



## Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in: *Data in Brief* 

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa39522

## Paper:

Broome, L., Izura, C. & Lorenzo-Dus, N. (2018). A systematic review of fantasy vs contact driven internet-initiated sexual offences: Data in brief report of study selection, appraisal and characteristics. *Data in Brief* http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.04.076

Under a Creative Commons license.

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

Data in Brief 18 (2018) 1869-1876



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

# Data on fantasy vs contact driven internet-initiated sexual offences: Study selection, appraisal and characteristics



L.J. Broome\*, C. Izura, N. Lorenzo-Dus

Swansea University, United Kingdom

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 March 2018 Received in revised form 16 April 2018 Accepted 19 April 2018 Available online 25 April 2018

#### ABSTRACT

Data presented within this article supports the findings of the manuscript "A systematic review of fantasy driven vs contact driven internet-initiated sexual offences: Discrete or overlapping typologies?" (Broome et al., in press) [1]. Inclusion and Exclusion criteria of study selection, PICO Formulation of Study Appraisal, as well as the Study Characteristics and Methodology of included studies are presented.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## **Specifications Table**

Subject area More specific subject area Type of data How data was acquired Data format Experimental factors Psychology Forensic Behaviour Descriptive Tables Data extraction from studies included in Broome et al. [1] Descriptive

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.02.021

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.04.076

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Psychology Department, College of Human and Health Sciences, Swansea University SA2 8PP, UK. *E-mail address*: 493135@swansea.ac.uk (LJ. Broome).

<sup>2352-3409/© 2018</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Experimental features	Data represents findings from a narrative review
Data source location	Reviewed studies collect data primarily from America, UK and Aus-
	tralia. It is not possible to determine specific states
Data accessibility	Within this article

## Value of the data

- The data allows for interpretation and assessment of studies examining the behavior of internetinitiated sexual crimes against minors, including study characteristics and methodology.
- The data enables comparison of two distinct classification of internet-initiated offences commonly referred to in the literature: fantasy vs contact driven crimes.
- Studies within this field primarily rely on the use of decoy victims, i.e. adults posing as children/ young people who engage in proactive investigations. This data enables researchers to identify those studies that use decoy and real child victims.

### 1. Data

The data set contains information on the Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for Study Selection (Table 1), and PICO Formulation of Study Appraisal (Table 2) for studies reviewed by Broome et al. [1]. Additionally, Study Characteristics (Table 3) and Methodology (Table 4) for included studies are described.

#### Table 1

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for Study Selection.

Inclusion	Exclusion
<ol> <li>Studies that investigate the strategies of individuals who use the internet to sexually abuse minors. and</li> <li>Studies in which contact and fantasy driven behaviour were identifiable and</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Studies that investigate:</li> <li>a) offline sexual offending only</li> <li>b) child pornography use as a definition of 'fantasy' offending</li> <li>c) the sexual abuse of victims over 18</li> </ul>
3. Studies exploring crime characteristics or grooming strategies of individuals who use the internet to sexually abuse minors <i>and</i>	<ul> <li>d) offenders under 18 years of age</li> <li>Review articles and reports</li> </ul>
4. Primary studies to include cohort, case-control, cross-sectional or case series studies	1

#### Table 2

PICO Formulation of Study Appraisal [2].

PICO	Characteristics
Population	Total number of participants (differentiating between fantasy and contact behaviour where appropriate), length of grooming/offending process, use of decoy victim.
Interest	Crime characteristics, behavioural tactics, communicative approaches and risk assessment strategies of adult online child sexual offenders. Consideration of typology (fantasy and contact groomers)
Comparisons	Evaluation of fantasy driven and contact driven individuals to assess whether an empirical distinction exists between the groups. Consideration of statistical analysis and study methodology.
Outcomes	Crime characteristics, analysis of tactics, communicative approaches and risk assessment strategies.

Study	Typology	Offender Age	Offender Gender	Decoy Victim	Perceived Age of Victim	Victim Gender	Country of Data Source	N. Fan- tasy	N. Con- tact	Ou
Barber [4]	Contact	Mean 35	#	Yes	Mean 13	#	America	-	90	Rel thr
Bergen [5] Bergen [6]	Mixed Mixed	15 <sup>a</sup> -60 Mean 25	M = 98 $F = 38$	Yes No	10–18 –13–17		Sweden/Finland Sweden/Finland/ Germany	# 66ª	# 68 <sup>b</sup>	Tra Dec
Black [7]	Contact	25–54	М	Yes	12–15	M = 6 $F = 38$	America	-	44	Rel off
Briggs [8]	Mixed	19–54	М	No	12–16	M = 1 F = 50	America	21	30	Deo
DeHart [9]	Mixed	18–74	М	Yes	9–14	M = 6 F = 194	America	48 / 64	44	Rel off
Grosskopf [10]	Mixed	#	Μ	Yes	13–14	Μ	Australia	5 <sup>d</sup>	10 <sup>e</sup>	Rel thr
Study	Typology	Offender Age	Offender Gender	Decoy Used	Perceived Age of Victim	Victim Gender	Country of Data Source	N. Fan- tasy	N. Con- tact	Out
Gupta [11]	Contact	#	#	Yes	#	#	America	-	75	Rela trac
Kloess [12]	Mixed	27–52	Μ	No	11–15	M = 2 F = 3	UK	3	2	Rela
	Mixed	19–55	М	Yes	10-14	F = 23 M = 2	Australia	8 <sup>f</sup>	18 <sup>g</sup>	Dec uali
Lorenzo- Dus [14]	Contact	22-63	Μ	Yes	-	-	America	-	24	Dec uali
Lorenzo- Dus [15]	Contact	22-63	Μ	Yes	-	-	America	-	68	Risl
Malesky [16]	Contact	23–52	Μ	No	#	#	America	-	31	Dec
Marcum [17]	Contact	24–51	Μ	Yes	12–13	F	America	-	3	Dec beh
Study	Typology	Offender Age	Offender Gender	Decoy Use	Perceived Age of Victim	Victim Gender	Country of Data Source	N. Fan- tasy	N. Con- tact	Out
O'Connell	Fantasy	#	#	Yes	8, 10 or 12	F	#	#	-	Dec beh
Pranoto [19]	Contact	#	#	Yes	#	#	America	-	59	Rela

Table 3Study Characteristics of Included Studies.

Table 3 (continued)

Study	Typology	Offender Age	Offender Gender	Decoy Use	Perceived Age of Victim	Victim Gender	Country of Data Source	N. Fan- tasy	N. Con- tact	Out
Quayle [20]	Contact	21-56	М	No	11–15	M = 1 F = 13	Italy/UK	-	14	Dece
Shelton [21]	Contact	18–77	Μ	Yes	6–17	-	America	-	33 <sup>h</sup>	Dece
van Gijn- Grosve- nor [22]	Contact	#	#	Yes	#	M = 49 $F = 52$	America	-	101	Dece

Study	Typology	Offender Age	Offender Gender	Decoy Use	Perceived Age of Victim	Victim Gender	Country of Data Source	N Fan- tasy	N Con- tact	Outo
Williams [23]	Contact	24-38	М	Yes	12–14	F	America	-	8	Dece ualis
Winters et al. [24]	Contact	19–64	Μ	Yes	12–15	$\begin{array}{l}M=5\\ \mathrm{F}=95\end{array}$	America	-	100	Dece
Wolak [25]	Mixed	18-40+	M = 2 $F = 127$	No	12–17	M = 35 $F = 94$	America	30	99	Dece trade
Wolak [26]	Mixed	18-40+	M = 3 F = 140	No	6–17	M = 15 $F = 128$	America	68 <sup>i</sup>	75 <sup>j</sup>	Dece

Note. Mixed typology refers to studies that report results for both contact and fantasy driven individuals. # Data not identifiable.

<sup>a</sup> Refers to those individuals who received a sexual picture (N=38) and engaged in cybersex (N = 28),

<sup>b</sup> Refers to those who met offline (N = 45) and engaged in offline sexual contact (N = 23).

<sup>c</sup> Includes cybersex and cybersex/schedulers.

<sup>d</sup> Represents individuals who engaged in cautious, more restrained exchanges (3) and educational exchanges (2).

<sup>e</sup> Refers to those who aim to reach short term sexual gratification (8) and long-term procurement (2).

<sup>f</sup> Signifies those individuals charged for exposing a child to indecent materials.

<sup>g</sup> Refers to individuals who procured a child online for sexual purposes.

<sup>h</sup> Represents traveling cases, including individuals who met victims online and travelled for intent of sexual contact.

<sup>i</sup> Includes individuals who committed a no contact offence.

<sup>j</sup> Includes contact offences (fondling, inappropriate touching (6), oral sex (10), intercourse (57) and sexual violence (2)).

Table 4		
Methodology	of included	studies.

Study	Methodology	Statistical Analysis
Barber et al. [4]	Content analysis from grounded theory and fre- quency word counts were carried out on online transcripts to assess for pervasiveness of commu- nicative strategies.	-
Bergen et al. [5]	Predictor variables of behaviour were coded based on expression of sexual interest from online transcripts	Contrast estimations and rank correlations were conducted to assess the level and direction of the effect of perceived age and behaviour. Inter-rater reliability was assessed with a median value of agreement being .69 (Cohen's K).
Bergen et al. [6]	The prevalence and related outcomes of identity deception and keeping the online interaction a secret was assessed via online self-report surveys	Logistic regression analysis to examine the affect each item of deception and secrecy may have on outcomes. One-sample and independent t-tests were carried out on significant results. OR was reported for differences between the groups for each outcome. Bonferroni adjusted and non- adjusted p-values are reported.
Black et al. [7]	Content analysis of grooming strategies, manually coded against the stages of O'Connell's (2013) pro- posed online grooming theory, was carried out on chat room transcripts. The Linquistic Inquiry Word Count (LIWC) was used to analyse several language categories representing different stages of grooming.	Mixed model analysis was carried out with lan- guage terms (friendship, relationship, risk assess- ment, exclusivity and sexual contact related terms as the dependent variables and the grooming pro- cess stage as the independent variable. Chi-square analysis assessed specific manipulation techniques Inter-rater reliability correlation of coding ranged from .34–.96 for frequency of use in strategies. Kappa values ranged from .72–.95 for presence of strategy.
Briggs et al. [8]	Chat log transcripts were reviewed to identify com- municative and behavioural patterns. Behavioural, social and clinical information was collected from archival data of individuals referred to a forensic mental health centre.	Cross-tabulation statistics were calculated to com- pare and contrast findings between contact and fantasy drive individuals.
DeHart et al. [9]	Mixed method analysis of chat log transcripts were carried out to identify key elements of internet crimes against minors, proposing a typology of offenders. Qualitative coded was carried out using MaxQDA to sort commentaries into hierarchical categories.	Classification of offender type was based upon exploratory quantitative cluster analyses. Groups were compared using ANOVA for continuous vari- ables and chi-square analysis for categorical variables.
Grosskopf [10]	Semi-structures interviews were carried out with police officers involved in online sting operations to qualitatively compare findings to Krone [13]. For those unable to be interviewed, self-report ques- tionnaires were distributed mirroring the questions asked in the interview	-
Gupta et al. [11]	Word frequencies for each stage of O'Connell's [18] online grooming theory were calculated using LIWC and recorded in a $6 \times 6$ conditional probability matrix to calculate the probability of moving through each stage.	Z-scores for LIWC categories were calculated to normalise the data. Logistic regression analysis wa carried out to calculate the linguistic predictor of each grooming stage. The variance inflation factor was calculated to assess multi-collinearity in the data and removing LIWC categories with an overlap of more than 80%.
Kloess et al. [12]	Thematic analysis, employing a discursive content- driven approach was carried out on chat log tran- scripts to identify key information, trends and themes. A hierarchical grouping approach enabled assessment of similarity and differences across the categories	_
Krone [13]	Police officers were interviewed and prosecution files were made available with access to demographics, previous criminal history and details about the	-

## Table 4 (continued)

Study	Methodology	Statistical Analysis
	arresting crime. Data was coded into a database. Both	
	real victim and decoy victim data were included.	
Lorenzo-Dus	Using a Computer-Mediated Discourse Analysis	Welch's <i>t</i> -test was conducted to explore difference
et al. [14]	approach, language –focused content analysis was	in the frequency of identified grooming processes
	carried out on chat logs. Focusing on speech acts and relational work, a new online grooming commu-	Pearson correlations examined relationships between grooming processes.
	nicative model is proposed.	between grooning processes.
Lorenzo-Dus	A Computer-Mediated Discourse Analysis approach	_
and Izura	was undertaken. Praise was examined using Speech	
[15]	Act Theory (SAT – complimenting behaviour). The	
	relational and procedural goals of groomers' use of	
	compliments were explored by the Interactional	
	Sociolinguistics notion of relational work.	
Malesky [16]	Qualitative analysis was carried out on a ques-	-
	tionnaire response to the question: "what initially	
	attracted you to a particular child/adolescent online	
	that you wanted to establish a relationship with for	
	sexual purposes?" Participant responses were cate-	
	gorised into themes and evaluated by 3 independent reviewers.	
Marcum [17]		
	out to explore the underlying meaning of the	
	communication.	
O'Connell	Sociolinguistic analytical techniques were under-	_
[18]	taken on grooming chat logs to develop a typology of	
	child cybersexploitation	
Prantono	The term frequency-inverse document frequency (tf-	Paired t-tests were carried out to examine the
et al. <mark>[19]</mark>	idf) matrix was established from chat logs to identify	relationship between words used and grooming
	grooming characteristics.	characteristics. A logistic model was then devel-
		oped using step-wise regression.
Quayle et al.	Using a constructivist grounded theory approach	-
[20]	interview transcripts of convicted groomers where	
	analysed to explore ways in which online groomers identified victims. Active language was analysed to	
	explore categories within the data, inter-relationships	
	between categories was assessed and theoretical	
	sampling and sensitivity was incorporated into the	
	analysis.	
Shelton et al.	Investigative reports, offender interviews, sentencing	Chi-square and t-tests were conducted to explore
[21]	information and criminal record information were	differences between cases that occurred between
	accessed from FBI Crimes Against Children case	1996 and 2002 ( $n = 198$ ) and from 2010 ( $n = 53$
	reviews. Data extraction, to include offender back-	No significant differences existed between the
	ground, investigation details and legal outcomes	cases, the sample was therefore combined. A
	were recorded into an FBI developed protocol. The	descriptive analysis of data extraction is provided
	protocol was reviewed by the FBI's Behavioural	
	Research Working Group.	Chi anyong anglunia and t toota yong angliad aut t
van Gijn- Grosvenor	Qualitative coding of chat log transcripts was carried out by one researcher, identifying 4 categories	Chi square analysis and t-tests were carried out t examine differences between groomers targeting
[22]	describing groomer behaviour; offence character-	male and female victims.
[22]	istics, rapport building, sexual matters and conceal-	male and remaie victims.
	ment. Inter-rater reliability was measured on a sam-	
	ple of cases ( $n = 13$ ), completed by a second coded.	
	Coders agreed 93% of the time.	
Williams	Chat logs transcripts were analysed thematically, in	-
et al. [23]	an inductive way, with no existing framework to code	
	the data to identify grooming themes. One researcher	
	carried out the initial coding, with a 2nd reviewed	
	coding a sample (10%) of chat logs to evaluate	
	consistency	

Table 4 (continued)

Study	Methodology	Statistical Analysis
Winters	Inductive and deductive coding was carried out on	-
et al. [24]	chat log transcripts to investigate offender, decoy victim and conversation characteristics.	
Wolak et al.	2574 Law enforcement agencies were surveyed and	-
[25]	telephone interviews carried out to collect informa- tion about the case to include the type of crime, levels	
	of deception, dynamics of the crime and type of sexual behaviour carried out	
Wolak et al. [26]	Law enforcement officers were interviewed using a computer-assisted telephone system following completion of a mail survey. Officers also provided a crime narrative. The overall aim of the study was to examine whether online groomers are a distinct offender group.	Chi-square cross-tabulation analysis was carried out to compare online-meeting and known-in- person cases. STATA SE11 survey data analysis procedures were employed to consider selection probability variations.

Note. - denotes data not applicable.

#### 2. Experimental design, materials and methods

The process of study selection is defined in Table 1. The criteria were used to assess articles captured by the systematic search strategy in Broome et al. [1].

Studies included in Broome et al. [1] were appraised in consideration of the Population, Interest, Comparisons and Outcomes (PICO) formulation [2], and against an order of hierarchy regarding study methodology (Table 2). Data extraction was founded upon PRISMA guidelines [3] and piloted on a small sample of studies (n = 5).

Table 3 presents the Study Characteristics of included studies, to include Typology (contact, fantasy or mixed (i.e. both fantasy and contact behaviour)), Offender Age, Offender Gender, Decoy Victim, Perceived age of Victim, Victim Gender, Country of Data Source, Number of Fantasy Individuals, Number of Contact Individuals and Outcomes. Quantitative and Qualitative Methodological approaches for reviewed studies are presented in Table 4. Study Quality and Methodological appraisal is considered in Broome et al. [1].

#### Transparency document. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://doi. org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.04.076.

#### References

- LJ. Broome, C. Izura, N. Lorenzo-Dus, A systematic review of fantasy driven vs contact driven groomers: discrete or overlapping typologies? Child Abuse Neglect 79 (2018) 434–444. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.02.021.
- [2] L. Falzon, K.W. Davidson, D. Bruns, Evidence searching for evidence-based psychology practice, Prof. Psychol. Res. Pract. 41 (8) (2010) 550–557. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0021352.
- [3] D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, D.G. Altman, The PRISMA Group, Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement, PLoS Med. 6 (7) (2009) e1000097. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal. pmed.1000097.
- [4] C.S. Barber, S.C. Bettez, Deconstructing the online grooming of youth: Toward improved information systems for detection of online sexual predators. Paper presented at in: Proceedings of the Thirty Fifth International Conference on Information Systems, Auckland 2014. Retrieved from <a href="http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?Doi=10.1.1.920">http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?Doi=10.1.1.920</a>. 5933&rep=rep1&type=pdf, 2014.
- [5] E. Bergen, J. Antfolk, P. Jern, K. Alanko, P. Santtila, Adults' sexual interest in children and adolescents online: a quasiexperimental study, Int. J. Cyber Criminol. 2 (7) (2013) 94–111, Retrieved from (http://www.cybercrimejournal.com/ber genetalijcc2013vol7issue2.pdf).

- [6] E. Bergen, J. Davidson, A. Schulz, P. Schuhmann, A. Johansson, P. Santtila, P. Jern, The effects of using identity deception and suggesting secrecy on the outcomes of adult-adult and adult-child or -adolescent online sexual interactions, Vict. Offenders 9 (3) (2014) 276–298. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15564886.2013.873750.
- [7] P.J. Black, M. Wollis, M. Woodworth, J.T. Hancock, A linguistic analysis of grooming strategies of online child sex offenders: implications for our understanding of predatory sexual behavior in an increasingly computer-mediated world, Child Abuse Negl. 44 (2015) 140–149. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2014.12.004.
- [8] P. Briggs, W.T. Simon, S. Simonsen, An exploratory study of Internet-initiated sexual offenses and the chat room sex offender: has the Internet enabled a new typology of sex offender? Sex Abuse: J. Res. Treat. 23 (1) (2011) 72–91. http://dx. doi.org/10.1177/1079063210384275.
- [9] D. DeHart, G. Dwyer, M.C. Seto, R. Moran, E. Letourneau, D. Schwarz-Watts, Internet sexual solicitation of children: a proposed typology of offenders based on their chats, e-mails, and social network posts, J. Sex. Aggress. 23 (1) (2017) 77–89. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2016.1241309.
- [10] A. Grosskopf, Online interactions involving suspected paedophiles who engage male children, Trends Issues Crime Crim. Justice 403 (403) (2010) 1–6, Retrieved from <a href="http://www.aic.gov.au/publications/current%20series/tandi/401-420/tandi403.html">http://www.aic.gov.au/publications/current%20series/tandi/401-420/tandi403.html</a>).
- [11] A. Gupta, P. Kumaraguru, A. Sureka, Characterizing paedophile conversations on the internet using online grooming. Retrieved from (http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.4324), 2012.
- [12] J.A. Kloess, S. Seymour-Smith, C.E. Hamilton-Giachritsis, M.L. Long, D. Shipley, A.R. Beech, A qualitative analysis of offenders' modus operandi in sexually exploitative interactions with children online, Sex. Abuse: J. Res. Treat. (2015) 1–29. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1079063215612442.
- [13] T. Krone, Queensland police stings in online chat rooms, Trends Issues Crime. Crim. Justice 301 (1) (2005) 1–6 (Retrieved from http://aic.gov.au/documents/B/C/E/{BCEE2309-71E3-4EFA-A533-A39661BD1D29)(tandi301.pdf).
- [14] N. Lorenzo-Dus, C. Izura, R. Pérez-Tattam, Understanding grooming discourse in computer-mediated environments, Discourse Context Media 12 (2016) 40–50. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dcm.2016.02.004.
- [15] N. Lorenzo-Dus, C. Izura, "Cause ur special": understanding trust and complimenting behaviour in online grooming discourse, J. Pragmat. 112 (2017) 68–82. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2017.01.0040378-2166.
- [16] L.A. Malesky, Modus operandi of convicted sex offenders in identifying potential victims and contacting minors over the internet, J. Child Sex. Abuse 16 (2) (2007) 23–32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/J070v16n02\_02.
- [17] C.D. Marcum, Interpreting the intentions of internet predators: an examination of online predatory behaviour, J. Child Sex. Abuse 16 (4) (2007) 99–114. http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/J070v16n04\_06.
- [18] R.O. O'Connell, A typology of cybersexploitation and online grooming practices, Unpublished manuscript, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, England, 2003 (Retrieved from) (http://image.guardian.co.uk/sys-files/Society/documents/ 2003/07/17/Groomingreport.pdf).
- [19] H. Pranoto, F.E. Gunawan, B. Soewito, Logistic models for classifying online grooming conversation. Paper presented at the Procedia Computer Science, International Conference on Computer Science and Computational Intelligence 2015, 59, 357– 365. Retrieved from (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.07.536), 2015.
- [20] E. Quayle, S. Allegro, L. Hutton, M. Sheath, L. Lööf, Rapid skill acquisition and online sexual grooming of children, Comput. Hum. Behav. 39 (2014) 368–375. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.07.005.
- [21] J. Shelton, J. Eakin, T. Hoffer, Y. Muirhead, J. Owens, Online child sexual exploitation: an investigative analysis of offender characteristics and offending behaviour, Aggress. Violent Behav. 30 (2016) (2016) 15–23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. avb.2016.07.0021359-1789.
- [22] E.L. van Gijn-Grosvenor, M.E. Lamb, behavioural differences between online sexual groomers approaching boys and girls, J. Child Sex. Abuse 25 (5) (2016) 577–596. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10538712.2016.1189473.
- [23] R. Williams, I.A. Elliot, A.R. Beech, Identifying sexual grooming themes used by internet sex offenders, Deviant Behav. 34 (2) (2013) 135–152. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01639625.2012.707550.
- [24] G.M. Winters, L.E. Kaylor, E.L. Jeglic, Sexual offenders contacting children online: an examination of transcripts of sexual grooming, J. Sex. Aggress. 23 (1) (2017) 62–76. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2016.1271146.
- [25] J. Wolak, D. Finkelhor, K. Mitchell, Internet-initiated sex crimes against minors: implications for prevention based on findings from a national study, J. Adolesc. Health 35 (5) (2004) 11–20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2004.05.006.
- [26] J. Wolak, D. Finkelhor, Are crimes by online predators different from crimes by sex offenders who know youth in-person? J. Adolesc. Health 53 (6) (2013) 736–741. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.06.010.