

DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD DASH.HARVARD.EDU



HARVARD LIBRARY Office for Scholarly Communication

Bypassing the blood-brian barrier using established skull base reconstruction techniques

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. <u>Please share</u> how this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation	Miyake, Marcel M., and Benjamin S. Bleier. 2015. "Bypassing the blood–brian barrier using established skull base reconstruction techniques." World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery 1 (1): 11-16. doi:10.1016/j.wjorl.2015.09.001. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wjorl.2015.09.001.
Published Version	doi:10.1016/j.wjorl.2015.09.001
Citable link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:34651931
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http:// nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of- use#LAA

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.keaipublishing.com/WJOHNS; www.wjent.org

REVIEW ARTICLE

Ke Ai advancing research evolving science

Bypassing the blood—brian barrier using established skull base reconstruction techniques



Marcel M. Miyake ^{a,b,c,*}, Benjamin S. Bleier ^a

^a Department of Otology and Laryngology, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA ^b Santa Casa de Sao Paulo School of Medical Sciences, Sao Paulo, Brazil ^c CADEC Brazil, Scholarship, Brazil

^c CAPES-Brazil Scholarship, Brazil

Received 27 July 2015; received in revised form 1 September 2015; accepted 9 September 2015 Available online 23 October 2015

KEYWORDS Abstract Background: Neurological disorders represent a profound healthcare problem accounting for 6.3% of the global disease burden. Alzheimer's disease alone is expected to impact Blood-brain barrier; over 115 million people worldwide by 2050 with a cost of over \$1 trillion per year to the U.S. Transnasal drug economy. Despite considerable advances in our understanding of the pathogenesis and natural delivery; history of neurological disorders, the development of disease modifying therapies have failed Skull base to keep pace. This lack of effective treatments is directly attributable to the presence of the reconstruction; blood-brain and blood-cerebrospinal fluid barriers (BBB and BCSFB) which prevent up to 98% Mucosal flap of all potential neuropharmaceutical agents from reaching the central nervous system (CNS). These obstacles have thereby severely limited research and development into novel therapeutic strategies for neurological disease. Current experimental methods to bypass the BBB, including pharmacologic modification and direct transcranial catheter implantation, are expensive, are associated with significant complications, and cannot be feasibly scaled up to meet the chronic needs of a large, aging patient population. Transmucosal drug delivery: An innovative method of direct CNS drug delivery using heterotopic mucosal grafts was described. This method is based on established endoscopic skull base nasoseptal flap reconstruction techniques. The model has successfully demonstrated CNS delivery of chromophore-tagged molecules 1000 times larger than those typically permitted by

* Corresponding author. Department of Otology and Laryngology, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

E-mail address: marcelmenon@yahoo.com.br (M.M. Miyake). Peer review under responsibility of Chinese Medical Association.

the BBB.



http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wjorl.2015.09.001

2095-8811/Copyright © 2015 Chinese Medical Association. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Conclusions: This innovative technique represents the first described method of permanently bypassing the blood-brain barrier using purely autologous tissues. This has the potential to dramatically improve the current treatment of neurological disease by providing a safe and chronic transnasaldelivery pathway for high molecular weight neuropharmaceuticals.

Copyright © 2015 Chinese Medical Association. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

The spectrum of neurological disorders represents a profound healthcare problem accounting for up to 6.3% of the global disease burden according to the World Health Organization.¹ By the year 2050, Alzheimer's disease (AD) alone is expected to afflict 115.4 million people with a cost of \$1.1 trillion to the US healthcare system.² Similarly, the US National Institute for Neurological Disorders and Stroke estimated the total number of cases of Parkinson's disease (PD) in the US at 500,000 with 50,000 new cases diagnosed annually resulting in a cost to the US economy of \$23 billion per year.^{3,4} While precise numbers are difficult to obtain, the prevalence of PD in industrialized countries is estimated at 1% for people over 60.⁵ The scale of this chronic disease burden suggests that therapies capable of delaying or reversing these disorders will translate into enormous cost savings to the global healthcare system. Although researchers have made considerable advances in our understanding of the pathogenesis and natural history of neurological disease, the development of effective therapeutic options have failed to keep pace. For example levodopa remains the most effective agent available for the symptomatic treatment of PD despite the fact that it was first introduced in 1961.⁶ The current paucity of effective treatments for neurological disease is directly attributable to the presence of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) which effectively prevents up to 98% of all potential neuropharmaceutical agents from reaching the central nervous system (CNS) thereby severely limiting the development and implementation of novel disease modifying therapies.

Blood—brain and blood—cerebrospinal fluid barrier physiology

The BBB represents a dynamic interface between the CNS and the periphery, which functions to protect the brain from xenobiotic agents and toxins while selectively permitting molecules essential for maintaining metabolic homeostasis.⁷ The BBB is classically described within the microvascular endothelial cells of the cerebrovasculature. However an additional component, known as the blood—cerebrospinal fluid barrier (BCSFB), is also present within the arachnoid membrane surrounding the brain and spinal cord. The BCSFB has been recognized since 1913 when Edwin Goldman demonstrated that trypan blue failed to diffuse into the extra axial tissues following injection into the cerebrospinal fluid within the subarachnoid space.^{8,9} As the BBB and BCSFB share similar morphologic and functional characteristics, the

terms will be used interchangeably throughout this manuscript. The BBB utilizes several mechanisms to restrict drug delivery to the CNS. The first is a physical barrier comprised of a thick basement membrane and densely packed cells bonded through tight junctions which represent a complex of transmembrane (junctional adhesion molecule-1, occludin, and claudins) and cytoplasmic (zonula occludens-1 and -2, cingulin, AF-6, and 7H6) proteins linked to the actin cvtoskeleton.¹⁰ The BBB also functions as an enzymatic barrier by degrading pharmaceuticals using a host of enzymes including monoamine oxidase types A and B, L-amino acid decarboxvlase, several cytochrome P450 dependent monooxygenases, NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductases, UDPglucuronosyltransferases, alkaline phosphatases, glutathione peroxidases, and epoxide hydrolases.¹¹ Finally, the BBB employs a host of specific transport mechanisms, such as the glucose transporter-1, which simultaneously enable the uptake of large molecules and peptides necessary for CNS function while actively excluding most exogenous drugs. The combination of these three barrier mechanisms restricts simple diffusion only to small lipophilic drugs that have a cross sectional area of less than 70 $Å^{2,9}$ or a molecular weight of less than 500 Daltons (Da).¹¹

Current obstacles in neuropharmaceutical delivery

The restrictions on drug uptake imposed by the BBB have dramatically limited clinical progress in both symptomatic and disease modifying therapies for neurological diseases. Promising experimental therapies such as glucocerebrosidase (60 kDa),¹² IL13-Pseudomonas Toxin (66 kDa),¹³ IDUAe1 (73 kDa),¹⁴ CTP-MPB (85 kDa),¹⁵ and β -Galactosidase (116 kDa)¹⁶ have been developed to treat several devastating neurological disorders such as Gaucher's Disease, Diffuse Pontine Glioma, Mucopolysaccharidosis I, Alzheimer's disease, and lysosomal storage disorders, respectively. However, as these compounds exceed 500 Da, their molecular weight precludes meaningful uptake by the CNS. Glial derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF, 35 kDa) represents the most intensely studied of these high molecular weight neuropharmaceuticals as it has been shown to promote mesencephalic dopaminergic neuronal survival thereby delaying or even reversing disease progression in Parkinson's Disease.¹⁷ The progressive global burden of neurological disease coupled with the obstacles posed by the presence of the BBB have catalyzed an enormous body of research targeted at bypassing the blood-brain barrier. Current strategies can be broadly categorized into noninvasive and invasive methods, each of which is associated with certain limitations. Non-invasive methods include strategies such as 1) local permeabilization of the BBB via osmotic¹⁸ or ultrasound disruption¹⁹ techniques, 2) pharmacological approaches using fatty acid²⁰ and lipid carriers,²¹ and 3)physiological approaches that exploit preexisting receptor mediated transport mechanisms such as the transferrin¹⁶ and insulin²² uptake pathways. While these methods have demonstrated promise in limited studies, they are short lived, require extensive drug manipulation, and would be extremely expensive to scale up to meet the chronic needs of the growing population of patients with neurological disease. Invasive methods rely physically breaching the BBB using intraon cerebroventricular (ICV) infusion,²³ convection enhanced delivery,²⁴ or simple direct intracerebral injection.²⁵ As noninvasive methods obviate the need for extensive drug reformulation with its attendant regulatory considerations and costs, these methods have been more extensively tested in clinical trials. Gill et al²⁶ reported on their experience on direct intraputamenal GDNF injection in a phase 1 safety trial in Parkinson's disease. Though clinically effective, all patients developed vasogenic edema at the catheter tip and 40% required additional catheter manipulation or explantation. These safety issues are emblematic of the inherent complications associated with inducing direct trauma to the brain for the purposes of drug delivery as well as the implantation of catheters which are prone to infection and malposition. These findings underscore the fact that there remains a profound unmet need for a safe method of chronically bypassing the blood-brain barrier without requiring direct intracerebral injections or the placement of indwelling foreign bodies.

Potential of Direct Transnasal Drug Delivery: The evident potential of high molecular weight neuropharmaceutical delivery to the brain has driven considerable investigation into the direct transnasal pathway. This method postulates the retrograde axonal transport of drugs by neurons within the olfactory mucosa and offers the additional advantage of direct uptake into the CNS while avoiding the side effects associated with systemic drug exposure.²⁷ Using a modified "Hirai" model, Fisher et al²⁸ confirmed that transmucosal absorption of molecules 70 kDa and larger is possible. DeRosa et al²⁹ reported that intranasal nerve growth factor delivery (27.5 kDa) was capable of reversing cognitive defects in AD mice through olfactory mucosa uptake. In a clinical study, Reger et al³⁰ exploited the presence of the native BBB insulin receptor demonstrating that intranasal insulin (5.8 kDa) was capable of improving cognition in AD mice without altering plasma insulin levels. Of note, the authors conceded that the insulin levels measured in the CSF were up to 10 times lower than physiologic concentrations thereby calling into question the concentration of insulin delivered and the mechanism underlying their clinical endpoint. While these studies appear to support the potential of simple transnasal drug delivery to the CNS, it has been suggested that this pathway may not be sufficient to provide a reliable platform for the delivery of a broad range of neuropharmaceutical agents for three principle reasons. First, the majority of preclinical studies demonstrating the efficacy of this pathway rely on retrograde uptake into the CSF through the olfactory mucosa in a rodent model.^{31–33} While the olfactory epithelium occupies up to 50% of the nose in a rodent, it comprises only 3% of human nasal mucosa with a total surface area of 1-2 cm.²⁻⁴ This small region of olfactory tissue is then even further reduced by progressive replacement with respiratory mucosa as human age thereby accounting for the normal decline in smell function throughout life.³⁴ This implies that studies in rodents vastly overestimate the surface area available for drug uptake clinically, particularly when applied in an elderly population. Second, human olfactory mucosa is located in a narrow recess in the roof of the nasal cavity known as the olfactory cleft. As a result of this unfavorable location, drug deposition in this region is minimal regardless of the delivery method used.³⁵ Third, once the drug contacts the nasal epithelium it has an effective mucosal residence time of only 15-20 min before it is rapidly cleared out of the nose by mucociliary action. This, coupled with the poor distribution to the olfactory cleft, even further reduces the amount of agent available for mucosal absorption.³⁶ These factors serve to explain why even when applying a relatively low molecular weight molecule in the presence of a selective receptor mediated insulin uptake pathway, the amount of insulin capable of reaching the CNS in the Reger et al³⁰ study still fell below physiologic concentrations. These limitations effectively rule out this pathway for other drugs requiring higher therapeutic concentrations and lacking a similar native uptake mechanism. Merkus et al³⁷ summarized these concerns regarding the clinical utility of transnasal drug delivery noting that among 100 preclinical and clinical papers studying this pathway, only 2 provided convincing evidence of direct CNS uptake, both of which were in rat models. While this evidence demonstrates the limitations of the clinical potential for direct transnasal CNS neuropharmaceutical delivery, the considerable permeability demonstrated by the sinonasal mucosa to high molecular weight agents does suggest the feasibility of alternative transmucosal pathways.

Endoscopic skull base reconstruction

Endoscopic access to lesions that involve the brain parenchyma requires the removal of the intervening tissues including nasal mucosa, bone, dura, and arachnoid membrane to facilitate complete resection. These approaches thereby necessitate creating a large window in the skull base which allows for direct communication between the CSF space, brain, and the interior of the nasal cavity. Consequently, the ability to safely and reliably reconstruct these defects is an absolute requirement in the successful performance these surgeries.³⁸ Over the past decade, a variety of mucosal grafts³⁹⁻⁴² have been developed for the specific purpose of skull base reconstruction, providing for reliable, water-tight, and immunocompetent repairs which safely and permanently separate the intranasal and intracranial compartments for defects up to 20 cm.^{2,43,44} These repairs are extraordinarily safe with rates of long term infection, CSF leak, and mucocele formation estimated at approximately <1%, 5%, 45 and 3.6%, 43 respectively. The proven long term safety profile of mucosal graft reconstruction of large skull base defects has catalyzed a

dramatic expansion in the use of these techniques with endoscopic surgeons currently performing this procedure routinely throughout the world. As nasal mucosa is known to be over 1000 times more permeable than the native BBB,⁴⁶ an unanticipated side effect of this technique is the creation of a semipermeable conduit for high molecular weight drug delivery directly to the CSF and brain.

Transmucosal drug delivery using mucosal graft techniques

In order to explore this potential, our team embarked on a research program to develop a mouse model of mucosal graft reconstruction in order to test the feasibility of using nasal mucosal grafts for high molecular weight drug delivery to the brain.⁴⁶ This model recapitulated the anatomy and graft morphology encountered at the anterior skull base. Briefly, the nasal septum was harvested *en bloc* from a donor mouse and was used to repair a craniotomy defect following arachnoid resection.

In our findings we demonstrated that the mucosal graft is capable of transporting molecules 1000 larger than those excluded by the BBB directly into the brain. When examining dextran conjugated fluorophores ranging from 20 to 500 kDa, we found that transmucosal uptake and spatial distribution were directly correlated with duration of exposure and inversely correlated with molecular weight. The detailed results of these findings have been previously reported.⁴⁶

Discussion

The BBB continues to be the greatest obstacle to the elaboration and implementation of effective pharmaceutical therapies for a variety of neurologic disorders. The development of a safe and reliable method to bypass the BBB represents a "holy grail" of neuropharmacology. Our data demonstrate that the application of nasal mucosal grafts over arachnoid defects may represent one such method by creating a permanent semipermeable conduit directly from the nose to the CNS. Utilization of nasal mucosal grafting offers several advantages over previously described methods: 1) Compared to ICV catheter placement, the mucosal graft allows for drug diffusion directly into the CSF within the subarachnoid space adjacent to the basolateral side of the graft. As the CSF continually circulates with a turnover rate of 10-20 mL per hour,⁴⁷ a counter-current exchange phenomenon occurs continually maximizing the diffusion gradient across the graft. However, the graft utilizes only autologous tissues and avoids penetration of the brain parenchyma thereby obviating the complications, such as vasogenic edema and catheter malposition, seen with traditional ICV studies.²⁶ Furthermore the long track record of safety associated with endoscopic skull base repairs^{43,45} ensures that the graft remains stable over the lifetime of the patient without incurring significant risk of infection, CSF leak, or ascending meningitis. 2) Unlike non-invasive pharmacologic^{20,21} and physiologic^{16,22} methods of BBB disruption, the mucosal graft is inherently permeable to a broad range of high molecular weight compounds enabling the delivery of both experimental and currently available agents without the need for expensive drug manipulation. 3) Pharmaceutical distribution and mucosal residence time at the surface of the graft is dramatically enhanced relative to simple transnasal olfactory epithelium delivery. This results from the position of the graft within the sphenoid which creates a natural reservoir to retain a higher volume of the drug despite the clearance action associated with mucociliary transport.³⁶ Furthermore, the graft provides 10–20 times the surface area of healthy olfactory epithelium and does not diminish with age.⁴⁴

Conclusion

Drug permeability across the BBB is highly limited and none delivery technique have proved to be sufficiently effective in reaching the brain. The heterotopic mucosal grafting technique represents a completely novel method of permanently bypassing the BBB using purely autologous tissues. This approach has the potential to dramatically improve the current treatment of neurological disease by enabling the delivery of high molecular weight neuropharmaceuticals directly to the CNS.

Financial disclosures/conflicts of interest

The first author (BSB) is inventor on a patent for drug delivery to the brain assigned to the Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary.

Funding

Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research Rapid Response Innovation Award for funding the described work.

References

- World Health Organization. Neurological disorders: public health challenges. Available at: http://www.who.int/mental_ health/neurology/neurodiso/en/index.html.
- 2. Wimo A, Prince M. *World Alzheimer Report 2010*. London, UK: Alzheimer's Disease International; 2010. Sci Rep.
- 3. Parkinson's Disease: Hope through Research. Bethesda, MD: National Institute of Neurologic Disorders and Stroke; 2006. Sci Rep.
- Huse DM, Schulman K, Orsini L, Castelli-Haley J, Kennedy S, Lenhart G. Burden of illness in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord*. 2005;20:1449–1454. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.20609.
- De Lau LML, Breteler MM. Epidemiology of Parkinson's disease. Lancet Neurol. 2006;5:525–535. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ \$1474-4422(06)70471-9.
- Ngwuluka N, Pillay V, Du Toit LC, et al. Levodopa delivery systems: advancements in delivery of the gold standard. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv.* 2010;7:203–224. http://dx.doi.org/10.1517/ 17425240903483166.

- Cardoso FL, Brites D, Brito MA. Looking at the blood-brain barrier: molecular anatomy and possible investigation approaches. *Brain Res Rev.* 2010;64:328–363. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.brainresrev.2010.05.003.
- 8. Goldmann E. Vitalfarbung am zentralnervensystem. Abhandl Konigl Preuss Akad Wiss. 1913;1:1–60.
- Abbott NJ, Dolman DE, Patabendige AK. Assays to predict drug permeation across the blood—brain barrier, and distribution to brain. *Curr Drug Metab.* 2008;9:901–910.
- Hawkins BT, Egleton RD. Pathophysiology of the blood-brain barrier: animal models and methods. *Curr Top Dev Biol*. 2008;80: 277-309. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0070-2153(07)80007-X.
- 11. Chen Y, Dalwadi G, Benson HA. Drug delivery across the blood-brain barrier. *Curr Drug Deliv*. 2004;1:361-376.
- McGlinchey RP, Lee JC. Emerging insights into the mechanistic link between α-synuclein and glucocerebrosidase in Parkinson's disease. *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2013;41:1509–1512. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1042/BST20130158.
- Shimamura T, Husain SR, Puri RK. The IL-4 and IL-13 pseudomonas exotoxins: new hope for brain tumor therapy. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2006;20:E11. http://dx.doi.org/10.3171/foc.2006.20.4.6.
- 14. Wang D, El-Amouri SS, Dai M, et al. Engineering a lysosomal enzyme with a derivative of receptor-binding domain of apoE enables delivery across the blood—brain barrier. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U. S. A.* 2013;110:2999–3004. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1222742110.
- Kohli N, Westerveld DR, Ayache AC, et al. Oral delivery of bioencapsulated proteins across blood-brain and bloodretinal barriers. *Mol Ther.* 2013. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ mt.2013.273.
- Zhang Y, Pardridge WM. Delivery of beta-galactosidase to mouse brain via the blood—brain barrier transferrin receptor. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2005;313:1075–1081. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.104.082974.
- Dietz GP, Valbuena PC, Dietz B, et al. Application of a blood brain-barrier-penetrating form of GDNF in a mouse model for Parkinson's disease. *Brain Res.* 2006;1082:61–66.
- Kroll RA, Neuwelt EA. Outwitting the blood-brain barrier for therapeutic purposes: osmotic opening and other means. *Neurosurgery*. 1998;42:1083–1099. discussion 1099–1100.
- Hynynen K, McDannold N, Vykhodtseva N, Jolesz FA. Noninvasive MR imaging-guided focal opening of the blood-brain barrier in rabbits. *Radiology*. 2001;220:640-646. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2202001804.
- Shashoua VE, Hesse GW. N-docosahexaenoyl, 3 hydroxytyramine: a dopaminergic compound that penetrates the blood-brain barrier and suppresses appetite. *Life Sci.* 1996;58: 1347–1357. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205(96)00101-4.
- 21. Pardridge WM. The blood-brain barrier: bottleneck in brain drug development. *NeuroRx*. 2005;2:3–14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1602/neurorx.2.1.3.
- 22. Boado RJ. Blood-brain barrier transport of non-viral gene and RNAi therapeutics. *Pharm Res.* 2007;24:1772-1787. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11095-007-9321-5.
- Gabathuler R. Approaches to transport therapeutic drugs across the blood—brain barrier to treat brain diseases. *Neurobiol Dis.* 2010;37:48–57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.nbd.2009.07.028.
- Stockwell J, Abdi N, Lu X, Maheshwari O, Taghibiglou C. Novel Central nervous system drug delivery systems. *Chem Biol Drug Des*. 2013. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.12268.
- Jarry U, Donnou S, Vincent M, et al. Treg depletion followed by intracerebral CpG-ODN injection induce brain tumor rejection. J Neuroimmunol. 2013. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroim. 2013.12.005.
- Gill SS, Patel NK, Hotton GR, et al. Direct brain infusion of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor in Parkinson disease. Nat Med. 2003;9:589–595. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm850.

- 15
- Hanson LR, Frey WH. Intranasal delivery bypasses the blood-brain barrier to target therapeutic agents to the central nervous system and treat neurodegenerative disease. BMC Neurosci. 2008;9(suppl 3):S5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-9-S3-S5.
- 28. Fisher AN, Illum L, Davis SS, Schacht EH. Di-iodo-L-tyrosinelabelled dextrans as molecular size markers of nasal absorption in the rat. *J Pharm Pharmacol*. 1992;44:550–554.
- De Rosa R, Garcia AA, Braschi C, et al. Intranasal administration of nerve growth factor (NGF) rescues recognition memory deficits in AD11 anti-NGF transgenic mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U. S. A.* 2005; 102:3811–3816. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0500195102.
- Reger MA, Watson GS, Frey WH, et al. Effects of intranasal insulin on cognition in memory-impaired older adults: modulation by APOE genotype. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2006;27:451–458. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2005.03.016.
- In't Veen JPM, van den Berg MP, Romeijn SG, Verhoef JC, Merkus FWHM. Uptake of fluorescein isothiocyanate-labelled dextran into the CSF after intranasal and intravenous administration to rats. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. 2005;61:27–31. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2005.02.015.
- 32. Shingaki T, Hidalgo IJ, Furubayashi T, et al. The transnasal delivery of 5-fluorouracil to the rat brain is enhanced by acetazolamide (the inhibitor of the secretion of cerebrospinal fluid). Int J Pharm. 2009;377:85–91. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2009.05.009.
- Shimizu H, Oh-I S, Okada S, Mori M. Inhibition of appetite by nasal leptin administration in rats. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2005;29: 858-863. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0802951.
- Holbrook EH, Wu E, Curry WT, Lin DT, Schwob JE. Immunohistochemical characterization of human olfactory tissue. *Laryngoscope*. 2011;121:1687–1701. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ lary.21856.
- Bleier BS, Debnath I, Harvey RJ, Schlosser RJ. Temporospatial quantification of fluorescein-labeled sinonasal irrigation delivery. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. 2011;1:361–365. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1002/alr.20041.
- Gudis D, Zhao K, Cohen NA. Acquired cilia dysfunction in chronic rhinosinusitis. *Am J Rhinol Allergy*. 2012;26:1–6. http: //dx.doi.org/10.2500/ajra.2012.26.3716.
- 37. Merkus FW, van den Berg MP. Can nasal drug delivery bypass the blood-brain barrier? *Drugs R D.* 2007;8:133–144. http: //dx.doi.org/10.2165/00126839-200708030-00001.
- Bleier BS, Palmer JN. Cranial-base repair using endoscopic laser welding. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2009;42:901–906. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.otc.2009.07.002. xi.
- White DR, Dubin MG, Senior BA. Endoscopic repair of cerebrospinal fluid leaks after neurosurgical procedures. Am J Otolaryngol. 2003;24:213–216.
- 40. Cassano M, Felippu A. Endoscopic treatment of cerebrospinal fluid leaks with the use of lower turbinate grafts: a retrospective review of 125 cases. *Rhinology*. 2009;47:362–368. http://dx.doi.org/10.4193/Rhin08.175.
- Kimple AJ, Leight WD, Wheless SA, Zanation AM. Reducing nasal morbidity after skull base reconstruction with the nasoseptal flap: free middle turbinate mucosal grafts. *Laryngoscope*. 2012; 122:1920–1924. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/lary.23325.
- Hadad G, Bassagasteguy L, Carrau RL, et al. A novel reconstructive technique after endoscopic expanded endonasal approaches: vascular pedicle nasoseptal flap. *Laryngoscope*. 2006;116:1882–1886. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.mlg.0000 234933.37779.e4.
- Bleier BS, Wang EW, Vandergrift 3rd WA, Schlosser RJ. Mucocele rate after endoscopic skull base reconstruction using vascularized pedicled flaps. *Am J Rhinol Allergy*. 2011;25: 186–187. http://dx.doi.org/10.2500/ajra.2011.25.3587.
- 44. Harvey RJ, Parmar P, Sacks R, Zanation AM. Endoscopic skull base reconstruction of large dural defects: a systematic review

of published evidence. *Laryngoscope*. 2012;122:452-459. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1002/lary.22475.

- 45. Chaaban MR, Woodworth BA. Complications of skull base reconstruction. *Adv Otorhinolaryngol*. 2013;74:148–162. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000342291.
- 46. Bleier BS, Kohman RE, Feldman RE, Ramanlal S, Han X. Permeabilization of the blood-brain barrier via mucosal

Engrafting: Implications for drug delivery to the brain. 2013;8: 1–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061694.

47. Lam CH, Hansen EA, Hall WA, Hubel A. Application of transport phenomena analysis technique to cerebrospinal fluid. *J Neurosurg Sci.* 2013;57:317–326.

Edited by Jing Li