SOME CALCULATIONS OF NEUTRON CAPTURE CROSS-SECTIONS

A. K. CHAUBEY AND M. L. SEHGAL

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH U.P., INDIA

(Received May 14, 1968)

ABSTRACT. Neutron capture cross-sections were calculated for about 30 cases at 24 keV, using low energy resonance parameters. These cross-sections were compared with previously measured values of the cross-sections. In most of the cases, except neutron magic number nuclei a good agreement was found between the experimental and the theoretical values.

INTRODUCTION

We have measured (Chaubey and Sehgal 1965 and 1966) capture cross-sections at 24 keV for about 50 nuclei, using antimony-beryllium photoneutron sources. Cross-sections in the keV energy region are helpful in the design of the reactors and in the study of the cosmological theories of element formation (Burbidge *et al* 1957) as well as in the study of nuclear reaction theories (Margolis 1952), (Feschbach *et al* 1954), (Mossion-Kotin *et al* 1959). Cross-section values at low onergies are very useful for testing various nuclear models.

In the present work we have calculated the capture cross-sections at 24 keV for about 20 cases. For 10 case results have been published earlier (Chaubey and Schgal 1965). Thus in all, for 30 cases, cross-sections calculated by the method of Booth *et al* (1958) were compared with our previously measured (Chaubey and Schgal 1965, 1966) cross-section values (σ expt). In this way the theoretical formula (Booth *et al* 1958) of capture cross-section and hence the theory on which this formula is based was checked at 24 keV.

CALCULATIONS OF CAPTURE CROSS-SECTIONS

We have calculated the capture cross-sections at 24 keV, following the method of Booth *et al* (1958). The following relation is used for the calculations of the cross-sections :

A. K. Chaubey and M. L. Sehgal

$$\sigma_{a} = \sum_{J} \frac{2J+1}{2(2\bar{I}+1)} \frac{1}{2} \pi \left(\frac{2.6 \times 10^{6}}{E_{n}}\right) \frac{\Gamma_{r}}{\bar{D}} \times \left[1 - (b\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\bar{J}b} \exp\left(-t^{2}\right) dt\right\}\right] \qquad (1)$$

where $b = \Gamma_r / (2 V_0 \Gamma_n^0)$,

and I is the spin of the target nucleus, J is the total angular momentum of the compound nucleus, E_n is the energy of the nutrons expressed in eV and V_0 is the pene: tration factor (taken equal to unity for zero angular momentum). The quantities Γ_r , D and Γ_n^{0} are experimentally easured (BNL-325, IInd ed., 1958 and its supplement no. 1 (1960) and Levin and Hughes 1956), radiation width level spacing and reduced neutron width respectively. The value of these parameters were taken as the average over all the s-wave reasonances. While calculating the capture cross-section from this formula it was assumed (Booth *et al* 1958) that only s-wave neutron contribute to the cross-section and the contribution due to p-wave neutron is almost zero. It was also assumed that the low energy resonance parameters can be used at 24 keV.

In most of the cases values of the resonance parameters were taken from BNL-325, (1958, 1960). While calculating the value of level sacing from these references the average level spacing for zero spin target nuclei was taken to be equal to the observed level spacing whereas for nonzero spin target nuclei, the average level spacing is taken to be twice that of the observed level spacing (Carter *et al* 1954).

The values of the capture cross-sections experimentally measured (σ_{expt}) and theoretically calculated (σ_{theo}) are shown in table 1. For Mn⁵⁵, Ag¹⁰⁷, Cd¹¹⁴, In¹¹⁵, Te¹²⁶, Dy¹⁶⁴, Ho¹⁶⁵, Lu¹⁷⁵, Au¹⁰⁷ and Th²³². The results have been taken from our previous paper (Chaubey and Sehgal, 1965). In Zn⁶⁸, Se⁸⁰, Br⁷⁹, Rh¹⁰³, Pd¹⁰⁸, In¹¹⁵ and Dy¹⁶⁴ (Chaubey and Sehgal, 1965, 1966) values of σ_{expt} are the sum of the cross-sections for the isomeric and the ground states and thus are total capture cross-section. The calculated cross-section in all the above cases is also the total capture cross-section. We find that except in the case of Zn⁶⁸ and Pd¹⁰⁸ there is a reasonable agreement between σ_{expt} and σ_{theo} . In Pd¹¹⁰ and In¹¹³ values of σ_{expt} are only for the ground state as the cross-section for the isomeric state is not known, therefore it is not desirable to make any comparison between σ_{expt} and σ_{theo} .

In figure 1 the ratio $\sigma_{expt}/\sigma_{theo}$ has been plotted versus the number of neutrons in the target nucleus. It is clear from this figure that in most of the cases the points lie around the line corresponding to the ratio 1. In general the agreement in the experimental and theoretical values of the cross-sections is good. It is interesting to note that in the above calculations of the cross-sections only the

568

Target nucleus	σ_{expt} (mb)	a _{theo} (mb)	Reference for Γ _r and D
Mn ⁵⁵	40 3	35	
Zn^{68}	27 ± 3	1.6	น
G a ⁶⁹	50 ± 5	126.4	હ
Ga ⁷¹	75 _ 10	122	11
Se ⁸⁰	13 ± 1.8	14.7	a
\mathbf{Br}^{79}	624 ± 46	672	a
Br ⁸¹	560 ± 100	350	e
Rh103	510 - 51	510	н
Pd^{108}	185 ± 15	524	હ્ય
Pd110	120 ± 15	2034	a
$\mathrm{Ag^{107}}$	810 ± 90	952	a
Ag ¹⁰⁹	609 † 60	647	Ь
('d114	240 ± 25	163	12
In113	260 + 100	1046	Ь
In^{115}	800 ± 60	813	(°
Te ¹²⁶	66± 11	65.5	u
Ba ¹³⁸	7 1.4	0.5	a
La ¹³⁹	$50 \div 10$	173	ь
Pr ¹⁴¹	100 + 15	49	a
Dy ¹⁶⁴	190 ± 20	203.5	સ
Ho ¹⁶⁵	990 ‡ 70	935	a
Lu ¹⁷⁵	1670 ± 120	1700	£Ł.
W186	155 ± 20	61	a
Re ¹⁸⁵	1765 ± 265	1976	ь
Re ¹⁸⁷	875 ± 80	637	a
Iriba	630 ± 50	5230	b
Pt ¹⁹⁸	205 ± 30	200	a
Au ¹⁹⁷	500 <u>}</u> - 35	607	a
Pb ²⁰⁸	6.5 + 1.5	0.67	A
Th ²³²	480 <u>5</u> 0	340	a

 Table 1. Table shows a comparison in the experimentally measured and theoretically calculated capture cross-sections

a--Supplement no. 1 of BNL-325, 1960 b - BNL-325 II-nd ed., 1958 c--Cartor et al (1954).

contribution due to s-wave neutrons has been taken into account. It is a well known fact that at this energy there will be appreciable contribution due to p-wave and d-wave neutrons, which, when taken into account will change the value

-11

of the theoretically calculated cross-sections giving poor agreement with the experimental values. It may be worthwhile for some theorist to look into this expression of the cross-section for this anamoly.





ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors wish to thank Professor Rais Ahmed for his kind interest in this work. One of the authors (A.K.C.) is thankful to C.S.I.R. for providing him a Seninor Research Fellowship.

REFERENCES

Booth, R. Well, W. P. and MacGregor, M. H. 1958, Phys. Rev., 112, 226.

Brookhaven National Laboratory report-325, II-nd edition, 1958 and supplement No. 1. 1960. D. J. Hughee and R. Swartz

Burbidge, E. M. Burbidge, G. R., Fowler and Hoyle F., 1957, Rev. Mod. Phys., 29, 547. Carter, Harvey, Hughes and Pilcher 1954, Phys. Rev., 96, 113.

Chaubey, A. K. and Sehgal, M. L., 1965, Nuclear Physics, 66, 267.

1966, Phys. Rev., 152, 1055.

Feshbach, F. H. Foshbach, Porter C. and Weisskopf V. F. 1954, Phys. Rev., 96, 448.

Levin, J. S. and Hughes, D. J., 1956, Phys. Rev., 101, 1228.

Margolis, B., 1952, Phys. Rev., 88, 327.

Mossin-Kotin, C., B. Margolis and E. S. Troulsetzkoy 1959, Phys. Rev., 116, 937.