

CORE

DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD DASH.HARVARD.EDU



HARVARD LIBRARY Office for Scholarly Communication

# Genotype-driven identification of a molecular network predictive of advanced coronary calcium in ClinSeq® and Framingham Heart Study cohorts

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. <u>Please share</u> how this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation	Oguz, Cihan, Shurjo K. Sen, Adam R. Davis, Yi-Ping Fu, Christopher J. O'Donnell, and Gary H. Gibbons. 2017. "Genotype-driven identification of a molecular network predictive of advanced coronary calcium in ClinSeq® and Framingham Heart Study cohorts." BMC Systems Biology 11 (1): 99. doi:10.1186/ s12918-017-0474-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12918-017-0474-5.
Published Version	doi:10.1186/s12918-017-0474-5
Citable link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:34493113
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http:// nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of- use#LAA

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 



## Genotype-driven identification of a molecular network predictive of advanced coronary calcium in ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> and Framingham Heart Study cohorts

Cihan Oguz<sup>1</sup>, Shurjo K. Sen<sup>1</sup>, Adam R. Davis<sup>1</sup>, Yi-Ping Fu<sup>2,3</sup>, Christopher J. O'Donnell<sup>3,4,5,6</sup> and Gary H. Gibbons<sup>1,7\*</sup>

#### Abstract

Background: One goal of personalized medicine is leveraging the emerging tools of data science to guide medical decision-making. Achieving this using disparate data sources is most daunting for polygenic traits. To this end, we employed random forests (RFs) and neural networks (NNs) for predictive modeling of coronary artery calcium (CAC), which is an intermediate endo-phenotype of coronary artery disease (CAD).

Methods: Model inputs were derived from advanced cases in the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> discovery cohort (n=16) and the FHS replication cohort (n=36) from 89<sup>th</sup>-99<sup>th</sup> CAC score percentile range, and age-matched controls (ClinSeg<sup>®</sup> n=16, FHS n=36) with no detectable CAC (all subjects were Caucasian males). These inputs included clinical variables and genotypes of 56 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) ranked highest in terms of their nominal correlation with the advanced CAC state in the discovery cohort. Predictive performance was assessed by computing the areas under receiver operating characteristic curves (ROC-AUC).

Results: RF models trained and tested with clinical variables generated ROC-AUC values of 0.69 and 0.61 in the discovery and replication cohorts, respectively. In contrast, in both cohorts, the set of SNPs derived from the discovery cohort were highly predictive (ROC-AUC>0.85) with no significant change in predictive performance upon integration of clinical and genotype variables. Using the 21 SNPs that produced optimal predictive performance in both cohorts, we developed NN models trained with ClinSeq® data and tested with FHS data and obtained high predictive accuracy (ROC-AUC=0.80-0.85) with several topologies. Several CAD and "vascular aging" related biological processes were enriched in the network of genes constructed from the predictive SNPs.

**Conclusions:** We identified a molecular network predictive of advanced coronary calcium using genotype data from ClinSeq® and FHS cohorts. Our results illustrate that machine learning tools, which utilize complex interactions between disease predictors intrinsic to the pathogenesis of polygenic disorders, hold promise for deriving predictive disease models and networks.

Keywords: Coronary artery calcium, Random forest, Neural networks, Case-control study, Coronary heart disease, Genotype data, Systems biology

\*Correspondence: Gary.Gibbons@nih.gov

<sup>1</sup>Cardiovascular Disease Section, National Human Genome Research Institute,

National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

<sup>7</sup>Office of the Director, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National

Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2017 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

#### Background

Informed medical decision making through the effective use of clinical and genomic data is one of the promising elements of personalized precision medicine [1] in which predictive models enable the assessment of alternative treatment strategies [2]. Predictive models also play a pivotal role in utilizing the genomic data for generating predictions regarding the disease risk and progression [3–5] with the potential to generate biological insights into the mechanisms behind complex diseases [6], such as coronary artery disease (CAD). In CAD, the arteries of the heart, which supply oxygen rich blood to the cardiac muscle, lose their ability to function properly due to atherosclerosis. CAD is a multifactorial disease [7, 8] that has been associated with many clinical and demographic variables, and major risk factors such as high blood pressure, high levels of blood lipids, smoking and diabetes. Our study focuses on coronary artery calcium (CAC), which is an intermediate endo-phenotype of CAD [9]. The level of CAC, which is measured by the CAC score, varies within a broad range in the general population. CAC score is a strong predictor of lethal cardiac events, including myocardial infarction (MI) [10–15]. A major objective of personalized precision medicine is to identify subgroups of patients that are at the highest risk of cardiovascular events and accelerated vascular aging, such as patients with highly advanced CAC, among a large population of patients at intermediate risk based on standard clinical variables.

The key mechanism behind coronary artery calcification is the phenotypic modulation of vascular cells that is triggered by stimuli including oxidative stress, increased rate of cell death [16], and high levels of inflammatory mediators [17]. The genetics behind CAC deposition is complex. Several important genes involved in vascular calcification have been previously identified through mouse model studies [18], studies on rare human diseases that lead to excessive calcification [17], and through elucidation of its links with bone mineralization [19]. Several genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have also previously focused on CAC [20-25]. Some of the human genomic loci linked to CAC are 9p21, PHACTR, and PCSK9 (also linked to CAD and MI [22, 26, 27]). Several past studies have combined clinical variables and genotype data for predicting CAD. Some examples include implementation of Cox regression models [28-30] and the use of allele counting, logistic regression, and support vector machines in [31]. Statistical modeling of CAC as an intermediate phenotype for CAD has also been the subject of research in recent years [32, 33].

Recently, there has been increasing interest in the application of machine learning methods for predicting disease subphenotypes by utilizing genomic features [34]. These methods provide increased ability for

integrating disparate sources of data while utilizing interactions (both linear and nonlinear) between genomic features (e.g., gene-gene interactions) [35]. Machine learning methods eliminate the need for multiple testing correction required in statistical association tests that treat each predictor separately. They also mitigate potential biases that could originate from model misspecification since machine learning typically aims at identifying model structures that are optimal for the training data [36].

In this study, we utilized machine learning tools for predictive modeling of the advanced CAC subphenotype by integrating clinical variables and genotype data. Our study focused on identifying predictors of the high-risk subgroup of CAD patients with advanced CAC among an intermediate risk sample of middle-aged Caucasian males. Previous studies have established that higher CAC scores are observed among men compared to women [37, 38], as well as a higher prevalence of CAC among white Americans compared to black Americans [39].

We used the random forest (RF) algorithm, which is a decision tree based machine learning method [40] established as an effective tool for modeling with genomic data [41] to develop predictive models for the subset of individuals with advanced CAC. We derived model inputs (or SNPs) using two feature selection approaches. First, we leveraged a literature based strategy based on previous association studies of CAC to define a set of 57 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). As an alternative contextual approach, we utilized a standard feature selection and filtering approach in machine learning to identify 56 additional SNPs from the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> genotype data [42, 43]. We assessed the predictive performances of these sets of SNPs with and without clinical variables in the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> cohort. For validation of the observed predictive patterns, we evaluated these SNP sets in an independent sample set from the Framingham Heart Study (FHS) and identified a robust subset of predictive SNPs that performed consistently well in data sets from both cohorts. Using this subset of SNPs, we developed neural network (NN) models trained with data from the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> discovery cohort and tested with data from the FHS replication cohort under a wide range of network topologies, and assessed the predictive performances of these models. The biological processes enriched in the molecular network of genes constructed from the predictive loci generated insights into potential mediators of advanced CAC, which is a distinct subphenotype of vascular disease.

#### Methods

#### Overview of the computational analysis

Our overall strategy was to use clinical data and genotype data for predicting advanced CAC in a discovery cohort,

and to test if the observed predictive patterns can be confirmed in an independent cohort (Fig. 1). We developed RF models that predict advanced CAC within the ClinSeq® cohort using traditional risk factors (or clinical variables) and then derived two sets of SNPs. The first one was a set of GWAS-identified SNPs (or "SNP Set-1") previously associated with CAC, whereas the second set (or "SNP Set-2") was derived using genotype data from the ClinSeq® discovery cohort. In order to limit the number of SNPs in SNP Set-2, we used a standard feature selection approach in machine learning [44, 45] and extracted the 56 SNPs (among 668,427 SNPs) whose genotypes had the highest Pearson correlation values with the advanced CAC phenotype. We assessed the predictive performance by using only clinical data (to establish a baseline performance) and only genotype data, as well as their combination.

After assessing the RF based predictive patterns generated by the clinical variables, SNP Set-1 and SNP Set-2 in the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> discovery cohort, we focused on testing the most predictive set of SNPs in the FHS replication sample. Based on the analysis of predictive performance and replication in both sample sets, we identified the subset of SNPs that generated optimal performance in RF models in both cohorts. As an additional validation of the robustness of our findings, we trained and tested NN models with the genotypes of these SNPs in the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> and FHS cohorts, respectively. Data used in NN models came from advanced CAC cases and age-matched controls (all Caucasian males) in both cohorts.

Upon verifying the high predictive performance under a wide range of NN topologies, we utilized GeneMANIA [46] to create a functional interaction network composed of genes on which this subset of SNPs were located, as well as additional genes known to be most closely related to these genes. GeneMANIA uses linear regression to maximize the connectivity between the genes within the network while minimizing the interactions with the genes that are excluded. Two types of links between gene pairs were found to be present in this network: co-expression (correlated expression levels) and genetic interactions (effects of a gene perturbation can be changed by a second perturbed gene). Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and Biological General Repository for Interaction Datasets (BioGRID) are the main sources of co-expression and genetic interaction datasets, respectively in the GeneMA-NIA database. Finally, using the list of genes within this network derived by GeneMANIA, we performed function and disease enrichment analysis to demonstrate the relevance of this molecular network to cardiovascular disease based on existing knowledge in the literature. Figure 2 illustrates the steps taken in our analysis.

#### CAC scores and binary CAC states

The models we developed in this study aimed at predicting the binary case-control statuses of age-matched Caucasian male patients. Hence, we first transformed the CAC scores (measured by Agatston method [47]) of the 32 Caucasian male subjects from the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> study that





formed our discovery cohort (data previously published in [42, 43]) into binary CAC states. 16 control subjects in this cohort had zero CAC scores corresponding to state "0", whereas the 16 age-matched cases had high CAC scores (ranging between 500 and 4400) corresponding to state "1". These binary case-control states served as the true class labels and were later used for training and testing of the developed classification models. Based on the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) cohort standards [48, 49], a percentile value for each case was computed using the online MESA calculator that takes age, gender, race and CAC score as its inputs. The case subjects in the ClinSeq\* discovery cohort, two of which were diabetic, fell within the 89<sup>th</sup>-99<sup>th</sup> CAC score percentile range.

The replication cohort from FHS comprised of 36 controls and 36 age-matched Caucasian male case subjects (including three diabetic cases) also within the  $89^{th}-99^{th}$ CAC score percentile range. As an additional set of comparative control groups, 122 cases from FHS within  $29^{th} 88^{th}$  CAC score range were split into two distinct sets of 61 cases within  $29^{th}-68^{th}$  and  $69^{th}-88^{th}$  percentile ranges and were age-matched with two sets of 61 subjects with no CAC. These two equal-sized subcohorts were then used to test whether the predictive patterns generated by the discovery (ClinSeq<sup>\*</sup>) and replication (FHS) cohorts were specific to the  $89^{th}-99^{th}$  percentile CAC score range and not replicable with lower levels of coronary calcium. Two classes of model variables were used in this study as predictors of coronary calcium, namely clinical variables and genotypic variables, as described below.

#### **Clinical variables**

Nine clinical variables available from all subjects in both cohorts were utilized as predictors of CAC. These variables included body mass index (BMI), cholesterol levels (low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and total cholesterol), triglycerides, blood pressure (systolic and diastolic), fasting blood glucose level, and fibrinogen. All subjects were non-smoker Caucasian males in both ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> and FHS cohorts. The detailed description of each clinical variable is given in Additional file 1: Table S1, whereas the mean and standard deviation values among cases vs. controls, along with their *p*-values are listed in Additional file 1: Tables S2 and S3 for ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> and FHS cohorts, respectively.

#### **Genotypic variables**

We compiled two sets of SNPs using a feature selection strategy that relied on the existing CAC literature, as well as the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> discovery cohort. The first set of 57 SNPs were reported in previous association studies of CAC that focused on the presence of CAC rather than its extreme levels [20–25]. We named this set "SNP Set-1" (listed in Additional file 1: Table S4 along with the reported *p*-values). From the the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> genotype data, we also generated a second set of 56 SNPs ("SNP Set-2") as described above. All SNPs in SNP Set-2 are listed in Additional file 1: Table S5. Genotypes of the 113 biallelic SNPs in both SNP sets were coded as 0 or 2 (homozygous for either allele) or 1 (heterozygous) using the same reference alleles in both ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> and FHS cohorts. Details regarding the genotyping protocols and data sources for both cohorts are provided in Additional file 2: Supplementary Text.

#### Predictive modeling using RFs and NNs

We implemented the RF classification method using the Statistics and Machine Learning Toolbox TM of Matlab [50] for predicting the binary CAC state. Predictive accuracy is computed by generating receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves (true positive rate vs. the false positive rate obtained using several classifier output thresholds) and by quantifying the areas under these curves (AUC). Due to the randomized nature of the classification method, we performed 100 runs (per set of features or model inputs) and reported the mean AUC (normality of the AUC distributions not rejected by Anderson-Darling tests [51]). For each reported AUC value, we empirically derived a *p*-value as the fraction of AUC values in 1000 runs (with randomly permuted casecontrol statuses) at or above the mean AUC value generated when the case-control statuses are not permuted (i.e., the actual data). This approach has been previously used for computing the statistical significance of ROC-AUC values [32, 52]. For machine learning based classification models with two classes (e.g., cases and controls), the baseline predictive performance from ROC curves is AUC=0.5 (commonly used AUC threshold in clinical studies that look at sensitivity and specificity of classifiers [53]) corresponding to a classification likelihood of a coin flip.

For each decision tree, approximately two-thirds of the data (this ratio varied up to  $\pm 15\%$  among different runs) is retained to be used for model training, whereas the remaining data is used for model testing. These test samples are referred to as "out-of-bag" (OOB) samples, whereas the training samples are expanded by bootstrapping [54] (or sampling with replacement) up to the sample size of the original data [55] prior to model training. Classification of the test samples are based on the complete ensemble of trees (a total of 100 trees) with the "majority vote" scheme [56]. For example, a test sample is predicted to be "CAC positive" if the number of trees that predict "State 1" is higher than the ones that predict "State 0". Predictive importance is computed for each input variable by permuting its values corresponding to the test subjects and finding the change in the prediction error (or the fraction of incorrectly classified subjects). In mathematical terms, the prediction error for OOB samples without permutation ( $e_{OOB}$ ) is computed as  $n_{m,OOB}/(n_{c,OOB} + n_{m,OOB})$ , where  $n_{m,OOB}$  and  $n_{c,OOB}$  stand for the numbers of misclassified and correctly classified samples without permutation, respectively. Likewise, the prediction error for OOB samples with permuted input values ( $e_{OOB,perm}$ ) is computed as  $n_{m,OOB,perm}/(n_{c,OOB,perm} + n_{m,OOB,perm})$ , where  $n_{m,OOB,perm}$  and  $n_{c,OOB,perm}$  stand for the numbers of misclassified and correctly classified samples with permutation, respectively. The difference between the two error terms ( $e_{OOB,perm} - e_{OOB}$ ) is computed for each tree and the average value of this difference (over all trees) is divided by its standard deviation to identify the predictive importance of a feature. Features with positive predictive importance have higher  $e_{OOB,perm}$  values in comparison with their  $e_{OOB}$  values.

Features are ranked with respect to their cumulative predictive importance evaluated from 100 independent runs, or RF models. Stronger predictors have higher predictive importance values than weaker predictors. After ranking all features in each distinct feature set (e.g., all clinical variables), we decreased the number of features gradually by leaving out weaker predictors to identify the optimal predictive performance and the corresponding optimal set of features. We repeated this procedure to compare the predictive performances of models trained and tested by combining clinical and genotype data, as well as using each layer data in isolation. The predictive patterns generated by data from the ClinSeq® discovery cohort were also compared with the patterns generated by the independent FHS replication cohort. Finally, RF models were also used to identify a subset of SNPs in SNP Set-2 that generated the optimal predictive performance in both ClinSeg<sup>®</sup> and FHS cohorts.

Upon identifying the subset of SNPs in SNP Set-2 that generate RF models with optimal performance in both cohorts, we further validated our results by implementing a neural network (NN) based classification approach using the NN Toolbox<sup>TM</sup> of Matlab<sup>\*</sup> [50]. This allowed us to test whether the cumulative predictive signal captured by RFs is also captured by a different method that does not rely on decision trees and to assess the robustness of the predictive signal in our data set. In addition, NN implementation allowed us to test several network topologies while using discovery/replication cohort samples for training/testing these topologies (rather than using the randomized OOB sampling of RFs). Further details regarding the rationale behind our RF-NN implementation are provided in Additional file 2: Supplementary Text.

We trained three-layer feedforward networks using backpropagation [57] with sigmoid transfer functions in two hidden layers and a linear transfer function in the output layer. In both hidden layers, the number of nodes was varied from one to 20 with increments of one, thereby leading to a total of 400 network configurations individually used for training and testing. In short, the inputs into each network layer (initial input is the genotype data) are weighted and the sum of the weighted inputs transformed by the transfer functions of the hidden layers are used to generate model outputs (or the case/control status) [58]. We trained all network configurations with the genotypes of the optimal subset of SNPs within SNP Set-2 from the advanced CAC cases and age-matched controls in the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> discovery cohort. Approximately 20% of the training samples include the "validation" samples used for minimizing overfitting during training. We subsequently performed model testing with the genotype data from the advanced CAC cases and age-matched controls subjects in the FHS replication cohort.

Predictive accuracy was once again assessed with ROC curves. For each NN configuration, we computed the median AUC value (normality of the AUC distributions rejected by Anderson-Darling tests [51]) among 100 independent runs. Once again, we derived an empirical *p*-value based on the predictive performance obtained from 1000 runs with randomized case-control statuses.

#### Results

#### Models built with clinical variables and SNP Set-1

We first built RF models using all of the nine clinical variables from the ClinSeq discovery cohort and identified that three of them had positive predictive importance values as listed in Table 1. These predictors included HDL Cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, and fibrinogen. Fibrinogen has been previously associated with CAC [59, 60] as a critical biomarker of inflammation [61] and atherosclerosis [62]. Within the FHS replication cohort, five clinical variables including total cholesterol, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, fibrinogen and fasting blood glucose (a glycemic trait previously associated with CAC levels [63]) had positive predictive importance values. As we varied the number of predictors between one to nine, the optimal AUC values were 0.69 (*p*-value=0.015) and 0.61 (p-value=0.080) for ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> and FHS cohorts, respectively (Fig. 3). These AUC values were within the

**Table 1** Predictive importance values of clinical variables in ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> and FHS cohorts. Only the instances with positive predictive importance are reported

Clinical variable	Predictive importance
Total cholesterol	8.60 (FHS)
Systolic blood pressure	6.24 (FHS), 12.94 (ClinSeq®)
Diastolic blood pressure	2.88 (FHS)
Fibrinogen	1.81 (FHS), 3.50 (ClinSeq®)
Fasting Blood Glucose	0.024 (FHS)
HDL cholesterol	18.39 (ClinSeq®)



range of 0.60-0.85, which is the previously reported AUC range compiled from 79 studies predicting CAD or cardiac events based on the Framingham risk score (FRS) [64]. Even though our case-control sample was already stratified by age and gender, the remaining clinical variables still exhibited modest predictive value.

We next built RF models for the ClinSeq® discovery cohort using the literature-derived genotypes of the 57 SNPs in "SNP Set-1" as model inputs and identified 17 SNPs with positive predictive importance. To compare the predictive patterns generated by the discovery and replication cohorts based on the SNP Set-1 genotype data, we next developed RF models for the FHS replication cohort and identified 19 SNPs among SNP Set-1 with positive predictive importance in this cohort. Top 30 percentile predictors in SNP Set-1 (i.e., predictive SNPs) generated AUC ranges of 0.68-0.72 and 0.71-0.78 in ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> and FHS cohorts (without clinical variables), respectively. Only five of the 17 predictive SNPs (29%) from the Clin-Seq<sup>®</sup> discovery cohort were predictive in the FHS cohort pointing to a low degree of replication between the two cohorts. In order the test whether the combination of the nine clinical variables and SNP Set-1 resulted in improved predictive performance, we merged these two groups of model inputs with the ClinSeq® discovery data set. We observed a significant improvement in the AUC range from 0.68-0.72 (only SNP Set-1) to 0.72-0.77 (combined set of inputs). In contrast, when we used the FHS replication data set in the same way, AUC range declined from 0.71-0.78 to 0.69-0.75. Hence, the improvement of predictive accuracy we observed within the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> discovery cohort, by adding clinical variables to SNP Set-1, was not confirmed in the FHS replication cohort.

### Selection of SNP Set-2 based on genotype-phenotype correlation within the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> discovery cohort

Although the literature-based SNP Set-1 provided a useful initial source of model inputs, we recognized that a potential limitation of this approach was the focus of past association studies on CAC as a broad and heterogeneous phenotype. In contrast, our study aims to derive an optimal set of predictors for the subset of CAC positive patients with the most advanced vascular lesions at the top decile of the broad CAC score range. Accordingly, we employed a standard feature selection approach to derive an alternative set of genotypes (SNP Set-2) from the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> data that were highly correlated with the advanced CAC subphenotype (described in Methods). This approach effectively leverages the capacity of RF algorithm to eliminate non-informative signals and sort out input SNPs of potential predictive utility without the multiple-testing penalty. The range of genotypephenotype correlation among the SNPs in SNP Set-2 (no overlap with SNP Set-1) was 0.63-0.73 within the ClinSeq® discovery cohort. Upon incorporating the genotypes of SNP Set-2 in this cohort into RF models, we obtained an AUC value of 0.9975. Given this high predictive performance, our subsequent analyses focused on further validation and refinement of this set of genotypes.

### Predictive performance of SNP Set-2 in FHS and ClinSeq® data sets

In order to test whether the high predictive performance of SNP Set-2 was replicated in the FHS cohort, we trained and tested RF models using the genotypes of SNP Set-2 in the replication cohort. We identified that the positive predictive importance values of 30 of the 56 predictive SNPs (54%) were replicated. We also observed common patterns between the discovery and replication cohorts in terms of the predictive importance based rankings of the 30 SNPs with positive predictive importance in both cohorts. Nine of the top 18 SNPs overlapped between the two cohorts, whereas the top two SNPs (rs243170 and rs243172, both on *FOXN3*) were the same in both cohorts.

Top 30 SNPs, which were selected based on their positive predictive importance in both cohorts, generated AUC ranges of 0.80-0.85 and 0.96-0.99 in the replication and discovery cohorts, respectively. Hence, SNP Set-2 was highly predictive in both discovery and replication cohorts. Combining the clinical variables and SNP Set-2 did not improve the predictive performance in either cohort. In fact, there was a slight decline in the optimal AUC from 0.85 to 0.83 in the FHS cohort, whereas no change in the optimal AUC was observed in the ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> cohort with the combination of clinical variables and SNP Set-2 (Table 2).

One potential explanation of the high predictive performance of SNP Set-2, which does not include any SNPs previously associated with CAC, in both cohorts is the broad range of CAC levels. Given that SNP Set-2 was derived from cases with extreme levels of CAC, it remained to be determined whether the predictive power of SNP Set-2 was specific to this extreme phenotype or whether it could be generalized to a broader range of CAC levels. Hence, we tested the collective predictive performance of the 30 SNPs in SNP Set-2 that had positive predictive power in both cohorts with genotype data from cases with lower levels of CAC. Among the 61 cases within the 29<sup>th</sup>-68<sup>th</sup> percentile range and the 61 age-matched controls, top 50 percentile markers generated an AUC range of 0.62-0.66. Utilizing the data from 61 cases within 69<sup>th</sup>-88<sup>th</sup> range and 61 age-matched controls, AUC range was approximately the same (0.61-0.66). These results further extended the robustness of our findings and demonstrated that the high predictive performance of SNP Set-2 was only observed in the 89<sup>th</sup>-99<sup>th</sup> percentile CAC score range.

## Subset of SNPs in SNP Set-2 with optimal predictive performance in both cohorts and enrichment analysis

Table 3 shows the list of 21 SNPs in SNP Set-2 generated optimal predictive performance in ClinSeq<sup> $\circ$ </sup> and FHS cohorts. Using the genotypes of these 21 SNPs, we trained NN models of 400 distinct topologies with ClinSeq<sup> $\circ$ </sup> data and tested each topology with the FHS data. As shown in Fig. 4, we obtained 36 model topologies with AUC values ranging between 0.80-0.85 with empirically derived *p*-values of less than 0.05, thereby utilizing a different machine learning approach to further validate the collective predictive ability of these SNPs in the FHS replication cohort. This result demonstrates the stable and consistent

**Table 2** Predictive performances of RF models (quantified by the mean ± standard deviation values of AUC) trained and tested with different predictor sets in the ClinSeg<sup>®</sup> and FHS cohort data

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Predictors	Optimal # markers	Optimal AUC	<i>p</i> -value
CLIN	3 (ClinSeq®), 3 (FHS)	0.69±0.02 (ClinSeq*), 0.61±0.02 (FHS)	0.015 (ClinSeq®), 0.080 (FHS)
SNP Set-2	21 (ClinSeq)®, 21 (FHS)	0.99±0.01 (ClinSeq <sup>®</sup> ), 0.85±0.02 (FHS)	<0.001 (ClinSeq®), <0.001 (FHS)
CLIN+SNP Set-2	21 (ClinSeq®), 18 (FHS)	0.99±0.01 (ClinSeq®), 0.83±0.01 (FHS)	<0.001 (ClinSeq®), <0.001 (FHS)

"CLIN" corresponds to the nine clinical variables listed in Additional file 1: Table S1 (all variables except age and gender)

SNP	Locus	Predictive importance	Predictive importance	Percent
		(ClinSeq <sup>®</sup> )	(FHS)	difference
rs13159307	FBXL17*	28.83	21.64	24.94
rs8107904	EMR2*	36.95	21.83	40.92
rs571797	NRG3	17.68	6.86	61.20
rs2390285	MACC1	22.86	17.27	24.45
rs342393	NRG3	18.04	15.34	14.97
rs13429160	LOC101927701	35.68	16.89	52.66
rs11674863	LOC101927701	26.18	15.74	39.88
rs514237	NRG3	19.09	24.81	23.06
rs6860493	NNT	20.72	26.39	21.49
rs10054519	C5orf28	21.17	25.25	16.16
rs12521249	PAIP1*	21.17	25.44	16.78
rs10065689	NNT	20.45	25.55	19.96
rs2241097	TLR5	34.02	24.11	29.13
rs10059993	NNT-AS1	20.82	24.77	15.95
rs12645809	ANTXR2	22.1	25.33	12.75
rs480220	NRG3	19.76	24.01	17.70
rs1366410	NNT	21.15	23.77	11.02
rs11767632	YAE1D1*	32.09	20.94	34.75
rs7713479	NNT-AS1	21.11	37.48	43.68
rs243172	FOXN3	34.90	46.17	24.41
rs243170	FOXN3	35.91	51.20	29.86

Table 3	Predictive importance	e values of the set	of SNPs that g	generate optim	al predictive	performance	e in both co	horts. N	earest g	enes
are listec	d for intergenic SNPs (	marked with aster	isk)							

The normalized difference of the predictive importance values of each SNP in two cohorts (difference divided by the higher predictive importance value in the two cohorts) has a median value of 24% (interquartile range:17%-36%). In terms of predictive importance based ranking, five of the top 11 SNP predictors (with 65% of the cumulative predictive importance) are common, whereas nine of the top 14 SNP predictors (with 76% of the cumulative predictive importance) overlap between two cohorts "Intergenic SNPs for which the nearest genes are reported



**Fig. 4** Properties of 36 optimal NN models trained with data from the discovery cohort and tested with data from the replication cohort. Median AUC value for each network topology (ranging between 0.8021 and 0.8515) and the corresponding *p*-values. Third quartile of the AUC values among different network topologies ranged between 0.8503 and 0.9074

features of these 21 SNPs in predicting advanced CAC independent of the classifier strategy employed. The optimal NN topologies have 9-20 nodes in their first hidden layers and 6-20 nodes in their slightly less complex second hidden layers.

We identified a total of 13 genes that included the 21 SNPs leading to optimal predictive performance in both cohorts. Using GeneMANIA, we derived a molecular network that included this group of 13 genes in addition to the 18 genes known to be linked to the first group based on coexpression and genetic interaction data from the literature [46]. Figure 5 shows this network, whereas the abbreviated gene symbols and the corresponding gene names are listed in Additional file 1: Table S6. The proteins coded by the genes in the network have a wide range of roles. Twelve of them are either a transcription factor or an enzyme, one is a translational regulator, and two are transmembrane receptors.

In order to identify whether gene list was enriched in any biological functions or processes associated with CAD, we used two bioinformatics resources, namely



Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) [65] and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, Qiagen, Redwood City, CA, USA). Through their associations with blood magnesium levels, type-2 tumor necrosis factor receptors, HDL cholesterol, BMI, CAD, and adiponectin, 17 of the 31 genes in our network are associated with only one disease class, namely cardiovascular disease with a 1.9 fold-enrichment and a p-value of 0.0025 (modified Fisher's exact test) based on DAVID and the Genetic Association Database. Furthermore, through mouse and rat models, six genes in our network (ARID5B, CYB5R4, EGLN1, RETN, TLR5, and NRG3) have been previously associated with several CAC risk factors including diabetes, insulin resistance, LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides (all associations listed in Additional file 2: Supplementary Text). Table 4 and Additional file 1: Table S7 show the cardiovascular disease related biological functions and phenotypes (identified by IPA based on Fisher's exact test with p-value < 0.05), that are enriched within our network. Several biological processes enriched among the network genes are associated with "vascular aging" (further discussion in the next section).

#### Discussion

A major goal in the cardiovascular disease field is identifying individuals who are at greatest risk of accelerated CAD pathogenesis and complications, such as stroke and MI. Recognizing that the utility of traditional risk factors (particularly those driven by age) is not sufficiently robust to identify all patient groups with accelerated CAD [66], incorporating genomic data into machine learning tools for building predictive models of CAD is a promising area with potential clinical applications in future studies [2]. To this end, our study has demonstrated the utility of using a machine learning approach to identify a panel of SNPs predictive of a complex polygenic trait observed among a high-risk subset of patients. The resulting set of SNPs generated higher performance over traditional risk factors in predicting advanced CAC in a replicable manner in two independent cohorts.

In a previous study [33], authors combined clinical variables with 13 predictive SNPs from 13 different genes (identified among 2882 candidate SNPs from 231 genes that were proposed by a group of MESA investigators) for predicting the presence of coronary calcium using a Bayesian approach. None of these 13 SNPs were included in SNP Set-1 since they were not associated with CAC in a past GWAS or meta-analysis. Likewise, SNP Set-2 did not include any of these SNPs since their genotypes in the ClinSeq discovery cohort were not correlated highly enough with the binary advanced CAC state to pass our feature selection filter. A key difference between our study and [33] is the severity of the CAC scores among case subjects. The cases in [33] had CAC scores around 50th percentile (based on the reported average age and CAC score), whereas CAC scores of our cases fell within the top decile CAC score range defined by the MESA cohort data [48, 49]. While SNP Set-2 (derived from our discovery cohort) was highly predictive of advanced CAC in the FHS replication cohort, its predictive power declined significantly with cases that had lower CAC levels in the same cohort.

Understanding the drivers of accelerated CAD pathogenesis hold great potential for providing insights into inflammatory and immune responses [67–69] beyond conventional mediators (e.g., dysregulation of lipid metabolism and blood pressure) [67, 70]. Excessive reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation has been previously linked to high CAC levels [71, 72] and vascular aging [73]. Through ROS activity, macrophages that contain lipid molecules (or foam cells) accumulate in the artery walls and promote atherosclerosis [74]. *EMR2* is a network gene that promotes the release of inflammatory cytokines

Table 4	Enriched d	iseases and	biological	functions (	in the	e network	c of g	genes c	derived fi	rom (	GeneN	IANIA)	with	p-valu	les ra	anging
between	1.0E-4 and	1.0E-2 as ic	dentified b	y IPA based	d on F	- isher's ex	act t	test								

Category	Disease or function	Genes	<i>p</i> -value
Connective tissue development and function	Quantity of adipose tissue	ARID5B, CYB5R4	3.58e-4
		RETN, TLR5	
Connective tissue development and function	Differentiation of adipocytes	ARID5B, EGLN1	8.82e-4
		NIPBL, RETN	
Cardiovascular disease	Angiectasis of blood vessel	EGLN1	9.87e-4
Cardiovascular system development and function	Area of capillary vessel	EGLN1	9.87e-4
Hematological system development and function	Cell division of	AIMP1	9.87e-4
	peripheral blood lymphocytes		
Cardiovascular disease, endocrine system disorders,	Susceptibility to insulin	RETN	9.87e-4
Metabolic disease	resistance-related hypertension		
Cardiac necrosis, cell death and survival	Cell death of heart tissue	EGLN1	1.97e-3
Cellular movement	Migration of connective tissue cells	AIMP1, ARID5B	2.14E-3
		RETN	
Carbohydrate metabolism, cellular function	Homeostasis of D-glucose	CYB5R4, RETN	2.46е-3
and maintenance		TLR5	
Nucleic acid metabolism	Conversion of NAD+	NNT	2.96e-3
Cardiovascular system development and function	Tethering of endothelial cell lines	FUT3	2.96 <b>e-</b> 3
Cellular compromise, inflammatory response	Degranulation of beta islet cells	CYB5R4	3.94 <b>E-</b> 3
Cardiovascular system development and function	Density of blood vessel tissue	AIMP1	3.94E-3
Endocrine system disorders, hematological disease	Onset of hyperglycemia	CYB5R4	3.94E-3
Metabolic disease			
Carbohydrate metabolism	Tolerance of D-glucose	CYB5R4	4.93e-3
Cardiovascular system development and function	Angiogenesis of heart	EGLN1	5.91E-3
Cardiovascular system development and function	Density of blood vessel	AIMP1, EGLN1	5.96e-3
Immune cell trafficking, inflammatory response	Adhesion of neutrophils	ADGRE2 (EMR2)	7.52 <b>E-</b> 3
Hematological system development and function		TLR5	
Endocrine system development and function	Insulin sensitivity of liver	RETN	7.87e-3
Hepatic system development and function			
Nucleic acid metabolism	Metabolism of NADPH	CYB5R4	7.87e-3
Connective tissue development and function	Quantity of visceral fat	RETN	8.85 <b>e</b> -3
Carbohydrate metabolism	Binding of chondroitin sulfate	ADGRE2 (EMR2)	9.83e-3

51 additional enriched diseases and biological functions (statistically less significant) with p-values ranging between 1.0E-2 and 5.0E-2 are listed in Additional file 1: Table S7

from macrophages and has been reported to be highly expressed in foamy macrophages handling lipid overload in atherosclerotic vessels [75]. Excessive ROS generation also leads to reduced bioactivity of nitric oxide (NO) [76], which is a cardioprotective molecule. The reduced form of NADP (NADPH) is required for the synthesis of cholesterol [77] as a cofactor in all reduction reactions. It is also required for the regeneration of reduced glutathione (GSH) [78] that provides protection against ROS activity [79]. Two of our network genes, *NNT* (associated with diabetes in mice [80]) and *CYB5R4*, are both involved in NADPH metabolism. As key elements of NADPH metabolism, NADPH oxidases generate ROS and are considered as therapeutic targets against vascular aging [81]. NADPH oxidase activity has been shown to modulate atherosclerosis in mice [82].

Among our network genes previously associated with arterial aging, *TLR5* is a member of the TLR (toll-like receptor) family, which is an established mediator of atherosclerosis [83] due to its role in immune response through the induction of inflammatory cytokines [84]. *RETN* is a biomarker for metabolic

syndrome. Its overexpression has been shown to lead to increased atherosclerotic progression in mice [85]. Similarly, inhibition of *EGLN1* has been shown to provide protection against atherosclerosis in mice by improving glucose and lipid metabolism and reducing inflammation and decreasing the areas of atherosclerotic plaque [86]. HIF1-alpha proteins, which are modulated by *EGLN1*, are established regulators of inflammation and atherosclerosis [87].

NRG3 is a network gene that is a member of the neuregulin family. Another member of this family is NRG1, which has been shown to inhibit atherogenesis and macrophage foam cell formation in a human study [88]. It has also been shown to moderate the association between job strain and atherosclerosis among men [89]. Another network gene FOXN3 has been associated with fasting blood glucose, serum cholesterol, and diabetes in past GWAS [90-92]. FOXN3 has also been linked to carotid intima-media thickness (a subclinical measure for atherosclerosis) and plaque in recent fine mapping studies in humans [93, 94]. Taken together, our findings show that several biological processes and risk factors associated with cardiovascular disease, and particularly with vascular aging, are enriched within the network we derived from the loci of SNPs that are highly predictive of advanced CAC. Vascular aging is highly relevant to CAC since aged vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) are known to have less resistance against phenotypic modulations that promote vascular calcification [95]. In fact, along with seven traditional risk factors (age, gender, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, systolic BP, smoking status, hypertension medication status), the Agatston CAC score is used as a parameter in quantifying "vascular age" in the MESA arterial age calculator [96].

Dividing case subjects into subcategories based on the level of disease measured by different measures such as CAC scores, to pursue subphenotype-specific models [67] is a potentially effective approach for studying heart disease phenotypes. In this predictive modeling study, we focused on case subjects within the 89th-99th percentile CAC score range and age-matched controls in two patient cohorts. The replication of highly predictive loci identified from the ClinSeq discovery cohort in the FHS cohort and the fact that we observe enrichment of several biological processes previously linked to cardiovascular disease at the network level demonstrates the effectiveness of our machine learning based approach. Our analysis provides a candidate list for conventional genotype-phenotype association studies of advanced CAC without the genome wide multiple testing penalty, thereby illustrating the complementary utility of machine learning and regression-based methods that can provide inputs to each other for followup studies.

#### Conclusions

We used a combination of clinical and genotype data for predictive modeling of advanced coronary calcium. Machine learning models trained with SNP Set-2 (identified from the ClinSeq discovery cohort) produced high predictive performance in the FHS replication cohort. Upon identifying a subset of 21 SNPs from this set that led to optimal predictive performance in both cohorts, we developed NN models trained with the ClinSeq genotype data. We tested these models with the FHS genotype data and obtained high predictive accuracy values (AUC=0.80-0.85) under a wide range of network topologies, thereby replicating the collective predictive ability of these SNPs in FHS. At the gene network level, several biological processes previously linked to cardiovascular disease, including processes associated with accelerated "vascular aging", were found to be enriched among the predictive loci.

A potential extension of our modeling study is the expansion of the panel of SNPs, which are highly predictive of advanced CAC levels, around their loci for building more comprehensive models. Subsequently, we would like to test these potential predictors of rapid CAC progression and early onset of MI with longitudinal data in independent cohorts, especially for cases poorly predicted by traditional risk factors. To conclude, our study on CAC, a cardiovascular disease phenotype and a predictive marker of future cardiac events illustrates the potential of combining multiple machine learning methods as informative and accurate diagnostic tools. Our results also suggest that utilizing markers specific to a limited range of coronary calcium, rather than its complete spectrum, is an effective approach for building accurate predictive models for personalized medicine efforts that require disease-level specific risk prediction and prevention.

#### Additional files

Additional file 1: Supplementary Tables. This pdf file includes supplementary tables referred to in the main text. (PDF 184 kb)

Additional file 2: This pdf file provides information about the genotype data from ClinSeq® and FHS cohorts, rationale behind random forest and neural network implementation for modeling advanced CAC, and mouse and rat model-based associations between the predictive network genes and cardiovascular disease processes and risk factors. (PDF 165 kb)

#### Abbreviations

AUC: Area under the curve; BMI: Body mass index; BioGRID: Biological general repository for interaction datasets; CAC: Coronary artery calcium; CAD: Coronary artery disease; CHARGE: Cohorts for heart and aging research in genomic epidemiology; DAVID: Database for annotation, visualization and integrated discovery; ECM: Extracellular matrix; FHS: Framingham heart study; FRS: Framingham risk score; GSH: Reduced glutathione; GWAS: Genome-wide association studies; GEO: Gene expression omnibus; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; HDL: High-density lipoprotein; IPA: Ingenuity pathway analysis LDL: Low-density lipoprotein; MESA: Multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis; MI: Myocardial infarction; NN: Neural network; NO: Nitric oxide; OOB: Out-of-bag; RF: Random forest; ROC: Receiver operating characteristics; ROC-AUC: Area under receiver operating characteristic curve; ROS: Reactive oxygen species;

SHARe: SNP Health Association Resource; TLR: Toll-like receptor; VSMCs: Vascular smooth muscle cells; WGA: Whole genome amplification

#### Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Intramural Program of the National Human Genome Research Institute (HG200393) of the National Institutes of Health for funding this research. We also gratefully acknowledge Leslie Biesecker for contribution of ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> data, which is funded by NIH grants HG200359 08 and HG200387 03 and we also thank National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute for contribution of Framingham Heart Study data (Contract No. N01-HC-25195). The views expressed in this manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; National Human Genome Research Institute; the National Institutes of Health; or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

#### Funding

Research in this study is supported by the Intramural Program of the National Human Genome Research Institute (HG200393) of the National Institutes of Health. The Framingham Heart Study is conducted and supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) in collaboration with Boston University (Contract No. N01-HC-25195). Funding for SHARe Affymetrix genotyping is provided by NHLBI Contract N02-HL-64278. ClinSeq<sup>®</sup> study is funded by NIH grants HG200359 08 and HG200387 03.

#### Availability of data and materials

Clinical and genotype data used in this study is available in dbGaP at [97, 98] (for Framingham Heart Study) and [99] (for ClinSeq\*).

#### Authors' contributions

Conceived the study: CO, SKS, ARD, YPF, CJO, GHG. Developed the methodology, performed the statistical modeling and analysis: CO. Wrote the paper: CO, SKS, ARD, YPF, CJO, GHG. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

All participants gave written informed consent for participation in their respective studies and the conduct of genetic research, and the studies in which the subjects were enrolled were approved by their respective institutional review boards.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Cardiovascular Disease Section, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. <sup>2</sup>Office of Biostatistics Research, Division of Cardiovascular Sciences, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. <sup>3</sup>Framingham Heart Study, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>4</sup>Center for Population Genomics, MAVERIC, VA Healthcare System, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>5</sup>Cardiology Section Administration, VA Healthcare System, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>6</sup>Department of Cardiology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>7</sup>Office of the Director, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA.

#### Received: 5 April 2017 Accepted: 17 October 2017 Published online: 26 October 2017

#### References

- Ginsburg GS, Willard HF. Genomic and personalized medicine: foundations and applications. Transl Res. 2009;154(6):277–87.
- 2. Völzke H, Schmidt CO, Baumeister SE, Ittermann T, Fung G, Krafczyk-Korth J, Hoffmann W, Schwab M, Zu Schwabedissen HEM, Dörr M, et al.

Personalized cardiovascular medicine: concepts and methodological considerations. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2013;10(6):308–16.

- Jiang X, Osl M, Kim J, Ohno-Machado L. Calibrating predictive model estimates to support personalized medicine. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2012;19(2):263–74.
- Khorana AA, Kuderer NM, Culakova E, Lyman GH, Francis CW. Development and validation of a predictive model for chemotherapy-associated thrombosis. Blood. 2008;111(10):4902–7.
- Nevins JR, Huang ES, Dressman H, Pittman J, Huang AT, West M. Towards integrated clinico-genomic models for personalized medicine: combining gene expression signatures and clinical factors in breast cancer outcomes prediction. Hum Mol Genet. 2003;12(suppl 2):153–7.
- Lee Y, Li H, Li J, Rebman E, Achour I, Regan KE, Gamazon ER, Chen JL, Yang XH, Cox NJ, et al. Network models of genome-wide association studies uncover the topological centrality of protein interactions in complex diseases. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2013;20(4):619–29.
- Poulter N. Coronary heart disease is a multifactorial disease. Am J Hypertens. 1999;12(10):92–5.
- Schwartz SM, Schwartz HT, Horvath S, Schadt E, Lee SI. A systematic approach to multifactorial cardiovascular disease causal analysis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2012;32(12):2821–35.
- McClelland RL, Jorgensen N, Bild D, Burke G, Post W, Shea S, Liu K, Watson K, Folsom A, Budoff M, et al. Abstract mp70: Ten year coronary heart disease risk prediction using coronary artery calcium and traditional risk factors: Results from the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (mesa). Circulation. 2014;129(Suppl 1):70–0.
- Forster BB, Isserow S. Coronary artery calcification and subclinical atherosclerosis: What's the score?. B C Med J. 2005;47(4):181.
- Williams MC, Murchison JT, Edwards LD, Agustí A, Bakke P, Calverley PM, Celli B, Coxson HO, Crim C, Lomas DA, et al. Coronary artery calcification is increased in patients with copd and associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Thorax. 2014;69(8):718–23.
- Liu YC, Sun Z, Tsay PK, Chan T, Hsieh I, Chen CC, Wen MS, Wan YL, et al. Significance of coronary calcification for prediction of coronary artery disease and cardiac events based on 64-slice coronary computed tomography angiography. BioMed Res Int. 2013;2013:1–9.
- Wayhs R, Zelinger A, Raggi P. High coronary artery calcium scores pose an extremely elevated risk for hard events. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;39(2): 225–30.
- Budoff MJ, Nasir K, McClelland RL, Detrano R, Wong N, Blumenthal RS, Kondos G, Kronmal RA. Coronary calcium predicts events better with absolute calcium scores than age-sex-race/ethnicity percentiles: MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;53(4): 345–52.
- Budoff MJ, Young R, Lopez VA, Kronmal RA, Nasir K, Blumenthal RS, Detrano RC, Bild DE, Guerci AD, Liu K, et al. Progression of coronary calcium and incident coronary heart disease events: MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61(12):1231–9.
- Proudfoot D, Skepper JN, Hegyi L, Bennett MR, Shanahan CM, Weissberg PL. Apoptosis regulates human vascular calcification in vitro evidence for initiation of vascular calcification by apoptotic bodies. Circ Res. 2000;87(11):1055–62.
- 17. Rutsch F, Nitschke Y, Terkeltaub R. Genetics in arterial calcification pieces of a puzzle and cogs in a wheel. Circ Res. 2011;109(5):578–92.
- Nitschke Y, Rutsch F. Modulators of networks: Molecular targets of arterial calcification identified in man and mice. Curr Pharm Des. 2014;20(37): 5839–52.
- 19. Marulanda J, Alqarni S, Murshed M. Mechanisms of vascular calcification and associated diseases. Curr Pharm Des. 2014;20(37):5801–10.
- Ferguson JF, Matthews GJ, Townsend RR, Raj DS, Kanetsky PA, Budoff M, Fischer MJ, Rosas SE, Kanthety R, Rahman M, et al. Candidate gene association study of coronary artery calcification in chronic kidney disease: findings from the CRIC study (Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62(9):789–98.
- Wojczynski MK, Li M, Bielak LF, Kerr KF, Reiner AP, Wong ND, Yanek LR, Qu L, White CC, Lange LA, et al. Genetics of coronary artery calcification among African Americans, a meta-analysis. BMC Med Genet. 2013;14(1):75.
- van Setten J, Isgum I, Smolonska J, Ripke S, de Jong PA, Oudkerk M, de Koning H, Lammers J-WJ, Zanen P, Groen HJ, et al. Genome-wide association study of coronary and aortic calcification implicates risk loci for coronary artery disease and myocardial infarction. Atherosclerosis. 2013;228(2):400–5.

- O'Donnell CJ, Cupples LA, D'Agostino RB, Fox CS, Hoffmann U, Hwang SJ, Ingellson E, Liu C, Murabito JM, Polak JF, et al. Genome-wide association study for subclinical atherosclerosis in major arterial territories in the NHLBI's Framingham Heart Study. BMC Med Genet. 2007;8(Suppl 1):4.
- O'Donnell CJ, Kavousi M, Smith AV, Kardia SL, Feitosa MF, Hwang SJ, Sun YV, Province MA, Aspelund T, Dehghan A, et al. Genome-wide association study for coronary artery calcification with follow-up in myocardial infarction. Circulation. 2011;124(25):2855–64.
- Polfus LM, Smith JA, Shimmin LC, Bielak LF, Morrison AC, Kardia SL, Peyser PA, Hixson JE. Genome-wide association study of gene by smoking interactions in coronary artery calcification. PloS ONE. 2013;8(10):74642.
- Kathiresan S, Voight BF, Purcell S, Musunuru K, Ardissino D, Mannucci PM, Anand S, Engert JC, Samani NJ, Schunkert H, et al. Genome-wide association of early-onset myocardial infarction with single nucleotide polymorphisms and copy number variants. Nat Genet. 2009;41(3):334–41.
- Dubuc G, Tremblay M, Paré G, Jacques H, Hamelin J, Benjannet S, Boulet L, Genest J, Bernier L, Seidah NG, et al. A new method for measurement of total plasma pcsk9: clinical applications. J Lipid Res. 2010;51(1):140–9.
- Morrison AC, Bare LA, Chambless LE, Ellis SG, Malloy M, Kane JP, Pankow JS, Devlin JJ, Willerson JT, Boerwinkle E. Prediction of coronary heart disease risk using a genetic risk score: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;166(1):28–35.
- 29. Brautbar A, Pompeii LA, Dehghan A, Ngwa JS, Nambi V, Virani SS, Rivadeneira F, Uitterlinden AG, Hofman A, Witteman JC, et al. A genetic risk score based on direct associations with coronary heart disease improves coronary heart disease risk prediction in the atherosclerosis risk in communities (aric), but not in the rotterdam and framingham offspring, studies. Atherosclerosis. 2012;223(2):421–6.
- Kathiresan S, Melander O, Anevski D, Guiducci C, Burtt NP, Roos C, Hirschhorn JN, Berglund G, Hedblad B, Groop L, et al. Polymorphisms associated with cholesterol and risk of cardiovascular events. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(12):1240–9.
- Davies RW, Dandona S, Stewart AF, Chen L, Ellis SG, Tang WW, Hazen SL, Roberts R, McPherson R, Wells GA. Improved prediction of cardiovascular disease based on a panel of single nucleotide polymorphisms identified through genome-wide association studies. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2010;3(5):468–74.
- Sun YV, Bielak LF, Peyser PA, Turner ST, Sheedy PF, Boerwinkle E, Kardia SL. Application of machine learning algorithms to predict coronary artery calcification with a sibship-based design. Genet Epidemiol. 2008;32(4): 350–60.
- McGeachie M, Ramoni RLB, Mychaleckyj JC, Furie KL, Dreyfuss JM, Liu Y, Herrington D, Guo X, Lima JA, Post W, et al. Integrative predictive model of coronary artery calcification in atherosclerosis. Circulation. 2009;120(24):2448–54.
- Goldstein BA, Navar AM, Carter RE. Moving beyond regression techniques in cardiovascular risk prediction: applying machine learning to address analytic challenges. European Heart J. 2016302.
- Chen X, Ishwaran H. Random forests for genomic data analysis. Genomics. 2012;99(6):323–9.
- Li Q, Kim Y, Suktitipat B, Hetmanski JB, Marazita ML, Duggal P, Beaty TH, Bailey-Wilson JE. Gene-gene interaction among wnt genes for oral cleft in trios. Genet Epidemiol. 2015;39(5):385–94.
- Raggi P, Gongora MC, Gopal A, Callister TQ, Budoff M, Shaw LJ. Coronary artery calcium to predict all-cause mortality in elderly men and women. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;52(1):17–23.
- Maas A, Appelman Y. Gender differences in coronary heart disease. Neth Heart J. 2010;18(12):598–603.
- Lee TC, O Malley PG, Feuerstein I, Taylor AJ. The prevalence and severity of coronaryartery calcification on coronary arterycomputed tomography in black and white subjects. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;41(1):39–44.
- 40. Breiman L. Random forests. Mach Learn. 2001;45(1):5-32
- 41. Sun YV. Multigenic modeling of complex disease by random forests. Adv Genet. 2009;72:73–99.
- Sen SK, Boelte KC, Barb JJ, Joehanes R, Zhao X, Cheng Q, Adams L, Teer JK, Accame DS, Chowdhury S, et al. Integrative DNA, RNA, and Protein evidence connects TREML4 to Coronary Artery Calcification. Am J Hum Genet. 2014;95(1):66–76.
- 43. Sen SK, Barb JJ, Cherukuri PF, Accame DS, Elkahloun AG, Singh LN, Lee-Lin SQ, Kolodgie FD, Cheng Q, Zhao X, et al. Identification of

candidate genes involved in coronary artery calcification by transcriptome sequencing of cell lines. BMC Genomics. 2014;15(1):198.

- 44. Hall MA. Correlation-based feature selection for machine learning. PhD thesis. 1999.
- 45. Saeys Y, Inza I, Larrañaga P. A review of feature selection techniques in bioinformatics. Bioinformatics. 2007;23(19):2507–17.
- 46. Warde-Farley D, Donaldson SL, Comes O, Zuberi K, Badrawi R, Chao P, Franz M, Grouios C, Kazi F, Lopes CT, et al. The genemania prediction server: biological network integration for gene prioritization and predicting gene function. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38(suppl 2):214–20.
- Agatston AS, Janowitz WR, Hildner FJ, Zusmer NR, Viamonte M, Detrano R. Quantification of coronary artery calcium using ultrafast computed tomography. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1990;15(4):827–32.
- McClelland RL, Chung H, Detrano R, Post W, Kronmal RA. Distribution of coronary artery calcium by race, gender, and age results from the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). Circulation. 2006;113(1): 30–7.
- NHLBI MESA Website for CAC Score Reference Values. http://www.mesanhlbi.org/Calcium/input.aspx. Accessed 22 Oct 2017.
- 50. MATLAB. Version 8.1 (R2013a). Natick: The MathWorks Inc.; 2013.
- Stephens MA. Edf statistics for goodness of fit and some comparisons. J Am Stat Assoc. 1974;69(347):730–7.
- Ojala M, Garriga GC. Permutation tests for studying classifier performance. J Mach Learn Res. 2010;11(Jun):1833–63.
- Lalkhen AG, McCluskey A. Clinical tests: sensitivity and specificity. Continuing Educ Anaesthesia Crit Care Pain. 2008;8(6):221–3.
- 54. Efron B. Bootstrap methods: another look at the jackknife. Ann Stat. 19791–26.
- Dasgupta A, Sun YV, König IR, Bailey-Wilson JE, Malley JD. Brief review of regression-based and machine learning methods in genetic epidemiology: the genetic analysis workshop 17 experience. Genet Epidemiol. 2011;35(S1):5–11.
- 56. Liaw A, Wiener M. Classification and regression by randomforest. R news. 2002;2(3):18–22.
- 57. Fausett L. Fundamentals of Neural Networks: Architectures, Algorithms, and Applications. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, Inc.; 1994.
- Mehrotra K, Mohan CK, Ranka S. Elements of Artificial Neural Networks. Cambridge: MIT press; 1997.
- Bielak LF, Klee GG, Sheedy PF, Turner ST, Schwartz RS, Peyser PA. Association of fibrinogen with quantity of coronary artery calcification measured by electron beam computed tomography. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2000;20(9):2167–71.
- 60. Rodrigues T, Snell-Bergeon J, Maahs D, Kinney G, Rewers M. Higher fibrinogen levels predict progression of coronary artery calcification in adults with type 1 diabetes. Atherosclerosis. 2010;210(2):671–3.
- Davalos D, Akassoglou K. Fibrinogen as a key regulator of inflammation in disease. In: Seminars in Immunopathology. New York: Springer; 2012. p. 43–62.
- 62. Smith E. Fibrinogen, fibrin and fibrin degradation products in relation to atherosclerosis. Clin Haematol. 1986;15(2):355–70.
- Schurgin S, Rich S, Mazzone T. Increased prevalence of significant coronary artery calcification in patients with diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2001;24(2):335–8.
- 64. Tzoulaki I, Liberopoulos G, Ioannidis JP. Assessment of claims of improved prediction beyond the framingham risk score. Jama. 2009;302(21):2345–52.
- Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using david bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. 2009;4(1):44–57.
- 66. Thanassoulis G, Vasan RS. Genetic cardiovascular risk prediction will we get there?. Circulation. 2010;122(22):2323–34.
- Björkegren JL, Kovacic JC, Dudley JT, Schadt EE. Genome-wide significant loci: how important are they?: systems genetics to understand heritability of coronary artery disease and other common complex disorders. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65(8):830–45.
- Libby P, Ridker PM, Hansson GK. Inflammation in atherosclerosis: from pathophysiology to practice. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;54(23):2129–138.
- Hansson GK. Inflammation, atherosclerosis, and coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(16):1685–1695.
- 70. Roberts R. Genetics of coronary artery disease. Circ Res. 2014;114(12): 1890–1903.

- Beloqui O, Moreno MU, San José G, Pejenaute Á, Cortés A, Landecho MF, Díez J, Fortuño A, Zalba G. Increased phagocytic nadph oxidase activity associates with coronary artery calcification in asymptomatic men. Free Radical Res. 2017;51(4):389–96.
- 72. Johnson RC, Leopold JA, Loscalzo J. Vascular calcification pathobiological mechanisms and clinical implications. Circ Res. 2006;99(10):1044–59.
- Ungvari Z, Kaley G, De Cabo R, Sonntag WE, Csiszar A. Mechanisms of vascular aging: new perspectives. J Gerontol Series A: Biomed Sci Med Sci. 2010;65(10):1028–41.
- 74. Stocker R, Keaney JF. Role of oxidative modifications in atherosclerosis. Physiol Rev. 2004;84(4):1381–478.
- van Eijk M, Aust G, Brouwer MS, van Meurs M, Voerman JS, Dijke IE, Pouwels W, Sändig I, Wandel E, Aerts JM, et al. Differential expression of the egf-tm7 family members cd97 and emr2 in lipid-laden macrophages in atherosclerosis, multiple sclerosis and gaucher disease. Immunol Lett. 2010;129(2):64–71.
- Muzaffar S, Shukla N, Jeremy JY. Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase: a promiscuous therapeutic target for cardiovascular drugs?. Trends Cardiovasc Med. 2005;15(8):278–82.
- 77. Lieberman M, Marks AD, Peet A. Marks' Basic Medical Biochemistry. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013.
- 78. Gorrini C, Harris IS, Mak TW. Modulation of oxidative stress as an anticancer strategy. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2013;12(12):931–47.
- Murphy MP. Mitochondrial thiols in antioxidant protection and redox signaling: distinct roles for glutathionylation and other thiol modifications. Antioxidants Redox Signaling. 2012;16(6):476–95.
- Toye A, Lippiat J, Proks P, Shimomura K, Bentley L, Hugill A, Mijat V, Goldsworthy M, Moir L, Haynes A, et al. A genetic and physiological study of impaired glucose homeostasis control in c57bl/6j mice. Diabetologia. 2005;48(4):675–86.
- Drummond GR, Selemidis S, Griendling KK, Sobey CG. Combating oxidative stress in vascular disease: Nadph oxidases as therapeutic targets. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2011;10(6):453.
- Keidar S, Kaplan M, Pavlotzky E, Coleman R, Hayek T, Hamoud S, Aviram M. Aldosterone administration to mice stimulates macrophage nadph oxidase and increases atherosclerosis development. Circulation. 2004;109(18):2213–0.
- Ellenbroek GH, Van Puijvelde GH, Anas AA, Bot M, Asbach M, Schoneveld A, Van Santbrink PJ, Foks AC, Timmers L, Doevendans PA, et al. Leukocyte tlr5 deficiency inhibits atherosclerosis by reduced macrophage recruitment and defective t-cell responsiveness. Sci Rep. 2017;7:1–10.
- Kim J, Seo M, Kim SK, Bae YS. Flagellin-induced nadph oxidase 4 activation is involved in atherosclerosis. Sci Rep. 2016;6:1–16.
- Asterholm IW, Rutkowski JM, Fujikawa T, Cho YR, Fukuda M, Tao C, Wang ZV, Gupta RK, Elmquist JK, Scherer PE. Elevated resistin levels induce central leptin resistance and increased atherosclerotic progression in mice. Diabetologia. 2014;57(6):1209–18.
- Rahtu-Korpela L, Määttä J, Dimova EY, Hörkkö S, Gylling H, Walkinshaw G, Hakkola J, Kivirikko KI, Myllyharju J, Serpi R, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl 4-hydroxylase-2 inhibition protects against development of atherosclerosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2016115.
- Imtiyaz HZ, Simon MC. Hypoxia-inducible factors as essential regulators of inflammation. In: Diverse Effects of Hypoxia on Tumor Progression. New York: Springer; 2010. p. 105–20.
- 88. Xu G, Watanabe T, Iso Y, Koba S, Sakai T, Nagashima M, Arita S, Hongo S, Ota H, Kobayashi Y, et al. Preventive effects of heregulin- $\beta$ 1 on macrophage foam cell formation and atherosclerosis. Circ Res. 2009;105(5):500–10.
- Hintsanen M, Elovainio M, Puttonen S, Kivimäki M, Raitakari OT, Lehtimäki T, Rontu R, Juonala M, Kähönen M, Viikari J, et al. Neuregulin-1 genotype moderates the association between job strain and early atherosclerosis in young men. Ann Behav Med. 2007;33(2):148–55.
- Manning AK, Hivert MF, Scott RA, Grimsby JL, Bouatia-Naji N, Chen H, Rybin D, Liu CT, Bielak LF, Prokopenko I, et al. A genome-wide approach accounting for body mass index identifies genetic variants influencing fasting glycemic traits and insulin resistance. Nat Genet. 2012;44(6): 659–69.
- 91. Strachan DP, Rudnicka AR, Power C, Shepherd P, Fuller E, Davis A, Gibb I, Kumari M, Rumley A, Macfarlane GJ, et al. Lifecourse influences

on health among british adults: effects of region of residence in childhood and adulthood. Intl J Epidemiol. 2007;36(3):522–31.

- Florez JC, Manning AK, Dupuis J, McAteer J, Irenze K, Gianniny L, Mirel DB, Fox CS, Cupples LA, Meigs JB. A 100k genome-wide association scan for diabetes and related traits in the framingham heart study: replication and integration with other genome-wide datasets. Diabetes. 2007;56(12):3063–74.
- Dong C, Beecham A, Wang L, Blanton SH, Rundek T, Sacco RL. Followup association study of linkage regions reveals multiple candidate genes for carotid plaque in dominicans. Atherosclerosis. 2012;223(1):177–83.
- Wang L, Beecham A, Zhuo D, Dong C, Blanton SH, Rundek T, Sacco RL. Fine mapping study reveals novel candidate genes for carotid intima-media thickness in Dominican families. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2012;5:234–41.
- 95. Shanahan CM. Mechanisms of vascular calcification in ckd, evidence for premature ageing. Nat Rev Nephrology. 2013;9(11):661–70.
- NHLBI MESA Website for Arterial Age Calculator. https://www.mesa-nhlbi. org/calcium/arterialage.aspx. Accessed 22 Oct 2017.
- Framingham SNP Health Association Resource (SHARe) Project. http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gap/cgi-bin/study.cgi?study\_id= phs000007.v10.p5. Accessed 22 Oct 2017.
- CHARGE (Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology) Consortium Summary Results from Genomic Studies. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gap/cgi-bin/study.cgi?study\_id= phs000930.v4.p1. Accessed 22 Oct 2017.
- The ClinSeq Project: Piloting Large-scale Genome Sequencing for Research in Genomic Medicine. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/ gap/cgi-bin/study.cgi?study\_id=phs000971.v1.p1. Accessed 22 Oct 2017.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

