+0051 may have a higher velocity than average and be an older member of the Galactic thick disk or halo population. We also look into the possible long-term (a-few-year) brightness variations of the two objects, and find no detectable variations above a few times 0.1 mag. This suggests that the variability of early-T dwarfs does not cause significant uncertainty in the selection strategies of high-redshift quasars or brown dwarfs with photometry data taken on different dates, although more observations are needed to reach a firm conclusion.

We are grateful to the referee, Sandy Leggett, for giving very useful comments and suggestions. We thank the staff of the Siding Spring Observatory, the South African Astronomical Observatory, and the Gemini Observatory (including Alexander Fritz) for the support during the observations. The IRSF team at Nagoya University, Kyoto University, and the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan has provided a great help for the IRSF observations. This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (21840027, 22684005) and the Global COE Program of Nagoya University “Quest for Fundamental Principles in the Universe” from JSPS and MEXT of Japan.

REFERENCES

- Abazajian, K. N., Adelman-McCarthy, J. K., Agüeros, M. A., et al. 2009, *ApJS*, 182, 543
 Artigau, É., Bouchar, S., Doyon, R., & Lafrenière, D. 2009, *ApJ*, 701, 1534
 Becklin, E. E., & Zuckerman, B. 1988, *Nature*, 336, 656
 Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, *A&AS*, 117, 393

- Bond, I. A., Abe, F., Dodd, R. J., et al. 2001, *MNRAS*, **327**, 868
- Burgasser, A. J., Geballe, T. R., Leggett, S. K., Kirkpatrick, J. D., & Golimowski, D. A. 2006, *ApJ*, **637**, 1067
- Burgasser, A. J., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Liebert, J., & Burrows, A. 2003, *ApJ*, **594**, 510
- Burgasser, A. J., Reid, I. N., Leggett, S. K., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **634**, L177
- Clarke, F. J., Hodgkin, S. T., Oppenheimer, B. R., Robertson, J., & Haubois, X. 2008, *MNRAS*, **386**, 2009
- Dahn, C. C., Harris, H. C., Vrba, F. J., et al. 2002, *AJ*, **124**, 1170
- Delorme, P., Willott, C. J., Forveille, T., et al. 2008, *A&A*, **484**, 469
- Enoch, M. L., Brown, M. E., & Burgasser, A. J. 2003, *AJ*, **126**, 1006
- Epchtein, N., Deul, E., Derriere, S., et al. 1999, *A&A*, **349**, 236
- Faherty, J. K., Burgasser, A. J., Cruz, K. L., et al. 2009, *AJ*, **137**, 1
- Fan, X., Strauss, M. A., Richards, G. T., et al. 2006, *AJ*, **131**, 1203
- Frieman, J. A., Bassett, B., Becker, A., et al. 2008, *AJ*, **135**, 338
- Hewett, P. C., Warren, S. J., Leggett, S. K., & Hodgkin, S. T. 2006, *MNRAS*, **367**, 454
- Hook, I. M., Jørgensen, I., Allington-Smith, J. R., et al. 2004, *PASP*, **116**, 425
- Kakazu, Y., Hu, E. M., Liu, M. C., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **723**, 184
- Kirkpatrick, J. D. 2005, *ARA&A*, **43**, 195
- Kirkpatrick, J. D., Reid, I. N., Liebert, J., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, **519**, 802
- Knapp, G. R., Leggett, S. K., Fan, X., et al. 2004, *AJ*, **127**, 3553
- Lawrence, A., Warren, S. J., Almaini, O., et al. 2007, *MNRAS*, **379**, 1599
- Liu, M. C., Leggett, S. K., Golimowski, D. A., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **647**, 1393
- Liu, M. C., Wainscoat, R., Martín, E. L., Barris, B., & Tonry, J. 2002, *ApJ*, **568**, L107
- Matsuoka, Y., Peterson, B. A., Oyabu, S., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, **685**, 767
- Nagashima, C., Nagayama, T., Nakajima, Y., et al. 1999, in *Star Formation*, ed. T. Nakamoto (Nagoya: Nobeyama Radio Observatory), 397
- Nagayama, T., Nagashima, C., Nakajima, Y., et al. 2003, *Proc. SPIE*, **4841**, 459
- Nakajima, T., Oppenheimer, B. R., Kulkarni, S. R., et al. 1995, *Nature*, **378**, 463
- Nordström, B., Mayor, M., Andersen, J., et al. 2004, *A&A*, **418**, 989
- Pier, J. R., Munn, J. A., Hindsley, R. B., et al. 2003, *AJ*, **125**, 1559
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, *AJ*, **131**, 1163
- Stephens, D. C., & Leggett, S. K. 2004, *PASP*, **116**, 9
- Sumi, T., Abe, F., Bond, I. A., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, **591**, 204
- Tokunaga, A. T., Simons, D. A., & Vacca, W. D. 2002, *PASP*, **114**, 180
- Venemans, B. P., McMahon, R. G., Warren, S. J., et al. 2007, *MNRAS*, **376**, L76
- Vrba, F. J., Henden, A. A., Luginbuhl, C. B., et al. 2004, *AJ*, **127**, 2948
- Warren, S. J., Hambly, N. C., Dye, S., et al. 2007, *MNRAS*, **375**, 213
- Willott, C. J., Delorme, P., Reylé, Céline, et al. 2010, *AJ*, **139**, 906
- York, D. G., Adelman, J., Anderson, J. E., Jr., et al. 2000, *AJ*, **120**, 1579