

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Product development of a nickel-plated fire fighting helmet

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

MTech
in
Product Development

at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand.

Anna McKenzie

2008

ABSTRACT

This thesis describes the development of a fire fighting helmet for the French market for manufacture by Pacific Helmets (NZ) Ltd, including the major technical development of a process for electroplating unsaturated polyester resin (UP resin).

The need for this study arose from an opportunity identified by PHNZ to enter into the French fire fighting market. The major technical problem was that the helmet shell must be manufactured from nickel-plated UP resin. However, no current technology existed for plating UP resin with a suitably high quality or durability that would withstand the user conditions of a fire fighting helmet.

Literature from existing technologies for plating of similar materials, and attempts at plating UP resin for decorative purposes were reviewed, along with advice from Industry experts in order to understand plastics plating technology and to develop potential methods for plating UP resin for this application.

An iterative hypothesis generation and trial process was used to test potential plating methods in a laboratory on samples of UP resin. A successful methodology was identified. Following this an electroplating pilot plant was constructed and the successful plating methods were used to plate full UP resin helmet shells. Works-like prototypes were constructed using helmet shells that had been successfully applied with a quality and durable electroplated coating suitable for fire fighting helmets. The prototypes were successfully tested against the required product safety standards.

The project concluded with the successful development of a works-like prototype of a Nickel-plated fire-fighting helmet for the French market that met all consumer and technical requirements identified.

Going forward, Pacific Helmets (NZ) Ltd plan to showcase the product at the next annual International Fire-fighting trade show. Plans are currently underway to expand the production facility in Wanganui in order to accommodate the additional helmet production.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many thanks to Raafat Bendary for being a wonderful teacher in the art and science of electroplating plastics. Thank you for your guidance in the development of the process for electroplating unsaturated polyester resin; for taking me on as your student with and openly sharing your knowledge and expertise in the plating industry for the sake of education.

Kind thanks to John Gawith for guidance as my Product Development Masters Supervisor; for your patience, understanding and confidence in my ability to complete this study.

Thanks to Richard Havekamp, Masters Supervisor for specialist guidance in Chemical technology and chemical process development.

Thanks to Pacific Helmets (NZ) Ltd for the opportunity of work and study in the helmets manufacturing industry along with funding and resourcing support for the project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT.....	1
1.2 THE OPPORTUNITY.....	2
1.3 THE PROBLEM.....	3
1.4 PLATING POLYESTER RESIN.....	3
1.5 AIM AND OBJECTIVES.....	4
1.6 DELIVERABLES.....	5
1.7 CONCLUSION.....	5
1.8 ORGANISATION OF THESESES.....	5
2 PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT CONTEXT	7
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	7
2.2 THE COMPANY.....	7
2.3 THE FRONT END PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT PROCESS.....	9
2.4 THE OPPORTUNITY.....	10
2.5 MARKET RESEARCH.....	10
2.6 PRODUCT RESEARCH.....	11
2.7 CONSUMER RESEARCH.....	12
2.8 ESTABLISHING TARGET SPECIFICATIONS.....	13
2.9 PRODUCT CONCEPT GENERATION AND SELECTION.....	15
2.10 THE SELECTED PRODUCT CONCEPT – THE F7A.....	15
2.11 TESTING THE PRODUCT CONCEPT.....	16
2.12 ACQUISITION OF EXPERTISE.....	18
2.13 FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT.....	19
2.14 DEVELOPMENT PLAN.....	20
2.15 TECHNICAL AND PROCESS DEVELOPMENT PLANS.....	20
3 LITERATURE REVIEW	22
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	22
3.2 ELECTROPLATING.....	23
3.3 ELECTROPLATING PLASTICS.....	24
3.4 PLATING UP RESIN.....	34
3.5 INTRODUCTION TO TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENT.....	40
4 ETCHING METHODOLOGY	42
4.1 INTRODUCTION.....	42
4.2 BACKGROUND - ETCHING U P RESIN.....	42
4.3 THE ETCHING PROCESS SUMMARY.....	45
4.4 ETCHING PROCESS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY.....	47
4.5 IDENTIFACTION OF ETCHING 'KEY-HOLE' REQUIREMENTS.....	47
4.6 ESTABLISHING TARGET SPECIFICATIONS.....	49
4.7 HYPOTHESIS GENERATION.....	50
4.8 HYPOTHESIS TESTING.....	52
4.9 ACRYLATHANE PAINTED UP RESIN.....	63
4.10 SELECTION OF ETCHING PROCESS CONCEPT(S).....	65
4.11 OUTCOME OF PLATING PROCESS DEVELOPMENT.....	66
5 ACTIVATION METHODOLOGY	67
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	67
5.2 BACKGROUND TO ACTIVATION.....	67
5.3 THE ACTIVATION PROCESS SUMMARY.....	69

5.4	ACTIVATION PROCESS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY	69
5.5	IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVATION SPECIFICATIONS	69
5.6	ESTABLISHING TARGET SPECIFICATIONS	70
5.7	HYPOTHESIS GENERATION.....	70
5.8	HYPOTHESIS TESTING.....	71
5.9	SELECTION OF THE BEST ACTIVATION METHODOLOGY	74
5.10	OUTCOME OF THE ACTIVATION PROCESS DEVELOPMENT	74
6	ELECTROLESS PLATING METHODOLOGY	75
6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	75
6.2	BACKGROUND TO ELECTROLESS PLATING.....	75
6.3	THE ELECTROLESS PLATING PROCESS SUMMARY	82
6.4	ELECTROLESS PLATING PROCESS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY	83
6.5	ELECTROLESS PLATING REQUIREMENTS	83
6.6	HYPOTHESIS GENERATION	83
6.7	HYPOTHESIS TESTING.....	84
6.8	SELECTION OF ELECTROLESS PLATING CONCEPT.....	86
6.9	FURTHER REFINEMENT OF THE ELECTROLESS PLATING PROCESS.....	88
6.10	OUTCOME OF ELECTROLESS PLATING PROCESS DEVELOPMENT	99
7	ELECTROPLATING METHODOLOGY	101
7.1	INTRODUCTION	101
7.2	BACKGROUND ON ELECTROPLATING	101
7.3	OUTLINE OF COPPER ELECTROPLATING PROCESS	102
7.4	HYPOTHESIS TESTING.....	102
7.5	OUTCOME OF ACIDIC COPPER ELECTROPLATING DEVELOPMENT	105
7.6	NICKEL ELECTROPLATING	105
7.7	CONCLUSION	106
8	PILOT PLANT	107
8.1	INTRODUCTION.....	107
8.2	BACKGROUND.....	107
8.3	PILOT PLANT DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY	108
8.4	IDENTIFICATION OF PILOT PLANT REQUIREMENTS.....	108
8.5	ESTABLISHING TARGET SPECIFICATIONS	109
8.6	PILOT PLANT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION.....	110
8.7	OPERATION OF THE PILOT PLANT	113
8.8	PLATING FULL HELMET SHELLS	116
8.9	OUTCOME OF PILOT PLANT TRIALS	118
8.10	PROTOTYPING	119
8.11	TESTING PLATED HELMET SHELL	120
8.12	CONCLUSION	126
9	CONCLUSION	127
9.1	INTRODUCTION.....	127
9.2	EVALUATION OF PRODUCT DESIGN.....	128
9.3	FINAL PRODUCT SPECIFICATIONS.....	130
9.4	COMMERCIALISATION.....	131
9.5	BUSINESS STRATEGIC FIT.....	131
9.6	FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT	132
9.7	CONCLUSION	137
9.8	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	138
10	APPENDICES	140
10.1	N.I.T.No.311 FRENCH STANDARD FOR FIRE SERVICE AND RESCUE PERSONNEL.....	141
10.2	BSEN443 BRITISH/EUROPEAN STANDARD: HELMETS FOR FIRE FIGHTERS 145	
11	BIBLIOGRAPHY	156

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Flowchart illustrating the organisation of the research.....	6
Figure 1-2: Front-end activities of product development process.....	9
Figure 1-3: The MSA-Gallet Nickel F1 Helmet	11
Figure 1-4: The Pacific F7AK/2 Mk 3B Structural Fire Helmet-Premiere Model.....	15
Figure 1-5: A typical electroplating experiment (A.E.S.F.2004).....	23
Figure 1-6: A) Etching, B) Activation, and C) Electroless plating of ABS (Astro Electroplating, 2004)	33
Figure 4-1: Affects of etch-hole parameters on plating adhesion quality.....	42
Figure 4-2: Flow diagram of the etching process development.....	47
Figure 4-3: EDX Ca count over time; optimum alkaline etch time of 50 mins	57
Figure 4-4: EDX Ca count over time, optimum acid-etch time of 300 seconds	57
Figure 4-5: Optical microscopy photograph (mag. x 300) of CaCO ₃ modified UP resin etched for 10	58
Figure 4-6: Concept 5 EDX Ca Count; optimum etch time of 15 minutes	59
Figure 4-7: Concept 6 EDX Ca Count; optimum etch time of 10 minutes	59
Figure 4-8: Concept 7 EDX Ca count; optimum etch time of 60 minutes	61
Figure 4-9: Concept 8 EDX Ca count; optimum etch time of 15 minutes	62
Figure 4-10: Concept 9 EDX Ca count; optimum etch time of 12.5 minutes	62
Figure 4-11: Optical microscopy photographs (mag x 500) of Acrylathane painted surface etched for 4, 5, and 6 minutes with etching solution C	64
Figure 4-12: Cross section view of Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) after Activation. (red dots are activator precious metal ions on the etched surface)	67
Figure 4-13: Flow diagram of the Activation process development strategy	69
Figure 4-14: a) Clear solution of SnCl ₂ dissolved in HCl. b) Black coffee coloured PdCl ₂ dissolved in HCl.....	72
Figure 4-15: Effect of temperature on plating rate (Hanna, Hamid et al. 2003).....	80
Figure 4-16: Effect of pH values on deposition rate (Hanna, Hamid et al.2003)	81
Figure 4-17: Electroless-plating process development strategy flow diagram	83
Figure 4-18: Colour of the electroless copper solution at step 3 upon the correct amount of TEA being added 'to taste' to the CuSO ₄ solution.	85
Figure 4-19: Colour of solution at step 6 after NaOH has been added.	85
Figure 4-20: Electroless copper structure with no agitation.....	90
Figure 4-21: Electroless copper structure with air agitation.....	91
Figure 4-22: Illustration of how TEA levels affect the colour of the electroless copper solution: from left to right 5, 10 and 15 ml TEA solutions	93
Figure 4-23: Left: Electroless Coppered samples (5, 10, 15ml TEA solutions)	94
Figure 4-24: Acid copper plated samples (5, 10, 15 ml TEA solutions).....	94
Figure 4-25: Electroless copper distribution with 5ml TEA solution.....	95
Figure 4-26: Electroless copper distribution with 10ml TEA solution.....	95

Figure 4-27: Electroless copper distribution with 15ml TEA	95
Figure 4-28: Colour difference between 5ml and 20ml TEA solutions	96
Figure 4-29 (top): Electroless plated samples (5, 10-, 15, 20ml TEA solution)	96
Figure 4-30 (bottom): Electroplated samples (5, 10, 15, 20ml TEA solutions)	96
Figure 4-31: SEM photograph illustrating comparatively rough surface with clusters of electroless copper crystals	97
Figure 4-32: Electroless coppered samples from 5, 10, 15 and 20 ml TEA solutions consecutively. Notice colour of 4th sample is duller.	97
Figure 4-33: Electroplated samples from 5, 10, 15 and 20ml TEA solution.	98
Figure 4-34: Electroless copper crystals from 5ml TEA solution	98
Figure 4-35: Electroless copper crystals from 10ml TEA solution	98
Figure 4-36: Electroless copper crystals from 15ml TEA solution	98
Figure 4-37: Electroless copper crystals from 20ml TEA solution	99
Figure 7-1: Acidic Copper Electroplating Bath.....	103
Figure 7-2: Acid Copper Plating bath with wired copper plated part.	104
Figure 7-3: Close-up of shine and colour of acid copper plated part.....	104
Figure 7-4: Nickel-plated UP resin sample (small construction half helmet)	106
Figure 8-2: Pilot plant development strategy	108
Figure 8-3: Floor plan for the Pilot Plant.....	110
Figure 8-4: view of fibreglass electroplating bath with anodes, jig and shell	112
Figure 8-5: Pilot Plant set up	113
Figure 8-6: Non-painted helmet after acceleration.	117
Figure 8-7: Non-painted helmet after electroless coppering.....	117
Figure 8-8: 4 th Helmet shell copper plated in pilot plant	117
Figure 8-9: 5 th helmet shell after electroless plating	118
Figure 8-10: 5 th helmet shell after electroplating	118
Figure 8-11: Painted and plated helmet shells prior to testing.	119
Figure 8-12: Inside of helmet with helmet liner, prior to testing.....	119
Figure 8-13 Setting up 1000mm drop test measuring equipment	121
Figure 8-14: Close up of helmet shell of head-form above the striker/target.....	121
Figure 8-15: 1000mm Drop test results	121
Figure 8-16: 1675mm drop test results.....	122
Figure 8-17: Illustrates the damage done to the top of both the plated (left) and painted (right) shells after 3 impacts of 1675mm drops.....	123
Figure 8-18: left: Resistance to sharp objects testing apparatus (EN 443)	124
Figure 8-19 Top right: Sharp object penetrating the plated helmet.	124
Figure 8-20: Bottom right: the damaged plated helmet after penetration.....	124
Figure 9-1: Pacific F7AK/2 Mk 3B (F7A) Structural Fire Helmet - Premiere Model.....	129
Figure 9-2: Sensitivity analysis – Electroplating method affect on NPV	134
Figure 9-3: Sensitivity analysis – Initial investment affect on NPV	135
Figure 9-4: Sensitivity analysis - Market share affect on NPV.....	136
Figure 9-5: Sensitivity analysis – selling price vs. NPV	137

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.8-1: Product specification matrix - Comparison of the PHNZ F7A and MSA-Gallet F1-S	14
Table 3.3-1: Characteristics of plastics (GVD 2002-2005)	24
Table 3.4-1: Chemical resistance of UP Resin (British-Plastics-Federation 2005)	35
Table 3.4-2: Gel times for UP resin	38
Table 3.5-1: Process concept matrix	41
Table 4.6-1: Target and marginal specifications for etching metrics	49
Table 4.6-2: Etching target specifications – marginal and target value	49
Table 4.7-1: Etching concept matrix	51
Table 4.8-1: Results of etching concept 1 test	53
Table 4.9-1: Concept 12 optimum etch time analysis.....	64
Table 4.10-1: Etching results – non plating related tests.....	65
Table 4.10-2: Etching results – plating quality tests	65
Table 4.10-3: Etching concept selection ranking.....	65
Table 4.11-1: Process concept matrix following etching concept selection.....	66
Table 5.6-1: Activation Target specifications	70
Table 5.8-1: Process concept matrix for Activation hypothesis testing	71
Table 5.9-1: Activation methodology selection matrix	74
Table 5.10-1: Process concept matrix following activation concept selection	74
Table 6.5-1: Electroless plating target specifications matrix.....	83
Table 6.7-1: Process concept matrix for Electroless plating hypothesis testing.....	84
Table 6.8-1: Electroless plating target specifications matrix.....	86
Table 6.8-2: Cost analysis of electroless plating concepts.....	87
Table 6.8-3: Process concept matrix for Electroless plating refinement.....	88
Table 6.9-1: Solutions 1-4 chemical composition and outcome of analysis.	92
Table 6.10-1: Concept matrix following electroless plating concept selection.....	100
Table 7.5-1: Electroplating specifications matrix	105
Table 7.7-1: Process concept matrix of process selected for use in the pilot plant.....	106
Table 8.5-1: Pilot plant - plating and product target specifications matrix	109
Table 8.7-1: Pilot plant bath operating conditions	114
Table 8.7-2: Pilot plant etching time analysis	114
Table 8.7-3: Pilot plant solution maintenance procedures.....	115
Table 8.9-1: Pilot plant plating testing target specifications matrix.....	118
Table 8.12-1: Plated prototype target specifications results – 5 th Helmet	126
Table 9.1-1: Summary of successful plating solutions and operating conditions	128
Table 9.3-1: PHNZ Nickel F7A performance against Gallet F1-.....	130
Table 9.6-1: Initial investment and cost analysis	133

1 INTRODUCTION

This section introduces the study topic, need for research, and the aims, objectives and approach of the study.

1.1 PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

"The economic success of manufacturing firms depends on their ability to identify the needs of customers and to quickly create products that meet these needs and can be produced at low cost."(Eppinger and Ulrich 2000) A product development process is a set of activities used to achieve this goal by taking a market opportunity and developing it through to production, sale and delivery of the product.

Product Development is an interdisciplinary activity requiring contributions from nearly all functions of a firm; however, three functions are almost always central to a product development project: marketing, design and manufacturing. The marketing function mediates the interactions between the firm and its customers. The design function plays the lead role in defining the physical form of the product to best meet customer's needs; and the manufacturing function is primarily responsible for designing and operating the production system in order to produce the product.

The generic Product Development process includes six phases; planning, concept development, system-level design, detail design, testing and refinement and product ramp up. The process is adapted dependant on the unique context of any one product. Common variants of the product development process include; market pull, technology push, platform products, process intensive and customised product development. A market pull process arises when the firm begins with a market opportunity, then finds appropriate technologies to meet customer needs. A technology push situation is when the firm begins with a new technology, and then finds an appropriate market. A platform product is one in which the firm assumes that the new product will be built around an established technological sub-system. A process intensive product development is when characteristics of the product are highly constrained by the production process. A customised product is developed when new products are slight variations of existing configurations.

This study is an interdisciplinary product development process with emphasis on the manufacturing function. The product development opportunity was initialised when the company, Pacific Helmets NZ Ltd (PHNZ) identified an opportunity, a market pull in the French and greater European fire fighting helmet market. The marketing team identified

that the customers were unsatisfied with the existing products on the market and were interested in using PHNZ's helmets as an alternative. In order to meet customer needs it was necessary to customise; develop a product that was a variant of an existing helmet configuration. The company identified one major problem in meeting the needs of the French market; the helmet shells were required to be nickel-plated. At this point the product development project changed from what PHNZ initially thought to be a common customisation of an existing product into a complex process-intensive project with emphasis on the technical development of the process. The development of a process to nickel-plate the helmet material (unsaturated polyester resin) was therefore central to the development of the Nickel-plated F7A fire-fighting helmet.

1.2 THE OPPORTUNITY

Over the past three years, correspondence with PHNZ's existing European distributors identified an opportunity to enter the French fire fighting helmet market. A substantial number of fire brigade members were unsatisfied with the existing product commonly in use, the F1 helmet produced by MSA Gallet (France). Users complained that the design of the Gallet helmet created potential, yet unnecessary risk of injury and fatality through lack of impact and heat resistance. In addition, due to MSA Gallet's monopoly over the market, prices were not competitive and customer service disappointing.

In light of this market opportunity PHNZ conducted initial research on product requirements for the primary market. A comparison of the French product requirements and the specifications of helmets in PHNZ's existing portfolio identified that the PHNZ F7A helmet was consistent with the requirements of the French, bar one characteristic. The N.I.T.No.311 technical information sheet, rules for personal equipment for fire service and rescue personnel in France stated in the general description that the required helmet *"shall be "nickel" in colour, to enhance the thermal reflection properties"*. Further investigation into the needs of the customers identified that the helmet shells must not only be nickel in colour, but nickel-plated in order to compete with the existing product. This alteration to the product formed the major problem-solving challenge of this project.

A financial analysis of the project was made on the assumption that a conservative 25% of the 220,000 fire fighters market share would be gained within 5 years of product launch. The Nickel F7A sale price was estimated at NZ\$330. The total cost of production was estimated at NZ\$230 - NZ\$258, which would result in a total profit of between \$4.0 and \$5.5 million over 5 years, after which PHNZ aim to continue to increase its market share. See Section 2.13 for further detail.

In addition, there is potential for profits from applications of the process to alternative industries and the intellectual property royalties that may arise from the technology development. Therefore, this project is not only financially viable, but had the potential to be highly profitable for the company.

At this stage a decision was made to progress in the development of a customized Nickel-plated F7A helmet for the French market.

1.3 THE PROBLEM

Gallet's F1 helmet is manufactured from an injection-moulded thermoplastic; acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS), which can be electroplated readily due to the chemical make-up of the material. PHNZ helmets are manufactured from resin-transfer-moulded (RTM) unsaturated polyester (UP) resin, which is known to be very difficult, even thought to be impossible, to electroplate. The costs of PHNZ changing from UP resin to a thermoset material such as ABS are considered too high as they outweigh the increased profit that would result from the project. UP resin also offers advantageous mechanical properties over thermoset materials. Thermoset materials have lower melting points than thermoplastic materials. Given that the helmets are required to withstand extremely high temperatures for use in bush fires, the advantage of using UP resin will be central to PHNZ's 'increased safety' marketing strategy. Therefore the project's technical problem lies in developing a method to produce a quality nickel-plated coating on UP resin.

In addition, a constraint in the development of this process is the cost involved. The conservative (exaggerated) additional cost of plating the helmet shells was initially estimated at a maximum of \$50NZD is based on existing electroplating costs for similar plastics. If this estimation is accurate, the Nickel-plated F7A will be competitive with Gallet's helmet price.

1.4 PLATING POLYESTER RESIN

"Electroplating is the coating of an object with a thin layer of metal by use of electricity. The industry was developed to plate metals such as copper, zinc, or silver on other less expensive metals, and more recently, plastics." (Hill 1992)

The plating of plastics with metal is an established technology most commonly used for applications in the printed circuit boards, automobile accessory and decorative industries. The process is of particular importance due to its ability to improve the mechanical and aesthetic properties of plastic.

The ability to plate on plastics has been dramatically improved with the development of the electroless plating process, discovered in 1946 by Brenner and Riddel. The reaction/action is purely chemical and runs by itself once started. Plastics most commonly plated in this way are thermoset materials such as ABS, polycarbonate-ABS blends and polycarbonate blends. ABS is the preferred plastic for plating because it has many butadiene rubber particles (seeding points) uniformly distributed throughout the plastic, that can be chemically etched from the surface to produce chemically active, minute holes in the plastic that provide sites for absorption of a catalyst for the chemical plating process (A.E.S.F. 2004).

Among methods of electroplating non-conductive plastics a widely employed method comprises, in succession; degreasing, etching, optionally neutralising and pre-dipping, then applying a catalyst for electroless plating using a colloidal solution containing a tin compound and a palladium compound, and optionally activating (accelerator treatment), followed by electroless plating and electroplating.

Despite advances in the plating of plastics such as ABS, a process achieving a quality surface plating of nickel on UP resin is yet to be found. It is possible to apply a coating of nickel on UP resin. However the low-quality coating is not durable enough for applications to products such as the helmet shells. This is because UP resin cannot be chemically etched to produce chemically active minute holes as readily as ABS.

Recent studies aimed at achieving a quality plated finish on UP resin include techniques of pre-treatment or conditioning of polyesters by exposing them to a solution to provide them with a hydrophilic surface. This permits electroless and electroplating to provide metal surfaces, which possess superior adhesive properties, as opposed to metal surfaces plated onto untreated surfaces (Sopchak and Skovrinski, 1989). However, to date there is no known method of plating UP resin with a nickel coating of high enough quality required for the application to the fire fighting helmets.

Therefore the development of the 'nickel-plating of UP resin' process is not only central to the development of the Nickel-plated F7A fire fighting helmet, but is the technical constraint and technical focus of this study.

1.5 AIM AND OBJECTIVES

The overall aim of this project is to develop a fire-fighting helmet to meet the requirements of the French fire fighting markets. A central focus of this research will be to develop a process to produce a quality nickel-plating finish on UP resin.

The specific objectives of the research were to:

- Identify initial product specifications.
- Develop a process for Nickel-plating UP resin for application to the F7A fire fighting helmet shell.
- Construct a pilot plant in order to produce sample plated helmet shells.
- Produce sample helmets for testing and validation purposes.
- Test the product to ensure all specifications are met.
- Plan production and commercialisation of the product.
- Evaluate the financial and technical feasibility of the project.

1.6 DELIVERABLES

- Process for quality nickel-plated UP resin.
- Nickel-plated F7A fire fighting helmet prototype.
- Recommendations for production plan.

1.7 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the following thesis documents the development of the Nickel-plated F7A fire-fighting helmet for the French fire fighting markets. The product development began with the identification of an opportunity and was executed through to small-scale production and prototyping. The major technical challenge within the product development project was to develop a process for electroplating UP resin suitable for fire fighting helmets.

1.8 ORGANISATION OF THESESES

The project can be divided into three major phases:

- A) Product development of the Nickel-plated F7A fire fighting helmet targeted at the French market.
- B) Technical development of a process for producing a quality nickel-plated coating on the surface of UP resin for application to the helmet shells.
- C) Process development in which the technical process development (B) is scaled-up to accommodate the production of the product.

Figure 1.8-1 illustrates the organisation of the research in which the completion of the product development process (A) is dependant upon the success of the technical development (B) and the process development (C) phases. The relevant chapters to each phase of the process are also indicated.

Figure 1-1: Flowchart illustrating the organisation of the research

