

Abonyi, A., É. Ács, A. Hidas, I. Grigorszky, G. Várbiro, G. Borics & K. T. Kiss, 2018. Functional diversity of phytoplankton highlights long-term gradual regime shift in the middle section of the Danube River due to global warming, human impacts and oligotrophication. *Freshwater Biology* doi:10.1111/fwb.13084.

Supplement 3A Seasonal Mann-Kendall and Mann-Kendall trend analysis of major environmental variables in the middle Danube section, Göd (N-Budapest, Hungary) between 1979 and 2012. Tau and significance levels (in brackets) are given, as well as bootstrap confidence interval calculations (BCICs) for the Mann-Kendall tests based on 10,000 bootstrap replicates at 99% CI (in second line). Significant trends are bold and italic.

Parameter	GLOBAL (Seasonal M-K)	WINTER (Mann-K)	SPRING (Mann-K)	SUMMER (Mann-K)	AUTUMN (Mann-K)
Water temperature (°C)	<i>0.25 (***)</i>	0.11 (n.s.) 0.03, 0.42	0.11 (n.s.) 0.10, 0.32	<i>0.30 (***)</i> <i>0.38, 0.82</i>	0.07 (n.s.) 0.04, 0.25
Total suspended solids (mgL ⁻¹)	<i>-0.35 (***)</i>	<i>-0.31 (***)</i> <i>0.89, -0.36</i>	<i>-0.32 (***)</i> <i>-0.90, -0.39</i>	<i>-0.31 (***)</i> <i>-0.87, -0.37</i>	<i>-0.32 (***)</i> <i>-0.87, -0.41</i>
Ammonium-N (mgN L ⁻¹)	<i>-0.66 (***)</i>	<i>-0.70 (***)</i> <i>-1.77, -1.02</i>	<i>-0.62 (***)</i> <i>-1.56, -0.91</i>	<i>-0.56 (***)</i> <i>-1.43, -0.78</i>	<i>-0.65 (***)</i> <i>-1.62, -0.96</i>
Nitrite-N (mgN L ⁻¹)	<i>-0.58 (***)</i>	<i>-0.43 (***)</i> <i>-1.15, -0.56</i>	<i>-0.58 (***)</i> <i>-1.50, -0.83</i>	<i>-0.55 (***)</i> <i>-1.42, -0.77</i>	<i>-0.61 (***)</i> <i>-1.54, -0.88</i>
Nitrate-N (mgN L ⁻¹)	<i>-0.20 (***)</i>	-0.02 (n.s.) -0.28, 0.19	-0.12 (n.s.) -0.40, -0.08	<i>-0.22 (**)</i> <i>-0.65, -0.21</i>	<i>-0.21 (**)</i> <i>-0.61, -0.22</i>
Orthophosphate-P (µgP L ⁻¹)	<i>-0.57 (***)</i>	<i>-0.63 (***)</i> <i>-1.62, -0.88</i>	<i>-0.55 (***)</i> <i>-1.43, -0.78</i>	<i>-0.41 (***)</i> <i>-1.13, -0.50</i>	<i>-0.59 (***)</i> <i>-1.52, -0.85</i>

Supplement 3B Seasonal Mann-Kendall and Mann-Kendall trend analysis of the relative abundance of phytoplankton functional traits in the middle Danube section, Göd (N-Budapest, Hungary) between 1979 and 2012. Tau and p values (in brackets) are given, as well as bootstrap confidence interval calculations for the Mann-Kendall tests (in the second line) based on 10,000 bootstrap replicates at 99% CI. Significant trends are bold and italic. For the abbreviation of functional traits, see Table 1 in the article.

FTs	GLOBAL (Seasonal M-K)	WINTER (Mann-K)	SPRING (Mann-K)	SUMMER (Mann-K)	AUTUMN (Mann-K)
S	<i>0.10 (**)</i>	-0.02 (n.s.) -0.19, 0.13	<i>0.17 (*)</i> <i>0.16, 0.51</i>	0.08 (n.s.) -0.07, 0.40	0.10 (n.s.) -0.02, 0.42
M	<i>-0.12 (***)</i>	-0.05 (n.s.) -0.27, 0.06	<i>-0.25 (***)</i> <i>-0.73, -0.28</i>	-0.0269 (n.s.) -0.29, 0.19	<i>-0.133 (*)</i> <i>-0.49, -0.04</i>
L	0.04 (n.s.)	0.08 (n.s.) -0.05, 0.36	<i>0.23 (***)</i> <i>0.20, 0.71</i>	<i>-0.17 (*)</i> <i>-0.58, -0.09</i>	0.08 (n.s.) -0.06, 0.36
XL	<i>-0.08 (*)</i>	<i>-0.18 (*)</i> <i>-0.56, -0.14</i>	0.04 (n.s.) -0.11, 0.28	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.33, 0.06	-0.12 (n.s.) -0.44, -0.04
GAL	<i>0.21 (***)</i>	<i>0.19 (**)</i> <i>0.18, 0.59</i>	<i>0.38 (***)</i> <i>0.49, 1.02</i>	-0.00 (n.s.) -0.22, 0.18	<i>0.212 (**)</i> <i>0.23, 0.62</i>
FLA	<i>0.36 (***)</i>	<i>0.30 (***)</i> <i>0.37, 0.85</i>	<i>0.32 (***)</i> <i>0.35, 0.91</i>	<i>0.29 (***)</i> <i>0.35, 0.82</i>	<i>0.51 (***)</i> <i>0.72, 1.30</i>
SIN	<i>-0.19 (***)</i>	0.06 (n.s.) -0.07, 0.30	<i>-0.25 (***)</i> <i>-0.70, -0.31</i>	<i>-0.21 (**)</i> <i>-0.59, -0.23</i>	-0.11 (n.s.) -0.40, -0.07
COL	0.01 (n.s.)	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.33, 0.06	<i>0.19 (**)</i> <i>0.21, 0.55</i>	-0.13 (n.s.) -0.49, -0.02	-0.03 (n.s.) -0.25, 0.13
FIL	<i>0.21 (***)</i>	-0.03 (n.s.) -0.24, 0.12	<i>0.36 (***)</i> <i>0.48, 0.95</i>	<i>0.23 (***)</i> <i>0.24, 0.69</i>	0.08 (n.s.) -0.03, 0.34
SIR	<i>-0.24 (***)</i>	<i>-0.16 (*)</i> <i>-0.51, -0.12</i>	<i>-0.31 (***)</i> <i>-0.87, -0.39</i>	-0.05 (n.s.) -0.31, 0.12	<i>-0.34 (***)</i> <i>-0.92, -0.43</i>
VAC	<i>0.15 (***)</i>	0.09 (n.s.) -0.05, 0.41	<i>0.17 (*)</i> <i>0.14, 0.54</i>	0.136 (n.s.) 0.05, 0.49	0.0878 (n.s.) -0.05, 0.40
N2R	0.02 (n.s.)	0.02 (n.s.) -0.18, 0.24	<i>0.16 (*)</i> <i>0.11, 0.52</i>	-0.03 (n.s.) -0.24, 0.13	-0.08 (n.s.) -0.32, 0.02
CHB	-0.00 (n.s.)	0.00 (n.s.) -0.19, 0.21	<i>0.18 (**)</i> <i>0.19, 0.52</i>	<i>-0.19 (**)</i> <i>-0.62, -0.15</i>	-0.05 (n.s.) -0.32, 0.12
CHC	-0.02 (n.s.)	0.04 (n.s.) -0.12, 0.28	<i>-0.188 (**)</i> <i>-0.54, -0.21</i>	<i>0.134 (*)</i> <i>0.03, 0.51</i>	0.01 (n.s.) -0.19, 0.23
PYC	<i>0.41 (***)</i>	<i>0.20 (**)</i> <i>0.20, 0.58</i>	<i>0.37 (***)</i> <i>0.44, 1.02</i>	<i>0.45 (***)</i> <i>0.61, 1.20</i>	<i>0.51 (***)</i> <i>0.72, 1.30</i>
BEN	<i>0.11 (**)</i>	0.05 (n.s.) -0.11, 0.31	<i>0.24 (***)</i> <i>0.28, 0.68</i>	0.00 (n.s.) -0.22, 0.23	<i>0.17 (*)</i> <i>0.14, 0.53</i>
PLA	<i>-0.11 (**)</i>	-0.05 (n.s.) -0.32, 0.11	<i>-0.24 (***)</i> <i>-0.69, -0.28</i>	-0.00 (n.s.) -0.23, 0.22	<i>-0.17 (*)</i> <i>-0.53, -0.14</i>

Supplement 3C Seasonal Mann-Kendall and Mann-Kendall trend analysis of the relative abundance of phytoplankton functional groups (FGs) *sensu* Reynolds in the middle Danube section, Göd (N-Budapest, Hungary) between 1979 and 2012. Tau and p values (in brackets) are given, as well as bootstrap confidence interval calculations for the Mann-Kendall tests (in the second line) based on 10,000 bootstrap replicates at 99% CI. Significant trends are bold and italic. For the abbreviation of FGs, see Reynolds *et al.* (2002), Borics *et al.* (2007), and Padisák, Crossetti & Naselli-Flores (2009).

FGs	GLOBAL (Seasonal M-K)	WINTER (Mann-K)	SPRING (Mann-K)	SUMMER (Mann-K)	AUTUMN (Mann-K)
A	-0.13 (**)	-0.14 (n.s.) -0.50, -0.04	0.07 (n.s.) -0.05, 0.35	-0.21 (**) -0.66, -0.15	-0.18 (*) -0.60, -0.13
B	-0.01 (n.s.)	0.0681 (n.s.) -0.12, 0.40	0.15 (*) 0.06, 0.54	-0.01 (n.s.) -0.25, 0.22	-0.26 (***) -0.80, -0.23
C	-0.11 (**)	-0.06 (n.s.) -0.36, 0.11	-0.09 (n.s.) -0.37, 0.01	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.367, 0.08	-0.24 (***) -0.71, -0.23
D	-0.23 (***)	-0.17 (*) -0.53, -0.13	-0.31 (***) -0.87, -0.36	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.35, 0.07	-0.33 (***) -0.91, -0.41
E	0.16 (***)	0.37 (***) 0.44, 1.02	0.25 (***) 0.27, 0.73	-0.12 (n.s.) -0.45, -0.01	0.13 (n.s.) 0.04, 0.49
F	-0.10 (**)	-0.13 (n.s.) -0.50, -0.04	0.07 (n.s.) -0.02, 0.30	-0.24 (***) -0.75, -0.22	-0.11 (n.s.) -0.44, 0.01
G	-0.07 (n.s.)	0.17 (*) 0.13, 0.54	0.08 (n.s.) 0.00, 0.34	-0.23 (***) -0.69, -0.23	-0.16 (*) -0.56, -0.09
H1	0.02 (n.s.)	0.02 (n.s.) -0.18, 0.24	0.18 (*) 0.14, 0.57	-0.04 (n.s.) -0.26, 0.11	-0.09 (n.s.) -0.36, -0.01
J	0.02 (n.s.)	-0.01 (n.s.) -0.18, 0.14	0.23 (***) 0.28, 0.62	-0.16 (*) -0.56, -0.09	-0.09 (n.s.) -0.39, 0.02
K	0.07 (n.s.)	-0.16 (n.s.) -1.80, 0.59	0.26 (**) -0.29, 1.19	0.12 (n.s.) -0.09, 0.58	-0.05 (n.s.) -0.44, 0.22
L0	0.03 (n.s.)	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.38, 0.11	0.13 (n.s.) 0.03, 0.48	0.18 (**) 0.11, 0.61	-0.09 (n.s.) -0.39, 0.01
M	0.19 (***)	0.13 (n.s.) -0.06, 0.56	0.09 (n.s.) -0.41, 0.69	0.23 (**) 0.18, 0.73	0.14 (n.s.) 0.01, 0.55
P	0.27 (***)	0.26 (***) 0.21, 0.81	0.46 (***) 0.58, 1.24	0.16 (*) 0.08, 0.53	0.13 (n.s.) 0.06, 0.46
Q	0.11 (n.s.)	-0.04 (n.s.) -1.68, 0.81	0.02 (n.s.) -1.56, 0.92	0.08 (n.s.) -0.20, 0.49	0.18 (*) -0.53, 1.06
S1	0.12 (***)	0.04 (n.s.) -0.14, 0.31	0.25 (***) 0.28, 0.73	-0.01 (n.s.) -0.19, 0.17	0.16 (*) 0.13, 0.51
S2	-0.20 (***)	-0.28 (***) -0.82, -0.29	-0.11 (n.s.) -0.43, -0.01	-0.06 (n.s.) -0.31, 0.06	-0.16 (*) -0.54, -0.11
T	0.10 (*)	-0.06 (n.s.) -1.60, 0.78	0.17 (*) 0.11, 0.60	0.02 (n.s.) -0.19, 0.26	0.16 (*) 0.05, 0.57
TB	0.12 (***)	0.05 (n.s.) -0.13, 0.32	0.18 (**) 0.16, 0.57	-0.01 (n.s.) -0.21, 0.17	0.23 (***) 0.26, 0.65
TC	-0.26 (***)	-0.05 (n.s.)	-0.11 (n.s.)	-0.40 (***)	-0.43 (***)

Abonyi, A., É. Ács, A. Hidas, I. Grigorszky, G. Várbiro, G. Borics & K. T. Kiss, 2018. Functional diversity of phytoplankton highlights long-term gradual regime shift in the middle section of the Danube River due to global warming, human impacts and oligotrophication. *Freshwater Biology* doi:10.1111/fwb.13084.

		-0.29, 0.10	-0.43, -0.01	-1.09, -0.49	-1.17, -0.56
U	0.07 (n.s.)	0.04 (n.s.)	0.17 (*)	-0.02 (n.s.)	-0.01 (n.s.)
		-0.82, 0.78	-1.14, 1.24	-1.05, 0.71	-1.61, 0.87
W1	-0.18 (***)	-0.22 (**)	-0.05 (n.s.)	-0.13 (n.s.)	-0.30 (***)
		-0.66, -0.23	-0.31, 0.12	-0.44, -0.07	-0.81, -0.37
W2	-0.26 (***)	-0.14 (n.s.)	-0.27 (***)	-0.36 (***)	-0.25 (***)
		-0.58, -0.00	-0.82, -0.24	-0.98, -0.45	-0.72, -0.26
WS	-0.11 (**)	0.02 (n.s.)	0.07 (n.s.)	-0.30 (***)	-0.17 (*)
		-0.17, 0.26	-0.07, 0.34	-0.85, -0.35	-0.56, -0.14
X1	-0.12 (**)	-0.13 (n.s.)	0.02 (n.s.)	-0.14 (*)	-0.21 (**)
		-0.42, -0.07	-0.07, 0.16	-0.51, -0.05	-0.66, -0.18
X2	0.39 (***)	0.33 (***)	0.33 (***)	0.31 (***)	0.55 (***)
		0.40, 0.91	0.36, 0.94	0.38, 0.86	0.79, 1.41
X3	0.22 (***)	0.29 (***)	0.35 (***)	0.08 (n.s.)	0.16 (*)
		0.32, 0.85	0.43, 0.99	-0.07, 0.37	0.11, 0.52
Y	0.11 (**)	0.03 (n.s.)	0.23 (***)	0.01 (n.s.)	0.17 (*)
		-0.11, 0.23	0.22, 0.71	-0.15, 0.19	0.17, 0.52

Supplement 3D Seasonal Mann-Kendall and Mann-Kendall trend analysis of functional evenness (FEVE), functional divergence (FDIV) and functional dispersion (FDIS) based on phytoplankton functional traits and phytoplankton functional groups *sensu* Reynolds in the middle Danube section, Göd (N-Budapest, Hungary) between 1979 and 2012. Tau and significance levels (in brackets) are given, as well as bootstrap confidence interval calculations (BCICs) for the Mann-K tests based on 10,000 bootstrap replicates at 99% CI (in second line). Significant trends are bold and italic.

Functional metric	GLOBAL (Seasonal M-K)	WINTER (Mann-K)	SPRING (Mann-K)	SUMMER (Mann-K)	AUTUMN (Mann-K)
<i>Functional traits</i>					
FEVE	<i>0.21 (***)</i>	0.00 (n.s.) -0.24, 0.24	0.03 (n.s.) -0.12, 0.24	<i>0.36 (***)</i> <i>0.48, 0.96</i>	<i>0.32 (***)</i> <i>0.40, 0.89</i>
FDIV	<i>0.09 (*)</i>	0.08 (n.s.) -0.02, 0.36	<i>0.14 (*)</i> <i>0.11, 0.44</i>	0.08 (n.s.) -0.04, 0.35	0.00 (n.s.) -0.13, 0.15
FDIS	<i>0.31 (***)</i>	<i>0.14 (*)</i> <i>0.09, 0.48</i>	<i>0.34 (***)</i> <i>0.43, 0.92</i>	<i>0.21 (**)</i> <i>0.20, 0.64</i>	<i>0.39 (***)</i> <i>0.53, 1.02</i>
<i>Functional groups</i>					
FEVE	0.01 (n.s.)	<i>-0.23 (**)</i> <i>-0.71, -0.21</i>	<i>-0.18 (**)</i> <i>-0.53, -0.20</i>	<i>0.21 (**)</i> <i>0.17, 0.67</i>	<i>0.27 (***)</i> <i>0.29, 0.79</i>
FDIV	<i>0.13 (***)</i>	<i>0.18 (*)</i> <i>0.16, 0.56</i>	0.12 (n.s.) 0.03, 0.46	-0.07 (n.s.) -0.36, 0.09	<i>0.31 (***)</i> <i>0.38, 0.85</i>
FDIS	<i>0.19 (***)</i>	0.14 (n.s.) 0.07, 0.47	<i>0.32 (***)</i> <i>0.38, 0.89</i>	0.05 (n.s.) -0.13, 0.31	<i>0.20 (**)</i> <i>0.17, 0.62</i>

References

Borics G., Várbiro G., Grigorszky I., Krasznai E., Szabó S. & Kiss Keve T. (2007) A new evaluation technique of potamo-plankton for the assessment of the ecological status of rivers. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie, Supplementband Large rivers*, **17**, 466 - 486.

Abonyi, A., É. Ács, A. Hidas, I. Grigorszky, G. Várbiro, G. Borics & K. T. Kiss, 2018. Functional diversity of phytoplankton highlights long-term gradual regime shift in the middle section of the Danube River due to global warming, human impacts and oligotrophication. *Freshwater Biology* doi:10.1111/fwb.13084.

Padisák J., Crossetti L. & Naselli-Flores L. (2009) Use and misuse in the application of the phytoplankton functional classification: a critical review with updates. *Hydrobiologia*, **621**, 1-19.

Reynolds C.S., Huszar V., Kruk C., Naselli-Flores L. & Melo S. (2002) Towards a functional classification of the freshwater phytoplankton. *Journal of Plankton Research*, **24**, 417-428.