



Australian  
National  
University

---

THESES SIS/LIBRARY  
R.G. MENZIES LIBRARY BUILDING NO:2  
THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
CANBERRA ACT 0200 AUSTRALIA

TELEPHONE: +61 2 6125 4631  
FACSIMILE: +61 2 6125 4063  
EMAIL: [library.theses@anu.edu.au](mailto:library.theses@anu.edu.au)

## USE OF THESES

This copy is supplied for purposes  
of private study and research only.  
Passages from the thesis may not be  
copied or closely paraphrased without the  
written consent of the author.

THE PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGY OF THE  
DEFENCE REACTION

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

by

Douglas Carroll

Australian National University

September 1972

This thesis describes original research carried out by the author in the Department of Psychology of the Australian National University from October 1969 to January 1972.

*Douglas Carroll*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer wishes to acknowledge with gratitude the help received from the following people:

My supervisor, Professor K. A. Provins, for valuable advice and encouragement at all times, and for criticism of the draft of the thesis.

Dr. W. P. Bellingham and Dr. D. G. Beswick for their willingness to discuss at length various aspects of this work.

Dr. M. R. Cook (University of Pennsylvania) for helpful correspondence and the access to unpublished observations. Dr. R. D. Hare (University of British Columbia) for allowing access to prepublication manuscripts. Dr. J. G. O'Gorman (formerly University of Queensland) for helpful and encouraging correspondence.

The other A.N.U. postgraduate students for useful criticism, especially Mr. L. H. Storlien and Mr. R. J. Stebulis.

Mr. L. Paal and Mr. G. G. Klock for providing advice and assistance with the "brass instruments".

The Melbourne Police Department for providing stimulus material.

Mrs. Nola Blundell, who typed the final copy of the thesis, and the Visual Aids Unit of the A.N.U., which produced the graphs and illustrations.

PREFACE

Some of the findings of this study were presented at the annual conference of the Australian Psychological Society, Melbourne, Victoria, August, 1971. Other portions have been published by or are in submission with the following journals:

- Carroll, D.      Signal value and physiological response to affective visual stimuli. Psychonomic Science, 1971, 25, 94 - 96.
- Carroll, D.      Electromyographic responses to affective visual stimulation. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1971, 33, 755 - 758.
- Carroll, D.      Repression-sensitization and the verbal elaboration of experience. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 1972, 38, 147.
- Carroll, D.      Repression-sensitization and duration of visual attention. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1972, 34, 949 - 950.
- Carroll, D.      Orienting and defence reactions to affective visual stimuli. In submission, Psychophysiology.

Also published while the present author held an A.N.U. postgraduate scholarship:

- Carroll, D.      Semantic and acoustic labeling. Psychonomic  
and  
Horne, P. V.      Science, 1971, 22, 241 - 242.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

The following abbreviations have been used in this thesis.

BV	Blood volume
BVP	Blood volume pulse
CS	Conditioned stimulus
DR	Defence reaction (also referred to by other workers as the defence response or reflex, and the defensive reaction, response or reflex)
EEG	Electroencephalograph
E-I	Extraversion - introversion
EMG	Electromyograph
N	Neuroticism
MMPI	Minnesota multiphasic personality inventory
OR	Orienting reaction (also referred to by other workers as the orienting response or reflex, and the orientation reaction, response or reflex)
PSI	Perceived stress index
RF	Reticular formation
R-S	Repression-sensitization
RT	Reaction time
S	Subject
SCL	Skin conductance level
SCR	Skin conductance response (also referred to by other workers as the galvanic skin response)
UCS	Unconditioned stimulus

ABSTRACT

This thesis is concerned with the Soviet formulation of physiological arousal reactions as ORs and DRs. The proposed association of the OR with the heightening of perceptual sensitivity and the DR with the attenuation of sensitivity renders this formulation of theoretical importance. The reported occurrence of directionally distinct forehead vasomotor responding in the two reactions holds considerable empirical interest.

The initial experiment reported in Chapter 2 explored the generality of the Soviet formulation, i.e., whether physiological responses to complex, affective visual stimuli could be characterized as ORs and DRs. Forehead BVP was recorded as an index of forehead vasomotor responding. Changes in other physiological parameters, as a result of such stimulation, were also monitored. Whereas unpleasant homicide slides elicited extensive forehead constriction, indicative of the DR, mainly vasodilation, indicative of the OR, was observed with pleasant and interesting visual stimuli. The unpleasant stimuli also tended to elicit the most persistently large SCRs.

The effects of signal value on the nature of the response to such affective stimulation was then explored in Chapter 3. With the imposition of a "memory set" the differences noted in Chapter 2 were no longer observed.

Chapter 4 explored flexor and extensor EMG responses to such stimuli, to test a related hypothesis that linked dominant flexor EMG activity with unpleasant stimulation and dominant extensor EMG activity with pleasant visual stimulation. Whereas the unpleasant homicide slides tended to elicit the most emphatic flexor EMG activity, extensor EMG responding did not differentiate slide conditions.

Chapter 5 explored individual differences in forehead BVP response to the unpleasant homicide slides. The extent of the forehead BVP constriction response showed little or no relationship to self-

report of distress and defensive style as defined by position on the R-S perceptual-personality dimension. However, R-S scale score did influence self-report of affective experience. Ss showing little forehead BVP constriction tended to look relatively longer at the homicide pictures than Ss showing extensive forehead BVP constriction.

At the onset of the present thesis there were few accounts of Western experiments that monitored forehead vasomotor change. However, several such experiments have now been reported. The finding of some of these experiments, that forehead vasomotor responding, is an insensitive parameter, presents problems for the Soviet formulation. Consequently in Chapter 6 forehead vasomotor responding to moderate and intense simple auditory stimuli was re-examined. Both forehead BVP and BV were monitored as indices of forehead vasomotor change. The results were generally in line with the earlier Soviet findings of differential forehead vasomotor responding to moderate and intense stimulation.

A central assumption of the Soviet schema is that the OR and DR are associated respectively with increases and decreases in perceptual sensitivity. Chapter 7 reports an experiment which explored the relationship of forehead vasomotor responding and performance in a simple reaction time task. The results demonstrated an association between the presence of the DR and relatively slower reaction times, and the OR and relatively faster reaction times.

The findings of the present study suggest that forehead vasomotor measurement represents a useful addition to those more commonly employed psychophysiological variables, particularly in the study of phenomena that pass under the general heading of "stress". Further, doubt is cast on the classical "arousal" or "activation theory" view of autonomic function, that regards autonomic change as reflecting only "intensive" and not "directional" aspects of behaviour.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
PREFACE	iv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	v
ABSTRACT	vi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Orienting and defence reactions	1
1.2 Orienting and defence reactions and affective experience	7
1.3 Defence reaction to vicariously unpleasant stimuli	9
1.4 Relevant psycho-physiological parameters	12
1.5 Signal value and physiological response	18
CHAPTER 2 ORIENTING AND DEFENCE REACTIONS TO AFFECTIVE VISUAL STIMULI	22
2.1 Statement of aim of initial experiment.	22
2.2 Experimental method	22
2.2.1 Subjects	22
2.2.2 Stimuli	23
2.2.3 Design	25
2.2.4 Apparatus and the measurement of dependent variables	26
2.2.4.1 Forehead blood volume pulse	26
2.2.4.2 Skin conductance level and skin conductance response	30
2.2.4.3 Eye-blinking	31
2.2.4.4 Self-report measures	32
2.2.5 Procedure	34
2.3 Results	35
2.3.1 Forehead blood volume pulse	35
2.3.2 Skin conductance level and skin conductance response	40

	<u>Page</u>
2.3.3 Eye-blinking	43
2.3.4 Self-report measures	45
2.4 Discussion	51
CHAPTER 3 SIGNAL VALUE AND PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSE TO AFFECTIVE VISUAL STIMULI	59
3.1 Introduction	59
3.2 Method	60
3.3 Results	62
3.3.1 Forehead blood volume pulse	62
3.3.2 Skin conductance response	63
3.3.3 Self-report measures	68
3.4 Discussion	75
CHAPTER 4 THE STARTLE PATTERN AND THE DEFENCE REACTION: ELECTROMYOGRAPHIC RESPONSE TO AFFECTIVE VISUAL STIMULI	80
4.1 Introduction	80
4.2 Method	80
4.3 Results and Discussion	83
CHAPTER 5 INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES IN THE EXTENT OF THE DEFENCE REACTION	92
5.1 Introduction	92
5.2 Self-report and individual differences in forehead BVP response	95
5.2.1 Introduction	95
5.2.2 Method	98
5.2.3 Results	99
5.2.4 Discussion	102
5.3 Repression-sensitization and individual differences in forehead BVP response	104
5.3.1 Introduction	104
5.3.2 Method	107

	<u>Page</u>
5.3.3 Results	107
5.3.4 Discussion	108
5.3.5 Repression-sensitization and self-report of affective experience	109
5.3.6 Repression-sensitization and the verbal elaboration of experience: A side issue	111
5.4 Looking time and individual differences in forehead BVP	115
5.4.1 Introduction	115
5.4.2 Method	117
5.4.3 Results	119
5.4.4 Discussion	124
5.4.5 Looking time and repression-sensitization	126
5.4.6 Looking time and signal value	128
5.5 Concluding remarks	129
 CHAPTER 6 FOREHEAD VASOMOTOR RESPONSE TO MODERATE AND INTENSE AUDITORY STIMULATION: A RECONSIDERATION	 135
6.1 Introduction	135
6.1.1 Integration of recent studies	135
6.1.2 Forehead vasomotor measurement	135
6.1.3 Studies employing BVP measurement	138
6.1.4 Studies employing BV measurement	140
6.1.5 Aim of present experiment	141
6.2 Method	142
6.2.1 Subjects	142
6.2.2 Design	143
6.2.3 Stimulus presentation and calibration of stimulus intensity	143
6.2.4 Forehead blood volume pulse and blood volume measurement	144
6.2.5 Procedure	144

	<u>Page</u>
6.3 Results	145
6.3.1 Forehead blood volume pulse	145
6.3.2 Forehead blood volume	148
6.4 Discussion	157
6.4.1 Forehead blood volume pulse	157
6.4.2 Forehead blood volume	159
6.4.3 Comparison of BVP and BV response measures	161
6.4.4 Blood volume, blood volume pulse and sensitivity regulation	162
CHAPTER 7 ORIENTING AND DEFENCE REACTIONS: THEIR ROLE IN SENSITIVITY REGULATION AND PERFORMANCE :	164
7.1 Introduction	164
7.1.1 The role of ORs and DRs in stimulus reception	164
7.1.2 The role of ORs and DRs in learning and performance :	167
7.1.3 Stimulus intensity and performance	169
7.1.4 ORs and DRs and performance on a simple reaction time task	173
7.2 Method	180
7.2.1 Subjects	180
7.2.2 Design	180
7.2.3 Apparatus and dependent variable measurement	184
7.2.4 Procedure	185
7.3 Results	185
7.3.1 Reaction time	186
7.3.2 Forehead vasomotor responding	187
7.3.2.1 Forehead blood volume pulse	187
7.3.2.2 Forehead blood volume	190
7.3.2.3 Forehead blood volume and blood volume pulse changes prior to the visual RT stimulus in the no tone control trials	193

	<u>Page</u>
7.4 Discussion	196
CHAPTER 8 CONCLUDING REMARKS	200
8.1 Summary of results	200
8.2 Implications of the present results	202
8.3 Parallel responding in the forehead and cerebral vasculature	205
8.4 Sokolov's model of the OR	206
APPENDIX I SELF-REPORT MEASURES	214
I.1 Jacob-Munz Perceived Stress Index	214
I.1.1 Items and median intensity values	214
I.1.2 Instructions for prestimulation rating	214
I.1.3 Instructions for ratings after stimulus block presentation	215
I.2 Davitz list of words	215
I.2.1 Items comprising list	215
I.2.2 Instructions for completion of Davitz list	216
APPENDIX II PERSONALITY INVENTORY	217
II.1 Repression-sensitization scale	217
II.1.1 Items in scale and scoring procedure	217
II.1.2 Instructions for scale administration	222
REFERENCES	223