THE MAGNETIC DIPOLE TRANSITIONS IN THE OCTAHEDRAL COMPLEXES OF THE TRANSI-TION METAL IONS

V. P. DESAI AND A. S. CHAKRAVARTY

SAHA INSTITUTE OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS, CALCUTTA, INDIA (Received August 24, 1968)

ABSTRACT. The oscillator strengths of the magnetic-dipole transitions in the octahedral complexes of the transition metal ions have been calculated using the strong field wave functions. The agreement with experiment is reasonable. More experimental data are needed in order to check the theory more completely.

INTRODUCTION

It is well known that among the forbidden transitions between the states with the same parity, the following transitions are able to have non-vanishing intensity (Van Vleck 1937; Tanabe and Sugano 1954): (1) the electric-dipole transitions coupled with the odd vibrations (Liehr and Ballhausen 1957; Chakravarty 1968), (2) the magnetic-dipole transitions and (3) the electric-quadrupole transitions (Chakravarty 1967). The oscillator strengths of the electric-dipole transitions observed experimentally ranges between 10^{-3} and 10^{-5} , those of the magneticdipole transitions are of the order 10^{-5} and those of the electric-quadrupole transitions are of the order of 10^{-9} . Thus the magnetic-dipole transitions may be of comparable intensity when compared with the electric-dipole transitions coupled with the odd vibrations. In the octahedral complexes of the transition metal ions in which we are interested in this paper, there are situations where both these types of transitions are non-vanishing and comparable in magnitude. It is, therefore, important to know the oscillator strengths of the magnetic-dipole transitions in the different types of complexes of the transition metal ions.

THEORY

The oscillator strengths of the magnetic dipole transitions are given by (Ballhausen 1962)

$$f = \frac{h\nu k^2}{6mc} \sum_{Bxc.} |\langle \psi_{Gr.} | \overrightarrow{L} \psi_{Exc.} \rangle|^2$$

where k is the orbital reduction factor. Its magnitude generally varies from 0.8 to 0.9 in the type of complexes under consideration (Owen and Thornley In our calculations we assume k = 0.9. 1966). For a detailed discussion on the covalency and consequently the orbital reduction factor as soon as the ion onters into a crystalline complex one should consult the oxcollent review article by Owen and Thornley (1966). ν is the energy difference between the ground and the excited states in wave numbers, and is known from experiments. The other quantities in the above equation have their usual significance. Tables 1 and 2 give the theoretically calculated oscillator strengths for the $kd^{n}(k-3, 4, 5)$ and n-1 through 9) octahedral complexes in the strong field Though the complexes mentioned in the tables are certainly not strictly scheme. octahedral and often have lower symmetries, we perform our calculations by assuming only the octahedral symmetry. Since the oscillator strengths are small, this assumption will not change the values significantly.

DISCUSSION

It is rather unfortunate that except for Ni²⁺ complexes (Fergusson et al., 1964) no other experimental data exists in this field. In Ni^{2+} octahedral complexes the most intense ligand field bands correspond to the electronic transitions between the ground state $(t^{\theta}_{2g} e^{2}_{g})^{3} A_{2g}$ and two other excited triplet states $(t_{2g}^{5}e_{g}^{3})^{3}T_{2g}$, $^{3}T_{1g}$, respectively. These transitions are strictly forbidden for pure electric-dipole absorption of radiation but the transition ${}^{3}A_{2g}$ ${}^{3}T_{2a}$ is allowed for magnetic dipole absorption. It is generally true that the contribution to the absorption intensity by the latter mechanism are smaller by a factor 10-100 than those of the vibronically induced electric-dipole transitions and this may be one of the reasons why it is easy for them to go undetected in the experimental optical absorption spectra. However, Fergusson et al (1964) have reported the evidence from the fluorescence and absorption spectra of Ni^{2+} in $KMgF_3$ and MgF_2 which shows that the ${}^{3}A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2g}$ transition has approciable magnetic-dipole intensity in the above compounds of Ni²⁺. The experimental value of the oscillator strength of this transition is 6.7×10^{-6} at 20° K and 7.8×10^{-6} at 300° K. The slight temperature dependence of this band indicates that a small part of the total intensity comes from the vibronically allowed electric-dipole mechanism. Our theoretically calculated value of the order 3×10^{-6} agrees favourably with the experimental values, though the agreement is not certainly close. The possible reason of this discrepancy is not known definitely though it can be argued that since some amount of intensity comes from the electric-dipole character, this part has to be subtracted out from the total intensity of 6.7×10^{-6} at 20°K and 7.8×10^{-6} at 300°K before any comparison of our theoretical value can be made. Lastly more and more experimental data are needed very badly to compare our theoretical values with.

V. P. Desai and A. S. Chakravarty

Configu- ration	Ion	Complex	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Transition} \\ (g \rightarrow g) \end{array}$	Energy difference (cm ⁻¹)	Oscillator strongth f in 10^{-6}
$3d^1$	Ti ³⁺	Ti(H ₂ O) ₆ ³⁺	$^{2}T_{2} \rightarrow ^{2}E$	20,30 0	7.97
		Ti(urea) ₆ ³⁺	$^{2}T_{2} \rightarrow ^{2}E$	16,000	6.28
$3d^2$	\mathbf{V}^{3+}	V(H ₂ O) ₆ ³⁺	${}^{3}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2}$	17,100	10.07
			\rightarrow ³ T_1	25,200	4.90
		V ³⁺ in Al ₂ O ₃	${}^{3}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2}$	17,400	10.24
			-→ ³ T₁	25,200	4.94
		$V(urea)_6^{3+}$	${}^{3}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2}$	16,250	9,57
			\rightarrow ³ T ₁	24,200	4.75
$3d^3$	V^{2+}	$V(H_2O)_6^{2+}$	${}^{4}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2}$	11,800	4.63
	Cr ³⁺	$Cr(H_2O)_6^{3+}$	${}^{4}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2}$	17,400	6.83
		KCr(SO4), 12H2O	$^{4}A_{2} \rightarrow ^{4}T_{2}$	17,600	6.91
		Cr(ox) ₃ ³⁻	${}^{4}\Lambda_{2} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2}$	17,390	6.82
		Cr(en) ₃ ³⁺	$^{4}A_{2} \rightarrow ^{4}T_{2}$	21,880	8,59
		$Cr(NH_3)_6^{3+}$	$^{4}A_{2} \rightarrow ^{4}T_{2}$	21,500	8.44
$3d^{4}$	Cr^{2+}	$Cr(H_2O)_6^{2+}$	${}^{5}\mathrm{E} \rightarrow {}^{5}\mathrm{T}_{2}$	14,000	5.49
	Mn ³⁺	$CSMn(SO_4)_2, 12H_2O$	⁵ E→ ⁵ T ₂	21,000	8.24
	Mn ³⁺	$K_3Mn(CN_6)$	${}^{3}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}E^{(1)}$	24,000	4.67
3 <i>d</i> 6	Fe²+	$\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{H_2O})_6^{2+}$	⁵ T ₂ → ⁸ E	10,400	4.08
	Co^{3+}	Co(en) ₃ ³⁺	$^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow ^{1}T_{1}$	21,400	16.81
		Co(ox) ₃ ³⁻	$^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow ^{1}A_{1}$	16,500	12.96
		$\operatorname{Co}(\mathbf{NH_3})_6^{3+}$	$^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow ^{1}T_{1}$	21,070	16.55
347	Co ²⁺	$\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{H_2O})_6^{2+}$	${}^{4}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2}$	8,200	4.83
			→ ⁴ T ₁	20,000	3.92
		CoSO4, 7H2O	${}^{4}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2}$	8,350	4.91
			→ ⁴ T ₁	19,800	3.88
		Со(NH ₃), ²⁺	${}^{4}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2}$	9,000	5.30
			→ 4 T ₁	21,1000	4.14
$3d^{7}$	Co ²⁺	Co(en) ₃ ²⁺	$^{4}T_{1} \rightarrow ^{4}T_{2}$	9,800	5.77
			\rightarrow ⁴ T_1	21,700	4.26

Table I. Magnetic-Dipole Transitions

Configu- ration	Ion	Complex	Transition (g→g)	Energy difference (cm ⁻¹)	Oscillator strongth $f \ge 10^{-6}$
$3d^{B}$	Ni ²⁺	Ni(H ₂ O) ₆ ²⁺	${}^{3}\Lambda_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}\mathbf{T}_{2}$	8,500	3.33
		Ni(NH ₃) ₆ ²⁺	${}^{3}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2}$	10,750	4.22
		Ni(eu) ₃ ²⁺	${}^{3}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2}$	11,200	4.39
		$MgNiF_2$	³ A ₂ → ³ T ₂	7,600	2.98
$3d^9$	Cu^{2+}	$Cu(H_2O)_6^{2+}$	${}^{2}\mathrm{E} \rightarrow {}^{2}\mathrm{T}_{2}$	12,600	4.94
		CuSO4, 5H2O	²E→²Tg	13,000	5.10
		CuSiF ₆ , 6H ₂ O	${}^{2}E \rightarrow {}^{2}T_{2}$	12,500	4.90
4 <i>d</i> 1	Nb^{1+}	$\mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{Cl})_6^{4+}$	${}^{2}T_{2} \rightarrow 2\mathbf{E}$	20,900	8,20
4 <i>d</i> 2	Nb^{3+}	$Nb(Cl)_6^{3+}$	³ T ₁ → ² T ₂	18,900	11.13
			→ ^{3′} Γ₁	22,500	4.41
$4d^{3+}$	Nb ²⁺	$Nb(Cl)_6^{2+}$	${}^{4}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2}$	22,700	8.91
4 <i>d</i> ^₅	Ru ³⁺	Ru(Cl)6 ³	${}^{2}T_{2} \rightarrow {}^{2}A_{2}$	19,200	2.51
		Ru(Br)6 ³⁻	$^{2}T_{2} \rightarrow ^{2}A_{2}$	15,300	2.00
4 <i>d</i> 6	$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{h}^{3+}$	$Rh(H_2O)_0^{3+}$	$^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow ^{1}T_{1}$	25,500	20.03
		Rh(ox) ₃ ³⁻	${}^{1}\Lambda_{1} \rightarrow {}^{1}T_{1}$	25,100	19.71
		$\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{NH}_3)_{\mathrm{e}}{}^{3+}$	$^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow ^{1}T_{1}$	32,700	25.68
		Rh(cn) ₃ +	$^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow ^{1}T_{1}$	33,200	26.08
		Rh(Cl)6 ³	$^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow ^{1}T_{1}$	19,300	15.16
		Rh(Br) ₀ ^{3 -}	${}^{1}\Lambda_{1} \rightarrow {}^{1}T_{1}$	18,100	14.28
$5d^{6}$]r ³⁺	Jr(Cl) ₀ 3–	${}^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow {}^{1}T_{1}$	24,100	18.93
		Ir(Br), ^{a-}	${}^{1}A_{1} {}^{1}T_{1}$	22, 400	17.59
		lr(en) ₃ ³⁺	$^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow ^{1}T_{1}$	40,200	31.58
	Pt⁴+	Pt((1)62	$^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow ^{1}T_{1}$	28,300	22.23
$3d^1$	Ті ^{з+}	TiCl ₆ ³	$^{2}T_{2}\rightarrow^{2}E$	10,000a 13,000	4.51
$3d^2$	V ³⁺	VCl ₆ ³⁻	${}^{3}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1}$	1,000b 18,020a	$\begin{array}{c} 6.48\\ 3.53 \end{array}$
		V(CN) ₆ ³⁻	${}^{3}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2} \\ \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1}$	22,200c 28,600c	$\begin{array}{r} 13.07\\ 5.61 \end{array}$
$3d^3$	V ²⁺	VCl ₈ ⁴⁻	⁴ .4₂-≻ ⁴ T₂	7,200a	2.82
	Cr ³⁺	CrCl ₆ ³	${}^{4}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2}$	12,500a,d	4.90
		CrF. ³⁻	${}^{4}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2}$	14,6000	5.73

Table 1 (Contd.)

The chloride complexes are in LiCl-KCl eutectic at 400°C and fluoride complexes are in LaF-NaF-KF eutectic at 650°C.

In Table I, the energy differences have been collected from various sources. In this connection see Ballhausen (1962) and also Desai and Chakravarty (1968).

- (a) Gruen, D. M., and McBeth (1962a)
- (b) Gruen, D. M., et al., 1962b
- (c) Perumareddi, J. R., et al., 1963.
- (d) Harrington, G., and Sundheim, 1960.
- (e) Young, J. P., and White, 1960.

Table 2. Expressions for the oscillator strengths for the lowspin kd⁴, kd⁵ and kd⁷ complexes

Configu- ration (k b=4, 5)	Transition g→g	Energy difference (cm ⁻¹)	Oscill a tor strength
kd⁴	${}^{3}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}\Lambda_{1}$	$\nu^3 T_1 \rightarrow {}^3A_1$	вhv 9mc
	→ ³ E ⁽¹⁾	$\nu^{3}T_{1} \rightarrow^{3}E^{(1)}$	6hr 9mc
	-→ ³ E ⁽²⁾	$v^{3}T_{1} - r^{3}E^{(2)}$	6hv 9mc
	> ³ T₁ ⁽¹⁾	$\nu^3 T_1 \rightarrow {}^3T_1^{(1)}$	$\frac{3h\nu}{2mc}$
	\rightarrow ³ T ₁ ⁽²⁾	$\nu^{3}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1}^{(2)}$	3hr 6mc
	\rightarrow $^{3}T_{2}^{(1)}$	$\nu^3 T_1 {\rightarrow} {}^3 T_2{}^{(1)}$	3h ₂ 6mc
	\rightarrow $^{3}T_{2}^{(2)}$	$\nu^3 T_1 \rightarrow {}^3 T_2^{(2)}$	${3 h u \over 2 m c}$
kd ⁵	$^{2}T_{2}\rightarrow^{2}A_{2}$	$\nu^2 T_2 \rightarrow^2 A_2$	6h <u>v</u> 9me
	$\rightarrow^2 E^{(1)}$	$\nu^2 T_2 \rightarrow {}^2 E^{(1)}$	$\frac{6h\nu}{9mc}$
	→ ² E ⁽²⁾	$\nu^2 T_2 \rightarrow {}^2 E^{(2)}$	6hv 9mc
	$\rightarrow^2 T_1^{(1)}$	$\nu^2 T_2 \rightarrow^2 T_1^{(1)}$	3hv 6mc
	\rightarrow ² $T_1^{(2)}$	$\nu^2 T_2 \rightarrow ^2 T_1^{(2)}$	3hv 2mc
	$\rightarrow^2 T_2^{(1)}$	$\nu^2 T_2 \rightarrow ^2 T_1^{(1)}$	$\frac{3h\nu}{2mc}$
	$\rightarrow^2 T_2^{(2)}$	$\nu^2 T_2 \rightarrow {}^2 T_2^{(2)}$	$\frac{3h\nu}{6mc}$
kd ⁷	${}^{2}E \rightarrow {}^{2}T_{1}^{(1)}$	$v^2 E \rightarrow {}^2T_1^{(1)}$	$\frac{6h\nu}{3mc}$
	\rightarrow ² $T_1^{(2)}$	$v^2 E \rightarrow {}^2T_1^{(2)}$	$\frac{3h\nu}{2mc}$
	$\rightarrow^2 T_2^{(1)}$	$v^2 E \rightarrow {}^2T_2^{(1)}$	3hv 2mc
	\rightarrow ² T ₂ ⁽²⁾	ν ² E→ ² T ₂ ⁽²⁾	$\frac{3h\nu}{2mc}$

As already remarked in the introduction, the total intensity of the optical absorption spectra consists of intensities coming from both the vibronically allowed electric-dipole and the magnetic-dipole transitions. Therefore to compare our theoretical oscillator strengths of vibronically allowed electric-dipole transitions with the experiment, we have to subtract out the magnetic-dipole part from the total observed intensities. The paper containing the oscillator strengths of the vibronically allowed electric strengths of the vibronically allowed electric strengths of the oscillator strengths of the oscillator strengths of the vibronically allowed electric-dipole transitions will be published elswhere very soon (Chakravarty, 1968).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Professor A. K. Saha for his kind interest in this investigation.

RERENCES

- Ballhausen, C. J., 1962, Introduction to Ligand Field Theory, McGraw Hill Book Company, Inc.
- Chakravarty, A. S., 1967, Indian J. Phys., 31, 602.
- Chakravarty, A. S., 1968, (to be published).
- Owen, J. and Thornloy, J. H. M., 1966, Rep. Prog. Phys., 19, Part 11, 675.
- Dosai, V. P. and Chakravarty, A. S., 1968, Indian J. Phys., (In course of publication).
- Ferguson, J., Guggenheim, H. J., and Wood, D. L., 1964, J. Chem. Phys., 40, 822.
- Gruen, D. M. and McBeth, R. L., 1962a, Co-ordination Chemistry of 3d Trans. Metal Ions in Fused Salt Solution, Plenary Lectures at VII I.C.C.C., Stockholm, Sweden.
- Gruen, D. M. and McBoth, R. L., 1962b, J. Phys. Chem., 66, 57.
- Harrington, G. and Sundheim B. R., 1960, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sc., 79, 950.
- Liehr, A. D., and Ballhausen, C. J., 1957, Phys. Rev., 106, 1161.
- Perumareddi, J. R., Liehr, A. D. and Adamson, A. W., 1963, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 249.
- Tanabe, Y., and Sugano, S., 1954, J. Phys Soc. Japan, 9, 766.
- Van Vleck, J. H., 1937, J. Phys. Chem., 41, 67.
- Young, J. P. and White, J. C., 1960, Anal. Chem., 32, 799.