Child Growth and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in a Queensland Aboriginal Community

Hilary Jane Bambrick

April 2003

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of The Australian National University

School of Archaeology and Anthropology The Australian National University Canberra ACT 0200 Australia

This study was funded by an Australian Postgraduate Award (APA) PhD Scholarship. Additional funds for fieldwork were provided by the Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies (AIATSIS: grant number S6116076) and the Faculty of Arts, The Australian National University.

This thesis contains references to, and photographs of, people who may have died.

Statement of authorship

Except where reference is made in the text of the thesis, this thesis contains no material published elsewhere or extracted in whole or in part from a thesis by which I have qualified for or been awarded another degree or diploma.
No other person's work has been used without due acknowledgement in the main text of the thesis.
This thesis has not been submitted for the award of any degree or diploma in any other tertiary institution.
All research procedures reported in the thesis were approved by the relevant Ethics Committee.

Signed

Table of Contents

Ack	now	ledgements	vii
Not	es or	n terminology	viii
Abb	orevia	ations	x
Abs	stract	t	xi
1.	Intr	oduction	1
2.	Trai	nsitions and theories: Models of diabetes prevalence	6
	2.1.	Transitions	6 8
	2.2.	Type 2 diabetes and the insulin resistance syndrome	
	2.3.	The thrifty genotype	13
	2.4.	The programming hypothesis	21 29 34
	2.5.	Synthesis	
3.	'Dyi	ing fast and young': Contemporary Aboriginal health	46
	3.1.	Health in socioeconomic context	47
	3.2.	Infant and child health	
	3.3.	Adult health	64
		3.3.1. Demography	65 68

4.	Lan	dscape	es and Legacies: Cherbourg past to present	74
	4.1.	Lands	capes	74
	4.2.	Out of	sight, out of mind: 'the Act'	77
		4.2.1.	Violence and vice	77
		4.2.2.	Fear of miscegenation	80
		4.2.3.	Cheap labour	81
	4.3.	'on s	someone else's land': the creation of Cherbourg	83
		4.3.1.	Inmates	86
		4.3.2.	Dormitory living	88
		4.3.3.	Up at top camp	91
		4.3.4.	Rations	92
		4.3.5.	Educated to earn their keep	93
		4.3.6.	Wages	95
		4.3.7.	Health	97
	4.4.	Cherbo	ourg today	99
		4.4.1.	Population	100
		4.4.2.	Autonomy	104
		4.4.3.	Education and employment	106
		4.4.4.	Health and health care	109
		4.4.5.	Housing	115
		4.4.6.	Retail	116
		4.4.7.	Community enterprise	118
		4.4.8.	Community groups, sporting and social activities	118
		4.4.9.	Traditions and spirituality	123
		4.4.10.	. Tensions and prejudice	126
		4.4.11.	. 'This is home'	128
5.	Pro	tocols,	study design and questionnaire development	130
	5.1.	Permis	ssion and community protocols	130
	5.2.	The pil	lot study	132
		•	Raising community awareness	
			Materials and methods	
			Outcomes of the pilot study	
	5.3.	The Cl	herbourg Diabetes Study	137
			Integrity of survey responses	
			Sampling	
	5.4.	Develo	oping and administering the survey	144
			Part one: the lifestyle questionnaire	
			Part two: diabetes risk assessment	

6.	Dial	betes a	nd risk factor prevalence	. 161
	6.1.	Diagno	osed diabetes prevalence	. 161
		6.1.1.	Data and method of calculation	. 161
		6.1.2.	Results	. 163
	6.2.	Eviden	ce-based risk assessment	. 163
		6.2.1.	Results and discussion	. 167
	6.3.	Undiag	gnosed diabetes prevalence	. 182
		6.3.1.	Method of calculation	. 182
		6.3.2.	Results and discussion	. 183
7.	Birt	hweigh	t, weight growth and diabetes risk	. 189
	7.1.	Backgı	round: birthweight and patterns of weight growth in Cherbourg	. 189
		7.1.1.	Cherbourg child health records	. 189
		7.1.2.	Fitted birthweights	. 193
		7.1.3.	Postnatal growth	. 200
	7.2.	Method	ds	. 204
		7.2.1.	Quantifying growth variation (1): determining IUGR	. 204
		7.2.2.	Quantifying growth variation (2): birth to five years	. 205
	7.3.	Results	S	. 211
		7.3.1.	IUGR and adult diabetes risk	. 211
		7.3.2.	Birthweight, weight deviation and indices of weight change	. 221
	7.4.	Conclu	ısions	. 237
8.	Que	estionna	aire results (1): Nutrition, physical activity and lifestyle	. 244
	8.1.	Nutritic	on results	. 245
		8.1.1.	Food frequencies and overall diabetes risk	. 246
		8.1.2.	Food frequencies and specific diabetes risk factors	. 263
	8.2.	Lifesty	le results	. 284
		8.2.1.	Physical activity	. 284
		8.2.2.	Alcohol consumption	. 295
		8.2.3.	Smoking	. 299
			Recent lifestyle change	
		8.2.5.	Willingness to change diet and exercise	. 301
	8.3.	Discus	sion and conclusions	. 302
9.	Que	estionna	aire results (2): Social and other variables	. 306
	9.1.	Sociod	lemography	. 306
		9.1.1.	Place of birth and mother's place of birth	. 306
		9.1.2.	Parity	. 310
		9.1.3.	Natural family	. 310

		9.1.4.	Education	. 311
		9.1.5.	Occupation and hours of work	. 312
	9.2.	Genera	al health	. 313
		9.2.1.	Self-rated general health	. 313
		9.2.2.	Family history of diabetes	. 316
		9.2.3.	Stress	. 316
	9.3.	Body i	mage	. 318
	9.4.	Diabetes knowledge and beliefs		
		9.4.1.	Perceived risk of diabetes and heart disease	. 322
		9.4.2.	Time since diagnosis	. 323
		9.4.3.	Causal beliefs	. 324
		9.4.4.	Complications	. 325
			Medication	
		9.4.6.	Lifestyle advice and behaviour change	. 327
	9.5.	Wome	n	. 328
	9.6.	Conclu	usions	. 329
10.	Rec	onside	ring risk: Implications and future directions	. 330
10.			ring risk: Implications and future directionsndings	
10.		.Key fir	-	. 330
10.		.Key fir	ndings	. 330 . 330
10.		.Key fir 10.1.1. 10.1.2	ndings	. 330 . 330 . 337
10.	10.1	.Key fir 10.1.1. 10.1.2. 10.1.3.	ndings	. 330 . 330 . 337 . 338
10.	10.1	.Key fir 10.1.1. 10.1.2. 10.1.3.	ndings	. 330 . 330 . 337 . 338 . 343
10.	10.1	.Key fir 10.1.1. 10.1.2. 10.1.3. .A mod	ndings	. 330 . 330 . 337 . 338 . 343
10.	10.1	.Key fir 10.1.1. 10.1.2. 10.1.3. .A mod .Sex ar 10.3.1.	ndings	. 330 . 337 . 338 . 343 . 345 . 347
10.	10.1	.Key fir 10.1.1. 10.1.2. 10.1.3. .A mod .Sex ar 10.3.1.	ndings	. 330 . 337 . 338 . 343 . 345 . 347
10.	10.1 10.2 10.3	.Key fir 10.1.1 10.1.3 10.1.3 .A mod .Sex ar 10.3.1 10.3.2	ndings Diabetes prevalence and risk factors Patterns of child growth Programming hypothesis ified model d social barriers to better health Women's busyness Is big beautiful? Attitudes to obesity	. 330 . 337 . 338 . 343 . 345 . 347 . 351
10.	10.1 10.2 10.3	.Key fir 10.1.1. 10.1.3. .A mod .Sex ar 10.3.1. 10.3.2. 10.3.3.	ndings Diabetes prevalence and risk factors Patterns of child growth Programming hypothesis ified model d social barriers to better health Women's busyness Is big beautiful? Attitudes to obesity Alcohol and tobacco	. 330 . 337 . 338 . 343 . 345 . 347 . 351 . 352
10.	10.1 10.2 10.3	.Key fir 10.1.1. 10.1.3. .A mod .Sex ar 10.3.1. 10.3.2. .Furthe 10.4.1.	ndings Diabetes prevalence and risk factors Patterns of child growth Programming hypothesis ified model d social barriers to better health Women's busyness Is big beautiful? Attitudes to obesity Alcohol and tobacco	. 330 . 337 . 338 . 343 . 345 . 347 . 351 . 352 . 353
10.	10.1 10.2 10.3	.Key fir 10.1.1. 10.1.2. 10.1.3. .A mod .Sex ar 10.3.1. 10.3.2. 10.3.3. .Furthe 10.4.1.	ndings Diabetes prevalence and risk factors Patterns of child growth Programming hypothesis ified model Momen's busyness Is big beautiful? Attitudes to obesity Alcohol and tobacco r research and recommendations Research opportunities	. 330 . 337 . 338 . 343 . 345 . 347 . 351 . 352 . 353 . 354
	10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4	.Key fir 10.1.1.1.10.1.2.10.1.3.2.10.3.2.10.3.3.5. Further 10.4.1.10.4.2.5. Conclusion.	ndings Diabetes prevalence and risk factors Patterns of child growth Programming hypothesis ified model Model Moden's busyness Is big beautiful? Attitudes to obesity Alcohol and tobacco Tresearch and recommendations Research opportunities Community and policy implications	. 330 . 337 . 338 . 343 . 345 . 347 . 351 . 352 . 353 . 354 . 355

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, a heartfelt thank you to the Cherbourg community for its warmth and support throughout the period of fieldwork - I could not have wished for a more welcoming and friendly place. In particular, thanks go to the participants in the study, who gave so generously of their time and shared with me so much of themselves. Special thanks must go to Paddy Alberts, Ken Bone, Rory Boney, Joe Button, Albert Dynevor, Venus Rabbitt, Chris Sarra and Lorelle Watcho.

Thank you to my supervisors and advisors, both official and otherwise, for providing encouragement, guidance and kind words, especially Robert Attenborough and Ann Cowling, Lindsey Harrison, Tim Rowse and Nic Peterson. Thank you to Alan Dugdale, for allowing me the use of decades of growth data, and for providing invaluable support and advice in the field. Also to John Maindonald, Sue Wilson, Gerry Fogarty and Paul Fahey, for their pieces of statistical advice along the way.

Thank you to Toni Kirk and Maureen Weazel who showed great interest and dedication in assisting me with the surveys, and I enjoyed very much their cheerful company over coffee. Much appreciation goes also to Alex McKay for his assistance with data entry. Thank you to Tarita Fisher and Genette Simpson, Christine Stewart, Harold Fatnowna, Ted Watson and Robyn McIntosh, and the Cherbourg Health Team, for their continued support for the study, timely advice, and especially for friendship. Also thanks to Janet Hammill for providing support and advice in the early stages.

Thank you to my family for bearing the vicissitudes of thesis production: my mother, Susan Bambrick, who knew what lay ahead and encouraged me anyway; Michael Flood for proving it could be done; Tim Bambrick for providing ever cheery technical assistance at odd hours; Hugh Bambrick, Matthew Bambrick, Elissa Keen and Penny Bambrick for reading the draft thesis.

Thank you to my friends and colleagues for providing company and conversation, mentoring and support, especially Chris Clarkson, Jen Badstuebner, Rachel Bekessy, Joanne Mitchell, David Houlder, Madonna Boman, Greg Marston, Ruby Boman, Jeremy Evans, Ken Johnson, Carolyn Behm, Barbara van Leeuwen, Don Gardner, Alan Rumsey, Ian Keen, Andrew McWilliam, Kathy Callen, David McGregor, Sue Fraser, Marian Robson, Rebecca Parkes, Bill Kruse, Janet Tierney, Jessica Wyndham, Alix King, Matt Barham, Tony Nairn, Deb Poole, Jen Hewitson, Alison Mercieca, Margie Kilcullen, Matt Leavesley, Caroline Read, Nic Rawlinson and Mark Clements.

This thesis is dedicated to the memory of Berlene Faye Chapman.

Notes on terminology

Aboriginal / Indigenous / Murri

The definition of Indigenous used in this study pertains to those people who satisfy three criteria. Firstly, that they must have Indigenous genetic heritage; secondly, that they identify themselves as Indigenous; and thirdly, that they are recognised and accepted by the community as such (Council for Aboriginal Reconciliation 1994). This study focuses more on the social contexts that might promote diabetes rather than on any genetic predisposition, and hence the 'quantity' of an individual's Indigenous genetic heritage is not considered.

'Indigenous' refers inclusively to both Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, whereas 'Aboriginal' is more specific relating to the Australian mainland and Tasmania. The term 'Murri' specifically refers to Aboriginal people from the region of Queensland where the study took place. By far the majority of people in Cherbourg identify themselves as Aboriginal (Murri).

Acculturation

In this thesis, acculturation refers to the degree to which Indigenous groups have adopted, or been compelled to adopt, a more Westernised (see below) lifestyle.

Black and white

These terms are principally used to situate the field-site in its historical context and in the comparisons made between the study community and its nearby towns. 'Black' refers to people who identify themselves as Aboriginal, while 'white' refers to people primarily of European descent, such as the settlers to the area in the nineteenth century. The terms 'non-Aboriginal' and 'non-Indigenous' are more inclusive than 'white'.

Traditional / pre-colonial / pre-European / contemporary

It has been noted by de Courten *et al.* (1998) and others that the use of 'traditional' to describe broad characteristics of lifestyle can be problematic; it could refer to both pre-colonial or remote contemporary groups and implies discontinuity between pre- and post-contact with Europeans. The term also masks the variation in modes of living that occur in different communities. The term 'traditional' refers to aspects of lifestyle that are pre-industrial, signifying aspects of a gathering and hunting lifestyle, and 'contemporary' and 'pre-European' or 'pre-colonial' is used to qualify the time-period under discussion where necessary. For example, O'Dea and

Spargo (1982) use the term 'contemporary traditional' to refer to communities where a hunting and gathering lifestyle is maintained post-colonially.

Type 2 diabetes

In Aboriginal Australia, Type 1 diabetes mellitus accounts for an estimated 1-2% of diabetes cases (de Courten *et al.* 1998), while Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a far more important health issue (Chapter Three). Gestational diabetes (diabetes first diagnosed during pregnancy) plays a not insignificant role. When 'diabetes' is used in this dissertation without further qualification, it refers to Type 2 diabetes.

Westernisation / modernisation

'Westernisation' or 'modernisation' implies a predetermined end point with a defined set of stages, as if it is the same experience for all 'Westernised' populations. While there are certainly some similarities between experiences, the manners and the methods, in addition to the sociocultural context, differ greatly. 'Westernised' is used in this thesis in preference to 'modernised' as it carries with it less of an implied notion of value. It is used to refer in particular to broad patterns of lifestyle change, namely increases in quantity and changes to quality of nutritional intake and reductions in physical activity. Caldwell and Caldwell (1996) make a distinction between these two terms: 'modernisation' refers to underlying structural economic changes while 'Westernisation' refers to any accompanying lifestyle changes.

Abbreviations

ABS Australian Bureau of Statistics

AIATSIS Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander

Studies

AIHW Australian Institute of Health and Welfare

AIM Australian Inland Mission ANOVA analysis of variance

BACCA

Barambah Aboriginal Community Case Agency

BMI

body mass index (weight (kg) / height (m)²)

BSL

blood sugar (glucose) level, measured in mmol/l

CDC

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (USA)

CDEP

Community Development Employment Projects

CHD coronary heart disease CVD cardiovascular disease

DAIA Department of Aboriginal and Islander Affairs (later

Advancement) (Queensland)

DOGIT Deed of Grant in Trust ESRD end-stage renal disease

FBSL fasting blood sugar (glucose) level

FFA free fatty acids

FFQ food frequency questionnaire HBW high birthweight (>4500g)

HPA hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis

IFT impaired fasting glucose
IGF insulin-like growth factors
IGT impaired glucose tolerance

IHC infant health clinic
IHD ischaemic heart disease
IUGR intrauterine growth retardation
LBW low birthweight (<2500g)
LGA large for gestational age
LMP last menstrual period

NCHS National Center for Health Statistics (USA)
NHMRC National Health and Medical Research Council

OGTT oral glucose tolerance test

PI ponderal index (height/ $\sqrt{3}$ weight (kg))

SES socioeconomic status
SGA small for gestational age
SLA statistical local area
SMR standardised mortality ratio
SSF: TSF subscapular: triceps skinfold ratio

UTI urinary tract infection W//A weight-for-age W//H weight-for-height

WHO World Health Organization

WHR waist-hip ratio

YACCA Youth and Community Care Agency

Abstract

Globally, the prevalence of Type 2 diabetes is rising. The most affected populations are those that have undergone recent and rapid transition towards a Western lifestyle, characterised by energy-dense diets and physical inactivity.

Two major hypotheses have attempted to explain the variation in diabetes prevalence, both between and within populations, beyond the contributions made by adult lifestyle. The thrifty genotype hypothesis proposes that some populations are genetically well adapted to surviving in a subsistence environment, and are predisposed to develop diabetes when the dietary environment changes to one that is fat and carbohydrate rich. The programming hypothesis focuses on the developmental environment, particularly on prenatal and early postnatal conditions: nutritional deprivation *in utero* and early postnatal life, measured by low birthweight and disrupted child growth, is proposed to alter metabolism permanently so that risk of diabetes is increased with subsequent exposure to an energy-dense diet. Both hypotheses emphasise discord between adaptation (genetic or developmental) and current environment, and both now put forward insulin resistance as a likely mechanism for predisposition.

Diabetes contributes significantly to morbidity and mortality among Australia's Indigenous population. Indigenous babies are more likely to be low birthweight, and typical patterns of child growth include periods of faltering and rapid catch-up. Although there have been numerous studies in other populations, the programming hypothesis has not previously been tested in an Australian Indigenous community. The framework of the programming hypothesis is thus expanded to consider exposure of whole populations to adverse prenatal and postnatal environments, and the influence this may have on diabetes prevalence.

The present study took place in Cherbourg, a large Aboriginal community in southeast Queensland with a high prevalence of diabetes. Study participants were adults with diagnosed diabetes and a random sample of adults who had never been diagnosed with diabetes. Data were collected on five current risk factors for diabetes (general and central obesity, blood pressure, age and family history), in addition to fasting blood glucose levels. A lifestyle survey was also conducted. Participants' medical records detailing weight growth from birth to five years were analysed with regard to adult diabetes risk to determine whether childhood weight and rate of weight gain were associated with subsequent diabetes. Adult lifestyle factors were

also explored to determine whether variation in nutrition and physical activity was related to level of diabetes risk.

Approximately 20% of adults in Cherbourg have diagnosed diabetes. Prevalence may be as high as 38.5% in females and 42% in males if those who are high-risk (abnormal fasting glucose and three additional factors) are included. Among those over 40 years, total prevalence is estimated to be 51% for females and 59% for males.

Patterns of early childhood growth may contribute to risk of diabetes among adults. In particular, relatively rapid weight growth to five years is associated with both general and central obesity among adult women. This lends some qualified support to the programming hypothesis as catch-up growth has previously been incorporated into the model; however, although the most consistent association was found among those who gained weight more rapidly, it was also found that risk is increased among children who are heavier at any age.

No consistent associations were found between intrauterine growth retardation (as determined by lower than median birthweight and higher than median weight growth velocity to one and three months) and diabetes risk among women or men. A larger study sample with greater statistical power may have yielded less ambiguous results.

Among adults, levels of physical activity may be more important than nutritional intake in moderating diabetes risk, although features of diet, such as high intake of simple carbohydrates, may contribute to risk in the community overall, especially in the context of physical inactivity. A genetic component is not ruled out. Two additional areas which require further investigation include stress and high rates of infection, both of which are highly relevant to the study community, and may contribute to the insulin resistance syndrome.

Some accepted thresholds indicating increased diabetes risk may not be appropriate in this population. Given the relationship between waist circumference and other diabetes risk factors and the propensity for central fat deposition among women even with low body mass index (BMI), it is recommended that the threshold where BMI is considered a risk be lowered by 5kg/m^2 for women, while no such recommendation is made for men.

There are a number of social barriers to better community health, including attitudes to exercise and obesity, patterns of alcohol and tobacco use and consumption of fresh foods. Some of these barriers are exacerbated by gender roles and expectations.